

TANGENT BUNDLE OF A MANIFOLD OF $K3^{[2]}$ -TYPE IS RIGID

VOLODYMYR GAVRAN

ABSTRACT. We prove that the tangent bundle of a manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type is rigid.

1. INTRODUCTION

M. Verbitsky in the work [4] showed that for a hyperholomorphic vector bundle F on a hyperkähler manifold X there are no obstructions for stable deformations of F besides the Yoneda pairing on $\text{Ext}^1(F, F)$. Moreover, he proved the existence of a canonical hyperkähler structure on the reduction of the coarse moduli space of stable deformations of F . If S is a K3 surface then it is known that the Hilbert scheme $S^{[n]}$ is a hyperkähler manifold and the tangent bundle $T_{S^{[n]}}$ is a hyperholomorphic bundle on $S^{[n]}$. Thus, the investigation of the deformation space of the bundle $T_{S^{[n]}}$ is a very natural and interesting question from the point of view of hyperkähler geometry. This question also appeared in [1] in the context of the Lefschetz standard conjecture for hyperkähler manifolds. It was mentioned there without a proof that for $n = 2$ the tangent bundle might actually be rigid. In the present note we confirm this statement by proving the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type. Then the tangent bundle T_X is infinitesimally rigid, i.e. $H^1(X, \mathcal{E}nd(T_X)) = 0$.*

The proof of this statement is given in sections 3 and 4. It follows from explicit computations in the case when X is the second Hilbert scheme of a K3 surface and then from application of general results from the theory of hyperholomorphic bundles [4]. For $n > 2$ the question about deformations of $T_{S^{[n]}}$ seems to be much more difficult due to more complicated geometry of the corresponding Hilbert scheme and thus should be considered separately.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to Christopher Brav and Misha Verbitsky for helpful discussions and to François Charles for suggestions.

2. HILBERT SQUARE

For a smooth projective surface S the Hilbert scheme of length-2 subschemes of S is denoted by $S^{[2]}$. Let $\Delta : S \hookrightarrow S \times S$ be the diagonal embedding, $p_1, p'_1 : S \times S \rightarrow S$ be the projections onto the first and the second component and $\sigma : Z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Bl}_\Delta(S \times S) \rightarrow S \times S$ be the blowup of $S \times S$ in Δ . The natural action of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_2 on $S \times S$ extends to an action on Z and the Hilbert square $S^{[2]}$ is the quotient of Z by this action. By q_2 we denote the corresponding quotient map $Z \rightarrow S^{[2]}$. Let $j : E \hookrightarrow Z$ be the exceptional divisor of σ . Recall that $E \cong \mathbb{P}(T_S)$ is a projective bundle over S and we have the relative Euler exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{E/S} \rightarrow \pi^* \Omega_S(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow 0. \quad (1)$$

Also, by $\iota : D \hookrightarrow S^{[2]}$ we denote the isomorphic image of E by q_2 . The divisor D is precisely the locus parametrizing non-reduced subschemes of S of length two.

Put $q_1 := p_1 \circ \sigma$ and $q'_1 := p'_1 \circ \sigma$. The following diagram depicts the relationship among all the natural maps between the varieties that we mentioned:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & E \cong \mathbb{P}(T_S) & \xleftarrow{j} & Z & \\
 & \swarrow \pi & & \downarrow q_1 & \searrow q_2 \\
 S & \xleftarrow{\Delta} & S \times S & \xrightarrow{p_1} & S & \xrightarrow{\iota} & S^{[2]} & \xleftarrow{\iota} & D. \\
 & & \downarrow p'_1 & & & & & & \\
 & & S & & & & & &
 \end{array} \tag{2}$$

Here $\pi : E \rightarrow S$ is the projective bundle map and the equality $\sigma \circ j = \Delta \circ \pi$ yields $q_1 \circ j = \pi$.

Note that Z is isomorphic to the universal closed subscheme $\mathcal{Z} := \{(x, \xi) \mid x \in \text{Supp}(\xi)\}$ in $S \times S^{[2]}$ and for any coherent sheaf F over S there is the incidence exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow q_1^* F(-E) \rightarrow q_2^* F^{[2]} \rightarrow q_1^* F \rightarrow 0, \tag{3}$$

where $F^{[2]}$ is the image of F under the tautological functor $q_{2*} q_1^* : \text{Coh}(S) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(S^{[2]})$ (see [3, p. 193]).

Recall that $q_{2*} \mathcal{O}_Z \cong \mathcal{O}_{S^{[2]}} \oplus L^{-1}$, where the line bundle L^{-1} is the eigenspace to the eigenvalue -1 of the cover involution. Moreover, $L^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathcal{O}_{S^{[2]}}(D)$ and $q_2^* L \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(E)$. Note that $q_{2*} j_* \mathcal{O}_E \cong \iota_* \mathcal{O}_D$ and $q_2^* \iota_* \mathcal{O}_D \cong \mathcal{O}_{2E}$.

There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow q_2^* \Omega_{S^{[2]}}(E) \rightarrow \Omega_Z(E) \rightarrow j_* \mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow 0 \tag{4}$$

and an isomorphism

$$\Omega_{S^{[2]}} \cong q_{2*}(\mathcal{N}_{Z/S \times S^{[2]}}^\vee(E)).$$

The sequence (4) implies that $\omega_{q_2} \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(E)$, hence the right adjoint functor to q_{2*} is $q_2^!(-) = q_2^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(E)$.

Now we write down the exact sequence defining the cotangent bundle on $S^{[2]}$. Putting left non-zero arrow of the sequence (4) together with the conormal exact sequence of the embedding $Z \hookrightarrow S \times S^{[2]}$ twisted by E into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & q_2^* \Omega_{S^{[2]}}(E) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & q_2^* \Omega_{S^{[2]}}(E) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_{Z/S \times S^{[2]}}^\vee(E) & \longrightarrow & q_1^* \Omega_S(E) \oplus q_2^* \Omega_{S^{[2]}}(E) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_Z(E)
 \end{array} \tag{5}$$

and applying the snake lemma we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{Z/S \times S^{[2]}}^\vee(E) \rightarrow q_1^* \Omega_S(E) \rightarrow j_* \mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow 0. \tag{6}$$

After pushing forward (6) along q_2 we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{S^{[2]}} \rightarrow \Omega_S^{[2]} \otimes L \rightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{O}_D \rightarrow 0. \tag{7}$$

3. COMPUTATION FOR THE HILBERT SQUARE OF K3 SURFACE

From now on we assume that S is a K3 surface. We fix some isomorphism $T_S \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega_S$. The isomorphism $\omega_S \cong \mathcal{O}_S$ yields $\omega_Z \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(E)$. From the Euler sequence (1) it follows that $\Omega_{E/S} \cong \mathcal{O}_E(-2)$. From stability of Ω_S we have that $\text{Hom}(\Omega_S, \Omega_S) \cong \mathbb{C}$. The latter implies that $H^0(S, \text{Sym}^2(\Omega_S)) = 0$. Also, we will use the equality $H^0(S, \Omega_S) = 0$ which by [2, Remark 3.19] and by stability of Ω_S implies that $\text{Hom}(\Omega_S^{[2]}, \Omega_S^{[2]}) \cong \mathbb{C}$.

To prove the Theorem 1.1 in this case it is enough to show the following two equalities

$$\text{Ext}^1(\Omega_S^{[2]} \otimes L, \Omega_{S^{[2]}}) = 0, \tag{8}$$

$$\text{Ext}^2(\iota_* \mathcal{O}_D, \Omega_{S^{[2]}}) = 0. \tag{9}$$

Lemma 3.1. *The following equalities hold*

- (i) $\mathrm{Hom}(q_1^*\Omega_S(E), j_*\mathcal{O}_E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(q_1^*\Omega_S(E), q_1^*\Omega_S(E)|_E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(q_1^*\Omega_S(E)|_E, j_*\mathcal{O}_E) \cong \mathbb{C}$,
- (ii) $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\iota_*\mathcal{O}_D, \iota_*\mathcal{O}_D) = 0$,
- (iii) $\mathrm{Hom}(\Omega_S^{[2]} \otimes L, \iota_*\mathcal{O}_D) \cong \mathbb{C}$,
- (iv) $\mathrm{Ext}^2(\mathcal{O}_{2E}, q_1^*\Omega_S) = 0$,
- (v) $\mathrm{Ext}^k(q_2^*\Omega_S^{[2]}, q_1^*\Omega_S(-E)) = 0$ for $k = 0, 1$,
- (vi) $\mathrm{Ext}^k(q_2^*\Omega_S^{[2]}, j_*\Omega_{E/S}(-E)) = 0$ for $k = 0, 1$,
- (vii) $\mathrm{Ext}^2(\mathcal{O}_{2E}, j_*\Omega_{E/S}) = 0$.

Proof. All listed equalities are straightforward consequences of standard adjunctions, properties of the blow-up and projective bundle map $E \rightarrow S$, so we only give sketched proofs.

(i) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}(q_1^*\Omega_S(E), j_*\mathcal{O}_E) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(p_1^*\Omega_S, \sigma_*j_*\mathcal{O}_E(-E)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(p_1^*\Omega_S, \Delta_*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_E(1)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(p_1^*\Omega_S, \Delta_*T_S) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(\Omega_S, T_S) \\ &\cong \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

By the projection formula we have that $\mathrm{Hom}(q_1^*\Omega_S(E), q_1^*\Omega_S(E)|_E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\Omega_S, \Omega_S) \cong \mathbb{C}$. Finally, $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi^*\Omega_S(E), \mathcal{O}_E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\Omega_S, T_S) \cong \mathbb{C}$. From the fact that $q_1 \circ j = \pi$ and since the functor j_* is fully faithful on the level of abelian categories, we get $\mathrm{Hom}(q_1^*\Omega_S(E)|_E, j_*\mathcal{O}_E) \cong \mathbb{C}$.

(ii) Using that $Lj^*\mathcal{O}_{2E} \cong \mathcal{O}_E \oplus \mathcal{O}_E(-2E)[1]$ and $R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_E(-2) \cong \omega_S^\vee[-1]$, by the adjunction and the projection formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ext}^k(\iota_*\mathcal{O}_D, \iota_*\mathcal{O}_D) &\cong \mathrm{Ext}^k(\iota_*\mathcal{O}_D, q_{2*}j_*\mathcal{O}_E) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Ext}^k(q_2^*\iota_*\mathcal{O}_D, j_*\mathcal{O}_E) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Ext}^k(\mathcal{O}_{2E}, j_*\mathcal{O}_E) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Ext}^k(\mathcal{O}_E, \mathcal{O}_E) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}^{k-1}(\mathcal{O}_E, \mathcal{O}_E(2E)) \\ &\cong H^k(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \oplus H^{k-2}(S, \omega_S^\vee). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\iota_*\mathcal{O}_D, \iota_*\mathcal{O}_D) = 0$.

(iii) Since $\mathrm{Hom}(q_1^*\Omega_S, j_*\mathcal{O}_E) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(q_1^*\Omega_S, j_*\mathcal{O}_E(-E)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\Omega_S, T_S) \cong \mathbb{C}$, from the exact sequence (3) with $F = \Omega_S$ we have that $\mathrm{Hom}(q_2^*\Omega_S^{[2]}, j_*\mathcal{O}_E(-E)) \cong \mathbb{C}$. Then $\mathrm{Hom}(\Omega_S^{[2]} \otimes L, \iota_*\mathcal{O}_D) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(q_2^*\Omega_S^{[2]}, j_*\mathcal{O}_E(-E)) \cong \mathbb{C}$.

(iv) Applying σ_* to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow j_*\mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{2E}(E) \rightarrow j_*\mathcal{O}_E(E) \rightarrow 0 \quad (10)$$

and using the equality $R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_E(-1) = 0$ we obtain that $R\sigma_*\mathcal{O}_{2E}(E) \cong \mathcal{O}_\Delta$. Thus $\mathrm{Ext}^2(q_1^*\Omega_S, \mathcal{O}_{2E}(E)) \cong H^2(S, T_S) = 0$. The assertion then follows from the Serre duality.

(v) Applying adjunctions $q_1^* \dashv q_{1*}$ and $q_{2*} \dashv q_2^!$ we obtain $\mathrm{Ext}^k(q_2^*\Omega_S^{[2]}, q_1^*\Omega_S(-E)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^k(q_1^*\Omega_S, q_2^*\Omega_S^{[2]})$. Since $Rq_{1*}q_1^*\Omega_S \cong H^1(S, \Omega_S) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S[-1]$ we have that $\mathrm{Ext}^k(q_1^*\Omega_S, q_1^*\Omega_S) = 0$ for $k = 0, 1$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S \times S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rightarrow 0$$

and the condition $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$ we obtain $Rp_{1*}I_\Delta = \mathcal{O}_S[-2]$. This implies that $\mathrm{Ext}^k(q_1^*\Omega_S, q_1^*\Omega_S(-E)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^k(\Omega_S, \Omega_S \otimes Rp_{1*}I_\Delta) = 0$ for $k = 0, 1$. Now, applying $\mathrm{Hom}(q_1^*\Omega_S, -)$ to the incidence exact sequence (3) with $F = \Omega_S$, we obtain the desired statement.

(vi) Applying σ_* to the sequence (10) twisted by E , we obtain the isomorphism $R\sigma_*\mathcal{O}_{2E}(2E) \cong \mathcal{O}_\Delta[-1]$. Together with the isomorphism $\Omega_{E/S} \cong \mathcal{O}_E(-2)$ it gives

$$\mathrm{Ext}^k(q_2^*\Omega_S^{[2]}, j_*\Omega_{E/S}(-E)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^k(\Omega_S^{[2]}, \iota_*\mathcal{O}_D \otimes L)$$

$$\cong \text{Ext}^k(q_1^*\Omega_S, \mathcal{O}_{2E}(2E)) \cong \text{Ext}^{k-1}(\Omega_S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$$

for $k = 0, 1$.

(vii) We have that $\text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{O}_{2E}, j_*\Omega_{E/S}) \cong H^1(S, \omega_S^\vee) \oplus H^0(S, \text{Sym}^2(T_S)) = 0$. \square

From Lemma 3.1(1) we have that the map $q_1^*\Omega_S(E) \rightarrow j_*\mathcal{O}_E$ in the exact sequence (6) factors as the composition of natural maps

$$q_1^*\Omega_S(E) \longrightarrow q_1^*\Omega_S(E)|_E \longrightarrow j_*\mathcal{O}_E, \quad (11)$$

where the second map is the pushforward along j of the quotient map $\pi^*\Omega_S(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$ in the Euler exact sequence.

Consider the maps

$$\alpha_k : \text{Ext}^k(\Omega_S^{[2]}, \Omega_S^{[2]}) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^k(\Omega_S^{[2]} \otimes L, \iota_*\mathcal{O}_D), \quad k = 0, 1, \quad (12)$$

$$\beta_2 : \text{Ext}^2(\iota_*\mathcal{O}_D, \Omega_S^{[2]} \otimes L) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^2(\iota_*\mathcal{O}_D, \iota_*\mathcal{O}_D), \quad (13)$$

coming from the exact sequence (7). By the adjunction $q_2^* \dashv q_{2*}$ and factorization (11) the map α_k can be written as the composition

$$\text{Ext}^k(q_2^*\Omega_S^{[2]}, q_1^*\Omega_S) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^k(q_2^*\Omega_S^{[2]}, q_1^*\Omega_S|_E) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^k(q_2^*\Omega_S^{[2]}, j_*\mathcal{O}_E(-E)). \quad (14)$$

From assertions (v) and (vi) of Lemma 3.1 it follows that both maps in (14) are injective, thus α_0 and α_1 are injective as well. Moreover, by Lemma 3.1(iii) we get that α_0 is an isomorphism since it is a map between one-dimensional vector spaces. This implies the equality (8).

Similarly, we now decompose β_2 as

$$\text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{O}_{2E}, q_1^*\Omega_S(E)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{O}_{2E}, q_1^*\Omega_S(E)|_E) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{O}_{2E}, j_*\mathcal{O}_E). \quad (15)$$

Lemma 3.1(iv) implies the injectivity of the first map in (15). The injectivity of the second map follows from Lemma 3.1(vii). This shows that β_2 is injective, which together with Lemma 3.1(ii) gives the vanishing (9).

4. GENERAL CASE

Let X be an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold and $\mathcal{H} = (I, J, K)$ be the corresponding hyperkähler structure. For any triple $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1$ the operator $L := aI + bJ + cK$ defines a complex structure on X . Such a complex structure L is called *induced by the hyperkähler structure*. The space $Q_{\mathcal{H}}$ of all induced complex structures of \mathcal{H} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^1$ and is called *the twistor line* of \mathcal{H} . Denote by Comp_X the coarse moduli space of complex structures on X . Then for each hyperkähler structure we have an embedding $Q_{\mathcal{H}} \subset \text{Comp}_X$.

Definition 4.1. A *twistor path* in Comp_X is a collection of consecutively intersecting twistor lines $Q_0, \dots, Q_n \subset \text{Comp}_X$. Two points $I, I' \in \text{Comp}_X$ are called *equivalent* if there exists a twistor path $\gamma = Q_0, \dots, Q_n$ such that $I \in Q_0$ and $I' \in Q_n$. The path γ is then called a *connecting path* of I and I' .

Theorem 4.2. [5, Theorem 3.2] *Any two points $I, I' \in \text{Comp}_X$ are equivalent.*

Now we recall the definition of a hyperholomorphic bundle over X .

Definition 4.3. Let F be a holomorphic vector bundle over (X, L) with a Hermitian connection ∇ on F . The connection ∇ is called *compatible with a holomorphic structure* if $\nabla_v(\xi) = 0$ for any holomorphic section $\xi \in F$ and any antiholomorphic tangent vector v . If there exists a holomorphic structure compatible with the given Hermitian connection ∇ , then this connection is called *integrable*. The connection ∇ is called *hyperholomorphic* if it is integrable for any complex structure induced by the hyperkähler structure. Then F is called a *hyperholomorphic bundle*.

For an induced complex structure L denote by $H_L^*(X, F)$ the holomorphic cohomologies of F with respect to L . We mention the following important property of hyperholomorphic bundles.

Theorem 4.4. [4, Corollary 8.1] *Let F be a hyperholomorphic vector bundle. Then for any $i \geq 0$ the dimension of the space $H_L^i(X, \text{End}(F))$ is independent of an induced complex structure L .*

Note that the tangent bundle T_X equipped with the Levi-Civita connection is always hyperholomorphic (see [6, Example 2.9(i)]). By Theorem 4.2, for any deformation $X' = (X, I')$, $I' \in \mathbf{Comp}_X$ of (X, I) there exists a twistor path γ connecting I' and I . Since T_X is hyperholomorphic, the dimension of the cohomology space $H^1(X, \mathcal{E}nd(T_X))$ is constant along γ by Theorem 4.4. In the case when X is a manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type this dimension is equal to zero by the result of Section 3. This proves Theorem 1.1.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] F. Charles, Remarks on the Lefschetz standard conjecture and hyperkähler varieties, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 88 no.2 (2013), pp. 449-468.
- [2] A. Krug, Extension groups of tautological sheaves on Hilbert schemes, *J. Algebraic Geom.* 23 (2014), no. 3, 571-598.
- [3] M. Lehn, Chern classes of tautological sheaves on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces, *Invent. math.* 136, (1999), 157-207.
- [4] M. Verbitsky, Hyperholomorphic bundles over the hyperkähler manifolds, *Journ. of Alg. Geom.*, 5 no. 4 (1996) pp. 633-669.
- [5] M. Verbitsky, Cohomology of compact hyperkähler manifolds and its applications, *GAF*, 1996, v. 6, no. 4, p. 601-612.
- [6] M. Verbitsky, Deformations of trianalytic subvarieties of hyperkähler manifolds, *Sel. Math., New Ser.* 4 (1998), 447 – 490.

Email address: vlgvrn@gmail.com