

Magnetic field-assisted spectral decomposition and imaging of charge states of NV centers in diamond

T. Chakraborty^{1,2}, R. Bhattacharya³, V. S. Anjusha^{3,4}, M. Nesladek^{5,6}, D. Suter^{1,3} and T. S. Mahesh³

¹*Fakultät Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, D-44221 Dortmund, Germany*

²*QuTech and Kavli Institute of Nanoscience, Delft University of Technology, 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands*

³*Department of Physics and NMR Research Center,*

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Pune 411008, India

⁴*Institut für Quantum Optics, Ulm University, Albert-Einstein-Allee 11, Ulm 89081, Germany*

⁵*Institute for Materials Research (IMO), Hasselt University, B-3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium and*

⁶*IMOMECA Division, IMEC, B-3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium*

With the advent of quantum technology, nitrogen vacancy (NV) centers in diamond turn out to be a frontier which provides an efficient platform for quantum computation, communication and sensing applications. Due to the coupled spin-charge dynamics of the NV system, knowledge about NV charge state dynamics can help to formulate efficient spin control sequences strategically. Through this paper we report two spectroscopy-based deconvolution methods to create charge state mapping images of ensembles of NV centers in diamond. First, relying on the fact that an off-axis external magnetic field mixes the electronic spins and selectively modifies the photoluminescence (PL) of NV^- , we perform decomposition of the optical spectrum for an ensemble of NVs and extract the spectra for NV^- and NV^0 states. Next, we introduce an optical-filter based decomposition protocol and perform PL imaging for NV^- and NV^0 . Earlier obtained spectra for NV^- and NV^0 states are used to calculate their transmissivities through a long pass optical filter. These results help us to determine the spatial distribution of the NV charge states in a diamond sample.

I. INTRODUCTION

By the virtue of having remarkable quantum properties at room temperature and spin dependent optical response, the nitrogen vacancy NV center in diamond provides an efficient platform to implement protocols of quantum technology [1, 2]. Long coherence time [3], photostable single photon emitting capability [4], the possibility of addressing and manipulating the spins via optical and microwave excitation [5–7], the possibility to readout the spin states by different methods [8] and efficient integrability into photonic structures [9] have led to applications of NV centers in several aspects of quantum technology. Notably, NV centers have exhibited promising applications in quantum information processing [10, 11], magnetometry [12, 13], bio-sensing [14, 15], thermometry [16, 17] and so on. The negatively charged state of the NV center (NV^-) is widely investigated allowing optical and microwave excitation controlled preparation, manipulations and read out of its spin states in an efficient way, whereas, qubits associated with the neutral charge state (NV^0) and their quantum control have not been well explored experimentally, although theoretical proposals have been put forward [18, 19].

In magnetometry applications, ensembles of NV^- centers being operated at ambient conditions have shown excellent efficiency in field-imaging with high spatial resolution [14, 20] and sensitivity up to 1 pT/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ [13, 21]. An ensemble of N NV centers can generate N times the number of photons per unit time compared to a single center and therefore increase the sensitivity by a factor of \sqrt{N} [12]. Moreover, an ensemble, of NVs with four different crystal orientations, allows performing direction-sensitive magnetic field sensing [22]. Although the NV^-

is the target state for sensing applications, the sensitivity is reduced by the presence of neutral charge state as NV^0 centers add a spin-independent PL background to the desired signal which affects the field detection sensitivity [23]. The NV photophysics results in an inter-conversion dynamics between these two charge states [24]. Thus NV^- spin properties and hence the sensing efficiency is affected by the coupled spin-charge dynamics of NV^0 - NV^- composite system [25–28].

The concentration of NV^- and NV^0 centers can vary in different diamond samples depending on the preparation conditions and processing parameters [29]. In fact, for a given diamond substrate there can be a local variation of charge state ratio depending on the local crystal strain, impurity, crush force and so on [30]. Moreover, as a function of various parameters like optical power, magnetic field, illumination wavelength [24, 25], temperature [31] etc., inter-conversion mechanisms of ionization and recombination [24] are involved which cause $NV^- \rightarrow NV^0$ and $NV^0 \rightarrow NV^-$ conversions, respectively. Since the charge state conversion (CSC) influences the NV spin relaxation behaviors, and hence its sensing efficiency, it is required to tailor the CSC dynamics by tuning the above mentioned parameters in such a way that the sensitivity is maximized. In this context, it is necessary to obtain a quantitative picture of NV^- and NV^0 charge states of the NV ensemble at given experimental conditions.

Through this paper we demonstrate two easily implementable yet powerful method to estimate the distribution of NV^- and NV^0 for an ensemble system embedded in a diamond substrate. Earlier reports have demonstrated spectral decomposition for NV charge states by modifying the NV^- PL signal using a microwave field which is resonant between the $m_S = 0$ and $m_S = -1$

states [23] and by studying a number of diamond samples with varying concentration of the charge states [32]. However, our method relies on the external magnetic field dependent optical properties of NV centers and a novel optical filter-based decomposition spectroscopy protocol. The protocol has the flexibility that it can be applied for different diamond samples and at various experimental conditions like, temperature, magnetic field, optical power, illumination wavelength and so on. Thus for a given NV ensemble, one can record the change in NV^- and NV^0 distributions as a function of the physical conditions and determine the change in charge state distribution.

Based on the fact that an off-axis external magnetic field reduces the NV^- spin polarization and hence the PL intensity of the optical spectra, we have performed spectral decomposition to separate out the spectrum of NV^0 and NV^- charge states. Next, we determine the transmissivity of the PL signal from NV^0 and NV^- through an optical filter and use it in our spectral decomposition protocol to create charge state mapping images for NV^0 and NV^- centers.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

Optical and magnetic resonance spectroscopy experiments were performed in a home-built experimental setup where confocal microscopy is combined with optical spectroscopy. We measured ensembles of NVs embedded in a type-Ib single crystal diamond substrate. The NV concentration for this sample is 20 ppm. Fig. 1 shows a simplified schematic diagram of our experimental setup. We use a diode-pumped 532 nm solid state laser for exciting the NV centers. A high numerical aperture (NA=1.3) microscope objective (MO) tightly focuses the excitation beam onto the diamond sample. For creating PL mapping images of the sample, the MO is mounted on a nano-positioning piezo stage which has a traveling range of $100\mu\text{m} \times 100\mu\text{m}$ in XY plane and $20\mu\text{m}$ along the Z axis. The PL signal from the sample is collected by the same MO, is separated from the reflected laser signal by a dichroic mirror and a long pass 550 nm filter and passes through a pinhole which rejects any out-of-focus signal. Next the signal is collimated using a lens and detected by a Si avalanche photodiode (APD) which is sensitive at the single photon level. For optical spectroscopy measurements, we use a flip mirror to couple the signal to the input slit of a CCD spectrometer through an optical fiber.

III. DECOMPOSITION OF OPTICAL SPECTRA INTO NV^0 AND NV^-

The PL emission from an NV center varies with the strength and orientation of an external magnetic field [33–35]. The dependence differs for the two relevant




FIG. 1. Schematic diagram of the home-built confocal set-up which is combined with a spectrometer. A permanent magnet was used to apply the magnetic field to the NV system

charge states, as discussed below. In the absence of a magnetic field, the NV^- system is initialized to the $m_S = 0$ state by optical illumination. However, application of a magnetic field that is not parallel to the NV symmetry axis influences the optical pumping process which results in a redistribution of population in the electronic spin levels $m_S = 0$ and $m_S = \pm 1$ which is termed as spin mixing [33, 34]. An increase in the strength of the applied field enhances the spin mixing. Hence, the probability of non-radiative transitions from the excited $m_S = \pm 1$ states to the ground state through the metastable singlet state increases, which leads to a decrease in the NV^- PL signal [33, 34]. Application of a 600 G field along the [100] axis of a diamond crystal completely depolarizes the electronic spins which can be referred to a fully spin mixed state. However, a change in the applied magnetic field does not have an impact on the the ionization-recombination dynamics [26]. Thus the distribution of population between the NV^0 and NV^- charge states is not influenced by the applied field [26, 36]. It has been observed that the change in strength of the magnetic field does not affect the NV^0 PL intensity for an NV ensemble [26].

We have used this charge state selective change in PL signal due to an off axis magnetic field to separate the contributions from NV^0 and NV^- to the measured PL spectra for an NV ensemble. Under constant excitation with a 532 nm laser, we have measured spectra of an NV ensemble at different strengths of the magnetic field that is not paralleled to the NV axis. We measure NV centers whose axes are equally distributed along the four possible crystallographic directions in a diamond crystal oriented in [100] direction. To reduce the effect of optical and electrical noise, each measurement is averaged over 3000 nominally identical spectra. For each scan, the measurement time is 10 ms and the laser intensity is $4.8 \text{ mW}\mu\text{m}^{-2}$. To make sure that the applied field results in notable spin mixing and we can capture an observable change in NV^- PL as a function of the field, we varied the magnetic field through a large range (≈ 800 G) in steps, keeping its direction fixed, and measured the spectra. The spectra captured at field values that give

the largest change in NV^- PL and therefore the best signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), are used in the analysis. We denote the spectra measured at the low and high field as $A_{low\mathbf{B}}(\lambda)$ and $A_{high\mathbf{B}}(\lambda)$ respectively; they are shown in Fig. 2(a).

We write the spectrum at low field (≈ 170 G) as a composition of the NV^- and NV^0 spectra $A_{NV^0}(\lambda)$ and $A_{NV^-}(\lambda)$ respectively, in Eq. 1.

$$A_{low\mathbf{B}}(\lambda) = A_{NV^0}(\lambda) + A_{NV^-}^{low\mathbf{B}}(\lambda) \quad (1)$$

We aim to decompose $A_{low\mathbf{B}}(\lambda)$ into $A_{NV^0}(\lambda)$ and $A_{NV^-}^{low\mathbf{B}}(\lambda)$. In $A_{high\mathbf{B}}(\lambda)$, the NV^- PL intensity is selectively modified by enhancing spin mixing with a higher magnetic field, while the NV^0 signal is not significantly affected, as discussed earlier. Hence, one can express

$$A_{high\mathbf{B}}(\lambda) = A_{NV^0}(\lambda) + A_{NV^-}^{high\mathbf{B}}(\lambda), \quad (2)$$

where $A_{NV^-}^{high\mathbf{B}}(\lambda)$ is the PL contribution to the total spectrum from NV^- centers at high field. We quantify the change in the NV^- signal as NV_{diff}^- as a result of changing the field by subtracting $A_{high\mathbf{B}}(\lambda)$ from $A_{low\mathbf{B}}(\lambda)$:

$$\begin{aligned} NV_{diff}^- &= A_{low\mathbf{B}}(\lambda) - A_{high\mathbf{B}}(\lambda) \\ &= A_{NV^-}^{low\mathbf{B}}(\lambda) - A_{NV^-}^{high\mathbf{B}}(\lambda) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The inset Fig.2(a) exhibits that NV_{diff}^- does not show any signature of NV^0 ZPL. The inset of Fig. 2(a) shows an enlarged view of the ZPL for $A_{low\mathbf{B}}(\lambda)$, $A_{high\mathbf{B}}(\lambda)$ and NV_{diff}^- and in presence of the low and high magnetic field, where one can observe hardly any difference in ZPL intensity between these two cases. Since the NV_{diff}^- data contains PL contribution solely from NV^- centers, it is possible to determine a scaling factor f , a real positive number, such that the Eq. 4 and 5 are satisfied. There is no evidence of change in the shape of NV spectra as a function of the strength of the applied field. We therefore assume that the factor f does not depend on λ .

$$A_{NV^-}^{low\mathbf{B}}(\lambda) = f * NV_{diff}^- \quad (4)$$

$$A_{NV^0}(\lambda) = A_{low\mathbf{B}}(\lambda) - f * NV_{diff}^- \quad (5)$$

Proper evaluation of the factor f determines the efficiency of the decomposition analysis by assuring that $A_{NV^0}(\lambda)$ and $A_{NV^-}^{low\mathbf{B}}(\lambda)$ do not have any contribution from NV^- and NV^0 PL, respectively. For this purpose, we performed the operations described in Eq. 4 and 5 for a range of values of f and found $f = 6.2$ to be optimal. A higher or lower values result in a dip or peak at 637 nm, the ZPL of NV^- , in the $A_{NV^0}(\lambda)$ curve. Using $f = 6.2$ in Eq. 4 and 5 we can obtain the individual




FIG. 2. (a) Measured NV emission spectra at low (~ 170 G) and high (~ 975 G) magnetic field shown by the green and red curves respectively. The spectra show the ZPL for NV^0 at 575 nm and for NV^- at 637 nm. The blue curve denotes the spectrum that is obtained by subtracting the spectrum at high field from the one recorded at low field. The inset shows an expanded view of the region near the NV^0 ZPL. (b) Extracted NV^0 spectrum (thin red curve), its transmission through a 645 nm long pass filter (thick red curve), extracted NV^- spectrum (thin blue curve) and its transmission through a 645 nm long pass filter (thick blue curve). The NV^- spectrum and its transmission through the filter are manually shifted along the y-axis to make all the four spectra visible in (b).

spectra $A_{NV^-}^{low\mathbf{B}}(\lambda)$ and $A_{NV^0}(\lambda)$, which are shown in Fig. 2(b).

We have performed the decomposition of NV spectra into NV^0 and NV^- for a range of magnetic field values and have used these results to study the field dependence of the PL from NV^0 and NV^- . This analysis also provides us a quantitative estimation of the scaling factor f for a change in magnetic field from B_1 to B_2 , where $B_2 > B_1$. We express the NV spectra $S_{NV}(\lambda)$ as a linear combination of the contributions from NV^0 and NV^- emission in the following way:

$$S_{NV}(\lambda; B) = C_0(B)\hat{S}_{NV^0}(\lambda) + C_-(B)\hat{S}_{NV^-}(\lambda), \quad (6)$$

$\hat{S}_{NV^0}(\lambda)$ and $\hat{S}_{NV^-}(\lambda)$ are the normalized base spectra, that are derived from the NV^0 and NV^- spectra we obtained earlier in this section by analyzing the spectro-

scopic results at magnetic fields of 170 G and 975 G, such that $\int \hat{S}_{NV^0}(\lambda)d\lambda = \int \hat{S}_{NV^-}(\lambda)d\lambda = 1$. $C_0(B)$ and $C_-(B)$ quantify the contributions to the PL spectra from NV^0 and NV^- states.

By using Eq. 4, 5 and 6 it is straightforward to express f for a change in magnetic field from B_1 to B_2 as

$$f(B_1; B_2) = \frac{C_-(B_1)}{C_0(B_1) + C_-(B_1) - C_0(B_2) - C_-(B_2)} \quad (7)$$

We determined $C_0(B)$ and $C_-(B)$ by fitting the PL spectra measured at different magnetic fields to $S_{NV}(\lambda; B)$. The experimental spectra measured at 170 G and its fit to $S_{NV}(\lambda; B)$ is shown in Fig.3(a). We fit $S_{NV}(\lambda; B)$ to the spectra measured at different magnetic fields and have estimated the values of $C_0(B)$ and $C_-(B)$ which are shown in Fig.3(b). The plot suggests that NV^- PL decreases with increasing magnetic field strength which supports spin-mixing induced PL reduction discussed earlier in this section. At a certain field value, the NV^- PL reaches a minimum which indicates the fully spin-mixed state. However with further increase in magnetic field, we see a slight enhancement in NV^- PL which can happen due to the influence of magnetic field on the singlet to triplet state transition rate at the excited state of the NV [36]. It is worth noting that there is not any observable change in the PL from NV^0 as a function of magnetic field, which allows us to rewrite Eq. (7) as

$$f(B_1; B_2) = \frac{C_-(B_1)}{C_-(B_1) - C_-(B_2)}. \quad (8)$$

To quantify the factor $f(B_1; B_2)$ at different magnetic field regimes and intervals, we use the obtained values of $C_0(B)$ and $C_-(B)$ in Eq. 8 and have calculated $f(B_1; B_2)$. B_1 is varied from 170G to 550G, where B_2 is varied from 248G to 975G. The complete spin mixing, i.e. total depolarization of the electronic spins, happens at 829 G which corresponds to the minima in the field dependent C_{NV^-} values shown in Fig. 3(b) and f values shown in Fig. 3(c). One can see from Fig. 3(c), that $f(B_1; B_2)$ decreases with increasing field difference until the fully spin mixed state is reached. Afterwards, $f(B_1; B_2)$ increases with increasing $(B_2 - B_1)$ due to the NV excited state singlet-triplet transition rate dependence on magnetic field [36]. Note that for the same variation in magnetic field, $f(B_1; B_2)$ can take different values depending on B_1 . The data point representing the value of $f(170G; 975G) \approx 6.2$, which we used in our analysis, is denoted by a square box. A lower value of $f(B_1; B_2)$ signifies a considerable variation in NV^- PL upon changing the magnetic field, which suggests that the spectra measured at 170G and 975G provided a good SNR in our NV^- - NV^0 spectral decomposition analysis. The above protocol of separating out the NV^- and NV^0 spectral components can be used to perform charge state imaging for NV centers. We assume $I^{lowB}(X, Y)$ and




FIG. 3. (a) The NV spectra (red curve) measured at an applied field of 170G and its fit to $S_{NV}(\lambda; B)$ (black curve). (b) Variation of NV^- and NV^0 PL as a function of applied magnetic field strength. (c) Dependence of $f(B_1; B_2)$ on magnetic field variation.

$I^{highB}(X, Y)$ as shown in Fig.4(a) and (b) are spatial maps captured for an NV ensemble in low(170G) and high(975G) magnetic field and we aim to decompose $I^{lowB}(X, Y)$ into the maps for NV^- and NV^0 . To enhance the SNR, at each field the maps were collected four times and added up. Provided that apart from NV centers, there are no other defect centers or other impurities, which generate PL under 532 nm laser excitation, one can write

$$I^{lowB}(X, Y) = I^{NV^0}(X, Y) + I^{NV^-}(X, Y), \quad (9)$$

where $I^{NV^0}(X, Y)$ and $I^{NV^-}(X, Y)$ are the PL matrices that consist of contribution of PL from NV^0 and NV^- centers only. Following the arguments made earlier in this section, we can calculate $I^{diff}(X, Y)$, as

$$I^{diff}(X, Y) = I^{lowB}(X, Y) - I^{highB}(X, Y), \quad (10)$$

which should be a pure NV^- PL signal.

Assuming that the value $f = 6.2$ does not vary over the region of microscopy, we have created maps of the charge states NV^- and NV^0 , $I^{NV^-}(X, Y) = f \times I^{diff}(X, Y)$ and $I^{NV^0}(X, Y) = I^{lowB}(X, Y) - f \times I^{diff}(X, Y)$ and have shown their contribution to $I^{lowB}(X, Y)$ in Fig.4(c) and (d).




FIG. 4. PL maps for an NV ensemble at (a) 170 G and (b) 975 G magnetic fields. Contribution of (c) NV^0 and (d) NV^- PL to the map shown in (a).




FIG. 5. Measured PL mapping images (a) without and (b) with the 645 nm long pass filter. Mapping image of the fraction of PL signal contribution from (c) NV^0 (d) NV^- centers into the image shown in (a), calculated using our decomposition method.

IV. OPTICAL-FILTER BASED SPECTRAL DECOMPOSITION

Here we demonstrate a protocol to decompose the steady state NV^0 and NV^- signals and construct separate PL images for these two charge states. We captured the PL mapping image of an ensemble of NV centers extended over an area of $20\ \mu\text{m} \times 20\ \mu\text{m}$ which we denote as $M_0(X, Y)$ and show in Fig. 5(a). We captured spectra at different spatial positions of this NV ensemble and observed the clear signature of the ZPLs for NV^- and NV^0 .

Hence, the $20\ \mu\text{m} \times 20\ \mu\text{m}$ PL mapping image consists of signal contributions both from NV^- and NV^0 , which we like to separate using our method. We express

$$M_0(X, Y) = NV_{map}^0(X, Y) + NV_{map}^-(X, Y), \quad (11)$$

which signifies that M_0 is the sum of the two component signals $NV_{map}^0(X, Y)$ and $NV_{map}^-(X, Y)$, which map the signal from NV^0 and NV^- centers, respectively. Next, we include a 645 nm long pass filter (LPF), which has different transmissions for the signal from NV^0 and NV^- and record a PL image of the same $20\ \mu\text{m} \times 20\ \mu\text{m}$ area. We call this image matrix $M_{LPF}(X, Y)$ and show it in Fig.5(b). The 645 LPF transmission function for a range of λ between 550 nm and 850 nm is

$$F_{LPF}(\lambda) = 0.9/(1 + e^{-(\lambda-645)/6.9}) \quad (12)$$

We write t^0 and t^- for the average transmissivity of the PL signal from NV^0 and NV^- through the LPF. We then express $M_{LPF}(X, Y)$ as

$$M_{LPF}(X, Y) = t^0 NV_{map}^0(X, Y) + t^- NV_{map}^-(X, Y) \quad (13)$$

To calculate t^0 and t^- , we use the decomposed spectra of NV^0 and NV^- shown by the thin red and blue curves in Fig. 2(b) and the thick red and blue curves $A_{NV^0}^{LPF}(\lambda)$ and $A_{NV^-}^{LPF}(\lambda)$ in Fig. 2(b), which represent the spectra after the 645 LPF. Thus, the ratio of the integrated area under the filter modulated curve to the corresponding original spectrum gives us the filter transmittivity:

$$t^0 = \int_{550}^{850} A_{NV^0}^{LPF}(\lambda) d\lambda / \int_{550}^{850} A_{NV^0}(\lambda) d\lambda \quad (14)$$

$$t^- = \int_{550}^{850} A_{NV^-}^{LPF}(\lambda) d\lambda / \int_{550}^{850} A_{NV^-}^{lowB}(\lambda) d\lambda \quad (15)$$

Upon solving Eq.11 and 13 it is straightforward to calculate $NV_{map}^0(X, Y)$ and $NV_{map}^-(X, Y)$ which are given by

$$NV_{map}^0(X, Y) = \frac{M_{LPF}(X, Y) - t^- M_0(X, Y)}{t^0 - t^-} \quad (16)$$

$$NV_{map}^-(X, Y) = \frac{t^0 M_0(X, Y) - M_{LPF}(X, Y)}{t^0 - t^-} \quad (17)$$

We perform the integration mentioned in Eq.14 and 15 in the wavelength range of 550 to 850 nm, which covers > 99% of the emission spectra (The CCD arrays in the spectrometer covers the wavelength range of 200-1160 nm.). The resulting values are $t^0 = 0.3$ and




FIG. 6. Simulated PL mapping images (a) without and (b) with the 645 nm long pass filter. The mapping images for (c) NV^0 and (d) NV^- centers decomposed using Eq.16 and 17 .

$t^- = 0.8$. Using these values, we obtained the separate maps $NV_{map}^0(X, Y)$ and $NV_{map}^-(X, Y)$. Fig.5(c) and 5(d) show the relative contributions of $NV_{map}^0(X, Y)$ and $NV_{map}^-(X, Y)$ to $M_0(X, Y)$.

As an additional check of this method, we decompose a simulated PL matrix which contains signal both from NV^0 and NV^- . Fig.6(a) represents the simulated PL mapping image $M_0(X, Y)$, where the pixels inside the letters 'NV⁰', 'NV⁻' are assigned with PL signals from $NV^0(PL_{NV^0})$, $NV^-(PL_{NV^-})$ and rest of the pixels have the value zero. These values of the PL signal represent total count rates. Now we simulate a PL mapping image $M_{LFP}(X, Y)$ assuming that we place a 645 LFP before the detector, while keeping other conditions unchanged. This is expressed by a PL matrix where the pixels are $t^0 * PL_{NV^0}$ and $t^- * PL_{NV^-}$. From Eq.16 and 17, using the values of t^0 and t^- , we obtain the matrices $NV_{map}^0(X, Y)$ and $NV_{map}^-(X, Y)$. Fig.6(c) and 6(d) show these maps as fractional contributions to $M_0(X, Y)$. One can observe that Fig.6(c) comprises NV^0 PL of equal in-

tensity at the pixel positions at 'NV⁰' like in $M_0(X, Y)$, whereas Fig.6(d) contains only NV^- PL at the corresponding pixels as $M_0(X, Y)$. The pixels with zero value are zero in both $NV_{map}^0(X, Y)$ and $NV_{map}^-(X, Y)$. Hence, we conclude that our filter-based decomposition protocol can perform charge state imaging for NV centers with full efficiency. However, the simulated image $M_0(X, Y)$ contained fully spatially resolved signal from NV^0 and NV^- which allowed us spatial decomposition of the charge states. For this test, we have assumed that there are no other sources of PL under 532 nm excitation apart from NV centers in one of the 2 charge states that contribute to the PL. However, in case of other PL sources, like other color centers, it is possible to modify the method by using additional optical filters. Thus the method has the flexibility to decompose a PL map which is composed of spectrum of different origins and create PL mapping images for the concerned elementary color centers.

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, through this paper we have demonstrated two novel techniques: a spectral decomposition technique to decompose the spectrum of NV^- and NV^0 , and a deconvolution protocol to create separate PL imaging for NV^- and NV^0 . Our spectral decomposition technique is based on the response of the PL signal from NV^- charge state under the influence of an off-axis magnetic field, whereas NV^0 center does not show any observable change in PL as a function of magnetic field. Importantly, for NV spectra measured for different diamond samples and different magnetic fields, our spectral decomposition method remains applicable. Subsequently, relying on the fact that a 645 nm long pass filter modulates the NV^- and NV^0 signal in different ways, we have applied a spectral decomposition protocol and created PL mapping images for NV^- and NV^0 centers separately. The method turns out to be fully efficient to decompose when we test it on a simulated mapping image having signal both from NV^- and NV^0 .

-
- [1] F. Jelezko and J. Wrachtrup, Phys. Stat. Sol. (a) **13**, 3207 (2006).
 - [2] M. W. Doherty, N. B. Manson, P. Delaney, F. Jelezko, J. Wrachtrup, and L. C. L. Hollenberg, *The nitrogen-vacancy colour centre in diamond*, Phys. Rep **528**, 1 (2013).
 - [3] G. Balasubramanian, P. Neumann, D. Twitchen, M. Markham, R. Kolesov, N. Mizuochi, J. Isoya, J. Achard, J. Beck, J. Tessler, *et al.*, Nature materials **8**, 383 (2009).
 - [4] C. Kurtsiefer, S. Mayer, P. Zarda, and H. Weinfurter, Physical review letters **85**, 290 (2000).
 - [5] L. Childress and et al., Science **314**, 281 (2006).
 - [6] M. V. G. Dutt, L. Childress, L. Jiang, E. Togan, J. Maze, F. Jelezko, A. S. Zibrov, P. R. Hemmer, and M. D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **316**, 1312 (2007).
 - [7] T. Chakraborty, J. Zhang, and D. Suter, New J. Phys. **19**, 073030 (2017).
 - [8] D. Hopper, H. Shulevitz, and L. Bassett, Micromachines **9**, 437 (2018).
 - [9] A. Sipahigil, R. E. Evans, D. D. Sukachev, M. J. Burek, J. Borregaard, M. K. Bhaskar, C. T. Nguyen, J. L. Pacheco, H. A. Atikian, C. Meuwly, *et al.*, Science **354**, 847 (2016).
 - [10] H. Bernien and et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 043604 (2012).

- [11] P. Neumann, N. Mizuochi, F. Rempp, P. Hemmer, H. Watanabe, S. Yamasaki, V. Jacques, T. Gaebel, F. Jelezko, and J. Wrachtrup, *Science* **320**, 1326 (2008).
- [12] L. Rondin, J.-P. Tetienne, T. Hingant, J.-F. Roch, P. Maletinsky, and V. Jacques, *Reports on progress in physics* **77**, 056503 (2014).
- [13] T. Wolf, P. Neumann, K. Nakamura, H. Sumiya, T. Ohshima, J. Isoya, and J. Wrachtrup, *Physical Review X* **5**, 041001 (2015).
- [14] R. Schirhagl, K. Chang, M. Loretz, and C. L. Degen, *Annual review of physical chemistry* **65**, 83 (2014).
- [15] S. Haziza, N. Mohan, Y. Loe-Mie, A.-M. Lepagnol-Bestel, S. Massou, M.-P. Adam, X. L. Le, J. Viard, C. Plancon, R. Daudin, *et al.*, *Nature nanotechnology* **12**, 322 (2017).
- [16] J. Wang, F. Feng, J. Zhang, J. Chen, Z. Zheng, L. Guo, W. Zhang, X. Song, G. Guo, L. Fan, *et al.*, *Physical Review B* **91**, 155404 (2015).
- [17] P. Neumann, I. Jakobi, F. Dolde, C. Burk, R. Reuter, G. Waldherr, J. Honert, T. Wolf, A. Brunner, J. H. Shim, *et al.*, *Nano letters* **13**, 2738 (2013).
- [18] S. Felton, A. Edmonds, M. E. Newton, P. Martineau, D. Fisher, and D. Twitchen, *Physical Review B* **77**, 081201 (2008).
- [19] A. Gali, *Physical Review B* **79**, 235210 (2009).
- [20] F. Casola, T. van der Sar, and A. Yacoby, *Nature Reviews Materials* **3**, 1 (2018).
- [21] J. F. Barry, J. M. Schloss, E. Bauch, M. J. Turner, C. A. Hart, L. M. Pham, and R. L. Walsworth, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **92**, 015004 (2020).
- [22] K. Yahata, Y. Matsuzaki, S. Saito, H. Watanabe, and J. Ishi-Hayase, *Applied Physics Letters* **114**, 022404 (2019).
- [23] D. A. Craik, P. Kehayias, A. Greenspon, X. Zhang, M. Turner, J. Schloss, E. Bauch, C. Hart, E. Hu, and R. Walsworth, *Physical Review Applied* **14**, 014009 (2020).
- [24] N. Aslam, G. Waldherr, P. Neumann, F. Jelezko, and J. Wrachtrup, *New Journal of Physics* **15**, 013064 (2013).
- [25] R. Giri, F. Gorrini, C. Dorigoni, C. Avalos, M. Cazzanelli, S. Tambalo, and A. Bifone, *Physical Review B* **98**, 045401 (2018).
- [26] R. Giri, C. Dorigoni, S. Tambalo, F. Gorrini, and A. Bifone, *Physical Review B* **99**, 155426 (2019).
- [27] S. Dhomkar, J. Henshaw, H. Jayakumar, and C. A. Meriles, *Science advances* **2**, e1600911 (2016).
- [28] K. R. K. Rao, Y. Wang, J. Zhang, and D. Suter, *Physical Review A* **101**, 013835 (2020).
- [29] N. B. Manson, M. Hedges, M. S. Barson, R. Ahlefeldt, M. W. Doherty, H. Abe, T. Ohshima, and M. J. Sellars, *New Journal of Physics* **20**, 113037 (2018).
- [30] T. L. McCormick, W. Jackson, and R. Nemanich, *Journal of materials research* **12**, 253 (1997).
- [31] X.-D. Chen, C.-H. Dong, F.-W. Sun, C.-L. Zou, J.-M. Cui, Z.-F. Han, and G.-C. Guo, *Applied Physics Letters* **99**, 161903 (2011).
- [32] S. T. Alsid, J. F. Barry, L. M. Pham, J. M. Schloss, M. F. O’Keeffe, P. Cappellaro, and D. A. Braje, *Physical Review Applied* **12**, 044003 (2019).
- [33] N. D. Lai, D. Zheng, F. Jelezko, F. Treussart, and J.-F. Roch, *Applied Physics Letters* **95**, 133101 (2009).
- [34] J. Tetienne, L. Rondin, P. Spinicelli, M. Chipaux, T. Debuisschert, J. Roch, and V. Jacques, *New Journal of Physics* **14**, 103033 (2012).
- [35] R. Epstein, F. Mendoza, Y. Kato, and D. Awschalom, *Nature physics* **1**, 94 (2005).
- [36] M. Capelli, P. Reineck, D. Lau, A. Orth, J. Jeske, M. Doherty, T. Ohshima, A. Greentree, and B. Gibson, *Nanoscale* **9**, 9299 (2017).