

TOPOLOGICAL HYPERBOLICITY OF MODULI SPACES OF ELLIPTIC SURFACES

XIN LU, RUIRAN SUN, AND KANG ZUO

ABSTRACT. We show that the moduli stack of elliptic surfaces of Kodaira dimension one and without multiple fiber satisfies a weak form of the topological hyperbolicity.

1. INTRODUCTION

At the 1962 International Congress of Mathematicians in Stockholm, Shafarevich formulated an influential conjecture, now known as the Shafarevich conjecture. One part of the conjecture is the following

Theorem 1.1 (Shafarevich Hyperbolicity Conjecture). *Let Y be a smooth projective curve of genus g over an algebraic closed field k of characteristic 0 and $\Delta \subset Y$ a finite subset. Fix an integer $q \geq 2$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a non-isotrivial family of curves of genus q , and the resitiction $f : X \setminus f^{-1}(\Delta) \rightarrow Y \setminus \Delta$ is smooth. Then*

$$2g - 2 + \#\Delta > 0.$$

The above Shafarevich hyperbolicity conjecture was proved by Parshin [Ps68] and Arakelov [Ara71]. By the theorem above we know that the quasi-projective curve $U := Y \setminus \Delta$ is a hyperbolic curve. Over the field of complex numbers, an algebraic curve U being hyperbolic is characterized in three equivalent ways:

- (1) the Euler characteristic of U is negative;
- (2) there exists a negatively curved metric on U ;
- (3) the fundamental group $\pi_1(U)$ is infinite and non-abelian.

In consideration of the importance of the Shafarevich conjecture in algebraic and arithmetic geometry, it is significant to generalize it to the case of higher dimensional family. Let $f : V \rightarrow U$ be a family of polarized manifolds of dimension equal to n and with semi-ample canonical divisors. One expects that the base space U is “hyperbolic”. When U is a quasi-projective variety of higher dimension, there are different notions of hyperbolicity; for instance, the Brody hyperbolicity and Kobayashi hyperbolicity (cf. [Kob98]). In this paper, we would like to introduce the notion of *topological hyperbolicity*. We first recall that for

Key words and phrases. topologically hyperbolic, elliptic surfaces, Torelli theorem.

The first named author is sponsored by Shanghai Rising-Star Program, and by National Key Basic Research Program of China (Grant No. 2013CB834202). The second and third named author are supported by SFB/Transregio 45 Periods, Moduli Spaces and Arithmetic of Algebraic Varieties of the DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft).

a finitely generated group one has the notion of *exponential growth* which characterizes the large size of this group (cf. for instance [Mil68]). By definition we can check that if a finitely generated group has exponential growth, then it has to be infinite and non-abelian.

Definition 1.2. *A quasi-projective variety U over \mathbb{C} is said to be topologically hyperbolic if its topological fundamental group satisfies the following property: for each subvariety $Z \subset U$ of positive dimension, the image $\text{Im}[\pi_1(\tilde{Z}) \rightarrow \pi_1(U)]$ has exponential growth, where \tilde{Z} is the normalization of Z .*

All these notions of hyperbolicity should be viewed as some sort of high dimensional generalization of the three equivalent characterization of the hyperbolic curves. It is well-known that the Kobayashi hyperbolicity implies the Brody hyperbolicity; and these two notions are equivalent for compact complex manifolds. By a conjecture of Lang (cf. [Lan86]), a projective variety is Brody (equivalently Kobayashi) hyperbolic if and only if every subvariety of positive dimension is of general type. However, the notion of topological hyperbolicity seems to be stronger. In fact, there exist Kobayashi hyperbolic manifolds which are not topologically hyperbolic: for instance, the complement $\mathbb{P}^N \setminus H$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic (cf. [SY96, BD19]) for a general smooth hypersurface $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of sufficiently large degree, but its fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^N \setminus H)$ is abelian (cf. [Nor83, Corollary 2.8]) and hence not topologically hyperbolic. Assuming Lang's conjecture, one sees that if the variety is projective of dimension two, then the topological hyperbolicity implies the Kobayashi hyperbolicity and hence also the Brody hyperbolicity. However, the converse does not hold in general: for example, a general hypersurface $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of sufficiently high degree is Kobayashi hyperbolic (cf. [Siu02]), but on the other hand if $N \geq 3$, H is simply connected by the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem and hence not topologically hyperbolic.

In the past decades, many works on the hyperbolicity properties of the moduli space of polarized varieties have been invested, motivated by the Shafarevich problem and its higher dimensional generalizations. For instance, Viehweg and the third named author proved that U parametrizing canonically polarized manifolds is Brody hyperbolic in [VZ03]. Later, To-Yeung have made a further step by showing that U is Kobayashi hyperbolic [TY15]. For more details and references, the readers are recommended to the surveys [Vie01], [Kov03], [MVZ06], [Kov09] etc. However, very little is known for the topological hyperbolicity.

In this paper we prove that the base space of family of elliptic surfaces of Kodaira dimension one satisfies a weak form of topological hyperbolicity:

Theorem A. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ be a family of polarized elliptic surfaces of Kodaira dimension one and without multiple fiber. Assume that the induced classifying map from B to the coarse moduli space is quasi-finite. Then for each subvariety $Z \subset B$ of positive dimension (Z can be equal to B), the fundamental group $\pi_1(\tilde{Z})$ is infinite and non-abelian, where \tilde{Z} is the normalization of Z .*

We expect the base B appeared in [Theorem A](#) to be topologically hyperbolic.

The known examples admitting the topological hyperbolicity for the moduli spaces are restricted on those spaces where the Torelli theorem is valid. For instance, ball quotients and parameter spaces of hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^n with degree $\geq n + 1$ are two typical such examples.

In our case, it is well-known that the Torelli theorem fails for elliptic surfaces of Kodaira dimension one [Cha80]. In those cases, we will employ Oguiso-Viehweg's analysis (cf. [OV01]) on the singular locus of the elliptic surfaces to complete the proof.

Acknowledgment. Part of this paper was written during the visits of the second and third named authors to the School of Mathematical Sciences of ECNU in August 2018. They thank all the members there for their hospitality.

2. INFINITESIMAL TORELLI THEOREM

As we have mentioned above, the topological hyperbolicity follows if the (infinitesimal) Torelli theorem holds. The (infinitesimal) Torelli problems for elliptic surfaces have been extensively studied, see for instance [Kii78, Sai83, Klo04]. Unfortunately, the infinitesimal Torelli does not hold for all elliptic surfaces. Nevertheless, we still have the infinitesimal Torelli for certain families of elliptic surfaces. For the readers' convenience, we recall the next theorem and sketch its proof following Saito's idea [Sai83].

Theorem 2.1 (Saito). *Let $g : X \rightarrow W$ be an elliptic surface without multiple fiber. Assume that $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 2$ and g is non-isotrivial. Then the infinitesimal Torelli theorem holds for X , i.e., the following period map is injective.*

$$\delta : H^1(X, T_X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^{2,0}(X), H^{1,1}(X)) \oplus \text{Hom}(H^{1,1}(X), H^{0,2}(X)).$$

We remark that g is non-isotrivial means that the fibration g cannot become trivial after any finite base change. This is also equivalent to saying that the functional invariant $J(X)$ is not constant as in [Sai83]. By the Serre duality, one obtains immediately the following

Lemma 2.2 ([Sai83, Lemma 3.1]). *The period map δ is injective if and only if the cup-product map*

$$\mu : H^0(X, \Omega_X^2) \otimes H^1(X, \Omega_X^1) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes \Omega_X^2) \quad (2.1)$$

is surjective.

proof of Theorem 2.1. It suffices to prove the map μ in (2.1) is surjective. Moreover, if $g(W) = 0$ and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 2$, then X is a K3 surface, and hence (2.1) is surjective. Hence we may assume that

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 3, \quad \text{if } g(W) = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Since the surface we are concerned about admits an elliptic fibration $g : X \rightarrow W$, we can use the Leray spectral sequence to decompose (2.1).

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow H^1(W, g_*\Omega_X^1) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Omega_X^1) \rightarrow H^0(W, R^1g_*\Omega_X^1) \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow H^1(W, g_*\Omega_X^1 \otimes \Omega_X^2) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes \Omega_X^2) \rightarrow H^0(W, R^1g_*(\Omega_X^1 \otimes \Omega_X^2)) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the cup-product μ is compatible with the Leray spectral sequence, see (12.2.6) in E.G.A III [Gro61]. Therefore, μ is surjective if and only if the following cup-products are surjective.

$$\mu_1 : H^0(W, g_*\Omega_X^2) \otimes H^1(W, g_*\Omega_X^1) \rightarrow H^1(W, g_*(\Omega_X^1 \otimes \Omega_X^2)) \quad (2.3)$$

$$\mu_2 : H^0(W, g_*\Omega_X^2) \otimes H^0(W, R^1g_*\Omega_X^1) \rightarrow H^0(W, R^1g_*(\Omega_X^1 \otimes \Omega_X^2)) \quad (2.4)$$

On the other hand, we have the following isomorphisms:

$$H^0(W, g_*\Omega_X^2) \cong H^0(W, \Omega_W^1 \otimes g_*\omega_{X/W})$$

$$H^1(W, g_*(\Omega_X^1 \otimes \Omega_X^2)) \cong H^1(W, \Omega_W^1 \otimes \Omega_W^1 \otimes g_*\omega_{X/W}) \quad (2.5)$$

$$H^0(W, R^1g_*\Omega_X^1) \cong H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W) \oplus H^0(W, T) \quad (2.6)$$

$$H^0(W, R^1g_*(\Omega_X^1 \otimes \Omega_X^2)) \cong H^0(W, \Omega_W^1 \otimes g_*\omega_{X/W}) \oplus H^0(W, T \otimes \Omega_W^1 \otimes g_*\omega_{X/W}) \quad (2.7)$$

Here T is some torsion sheaf on W . By (2.5) and the assumption $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 2$ (and (2.2)), it follows that

$$H^1(W, g_*(\Omega_X^1 \otimes \Omega_X^2)) = H^1(W, \Omega_W^1 \otimes g_*\Omega_X^2) = H^0(W, (g_*\Omega_X^2)^{-1}) = 0.$$

Therefore, the cup-product μ_1 in (2.3) is automatically surjective. By (2.6) and (2.7), we can decompose the cup-product μ_2 in (2.4) into two maps:

$$\mu_2^1 : H^0(W, \Omega_W^1 \otimes g_*\omega_{X/W}) \otimes H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W) \rightarrow H^0(W, \Omega_W^1 \otimes g_*\omega_{X/W})$$

$$\mu_2^2 : H^0(W, \Omega_W^1 \otimes g_*\omega_{X/W}) \otimes H^0(W, T) \rightarrow H^0(W, T \otimes \Omega_W^1 \otimes g_*\omega_{X/W})$$

The surjectivity of μ_2^1 is obvious. And one can conclude immediately μ_2^2 is surjective once we know that $\Omega_W^1 \otimes g_*\omega_{X/W}$ has no base point, which follows from the next lemma. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.3. *If $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 2$ and g is non-isotrivial, then $|\Omega_W^1 \otimes g_*\omega_{X/W}|$ is base point free.*

Proof. First we note that

$$p_g(X) = h^0(X, \Omega_X^2) = h^0(W, g_*\Omega_X^2) = h^0(W, \Omega_W^1 \otimes g_*\omega_{X/W}).$$

By the Riemann-Roch theorem,

$$p_g(X) = h^1(W, \Omega_W^1 \otimes g_*\omega_{X/W}) + (1 - g(W)) + \deg(\Omega_W^1 \otimes g_*\omega_{X/W}).$$

Since $g : X \rightarrow W$ is non-isotrivial, it follows that

$$h^1(W, \Omega_W^1 \otimes g_*\omega_{X/W}) = h^0(W, (g_*\omega_{X/W})^{-1}) = 0.$$

By the assumption,

$$p_g(X) \geq q(X) + 1 = g(W) + 1.$$

Hence

$$\deg(\Omega_W^1 \otimes g_*\omega_{X/W}) \geq 2g(W).$$

By [Har77, Corollary 3.3], we conclude that $|\Omega_W^1 \otimes g_*\omega_{X/W}|$ is base point free. \square

3. TOPOLOGICAL HYPERBOLICITY

In this section, we are aimed to prove [Theorem A](#). First we observe that restricting the family of elliptic surfaces to any positive dimensional subvariety it still has maximal variation since the classifying map from B to the coarse moduli space is quasi-finite. So after replacing the original family by this restriction, we only need to show that $\pi_1(B)$ is infinite and nonabelian.

The proof combines Saito's infinitesimal Torelli theorem and Simpson's nonabelian Hodge theory. It should be emphasized that we use not only the weight two period mapping associated to the family of elliptic surfaces, but also the weight one period mapping associated to the family of curves induced by the relative Iitaka fibration. Oguiso-Viehweg's analysis on the singular locus of the elliptic surfaces is also crucial for our argument.

Proof of [Theorem A](#). The factorization of $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ by the Iitaka fibration gives a family of curves $h : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow B$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{W} \\ & \searrow f & \swarrow h \\ & & B \end{array}$$

The family h induces a classifying map, which is denoted by $\varphi_1 : B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g(\mathcal{W}_b)}$. If the image of φ_1 in $\mathcal{M}_{g(\mathcal{W}_b)}$ has positive dimension, then the family h gives a non-trivial weight-1 variation of Hodge structures on B . Hence $\pi_1(B)$ is infinite and non-abelian; since otherwise, there exists no non-trivial variation of Hodge structures on B by the Simpson's correspondence, which contradicts the non-triviality of the weight-1 variation of Hodge structures. Thus one can concentrate on the case of $\dim(\varphi_1(B)) = 0$. Since B is connected, we know that $\varphi_1(B)$ is just a point. So after étale base changing one can assume that $\mathcal{W} \cong B \times \mathcal{W}_b$.

Let $b \in B$ be a general point, and $g_b : \mathcal{X}_b \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_b$ the corresponding elliptic fibration. For simplicity we may omit the parameter b , and denote by $g : X \rightarrow W$ a general member in the family. We will divide the proof into the following two cases.

Case I: the elliptic fibration g is non-isotrivial.

Since $g : X \rightarrow W$ is non-isotrivial, one gets $p_g(X) \geq q(X)$ and thus $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = p_g(X) - q(X) + 1 > 0$. If $g(W) \geq 1$, one can do the étale base change of \mathcal{W} freely so that $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 2$, since $\mathcal{W} \cong B \times W$ with $g(W) \geq 1$; if $g(W) = 0$, then $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 3$ since X is of Kodaira dimension one. Thus Saito's infinitesimal Torelli [Theorem 2.1](#) holds. Let

$$\varphi_2 : B \rightarrow \mathcal{D}/\Gamma$$

be the period map induced by the family $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$. Then $\dim \varphi_2(B) > 0$; otherwise the weight-2 period map φ_2 is constant, which means that the infinitesimal period map $\delta = 0$, contradicting [Theorem 2.1](#). This shows $\pi_1(B)$ is infinite and non-abelian by Simpson's correspondence as above.

Case II: the elliptic fibration g is isotrivial.

First note that the fundamental group $\pi_1(B)$ is infinite and non-abelian if either φ_1 or φ_2 is a non-constant map. So we can concentrate on the case that both φ_1 and φ_2 are constant. To go further, we need a result due to Oguiso and Viehweg:

Proposition 3.1 ([OV01, Proposition 5.1]). *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow B$ be a smooth projective family of minimal elliptic surfaces with $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_b}) \geq 2$ and $\kappa(\mathcal{X}_b) = 1$ for all $b \in B$. Assume that the weight-2 period map φ_2 is constant. Let D be the discriminant locus in \mathcal{W} . Then D is étale over B , the restriction $g^{-1}(D) \rightarrow D$ is locally equi-singular.*

Since there is some slight difference between our situation and Oguiso-Viehweg's (the multiple locus are nonempty in their case), we shall sketch the proof of the proposition above. First we recall the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2 ([OV01, Lemma 5.2]). *Let $g : X \rightarrow W$ be a minimal elliptic surface of Kodaira dimension one with $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 2$. Define the numbers n_i, m_j and l_k as the number of reducible fibres, according to the following list:*

type	mI_i ($m \geq 1, i \geq 4$)	IV or mI_3	III or mI_2	I_j^*	II^*	III^*	IV^*
number of fibres	n_i	n_3	n_2	m_j	l_8	l_7	l_6
Euler number	i	4 or 3	3 or 2	$j + 6$	10	9	8

Let $N_b = \langle \alpha \in NS(\mathcal{X}_b); (\alpha, F) = 0 \text{ and } (\alpha, \alpha) = -2 \rangle / \mathbb{Q} \cdot F \cap NS(\mathcal{X}_b)$ be the root lattice. Here F is a general fibre of the elliptic fibration $g_b : \mathcal{X}_b \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_b$. Then

- i) N_b is generated by the classes of irreducible components of reducible fibres of g_b .
- ii) The numbers n_i, m_j and l_k are uniquely determined by N_b and by its decomposition

$$N_b \simeq \bigoplus_{i \geq 2} A_i^{\oplus n_i} \oplus \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} D_{j+4}^{\oplus m_j} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=6}^8 E_k^{\oplus l_k}$$

in indecomposable sublattices.

Proof of Proposotion 3.1. By the Leftschetz (1, 1)-Theorem,

$$NS(\mathcal{X}_b) = H^{1,1}(\mathcal{X}_b) \cap H^2(\mathcal{X}_b, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Thus the triviality of the variations of Hodge structures forces $NS(\mathcal{X}_b)$ to be a constant local system over B . So the root lattice N_b in Lemma 3.2, as well as its decomposition, is independent of $b \in B$. Since some different types of singular fibres may have the same Dynkin diagram, like type IV and mI_3 , type III and mI_2 in the table, one needs to distinguish those special types (in our situation the multiplicity m always equals to one). Recall that the elliptic surface $g : X \rightarrow W$ we are concerned about is iso-trivial. Since the semi-stable singular fibres are preserved under the base change, we know that type I_i singular fibres ($i \geq 2$) can never appear. Therefore, the constantness of the root lattice N_b is sufficient to guarantee that singular loci do *not* collide. \square

Come back to the proof of [Theorem A](#). After some étale base change we can assume that the discriminant locus of $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ are sections of $\mathcal{W} \cong B \times W \rightarrow B$, denoted by $\{D_i\}$. Each D_i induces a morphism

$$s_i : B \longrightarrow W.$$

We first claim that at least one of those s_i 's is non-constant. Indeed, suppose all the sections are constant. Then each elliptic surface $g_b : \mathcal{X}_b \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_b = W$ in our family admits the same discriminant locus over a fixed curve W , which is impossible.

If $W = \mathbb{P}^1$, then we know that each elliptic surface \mathcal{X}_b has at least three singular fibers since it is of Kodaira dimension one. Take an isomorphism

$$\eta : B \times W \rightarrow B \times W, \quad (b, w) \mapsto \left(b, \frac{w - s_1(b)}{w - s_2(b)} \cdot \frac{s_3(b) - s_2(b)}{s_3(b) - s_1(b)} \right).$$

Then one sees that s_1, s_2 and s_3 become constant; in fact,

$$s_1(B) = 0, \quad s_2(B) = \infty, \quad s_3(B) = 1.$$

According to the above arguments, there exists at least one section, denoted by s_4 , such that s_4 is a non-constant morphism from the base B to $W = \mathbb{P}^1$. Moreover, since those sections s_i 's are disjoint, it follows that

$$s_4(B) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}.$$

This implies in particular that $\pi_1(B)$ is infinite and non-abelian as required.

If $g(W) = 1$, one can take an isomorphism

$$\eta : B \times W \rightarrow B \times W, \quad (b, w) \mapsto (b, w \cdot s_1(b)^{-1}),$$

where ‘ \cdot ’ is the multiplication for the group structure on W . Similar to the above case, one finds at least one section, denoted by s_2 , such that s_2 is a non-constant morphism from B to W and that $s_2(B) \subseteq W \setminus \{s_1(B) = O\}$, which implies also that $\pi_1(B)$ is infinite and non-abelian.

Finally if $g(W) \geq 2$, then there exists at least one section, denoted by s_1 , such that s_1 is a non-constant morphism from B to W . Since W is of genus ≥ 2 , $\pi_1(B)$ is infinite and non-abelian. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [Ara71] S. Ju. Arakelov, *Families of algebraic curves with fixed degeneracies*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **35** (1971), 1269–1293. MR 0321933 \uparrow [1](#)
- [BD19] Damian Brotbek and Ya Deng, *Kobayashi hyperbolicity of the complements of general hypersurfaces of high degree*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **29** (2019), no. 3, 690–750. MR 3962877 \uparrow [2](#)
- [Cha80] Kenneth N. Chakiris, *Counterexamples to global Torelli for certain simply connected surfaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **2** (1980), no. 2, 297–299. MR 555265 \uparrow [3](#)
- [Gro61] A. Grothendieck, *éléments de géométrie algébrique. III. étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents. I*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1961), no. 11, 167. MR 0163910 \uparrow [3](#)
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. MR 0463157 \uparrow [4](#)
- [Kiř78] K. I. Kiř, *The local Torelli theorem for manifolds with a divisible canonical class*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **42** (1978), no. 1, 56–69, 214. MR 0499325 \uparrow [3](#)

- [Klo04] Remke Kloosterman, *Extremal elliptic surfaces and infinitesimal Torelli*, Michigan Math. J. **52** (2004), no. 1, 141–161. MR 2043402 ↑ 3
- [Kob98] Shoshichi Kobayashi, *Hyperbolic complex spaces*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 318, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. MR 1635983 ↑ 1
- [Kov03] Sándor J. Kovács, *Families of varieties of general type: the Shafarevich conjecture and related problems*, Higher dimensional varieties and rational points (Budapest, 2001), Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., vol. 12, Springer, Berlin, 2003, pp. 133–167. MR 2011746 ↑ 2
- [Kov09] ———, *Subvarieties of moduli stacks of canonically polarized varieties: generalizations of Shafarevich’s conjecture*, Algebraic geometry—Seattle 2005. Part 2, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 80, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 685–709. MR 2483952 ↑ 2
- [Lan86] Serge Lang, *Hyperbolic and Diophantine analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **14** (1986), no. 2, 159–205. MR 828820 ↑ 2
- [Mil68] J. Milnor, *A note on curvature and fundamental group*, J. Differential Geometry **2** (1968), 1–7. MR 232311 ↑ 2
- [MVZ06] Martin Möller, Eckart Viehweg, and Kang Zuo, *Special families of curves, of abelian varieties, and of certain minimal manifolds over curves*, Global aspects of complex geometry, Springer, Berlin, 2006, pp. 417–450. MR 2264111 ↑ 2
- [Nor83] Madhav V. Nori, *Zariski’s conjecture and related problems*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **16** (1983), no. 2, 305–344. MR 732347 ↑ 2
- [OV01] Keiji Oguiso and Eckart Viehweg, *On the isotriviality of families of elliptic surfaces*, J. Algebraic Geom. **10** (2001), no. 3, 569–598. MR 1832333 ↑ 3, 6
- [Ps68] A. N. Paršin, *Algebraic curves over function fields. I*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **32** (1968), 1191–1219. MR 0257086 ↑ 1
- [Sai83] Masa-Hiko Saitō, *On the infinitesimal Torelli problem of elliptic surfaces*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **23** (1983), no. 3, 441–460. MR 721378 ↑ 3
- [Siu02] Yum-Tong Siu, *Some recent transcendental techniques in algebraic and complex geometry*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I (Beijing, 2002), Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002, pp. 439–448. MR 1989197 ↑ 2
- [SY96] Yum-Tong Siu and Sai-kee Yeung, *Hyperbolicity of the complement of a generic smooth curve of high degree in the complex projective plane*, Invent. Math. **124** (1996), no. 1-3, 573–618. MR 1369429 ↑ 2
- [TY15] Wing-Keung To and Sai-kee Yeung, *Finsler metrics and Kobayashi hyperbolicity of the moduli spaces of canonically polarized manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **181** (2015), no. 2, 547–586. MR 3275846 ↑ 2
- [Vie01] Eckart Viehweg, *Positivity of direct image sheaves and applications to families of higher dimensional manifolds*, School on Vanishing Theorems and Effective Results in Algebraic Geometry (Trieste, 2000), ICTP Lect. Notes, vol. 6, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2001, pp. 249–284. MR 1919460 ↑ 2
- [VZ03] Eckart Viehweg and Kang Zuo, *On the Brody hyperbolicity of moduli spaces for canonically polarized manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **118** (2003), no. 1, 103–150. MR 1978884 ↑ 2

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHANGHAI KEY LABORATORY OF PMMP, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, DONGCHUAN RD 500, SHANGHAI 200241, P. R. OF CHINA

Email address: xlv@math.ecnu.edu.cn

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ, MAINZ, GERMANY, 55099

Email address: ruirasun@uni-mainz.de

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ, MAINZ, GERMANY, 55099

Email address: zuok@uni-mainz.de