

TORUS QUOTIENTS OF SCHUBERT VARIETIES IN THE GRASSMANNIAN $G_{2,n}$

S. SENTHAMARAI KANNAN, ARPITA NAYEK, AND PINAKINATH SAHA

ABSTRACT. Let $G = SL(n, \mathbb{C})$, and T be a maximal torus of G , where n is a positive even integer. In this article, we study the GIT quotients of the Schubert varieties in the Grassmannian $G_{2,n}$. We prove that the GIT quotients of the Richardson varieties in the minimal dimensional Schubert variety admitting stable points in $G_{2,n}$ are projective spaces (see Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.6). Further, we prove that the GIT quotients of certain Richardson varieties in $G_{2,n}$ are projective toric varieties. Also, we prove that the GIT quotients of the Schubert varieties in $G_{2,n}$ have at most finite set of singular points. Further, we have computed the exact number of singular points of the GIT quotient of $G_{2,n}$.

Key words: Line bundle, Grassmannian, Schubert variety, Semistable points, GIT-quotient

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $G = SL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Let T be a maximal torus of G , and R be the set of roots with respect to T . Let R^+ be a set of positive roots. Let B (respectively, B^-) be the Borel subgroup of G containing T , corresponding to R^+ (respectively, $-R^+$). Let $S = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$ denote the set of simple roots in R^+ . Let P^{α_r} denote the maximal parabolic subgroup of G corresponding to the set $S \setminus \{\alpha_r\}$, for $1 \leq r \leq n-1$. Then G/P^{α_r} is the Grassmannian parameterizing r -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{C}^n . We denote it by $G_{r,n}$.

For the action of T on the Grassmannian $G_{r,n}$, the GIT quotients have been studied extensively. In [13], Hausmann and Knutson identified the GIT quotient of the Grassmannian $G_{2,n}$ by T with the moduli space of polygons in \mathbb{R}^3 . Also, they showed that GIT quotient of $G_{2,n}$ by T can be realized as the GIT quotient of an n -fold product of projective lines by the diagonal action of $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. In the symplectic geometry literature, these spaces are known as polygon spaces as they parameterize the n -sides polygons in \mathbb{R}^3 with fixed edge length up to rotation. More generally, GIT quotient of $G_{r,n}$ by T can be identified with the GIT quotient of $(\mathbb{P}^{r-1})^n$ by the diagonal action of $PSL(r, \mathbb{C})$ called the Gel'fand-Macpherson correspondence. In [22], [23], Kapranov studied the Chow quotient of the Grassmannians and showed that Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ of stable n -pointed curves of genus zero arises as the Chow quotient of T action on the Grassmannian $G_{2,n}$.

For a parabolic subgroup Q of G , Howard [12] considered the problem of determining which line bundles on G/Q descend to ample line bundles of the GIT quotient of G/Q by T . Howard showed that when $\mathcal{L}(\chi)$ is a very ample line bundle on G/Q (so the character χ of T extends to Q and to no larger subgroup of G) and $H^0(G/Q, \mathcal{L}(\chi))^T$ is non-zero, the line bundle descends to the quotient [12]. He extended the results to the case when the T -linearization of $\mathcal{L}(\chi)$ is twisted by ψ , a character of T . He proved that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\chi)$ twisted by ψ descends to the GIT quotient provided the ψ -weight space of $H^0(G/Q, \mathcal{L}(\chi))$ is non-zero and this is so when

$\chi - \psi$ is in the root lattice and ψ is in the convex hull of the Weyl group orbit of χ . This was extended to any simple algebraic group by Kumar [25].

In [31], Skorobogatov gave a combinatorial description using Hilbert-Mumford criterion, when a point in $G_{r,n}$ is semistable with respect to the T -linearized line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\omega_r)$. As a corollary he showed that when r and n are coprime semistability is the same as stability. Independently, in [17] and [18], first named author gave a description of parabolic subgroups Q of a simple algebraic group G for which there exists an ample line bundle \mathcal{L} on G/Q such that $(G/Q)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L})$ is the same as $(G/Q)_T^s(\mathcal{L})$. In particular, in the case when $G = SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $Q = P^{\alpha_r}$, first named author showed that $(G_{r,n})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L})$ is the same as $(G_{r,n})_T^s(\mathcal{L})$ if and only if r and n are co-prime.

In [21], first named author and Sardar studied torus quotient of Schubert varieties in $G_{r,n}$. They showed that $G_{r,n}$ has a unique minimal dimensional Schubert variety $X(w)$ admitting semistable points with respect to the T -linearized bundle $\mathcal{L}(\omega_r)$, and gave a combinatorial characterization of the Weyl group element w . In [20], first named author and Pattanayak extended the results to the case when G is of type B, C , or D and when P is a maximal parabolic subgroup of G .

In [17], there was an attempt to study the projective normality of $T \backslash \backslash (G_{2,n})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(n\omega_2))$ (n is odd) with respect to the descent of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\omega_2)$. There it was proved that the homogeneous coordinate ring of $T \backslash \backslash G_{2,n}$ is a finite module over the subring generated by the degree one elements. In [14], Howard et al. showed that $T \backslash \backslash (G_{2,n})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$ is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)$ for n is even, and $T \backslash \backslash (G_{2,n})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(n\omega_2))$ is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(n\omega_2)$ for n is odd. In [4], the authors use combinatorial method to show that $T \backslash \backslash (G_{2,n})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(n\omega_2))$ is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(n\omega_2)$ for n is odd. In [29], the authors use graph theoretic technique to give a short proof of the projective normality of $T \backslash \backslash (G_{2,n})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(n\omega_2))$ for general n .

In [15], the authors studied the generators and relations for the GIT quotient of the Grassmannian $G_{2,n}$, n is even, with respect to the action of T using Gel'fand-Macpherson correspondence and they prove that the relations between the generators are quadratic except $n = 6$. In this article, we study the GIT quotients of Schubert varieties in $G_{2,n}$ for n even. We study the generators and relations of the homogeneous coordinate rings of the GIT quotients of the Schubert varieties in $G_{2,6}, G_{2,8}, G_{2,10}$ with respect to the action of T . We use different method to give the explicit relations between them.

Using Gel'fand-Macpherson correspondence, it is well-known that the GIT quotient of $G_{2,n}$ with respect to T has finite number of singular points when n is even (see [27, Example 8.8, p.158-159]). In this article, we study the singularities of the GIT quotients of the Schubert varieties in $G_{2,n}$. We prove that they have at most finite number of singular points. Furthermore, using different method we show that the GIT quotient of $G_{2,n}$ has precisely half of the number of elements in $W^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}\}}$ singular points.

The layout of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall some preliminaries on Algebraic groups, Lie algebras, Standard Monomial Theory, and Geometric Invariant Theory. In Section 3, we prove that a Schubert variety $X(w)$ in G/P admits stable point if and only if $w(a\chi) < 0$ where χ is a dominant character of P such that $a\chi$ is in the root lattice (see Lemma 3.1). By using this crucial lemma we find out the minimal dimensional Schubert variety admitting stable points in $G_{2,n}$ for n even. Further, we prove that the GIT quotient of the minimal dimensional

Schubert variety admitting stable points in $G_{2,n}$ is the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ (see Proposition 3.5). Furthermore, we prove that the GIT quotients of the Richardson varieties in the minimal dimensional Schubert variety admitting stable point are projective spaces (see Proposition 3.6) and the GIT quotients of certain Richardson varieties are toric varieties (see Corollary 3.12). In Section 4, we study the structure of the GIT quotient of $G_{2,6}$. In Section 5, and Section 6, we study the structure of the GIT quotient of $X(6,8)$ in $G_{2,8}$ and $X(7,10)$ in $G_{2,10}$ respectively. In Section 7, we prove that the GIT quotient of a Schubert variety $X(w)$ in $G_{2,n}$ has at most finite quotient singularities. Furthermore, we have shown that the GIT quotient of $G_{2,n}$ has precisely half of the number of elements in $W^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}\}}$ singular points (see Corollary 7.10).

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we set up some notation and preliminaries. We refer to [9], [10], [16], [27], [28], [26] for preliminaries in Algebraic groups, Lie algebras, Standard Monomial Theory, and Geometric Invariant Theory.

Let G, B, B^-, R, R^+, S, T , and W be as in the introduction. The simple reflection in W corresponding to α_i is denoted by s_i . For a subset I of S , we denote the parabolic subgroup of G generated by B and $\{n_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ by P_I , where n_α is a representative of s_α in $N_G(T)$. We denote $P_{S \setminus I}$ by P^I . In particular, $P_{S \setminus \{\alpha_r\}}$ is denoted by P^{α_r} . Note that all the standard maximal parabolic subgroups of G are of the form P^{α_r} for some $1 \leq r \leq n-1$. Let W_{P_I} be the subgroup of W generated by $\{s_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$. We note that W_{P_I} is the Weyl group of P_I . For $I \subseteq S$, $W^{P_I} = \{w \in W | w(\alpha) \in R^+, \text{ for all } \alpha \in I\}$ is the set of minimal coset representatives of elements of W/W_{P_I} . Further, there is a natural order W^{P_I} , namely the restriction of the Bruhat order on W . Let $I(r, n) = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) : 1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_r \leq n\}$. There is a natural order on $I(r, n)$, given by $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) \leq (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r)$ if and only if $a_i \leq b_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then there is a natural order preserving identification of $W^{P^{\alpha_r}}$ with $I(r, n)$ the correspondence is given by $w \in W^{P^{\alpha_r}}$ mapping to $(w(1), w(2), \dots, w(r))$.

Let $V = \mathbb{C}^n$. Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ be the standard basis of V . Let V_r be the subspace of V spanned by $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r\}$. Let $G_{r,n}$ be the set of all r -dimensional subspaces of V . Then there is a natural projective variety structure on $G_{r,n}$ given by the Plücker embedding $\pi : G_{r,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\wedge^r V)$ sending r -dimensional subspace to its r -th exterior power. Furthermore, there is a G -equivariant isomorphism from G/P^{α_r} to $G_{r,n}$, sending gP^{α_r} to gV_r . For the natural action of T on G/P^{α_r} , the T -fixed points of G/P^{α_r} are identified with $W^{P^{\alpha_r}}$, sending w to wP^{α_r} . For $w \in W^{P^{\alpha_r}}$, the closure of the B -orbit passing through wP^{α_r} in G/P^{α_r} has a natural structure of a projective variety called Schubert variety associated to w , denoted by $X(w)$. Let $e_{\underline{i}} = e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_r}$, for $\underline{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r) \in I(r, n)$. Then $\{e_{\underline{i}} : \underline{i} \in I(r, n)\}$ forms a basis of $\wedge^r V$. Let $\{p_{\underline{i}} : \underline{i} \in I(r, n)\}$ be the dual basis of the basis $\{e_{\underline{i}} : \underline{i} \in I(r, n)\}$. Then $p_{\underline{i}}$'s are called the Plücker coordinates. Note that $p_{\underline{i}}|_{X(w)} \neq 0$ if and only if $\underline{i} \leq w$. The monomials $p_{\tau_1} p_{\tau_2} \dots p_{\tau_m} \in H^0(X(w), \mathcal{L}(\omega_r)^{\otimes m})$, where $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_m \in I_{r,n}$ are said to be standard monomial of degree m if $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \leq \dots \leq \tau_m \leq w$. The standard monomials of degree m on $X(w)$ form a basis of $H^0(X(w), \mathcal{L}(\omega_r)^{\otimes m})$ (see [26, Theorem 4.5.0.5, p. 43]).

Let $v, w \in W^{P^{\alpha_r}}$ and let $X^v := \overline{B^- v P^{\alpha_r}} / P^{\alpha_r}$ be the opposite Schubert variety in G/P^{α_r} corresponding to v . Then the intersection of X_w and X^v in G/P^{α_r} with a reduced variety structure is called a Richardson variety in G/P^{α_r} and it is denoted by X_w^v . Richardson varieties are T -stable irreducible varieties. Further, X_w^v is non empty if and only if $v \leq w$ (see [2, Lemma 1, p.655]).

Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G . Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of T and \mathfrak{b} be the Lie algebra of B . Let $X(T)$ (respectively, $Y(T)$) denote the group of all characters (respectively, one-parameter subgroups) of T . Let $E_1 := X(T) \otimes \mathbb{R}$, $E_2 := Y(T) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the canonical non-degenerate bilinear form. Let $\bar{C} := \{\lambda \in E_2 : \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle \geq 0, \text{ for all } \alpha \in R^+\}$. Note that for each $\alpha \in R$, there is a homomorphism $\phi_\alpha : SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow G$, we have $\check{\alpha} : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ defined by $\check{\alpha}(t) = \phi_\alpha \left(\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right)$. We also have $s_\alpha(\chi) = \chi - \langle \chi, \check{\alpha} \rangle \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in R$ and $\chi \in E_1$. Let $\{\omega_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1\} \subset E_1$ be the fundamental weights; i.e. $\langle \omega_i, \check{\alpha}_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ for all $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. There is a natural partial order \leq on $X(T)$ defined by $\psi \leq \chi$ if and only if $\chi - \psi$ is a non-negative integral linear combination of simple roots.

Let \mathcal{L} be a T -linearized ample line bundle on G/P . We also denote the restriction of the line bundle \mathcal{L} on $X(w)$ by \mathcal{L} . A point $p \in X(w)$ is said to be a semistable with respect to the T -linearized line bundle \mathcal{L} if there is a T -invariant section $s \in H^0(X(w), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})$ for some positive integer m such that $s(p) \neq 0$. We denote the set of all semistable points of $X(w)$ with respect to \mathcal{L} by $X(w)_{T}^{ss}(\mathcal{L})$. A point p in $X(w)_{T}^{ss}(\mathcal{L})$ is said to be a stable point if T -orbit of p is closed in $X(w)_{T}^{ss}(\mathcal{L})$ and stabilizer of p in T is finite. We denote the set of all stable points of $X(w)$ with respect to \mathcal{L} by $X(w)_{T}^s(\mathcal{L})$.

Now we recall the definition of projective normality of a projective variety. Let X be a projective variety in \mathbb{P}^m . We denote by \hat{X} the affine cone of X . X is said to be projectively normal if \hat{X} is normal. For a reference, see exercise 3.18, page. 23 of [11]. For the practice purpose we need the following fact about projective normality of a polarized variety.

A polarized variety (X, \mathcal{L}) where \mathcal{L} is a very-ample line bundle is said to be projectively normal if its homogeneous coordinate ring $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k})$ is integrally closed and is generated as a \mathbb{C} -algebra by $H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ (see exercise 5.14, chapter II of [11]).

3. GIT QUOTIENT OF THE MINIMAL DIMENSIONAL SCHUBERT VARIETY ADMITTING STABLE POINTS

In this section, we prove a crucial lemma on the existence of stable points of a Schubert variety $X(w)$ in G/P .

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a simple algebraic group of rank n . Let χ be a non-trivial dominant character of T . Let $\chi = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \omega_i$. Let $I = \{\alpha_i \in S : m_i \geq 1\}$. Let $P = P^I$. Let $a \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $a\chi$ is in root lattice. Let $w \in W^P$. Then $w(a\chi) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \alpha_i$ with $a_i \leq -1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ if and only if $X(w)_{T}^s(\mathcal{L}(\chi)) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let Z be the union of the W -translates of $X(v)$, $v \in W^P$ with $v \not\geq w$. Since $X(w)$ is irreducible, by using the argument of [20, Lemma 2.1, p. 470] we have $X(w) \not\subset Z$. Let $x \in X(w) \setminus Z$. By construction for any $\tau \in W$, $\tau x \in BvP/P$ implies $v \geq w$.

Now, let λ be a nontrivial one parameter subgroup of T . Choose $\tau \in W$ such that $\tau(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \lambda_i$ with each $c_i \geq 0$ and there is an $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$ such that $c_{i_0} \geq 1$.

By the above discussion, $\tau x \in BvP/P$ for some $v \in W^P$ such that $v \geq w$.

Hence, by [30, Lemma 5.1, p.534]

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{\mathcal{L}(a\chi)}(x, \lambda) &= \mu^{\mathcal{L}(a\chi)}(\tau x, \tau \lambda) = -\langle v(a\chi), \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \lambda_i \rangle \geq -\langle w(a\chi), \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \lambda_i \rangle = -\langle \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \alpha_i, \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \lambda_i \rangle \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^n a_i c_i \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Therefore, by Hilbert-Mumford criterion, we have $x \in X(w)_T^s(\mathcal{L}(\chi))$.

Conversely, suppose $X(w)_T^s(\mathcal{L}(a\chi)) \neq \emptyset$.

Then $X(w)_T^s(\mathcal{L}(a\chi)) \cap BwP/P \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in X(w)_T^s(\mathcal{L}(a\chi)) \cap BwP/P$. Let λ be a non-trivial one parameter subgroup of T . Since x is stable point, by using Hilbert-Mumford criterion we have $\mu^{\mathcal{L}(a\chi)}(x, \lambda) > 0$. In particular, $\mu^{\mathcal{L}(a\chi)}(x, \lambda_i) > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $x \in BwP/P$ and λ_i 's are fundamental one parameter subgroups of T , by [30, Lemma 5.1, p.534] we have $\mu^{\mathcal{L}(a\chi)}(x, \lambda_i) = -\langle w(a\chi), \lambda_i \rangle > 0$. That is $-\langle \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \alpha_j, \lambda_i \rangle > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore, $a_i \leq -1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. \square

We use Lemma 3.1 to find out the minimal dimensional Schubert variety admitting stable points in $G_{2,n}$ for n even, and study its GIT quotient. To proceed further, we recall the following theorem as it is in [14]. The authors consider the space of weighted points on \mathbb{P}^n . Let the i -th point be weighted by a_i , and let $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n$ (the weight vector). The weights can be interpreted as parameterizing the very ample line bundles of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$. Let $R_{\underline{a}}$ be the homogeneous coordinate ring of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n // PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ given by the descent of the very-ample line bundle corresponding to \underline{a} .

Theorem 3.2 ([14], Theorem 2.3, p. 182). *The lowest degree invariants generate the coordinate ring $R_{\underline{a}}$.*

Corollary 3.3. *The GIT quotient $T \backslash \backslash (G_{2,n})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$ is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)$.*

Proof. By Gel'fand-MacPherson correspondence there is an isomorphism of $((\mathbb{P}^1)^n // PSL(2, \mathbb{C}), \mathcal{L}(1, 1, \dots, 1))$ with $(T \backslash \backslash G_{2,n}, \mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$ as a polarized variety. Therefore, by Theorem 3.2, corollary follows. \square

Corollary 3.4. *The GIT quotients of Richardson varieties in $G_{2,n}$ by T are projectively normal with respect to the descent of the line bundles $\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)$.*

Proof. Let $v, w \in W^{P^{\alpha_2}}$ be such that $v \leq w$. By [2, Lemma 5, Proposition 1, p. 658] the restriction map $\phi : H^0(G_{2,n}, \mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)^{\otimes d}) \rightarrow H^0(X_w^v, \mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)^{\otimes d})$ is surjective. Further, since T is linearly reductive, the restriction map $\phi : H^0(G_{2,n}, \mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)^{\otimes d})^T \rightarrow H^0(X_w^v, \mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)^{\otimes d})^T$ is surjective. So, by Corollary 3.3, $\bigoplus_{d \geq 0} H^0(X_w^v, \mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)^{\otimes d})^T$ is generated by $H^0(X_w^v, \mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))^T$.

Hence, $T \backslash \backslash (X_w^v)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$ is projectively normal. \square

3.1. Minimal dimensional Schubert variety admitting stable points. Let $w_{\min}^{ss} = (s_{\frac{n}{2}-1} \dots s_1)(s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \dots s_2) = (\frac{n}{2}, n)$. Then w_{\min}^{ss} is a unique minimal element in $W^{S \setminus \{\alpha_2\}}$

such that $w_{\min}^{ss}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2) \leq 0$. Therefore, by [20, Lemma 2.1, p. 470], $X(w_{\min}^{ss})$ is the minimal dimensional Schubert variety in $G_{2,n}$ admitting semistable points. Note that $\prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} p_{k, \frac{n}{2}+k}$ is the unique standard monomial in $H^0(X(w_{\min}^{ss}), \mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))^T$. Therefore, by Corollary 3.4, $T \setminus \setminus (X(w_{\min}^{ss})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)))$ is a point.

Let $w_{\min}^s = (s_{\frac{n}{2}} s_{\frac{n}{2}-1} \dots s_1)(s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \dots s_2) = (\frac{n}{2} + 1, n)$. Note that $l(w_{\min}^s) = \frac{n}{2} - 2 + n$. Then w_{\min}^s is a unique minimal element in $W^{S \setminus \{\alpha_2\}}$ such that $w_{\min}^s(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2) < 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1, $X(w_{\min}^s)$ is the minimal dimensional Schubert variety in $G_{2,n}$ admitting stable points. Note that the standard monomials in $H^0(X(w_{\min}^s), \mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))^T$ are $X_t = p_{1,t} \prod_{k=2}^{t-1} p_{k, \frac{n}{2}+k} \prod_{k=t}^{\frac{n}{2}} p_{k+1, \frac{n}{2}+k}$, for all $2 \leq t \leq \frac{n}{2} + 1$.

Proposition 3.5. *The GIT quotient $T \setminus \setminus (X(w_{\min}^s)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$.*

Proof. Let $R = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} R_d$, where $R_d = H^0(X(w_{\min}^s), \mathcal{L}(\frac{dn}{2}\omega_2))^T$. Then $T \setminus \setminus (X(w_{\min}^s)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))) = \text{Proj}(R)$. By Corollary 3.4, R is generated as a \mathbb{C} -algebra by X_t 's, where $2 \leq t \leq \frac{n}{2} + 1$. On the other hand, since $X(w_{\min}^s)$ admits stable points, we have $\dim(T \setminus \setminus X(w_{\min}^s)) = \frac{n}{2} - 1$. Therefore, R is the polynomial algebra generated by X_t 's, where $2 \leq t \leq \frac{n}{2} + 1$. Hence, $\text{Proj}(R) \cong \mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$. \square

3.2. Torus quotient of Richardson varieties. Next we generalize Proposition 3.5 to the Richardson varieties in $X(w_{\min}^s)$. We prove the following

Proposition 3.6. *Let $v_k = s_k s_{k-1} \dots s_2$ for all $2 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}$. Then the GIT quotient $T \setminus \setminus (X_{w_{\min}^s}^{v_k})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(n\omega_2))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}-k}$.*

Proof. Let $R_{v_k, w} = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} R_d$, where $R_d = H^0(X_{w_{\min}^s}^{v_k}, \mathcal{L}(\frac{dn}{2}\omega_2))^T$. Then $T \setminus \setminus (X_{w_{\min}^s}^{v_k})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)) = \text{Proj}(R_{v_k, w})$. Note that X_t 's forms a basis of R_1 where $k+1 \leq t \leq \frac{n}{2} + 1$. Further, we observe that $\prod_{t=k+1}^{\frac{n}{2}+1} X_t^{a_t}$'s are standard monomials for all non-negative integers a_t where $k+1 \leq t \leq \frac{n}{2} + 1$. By Corollary 3.4, $R_{v_k, w}$ is generated by X_t 's, where $k+1 \leq t \leq \frac{n}{2} + 1$ as a \mathbb{C} -algebra. Therefore, $R_{v_k, w}$ is the polynomial algebra generated by X_t 's, where $k+1 \leq t \leq \frac{n}{2} + 1$. Hence, $\text{Proj}(R_{v_k, w})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}-k}$. \square

Let $w = (s_{\frac{n}{2}+1} \dots s_1)(s_{n-1} \dots s_2)$ and $v_k = s_k s_{k-1} \dots s_2$ for all $2 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$. Then in the one line notation we have $w = (\frac{n}{2} + 2, n)$ and $v_k = (1, k+1)$ for all $2 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$.

Let $Y_{i,j} = p_{1,i} p_{2,j} (\prod_{l=3}^{i-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l}) (\prod_{l=i+1}^{j-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-1}) (\prod_{l=j+1}^{\frac{n}{2}+2} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-2})$ for all $k+1 \leq i < j \leq \frac{n}{2} + 2$.

Let $R_{v_k, w} = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} R_d$ where $R_d = H^0(X_w^{v_k}, \mathcal{L}(\frac{dn}{2}\omega_2))^T$ for all $2 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$. Then we have

Lemma 3.7. *The standard monomials of R_1 are in the form of $Y_{i,j}$ where $k+1 \leq i < j \leq \frac{n}{2} + 2$.*

Proof. Any standard Young tableau associated to standard monomials in R_1 has $\frac{n}{2}$ rows and 2 columns with strictly increasing rows and non-decreasing columns. Let Row_i denotes the

i -th row of the tableau for all $1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2}$ and $E_{i,j}$ be the (i,j) -th entry of the tableau. Since $Row_{\frac{n}{2}} \leq (\frac{n}{2} + 2, n)$, we have $E_{\frac{n}{2},1} \leq \frac{n}{2} + 2$. Hence, all the integers between $\frac{n}{2} + 3$ and n appears in the second column. Hence, $E_{i,2} = \frac{n}{2} + i$ for all $3 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2}$. Further, since $Row_1 \geq (1, k+1)$, we have $E_{1,2} \geq k+1$. Hence, all the integers between 1 and k appears in the first column. Hence, $E_{i,1} = i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Hence, $k+1 \leq E_{1,2} < E_{2,2} \leq \frac{n}{2} + 2$. So, the standard monomials in R_1 are of the form $Y_{i,j}$. \square

Next our goal is to study the structure of the GIT quotient of $T \backslash \backslash (X_w^{v_k})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$ for all $2 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$. First we consider $T \backslash \backslash (X_w^{v_k})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$ for $k = \frac{n}{2} - 1$. Then we have

Lemma 3.8. *The GIT quotient $T \backslash \backslash (X_w^{v_{\frac{n}{2}-1}})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 .*

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, the standard monomials of R_1 are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad Y_{\frac{n}{2}+1, \frac{n}{2}+2} &= \prod_{l=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l}; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad Y_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+2} &= p_{1, \frac{n}{2}} \left(\prod_{l=2}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l} \right) p_{\frac{n}{2}+1, n}; \\ \text{(iii)} \quad Y_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+1} &= p_{1, \frac{n}{2}} p_{2, \frac{n}{2}+1} \left(\prod_{l=3}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l} \right) p_{\frac{n}{2}+2, n}. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that $Y_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+1}^a Y_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+2}^b Y_{\frac{n}{2}+1, \frac{n}{2}+2}^c$'s are standard monomials for all non-negative integers a, b , and c . By Corollary 3.4, the homogeneous coordinate ring of $T \backslash \backslash (X_w^{v_{\frac{n}{2}-1}})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$ is generated by $Y_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+1}, Y_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+2}$ and $Y_{\frac{n}{2}+1, \frac{n}{2}+2}$. Therefore, $T \backslash \backslash (X_w^{v_{\frac{n}{2}-1}})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 . \square

Next we consider $T \backslash \backslash (X_w^{v_k})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$ for all $2 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2} - 2$.

Lemma 3.9. *The following relations hold in R_2 .*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad Y_{i,j} Y_{m,s} &= Y_{i,m} Y_{j,s}; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad Y_{i,m} Y_{j,s} &= Y_{i,s} Y_{j,m}; \end{aligned}$$

for all $k+1 \leq i < j < m < s \leq \frac{n}{2} + 2$.

Proof. Proof of (i):

Recall that

$$Y_{i,j} = p_{1,i} p_{2,j} \left(\prod_{l=3}^{i-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l} \right) \left(\prod_{l=i+1}^{j-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-1} \right) \left(\prod_{l=j+1}^{\frac{n}{2}+2} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-2} \right) \text{ and}$$

$$Y_{m,s} = p_{1,m} p_{2,s} \left(\prod_{l=3}^{m-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l} \right) \left(\prod_{l=m+1}^{s-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-1} \right) \left(\prod_{l=s+1}^{\frac{n}{2}+2} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-2} \right).$$

Since $i < j < m < s$, we write

$$Y_{i,j} = p_{1,i} p_{2,j} \left(\prod_{l=3}^{i-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l} \right) \left(\prod_{l=i+1}^{j-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-1} \right) \left(\prod_{l=j+1}^m p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-2} \right) \left(\prod_{l=m+1}^{\frac{n}{2}+2} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-2} \right) \text{ and}$$

$$Y_{m,s} = p_{1,m}p_{2,s} \left(\prod_{l=3}^{j-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l} \right) \left(\prod_{l=j}^{m-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l} \right) \left(\prod_{l=m+1}^{s-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-1} \right) \left(\prod_{l=s+1}^{\frac{n}{2}+2} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-2} \right).$$

Note that since $i < j < m < s$, $Y_{i,j}Y_{m,s}$ is not standard. We apply the straightening law repeatedly on the nonstandard factors of $Y_{i,j}Y_{m,s}$ to express $Y_{i,j}Y_{m,s}$ as linear combination of standard monomials. Further, since $i < j < m < s$, non comparable factors of $Y_{i,j}Y_{m,s}$ are $p_{1,m}p_{2,j}$, $\left(\prod_{l=j+1}^m p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-2} \right) \left(\prod_{l=j}^{m-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l} \right)$.

By using straightening law we replace $p_{1,m}p_{2,j}$ by $p_{1,j}p_{2,m} - p_{1,2}p_{j,m}$. Since we are working with $X_w^{v_k}$ where $2 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2} - 2$, we have $v_k = (1, k+1) \geq (1, 3)$. Therefore, we have $p_{12} = 0$ identically on $X_w^{v_k}$.

$$\text{On the other hand, we note that } \left(\prod_{l=j+1}^m p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-2} \right) \left(\prod_{l=j}^{m-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l} \right) = \prod_{l=j}^{m-1} p_{l+1, \frac{n}{2}+l-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l}.$$

By using straightening law we replace $p_{l+1, \frac{n}{2}+l-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l}$ by $p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-1} p_{l+1, \frac{n}{2}+l} - p_{l, l+1} p_{\frac{n}{2}+l-1, \frac{n}{2}+l}$ for all $j \leq l \leq m-1$.

Since $k+1 \leq i < j < m < s$, and $k \geq 2$, we have $\frac{n}{2} + l - 1 \geq \frac{n}{2} + j - 1 \geq \frac{n}{2} + 3$ for all $j \leq l \leq m-1$. Thus, $p_{l, l+1} p_{\frac{n}{2}+l-1, \frac{n}{2}+l} = 0$ identically on $X_w^{v_k}$.

Therefore, after replacing $p_{1,m}p_{2,j}$ (respectively, $p_{l+1, \frac{n}{2}+l-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l}$ for all $j \leq l \leq m-1$) in $Y_{i,j}Y_{m,s}$ by $p_{1,j}p_{2,m}$ (respectively, $p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-1} p_{l+1, \frac{n}{2}+l}$ for all $j \leq l \leq m-1$) we get $Y_{i,j}Y_{m,s} = Y_{i,m}Y_{j,s}$.

Proof of (ii):

Since $i < j < m < s$, $Y_{i,m}$ and $Y_{j,s}$ can be written as

$$Y_{i,m} = p_{1,i}p_{2,m} \left(\prod_{l=3}^{i-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l} \right) \left(\prod_{l=i+1}^{j-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-1} \right) \left(\prod_{l=j}^{m-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-1} \right) \left(\prod_{l=m+1}^{s-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-2} \right) \left(\prod_{l=s}^{\frac{n}{2}+2} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-2} \right).$$

$$Y_{j,s} = p_{1,j}p_{2,s} \left(\prod_{l=3}^{i-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l} \right) \left(\prod_{l=i}^{j-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l} \right) \left(\prod_{l=j+1}^{m-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-1} \right) \left(\prod_{l=m}^{s-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-1} \right) \left(\prod_{l=s+1}^{\frac{n}{2}+2} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-2} \right).$$

Then we observe that

$$p_{1,i}p_{2,s} \left(\prod_{l=3}^{i-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l} \right) \left(\prod_{l=i+1}^{m-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-1} \right) \left(\prod_{l=m}^{s-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-1} \right) \left(\prod_{l=s+1}^{\frac{n}{2}+2} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-2} \right) \text{ and}$$

$$p_{1,j}p_{2,m} \left(\prod_{l=3}^{j-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l} \right) \left(\prod_{l=j+1}^{m-1} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-1} \right) \left(\prod_{l=m+1}^{\frac{n}{2}+2} p_{l, \frac{n}{2}+l-2} \right)$$

are factors of $Y_{i,m}Y_{j,s}$. Therefore, we have $Y_{i,m}Y_{j,s} = Y_{i,s}Y_{j,m}$. \square

Lemma 3.10. *The ring $R_{v_k, w}$ is generated in degree 1.*

Proof. Proof follows from Corollary 3.3. \square

Let $\tilde{R} = \mathbb{C}[y_{i,j}]$ be the polynomial ring generated by $y_{i,j}$, where $k+1 \leq i < j \leq \frac{n}{2} + 2$. Then by Lemma 3.10 we have a surjective ring homomorphism $\phi: \tilde{R} \rightarrow R_{v_k, w}$ by sending $y_{i,j}$ to $Y_{i,j}$. Let I be the ideal generated by the following relations in \tilde{R} .


- (i) $y_{i,j}y_{m,s} = y_{i,m}y_{j,s}$,
- (ii) $y_{i,j}y_{m,s} = y_{i,s}y_{j,m}$,

for all $k + 1 \leq i < j < m < s \leq \frac{n}{2} + 2$.

Theorem 3.11. *The map ϕ induces an isomorphism to $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{R}/I \longrightarrow R_{v_k,w}$.*

Proof. We show that the diamond lemma of ring theory holds for this reduction system which implies is that any monomial in the $y_{i,j}$'s reduces, after applying these reductions (in any order, when multiple reduction rules apply) to a unique expression in the $y_{i,j}$'s, in which no term is divisible by a term appearing in the left hand side of the above reduction system (see [1]).

We prove that the diamond lemma holds for this reduction system by looking at $y_{i,j}y_{m,s}y_{p,q}$, $1 \leq i < j < m < s < p < q \leq \frac{n}{2} + 2$.



Note that in the above diagram an edge between two vertices means one is obtained from other by applying a reduction. We see that after applying the reductions the monomial $y_{i,j}y_{m,s}y_{p,q}$ reduces to the unique expression $y_{i,q}y_{j,p}y_{m,s}$. Therefore, $\tilde{\phi}$ is an isomorphism. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 3.11 we have the following

Corollary 3.12. *The GIT quotient of $T \backslash \backslash (X_w^{v_k})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$ is a toric variety for $2 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2} - 2$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.11 that the ideal I of $R_{v_k,w}$ is a prime ideal and generated by differences of two monomials. Thus, by [5, Proposition 1.1.11.,p.16], the affine variety

$\text{Spec}(R_{v_k,w})$ is a toric variety. Therefore, by [5, Proposition 2.1.4,p.56], $T \setminus \setminus (X_w^{v_k})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)) = \text{Proj}(R_{v_k,w})$ is a toric variety. \square

4. TORUS QUOTIENT OF $G_{2,6}$

We recall that the Grassmannian $G_{2,n}$ is a closed subvariety of \mathbb{P}^{N-1} where $N = \binom{n}{2}$, given by the Plücker embedding. In this section, first we recall the Plücker relations for $G_{2,n}$ which will be used later.

Theorem 4.1. *The Grassmannian $G_{2,n}$ consists of the zeroes in \mathbb{P}^{N-1} of the following quadratic polynomials:*

$$p_{i,l}p_{j,k} - p_{i,k}p_{j,l} + p_{i,j}p_{k,l}$$

where $1 \leq i < j < k < l \leq n$ (A relation obtained by equating an expression to 0 is usually referred to as a Plücker relation).

Proof. See [26, Theorem 4.1.3.1,p.31]. \square

In this section, we study the GIT quotient of $G_{2,6}$.

Let $X = T \setminus \setminus (G_{2,6})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(3\omega_2))$. Let $R = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} R_d$, where $R_d = H^0(G_{2,6}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}(3\omega_2))^T$. We note that $X = \text{Proj}(R)$ and R_d 's are finite dimensional vector space. Let $X_1 = p_{14}p_{25}p_{36}$, $X_2 = p_{12}p_{35}p_{46}$, $X_3 = p_{13}p_{25}p_{46}$, $X_4 = p_{12}p_{34}p_{56}$ and $X_5 = p_{13}p_{24}p_{56}$. Then the set $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5\}$ forms a basis of R_1 . Let $Y_1 = p_{12}p_{14}p_{24}p_{35}p_{36}p_{56}$, $Y_2 = p_{12}p_{13}p_{23}p_{45}p_{46}p_{56}$, $W_1 = X_4Y_2 = p_{12}^2p_{13}p_{23}p_{34}p_{45}p_{46}p_{56}^2$, $W_2 = X_2Y_2 = p_{12}^2p_{13}p_{23}p_{35}p_{45}p_{46}^2p_{56}$, $W_3 = X_5Y_2 = p_{12}p_{13}^2p_{23}p_{24}p_{45}p_{46}p_{56}^2$.

In the following lemma we find out the relation among X_i 's and Y_i 's in R_2 .

Lemma 4.2. *Let X_i 's and Y_i 's be as above. Then we have the followings:*

- (1) $X_1X_4 = Y_1 - X_2X_5 + X_4X_5 - X_4^2 + X_2X_4$.
- (2) $X_3X_4 = X_2X_5 - Y_2$.

Proof. Proof of (1) : Note that

$$\begin{aligned} X_1X_4 &= p_{12}p_{14}p_{25}p_{34}p_{36}p_{56} [\text{by using } p_{25}p_{34} = p_{35}p_{24} - p_{45}p_{23}] \\ &= p_{12}p_{14}p_{36}p_{56}(p_{35}p_{24} - p_{45}p_{23}) \\ &= p_{12}p_{14}p_{24}p_{35}p_{36}p_{56} - p_{12}(p_{14}p_{23})p_{36}p_{45}p_{56} \\ &= p_{12}p_{14}p_{24}p_{35}p_{36}p_{56} - p_{12}(p_{13}p_{24} - p_{12}p_{34})p_{36}p_{45}p_{56}, [\text{by using } p_{14}p_{23} = p_{13}p_{24} - p_{12}p_{34}] \\ &= p_{12}p_{14}p_{24}p_{35}p_{36}p_{56} - p_{12}p_{13}p_{24}(p_{36}p_{45})p_{56} + p_{12}^2p_{34}(p_{36}p_{45})p_{56} \\ &= p_{12}p_{14}p_{24}p_{35}p_{36}p_{56} - p_{12}p_{13}p_{24}(p_{35}p_{46} - p_{34}p_{56})p_{56} \\ &\quad + p_{12}^2p_{34}(p_{35}p_{46} - p_{34}p_{56})p_{56} [\text{by using } p_{36}p_{45} = p_{35}p_{46} - p_{34}p_{56}] \\ &= p_{12}p_{14}p_{24}p_{35}p_{36}p_{56} - p_{12}p_{13}p_{24}p_{35}p_{46}p_{56} + p_{12}p_{13}p_{24}p_{34}p_{56}^2 + p_{12}^2p_{34}p_{35}p_{46}p_{56} - p_{12}^2p_{34}^2p_{56}^2 \\ &= Y_1 - X_2X_5 + X_4X_5 + X_2X_4 - X_4^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (2) : Note that

$$\begin{aligned} X_3X_4 &= p_{12}p_{13}p_{25}p_{34}p_{46}p_{56} \\ &= p_{12}p_{13}p_{24}p_{35}p_{46}p_{56} - p_{12}p_{13}p_{23}p_{45}p_{46}p_{56} \text{ [by using } p_{25}p_{34} = p_{24}p_{35} - p_{23}p_{45}] \\ &= X_2X_5 - Y_2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.3. *Let X_i 's and W_i 's be as above. Then we have the followings:*

- (1) $X_4^2X_3 = X_2X_4X_5 - W_1$
- (2) $X_1X_3X_4 = X_1X_2X_5 - X_2X_3X_5 + X_2^2X_5 - W_2 + X_2X_5^2 - W_3 - X_2X_4X_5 + W_1$
- (3) $X_2X_3X_4 = X_2^2X_5 - W_2$
- (4) $X_3X_4X_5 = X_2X_5^2 - W_3$

Proof. Proof of (1): By using Lemma 4.2(2), $X_3X_4^2 = X_2X_4X_5 - W_1$.

Proof of (2): By Lemma 4.2(2), $X_1X_3X_4 = X_1X_2X_5 - X_1Y_2$.

On the other hand, using the Plücker relations

$$\begin{aligned} X_1Y_2 &= p_{12}p_{13}(p_{14}p_{23})p_{25}(p_{36}p_{45})p_{46}p_{56} \\ &= p_{12}p_{13}(p_{13}p_{24} - p_{12}p_{34})p_{25}(p_{35}p_{46} - p_{34}p_{56})p_{46}p_{56} \text{ [by using } p_{14}p_{23} = p_{13}p_{24} - p_{12}p_{34}, \\ &\quad \text{and } p_{36}p_{45} = p_{35}p_{46} - p_{34}p_{56}] \\ &= p_{12}p_{13}^2p_{24}p_{25}p_{35}p_{46}^2p_{56} - p_{12}^2p_{13}(p_{34}p_{25})p_{35}p_{46}^2p_{56} \\ &\quad - p_{12}p_{13}^2p_{24}(p_{25}p_{34})p_{46}p_{56}^2 + p_{12}^2p_{13}p_{34}(p_{34}p_{25})p_{46}p_{56}^2 \\ &= p_{12}p_{13}^2p_{24}p_{25}p_{35}p_{46}^2p_{56} - p_{12}^2p_{13}(p_{24}p_{35} - p_{23}p_{45})p_{35}p_{46}^2p_{56} \\ &\quad - p_{12}p_{13}^2p_{24}(p_{24}p_{35} - p_{23}p_{45})p_{46}p_{56}^2 + p_{12}^2p_{13}p_{34}(p_{24}p_{35} - p_{23}p_{45})p_{46}p_{56}^2 \\ &\quad \text{[by using } p_{25}p_{34} = p_{24}p_{35} - p_{23}p_{45}] \\ &= p_{12}p_{13}^2p_{24}p_{25}p_{35}p_{46}^2p_{56} - p_{12}^2p_{13}p_{24}p_{35}^2p_{46}^2p_{56} + p_{12}^2p_{13}p_{23}p_{35}p_{45}p_{46}^2p_{56} \\ &\quad - p_{12}p_{13}^2p_{24}p_{35}p_{46}p_{56}^2 + p_{12}p_{13}^2p_{23}p_{24}p_{45}p_{46}p_{56}^2 + p_{12}^2p_{13}p_{24}p_{34}p_{35}p_{46}p_{56}^2 \\ &\quad - p_{12}^2p_{13}p_{23}p_{34}p_{45}p_{46}p_{56}^2 \\ &= X_2X_3X_5 - X_2^2X_5 + W_2 - X_5^2X_2 + W_3 + X_2X_4X_5 - W_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $X_1X_3X_4 = X_1X_2X_5 - X_2X_3X_5 + X_2^2X_5 - W_2 + X_5^2X_2 - W_3 - X_2X_4X_5 + W_1$.

Proof of (4): By using Lemma 4.2(2), $X_2X_3X_4 = X_2^2X_5 - W_2$.

Proof of (5): By using Lemma 4.2(2), $X_3X_4X_5 = X_2X_5^2 - W_3$. □

Recall that by Corollary 3.3, R is a graded \mathbb{C} -algebra which is an integral domain and generated by R_1 elements X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5 .

Let $\tilde{R} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]$ be the polynomial ring in x_i 's for $1 \leq i \leq 5$.

Lemma 4.4. *There is no linear or quadratic relation among X_i 's in R .*

Proof. Since $\{X_i : 1 \leq i \leq 5\}$ are standard monomials of degree one, there is no linear relation among X_i 's in R .

We next prove that there is no quadratic relation among X_i 's in R . Suppose there is a quadratic relation $\sum_{i=1}^5 c_i X_i^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 5} c_{i,j} X_i X_j = 0$.

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 c_i X_i^2 + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq 5 \\ (i,j) \neq (1,4), (3,4)}} c_{i,j} X_i X_j + c_{1,4} X_1 X_4 + c_{3,4} X_3 X_4 = 0.$$

By using Lemma 4.2 we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 c_i X_i^2 + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq 5 \\ (i,j) \neq (1,4), (3,4)}} c_{i,j} X_i X_j + c_{1,4} (Y_1 - X_2 X_5 + X_4 X_5 - X_4^2 + X_2 X_4) + c_{3,4} (X_2 X_5 - Y_2) = 0$$

Since standard monomials of degree 2 are linearly independent, the coefficients of Y_1 and Y_2 are zero i.e., $c_{1,4} = 0, c_{3,4} = 0$.

Thus from the above

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 c_i X_i^2 + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq 5 \\ (i,j) \neq (1,4), (3,4)}} c_{i,j} X_i X_j = 0.$$

Since $X_i X_j$'s are standard monomials of degree 2 for $(i, j) \neq (1, 4), (3, 4)$, $c_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$ and $c_{i,j} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$ such that $(i, j) \neq (1, 4), (3, 4)$. Therefore, there is no quadratic relation among X_i 's in R . □

Lemma 4.5. $F(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5) = 0$ in R , where $F(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = x_3 x_4^2 - x_1 x_2 x_5 + x_1 x_3 x_4 - x_2 x_3 x_4 + x_2 x_3 x_5 - x_3 x_4 x_5 \in \tilde{R}$.

Proof. Recall that by Lemma 4.3 we have the following:

$$X_1 X_3 X_4 = X_1 X_2 X_5 - X_2 X_3 X_5 + X_2^2 X_5 - W_2 + X_2 X_5^2 - W_3 - X_2 X_4 X_5 + W_1. \quad (2.8.1)$$

$$X_4^2 X_3 = X_2 X_4 X_5 - W_1.$$

$$X_2 X_3 X_4 = X_2^2 X_5 - W_2.$$

$$X_3 X_4 X_5 = X_2 X_5^2 - W_3.$$

Substituting W_1, W_2 , and W_3 in (2.8.1) we get

$$X_1 X_3 X_4 = X_1 X_2 X_5 - X_2 X_3 X_5 + X_2^2 X_5 + X_2 X_3 X_4 - X_2^2 X_5 + X_2 X_5^2 + X_3 X_4 X_5 - X_2 X_5^2 - X_2 X_4 X_5 - X_4^2 X_3 + X_2 X_4 X_5.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } X_3 X_4^2 - X_1 X_2 X_5 + X_1 X_3 X_4 - X_2 X_3 X_4 + X_2 X_3 X_5 - X_3 X_4 X_5 = 0.$$

□

Corollary 4.6. $F(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = x_3 x_4^2 - x_1 x_2 x_5 + x_1 x_3 x_4 - x_2 x_3 x_4 + x_2 x_3 x_5 - x_3 x_4 x_5$ is an irreducible polynomial in \tilde{R} .

Proof. Suppose $F(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$ is not an irreducible element of \tilde{R} . Then there exist two non unit elements $F_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5), F_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$ in \tilde{R} such that $F(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = F_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) F_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$.

By Lemma 4.5, $F(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5) = 0$. Since R is an integral domain and $F_1(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5)F_2(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5) = 0$, there is either a linear relation or a quadratic relation among X_i 's in R . This contradicts the Lemma 4.4. \square

Let $I = (x_3x_4^2 - x_1x_2x_5 + x_1x_3x_4 - x_2x_3x_4 + x_2x_3x_5 - x_3x_4x_5)$. Note that since I is generated by irreducible element, and \tilde{R} is UFD, I is prime ideal in \tilde{R} . Then \tilde{R}/I is an integral domain. Let $\pi : \tilde{R} \rightarrow \tilde{R}/I$ be the natural surjective homomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras. Let $\varphi : \tilde{R} \rightarrow R$ be the \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism defined by $x_i \mapsto X_i$. By Lemma 4.5, φ induces \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{R}/I \rightarrow R$.

Note that by Lemma 3.1, $(G/P_{\alpha_2})_T^s(\mathcal{L}(3\omega_2)) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, Krull dimension of R is 4. On the other hand, Krull dimension of \tilde{R}/I is 4.

Lemma 4.7. $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{R}/I \rightarrow R$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras.

Proof. It is clear that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a surjective morphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras. Since R is an integral domain, $\text{Ker}(\tilde{\varphi})$ is a prime ideal of \tilde{R}/I . Therefore, by [11, Theorem 1.8A.(b), p.6], we have $\dim((\tilde{R}/I)/\text{Ker}(\tilde{\varphi})) + \text{ht}(\text{Ker}(\tilde{\varphi})) = \dim(\tilde{R}/I)$. On the other hand, we have $\dim((\tilde{R}/I)/\text{Ker}(\tilde{\varphi})) = \dim(R) = \dim(\tilde{R}/I)$. Hence, $\text{ht}(\text{Ker}(\tilde{\varphi})) = 0$, i.e. $\text{Ker}(\tilde{\varphi}) = 0$. Therefore, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras. \square

Corollary 4.8. $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{R}/I \rightarrow R$ is an isomorphism as graded \mathbb{C} -algebras.

Corollary 4.9. X is a complete intersection variety.

5. TORUS QUOTIENT OF $X(6, 8)$ IN $G_{2,8}$

In this section, our aim is to study the GIT quotient of $X(6, 8)$ in $G_{2,8}$.

We consider the Schubert variety $X(6, 8)$ in the Grassmannian $G_{2,8}$. Let $R = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} R_d$, where $R_d = H^0(X(6, 8), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}(4\omega_2))^T$. We note that $X = \text{Proj}(R)$ and R_d 's are finite dimensional vector space. Let $X_1 = p_{12}p_{34}p_{57}p_{68}$, $X_2 = p_{12}p_{35}p_{47}p_{68}$, $X_3 = p_{13}p_{25}p_{47}p_{68}$, $X_4 = p_{13}p_{24}p_{57}p_{68}$, $X_5 = p_{14}p_{25}p_{37}p_{68}$, $X_6 = p_{14}p_{26}p_{37}p_{58}$, $X_7 = p_{13}p_{26}p_{47}p_{58}$, $X_8 = p_{12}p_{36}p_{47}p_{58}$ and $X_9 = p_{15}p_{26}p_{37}p_{48}$. Then the set $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7, X_8, X_9\}$ forms a basis of R_1 . Let $Y_1 = p_{12}p_{13}p_{23}p_{45}p_{47}p_{57}p_{68}^2$, $Y_2 = p_{12}p_{14}p_{24}p_{35}p_{37}p_{57}p_{68}^2$, $Y_3 = p_{12}p_{14}p_{24}p_{36}p_{37}p_{57}p_{58}p_{68}$, $Y_4 = p_{12}p_{13}p_{23}p_{46}p_{47}p_{57}p_{58}p_{68}$, $Y_5 = p_{12}p_{15}p_{25}p_{36}p_{37}p_{47}p_{48}p_{68}$.

Lemma 5.1. We have the following relations in R_2 .

- (1) $X_1X_3 = X_2X_4 - Y_1$.
- (2) $X_1X_5 = Y_2 - X_2X_4 + X_1X_2 + X_1X_4 - X_1^2$.
- (3) $X_1X_6 = Y_3 - X_4X_8 + X_1X_8 + X_1X_4 - X_1^2$.
- (4) $X_1X_7 = X_4X_8 - Y_4$.
- (5) $X_1X_9 = X_5X_8 - X_3X_8 + X_1X_8 + X_2X_4 - X_1X_2 - Y_1$.
- (6) $X_2X_6 = X_5X_8 - X_4X_8 + X_1X_8 + X_2X_4 - X_1X_2$.
- (7) $X_2X_7 = X_3X_8 - Y_4 + Y_1$.
- (8) $X_2X_9 = Y_5 - X_3X_8 + X_2X_8 + X_2X_3 - X_2^2$.
- (9) $X_4X_9 = X_3X_6 - X_3X_8 + X_4X_8 - Y_1$.

Proof. Proof of (1):

$$\begin{aligned}
X_1X_3 &= p_{12}p_{13}(p_{25}p_{34})p_{47}p_{57}p_{68}^2 \\
&= p_{12}p_{13}(p_{24}p_{35} - p_{23}p_{45})p_{47}p_{57}p_{68}^2 \\
&= p_{12}p_{13}p_{24}p_{35}p_{47}p_{57}p_{68}^2 - p_{12}p_{13}p_{23}p_{45}p_{47}p_{57}p_{68}^2 \\
&= X_2X_4 - Y_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of (2),(3),(4),(5),(6),(7),(8),(9) are similar to (1). \square

Lemma 5.2. *We have the following relations in R_2 .*

- (1) $X_2X_6 - X_5X_8 + X_4X_8 - X_1X_8 - X_2X_4 + X_1X_2 = 0$
- (2) $X_1X_3 - X_2X_4 + X_3X_6 - X_3X_8 + X_4X_8 - X_4X_9 = 0$
- (3) $X_2X_7 - X_1X_7 - X_3X_6 + X_4X_9 = 0$
- (4) $X_3X_6 - X_5X_7 = 0$
- (5) $X_1X_3 - X_2X_4 + X_2X_6 - X_3X_8 + X_4X_8 - X_1X_9 = 0$.

Proof. Proof of (1): Follows from Lemma 5.1(6).

Proof of (2): Follows from Lemma 5.1(1) and Lemma 5.1(9) eliminating Y_1 .

Proof of (3): Follows from Lemma 5.1(4), Lemma 5.1(7), and Lemma 5.1(9) eliminating Y_1, Y_4 .

Proof of (4): $X_3X_6 - X_5X_7 = p_{13}p_{25}p_{47}p_{68}p_{14}p_{26}p_{37}p_{58} - p_{14}p_{25}p_{37}p_{68}p_{13}p_{26}p_{47}p_{58} = 0$.

Proof of (5): By Lemma 5.1(1), Lemma 5.1(5) eliminating Y_1 , we have $X_1X_3 - X_2X_4 - X_1X_9 + X_5X_8 - X_3X_8 + X_1X_8 + X_2X_4 - X_1X_2 = 0$. On the other hand, by Lemma 5.2(1), we have $X_5X_8 + X_1X_8 + X_2X_4 - X_1X_2 = X_2X_6 + X_4X_8$. Therefore, $X_1X_3 - X_2X_4 + X_2X_6 - X_3X_8 + X_4X_8 - X_1X_9 = 0$. \square

We now use Macaulay 2 to see that the ideal I generated by the above relations is a prime ideal in the ring R generated by $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7, X_8, X_9$.

```

i1 : R=QQ[x_1 , x_2 , x_3 , x_4 , x_5 , x_6 , x_7 , x_8 , x_9]

o1 = R

o1 : PolynomialRing

i2 : I=ideal{x_2*x_6-x_5*x_8+x_4*x_8-x_1*x_8-x_2*x_4+x_1*x_2 , x_1*x_3
-x_2*x_4+x_3*x_6-x_3*x_8+x_4*x_8-x_4*x_9 , x_2*x_7-x_1*x_7-x_3*x_6+x_4*
x_9 , x_3*x_6-x_5*x_7 , x_1*x_3-x_2*x_4+x_2*x_6-x_3*x_8+x_4*x_8-x_1*x_9}

o2 = ideal (x x  - x x  + x x  - x x  + x x  - x x  , x x  - x x
          1 2      2 4      2 6      1 8      4 8      5 8      1 3      2 4

          + x x  - x x  + x x  - x x  , -x x  - x x  + x x  + x x  ,
          3 6      3 8      4 8      4 9      3 6      1 7      2 7      4 9

          x x  - x x  , x x  - x x  + x x  - x x  + x x  - x x  )
          3 6      5 7      1 3      2 4      2 6      3 8      4 8      1 9

o2 : Ideal of R

```

i3 : isPrime I

o3 = true

Now we see the dimension (Krull dimension) of the quotient ring $A = R/I$ is 5.

i4 : A=R/I

o4 = A

o4 : QuotientRing

i5 : dim A

o5 = 5

We note that by Corollary 3.4, R is a graded \mathbb{C} -algebra which is an integral domain and generated by R_1 elements X_i , $1 \leq i \leq 9$.

Let $A = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_9]$ be the polynomial ring in x_i 's for $1 \leq i \leq 9$.

Let I be the ideal of A generated by the above relations. Then I is a prime ideal of A . Therefore, A/I is an integral domain. Let $\pi : A \rightarrow A/I$ be the natural surjective homomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras. Let $\varphi : A \rightarrow R$ be the \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism defined by $x_i \mapsto X_i$. By Lemma 5.2, φ induces \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : A/I \rightarrow R$.

Note that by Lemma 3.1, $X(w)_T^s(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_2)) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, Krull dimension of R is 5. On the other hand, Krull dimension of A/I is 5.

Lemma 5.3. $\tilde{\varphi} : A/I \rightarrow R$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras.

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.7. □

Corollary 5.4. $\tilde{\varphi} : A/I \rightarrow R$ is an isomorphism as graded \mathbb{C} -algebras.

Corollary 5.5. X is not a complete intersection variety.

6. TORUS QUOTIENT OF $X(7, 10)$ IN $G_{2,10}$

In this section, we study the GIT quotient of $X(7, 10)$ in $G_{2,10}$.

Let $w = (s_6 s_5 s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1)(s_9 s_8 s_7 s_6 s_5 s_4 s_3 s_2)$. In one line notation $w = (7, 10)$. We consider the Schubert variety $X(w)$ in the Grassmannian $G_{2,10}$. Let $R = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} R_d$, where $R_d = H^0(X(w), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}(5\omega_2))^T$.

We note that $X = Proj(R)$ and R_d 's are finite dimensional vector space. Let $X_1 = p_{12}p_{34}p_{58}p_{69}p_{710}$, $X_2 = p_{12}p_{35}p_{48}p_{69}p_{710}$, $X_3 = p_{12}p_{36}p_{48}p_{59}p_{710}$, $X_4 = p_{12}p_{37}p_{48}p_{59}p_{610}$, $X_5 = p_{13}p_{26}p_{48}p_{59}p_{710}$, $X_6 = p_{13}p_{25}p_{48}p_{69}p_{710}$, $X_7 = p_{13}p_{24}p_{58}p_{69}p_{710}$, $X_8 = p_{13}p_{27}p_{48}p_{59}p_{610}$, $X_9 = p_{14}p_{26}p_{38}p_{59}p_{710}$, $X_{10} = p_{14}p_{25}p_{38}p_{69}p_{710}$, $X_{11} = p_{14}p_{27}p_{38}p_{59}p_{610}$, $X_{12} = p_{15}p_{26}p_{38}p_{49}p_{710}$, $X_{13} = p_{15}p_{27}p_{38}p_{49}p_{610}$, and $X_{14} = p_{16}p_{27}p_{38}p_{49}p_{510}$. Let $Y_1 = p_{12}p_{13}p_{23}p_{47}p_{48}p_{58}p_{59}p_{69}p_{610}p_{710}$, $Y_2 = p_{12}p_{13}p_{23}p_{46}p_{48}p_{58}p_{59}p_{69}p_{710}^2$, $Y_3 = p_{12}p_{13}p_{23}p_{45}p_{48}p_{58}p_{69}p_{710}^2$.

Lemma 6.1. Let X_i 's and Y_i 's are as above. Then we have the following relations:

- (1) $Y_1 = X_4 X_7 - X_1 X_8$
- (2) $Y_2 = X_3 X_7 - X_1 X_5$

$$(3) Y_3 = X_2X_7 - X_1X_6.$$

Proof. Proof of (1):

$$\begin{aligned} X_1X_8 &= p_{12}p_{13}(p_{27}p_{34})p_{48}p_{58}p_{59}p_{69}p_{610}p_{710} \\ &= p_{12}p_{13}p_{24}p_{37}p_{48}p_{58}p_{59}p_{69}p_{610}p_{710} - p_{12}p_{13}p_{23}p_{47}p_{48}p_{58}p_{59}p_{69}p_{610}p_{710} \\ &\quad [\text{by using } p_{27}p_{34} = p_{24}p_{37} - p_{23}p_{47}] \\ &= X_4X_7 - Y_1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (2):

$$\begin{aligned} X_1X_5 &= p_{12}p_{13}(p_{26}p_{34})p_{48}p_{58}p_{59}p_{69}p_{710}^2 \\ &= p_{12}p_{13}p_{24}p_{36}p_{48}p_{58}p_{59}p_{69}p_{710}^2 - p_{12}p_{13}p_{23}p_{46}p_{48}p_{58}p_{59}p_{69}p_{710}^2 \\ &\quad [\text{by using } p_{26}p_{34} = p_{24}p_{36} - p_{23}p_{46}] \\ &= X_3X_7 - Y_2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (3):

$$\begin{aligned} X_1X_6 &= p_{12}p_{13}(p_{25}p_{34})p_{48}p_{58}p_{69}^2p_{710}^2 \\ &= p_{12}p_{13}p_{24}p_{35}p_{48}p_{58}p_{69}^2p_{710}^2 - p_{12}p_{13}p_{23}p_{45}p_{48}p_{58}p_{69}^2p_{710}^2 \\ &\quad [\text{by using } p_{26}p_{34} = p_{24}p_{36} - p_{23}p_{46}] \\ &= X_2X_7 - Y_3. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6.2. *In R_2 , the following relations among X_i 's hold :*

- (1) $X_2X_9 - X_3X_{10} + X_3X_7 - X_1X_3 - X_2X_7 + X_1X_2 = 0$
- (2) $X_2X_{11} - X_4X_{10} + X_4X_7 - X_1X_4 - X_2X_7 + X_1X_2 = 0$
- (3) $X_3X_8 - X_4X_5 - X_1X_8 + X_4X_7 - X_3X_7 + X_1X_5 = 0$
- (4) $X_3X_{13} - X_4X_{12} + X_4X_6 - X_2X_4 - X_3X_6 + X_2X_3 = 0$
- (5) $X_3X_{11} - X_4X_9 + X_4X_7 - X_1X_4 - X_3X_7 + X_1X_3 = 0$
- (6) $X_{10}X_{14} - X_9X_{13} + X_4X_9 - X_4X_{10} + X_3X_7 - X_1X_3 - X_2X_7 + X_1X_2 = 0$
- (7) $X_5X_{10} - X_6X_9 = 0$
- (8) $X_8X_{12} - X_5X_{13} = 0$
- (9) $X_5X_{11} - X_8X_9 = 0$
- (10) $X_6X_{11} - X_8X_{10} = 0$
- (11) $X_9X_{13} - X_{11}X_{12} = 0$
- (12) $X_2X_8 - X_4X_6 - X_2X_7 + X_4X_7 - X_1X_8 + X_1X_6 = 0$
- (13) $X_2X_5 - X_3X_6 + X_3X_7 - X_1X_5 + X_1X_6 - X_2X_7 = 0$
- (14) $X_1X_{14} - X_4X_9 + X_4X_5 - X_1X_4 + X_1X_3 - X_1X_5 = 0$
- (15) $X_1X_{13} - X_4X_{10} + X_4X_6 - X_1X_4 - X_1X_6 + X_1X_2 = 0$
- (16) $X_1X_{12} - X_3X_{10} + X_3X_6 - X_1X_3 - X_1X_6 + X_1X_2 = 0$
- (17) $X_7X_{14} - X_8X_9 + X_4X_5 - X_4X_7 + X_3X_7 - X_1X_5 = 0$
- (18) $X_6X_{14} - X_8X_{12} + X_4X_5 - X_4X_6 + X_3X_7 - X_1X_5 + X_1X_6 - X_2X_7 = 0$
- (19) $X_2X_{14} - X_4X_{12} + X_4X_5 - X_2X_4 - X_3X_6 + X_2X_3 + X_3X_7 - X_1X_5 + X_1X_6 - X_2X_7 = 0$
- (20) $X_7X_{12} - X_5X_{10} + X_3X_6 - X_3X_7 + X_2X_7 - X_1X_6 = 0$
- (21) $X_7X_{13} - X_8X_{10} + X_4X_6 - X_4X_7 + X_2X_7 - X_1X_6 = 0.$

Proof. Proof of (1):

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_2 X_9 &= p_{12} p_{14} (p_{26} p_{35}) p_{38} p_{48} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 \\
 &= p_{12} p_{14} (p_{25} p_{36} - p_{23} p_{56}) p_{38} p_{48} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 \text{ [by using } p_{26} p_{35} = p_{25} p_{36} - p_{23} p_{56}] \\
 &= p_{12} p_{14} p_{25} p_{36} p_{38} p_{48} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 - p_{12} (p_{14} p_{23}) p_{56} p_{38} p_{48} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 \\
 &= p_{12} p_{14} p_{25} p_{36} p_{38} p_{48} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 - p_{12} (p_{13} p_{24} - p_{12} p_{34}) p_{56} p_{38} p_{48} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 \\
 &\quad \text{[by using } p_{14} p_{23} = p_{13} p_{24} - p_{12} p_{34}] \\
 &= p_{12} p_{14} p_{25} p_{36} p_{38} p_{48} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 - p_{12} p_{13} p_{24} (p_{56} p_{38}) p_{48} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 + p_{12}^2 p_{34} (p_{56} p_{38}) p_{48} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 \\
 &= p_{12} p_{14} p_{25} p_{36} p_{38} p_{48} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 - p_{12} p_{13} p_{24} (p_{36} p_{58} - p_{35} p_{68}) p_{48} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 + p_{12}^2 p_{34} (p_{36} p_{58} \\
 &\quad - p_{35} p_{68}) p_{48} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 \text{ [by using } p_{38} p_{56} = p_{36} p_{58} - p_{35} p_{68}] \\
 &= p_{12} p_{14} p_{25} p_{36} p_{38} p_{48} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 - p_{12} p_{13} p_{24} p_{36} p_{48} p_{58} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 + p_{12} p_{13} p_{24} p_{35} p_{48} (p_{68} p_{59}) p_{69} p_{710}^2 \\
 &\quad + p_{12}^2 p_{34} p_{36} p_{48} p_{58} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 - p_{12}^2 p_{34} p_{35} p_{48} (p_{68} p_{59}) p_{69} p_{710}^2 \\
 &= p_{12} p_{14} p_{25} p_{36} p_{38} p_{48} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 - p_{12} p_{13} p_{24} p_{36} p_{48} p_{58} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 + p_{12} p_{13} p_{24} p_{35} p_{48} (p_{58} p_{69} - \\
 &\quad p_{56} p_{89}) p_{69} p_{710}^2 + p_{12}^2 p_{34} p_{36} p_{48} p_{58} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 - p_{12}^2 p_{34} p_{35} p_{48} (p_{58} p_{69} - p_{56} p_{89}) p_{69} p_{710}^2 \\
 &\quad \text{[by using } p_{59} p_{68} = p_{58} p_{69} - p_{56} p_{89}] \\
 &= p_{12} p_{14} p_{25} p_{36} p_{38} p_{48} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 - p_{12} p_{13} p_{24} p_{36} p_{48} p_{58} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 + p_{12} p_{13} p_{24} p_{35} p_{48} p_{58} p_{69}^2 p_{710}^2 \\
 &\quad + p_{12}^2 p_{34} p_{36} p_{48} p_{58} p_{59} p_{69} p_{710}^2 - p_{12}^2 p_{34} p_{35} p_{48} p_{58} p_{69}^2 p_{710}^2 \text{ [since } p_{89}|_{X(7,10)} = 0] \\
 &= X_3 X_{10} - X_3 X_7 + X_2 X_7 + X_1 X_3 - X_1 X_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (2),(3),..., (21) are similar to (1). □

We now use Macaulay 2 to see that the ideal I generated by the above relations is a prime ideal in the ring R generated by $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7, X_8, X_9, X_{10}, X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{13}, X_{14}$.

i1 : R=QQ[x_1 , x_2 , x_3 , x_4 , x_5 , x_6 , x_7 , x_8 , x_9 , x_10 , x_11 , x_12 , x_13 , x_14]

o1 = R

o1 : PolynomialRing

i2 : I=ideal {x_2*x_9-x_3*x_10+x_3*x_7-x_1*x_3-x_2*x_7+x_1*x_2 , x_2*x_11-x_4*x_10+x_4*x_7-x_1*x_4-x_2*x_7+x_1*x_2 , x_3*x_8-x_4*x_5-x_1*x_8+x_4*x_7-x_3*x_7+x_1*x_5 , x_3*x_13-x_4*x_12+x_4*x_6-x_2*x_4-x_3*x_6+x_2*x_3 , x_3*x_11-x_4*x_9+x_4*x_7-x_1*x_4-x_3*x_7+x_1*x_3 , x_10*x_14-x_9*x_13+x_4*x_9-x_4*x_10+x_3*x_7-x_1*x_3-x_2*x_7+x_1*x_2 , x_5*x_10-x_6*x_9 , x_8*x_12-x_5*x_13 , x_5*x_11-x_8*x_9 , x_6*x_11-x_8*x_10 , x_9*x_13-x_11*x_12 , x_1*x_6-x_4*x_6-x_2*x_7+x_4*x_7-x_1*x_8+x_2*x_8 , x_2*x_5-x_3*x_6+x_3*x_7-x_1*x_5+x_1*x_6-x_2*x_7 , x_1*x_14-x_4*x_9+x_4*x_5-x_1*x_4+x_1*x_3-x_1*x_5 , x_1*x_13-x_4*x_10+x_4*x_6-x_1*x_4-x_1*x_6+x_1*x_2 , x_1*x_12-x_3*x_10+x_3*x_6-x_1*x_3-x_1*x_6+x_1*x_2 , x_7*x_14-x_8*x_9+x_4*x_5-x_4*x_7+x_3*x_7-x_1*x_5 , x_6*x_14-x_8*x_12+x_4*x_5-x_4*x_6+x_3*x_7-x_1*x_5+x_1*x_6-x_2*x_7 , x_2*x_14-x_4*x_12+x_4*x_5-x_2*x_4-x_3*x_6+x_2*x_3+x_3*x_7-x_1*x_5+x_1*x_6-x_2*x_7 , x_7*x_12-x_5*x_10+x_3*x_6-x_3*x_7+x_2*x_7-x_1*x_6 , x_7*x_13-x_8*x_10+x_4*x_6-x_4*x_7+x_2*x_7-x_1*x_6}

o2 = ideal (x x - x x - x x + x x + x x - x x , x x - x x - x x + x x
 1 2 1 3 2 7 3 7 2 9 3 10 1 2 1 4 2 7 4 7

$$-x_4x_{10} + x_2x_{11}, x_1x_5 - x_4x_5 - x_3x_7 + x_4x_7 - x_1x_8 + x_3x_8, x_2x_3 - x_2x_4 - x_3x_6 + x_4x_6$$

$$-x_4x_{12} + x_3x_{13}, x_1x_3 - x_1x_4 - x_3x_7 + x_4x_7 - x_4x_9 + x_3x_{11}, x_1x_2 - x_1x_3 - x_2x_7$$

$$+x_3x_7 + x_4x_9 - x_4x_{10} - x_9x_{13} + x_{10}x_{14}, -x_6x_9 + x_5x_{10}, x_8x_{12} - x_5x_{13}, -x_8x_9$$

$$+x_5x_{11}, -x_8x_{10} + x_6x_{11}, -x_{11}x_{12} + x_9x_{13}, x_1x_6 - x_4x_6 - x_2x_7 + x_4x_7 - x_1x_8$$

$$+x_2x_8, -x_1x_5 + x_2x_5 + x_1x_6 - x_3x_6 - x_2x_7 + x_3x_7, x_1x_3 - x_1x_4 - x_1x_5 + x_4x_5 - x_4x_9$$

$$+x_1x_{14}, x_1x_2 - x_1x_4 - x_1x_6 + x_4x_6 - x_4x_{10} + x_1x_{13}, x_1x_2 - x_1x_3 - x_1x_6 + x_3x_6$$

$$-x_3x_{10} + x_1x_{12}, -x_1x_5 + x_4x_5 + x_3x_7 - x_4x_7 - x_8x_9 + x_7x_{14}, -x_1x_5 + x_4x_5$$

$$+x_1x_6 - x_4x_6 - x_2x_7 + x_3x_7 - x_8x_{12} + x_6x_{14}, x_2x_3 - x_2x_4 - x_1x_5 + x_4x_5$$

$$+x_1x_6 - x_3x_6 - x_2x_7 + x_3x_7 - x_4x_{12} + x_2x_{14}, -x_1x_6 + x_3x_6 + x_2x_7 - x_3x_7$$

$$-x_5x_{10} + x_7x_{12}, -x_1x_6 + x_4x_6 + x_2x_7 - x_4x_7 - x_8x_{10} + x_7x_{13}$$

o2 : Ideal of R

i3 : isPrime I

o3 = true

i4 : $A=R/I$

o4 = A

o4 : QuotientRing

i5 : dim A

o5 = 6

We note that by Corollary 3.4, R is a graded \mathbb{C} -algebra which is an integral domain and generated by R_1 elements X_i , $1 \leq i \leq 14$.

Let $A = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{14}]$ be the polynomial ring in x_i 's for $1 \leq i \leq 14$.

Let I be the ideal of A generated by the above relations. Then I is a prime ideal of A . Therefore, A/I is an integral domain. Let $\pi : A \rightarrow A/I$ be the natural surjective homomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras. Let $\varphi : A \rightarrow R$ be the \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism defined by $x_i \mapsto X_i$. By Lemma 6.2, φ induces \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : A/I \rightarrow R$.

Note that by Lemma 3.1, $X(w)_T^s(\mathcal{L}(5\omega_2)) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, Krull dimension of R is 6. On the other hand, Krull dimension of A/I is 6.

Lemma 6.3. $\tilde{\varphi} : A/I \longrightarrow R$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras.

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.7. □

Corollary 6.4. $\tilde{\varphi} : A/I \longrightarrow R$ is an isomorphism as graded \mathbb{C} -algebras.

Corollary 6.5. X is not a complete intersection variety.

7. SINGULARITIES

In this section, we study the singularity of the torus quotients of Schubert varieties in $G_{2,n}$. To proceed further, we recall the following proposition on the smooth locus of Schubert varieties in minuscule Grassmannian due to M. Brion and P. Polo (see [3, Proposition 3.3(a), p.314]). We introduce some notation to state the proposition. Let H be a simple algebraic group over algebraically closed field k . Let Q be a minuscule parabolic subgroup of H . Let W (respectively, W_Q) be the Weyl group of H (respectively, Q). Let W^Q be the minimal coset representative of W/W_Q . For $w \in W^Q$, let $X(w)$ be the Schubert variety in H/Q corresponding to w . Let $X(w)_{sm}$ be the smooth locus of $X(w)$. Then we have

Proposition 7.1. (See [3, Proposition 3.3(a), p.314]): Let $w \in W^Q$. Let P_w be the stabilizer of $X(w)$ in G . Then $X(w)_{sm} = P_w w P / P \subseteq X(w)$.

We use Proposition 7.1 when $H = SL(n, \mathbb{C})$, and $Q = P^{\alpha_2}$. Let $w \in W^Q$ be such that $w_{min}^s \leq w$ in W^Q . Let $w = (s_{a_1} \cdots s_{\frac{n}{2}} \cdots s_1)(s_{n-1} \cdots s_2)$. Then we have $w = (a_1 + 1, n)$ in one line notation, and $a_1 \geq \frac{n}{2}$. We observe that P_w is a parabolic subgroup of G generated by B , and $\{s_i : i \neq a_1 + 1\}$. Therefore, by Proposition 7.1, we have $X(w)_{sm} = P_w w Q / Q$. On the other hand, by [20, Lemma 2.1, p.470], $X(w)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)) = \bigcup_{w_{min}^{ss} \leq u \leq w} (B u Q / Q)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$. Note that for $w_{min}^{ss} \leq u \leq w$, u is of the form $(s_a \cdots s_{\frac{n}{2}-1} \cdots s_1)(s_{n-1} \cdots s_2)$ for some $\frac{n}{2} - 1 \leq a \leq a_1$. Therefore, by Proposition 7.1, we have $X(w)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)) \subseteq X(w)_{sm}$.

Let $X = T \backslash X(w)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$. Let $\varphi : X(w)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)) \longrightarrow X$ be the GIT quotient map. Let $Y = T \backslash X_T^s(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$. Since $X(w)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)) \setminus X(w)_T^s(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$ is a T -invariant closed subset of $X(w)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$, $X \setminus Y$ is a closed subset of X . Hence, Y is an open subset of X . Then we have

Proposition 7.2. All the points of Y are smooth points of X .

Proof. We also denote the restriction of the GIT map φ to $X(w)_T^s(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$ by φ . Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(w)_T^s(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Y \\ \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \iota \\ X(w)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X \end{array}$$

We note that $\varphi : X(w)_T^s(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)) \longrightarrow Y$, is a geometric quotient of $X(w)_T^s(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$ by T . Moreover, for each point $x \in X(w)_T^s(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$, stabilizer T_x of x in T is finite. Suppose x is a stable point of $X(w)_T^s(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$ such that $x \in BvQ/Q$ for some $v \leq w \in W^Q$. Let $R^+(v^{-1})$

denote the set of all positive roots made negative by v^{-1} . Choose a subset $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$ of $R^+(v^{-1})$ such that $x = u_{\beta_1}(t_1) \cdots u_{\beta_m}(t_m)vQ/Q$ with $u_{\beta_j}(t_j)$ in the root subgroup U_{β_j} , $t_j \neq 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. The stabilizer T_x of x is $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \ker(\beta_j)$.

Since the stabilizer subgroup T_x is finite, the kernel of the homomorphism $\psi : T \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^m$ defined by $\psi(t) = (\beta_1(t), \beta_2(t), \dots, \beta_m(t))$ is finite. Let $T' = \phi(T)$. Then ψ induces an injective homomorphism $\tilde{\psi} : X(T') \rightarrow X(T)$ of character groups such that $\tilde{\psi}(X(T'))$ has a finite index in $X(T)$. Hence, the subset $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$ of $R^+(v^{-1})$ generates the \mathbb{Q} -vector space spanned by S . Therefore, by [19, Lemma 3.2], S is in the lattice $\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{Z}_i \beta_i$. Hence, we have $T_x = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \ker(\beta_j) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} \ker(\alpha_i) = Z(G)$.

Let $\pi : G \rightarrow PSL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be the natural homomorphism. Let $T_{ad} = \pi(T)$. Then $\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)$ is also T_{ad} linearized. Therefore, $X(w)_T^s(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)) = X(w)_{T_{ad}}^s(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$.

Hence, working with G_{ad} , we may assume $T_x = \{e\}$. Thus $\varphi : X(w)_T^s(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)) \rightarrow Y$, is a principal T -bundle. Therefore, Y is smooth as $X(w)_T^s(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$ is smooth. Since Y is an open subset of X , Y is contained in the smooth locus of X . \square

Proposition 7.3. *Let $\xi \in X(w_{min}^{ss})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)) \subseteq X(w)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$. Let $K = \{v \in W^{P^{\alpha \frac{n}{2}}} : v\xi \in \bigcup_{w_{min}^{ss} \leq u \leq w} BuQ/Q\}$, and $L = \{v\varphi(\xi) : v \in K\}$. Then the set of all singular points of X is a subset of L .*

Proof. Let X_{Sing} be the set of all singular points of X . Then by Proposition 7.2, X_{Sing} is a subset of $X \setminus Y$.

We observe that for $v \in W_{P^{\alpha \frac{n}{2}}}$, $v(Bw_{min}^{ss}Q/Q)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)) = (Bw_{min}^{ss}Q/Q)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$. Therefore, by [20, Lemma 2.1, p.470], $X(w)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)) \setminus X(w)_T^s(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)) = \bigcup_{v \in K} v(Bw_{min}^{ss}Q/Q)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$.

Since $\xi \in X(w_{min}^{ss})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$ and there is a unique T -invariant standard monomial in degree one whose restriction to $Bw_{min}^{ss}Q/Q$ is non-zero, we have $\varphi((Bw_{min}^{ss}Q/Q)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))) = \varphi(\xi)$. Further, we note that $\varphi(v\xi) = v\varphi(\xi)$. Therefore, we have $X_{Sing} \subseteq X \setminus Y = L$. \square

Corollary 7.4. *X_{Sing} is finite.*

Proof. follows from Proposition 7.3. \square

We have already observed that X is smooth when $w = w_{min}^s$ (see Proposition 3.5). Thus, we are interested in studying the singularity of X , when $w_{min}^s < w$.

Let $w = (s_b \cdots s_{\frac{n}{2}} \cdots s_1)(s_{n-1} \cdots s_2)$. Then in one line notation we have $w = (b+1, n)$. Note that $b \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$, as $w_{min}^s < w$. Let ξ, φ be as defined above and K, L be as defined in Proposition 7.3. By Corollary 7.4, X_{Sing} is finite and $X_{Sing} \subseteq L$. Next we prove that $L = X_{Sing}$. Note that to prove $L = X_{Sing}$, it is enough to show that $\varphi(\xi)$ is a singular point of X .

To proceed further we first introduce some definition and notation following the article by Jean-Marc Drézet (see [6, p. 28–29]).

Let H be a reductive group acting on an algebraic variety Z . Given a character χ of H , we define the H -line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\chi)$ associated to χ as follows: The underlying line bundle is $\mathcal{O}_Z = Z \times \mathbb{C}$ and the action of H is

$$H \times Z \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow Z \times \mathbb{C}$$

$$(h, z, t) \longmapsto (hz, \chi(h)t).$$

$$\text{Let } x = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1\frac{n}{2}} & 0 \\ x_{2\frac{n}{2}} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{\frac{n}{2}-1\frac{n}{2}} & 0 \\ 1 & x_{\frac{n}{2}+1n} \\ 0 & x_{\frac{n}{2}+2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & x_{n-1n} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in Bw_{min}^{ss}Q/Q \text{ be such that } \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} x_{i,\frac{n}{2}} \right) \left(\prod_{i=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{n-1} x_{i,n} \right) \neq 0.$$

Since $\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} p_{i\frac{n}{2}+i}$ is the unique monomial (up to a non zero scalar) of $H^0(X(w_{min}^{ss}), \mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))^T$ such that $\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} p_{i\frac{n}{2}+i}(x) \neq 0$, $x \in X(w_{min}^{ss})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$. Since $\varphi((Bw_{min}^{ss}Q/Q)^{ss}) = \varphi(\xi)$, we have $x \in \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(\xi))$. The stabilizer T_x of x in T is $\bigcap_{i \neq \frac{n}{2}} \ker(\alpha_i)$, that is $T_x = \lambda_{\frac{n}{2}}$, where $\lambda_{\frac{n}{2}} = \text{diag}(t^{\frac{n}{2}}, \dots, t^{\frac{n}{2}}, t^{-\frac{n}{2}}, \dots, t^{-\frac{n}{2}})$. Furthermore, since Tx is a minimal dimensional orbit in $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(\xi))$, Tx is closed in $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(\xi))$. Then we prove the following

Proposition 7.5. $\varphi(\xi)$ is a singular point of X .

Proof. Recall that $X(w)^{ss} \subseteq X(w)_{sm}$. Further, $X(w)^{ss} \setminus X(w)^s = \bigcup_{v \in K} v(Bw_{min}^{ss}Q/Q)^{ss}$. Thus the co-dimension of $X(w)^{ss} \setminus X(w)^s$ in $X(w)^{ss}$ is $b + 1 - \frac{n}{2}$ which is at least 2, as $b \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$. Further $\varphi|_{X(w)^s} : X(w)^s \rightarrow \varphi(X(w)^s)$ is a geometric quotient and T acts freely on $X(w)^s$.

By the above discussion $x \in \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(\xi))$, and Tx is a close orbit in $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(\xi))$ with $T_x = \lambda_{\frac{n}{2}}$. Take $\chi = \alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}$. Then χ is non trivial character on $\lambda_{\frac{n}{2}}$, i.e., $\chi(\lambda_{\frac{n}{2}}) \neq 1$. Therefore, by [6, Theorem 8.3, p.44], $\mathcal{O}_{X,\varphi(\xi)}$ is not a unique factorization domain. Hence, $\varphi(\xi)$ is a singular point of X . \square

Corollary 7.6. L is precisely the set of singular points of X .

Proof. Follows from Proposition 7.3 and Proposition 7.5. \square

Next we compute the number elements in L for $T \backslash \backslash (G_{2,n})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))$.

Lemma 7.7. Let x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 be positive integers such that $x_1 \neq x_2, y_1 \neq y_2$. If $x_1 < y_1$ and $x_2 < y_2$, then $(x_1, x_2) \uparrow < (y_1, y_2) \uparrow$.

Proof. It is enough to prove that $\min\{x_1, x_2\} < \min\{y_1, y_2\}$ and $\max\{x_1, x_2\} < \max\{y_1, y_2\}$.

Let $\min\{x_1, x_2\} = x_1$. Then $x_1 < x_2$. We have $x_1 < y_1$ and $x_1 < x_2 < y_2$. So, $x_1 < y_1$ and $x_1 < y_2$. Hence, $x_1 < \min\{y_1, y_2\}$. Similarly, if $\min\{x_1, x_2\} = x_2$ then $x_2 < \min\{y_1, y_2\}$. Hence, $\min\{x_1, x_2\} < \min\{y_1, y_2\}$.

Let $\max\{y_1, y_2\} = y_1$. Then $y_2 < y_1$. We have $x_1 < y_1$ and $x_2 < y_2 < y_1$. So, $x_1 < y_1$ and $x_2 < y_1$. Hence, $\max\{x_1, x_2\} < y_1$. Similarly, if $\max\{y_1, y_2\} = y_2$ then $\max\{x_1, x_2\} < y_2$. Hence, $\max\{x_1, x_2\} < \max\{y_1, y_2\}$.

Therefore, $(x_1, x_2) \uparrow < (y_1, y_2) \uparrow$. \square

Let $R_1 = H^0(G_{2,n}, \mathcal{L}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2))^T$ and $\dim(R_1)=d$. Let $\{X_i : 1 \leq i \leq d\}$ be the standard monomial basis of R_1 where $X_1 = \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} p_{i, \frac{n}{2}+i}$.

Lemma 7.8. *Let ξ be as above. Then given $w \in W^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}\}}$ such that $w \neq id$, and $w \neq w_0^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}\}}$ there exists an $i \neq 1$ such that $X_i(w\xi) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $w \in W^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}\}}$ be such that $w \neq id$ and $w \neq w_0^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}\}}$. Note that $w(1) < w(2) < \dots < w(\frac{n}{2})$ and $w(\frac{n}{2} + 1) < w(\frac{n}{2} + 2) < \dots < w(n)$. Further, since $w \neq id, w_0^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}\}}$, we have $(w(\frac{n}{2}), w(n)) \uparrow \neq (\frac{n}{2}, n)$. Indeed, if $(w(\frac{n}{2}), w(n)) \uparrow = (\frac{n}{2}, n)$, then either $w(\frac{n}{2}) = \frac{n}{2}$ or $w(\frac{n}{2}) = n$. Now, $w = id$ or $w = w_0^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}\}}$ according as $w(\frac{n}{2}) = \frac{n}{2}$ or $w(\frac{n}{2}) = n$.

Let $M = p_{(w(1), w(\frac{n}{2}+1)) \uparrow} p_{(w(2), w(\frac{n}{2}+2)) \uparrow} \dots p_{(w(\frac{n}{2}), w(n)) \uparrow}$. By using Lemma 7.7, we see that M is a standard monomial. Then $M = X_i$ where $i \neq 1$.

Now we prove that $M(w\xi) \neq 0$. Note that $w(1)$ -th row of $w(\xi) = 1$ -st row of ξ and $w(\frac{n}{2} + 1)$ -th row of $w(\xi) = \frac{n}{2} + 1$ -th row of ξ . Hence, $p_{(w(1), w(\frac{n}{2}+1)) \uparrow}(w\xi) = \pm p_{1, \frac{n}{2}+1}(\xi)$. Similarly, $p_{(w(i), w(\frac{n}{2}+i)) \uparrow}(w\xi) = \pm p_{i, \frac{n}{2}+i}(\xi)$. Therefore, $M(w\xi) = \pm \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} p_{i, \frac{n}{2}+i}(\xi) \neq 0$ \square

Note that $(w_0^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{n/2}\}})^{-1} = w_0^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{n/2}\}}$ and $w_0^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{n/2}\}} \xi \in Bw_{min}^{ss} Q/Q$. Thus, $\varphi(w_0^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{n/2}\}} \xi) = \varphi(\xi)$. On the other hand, we have the following

Lemma 7.9. *Let ξ, φ be as defined above. If $\varphi(u\xi) = \varphi(v\xi)$ for some $u, v \in W^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{n/2}\}}$, then $v = u$ or $v = uw_0^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{n/2}\}}$.*

Proof. Assume that $\varphi(u\xi) = \varphi(v\xi)$. Since φ is W -equivariant, we have $\varphi(u^{-1}v\xi) = \varphi(\xi)$. Let $u^{-1}v = u_1v_1$ where $u_1 \in W^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}\}}$ and $v_1 \in W_{S \setminus \{\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}\}}$. Since $v_1 \in W_{S \setminus \{\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}\}}$, $v_1\xi \in Bw_{min}^{ss} Q/Q$. Thus, we have $\varphi(u_1\xi) = \varphi(\xi)$. Then, by Lemma 7.8, we have $u_1 = id$ or $u_1 = w_0^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{n/2}\}}$. Thus, we have $v = u \text{ mod } W_{S \setminus \{\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}\}}$ or $v = uw_0^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{n/2}\}} \text{ mod } W_{S \setminus \{\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}\}}$. Further, note that $-w_0(S \setminus \{\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}\}) = S \setminus \{\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}\}$. Hence, $uw_0^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{n/2}\}}(\alpha_j)$ is a positive root for $j \neq \frac{n}{2}$, which implies $uw_0^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{n/2}\}} \in W^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}\}}$. Therefore, proof follows. \square

Corollary 7.10. *Let L be as defined above. Let $\text{Card}(W^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}\}}) = A$. Then $\text{Card}(L) = \frac{A}{2}$, i.e., $T \setminus \setminus G_{2,n}^{ss}(\frac{n}{2}\omega_2)$ has $\frac{A}{2}$ singular points.*

Proof. Let $c : W^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}\}} \rightarrow L$ be map defined by $c(u) = \varphi(u\xi)$ for $u \in W^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}\}}$. Clearly, c is surjective. On the other hand, by Lemma 7.9, $c^{-1}(\varphi(u\xi)) = \{u, uw_0^{S \setminus \{\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}}\}}\}$ for $\varphi(u\xi) \in L$. Therefore, $\text{Card}(L) = \frac{A}{2}$. \square

Example 7.11. *$T \setminus \setminus (G_{2,6})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(3\omega_2))$ is not smooth: Let $X = T \setminus \setminus (G_{2,6})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(3\omega_2))$. Recall that X is a cubic hypersurface in the projective space $\mathbb{P}^4 = \text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5])$, given by $F(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5) = X_3X_4^2 - X_1X_2X_5 + X_1X_3X_4 - X_2X_3X_4 + X_2X_3X_5 - X_3X_4X_5$. Let*

$\xi \in (Bw_{min}^{ss} P^{\alpha_2} / P^{\alpha_2})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(3\omega_2))$. Then we have $\varphi((Bw_{min}^{ss} P^{\alpha_2} / P^{\alpha_2})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(3\omega_2))) = \varphi(\xi) = p$ where $p = [1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0]$. Let $\tilde{p} = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ be a lift of p in the affine cone of X .

Then we have $\frac{\partial F}{\partial X_1} = X_3X_4 - X_2X_5$; $\frac{\partial F}{\partial X_2} = X_3X_5 - X_1X_5 - X_3X_4$; $\frac{\partial F}{\partial X_3} = X_4^2 + X_1X_4 - X_2X_4 + X_2X_5 - X_4X_5$; $\frac{\partial F}{\partial X_4} = 2X_3X_4 + X_1X_3 - X_2X_3 - X_3X_5$; $\frac{\partial F}{\partial X_5} = -X_1X_2 + X_2X_3 - X_3X_4$.

Thus $(\frac{\partial F}{\partial X_1}(\tilde{p}) \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_2}(\tilde{p}) \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_3}(\tilde{p}) \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_4}(\tilde{p}) \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_5}(\tilde{p})) = (0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0)$. Hence, \tilde{p} is a singular point of the affine cone of X . Therefore, p is a singular point of X .

Example 7.12. $T \setminus \setminus (X(6, 8))_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_2))$ is not smooth: Let $X = T \setminus \setminus (X(6, 8))_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_2))$.

Recall that X is a projective subvariety of the projective space

$\mathbb{P}^8 = Proj(\mathbb{C}[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7, X_8, X_9])$, given by the following system of quadratic homogeneous polynomials:

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= X_2X_6 - X_5X_8 + X_4X_8 - X_1X_8 - X_2X_4 + X_1X_2 \\ F_2 &= X_1X_3 - X_2X_4 + X_3X_6 - X_3X_8 + X_4X_8 - X_4X_9 \\ F_3 &= X_2X_7 - X_1X_7 - X_3X_6 + X_4X_9 \\ F_4 &= X_3X_6 - X_5X_7 \\ F_5 &= X_1X_3 - X_2X_4 + X_2X_6 - X_3X_8 + X_4X_8 - X_1X_9. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\varphi((Bw_{min}^{ss} P^{\alpha_2} / P^{\alpha_2})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_2))) = \varphi(\xi) = p$ where $p = [0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1]$. Let $\tilde{p} = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$ be a lift of p in the affine cone of X .

$$\left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial X_j} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} X_2 - X_8 & X_6 - X_4 + X_1 & 0 & X_8 - X_2 & -X_8 & X_2 & 0 & X_4 - X_5 - X_1 & 0 \\ X_3 & -X_4 & X_1 + X_6 - X_8 & X_8 - X_2 - X_9 & 0 & X_3 & 0 & X_4 - X_3 & -X_4 \\ -X_7 & X_7 & -X_6 & X_9 & 0 & -X_3 & X_2 - X_1 & 0 & X_4 \\ 0 & 0 & X_6 & 0 & -X_7 & X_3 & -X_5 & 0 & 0 \\ X_3 - X_9 & X_6 - X_4 & X_1 - X_8 & X_8 - X_2 & 0 & X_2 & 0 & X_4 - X_3 & -X_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial X_j} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence, \tilde{p} is a singular point of the affine cone of X , as the rank of $\left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial X_j}(\tilde{p}) \right) < 9 - 5 = 4$. Therefore, p is a singular point of X .

REFERENCES

- [1] M.G. Bergman, *The diamond lemma for ring theory* Adv. Math. 29(2):178–218.
- [2] M. Brion, V. Lakshmibai, *A geometric approach to Standard Monomial Theory* Representation Theory of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 7, no. 25, pp. 651- 680, 2003.
- [3] M. Brion, P. Polo, *Generic singularities of certain Schubert varieties*, Mathematische Zeitschrift volume **231**, pages 301–324(1999).
- [4] S. Bakshi, S. Senthamarai Kannan, K. V. Subrahmanyam, *Torus quotients of Richardson varieties in the Grassmannian*, Communications in Algebra, vol. 48, issue 2, pages 891–914, 2019.
- [5] David Cox, John Little, Hal Schenck, *Toric varieties*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 124. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
- [6] Jean-Marc Drézet, *Luna’s slice theorem and applications*, Jaroslaw A. Wisniewski. Algebraic group actions and quotients, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, pp. 39–90, 2004, 977-5945-12-7.
- [7] Grayson, Daniel R., Stillman, Michael E., *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*, Available at <https://faculty.math.illinois.edu/Macaulay2/>.

- [8] I. Gelfand, R. MacPherson, *Geometry in grassmannians and a generalization of the dilogarithm*, Advances in mathematics, vol. 44, no. 3, p. 279–312, 1982.
- [9] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1972.
- [10] J. E. Humphreys, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1975.
- [11] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics book series* (GTM, volume 52).
- [12] B. J. Howard, *Matroids and geometric invariant theory of torus actions on flag spaces*, Journal of Algebra, 312(2007), p. 527–541.
- [13] C. Hausmann, A. Knutson, *Polygon spaces and grassmannians*, L'Enseignement Mathematique 43, no. 1-2, 1997, 173–198.
- [14] B. J. Howard, J. Millson, A. Snowden, and R. Vakil, *The equations for the moduli space of n points on the line*, Duke Math. J. 146 no.2 (2009), 175–226.
- [15] B. J. Howard, J. Millson, A. Snowden, and R. Vakil, *The ideal of relations for the ring of invariants of n points on the line*, J. Eur. Math. Soc., 14 no.1 (2012), 1–60.
- [16] J. C. Jantzen, *Representations of Algebraic Groups*, second edition, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 107, 2003.
- [17] S. S. Kannan, *Torus quotients of homogeneous spaces*, Proceeding Mathematical Sciences, vol. 2, 1998.
- [18] S. S. Kannan, *Torus quotients of homogeneous spaces II*, Proceeding Mathematical Sciences, vol. 109, no. 1, p. 23–39, 1999.
- [19] S. Senthamarai Kannan, *GIT related problems of the flag variety for the action of a maximal torus. In Groups of Exceptional Type, Coxeter Groups and Related Geometries*, pages 189–203. Springer, 2014.
- [20] S. S. Kannan, S. K. Pattanayak, *Torus quotients of homogeneous spaces-minimal dimensional Schubert varieties admitting semi-stable points*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math.Sci.) 119(4), 2009, 469–485.
- [21] S. S. Kannan, P. Sardar, *Torus quotients of homogeneous spaces of the general linear group and the standard representation of certain symmetric groups*, Proceedings-Mathematical Sciences, vol. 119(4), no. 1, p.81, 2009.
- [22] M. M. Kapranov, *Chow quotients of Grassmannians*, I.I. M. Gel'fand Seminar, Adv. Soviet Math. 16, Part 2, Amer. Math.Soc., Providence, RI (1993) p.29–110.
- [23] M. M. Kapranov, *Veronese curves and Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n}$* , J. Algebraic Geom. 2(2) (1993) 239–262.
- [24] A. Kempe, *On regular difference terms*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 25 (1894), 343–350.
- [25] Shrawan Kumar, *Descent of line bundles to GIT quotients of flag varieties by maximal torus*, Transformation groups, vol.13, no. 3-4, p. 757–771, 2008.
- [26] V. Lakshmibai, K. N. Raghavan, *Standard Monomial Theory Invariant Theoretic Approach, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*, volume 137.
- [27] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, F. Kirwan, *Geometric Invariant Theory*, Third Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1994.
- [28] P. E. Newstead, *Lecture on Introduction to Moduli Problem And Orbit Spaces*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1978.
- [29] Arpita Nayek, S. K. Pattanayak, Shivang Jindal, *Projective normality of torus quotients of flag varieties*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, vol. 224, issue 10, 2020.
- [30] C.S.Seshadri, *Quotient spaces modulo reductive algebraic groups*, Ann. Math. 95 (1972) 511–556
- [31] A. N. Skorobogatov, *On swinnerton-dyer*, in Annales de la faculté des science de Toulouse, vol. 2, 1993.

S. SENTHAMARAI KANNAN, CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, PLOT H1, SIPCOT IT PARK, SIRUSERI, KELAMBAKKAM-603103, INDIA, EMAIL: KANNAN@CMI.AC.IN,

ARPITA NAYEK, CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, PLOT H1, SIPCOT IT PARK, SIRUSERI, KELAMBAKKAM-603103, INDIA, EMAIL: ARPITAN@CMI.AC.IN,

PINAKINATH SAHA, TATA INST. OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, COLABA, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA, EMAIL: PSAHA@MATH.TIFR.RES.IN,