

## ON THE BOUNDEDNESS OF CANONICAL MODELS

JUNPENG JIAO

ABSTRACT. It is conjectured that the canonical models of varieties (not of general type) are bounded when the Iitaka volume is fixed. We confirm this conjecture when the general fibers of the corresponding Iitaka fibration are in a fixed bounded family of polarized Calabi-Yau pairs. As a consequence, we prove that in this case, the fibration is birationally bounded, and when it has terminal singularities, the corresponding minimal model is bounded in codimension 1.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we work with varieties defined over the complex numbers.

By analogy with the definition of volumes of divisors, the Iitaka volume of a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor is defined as follows. Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety and  $D$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor. When the Iitaka dimension  $\kappa(D)$  of  $D$  is nonnegative, then the Iitaka volume of  $D$  is defined to be

$$(1.1) \quad \text{Ivol}(D) := \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\kappa(D)! h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor mD \rfloor))}{m^{\kappa(D)}}$$

**Theorem 1.1.** *Fix  $\mathcal{C}$  a log bounded class of polarized log Calabi-Yau pairs and  $V, v > 0$  two positive rational numbers. Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be a klt pair,  $L$  a divisor on  $X$  and  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  an algebraic contraction which is birationally equivalent to the Iitaka fibration of  $K_X + \Delta$ .*

*If the general fiber  $(X_g, \Delta_g, L_g)$  of  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then*

- (1)  $\text{Ivol}(X, K_X + \Delta)$  is in a DCC set;
- (2) If  $\text{Ivol}(X, K_X + \Delta) \leq V$ , then  $X$  is birationally bounded;
- (3) If  $\text{Ivol}(X, K_X + \Delta) = v$  is a constant, then

$$\text{Proj} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X + \lfloor m\Delta \rfloor))$$

*is in a bounded family*

As an application, we prove the following boundedness result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Fix  $\mathcal{C}$  a bounded class of polarized Calabi-Yau varieties and  $V > 0$  a positive rational number. Then there is a bounded family of varieties  $\mathcal{X}_m \rightarrow T$  satisfying the following. Assume  $X$  is a variety as follows:*

- (1)  $X$  is projective normal with terminal singularities,
- (2) there is an algebraic contraction  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  which is birationally equivalent to the Iitaka fibration of  $K_X$ ,

- (3) the general fiber  $(X_g, L_g)$  of  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and
- (4)  $\text{Ivol}(X, K_X) \leq V$ .

Then there is a closed point  $t \in T$ , the fiber  $\mathcal{X}_{m,t}$  is a good minimal model of  $X$ .

Theorem (1.1) is a special case of the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.3.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer,  $v$  a non-negative rational number, and  $\mathcal{I} \subset [0, 1]$  a DCC set of rational numbers. We let  $\mathcal{D}(n, v, \mathcal{I})$  be the set of varieties  $Z$  satisfying the following:*

- (1)  $(X, \Delta)$  is a klt pair of dimension  $n$ , with  $\text{coeff}(\Delta) \subset \mathcal{I}$ .
- (2)  $\text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta) = v$  is a constant, and
- (3)  $f : X \dashrightarrow Z$  is the Iitaka fibration associated with  $K_X + \Delta$ , where

$$Z = \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + \Delta))).$$

Then  $\mathcal{D}(n, v, \mathcal{I})$  is in a bounded family.

Note that by [BCHM06],  $R(X, K_X + \Delta) := \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + \Delta)))$  is finitely generated and in particular  $Z$  is well defined normal projective variety and  $v$  is a positive rational number. When  $K_X + \Delta$  is big, Conjecture 1.3 is proved by [HMX14], when the general fiber of  $f$  is  $\epsilon$ -lc Fano type, it is proved by [Li20].

Usually, boundedness of varieties is connected with the DCC property of volumes, see [HMX13]. The following conjecture is closely related with Conjecture 1.3.

**Conjecture 1.4.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be a fixed number, and  $\mathcal{I} \subset [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$  be a DCC set. Then the set of Iitaka volumes*

$$\{\text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta) \mid (X, \Delta) \text{ is klt, } \dim X = n, \text{ and coefficients of } \Delta \text{ are in } \mathcal{I}\}$$

*is a DCC set.*

Our main result in this direction is to prove the DCC of Iitaka volumes and the boundedness of the canonical models when the locus of singular fibers in the corresponding Iitaka fibrations is "bounded". To be precise, we are interested in the following set of log pairs and the corresponding Iitaka volumes.

**Definition 1.5.** Fix a DCC set  $I \subset [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ , integers  $n, l, r > 0$ , define  $\mathcal{D}_{I,n,r,l}$  to be the set of log pairs  $(X, \Delta)$ , such that

- (1)  $(X, \Delta)$  is a klt pair of dimension  $n$ , with  $\text{coeff} \Delta \subset I$ .
- (2)  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  is the canonical model of  $(X, \Delta)$ .
- (3)  $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} F$  for an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $F$  and there is a reduced divisor  $D$  such that  $(X, \text{Supp}(\Delta - F))$  is log smooth over  $Z \setminus D$  and  $\text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta + f^*D) \leq r \text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta)$ .

- (4) By the canonical bundle formula, there is a nef  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $M$  and a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $B$  on a birational model  $W$  of  $Z$  such that  $lM$  is Cartier and

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + \Delta))) \cong H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_Z(m(K_W + B + M)))$$

for  $m$  sufficiently divisible.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Fix a DCC set  $I \subset [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ , integers  $n, l, r > 0$ , then the set*

$$\{\text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta) \mid (X, \Delta) \in \mathcal{D}_{I, n, r, l}\}$$

*satisfies the DCC.*

As an application, we prove the following boundedness result

**Theorem 1.7.** *Fix a DCC set  $I \subset [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ , integers  $n, l, r > 0$  and a positive number  $C > 0$ . Then the set*

$$\{\text{Proj}R(X, K_X + \Delta) \mid (X, \Delta) \in \mathcal{D}_{I, n, r, l}, \text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta) = C\}$$

*is bounded.*

The main idea is to prove that we can choose a SNC model (see definition 2.8) of  $(X, \Delta - F) \rightarrow Z$  belonging to a bounded family, this is where we use condition (3) in Definition 1.5. We believe that the corresponding integer  $r$  naturally arises from the moduli space of the general fiber of  $f$ . Theorem 1.1 is an application of Theorems 1.6 and 1.7 that is based on this idea.

**Acknowledgement.** I would like to thank my advisor, Professor Christopher Hacon, for many useful suggestions, discussions, and his generosity, I would also like to thank Stefano Filipazzi, Zhan Li, Yupeng Wang, Jingjun Han and Jihao Liu for many helpful conversations. The author was partially supported by NSF research grant no: DMS-1952522 and by a grant from the Simons Foundation; Award Number: 256202.  $\square$

## 2. PRELIMINARY

**2.1. Notation and conventions.** Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a subset, we say  $I$  satisfies the DCC if there is no strictly decreasing subsequence in  $I$ . For a birational morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  and a divisor  $B$  on  $X$ ,  $f_*^{-1}(B)$  denotes the strict transform of  $B$  on  $Y$ , and  $\text{Exc}(f)$  denotes the sum of reduced exceptional divisors of  $f$ . A fibration means a projective and surjective morphism with connected fibers. For an  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor  $D$ , a map defined by the linear system  $|D|$  means a map defined by  $||D||$ . Given two  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisors  $A, B$ ,  $A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} B$  means that there is an integer  $m > 0$  such that  $m(A - B) \sim 0$ .

A pair  $(X, \Delta)$  consists of a normal variety  $X$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  and an  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Delta$  on  $X$  such that  $K_X + \Delta$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. If  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  is a birational morphism and  $E$  is a divisor on  $Y$ , the discrepancy  $a(E, X, \Delta)$  is  $-\text{coeff}_E(\Delta_Y)$  where  $K_Y + \Delta_Y := g^*(K_X + \Delta)$ . A pair  $(X, \Delta)$  is call subklt (respectively sublc) if for every birational morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  as above,  $a(E, X, \Delta) > -1$  (respectively

$\geq -1$ ) for every divisor  $E$  on  $Y$ . A pair  $(X, \Delta)$  is called klt (respectively lc) if  $(X, \Delta)$  is subklt (respectively sublc) and  $\Delta$  is effective.

A generalised pair  $(X, \Delta + M)$  consists of a normal variety  $X$  equipped with a birational morphism  $X' \xrightarrow{f} X$  where  $X'$  is normal, a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -boundary  $\Delta$ , and an  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier nef divisor  $M'$  on  $X'$  such that  $K_X + \Delta + M$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, where  $M = f_*M'$ . Let  $\Delta'$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor such that  $K_{X'} + \Delta' + M' = f^*(K_X + \Delta + M)$ , we call  $(X, \Delta + M)$  a generalised klt (respectively lc) pair, if  $(X', \Delta')$  is a subklt (respectively sublc) pair.

Let  $X \rightarrow Z$  be an algebraic contraction and  $R$  a divisor on  $X$ , we write  $R = R_v + R_h$ , where  $R_v$  is the vertical part and  $R_h$  is the horizontal part.

**Definition 2.1.** For a klt pair  $(X, \Delta)$  such that  $K_X + \Delta$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier and  $\kappa(X, \Delta) \geq 0$ , by [BCHM06], the canonical ring

$$R(X, K_X + \Delta) := \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + \Delta)))$$

is finitely generated. Therefore if  $\kappa(X, K_X + \Delta) \geq 0$ , we define the canonical model of  $(X, \Delta)$  to be  $\text{Proj}R(X, K_X + \Delta)$ .

Next we state some well known results that we will use in what follows.

**Theorem 2.2** ([BZ16, Theorem 1.3]). *Let  $d, r$  be two positive integers and  $I \subset [0, 1]$  a DCC set of real numbers. Then there is a positive number  $m_0$  depending only on  $d, r$  and  $I$  satisfying the following. Assume that*

- (1)  $(Z, B)$  is a projective lc pair of dimension  $d$ ,
- (2)  $B \in I$ ,
- (3)  $rM$  is a nef Cartier divisor, and
- (4)  $K_Z + B + M$  is big,

*then the linear system  $|m(K_Z + B + M)|$  defines a birational map for every positive integer  $m$  such that  $m_0 \mid m$ .*

**Theorem 2.3** ([BZ16, Theorem 8.1]). *Let  $I$  be a DCC set of nonnegative real numbers and  $d$  a natural number. Then there is a real number  $e \in (0, 1)$  depending only on  $I, n$  such that if*

- (1)  $(Z, B)$  is projective lc of dimension  $d$ ,
- (2)  $M = \sum \mu_j M_j$  where  $M_j$  are nef Cartier divisors,
- (3) the coefficients of  $B$  and the  $\mu_j$  are in  $I$ , and
- (4)  $K_Z + B + M$  is a big divisor,

*then  $K_Z + eB + eM$  is a big divisor.*

**Theorem 2.4** ([Fil18, Theorem 1.10]). *Let  $I \subset [0, 1]$  be a DCC set, let  $(W, D)$  be a log smooth pair with  $D$  reduced, and  $M$  a fixed  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on  $W$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set of all projective simple normal crossing pairs  $(Z, B)$  such that  $\text{coeff}(B) \subset I$ ,  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  is a birational morphism and  $f_*B \leq D$ . Then, the set*

$$\{\text{vol}(K_Z + B + f^*M), (Z, B) \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

*satisfies the DCC condition*

**Theorem 2.5** ([Fil18, Theorem 1.12]). *Let  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow T$  be a log smooth family, where  $T \supset \{x_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ . Denote by  $(Z_i, B_i)$  the log pair given by the fiber product  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{B}) \times_T x_i$ . Assume that*

- (1)  $0 \leq \mathcal{B} \leq \text{red}(\mathcal{B})$ ,
- (2) *there is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$  such that  $M_i = \mathcal{M}|_{Z_i}$  is nef for every  $i$ ;*

*Then, we have  $\text{vol}(Z_i, K_{Z_i} + B_i + M_i) = \text{vol}(Z_j, K_{Z_j} + B_j + M_j)$  for every  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

The following is a general version of the canonical bundle formula given in [Kol07].

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $X, Z$  be normal projective varieties and  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  a dominant morphism with generic fiber  $F$ . Let  $R$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $X$  such that  $K_X + R$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier and  $B$  a reduced divisor on  $Z$ . We call  $(X, R) \rightarrow Z$  an lc-trivial fibration if*

- (1)  $K_X + R \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(\text{some } \mathbb{Q}\text{-Cartier divisor on } Z)$ ,
- (2)  $h^0(F, \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil R^{\leq 0} \rceil)) = 1$ , and
- (3)  $f$  has slc fibers in codimension 1 over  $Z \setminus B$ .

*Then one can write*

$$K_X + R \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(K_Z + M(X/Z, R) + B_R), \text{ where}$$

- a.  $M(X/Z, R)$  is the moduli part. It depends only on  $(F, R|_F)$  and on  $Z$ . If  $X, Z, R, B$  satisfy the standard normal crossing assumptions, see Definition 2.7, then  $M(X/Z, R)$  is nef and

$$M(X'/Z', R') = \pi^* M(X/Z, R).$$

*where  $\pi : Z' \rightarrow Z$  is any dominant and generically finite map of normal varieties, and  $X' \rightarrow X \times_Z Z'$  is birational onto the main component with  $X'$  normal and projective.*

- b.  $B_R$  is the unique  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor supported on  $B$  for which there is a codimension  $\geq 2$  closed subset  $W \subset Z$  such that
  - b1.  $(X \setminus f^{-1}(W), R + f^*(B - B_R))$  is lc and
  - b2. every irreducible component of  $B$  is dominated by a log canonical centre of  $(X, R + f^*(B - B_R))$ .
- c. *If the morphism  $X \rightarrow Z$  and divisors  $R, B$  satisfy the standard normal crossing assumption, see definition 2.7, then  $B_R$  is also the unique  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor such that  $R_v + f^*(B - B_R) \leq \text{red}(f^*B)$ , where  $R_v$  is the vertical part of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* (a), (b) is [Kol07, Theorem 8.5.1].

For (c), because the morphism  $X \rightarrow Z$  and divisors  $R, B$  satisfy the standard normal crossing assumption,  $(Z, B)$  is log smooth. If we replace  $R$  by  $R + f^*(B - B_R)$  and  $B_R$  by  $B_R + (B - B_R) = B$ , then we can assume in addition that,

- (1) there is a codimension  $\geq 2$  closed subset  $W \subset Z$  such that  $(X \setminus f^{-1}(W), R)$  is sub log canonical, and
- (2) every irreducible component of  $B$  is dominated by a log canonical centre of  $(X, R)$ .

Hence we only need to prove that  $W$  can be chosen to be the empty set, which is equal to say that  $(X, R)$  is log canonical.

Suppose  $(X, R)$  is not log canonical, consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Z' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Z. \end{array}$$

where  $\pi$  and  $\pi_X$  are birational,  $f' : X' \rightarrow Z'$  is equidimensional and  $\pi_X$  blows up a non-lc place of  $(X, R)$ , denote it by  $E$ . Write  $K_{X'} + R' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \pi^*(K_X + R)$ , then  $\text{coeff}_E(R') > 1$ . By the canonical bundle formula,

$$K_{X'} + R' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (f')^*(K_{Z'} + J(X'/Z', R') + B').$$

By Theorem 6.2,  $\pi^*J(X/Z, R) = J(X'/Z', R')$  and  $K_{Z'} + B' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \pi^*(K_Z + B)$ . Because  $(Z, B)$  is log canonical,  $(Z', B')$  is sub log canonical.

Let  $\tilde{B}$  be a reduced divisor on  $Z'$  such that  $f'$  has slc fibers in codimension 1 over  $Z' \setminus \tilde{B}$ . By (b),  $B'$  is the unique  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor for which there is a codimension  $\geq 2$  closed subset  $W' \subset Z'$  such that

- (1)  $(X' \setminus f'^{-1}(W'), R' + f'^*(\tilde{B} - B'))$  is sub log canonical and
- (2) every irreducible component of  $B'$  is dominated by a log canonical centre of  $(X', R' + f'^*(\tilde{B} - B'))$ .

Because  $f'$  is equidimensional,  $\text{coeff}_E(R' + f'^*(\tilde{B} - B')) \leq 1$  and  $\text{coeff}_E(R') > 1$ , then  $\text{coeff}_E(f'^*(\tilde{B} - B')) < 0$ . Since  $\tilde{B}$  is reduced, then  $\text{coeff}_{f'(E)}(B') > 1$ , which contradicts with  $(Z', B')$  is sub log canonical. The claim follows.  $\square$

**Definition 2.7** (Standard normal crossing assumptions). We say that  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  and the divisors  $R, B$  satisfy the standard normal crossing assumptions if the following hold

- (1)  $X, Z$  are smooth,
- (2)  $R + f^*B$  and  $B$  are snc divisors,
- (3)  $f$  is smooth over  $Z \setminus B$ , and
- (4)  $R$  is a relative snc divisor over  $Z \setminus B$ .

In practice, the assumptions on  $X$  and on the divisors  $R, B$  are completely harmless. By contrast, we have to do some work to reduce the problems on  $Z$  to the problems on the following "good" birational model of  $Z$ .

**Definition 2.8.** A SNC model of  $(X, R) \rightarrow Z$  is a birational model  $Z' \rightarrow Z$ , such that there is a reduced divisor  $D'$  on  $Z'$ , a birational morphism  $\phi : (X', R') \rightarrow (X, R)$ , where  $K_{X'} + R' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \phi^*(K_X + R)$ , so that the morphism  $X' \rightarrow Z'$  and the divisors  $R', D'$  satisfy the standard normal crossing assumption.

The next theorem says that the canonical bundle formula works on the Iitaka fibration of a lc pair.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be a  $n$ -dimensional lc pair,  $\pi : X \rightarrow U$  be a projective morphism to a normal variety and  $\dim(X) = n$ . Suppose there is an algebraic contraction  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  over  $U$ , such that  $\kappa(X_\eta, K_{X_\eta} + \Delta|_{X_\eta}) = 0$ , where  $X_\eta$  is the generic fiber of  $f$ . Then there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xleftarrow{\mu} & X' \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ Z & \xleftarrow{g} & Z' \end{array}$$

such that

- (1)  $g$  and  $\mu$  are birational morphisms,  $h$  is equidimensional.
- (2)  $Z'$  is smooth,  $X'$  has only quotient singularities.
- (3) There is a pair  $K_{X'} + \Delta'$  and a generalized pair  $(Z', B_{Z'} + M_{Z'})$  such that  $M_{Z'}$  is nef,  $K_{X'} + \Delta' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} h^*(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'}) + F$ ,  $h_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(mF) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Z'}$ , and  $\mu_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(m(K_{X'} + \Delta')) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + \Delta))$  for all  $m \geq 0$ .
- (4) Let  $B_Z := g_*B_{Z'}$ . If  $\text{coeff}(\Delta)$  is in a DCC set, then  $\text{coeff}(B_{Z'})$  is in a DCC set, in particular,  $\text{coeff}(B_Z)$  is in a DCC set.

*Proof.* By the weak semi-stable reduction theorem of Abramovich and Karu (cf. [AK00]), we can construct  $X', Z'$  satisfying (1) and (2).

Let  $\Delta' := \mu_*^{-1}\Delta + E$ , where  $E$  is the exceptional divisor. Because  $(X, \Delta)$  is lc, it is easy to see that  $\mu_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(m(K_{X'} + \Delta')) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + \Delta))$  for all  $m \geq 0$ . Furthermore,  $\kappa(X, K_X + \Delta) = \kappa(X', K_{X'} + \Delta')$ .

If  $\kappa(X, K_X + \Delta) < 0$ , choose  $a \gg 0$  such that  $a(K_{X'} + \Delta')$  is Cartier. Since  $Z'$  is smooth and  $h$  is equidimensional,  $h_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(a(K_{X'} + \Delta'))$  is a line bundle on  $Z'$ , which we denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{Z'}(D)$ . Choose a general ample divisor  $A'$  on  $Z'$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_{Z'}(A' + D)$  is big. Let  $A := \frac{1}{a}A'$ , then  $h_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(a(K_{X'} + \Delta' + h^*A))$  is a big line bundle, which means  $\kappa(X', K_{X'} + \Delta' + h^*A) = \dim(Z') \geq 0$ . Because  $A'$  is general,  $(X', \Delta' + h^*A)$  is still a lc pair. If (3) is true for  $(X', \Delta' + h^*A)$ , then it is also true for  $(X', \Delta')$ , so we may assume that  $\kappa(X, K_X + \Delta) \geq 0$ .

When  $\kappa(X, K_X + \Delta) \geq 0$ , choose  $a \gg 0$  such that  $H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(a(K_{X'} + \Delta')) > 0$ , let  $a(K_{X'} + \Delta') \sim L \geq 0$ . We put

$$(2.1) \quad G := \max\{N \mid N \text{ is an effective } \mathbb{Q}\text{-divisor such that } L \geq h^*N\}$$

Then we set

$$(2.2) \quad D := \frac{1}{a}G, \text{ (and) } F := \frac{1}{a}(L - h^*G).$$

Then we have  $K_{X'} + \Delta' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} h^*D + F$ . Let  $X'_\eta$  be the generic fiber of  $h$  and  $u : X'_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$  be the induced morphism. Restricting to the generic fiber, we have  $(K_{X'} + \Delta')|_{X'_\eta} = F|_{X'_\eta}$ , which means  $h^0(F, \mathcal{O}_{X'}([\Delta' - F]^{\leq 0})) =$

1. Therefore by the canonical bundle formula, there is a generalized pair  $(Z', B_{Z'} + M_{Z'})$  such that  $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'}$ . Furthermore, we can choose a higher birational model of  $Z'$  so that  $M_{Z'}$  nef.

Because  $Z'$  is smooth and  $h$  is equidimensional,  $h_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(mF)$  is an invertible sheaf, also because  $\text{Supp}(F)$  does not contain the whole fiber over any codimension 1 point on  $Z'$ , then it is easy to see that  $h_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(mF) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Z'}$  for all  $m \geq 0$ , furthermore, there is an integer  $l > 0$  such that

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(ml(K_X + \Delta))) \cong H^0(Z', \mathcal{O}_{Z'}(ml(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'})))$$

for all  $m \geq 0$ .

If  $\text{coeff}(\Delta)$  is in a DCC set, it is easy to see that  $\text{coeff}(\Delta')$  is also in a DCC set. By the construction of  $B_{Z'}$ , for any prime divisor  $D$  on  $Z'$ ,  $\text{coeff}_D(B_{Z'})$  is the largest number so that  $(Z', \Delta - F + h^*D)$  is sub lc over the generic point of  $h^*D$ . Because  $F$  does not contain the whole fiber of the generic point of any prime divisor on  $Z'$ , so  $\text{coeff}_D(B_{Z'})$  is the also largest number so that  $(Z', \Delta + h^*D)$  is lc over the generic point of  $h^*D$ . Therefore, by the main result of [HMX14].  $\text{coeff}(B_{Z'})$  is in a DCC set.  $\square$

### 3. DCC FOR THE IITAKA VOLUME

Suppose  $X \rightarrow Z$  is the canonical model of  $(X, \Delta)$ . By Theorem 2.9, there is an integer  $l > 0$ , a nef  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $M$  and a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $B$  on a birational model  $W$  of  $Z$  such that

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(ml(K_X + \Delta))) \cong H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W(ml(K_W + B_W + M_W)))$$

for all  $m \geq 0$ . Therefore, the canonical model of  $(X, \Delta)$  is isomorphic to the canonical model of  $(W, B + M)$ , and consequently, we have

$$\text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta) = \text{vol}(Z, K_Z + B_Z + M_Z) = \text{vol}(W, K_W + B_W + M_W),$$

where  $B_Z, M_Z$  are the pushforwards of  $B_W$  and  $M_W$  on  $Z$ . To study the Iitaka volume of  $K_X + \Delta$ , we only need to work on a birational model of  $Z$ .

The idea is to prove that there we can choose a SNC model of  $(X, \Delta) \rightarrow Z$  belonging to a bounded family. The next lemma gives us a way to bound the moduli part in the canonical bundle formula.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Fix positive integers  $r, C$  and a finite set  $I \subset [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ . Suppose there is a smooth projective morphism  $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow T$  between varieties of finite type and a very ample divisor  $A$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$  over  $T$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a set of generalized pairs, such that for every  $(Z, M_Z) \in \mathcal{S}$ , there is a closed point  $t \in T$  satisfying the following properties*

- (1) *there is a birational morphism  $\phi : Z \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_t$ ,*
- (2)  *$\phi_*M_Z = D_1 - D_2$  for two effective divisors  $D_1, D_2$  with  $\text{coeff}(D_k) \subset I$  and  $\deg_A(D_k) \leq C$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ .*

*Then there is a smooth projective morphism  $\mathcal{Z}' \rightarrow T'$  and finitely many  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors  $\mathcal{M}_k$  on  $\mathcal{Z}'$  over  $T'$  such that for any  $(Z, M_Z) \in \mathcal{S}$ , and  $\phi : Z \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_t$  its corresponding birational morphism, there is a closed point  $t' \in T'$  and an isomorphism  $\psi : \mathcal{Z}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}'_{t'}$ , such that  $\psi_*\phi_*M_Z = \mathcal{M}_k|_{\mathcal{Z}'_{t'}}$ .*



*Proof.* Since the coefficients of  $D_k, k = 1, 2$  are in a finite set  $I$ , there is a positive number  $\delta$  such that  $\text{coeff}(D_k) > \delta$ , which implies  $\frac{1}{\delta}D_k \geq \lfloor D_k \rfloor, k = 1, 2$ . Since  $\deg_A(D_k) \leq C$ , then  $\deg_A(\text{Supp}(D_k)) \leq \frac{C}{\delta}$ , by the boundedness of the corresponding Chow variety, there is a morphism  $\mathcal{Z}' \rightarrow T'$  and a divisor  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}'$  with the following property: for every closed point  $t \in T$ , there is a closed point  $t' \in T'$  and an isomorphism  $\psi : \mathcal{Z}_{t'} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}'_t$ , such that  $\text{Supp}(\psi_*D_k) \subset \mathcal{D}|_{\mathcal{Z}'_t}$ .

Let  $R$  be a component of  $\mathcal{D}$ , let  $S \rightarrow T'$  be the normalisation of the Stein factorisation of  $R \rightarrow T'$  so that  $S \rightarrow T'$  is finite and  $S$  is normal, and let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}'' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z}' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S & \longrightarrow & T', \end{array}$$

be the fibre square. As  $S \rightarrow T'$  is finite,  $S$  is irreducible and  $\mathcal{Z}'' \rightarrow S$  is flat,  $\mathcal{Z}''$  is a quasi-projective variety.  $\mathcal{Z}''$  is normal by [Gro65, 5.12.7]. Replacing  $\mathcal{Z}' \rightarrow T'$  by  $\mathcal{Z}'' \rightarrow S$  finitely many times, we may assume that the fibres of  $R \rightarrow T'$  are irreducible, for every component  $R$  of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Since, for every component  $R$  of  $\mathcal{D}$ , the coefficients of  $R$  in  $D_k, k = 1, 2$  belong to a finite set, it follows that there are only finitely many possibilities for  $D_1, D_2$ , and  $D_1 - D_2$ . Thus there are only finitely many  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors  $\mathcal{M}_k$  on  $\mathcal{Z}'$  over  $T'$  such that  $\psi_*\phi_*M_Z = \mathcal{M}_k|_{\mathcal{Z}'_t}$  for some  $k$ .  $\square$

The next theorem says that if we bound the Iitaka volume of  $(X, \Delta) \in \mathcal{D}_{I,n,r,l}$ , then we can choose a SNC model of  $X \rightarrow \text{Proj}R(X, K_X + \Delta)$  such that it is in a fixed bounded family.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Fix a DCC set  $I \subset [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ , integers  $n, C, l, r > 0$ , and a positive number  $\delta > 0$ . Define  $\mathcal{D}'_{I,n,r,l,C,\delta}$  to be the set of log pairs  $(X, \Delta)$  with the following properties:*

- (1)  $(X, \Delta)$  is a klt pair of dimension  $n$  and  $\text{coeff}(\Delta) \subset I$ .
- (2)  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  is birationally equivalent to the Iitaka fibration of  $(X, \Delta)$ .
- (3)  $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q},f} F$  for some effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $F$  and  $Z'$  be a SNC model of  $(X, \Delta - F) \rightarrow Z$  such that if  $M_{Z'}$  is the corresponding moduli part, then  $lM_{Z'}$  is nef and Cartier.
- (4) There is an integer divisor  $D$  such that  $(X, \text{Supp}(\Delta_v - F))$  is log smooth over  $Z \setminus D$  and  $\text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta + f^*D) \leq r\text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta)$ .
- (5) There is an effective ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $H$  on  $Z$  with  $\text{coeff}(H) > \delta$  such that  $\text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta + f^*H) \leq r\text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta)$ .
- (6)  $\text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta) \leq C$

Then there is a log pair  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P})$  with a projective morphism  $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow T$ , where  $T$  is of finite type, and finitely many  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors  $\mathcal{M}_k$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$ . For every  $(X, \Delta) \in \mathcal{D}'_{I,n,r,l,C,\delta}$ , there is a closed point  $t \in T$ , such that:

- (1)  $\mathcal{Z}_t$  is birational to the canonical model of  $(X, \Delta)$ .

- (2) Perhaps replacing  $X$  by a birational model, the morphism  $X \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_t$  and the divisors  $\Delta - F, \mathcal{P}_t$  satisfy the Standard normal crossing assumptions.
- (3) Let  $M := J(X/\mathcal{Z}_t, \Delta - F)$  be the moduli part defined by the canonical bundle formula, then  $M = \mathcal{M}_k|_{\mathcal{Z}_t}$  for some  $k$ . In particular,  $M$  descends to  $\mathcal{Z}_t$ .
- (4) Let  $(Z', B_{Z'} + M_{Z'})$  be the generalised pair defined in Theorem 2.9 and  $\phi_t : Z' \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_t$  be the corresponding birational morphism, then  $B := \phi_{t*} B_{Z'} \leq \mathcal{P}_t$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\kappa(X, K_X + \Delta) = d \leq n$ , then  $\dim(Z) = d$ .

Let  $h : Z' \rightarrow Z$  to be the SNC model defined in Theorem 2.9. Perhaps replacing  $Z'$  by a resolution, we may assume that  $(Z', B_{Z'})$  is log smooth. By (4) of Theorem 2.9,  $\text{coeff}(B_{Z'})$  is in a DCC set depending on  $I, d, n$ . By assumption,  $lM_{Z'}$  is nef and Cartier.

By Theorem 2.2, there is an integer  $r'$ , such that  $|r'(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'})|$  defines a birational map. Perhaps replacing  $Z'$  by a birational model, we may assume that it defines a birational morphism  $\phi : Z' \rightarrow W$ . Then there is a very ample divisor  $A$  on  $W$ , and  $r'(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'}) = \phi^* A + F_1$ , where  $F_1$  is an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 A^d &\leq \text{vol}(r'(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'})) \\
 (3.1) \quad &= r'^d \text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta) \\
 &< r'^d C,
 \end{aligned}$$

which means  $W$  is in a bounded family. Thus there exists a projective morphism  $\mathcal{W}' \rightarrow T$  and a relative very ample divisor  $\mathcal{A}'$ , such that for every such  $W$ , there is a closed point  $t \in T$  with isomorphisms  $\pi : W \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'_t$  and  $\pi^* \mathcal{A}'_t = A$ . Because  $r'$  is fixed and the coefficients of  $B_{Z'}$  are in a DCC set  $I'$ , it is easy to see that the coefficients of  $F_1$  are also in a DCC set  $\tilde{I}$ .

Passing to a stratification of  $T$  and a log resolution of the generic fiber of  $\mathcal{W}' \rightarrow T$ , we may assume that there is a birational morphism  $\xi : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'$ , and the fibers of  $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow T$  are smooth. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a very ample divisor on  $\mathcal{W}$  over  $T$ , then there is an integer  $r''$  such that  $r'' \xi^* \mathcal{A}' - \mathcal{A}$  is big over  $T$ . Thus perhaps increasing  $r'$ , replacing  $Z'$  by a birational model and  $(W, A)$  by  $(\mathcal{W}_t, \mathcal{A}_t)$ , we may assume  $W$  is smooth and there is a very ample divisor  $A$  on  $W$  such that  $A^d \leq \text{vol}(r'(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'}))$ .

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad L' &:= \frac{1}{r'}(\phi^* A + F_1) + (2d + 1)\phi^* A + (2d + 1)mh^* H \\
 &\sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'} + (2d + 1)\phi^* A + (2d + 1)mh^* H
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $m$  is the Cartier index of  $H$ . Because  $H$  is an effective ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $Z$ , by [BCHM06], the canonical model of  $K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'} + (2d + 1)\phi^* A + (2d + 1)mh^* H$  exists, denote it by  $h' : Z' \dashrightarrow Z^!$ , then  $h'_*(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'} + (2d + 1)\phi^* A + (2d + 1)mh^* H) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} h'_* L'$  is ample,

denote  $h'_*L'$  by  $L^!$ . Moreover, by [BZ16, Lemma 4.4], because  $\phi^*A$  and  $mh^*H$  are nef Cartier divisors, both  $\phi^*A$  and  $h^*H$  are  $h'$ -trivial, so there are two birational morphisms  $\psi : Z^! \rightarrow W$  and  $h^! : Z^! \rightarrow Z$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Z' & & \\ & h & \swarrow & \phi & \\ Z & \xleftarrow{h^!} & Z^! & \xrightarrow{\psi} & W \end{array}$$

Because  $L^!$  is ample and effective,  $W$  is smooth, by the negativity lemma,  $L^! = \psi^*\psi_*L^! - F_W$ , where  $F_W$  is effective and has the same support as  $\text{Exc}(\psi)$ . Thus we have that  $\text{Supp}(\psi^*\psi_*L^!) \supset \text{Exc}(\psi)$ , hence  $Z^! \setminus \text{Supp}(L^!) \supseteq W \setminus \text{Supp}(\psi_*L^!)$ .

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xleftarrow{g} & X^! \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f^! \\ Z & \xleftarrow{h^!} & Z^! \end{array}$$

where  $X^!$  is the normalization of the main component of  $X \times_Z Z^!$ . Because

$$\text{Supp}(h^{!*}D) = h^{!-1}(\text{Supp}(D))$$

and  $X \rightarrow Z$  is smooth over  $Z \setminus \text{Supp}(D)$ ,  $X^! \rightarrow Z^!$  is smooth over  $Z^! \setminus \text{Supp}(h^{!*}D)$ . Since  $Z^!$  is normal, then  $f^{!-1}(Z^! \setminus \text{Supp}(h^{!*}D))$  is normal and

$$f^{!-1}(Z^! \setminus \text{Supp}(h^{!*}D)) \cong f^{-1}(Z \setminus \text{Supp}(D)) \times_{Z \setminus \text{Supp}(D)} Z^! \setminus \text{Supp}(h^{!*}D).$$

Let  $K_{X^!} + \Delta^! - F^! := g^*(K_X + \Delta - F)$ , where  $\Delta^!, F^!$  are two effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors with no common components. Suppose  $\Delta^! = \Delta^{!''} + \Delta_1$ ,  $F^! = F^{!''} + F_1$ , where  $\Delta_1, F_1$  are  $f^!$ -vertical and not supported on  $f^{!-1}(\text{Supp}(h^{!*}D))$ ,  $\Delta^{!''}, F^{!''}$  are either  $f$ -horizontal or supported on  $f^{!-1}(\text{Supp}(h^{!*}D))$ . Because  $f^!$  is smooth over  $Z^! \setminus \text{Supp}(h^{!*}D)$ ,  $\Delta_1|_{f^{!-1}(Z^! \setminus \text{Supp}(h^{!*}D))}, F_1|_{f^{!-1}(Z^! \setminus \text{Supp}(h^{!*}D))}$  are the pull back of two divisors on  $Z^! \setminus \text{Supp}(h^{!*}D)$ . After taking the corresponding closure, there is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $R$  such that  $\text{Supp}(R) \subset f^{!-1}(\text{Supp}(h^{!*}D))$  and  $\Delta_1 - F_1 - R \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, f^!} 0$ , let  $\Delta^! - F^! = \Delta^{!''} - F^{!''} + R$ , then  $K_{X^!} + \Delta^! - F^! \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, f^!} 0$ . If  $P$  is a component of  $\text{Supp}(\Delta^! - F^!)$ , then it is either supported on  $f^{!-1}(h^{!*}D)$  or  $f^!$ -horizontal, thus  $\text{Supp}(\Delta^! - F^!)$  does not contain the whole fiber of any prime  $g$ -exceptional divisor that is not contained in  $f^{!-1}(h^{!*}D)$ , thus

$$\text{Supp}(\Delta^! - F^!)|_{Z^! \setminus \text{Supp}(h^{!*}D)} = \text{Supp}(\Delta - F) \times_Z Z^! \setminus \text{Supp}(h^{!*}D)$$

and it is easy to see that  $f^! : (X^!, \text{Supp}(\Delta^! - F^!)) \rightarrow Z^!$  is log smooth over  $Z^! \setminus \text{Supp}(h^{!*}D)$ .

Since

$$Z^! \setminus \text{Supp}(h^{!*}D) \supseteq Z^! \setminus \{\text{Supp}(L^! + h^{!*}D)\} \supseteq W \setminus \{\text{Supp}(\psi_*L^! + \psi_*h^{!*}D)\},$$

then  $X^! \rightarrow W$  is isomorphic to  $X^! \rightarrow Z$  over  $W \setminus \{\text{Supp}(\psi_*L^! + \psi_*h^{!*}D)\}$ . Thus  $(X^!, \text{Supp}(\Delta^! - F^!)) \rightarrow W$  is log smooth over  $W \setminus \{\text{Supp}(\psi_*L^! + \psi_*h^{!*}D)\}$ .

Next we prove that  $(W, \text{Supp}(\psi_*L^! + B_W + \psi_*h^{!*}D))$  is log bounded, where  $B_W := \phi_*B_{Z'}$ . Because

$$\text{Supp}(\psi_*L^! + B_W + \psi_*h^{!*}D) = \text{Supp}(\phi_*(\phi^*A + F_1 + B_{Z'} + h^*(D + H))),$$

we only need to prove that  $(W, \text{Supp}(\phi_*(\phi^*A + F_1 + B_{Z'} + h^*(D + H))))$  is log bounded. Since the coefficients of  $F_1$  and  $B_{Z'}$  are in a DCC set and  $\text{coeff}(H) \geq \delta$  by assumption, there is a positive number  $\delta'$ , such that  $\frac{1}{\delta'}F_1 \geq \text{red}(F_1)$  and  $\frac{1}{\delta'}B_{Z'} \geq \text{red}(B_{Z'})$ , we may assume that  $\delta' \leq 1$ . By assumption, we also have that  $A$  and  $D$  are two effective integral divisors, and so we only need to prove that there exists a constant  $C' > 0$  such that

$$A^{d-1} \cdot \phi_*(\text{red}(\phi^*A + F_1 + B_{Z'} + h^*D) + h^*H) < C'.$$

By the projection formula, this is equivalent to proving that

$$(\phi^*A)^{d-1} \cdot (\text{red}(\phi^*A + F_1 + B_{Z'} + h^*D) + h^*H) < C'.$$

Let  $G = 2((2d + 1) + 1)\phi^*A$ . By [HMX13, Lemma 3.2], we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & G^{d-1} \cdot (\text{red}(\phi^*A + F_1 + B_{Z'} + h^*D)) \\ & \leq 2^d \text{vol}(K_{Z'} + \frac{1}{\delta'}B_{Z'} + \phi^*A + \frac{1}{\delta'}F_1 + h^*D + G). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.3, there is a positive number  $e < 1$  such that  $K_{Z'} + eB_{Z'} + M_{Z'}$  is big. Because  $M_{Z'}$  is pseudo-effective and

$$K_{Z'} + \frac{1}{\delta'}B_{Z'} + \frac{\frac{1}{\delta'} - 1}{1 - e}(K_{Z'} + eB_{Z'} + M_{Z'}) + M_{Z'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{\frac{1}{\delta'} - e}{1 - e}(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'}),$$

thus for any divisor  $E$ , we have that  $\text{vol}(Z', E + K_{Z'} + \frac{1}{\delta'}B_{Z'}) \leq \text{vol}(Z', E + \frac{\frac{1}{\delta'} - e}{1 - e}(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'}))$ . Also because  $\phi^*A$  and  $F$  are effective, it is easy to see that  $\phi^*A + \frac{1}{\delta'}F + G \leq (1 + 2((2d + 1) + 1) + \frac{1}{\delta'})(\phi^*A + F) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (1 + 2((2d + 1) + 1) + \frac{1}{\delta'})r'(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'})$ . Therefore, we have that

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{vol}(K_{Z'} + \frac{1}{\delta'}B_{Z'} + \phi^*A + \frac{1}{\delta'}F_1 + h^*D + G) \\ & \leq \text{vol}(\frac{\frac{1}{\delta'} - e}{1 - e}(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'}) + \phi^*A + \frac{1}{\delta'}F_1 + h^*D + G) \\ & \leq \text{vol}((\frac{\frac{1}{\delta'} - e}{1 - e} + r' + 2r'((2d + 1) + 1) + \frac{r'}{\delta'})(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'}) + h^*D) \\ & \leq C''^d \text{vol}(K_{Z'} + M_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + h^*D) \end{aligned}$$

where  $C'' := (\frac{\frac{1}{\delta'} - e}{1 - e} + r' + 2r'((2d + 1) + 1) + \frac{r'}{\delta'})^d$ .

Because

$$H^0(X, m(K_X + \Delta + f^*D)) \cong H^0(Z', m(K_{Z'} + M_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + h^*D))$$

for all  $m \gg 0$  sufficiently divisible, thus we have

$$\text{vol}(K_{Z'} + M_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + h^*D) \leq \text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta + f^*D) \leq r \text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta) \leq rC.$$

where the second inequality is from condition (4) and the third one is from condition (6). Thus we have

$$G^{d-1} \cdot (\text{red}(\phi^*A + F_1 + B_{Z'} + h^*D)) \leq 2^d r C'' C$$

is bounded.

Because  $\phi^*A$  and  $h^*H$  are nef, we have that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (\phi^*A)^{d-1} \cdot h^*H &\leq (\phi^*A + h^*H)^d \leq r'^d \text{vol}(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'} + H) \\ &\leq r'^d \text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta + f^*H) \leq r'^d r C \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(W, \phi_*(\phi^*A + F_1 + h^*D))$  is log bounded, let  $P := \text{red}(\phi_*(\phi^*A + F_1 + h^*(D + H)))$ , there is a flat morphism  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow T$  such that  $(W, P) \cong (\mathcal{Z}_t, \mathcal{P}_t)$  for a closed point  $t \in T$ . Because  $f^! : (X^!, \text{Supp}(\Delta^! - F^!)) \rightarrow Z^!$  is log smooth over  $W \setminus \{\text{Supp}(\psi_*L^! + \psi_*h^{!*}D)\}$  and  $\text{Supp}(\psi_*L^! + \psi_*h^{!*}D) = \text{Supp}(P)$ , thus there is a rational contraction  $f_t : X^! \dashrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_t$ ,  $(X^!, \Delta^! - F^!)$  is log smooth over  $\mathcal{Z}_t \setminus \mathcal{P}_t$ . Passing to a stratification of  $T$  and log resolution of the generic fiber of  $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow T$ , we can assume  $(\mathcal{Z}_t, \mathcal{P}_t)$  is log smooth for every closed point  $t \in T$ . Therefore, for any  $(X, \Delta) \in \mathcal{D}'_{I,n,r,l,C,\delta}$ , after replacing  $(X, \Delta)$  by a birational model, we may assume there is a morphism  $(X, \Delta) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Z}_t, \mathcal{P}_t)$  for a closed point  $t \in T$ , such that the morphism  $X \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_t$  and divisors  $\Delta - F, \mathcal{P}_t$  satisfy the standard normal crossing assumptions. Hence the corresponding moduli part  $M_t$  is nef. Since  $f_t$  has the same generic fiber as  $f : X \rightarrow Z'$ , the corresponding moduli parts are isomorphic to  $\phi_*M_{Z'}$ , which we denote by  $M_t$ . By assumption,  $lM_t$  is Cartier.

By theorem 2.3, there is a rational number  $e < 1$  depending only on  $I, d, l$  such that both  $K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'}$  and  $K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + eM_{Z'}$  are big divisors. By Theorem 2.2, there is an integer  $\tilde{r}$  depending only on  $I, d, l, e$ , such that both  $|m\tilde{r}(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'})|$  and  $|m\tilde{r}(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + eM_{Z'})|$  define birational maps for all integers  $m \geq 1$ . We may assume that both  $\tilde{r}M_{Z'}$  and  $\tilde{r}eM_{Z'}$  are Cartier divisors, so both  $|\tilde{r}(K_{Z'} + \lfloor \tilde{r}B_{Z'} \rfloor / \tilde{r} + M_{Z'})|$  and  $|\tilde{r}(K_{Z'} + \lfloor \tilde{r}B_{Z'} \rfloor / \tilde{r} + eM_{Z'})|$  define birational maps. Let  $D''_1 \in |\tilde{r}(K_{Z'} + \lfloor \tilde{r}B_{Z'} \rfloor / \tilde{r} + M_{Z'})|$ ,  $D''_2 \in |\tilde{r}(K_{Z'} + \lfloor \tilde{r}B_{Z'} \rfloor / \tilde{r} + eM_{Z'})|$  be general members. Define two effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors,

$$D'_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{K_{Z'} + \lfloor \tilde{r}B_{Z'} \rfloor / \tilde{r} + M_{Z'}}{1 - e}, \quad D'_2 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{K_{Z'} + \lfloor \tilde{r}B_{Z'} \rfloor / \tilde{r} + eM_{Z'}}{1 - e}.$$

It is easy to see that the coefficients of  $D'_1$  and  $D'_2$  are in a discrete set that depends only on  $r, \tilde{r}, e, I$ . Let  $D_1 = \phi_*D'_1, D_2 = \phi_*D'_2$ , it is easy to see the degree of  $D_1, D_2$  with respect to  $A$  in  $W$  is bounded, hence the coefficients of  $D_1, D_2$  are in a finite set and they are bounded in the family  $\mathcal{Z}$ . It is easy to see that  $M_Z = D_1 - D_2$ , therefore by Lemma 3.1, there are finitely many divisors  $\mathcal{M}_k, k \in \Lambda$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$  such that  $M_t = \mathcal{M}_k|_{\mathcal{Z}_t}$  for some  $k \in \Lambda$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P})$  is a log pair,  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow T$  is a smooth projective morphism and  $\mathcal{P}$  is log smooth over  $T$ , where  $T$  is of finite type. Let  $\mathcal{M}_k, k \in \mathcal{S}$  be a finite set of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors. Fix an integer  $l > 0$  and a DCC set  $\Lambda \subset [0, 1]$ . For a closed point  $t \in T$ , let  $\mathcal{D}'_t$  denotes the set of generalized log pairs  $(W, B_W + M_W)$  such that*

- (1)  $(W, B_W)$  is log smooth,
- (2) there is a birational morphism  $\phi : W \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_t$ ,
- (3)  $M_W = \phi^*(\mathcal{M}_k|_{\mathcal{Z}_t})$ , for some  $k \in \mathcal{S}$ ,
- (4)  $\text{coeff}(B_W) \in \Lambda$  and  $\phi_* B_W \leq \mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{Z}_t}$ .

*Then the set  $\{\text{vol}(K_W + B_W + M_W) \mid (W, B_W + M_W) \in \cup_{t \in T} \mathcal{D}'_t\}$  satisfies the DCC condition.*

*Proof.* Because  $\mathcal{S}$  is a finite set, to prove the DCC property, we may assume that  $|\mathcal{S}| = 1$ .

Fix a closed point  $t \in T$ , for any  $(W, B_W + M_W) \in \mathcal{D}_t$  such that  $M_W = \phi^* \mathcal{M}_1|_{\mathcal{Z}_t}$ , because  $(\mathcal{Z}_t, \mathcal{P}_t)$  is log smooth, by the proof of [HMX13, Theorem 1.9]. We may assume that  $\phi : W \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_t$  only blows up strata of  $\mathcal{P}_t$ . On the other hand, because  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P})$  is log smooth over  $T$  and the strata of  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P})$  have irreducible fibres, we may find a sequence of blow ups  $g : \mathcal{Z}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ , so that  $W = \mathcal{Z}'_t$ . There is a unique divisor  $\mathcal{B}_W$  supported on the strict transform of  $\mathcal{P}$  and the exceptional locus of  $g$ , such that  $B_W = \mathcal{B}_W|_{\mathcal{Z}'_t}$ . Let  $Y = \mathcal{Z}'_0$  be the fibre over 0 of  $\mathcal{Z}' \rightarrow T$  and  $B_Y := \mathcal{B}_W|_{\mathcal{Z}'_0}$ . By Theorem 2.5, we have that

$$\text{vol}(K_W + B_W + \phi^* \mathcal{M}_1|_{\mathcal{Z}_t}) = \text{vol}(K_Y + B_Y + (g^* \mathcal{M}_1)|_{\mathcal{Z}'_0}).$$

Therefore the set

$$\{\text{vol}(W, B_W + M_W), (W, B_W + M_W) \in \mathcal{D}'_t\}$$

is independent of  $t \in T$ . Now apply Theorem 2.4.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* Fix an arbitrary constant  $C > 0$ , let

$$\mathcal{D}_C := \{(X, \Delta) \in \mathcal{D}_{I,n,r,l}, \text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta) \leq C\},$$

then we only need to prove  $\{\text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta) \mid (X, \Delta) \in \mathcal{D}_C\}$  is a DCC set.

Fix  $(X, \Delta) \in \mathcal{D}_C$ , since  $Z$  is the canonical model of  $K_X + \Delta$ , then by Theorem 2.9, there is a generalised pair  $(Z', B_{Z'} + M_{Z'})$  and a birational morphism  $h : Z' \rightarrow Z$  such that  $Z$  is the canonical model of  $K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'}$ . Let  $B_Z$  and  $M_Z$  be the pushforward of  $B_{Z'}$  and  $M_{Z'}$ , then  $K_Z + B_Z + M_Z$  is ample.

By Theorem 2.2, there is an integer  $r' > 0$  which only depends on  $I, l$  such that  $|r'(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'})|$  defines a birational map. Choose a general member  $H' \in |r'(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'})|$ , let  $H := h_* H'$ , then  $H$  is ample and the coefficients of  $H$  are bounded below by a positive number  $\delta'$ . Because by definition of the canonical model,  $h^* H \leq H'$ , and by Theorem 2.9 (3),

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(ml(K_X + \Delta))) \cong H^0(Z', \mathcal{O}_{Z'}(ml(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'}))),$$

we have that

$$(3.6) \quad \text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta + f^*H) \leq (1+r)^d \text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta)$$

Therefore,  $(X, \Delta), D, H$  satisfy the conditions in Theorem 3.2. Let  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow T$  be the corresponding bounded family, and  $\mathcal{M}_k, k \in \Lambda$  be the corresponding  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisors defined in Theorem 3.2.

Let  $\mathcal{D}'$  be the set of generalised klt pairs  $(W', B_{W'} + M_{W'})$  such that

- (1)  $(W', B_{W'})$  is log smooth.
- (2) There is a morphism  $\phi : W' \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_t$  for a closed point  $t \in T$ .
- (3)  $M_{W'} = \phi^*(\mathcal{M}_k|_{\mathcal{Z}_t})$ , for some  $k \in \Lambda$ .
- (4)  $\text{coeff}(B_{W'})$  is in a fixed DCC set and  $\phi_*(B_{W'}) \leq \mathcal{P}_t$

Since  $Z$  is the canonical model of  $(X, \Delta) \in \mathcal{D}_C$ ,  $\text{Ivol}(X, K_X + \Delta) = \text{vol}(Z, K_Z + B_Z + M_Z)$ . Let  $(W, B_W + M_W)$  be a generalised pair defined by Theorem 2.9 such that there is a birational morphism  $\psi_t : W \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_t$  for a closed point  $t \in T$ , then by Theorem 2.9 (3),  $\text{vol}(Z, K_Z + B_Z + M_Z) = \text{vol}(W, K_W + B_W + M_W)$ , and by Theorem 3.2,  $\psi_{t*}B_W \leq \mathcal{P}_t$  and  $M_W = \psi_t^*(\mathcal{M}_k)$ . Thus  $(W, B_W + M_W) \in \mathcal{D}'$ , which means  $\{\text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta), (X, \Delta) \in \mathcal{D}_C\}$  is a subset of the  $\{\text{vol}(K_W + B_W + M_W), (W, B_W + M_W) \in \mathcal{D}'\}$ . By Theorem 3.3, the later one satisfies the DCC condition; therefore, the claim follows.  $\square$

#### 4. BOUNDEDNESS OF THE CANONICAL MODEL

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $(Z, B)$  be a log pair. Define a  $\mathbf{b}$ -divisor  $\mathbf{M}_B$  by assigning to any valuation  $\mu$ ,

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbf{M}_B(\mu) = \begin{cases} \text{mult}_\Gamma(B), & \text{if the centre of } \mu \text{ is a divisor } \Gamma \text{ on } Z, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4.2.** Fix  $w \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a set  $I \subset [0, 1]$  which satisfies the DCC. Let  $(W, D + M)$  be a projective log smooth  $n$ -dimensional pair where  $D$  is reduced,  $M$  is nef and  $\mathbf{M}_D$  the  $\mathbf{b}$ -divisor defined as above. Then there exists  $f : W' \rightarrow W$ , a finite sequence of blow ups along strata of  $\mathbf{M}_D$ , such that if

- (1)  $(Z, B)$  is a projective log smooth  $n$ -dimensional pair
- (2)  $g : Z \rightarrow W$  is a finite sequence of blow ups along strata of  $\mathbf{M}_D$ ,
- (3)  $\text{coeff}(B) \subset I$ ,
- (4)  $g_*B \leq D$ , and
- (5)  $\text{vol}(Z, K_Z + B + g^*M) = w$

then  $\text{vol}(W', K_{W'} + \mathbf{M}_{B, W'} + f^*M) = w$  where  $\mathbf{M}_{B, W'}$  is the strict transform of  $B$  plus the  $W' \dashrightarrow Z$  exceptional divisors.

*Proof.* We may assume that  $1 \in I$ . Let

$$V = \{\text{vol}(Y, K_Y + \Gamma + g^*M) \mid (Y, \Gamma) \in \mathcal{S}\}$$

where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the set of all  $n$ -dimensional projective log smooth pairs such that  $K_Y + \Gamma + g^*M$  is big,  $\text{coeff}(\Gamma) \subset I$ ,  $g : Y \rightarrow W$  is a birational morphism

and  $g_*\Gamma \leq D$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $V$  satisfies the DCC condition. Therefore, there is a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $w \leq \text{vol}(K_Y + \Gamma + g^*M) \leq w + \delta$ , then  $\text{vol}(K_Y + \Gamma + g^*M) = w$ . By Theorem 2.3, there exists  $0 < e < 1$  such that if  $(Y, \Gamma) \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $K_Y + e\Gamma + g^*M$  is big. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$(1 - \epsilon)^n > \frac{w}{w + \delta}, \quad \text{and let } a = 1 - (1 - \epsilon)\epsilon$$

Since

$$K_Y + a\Gamma + g^*M = (1 - \epsilon)(K_Y + \Gamma + g^*M) + \epsilon(K_Y + e\Gamma + g^*M)$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(K_Y + a\Gamma + g^*M) &\geq \text{vol}((1 - \epsilon)(K_Y + \Gamma + g^*M)) \\ &= (1 - \epsilon)^n \text{vol}(K_Y + \Gamma + g^*M) \\ (4.2) \quad &\geq \frac{w}{w + \delta} \text{vol}(K_Y + \Gamma + g^*M) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(W, aD)$  is klt, there is a sequence of blow ups  $f : W' \rightarrow W$  of the strata of  $\mathbf{M}_D$  with the following property: if  $K_{W'} + \Phi = f^*(K_W + aD) + E$  where  $\Phi \wedge E = 0$ , then  $(W', \Phi)$  is terminal. Let  $\mathcal{S}'$  be the set of pairs  $(Z, B)$  satisfying properties (1-5) above, after blowing up  $Z$  along finitely many strata of  $\mathbf{M}_D$  and replacing  $B$  by its strict transform plus the exceptional divisors, we may assume that  $\phi : Z \rightarrow W'$  is a morphism. If  $(Z, B) \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $B_{W'} = \phi_*B$ , then  $f_*(aB_{W'}) \leq aD$  so that if

$$K_{W'} + \Phi = f^*(K_W + f_*(aB_{W'})) + F$$

where  $\Phi \wedge F = 0$ , then  $(W', \Phi)$  is terminal. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad \text{vol}(W', K_{W'} + aB_{W'} + f^*M) &= \text{vol}(W', K_{W'} + aB_{W'} \wedge \Phi + f^*M) \\ &= \text{vol}(Z, K_Z + \phi_*^{-1}(aB_{W'} \wedge \Phi) + g^*M) \\ &\leq \text{vol}(Z, K_Z + B + g^*M) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$w \leq \text{vol}(W', K_{W'} + B_{W'} + f^*M) \leq \frac{w + \delta}{w} \text{vol}(W', K_{W'} + aB_{W'} + f^*M) \leq w + \delta$$

which implies  $\text{vol}(W', K_{W'} + B_{W'} + f^*M) = w$  as required.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.7.* Define  $\mathcal{D}(C)$  to be

$$\{(X, \Delta) \in \mathcal{D}_{I,n,r,l}, \text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta) = C\}.$$

It suffices to show that there is an integer  $N > 0$  such that if  $(Z, B_Z + M_Z)$  is the canonical model of  $(X, \Delta) \in \mathcal{D}(C)$ , then  $N(K_Z + B_Z + M_Z)$  is very ample. Suppose that this is not the case and let  $(X_i, \Delta_i) \in \mathcal{D}(C)$  be a sequence,  $(Z_i, B_i + M_i)$  the corresponding canonical model such that  $i!(K_{Z_i} + B_i + M_i)$  is not very ample for all  $i > 0$ .

Let  $W_i$  be a SNC model of  $X_i \rightarrow Z_i$ ,  $M_{W,i}$  be the corresponding moduli part and  $B_{W,i}$  the boundary part, then  $(Z_i, B_i + M_i)$  is also the canonical model of  $(W_i, B_{W,i} + M_{W,i})$ . By Theorem 3.2, after passing to a stratification



of  $T$ , we may assume that there is a log bounded log smooth family  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow T$  and finitely many nef divisors  $\mathcal{M}_k, k \in \Lambda$ , such that for every  $W_i$ , there is a closed point  $t_i \in T$  and a birational morphism  $\phi_i : W_i \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{t_i}$ , such that  $\phi_{i*} B_{W,i} \leq \mathcal{P}_{t_i}$ , and passing to a subsequence, we may assume  $M_{W,i} = \phi_i^* \mathcal{M}_1$ .

Applying Theorem 4.2 to  $(\mathcal{Z}_{t_1}, \mathcal{P}_{t_1} + \mathcal{M}_1|_{\mathcal{Z}_{t_1}})$ , we obtain a model  $\mathcal{Z}'_{t_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{t_1}$  and  $g : (\mathcal{Z}', \mathcal{P}') \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  the morphism obtained by blowing up the corresponding strata of  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{P}}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}'$  is the strict transform of  $\mathcal{P}$  plus the exceptional divisors of  $g$ .

Denote by  $\Phi_{t_i} = (f'_i)_*^{-1} B_{W,i} + \text{Exc}(f'_i) \leq \mathcal{P}'_{t_i}$ , where  $f'_i : \mathcal{Z}'_{t_i} \dashrightarrow W_i$  is the induced birational map. Passing to a subsequence, we may also assume that for any irreducible component  $P$  of the support of  $\mathcal{P}'$ , the coefficients of  $\Phi_{t_i}$  along  $\mathcal{P}'_{t_i}$  are non-decreasing. Let  $\Phi^i$  be the divisor with support contained in  $\mathcal{P}'$  such that  $\Phi^i|_{\mathcal{Z}'_{t_i}} = \Phi_{t_i}$ .

We claim that for any pair  $(Z_i, B_i + M_i)$  as above

$$\text{vol}(\mathcal{Z}'_{t_i}, K_{\mathcal{Z}'_{t_i}} + \Phi_{t_i} + g^* \mathcal{M}_1|_{\mathcal{Z}'_{t_i}}) = C$$

To see this, we can construct  $g' : \mathcal{Z}'' \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}'$  by a sequence of blow ups along strata of  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{P}'}$  such that  $\mathcal{Z}''_{t_i} \dashrightarrow W_i$  is a rational map and we have

$$\text{vol}(\mathcal{Z}''_{t_i}, K_{\mathcal{Z}''_{t_i}} + \Psi_{t_i} + g'^* g^* \mathcal{M}_1|_{\mathcal{Z}''_{t_i}}) = C$$

where  $\Psi_{t_i}$  is the strict transform of  $B_{W,i}$  plus the  $\mathcal{Z}''_{t_i}/W_i$  exceptional divisors. If  $\Psi$  is the divisor supported on  $\text{Supp}(\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{Z}''})$  such that  $\Psi|_{\mathcal{Z}''_{t_i}} = \Psi_{t_i}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad C &= \text{vol}(\mathcal{Z}''_{t_i}, K_{\mathcal{Z}''_{t_i}} + \Psi_{t_i} + g'^* g^* \mathcal{M}_1|_{\mathcal{Z}''_{t_i}}) \\ &= \text{vol}(\mathcal{Z}''_{t_1}, K_{\mathcal{Z}''_{t_1}} + \Psi|_{\mathcal{Z}''_{t_1}} + g'^* g^* \mathcal{M}_1|_{\mathcal{Z}''_{t_1}}) \\ &= \text{vol}(\mathcal{Z}'_{t_1}, K_{\mathcal{Z}'_{t_1}} + \Phi^i|_{\mathcal{Z}'_{t_1}} + g^* \mathcal{M}_1|_{\mathcal{Z}'_{t_1}}) \\ &= \text{vol}(\mathcal{Z}'_{t_i}, K_{\mathcal{Z}'_{t_i}} + \Phi^i_{t_i} + g^* \mathcal{M}_1|_{\mathcal{Z}'_{t_i}}), \end{aligned}$$

where the second and the fourth equalities follow from Theorem 2.5 and the third one follows from Theorem 4.2.

By [HMX18, Corollary 1.4], we may assume  $(\mathcal{Z}', \Phi^1 + g^* \mathcal{M}_1)$  has a relative log canonical model  $\psi : \mathcal{Z}' \dashrightarrow \mathcal{W}$  over  $T$ , which fiber by fiber  $\psi_{t_i} : \mathcal{Z}'_{t_i} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{t_i}$  gives the log canonical model for  $(\mathcal{Z}'_{t_i}, \Phi^1|_{\mathcal{Z}'_{t_i}} + g^* \mathcal{M}_1|_{\mathcal{Z}'_{t_i}})$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . Notice that by Theorem 2.5,

$$C = \text{vol}(\mathcal{Z}'_{t_k}, K_{\mathcal{Z}'_{t_k}} + \Phi^k_{t_k} + g^* \mathcal{M}_1|_{\mathcal{Z}'_{t_k}}) = \text{vol}(\mathcal{Z}'_{t_1}, K_{\mathcal{Z}'_{t_1}} + \Phi^k_{t_1} + g^* \mathcal{M}_1|_{\mathcal{Z}'_{t_1}})$$

for all  $k > 0$ . Since we have assumed that

$$\Phi^1 \leq \Phi^2 \leq \Phi^3 \leq \dots,$$

it follows by Lemma 4.3 that  $\psi_{t_i} : \mathcal{Z}'_{t_i} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{t_i}$  is also a log canonical model of  $(\mathcal{Z}'_{t_i}, \Phi^k_{t_i} + g^* \mathcal{M}_1|_{\mathcal{Z}'_{t_i}})$  for all  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\psi_{t_i*} \Phi^k_{t_i} = \psi_{t_i*} \Phi^1_{t_i}$  and there is an isomorphism  $\alpha_i : \mathcal{W}_{t_i} \cong \mathcal{Z}_i$ .

There is an integer  $N > 0$  such that  $N(K_{\mathcal{W}} + \psi_*\Phi^1 + \psi_*g^*\mathcal{M}_1)$  is very ample over  $T$  and so  $N(K_{\mathcal{W}_{t_i}} + \psi_*\Phi_{t_i}^1 + \psi_*g^*\mathcal{M}_1|_{\mathcal{W}_{t_i}})$  is very ample for all  $i > 0$ . Since

$$\psi_{t_i*}\Phi_{t_i}^1 = \psi_{t_i*}\Phi_{t_i}^i = \alpha_i^*(B_i),$$

it follows that  $N(K_{Z_i} + B_i + M_i)$  is very ample for all  $i > 0$  which is the required contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $(W, B + M)$  be a generalized log canonical pair such that  $K_W + B + M$  is big,  $M$  is nef and  $f : W \dashrightarrow Z$  the log canonical model of  $(W, B + M)$ . If  $B' \geq B$ ,  $(W, B' + M)$  is generalized log canonical and  $\text{vol}(W, K_W + B + M) = \text{vol}(W, K_W + B' + M)$ , then  $f$  is also the log canonical model of  $(W, B' + M)$*

*Proof.* Replacing  $W$  by a birational model, we may assume that  $f : W \rightarrow Z$  is a morphism. Let  $A = f_*(K_W + B + M)$ , then  $A$  is ample and  $F := K_W + B + M - f^*A$  is effective and  $f$ -exceptional. We have for any  $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(K_W + B + M) &= \text{vol}(K_W + B + M + t(B' - B)) \\ &\geq \text{vol}(f^*A + t(B' - B)) \\ (4.5) \quad &\geq \text{vol}(f^*A) \\ &= \text{vol}(K_W + B + M). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\text{vol}(f^*A + t(B' - B)) = \text{vol}(f^*A) \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

is a constant function. If  $E$  is a component of  $B' - B$  then by [LM09] we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{dt} \text{vol}(f^*A + tE)|_{t=0} \\ (4.6) \quad &= n \cdot \text{vol}_{X|E}(f^*A) \\ &\geq n \cdot E \cdot f^*A^{n-1} \\ &= n \cdot \text{deg} f_*E. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $E$  is  $f$ -exceptional and so

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W(m(K_X + B' + M))) &= H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W(mf^*A + m(E + F))) \\ (4.7) \quad &= H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W(mf^*A)) \\ &= H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W(m(K_W + B + M))) \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $f$  is the log canonical model of  $(W, B' + M)$ .  $\square$

## 5. WEAK BOUNDEDNESS

The definition of weak boundedness is first introduced in [KL10]. We find this definition useful in proving the birational boundedness of fibrations with fixed general fibers.

**Definition 5.1.** A  $(g, m)$ -curve is a smooth curve  $C^o$  whose smooth compactification  $C$  has genus  $g$  and such that  $C \setminus C^o$  consists of  $m$  closed points.

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $M$  be a proper  $k$ -scheme with a fixed invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{N}$  and let  $U$  be an open subset of  $M$ . We say a morphism  $\xi : U \rightarrow M$  is weakly bounded with respect to  $\mathcal{N}$  if there exists a function  $b_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  such that for every pair  $(g, m)$  of nonnegative integers, for every  $(g, m)$ -curve  $C^o \subseteq C$ , and for every morphism  $C^o \rightarrow U$ , one has that  $\deg \xi_C^* \mathcal{N} \leq b_{\mathcal{N}}(g, m)$ , where  $\xi_C : C \rightarrow M$  is the induced morphism. The function  $b_{\mathcal{N}}$  will be called a weak bound, and we will say that  $\xi$  is weakly bounded by  $b_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow T$  is family of projective varieties, where  $T$  is quasi-projective. Then we can decompose  $T$  into finitely many locally closed subset  $\cup_{i \in I} T_i$ , such that each  $T_i$  is weakly bounded with respect to an ample line bundle  $H_i$ .*

*Proof.* After passing to a stratification, we may assume that  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow T$  is smooth and  $T$  is smooth, and  $\mathcal{X}$  is also smooth.

Choose a very ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{X}/T}$  is ample. Let  $A \in |\mathcal{L}|$  be a general member, then  $A$  is smooth. By the generic smoothness, there is an open subset  $T_1 \subset T$  such that  $A$  is smooth over  $T_1$ . Define  $\mathcal{Y} := A \cap \mathcal{F}^{-1}(T_1)$ . Next we show that  $T_1$  is weakly bounded.

Since  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{X}/T}$  and  $A$  is smooth, by the adjunction formula,  $\omega_{\mathcal{Y}/T_1} = (\omega_{\mathcal{X}/T} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(A))|_{\mathcal{Y}}$  is ample. Therefore  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow T_1$  is a family of canonically polarized manifolds. We may assume that  $T_1$  is irreducible and every fiber of  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow T_1$  has Hilbert polynomial  $h$ .

Write  $\mathcal{M}_h^o$  for the (Deligne-Mumford) stack space of canonically polarized manifolds with Hilbert polynomial  $h$  and  $\mathbf{M}_h^o$  for its coarse moduli space. Then it is easy to see that  $g : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow T_1 \in \mathcal{M}_h^o(T_1)$ , let  $\psi : T_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_h^o$  be the induced moduli morphism.

Because  $A$  is very ample,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}(A)$  defines a morphism  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ , which induces a morphism  $\mathcal{Y}_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$  for every closed point  $t \in T_1$ . By the definition of Hilbert scheme, there is an embedding  $T_1 \hookrightarrow \text{Hilb}_h(\mathbb{P}^m)$ . Let  $\text{Hilb}_h^o(\mathbb{P}^m) \subset \text{Hilb}_h(\mathbb{P}^m)$  be the subscheme parametrizing smooth closed subvarieties. By the result in [Kol90], there is a finite morphism  $\text{Hilb}_h^o(\mathbb{P}^m) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_h^o$ . Thus, there is a finite morphism  $\psi : T_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_h^o$ .

By [KL10, Lemma 6.2], the stack  $\mathbf{M}_h^o$  is weakly bounded with respect to  $\mathbf{M}_h$  and  $\lambda \in \text{Pic}(\mathbf{M}_h)$  by a function  $b(g, d)$ , where  $\mathbf{M}_h$  is a compactification of  $\mathbf{M}_h^o$ ,  $\lambda$  is an ample line bundle. Let  $T_1^c$  be a compactification of  $T_1$  such that there is an extension  $\psi^c : T_1^c \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_h$  of  $\psi : T_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_h^o$ . Suppose  $C^o \subseteq C$  is a  $(g, d)$ -curve, and  $\xi : C \rightarrow T_1^c$  be a morphism factoring through  $C^o \rightarrow T_1$ , then  $\mu \circ \xi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_h$  factors through  $C^o \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_h^o$ . By the definition of weakly bounded,  $\deg(\mu \circ \xi)^* \lambda \leq b(g, d)$ . Therefore  $T_1$  is weakly bounded with respect to  $T_1^c$  and  $\psi^* \lambda$ .

Because  $\psi$  is a finite morphism,  $\psi^{c*} \lambda|_{T_1}$  is ample on  $T_1$ , let  $\bar{T}_1$  be a compactification determined by some multiple of  $\psi^{c*} \lambda|_{T_1}$ , let  $H$  be a very ample line bundle induced by  $\psi^{c*} \lambda|_{T_1}$ . Then by [KL10, Proposition 2.22],  $T_1$  is weakly bounded with respect to  $H$  and  $\bar{T}_1$ .  $\square$

The following Theorem says that morphisms of bounded varieties to a weakly bounded variety can be parametrized by a scheme of finite type.

**Theorem 5.4.** [KL10, Proposition 2.14] *Let  $T$  be a quasicompact quasiseparated reduced  $\mathbb{C}$ -scheme and  $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow T$  a smooth morphism. Given a projective  $T$ -scheme of finite presentation  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(1))$ , an open subscheme  $\mathcal{M}^\circ \subset \mathcal{M}$  of finite presentation over  $T$ , and a weak bound  $b$ , there exists a  $T$ -scheme of finite presentation  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{M}^\circ}^b$  and a morphism  $\Theta : \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{M}^\circ}^b \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\circ$  such that for every geometric point  $t \in T$  and for every morphism  $\xi : \mathcal{U}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_t^\circ \subset \mathcal{M}_t$  that is weakly bounded by  $b$  there exists a point  $p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{M}^\circ}^b$  such that  $\xi = \Theta|_{\{p\} \times \mathcal{U}_t}$ .*

## 6. HILBERT SCHEME AND THE MODULI DIVISOR

A class of polarized log pairs is a set  $\mathcal{C}$  consisting of pairs  $(Y, D, H)$  satisfying the following assumptions

- (1)  $(Y, D)$  is a log canonical pair.
- (2)  $H$  is an ample divisor on  $Y$ .

The moduli functor  $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{C}$  associated to  $\mathcal{C}$  is the following functor:

Given a scheme  $S$ ,  $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{C}(S)$  is the set of all pairs  $(X, \Delta, L)$ , where  $X$  is a flat and proper scheme over  $S$ ,  $\Delta$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $X$ , and  $L$  is a line bundle on  $X$  such that for every closed point  $s \in S$ , the following two conditions hold:

- (1)  $(X_s, \Delta|_{X_s}, L|_{X_s}) \in \mathcal{C}$ , for every closed point  $s \in S$ ,
- (2)  $\text{Supp}(\Delta)$  is flat over  $S$ , and
- (3)  $L$  is flat over  $S$ ,

Suppose  $\mathcal{X}$  is a class of polarized  $n$ -dimensional log pairs, we say  $\mathcal{X}$  is bounded, if the following two equivalent conditions hold:

- (1) There exists  $C > 0$  and an positive integer  $N$  such that for every  $(Y, D, H) \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $H^{\otimes N}$  is very ample, without higher cohomology,  $H^n \leq C$  and  $H^{n-1} \cdot \text{red}(D) \leq C$ .
- (2) There is a projective morphism  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow S$  and a positive integer  $N$  such that  $S$  is of finite type, and for every  $(Y, D, H) \in \mathcal{X}$ , there is a closed point  $s \in S$  and an isomorphism  $\phi : (Y, H^{\otimes N}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Z}_s, \mathcal{L}_s)$  such that  $\phi_* D \leq \mathcal{P}_s$ .

If the condition (1) holds, then there is a (non unique) natural choice of the scheme  $S$  in (2). Let  $N > 0$  be an integer such that for every  $(Y, D, H) \in \mathcal{X}$  the line bundle  $H^{\otimes N}$  is very ample and without higher cohomology. By the generic projection, we may assume that  $Y$  is a subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$  with degree  $\leq N^n C$ . Let  $\mathbf{H}_1$  be the Hilbert scheme parametrizing closed subvarieties of dimension  $n$  and degree  $\leq N^n C$  inside  $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ , and  $(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{H}_1}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{H}_1})$  the universal family. Let  $\mathbf{H}_2$  be the Hilbert scheme parametrizing closed subvarieties of dimension  $n - 1$  and degree  $\leq N^{n-1} C$  inside  $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ , and  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}_2} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_2$  the universal family. The universal family  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{H}_1} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}_2} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_1 \times \mathbf{H}_2$  parametrizes all such polarized log pairs.

To be more precise, for each pair  $(Y, D, L) \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\text{Supp}(D)$  is a subvariety of  $Y$  and the embedding  $\text{Supp}(D) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$  factor through  $\text{Supp}(D) \hookrightarrow Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ . Because for every closed subvariety  $Y$  of  $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ , there is a natural embedding  $\text{Hilb}(Y) \hookrightarrow \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{P}^{2n+1})$ , define

$$\mathbf{H} := \{(s, t) \mid (s, t) \in \mathbf{H}_1 \times \mathbf{H}_2, t \in \text{Hilb}(\mathcal{X}_s)\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\mathbf{H}$  is a closed subvariety of  $\mathbf{H}_1 \times \mathbf{H}_2$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{H}} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  be the restriction of  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{H}_1} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}_2} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_1 \times \mathbf{H}_2$  to  $\mathbf{H}$ . Then for every closed point  $u \in \mathbf{H}$ , it is easy to see that there is a natural embedding  $\mathcal{D}_u \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_u$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$  induced by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}}(1)$ , we write the universal family as  $(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{H}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{H}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ .

Let  $(X, \Delta, L) \in \mathcal{MC}(Z)$  and  $f : X \rightarrow Z, g : \Delta \rightarrow Z$  be the structure maps, then  $f_*L^{\otimes N}$  and  $g_*(L|_{\Delta})^{\otimes N}$  are locally free and we get an embedding of  $(X, L)$  into  $\text{Proj}_Z(f_*L^{\otimes N} \otimes g_*(L^{\otimes N}|_{\Delta}))$ . Replacing  $Z$  with an open cover  $\cup U_i$ , we may assume that  $f_*L^{\otimes N} \otimes g_*(L|_{\Delta})^{\otimes N}$  is in fact free. Fixing a basis in the space of sections then gives a map  $U_i \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  for every  $i$  such that  $(X_{U_i}, \text{red}(\Delta|_{X_{U_i}}), L|_{X_{U_i}})$  is just the pull back of the universal family  $(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{H}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{H}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ .

We say that the general fiber of a contraction  $f : (X, \Delta, L) \rightarrow Z$  is in  $\mathcal{C}$ , if there is an open subset  $U \subset Z$  such that for every closed point  $u \in U$ ,  $(X_u, \Delta|_{X_u}, L|_{X_u}) \in \mathcal{C}$ . Furthermore, we can shrink  $U$  such that

$$(X_U, \Delta|_{X_U}, L|_{X_U}) \in \mathcal{MC}(U).$$

In this section, we deal with contractions whose general fibers are log Calabi-Yau pairs. We claim that such a contraction can naturally induce an lc-trivial fibration. Therefore, for any such contraction, there is a corresponding moduli  $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be a log canonical pair,  $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Z$  an algebraic contraction to a projective normal variety, suppose that the general fiber  $(X_g, \Delta_g)$  is a log Calabi-Yau pair. After taking a log resolution of the generic fiber of  $f$ , we may assume that there is a birational morphism  $g : (X', \Delta') \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ , where  $\Delta'$  is defined by  $K_{X'} + \Delta' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^*(K_X + \Delta)$ , and a divisor  $D$  on  $Z$ , such that the morphism  $h := f \circ g : X' \rightarrow Z$  is smooth over  $Z \setminus D$ ,  $\text{Supp}(\Delta')$  is simple normal crossing over  $Z \setminus D$ .*

*Then there is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\overline{\Delta}'$  on  $X'$ , such that  $\text{Supp}(\overline{\Delta}')$  is log smooth over  $Z \setminus D$  and  $(X', \overline{\Delta}') \rightarrow Z$  is an lc-trivial fibration.*

*Proof.* Since  $(X_g, \Delta_g)$  is a Calabi-Yau variety, let  $\eta$  denote the generic point of  $Z$ , then  $K_{X'_\eta} + \Delta'_\eta \sim 0$ . Thus, there exists a vertical  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $B'$  such that  $K_{X'} + \Delta' + B' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ .

Suppose  $B' = R + G$ , where  $\text{Supp}(R) \not\subset h^{-1}(\text{Supp}(D))$  and  $\text{Supp}(G) \subset h^{-1}(\text{Supp}(D))$ . Because  $R$  is vertical,  $h(R)$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor on  $Z$ , denote it by  $R_Z$ . Also because  $h$  is smooth over  $Z \setminus \text{Supp}(D)$ , there exists a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $F_R$  supported on  $h^{-1}(\text{Supp}(D))$ , such that  $R + F_R = h^*R_Z$ , then  $K_{X'} + \Delta' + B' - (R + F_R) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, h} 0$ . Let  $\overline{\Delta}' := \Delta' + B' - (R + F_R)$ , then  $K_{X'} +$

$\overline{\Delta}' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ , and  $\overline{\Delta}'_{\eta} = \Delta'_{\eta}$ . Write  $\Delta' = \Delta'_{\geq 0} - \Delta'_{\leq 0}$ , since  $\Delta'_{\leq 0}$  is  $g$ -exceptional, it is easy to see that  $(X', \overline{\Delta}') \rightarrow Z$  is an lc-trivial fibration. Because  $\text{Supp}(\Delta')$  is log smooth over  $Z \setminus D$ ,  $\text{Supp}(F_R) \subset h^{-1}(D)$  and  $\text{Supp}(B' - R) \subset h^{-1}(D)$ ,  $\text{Supp}(\overline{\Delta}')$  is log smooth over  $Z \setminus D$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Z$  be an lc-trivial fibration. Let  $\rho : Z' \rightarrow Z$  be a surjective morphism from a proper normal variety  $Z'$  and let  $f' : (X', \Delta') \rightarrow Z'$  be an lc-trivial fibration induced by base change*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, \Delta) & \xleftarrow{\rho_X} & (X', \Delta') \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f' \\ Z & \xleftarrow{\rho} & Z' \end{array}$$

Let  $M$  and  $M'$  be the corresponding moduli  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors. If  $f$  and  $f'$  satisfy the standard normal crossing assumption, then

$$\rho^* M = M'.$$

*Proof.* This is [Amb05, Proposition 3.1].  $\square$

**Theorem 6.3.** *Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be a log canonical pair,  $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Z$  an lc-trivial fibration to a smooth projective variety  $Z$ ,  $g : X' \rightarrow X$  a log resolution of  $(X, \Delta)$ , write  $K_{X'} + \Delta' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^*(K_X + \Delta)$ . Let  $D \subset Z$  be a smooth divisor on  $Z$ ,  $Y$  be the normalization of an irreducible subvariety of  $X$  which contains the fiber of  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  over the generic point of  $D$  and  $\Delta_Y$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $Y$  such that  $K_Y + \Delta_Y = (K_X + \Delta + f^*D)|_Y$ . Let  $M_Z$  denotes the moduli part of  $(X, \Delta) \rightarrow Z$ . Suppose there is a reduced divisor  $B$  on  $Z$  such that  $B + D$  is a reduced simple normal crossing divisor, the morphism  $h := g \circ f : X' \rightarrow Z$  and divisors  $\Delta', B$  satisfy the standard normal crossing assumptions. Then  $(Y, \Delta_Y) \rightarrow D$  is an lc-trivial fibration and its corresponding moduli part  $M_D$  is equal to  $M_Z|_D$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption,  $h$  is smooth over  $Z \setminus B$  and  $D$  is smooth, the singular locus of  $h^{-1}(D)$  is contained in  $h^{-1}(B) \cap h^{-1}(D)$ . After blowing up a sequence of smooth subvarieties whose centers are contained in the singular locus in  $h^{-1}(D)$  and replacing  $\Delta'$  by its pullback, we may assume that  $(X', \Delta' + h^*(B + D))$  is log smooth, and it is easy to see that the morphism  $h : X' \rightarrow Z$  and divisors  $\Delta', B$  still satisfy the standard normal crossing assumption. Let  $E'$  be the irreducible component of  $h^*D$  that dominates  $D$ ,  $\Delta'_{E'}$  the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $E'$  such that  $K_{E'} + \Delta'_{E'} = (K_{X'} + \Delta' + h^*D)|_{E'}$ . It is easy to see that the generic fiber of  $(E', \Delta'_{E'}) \rightarrow D$  is equal to the generic fiber of  $(Y, \Delta_Y) \rightarrow D$ , thus we only need to prove the result for  $(E', \Delta'_{E'}) \rightarrow Z$ .

By the canonical bundle formula, there is a divisor  $B_Z$  supported on  $B$  such that

$$(6.1) \quad K_{X'} + \Delta' + h^*D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} h^*(K_Z + B_Z + M_Z + D),$$

and

$$(6.2) \quad K_X + \Delta + f^*D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(K_Z + B_Z + M_Z + D),$$

Because  $(Z, B + D)$  is log smooth, it is also log canonical, by Theorem 2.6 (c),  $\Delta'_v + h^*D + h^*(B - B_Z) \leq \text{red}(h^*(B + D))$ . Since  $(X', \Delta' + h^*(B + D))$  is log smooth,  $(X', \Delta' + h^*D + h^*(B - B_Z))$  is sub lc. Because  $Z$  is smooth,  $B - B_Z$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, after replacing  $\Delta'$  by  $\Delta' + h^*(B - B_Z)$ ,  $\Delta$  by  $\Delta + f^*(B - B_Z)$  and  $B_Z$  by  $B_Z + (B - B_Z) = B$ , we can assume that  $B = B_Z$  and every irreducible component of  $B$  is dominated by an irreducible component of  $\Delta'$  which has coefficient 1. Since  $K_{X'} + \Delta' + h^*D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^*(K_X + \Delta + f^*D)$ ,  $(X, \Delta + f^*D)$  is log canonical.

Let  $g(E') = E$ , suppose  $h^*D = E' + E'_1$ ,  $f^*D = E + E_1$ . Restricting (6.1) to  $E'$  and (6.2) to  $E$ , by the adjunction formula, there is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Delta'_{E'}$  and an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Delta_{E^n}$ , such that

$$(K_{X'} + \Delta' + h^*D)|_{E'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{E'} + \Delta'_{E'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} h_{E'}^*(K_D + B|_D + M_Z|_D),$$

$$(K_X + \Delta + f^*D)|_{E^n} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{E^n} + \Delta_{E^n} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f_E^*(K_D + B|_D + M_Z|_D),$$

where  $E^n$  is the normalization of  $E$ . Furthermore,  $\Delta'_{E'} = \Delta'|_{E'} + E'_1|_{E'}$ ,  $(E', \Delta'_{E'})$  is sub lc,  $\Delta_{E^n}$  is effective and  $K_{E'} + \Delta'_{E'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g_{E'}^*(K_{E^n} + \Delta_{E^n})$ , where  $g_{E'} : E' \rightarrow E^n$  is the birational morphism induced by  $g|_{E'} : E' \rightarrow E$ . Therefore,  $\Delta'_{E', \leq 0}$  is  $g_{E'}$ -exceptional, which means  $(E', \Delta'_{E'}) \rightarrow D$  is an lc-trivial fibration.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (E', \Delta'_{E'}) & \hookrightarrow & (X', \Delta') \\ \downarrow g'_{E'} & & \downarrow g \\ (E^n, \Delta_{E^n}) & \hookrightarrow & (X, \Delta) \\ \downarrow f_E & & \downarrow f \\ D & \hookrightarrow & Z \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ h \end{array}$$

By the canonical bundle formula for  $(E', \Delta'_{E'}) \rightarrow D$ , we have

$$(6.3) \quad K_{E'} + \Delta'_{E'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} h_{E'}^*(K_D + B_D + M_D).$$

Therefore, to prove  $M_D = M_Z|_D$ , we only need to prove that  $B_D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} B|_D$ .

Since the morphism  $X' \rightarrow Z$  and divisors  $\Delta', B$  satisfy the normal crossing assumption,  $M_Z$  descends to  $Z$ . Similarly, because  $B + D$  is snc,  $(D, B|_D)$  is log smooth,  $(E', \Delta'_{E'})$  is log smooth over  $D \setminus B \cap D$ . It follows that  $M_D$  descends to  $D$ . Also by the same reduction, the morphism  $E' \rightarrow D$  and divisors  $\Delta'_{E'}, B|_D$  satisfy the standard normal crossing assumption. By the construction of the boundary divisor,  $B_D$  is the unique smallest  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor supported on  $B|_D$  such that

$$\Delta'_{E', v} + h_{E'}^*(B|_D - B_D) \leq \text{red}(h_{E'}^*(B|_D)),$$

where  $\Delta'_{E', v}$  is the vertical part of  $\Delta'_{E'}$ .

Since every irreducible component of  $B$  is dominated by an irreducible component of  $\Delta'$  which has coefficient 1, then every irreducible component of  $B|_D$  is dominated by an irreducible component of  $\Delta'_{E'} = \Delta'|_{E'} + E'_1|_{E'}$  which has coefficient 1, thus  $B|_D = B_D$ . The claim follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Z$  be an lc-trivial fibration such that the geometric generic fiber  $X_{\bar{\eta}} = X \times_Z \text{Spec}(\overline{k(Z)})$  is a projective variety and  $\Delta_{\bar{\eta}}$  is effective. Then there exists a diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, \Delta) & & (X', \Delta') \\ f \downarrow & & f' \downarrow \\ Z & \xleftarrow{\tau} \bar{Z} \xrightarrow{\rho} & Z' \end{array}$$

satisfying the following properties:

- (1)  $f' : (X', \Delta') \rightarrow Z'$  is an lc-trivial fibration.
- (2)  $\tau$  is generically finite and surjective and  $\rho$  is surjective.
- (3) There exists a nonempty open subset  $U \subset \bar{Z}$  and an isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, \Delta) \times_Z \bar{Z}|_U & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (X', \Delta') \times_{Z'} \bar{Z}|_U \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & U & \end{array}$$

Let  $M, M'$  be the corresponding moduli  $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisors. Then  $M'$  is  $b$ -nef and big and  $\tau^*M = \rho^*M'$ .

*Proof.* This is [Amb05, Theorem 3.3].  $\square$

**Theorem 6.5.** *Suppose  $(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{H}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  is a family of log pairs, then we can decompose  $\mathbf{H}$  into finitely many locally closed weakly bounded subvarieties  $\mathbf{H}' := \coprod_{d \in \Lambda} \mathbf{H}^d$  and construct a family  $(\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{H}'}, \mathcal{D}'_{\mathbf{H}'}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}'$  of log smooth pairs, so that, for any lc-trivial fibration  $(X, \Delta) \rightarrow Z$ , if there is an open subset  $U \hookrightarrow Z$  such that  $(X_U, \text{Supp}(\Delta_v|_{X_U}))$  is isomorphic to the pull back of  $(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{H}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  by a morphism  $U \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ , then there is a sub log canonical pair  $(X', \Delta')$  and a contraction  $f' : X' \rightarrow Z'$  with the following properties:*

- (1)  $(X', \Delta') \rightarrow Z'$  is an lc-trivial fibration.
- (2)  $\text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta) = \text{Ivol}(K_{X'} + \Delta')$ .
- (3) There is an open subsets  $U' \subset Z'$  and a morphism  $U' \rightarrow \mathbf{H}'$  such that  $(X'_U, \text{Supp}(\Delta'_v|_{X'_U}))$  is isomorphic to the pull back of  $(\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{H}'}, \mathcal{D}'_{\mathbf{H}'}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}'$ .
- (4) Let  $M$  denote the corresponding moduli  $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor of  $(X', \Delta') \rightarrow Z'$ , then  $M$  descends to  $Z'$ , and we can choose  $M_{Z'}$  to be effective,  $lM_{Z'}$  Cartier, and  $\text{Supp}(M_{Z'}) \supset X' \setminus U'$ , where  $l$  only depends on  $\mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof.* Passing to a stratification of  $\mathbf{H}$  and taking a log resolution of the generic fibers of  $(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{H}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ , we get a family  $(\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{H}'}, \mathcal{D}'_{\mathbf{H}'}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}' := \coprod_{d \in \Lambda} \mathbf{H}^d$  such that



- (1)  $\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{H}'}$  is smooth over  $\mathbf{H}'$ ,  $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathbf{H}'}$  is log smooth over  $\mathbf{H}'$ ,
- (2) Suppose  $W$  is an irreducible subvariety of  $\mathbf{H}'$ , then there is birational morphism  $\mathcal{F}_W : (\mathcal{X}'_W, \mathcal{D}'_W) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}_W, \mathcal{D}_W)$ , such that  $\mathcal{D}'_W$  contains the strict transform of  $\mathcal{D}_W$  and the  $\mathcal{F}_W$ -exceptional divisor.

Furthermore, by Lemma 5.3, after decomposing  $\mathbf{H}'$  further, we may assume that every irreducible component of  $\mathbf{H}'$  is weakly bounded.

Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be an lc-trivial fibration with an open subset  $U \subset Z$  and a morphism  $U \rightarrow \mathbf{H}'$ , such that  $(X_U, \text{red}(\Delta_v)|_{X_U})$  is isomorphic to the pull back of  $(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{H}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}})$ . After shrinking  $U$ , we may assume that  $U$  is smooth and  $U$  maps into an irreducible component of  $\mathbf{H}'$ , denote the morphism by  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbf{H}'$ . Let  $(X'_U, D'_U)$  be the pull back of  $(\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{H}'}, \mathcal{D}'_{\mathbf{H}'}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}'$  by  $\phi$ . It is easy to see that there is a birational morphism  $X'_U \rightarrow X_U$ .

Let  $X'$  be a smooth compactification of  $X'_U$  such that there is a birational morphism  $g : X' \rightarrow X$ , write  $K_{X'} + \Delta' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^*(K_X + \Delta)$ , then  $\text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta) = \text{Ivol}(K_{X'} + \Delta')$ . It is easy to see that  $(X', \Delta') \rightarrow Z$  is an lc-trivial fibration. By the construction of  $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathbf{H}}$ , we have  $\text{Supp}(\Delta'_v|_{X'_U}) \subset D'_U$ , then  $\text{Supp}(\Delta'_v|_{X'_U})$  is log smooth over  $U$ .

Next we prove (4). Let  $\mathbf{H}^d$  the irreducible component of  $\mathbf{H}'$  that contains the the image of  $U$ . Let  $\mathbf{H}^d \hookrightarrow S$  be a smooth compactification of  $\mathbf{H}^d$ ,  $\mathcal{X}' \rightarrow S$  a smooth extension of  $\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{H}^d} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^d$  such that  $S \setminus \mathbf{H}^d$  is a simple normal crossing divisor, and  $\mathcal{D}'$  an extension of  $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathbf{H}^d}$ . Because  $(X'_U, \Delta'_v|_{X'_U})$  is sub log Calabi Yau,  $\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{H}^d}$  is smooth over  $\mathbf{H}^d$  and  $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathbf{H}^d}$  is simple normal crossing over  $\mathbf{H}^d$ , by the deformation invariance of log plurigenera, there is a divisor  $\mathcal{D}'$  supported on  $\mathcal{D}'$  such that the fibers of  $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}') \rightarrow S$  over  $\mathbf{H}^d$  are sub log Calabi-Yau pairs. By Theorem 6.1, there is a divisor  $\mathcal{R}'$  on  $\mathcal{X}'$ , such that  $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{R}') \subset \mathcal{F}^{-1}(S \setminus \mathbf{H}^d) \cup \text{Supp}(\mathcal{D}')$  and  $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{R}') \rightarrow S$  is an lc-trivial fibration.

By Theorem 6.4, we have the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{R}') & & (\mathcal{X}^!, \mathcal{R}^!) \\
 \mathcal{F} \downarrow & & \mathcal{F}^! \downarrow \\
 S & \xleftarrow{\tau'} \bar{S} \xrightarrow{\rho} & S^!
 \end{array}$$

Let  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^!$  be the corresponding moduli  $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisors of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^!$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}^!$  b-nef and big, and  $\tau'^*\mathcal{M} = \rho^*\mathcal{M}^!$ . Since  $S \setminus \mathbf{H}^d$  is a simple normal crossing divisor, and  $(\mathcal{X}', \text{Supp}(\mathcal{R}'))$  is log smooth over  $\mathbf{H}^d$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  descends to  $S$ .

As in the proof of [Amb05] Theorem 2.2, there is an open subset  $S^{!o} \subset S^!$  such that  $\rho : \bar{S} \times_{S^!} S^{!o} \rightarrow S^{!o}$  has a section  $i : S^{!o} \hookrightarrow \bar{S}$ , and the morphism  $\mathcal{F}^!|_{S^{!o}} : (\mathcal{X}^!, \mathcal{R}^!)|_{S^{!o}} \rightarrow S^{!o}$  is isomorphic to the pull back of  $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{R}') \rightarrow S$  by  $\tau' \circ i : S^{!o} \rightarrow S$ . We may further shrink  $S^{!o}$  to make  $S^{!o}$  smooth and  $\tau' \circ i(S^{!o}) \subset \mathbf{H}^d$ . Therefore, the pull back of  $(\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{H}^d}, \mathcal{R}'_{\mathbf{H}^d})$  defines a pair  $(\mathcal{X}^!|_{S^{!o}}, \mathcal{R}^!|_{S^{!o}})$ . Let  $\bar{S}^o := \rho^{-1}(S^{!o})$  and  $(\bar{\mathcal{X}}^o, \bar{\mathcal{R}}^o) \rightarrow \bar{S}^o$  be the pull back of

$(\mathcal{X}'^!|_{S^{!o}}, \mathcal{R}'_{S^{!o}}) \rightarrow S^{!o}$ , then  $(\overline{\mathcal{X}}^o, \overline{\mathcal{R}}^o) \rightarrow \bar{S}^o$  is isomorphic to the pull back of the universal family  $(\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{H}^d}, \mathcal{R}'_{\mathbf{H}^d}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^d$  by  $\tau' \circ i \circ \rho : \bar{S}^o \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^d \hookrightarrow S$ .

Notice  $\tau' \neq \tau' \circ i \circ \rho$ , so perhaps  $(\overline{\mathcal{X}}^o, \overline{\mathcal{R}}^o)$  is not the pull back of  $(\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{H}^d}, \mathcal{R}'_{\mathbf{H}^d})$  by  $\tau'$ . But by Theorem 6.4 (3), the generic fiber of  $(\overline{\mathcal{X}}^o, \overline{\mathcal{R}}^o) \rightarrow \bar{S}^o$  is isomorphic to the pull back of the generic fiber of  $(\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{H}^d}, \mathcal{R}'_{\mathbf{H}^d}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^d$  by  $\tau'$ . Therefore by Theorem 6.2, the moduli  $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor of  $(\overline{\mathcal{X}}^o, \overline{\mathcal{R}}^o) \rightarrow \bar{S}^o$  is equal to the pull back of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor of  $(\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{H}^d}, \mathcal{R}'_{\mathbf{H}^d}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^d$  by  $\tau'$ . After replacing  $\bar{S}$  by a higher birational model, we may assume that  $\tau : \bar{S} \rightarrow S$  is an extension of  $\tau' \circ i \circ \rho : \bar{S}^o \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^d$ .

After blowing up  $S^! \setminus S^{!o}$ , we may assume that  $S^!$  is smooth and  $S^! \setminus S^{!o}$  is a simple normal crossing divisor, denote it by  $B^!$ . then  $\mathcal{M}^!$  descends to  $S^!$ . Let  $\bar{B} := \rho^* B^!$ , since  $\tau$  is generically finite,  $\tau(\text{Supp} \bar{B}) \neq S$ . It is easy to see that  $\bar{S}^0 = \bar{S} \setminus \text{Supp}(\bar{B})$  and  $S \setminus \tau(\text{Supp} \bar{B}) \subset \mathbf{H}^d$ .

We consider the following two cases.

Case 1: If the image of  $U$  in  $\mathbf{H}^d$  is contained in  $\tau(\text{Supp} \bar{B}) \cap \mathbf{H}^d$ .

After passing to a stratification of  $\tau(\text{Supp} \bar{B}) \cap \mathbf{H}^d$ , we may replace  $\mathbf{H}^d$  by a locally closed subset of  $\tau(\text{Supp} \bar{B})$  that contains the generic point of  $U$  and repeat this process. Since the dimension of  $\mathbf{H}^d$  strictly decreases, this process will stop.

Case 2: If the image of  $U$  in  $\mathbf{H}^d$  is not contained in  $\tau(\text{Supp} \bar{B}) \cap \mathbf{H}^d$ .

After blow up  $\tau(\text{Supp} \bar{B})$ , we may assume that  $\tau(\text{Supp} \bar{B})$  is a divisor on  $S$ , denote it by  $B$ , then  $S \setminus \text{Supp}(B) \subset \mathbf{H}^d$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}'_{S^!}$  is big, there is an integer  $r > 0$  such that  $H^0(S^!, \mathcal{O}_{S^!}(r\mathcal{M}'_{S^!} - B^!)) \neq 0$ , which implies  $H^0(\bar{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{S}}(r\rho^*\mathcal{M}'_{S^!} - \bar{B})) \neq 0$ . Therefore we can choose  $\rho^*\mathcal{M}'_{S^!}$  so that  $\text{Supp}(\rho^*\mathcal{M}'_{S^!}) \supset \text{Supp}(\bar{B})$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}'_S := \frac{1}{\deg(\tau)}\tau_*\rho^*\mathcal{M}'_{S^!}$ , then it is easy to see that  $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{M}'_S) \supset \text{Supp}(B)$ . Because  $\mathcal{M}$  descends to  $S$ ,  $\mathcal{M}^!$  descends to  $S^!$  and  $\tau^*\mathcal{M} = \rho^*\mathcal{M}^!$ , we have that  $\tau^*\mathcal{M}_S = \rho^*\mathcal{M}'_{S^!}$ . Then by the projection formula, we have that  $\mathcal{M}'_S \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{M}_S$ . Thus we can choose  $\mathcal{M}_S$  such that  $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}') \rightarrow S$  is log smooth over  $S \setminus \text{Supp}(\mathcal{M}_S)$ .

Let  $U_{\mathbf{H}^d}$  denote the image of  $U$  in  $\mathbf{H}^d$ . By shrink  $U$  we may assume that  $U \rightarrow U_{\mathbf{H}^d}$  is smooth. Let  $S_Z$  be the closure of  $U_{\mathbf{H}^d}$  in  $S$  and choose  $\mathcal{X}_{S_Z} \rightarrow S_Z$  to be an extension of  $\mathcal{X}_{U_{\mathbf{H}^d}} \rightarrow U_{\mathbf{H}^d}$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_{S_Z}$  is smooth. Let  $Z'$  be a smooth compactification of  $U$  such that there is a morphism  $\nu : Z' \rightarrow S_Z$ , let  $U' := \nu^{-1}(U_{\mathbf{H}^d})$ . The pull back of the universal family gives the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (X'_{U'}, D'_{U'}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{X}'_{U_{\mathbf{H}^d}}, \mathcal{D}'_{U_{\mathbf{H}^d}}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{H}^d}, \mathcal{D}'_{\mathbf{H}^d}) \\ f_U \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U' & \longrightarrow & U_{\mathbf{H}^d} \hookrightarrow & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}^d \end{array}$$

By the construction of  $\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{H}^d}$ , there is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\mathcal{R}'_{S_Z}$  on  $\mathcal{X}'_{S_Z}$  such that  $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{R}'_{S_Z}|_{\mathcal{X}_{U_{\mathbf{H}^d}}}) \subset \mathcal{D}'_{U_{\mathbf{H}^d}}$  and  $(\mathcal{X}'_{S_Z}, \mathcal{R}'_{S_Z}) \rightarrow S_Z$  is an lc-trivial fibration.

Similarly, there is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $R'$  on  $X'$  such that  $\text{Supp}(R'|_{X'_{U'}}) \subset D'_{U'}$ , where  $D'_{U'}$  is the pull back of  $\mathcal{D}'_{U_{\mathbf{H}^d}}$ , and  $(X', R') \rightarrow Z'$  is an lc-trivial fibration.

let  $M_{S_Z}$  and  $M_{Z'}$  denotes the moduli part of  $(\mathcal{X}'_{S_Z}, \mathcal{R}'_{S_Z}) \rightarrow S_Z$  and  $(X', R') \rightarrow Z'$ . After replace  $S$  and  $Z'$  by birational models, we may assume that  $M_{S_Z}$  descends to  $S_Z$  and  $M_{Z'}$  descends to  $Z'$ . By Theorem 6.2,  $\nu^*M_{S_Z} = M_{Z'}$ .

We claim that  $M_{S_Z} = \mathcal{M}_S|_{S_Z}$ . Since  $(X'_U, D'_U) \rightarrow U'$  is the pull back of  $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}') \rightarrow S$  and  $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}') \rightarrow S$  is log smooth over  $S \setminus \text{Supp}(\mathcal{M}_S)$ ,  $(X', \text{Supp}(R')) \rightarrow Z'$  is log smooth over  $U$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  descends to  $S$ . By Theorem 6.2. we have that  $M_{Z'} = \nu^*M_{S_Z} = \nu^*\mathcal{M}_S|_{S_Z}$ . Therefore, since  $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{D}') \rightarrow S$  is log smooth over  $S \setminus \text{Supp}(\mathcal{M}_S)$ , we can choose  $M_{Z'}$  such that  $(X', \text{Supp}(R')) \rightarrow Z'$  is log smooth over  $Z' \setminus \text{Supp}(M_{Z'})$ . Furthermore, because  $r\text{lddeg}(\tau)\mathcal{M}_S$  is Cartier, it is easy to see that by our construction,  $r\text{lddeg}(\tau)M_{Z'}$  is Cartier, where  $r$  depends on  $\mathcal{M}'_{S_1}$  and  $D^1$ ,  $l$  depends on the middle Betti number of the general fiber of  $\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{H}^d} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^d$  and  $\text{deg}(\tau)$  is the degree of the generically finite morphism  $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ , all of them only depend on  $\mathcal{C}$ . □

*Proof of claim.* Notation as above. After blowing up  $S_Z$ , we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{X}'_D & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{X}}' & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 & \mathcal{X}'_{S_Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}' & \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 D & \xrightarrow{g} & \tilde{S} & \xrightarrow{h} & S \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & & \\
 & S_Z & \xrightarrow{i} & & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $D$  is a divisor on  $\tilde{S}$  and  $D$  dominates  $S_Z$ ,  $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  is a birational morphism,  $\mathcal{X}'_D \rightarrow D$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}' \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  are induced by base change from  $\mathcal{X}' \rightarrow S$ . We may further assume that  $(\tilde{S}, D + B_{\tilde{S}})$  is log smooth, where  $B_{\tilde{S}}$  is a divisor such that  $h(\tilde{S} \setminus B_{\tilde{S}}) \subset \mathbf{H}'$ , hence  $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}', \tilde{\mathcal{R}}') \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  is log smooth over  $\tilde{S} \setminus B_{\tilde{S}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{S}}$  denote the corresponding moduli divisor.

Because there is a birational morphism  $(\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{H}^d}, \mathcal{D}'_{\mathbf{H}^d}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{H}^d}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}^d})$  and there is an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{H}^d}$  supported on  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}^d}$  such that  $(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{H}^d}, \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{H}^d}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^d$  is a family of log Calabi Yau pairs. Thus after taking a compactification  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{H}^d} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  and blowing up the indeterminacy of  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}' \dashrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ , we may assume that there is a log canonical pair  $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{\mathcal{R}})$ , such that the induced morphism  $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{\mathcal{R}}) \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  is an lc-trivial fibration, and there is a birational morphism  $\mathcal{G} : (\tilde{\mathcal{X}}', \tilde{\mathcal{R}}') \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{\mathcal{R}})$  such that  $K_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}'} + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}' \sim \mathcal{G}^*(K_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} + \tilde{\mathcal{R}})$ .

Because the image of the generic point of  $S_Z$  is in  $\mathbf{H}' \hookrightarrow S$ , the induced morphism  $(\mathcal{X}'_{S_Z}, \mathcal{R}'_{S_Z}) \rightarrow S_Z$  is an lc-trivial fibration. Let  $\mathcal{M}_{S_Z}$  denote the

corresponding moduli part. Also because  $D \not\subset \text{Supp}(B_{\tilde{S}})$ , by Theorem 6.3, the induced morphism  $(\mathcal{X}'_D, \mathcal{R}'_D) \rightarrow D$  is an lc-trivial fibration, and the corresponding moduli divisor  $M_D$  is equal to  $M_D = \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{S}}|_D$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}_S$  denote the corresponding moduli part of  $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{R}') \rightarrow S$ . By Theorem 6.2,  $M_D = g^*M_{S_Z}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{S}} = h^*\mathcal{M}_S$ . Also because  $M_D = \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{S}}|_D$ , we have  $M_{S_Z} = i^*M_S = \mathcal{M}_S|_{S_Z}$ .  $\square$

## 7. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Because  $\mathcal{C}$  is a bounded class of polarised log pairs, by the definition of Hilbert schemes, there is an universal family  $(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{H}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ , whose fibers parametrize all pairs in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

By assumption, since the general fiber of  $(X_g, \Delta_g, L_g)$  is in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there is an open subset  $U \hookrightarrow Z$  such that  $(X_U, \text{red}(\Delta|_{X_U}))$  is isomorphic to the pull back of  $(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{H}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{H}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  by a morphism  $U \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ .

Let  $X' \rightarrow Z'$  be the contraction given by Theorem 6.5,  $\dim(Z) = d$ . Next we use the same notation as in Theorem 6.5.

Because  $\mathbf{H}^d$  is weakly bounded, we may assume that it is weakly bounded with respect to an ample divisor  $H$  on a compactification  $\mathbf{S}'$  of  $\mathbf{H}^d$ . Furthermore, we can blow up the indeterminacy of  $Z' \dashrightarrow \mathbf{S}'$  and assume that there is a morphism  $\phi : Z' \rightarrow S'$ . We replace  $S$  by  $S'$  and assume  $H$  is ample on  $S$ .

Let  $C > 0$  be a fixed number, to prove the DCC property, we only need to prove that if  $\text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta) < C$ , then  $\text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta)$  is in a DCC set. Applying Theorem 2.9, we can construct a generalized pair  $(Z'', B_{Z''} + M_{Z''})$  and birational morphism  $Z'' \rightarrow Z'$ , such that  $\text{coeff}(B_{Z''})$  belongs to a DCC set  $\Lambda$ ,  $\text{Ivol}(K_X + \Delta) = \text{vol}(K_{Z''} + B_{Z''} + M_{Z''})$  and  $M_{Z''}$  is the pull back of  $M_{Z'}$ . Replace  $Z'$  by  $Z''$ ,  $B_{Z''}$  and  $M_{Z''}$  by  $B_{Z'}$  and  $M_{Z'}$ , we only need to prove that  $\text{vol}(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'})$  belongs to a DCC set.

From the proof of Theorem 3.2, after replacing  $Z'$  by a birational model, there is a birational contraction  $g : Z' \rightarrow W$  and a very ample divisor  $A$  on  $W$  such that

- (1)  $W$  is smooth
- (2)  $g^*A + F \sim r(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + (2d+1)lM_{Z'})$  for an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $F \geq 0$ .

Perhaps increasing  $l$ , we may assume that  $\text{Supp}(M_{Z'}) \subset \text{Supp}(F)$ .

Let  $Z' \dashrightarrow Z_c$  be the canonical model of  $K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + (2d+1)lM_{Z'} + (2d+1)\phi^*H + (2d+1)g^*A$ . By [BZ16, Lemma 4.4],  $Z' \dashrightarrow Z_c$  is  $M_{Z'}$ ,  $g^*A$  and  $\phi^*H$ -trivial. Therefore there are two morphisms,  $g' : Z_c \rightarrow W$  and  $\phi' : Z_c \rightarrow S$ . Let  $B_{Z_c}, M_{Z_c}$  and  $F_c$  be the push forward of  $B_{Z'}, M_{Z'}$  and  $F$  on  $Z_c$ , then  $K_{Z_c} + B_{Z_c} + (2d+1)lM_{Z_c} + (2d+1)\phi'^*H + (2d+1)g'^*A$  is ample,  $lM_{Z_c}$  is nef and Cartier. Because  $K_{Z_c} + B_{Z_c} + (2d+1)lM_{Z_c} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{r}(g'^*A + F_c)$ , then  $\frac{1}{r}(g'^*A + F_c) + (2d+1)\phi'^*H + (2d+1)g'^*A$  is ample, denote it by  $\mathcal{A}$ ,

it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{A}$  is effective.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Z' & & \\ & g \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow \phi & \\ W & \xleftarrow{g'} & Z_c & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & S \end{array}$$

Since  $\text{coeff}(B_{Z'})$  is in a DCC set  $\Lambda$ ,  $Z'$  is smooth and  $r(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + (2d + 1)lM_{Z'}) \sim g^*A + F$ ,  $\{r(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + (2d + 1)lM_{Z'})\} = \{rB_{Z'}\} = \{F\}$ , then  $\text{coeff}(F)$  is in a DCC set  $\Lambda'$ , which means  $\text{coeff}(F) > \delta$  for a positive number  $\delta$ .

Next we prove that  $(W, \text{Supp}(g'_* \mathcal{A}))$ , which is equal to  $(W, \text{Supp}(A + g_*(\phi'^* H + F_c)))$ , is log bounded. Because  $A$  and  $H$  are integral divisors,  $\text{coeff}(F_c)$  is in a DCC set and  $A$  is very ample on  $W$ , by boundedness of the Chow variety, we only need to prove that the following three intersection numbers,  $A^d$ ,  $A^{d-1} \cdot g'_* \phi'^* H$  and  $A^{d-1} \cdot g'_* F_c$  are bounded from above.

Firstly, we prove that there a constant  $C_1$  such that  $A^d \leq C_1$ . By Theorem 2.3, there is  $0 < e < 1$  such that  $K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + eM_{Z'}$  is big. By the log-concavity of the volume function, we have that

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{vol}(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'}) &\geq \lambda^d \text{vol}(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + eM_{Z'}) \\ &+ (1 - \lambda)^d \text{vol}(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + (2d + 1)lM_{Z'}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda = \frac{(2d+1)l-1}{(2d+1)l-e} < 1$ . Because by assumption,  $\text{vol}(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + M_{Z'}) \leq C$ , then  $\text{vol}(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + (2d + 1)lM_{Z'}) \leq \frac{C}{(1-\lambda)^d}$  and  $A^d \leq \text{vol}(Z, r(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + (2d + 1)lM_{Z'})) \leq \frac{r^d C}{(1-\lambda)^d}$ .

Secondly, we prove that  $A^{d-1} \cdot g'_* \phi'^* H$  is bounded from above, which is equivalent to proving that  $A^{d-1} \cdot g_* \phi^* H$  is bounded from above. The idea is to show that  $A^{d-1} \cdot g_* \phi^* H$  is equal to the degree of a line bundle on a  $(g, m)$ -curve, with  $g + m$  bounded. Then we apply weak boundedness.

Let  $A_1, \dots, A_{d-1} \in |g^* A|$  be  $d - 1$  general member of the linear system, because  $g^* A$  is base point free, the  $\text{Supp}(A_i)$  are smooth and intersect along a smooth curve  $C$ . Therefore by the adjunction formula,

$$(g^* A)^{d-1} \cdot (K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + (2d+1)lM_{Z'} + (d-1)g^* A) = \deg(K_C + B_Z|_C + (2d+1)lM_Z|_C).$$

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Z' & \xleftarrow{h} & \tilde{Z} \\ & g \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow h_1 & \\ W & \xleftarrow{g_1} & Z_1 & & \end{array}$$

where  $Z_1$  is the canonical model of  $K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + (2d + 1)lM_{Z'} + (2d + 1)g^* A$  and  $\tilde{Z}$  is a common resolution of  $Z' \dashrightarrow Z_1$ . By [BZ16, Lemma 4.4],  $Z' \dashrightarrow Z_1$  is  $g^* A$ -trivial, so there is a birational morphism  $g_1 : Z_1 \rightarrow W$ . By the

projection formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (g^*A)^{d-1} \cdot (K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + (2d+1)lM_{Z'} + (2d+1)g^*A) \\
&= (h^*g^*A)^{d-1} \cdot (h^*(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + (2d+1)lM_{Z'} + (2d+1)g^*A)) \\
&= (g_1^*A)^{d-1} \cdot (h_{1*}h^*(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + (2d+1)lM_{Z'} + (2d+1)g^*A)) \\
&= (g_1^*A)^{d-1} \cdot (K_{Z_1} + B_{Z_1} + (2d+1)lM_{Z_1} + (2d+1)g_1^*A),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $B_{Z_1}$  and  $M_{Z_1}$  are the pushforwards of  $B_{Z'}$  and  $M_{Z'}$ . Since  $Z_1$  is the canonical model of  $K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + (2d+1)lM_{Z'} + (2d+1)g^*A$ ,  $K_{Z_1} + B_{Z_1} + (2d+1)lM_{Z_1} + (2d+1)g_1^*A$  is ample. Because  $g_1^*A$  and  $K_{Z_1} + B_{Z_1} + (2d+1)lM_{Z_1} + (2d+1)g_1^*A$  are both nef, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (g_1^*A)^{d-1} \cdot (K_{Z_1} + B_{Z_1} + (2d+1)lM_{Z_1} + (2d+1)g_1^*A) \\
&\leq (K_{Z_1} + B_{Z_1} + (2d+1)lM_{Z_1} + (2d+1)g_1^*A + g_1^*A)^d \\
&= \text{vol}(K_{Z_1} + B_{Z_1} + (2d+1)lM_{Z_1} + (2d+2)g_1^*A).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $Z \dashrightarrow Z_1$  is  $g^*A$ -trivial,  $Z_1$  is also the canonical model of  $K_Z + B_Z + (2d+1)lM_Z + ((2d+1)+1)g^*A$ , therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{vol}(K_{Z_1} + B_{Z_1} + (2d+1)lM_{Z_1} + (2d+2)g_1^*A) \\
&= \text{vol}(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + (2d+1)lM_{Z'} + (2d+2)g^*A) \\
&\leq \text{vol}(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + (2d+1)lM_{Z'} + (2d+2)(g^*A + F)) \\
&= \text{vol}((1 + (2d+2)r)(K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + (2d+1)lM_{Z'})) \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1 + (2d+2)r}{r}\right)^d C_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{deg}(K_C + B_Z|_C + (2d+1)lM_Z|_C) \\
&\leq (g^*A)^{d-1} \cdot (K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + (2d+1)lM_{Z'} + (d-1)g^*A) \\
(7.2) \quad &\leq (g^*A)^{d-1} \cdot (K_{Z'} + B_{Z'} + (2d+1)lM_{Z'} + (2d+1)g^*A) \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1 + (2d+2)r}{r}\right)^d C_1.
\end{aligned}$$

By the construction of  $M_{Z'}$ ,  $\phi$  maps  $Z' \setminus \text{Supp}(M_{Z'})$  into  $\mathbf{H}'$ , so  $C \setminus \text{Supp}(M_{Z'}|_C)$  maps into  $\mathbf{H}^d$ . Therefore  $C^o := C \setminus \text{Supp}(M_{Z'}|_C)$  is a  $(g, m)$ -curve, where  $m \leq \text{deg}_C(lM_Z|_C)$ , and  $2g - 2 + (2d+1)m \leq \text{deg}(K_C + B_Z|_C + (2d+1)lM_Z|_C)$  is bounded. Because  $\mathbf{H}^d$  is weakly bounded with respect to  $H$ , and  $C^o$  is a  $(g, m)$ -curve with  $2g + (2d+1)m$  is bounded, then  $(g^*A)^{d-1} \cdot \phi^*H = C \cdot \phi^*H = \text{deg}_C(\phi^*H|_C)$  is bounded, by the projection formula,  $A^{d-1} \cdot g_*\phi^*H$  is bounded.

Thirdly, we prove that  $A^{d-1} \cdot g'_*F_c$  is bounded from above, which is equal to prove that  $A^{d-1} \cdot g_*F$  is bounded. Because  $K_Z + B_Z + (2d+1)lM_Z \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{r}(g^*A + F)$ , then

$$A^{d-1} \cdot g_*F = (g^*A)^{d-1} \cdot F \leq (g^*A)^{d-1} \cdot r(K_Z + B_Z + (2d+1)lM_Z)$$

which is also bounded by (7.2). Then because  $(g^*A)^{d-1} \cdot F$  and  $(g^*A)^{d-1} \cdot (\phi^*H)$  are both bounded and  $\text{coeff}(F) \geq \frac{1}{\delta}$ ,  $(W, \text{Supp}(g_*(g^*A + F + (2d+1)\phi^*H)))$  is log bounded.

Because  $\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{r}(g'^*A + F_c) + (2d+1)f'^*H + (2d+1)g'^*A$ ,  $W$  is smooth and  $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Supp}(g'^*A + F_c + (2d+1)f'^*H)$ , then  $g(\text{Supp}(g'^*A + F_c + (2d+1)f'^*H))$  is pure of codimension 1, and  $g(\text{Supp}(g'^*A + F_c + (2d+1)f'^*H)) = \text{Supp}(g_*(g^*A + F + (2d+1)f^*H))$ . By the negativity lemma,  $\mathcal{A} = g'^*g'_*\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{F}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is an effective exceptional divisor such that  $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{Exc}(g')$ . Because  $\mathcal{A} \geq 0$ , we have that  $\text{Exc}(g') \subset \text{Supp}(g'^*g'_*(g'^*A + F_c + (2d+1)f'^*H))$ , therefore

$$W \setminus \text{Supp}(g_*(g^*A + F + (2d+1)f^*H)) \cong Z_c \setminus \text{Supp}(g'^*g'_*(g'^*A + F_c + (2d+1)f'^*H)).$$

Because  $\text{Supp}(M_{Z'}) \subset \text{Supp}(F)$  and  $f'(Z' \setminus \text{Supp}(M_{Z'})) \subset \mathbf{H}^d$ , then  $\text{Supp}(M_{Z_c}) \subset \text{Supp}(F_c)$  and  $f'(Z_c \setminus \text{Supp}(M_{Z_c})) \subset \mathbf{H}'$ . Let

$$U_c := Z_c \setminus \text{Supp}(g'^*g'_*(g'^*A + F_c + (2d+1)f'^*H)),$$

it is easy to see that  $U_c \subset Z_c \setminus \text{Supp}(F_c) \subset Z_c \setminus \text{Supp}(M_{Z_c})$ , and  $U_c$  is smooth. Because  $f'(Z_c \setminus \text{Supp}(M_{Z_c})) \subset \mathbf{H}^d$ , the pull back of  $(\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{H}^d}, \mathcal{D}'_{\mathbf{H}^d}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^d$  defines an algebraic contraction  $(X'_{U_c}, D'_{U_c}) \rightarrow U_c$  and there is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $R'_{U_c}$  supported on  $D'_{U_c}$  such that  $K_{X'_{U_c}} + R'_{U_c} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, U_c} 0$  and  $R'_{U_c}|_{X'_\eta} = \Delta'|_{X'_\eta}$ , where  $X'_\eta$  is the generic fiber of  $X' \dashrightarrow Z_c$ . Because  $(\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{H}^d}, \mathcal{D}'_{\mathbf{H}^d}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^d$  is log smooth and  $U_c$  is smooth,  $(X'_{U_c}, D'_{U_c})$  is log smooth. Let  $(X'_c, R'_c) \rightarrow Z_c$  be an extension of  $(X'_{U_c}, R'_{U_c}) \rightarrow U_c$  such that  $X'_c$  is smooth, then  $(X'_c, \text{Supp}(R'_c)) \rightarrow Z_c$  is log smooth over  $U_c \subset Z_c \setminus \text{Supp}(M_{Z_c})$ . Therefore  $(X', R') \rightarrow W$  is log smooth over  $W \setminus \text{Supp}(g_*(g^*A + F + (2d+1)f^*H))$ . Let  $p : X'' \rightarrow X'$  and  $q : X'' \rightarrow X'_c$  be a common resolution, write  $K_{X''} + \Delta'' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} p^*(K_{X'} + \Delta')$ , then  $W$  is a SNC model of the morphism  $(X'', \Delta'') \rightarrow W$ . Because  $(W, \text{Supp}(g_*(g^*A + F + (2d+1)f^*H)))$  is log bounded, we can choose a SNC model to be in a bounded family. Therefore, by the proof of Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.7, conclusions (1) and (2) follow.

Because  $(W, \text{Supp}(g_*(g^*A + F + (2d+1)f^*H)))$  is log bounded, there is a smooth morphism  $(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow T$  over a finite type scheme  $T$  that parametrizes all such log pairs, let  $\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{W} \setminus \mathcal{P}$ . Because  $\mathbf{H}^d$  is weakly bounded, then  $\coprod_{d \in \Lambda} \mathbf{H}^d$  is weakly bounded, therefore, every morphism  $W \setminus \text{Supp}(g_*(g^*A + F + (2d+1)f^*H)) \rightarrow \coprod_{d \in \Lambda} \mathbf{H}^d$  is weakly bounded, and it is isomorphic to a morphism  $\mathcal{U}_t \rightarrow \coprod_{d \in \Lambda} \mathbf{H}^d$  for some closed point  $t \in T$ . By Theorem 5.4, there is a  $T$ -scheme  $\mathcal{W}$  of finite type and a morphism  $\Theta : \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \coprod_{d \in \Lambda} \mathbf{H}^d \times T$ , such that for every closed point  $t \in T$  and for every morphism  $\xi : \mathcal{U}_t \rightarrow \coprod_{d \in \Lambda} \mathbf{H}^d$ , there is a point  $p \in \mathcal{W}$  so that  $\xi = \Theta|_{\{p\} \times \mathcal{U}_t}$ . Let  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{U}$  be the pull back of the universal family  $\coprod_{d \in \Lambda} \mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{H}^d} \rightarrow \coprod_{d \in \Lambda} \mathbf{H}^d$  by  $\Theta$ . Because  $X'_{U_c} \rightarrow U_c$  is isomorphic to the pull back of  $\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{H}^d} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^d$  by a morphism  $U_c \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^d$  and  $U_c = \mathcal{U}_t$  for some closed point  $t \in T$ , therefore,

$X$  is birational equivalent to  $\mathcal{Y}_{\{p\} \times \mathcal{U}_t}$  for a closed point  $p \in \mathcal{W}$  and a closed point  $t \in T$ , which means  $X$  is birationally bounded.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Because the general fiber of the Iitaka fibration  $X \rightarrow Z$  is a Calabi-Yau variety, by [Lai10, Theorem 4.4],  $X$  has a good minimal model.

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set of varieties satisfying the assumptions. By Theorem 1.1, there a family

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{F} & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}} & \mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}} & T \end{array}$$

where  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  are smooth morphisms and  $T$  is smooth, we may assume that  $T$  is irreducible. For any  $X \in \mathcal{D}$ , there is a closed point  $t \in T$ , such that there is a birational map  $\phi_t : \mathcal{X}_t \dashrightarrow X$ , and  $\mathcal{G}_t$  is birational equivalent to the Iitaka fibration of  $K_X$ . Because  $X$  has terminal singularities, a good minimal model of  $X$  is also a good minimal model of  $\mathcal{X}_t$ , also since minimal models are isomorphic in codimension 1, then a minimal model of  $\mathcal{X}_t$  is also a minimal model of  $X$ . Therefore, we may assume that there is a subset  $T' \in T$  and  $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathcal{X}_t \mid t \text{ is a closed point of } T'\}$ .

We run  $f : \mathcal{X} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{X}_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$  the  $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ -MMP with scaling of an ample divisor  $\mathcal{A}$ . As in the proof of [HMX18, Lemma 3.2], for any  $t_0 \in T'$ , since  $K_{\mathcal{X}_{t_0}}$  has a good minimal model, after finitely many steps, any more steps of this MMP are an isomorphism in a neighbourhood of  $\mathcal{X}_{k,t_0}$ . Denote this neighborhood by  $T_0$ , if  $T' \not\subset T_0$ , choose  $t_1 \in T' \cap T \setminus T_0$ , by the same reason, after finitely many steps, any more steps of this MMP are an isomorphism in a neighbourhood of  $\mathcal{X}_{k,t_1}$ . By the induction of dimension, we may assume that after finitely many steps, this MMP terminates in a neighborhood of  $T'$ , denote it by  $\mathcal{X} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{X}_m$ . Because  $\mathcal{X}$  is smooth over  $T$ , by [HMX18, Lemma 3.1],  $\mathcal{X}_{m,t}$  is a semiample model of  $\mathcal{X}_t$  for any  $t \in T_1$ . Since  $\mathcal{X}_t$  has a good minimal model and  $X$  has terminal singularities, a minimal model of  $\mathcal{X}_t$  also has terminal singularities. Therefore,  $\mathcal{X}_{m,t}$  is a good minimal of  $\mathcal{X}_t$  for any  $t \in T'$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [AK00] Dan Abramovich and Kalle Karu. Weak semistable reduction in characteristic 0. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 139(2):241–273, Feb 2000.
- [Amb04] Florin Ambro. Shokurov’s boundary property. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 67(2):229 – 255, 2004.
- [Amb05] Florin Ambro. The moduli b-divisor of an lc-trivial fibration. *Compositio Mathematica*, 141(2):385–403, 2005.
- [BCHM06] Caucher Birkar, Paolo Cascini, Christopher Hacon, and James McKernan. Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 23, 2006.
- [Bir10] Caucher Birkar. On existence of log minimal models. *Compositio Mathematica*, 146(4):919–928, 2010.
- [Bir12] Caucher Birkar. Existence of log canonical flips and a special LMMP. *Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 115(1):325–368, May 2012.



- [BZ16] Caucher Birkar and De-Qi Zhang. Effectivity of Iitaka fibrations and pluricanonical systems of polarized pairs. *Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 123(1):283–331, January 2016.
- [Cor07] Alessio Corti, editor. *Flips for 3-folds and 4-folds*. Oxford University Press, June 2007.
- [Fil18] Stefano Filipazzi. Boundedness of log canonical surface generalized polarized pairs. *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, 22(4):813–850, June 2018.
- [FM00] Osamu Fujino and Shigefumi Mori. A canonical bundle formula. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 56(1):167 – 188, 2000.
- [Fuj18] Osamu Fujino. Semipositivity theorems for moduli problems. *Annals of Mathematics*, 187(3):639–665, May 2018.
- [Gro65] Alexander Grothendieck. éléments de géométrie algébrique : Iv. étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, seconde partie. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 24:5–231, 1965.
- [HM06] Christopher Hacon and James McKernan. Boundedness of pluricanonical maps of varieties of general type. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 166(1):1–25, May 2006.
- [HMX13] Christopher Hacon, James McKernan, and Chenyang Xu. On the birational automorphisms of varieties of general type. *Annals of Mathematics*, 177(3):1077–1111, May 2013.
- [HMX14] Christopher Hacon, James McKernan, and Chenyang Xu. ACC for log canonical thresholds. *Annals of Mathematics*, 180(2):523–571, September 2014.
- [HMX18] Christopher Hacon, James McKernan, and Chenyang Xu. Boundedness of moduli of varieties of general type. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 20(4):865–901, March 2018.
- [HX13] Christopher Hacon and Chenyang Xu. Existence of log canonical closures. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 192(1):161–195, 2013.
- [KL10] Sándor J. Kovács and Max Lieblich. Boundedness of families of canonically polarized manifolds: A higher dimensional analogue of Shafarevich’s conjecture. *Annals of Mathematics*, 172(3):1719–1748, 2010.
- [Kol90] János Kollár. Projectivity of complete moduli. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 32(1):235–268, 1990.
- [Kol93] János Kollár. Effective base point freeness. *Mathematische Annalen*, 296(1):595–605, December 1993.
- [Kol04] János Kollár. Singularities of pairs. In *Rational and Nearly Rational Varieties*, pages 149–181. Cambridge University Press, April 2004.
- [Kol07] János Kollár. Kodaira’s canonical bundle formula and adjunction. In *Flips for 3-folds and 4-folds*, pages 134–162. Oxford University Press, June 2007.
- [KP16] Sándor J. Kovács and Zolt Patakfalvi. Projectivity of the moduli space of stable log-varieties and subadditivity of log-kodaira dimension. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 30(4):959–1021, December 2016.
- [KX20] János Kollár and Chenyang Xu. Moduli of polarized Calabi-Yau pairs. *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, 36(6):631–637, June 2020.
- [Lai10] Ching-Jui Lai. Varieties fibered by good minimal models. *Mathematische Annalen*, 350(3):533–547, August 2010.
- [Laz04] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in Algebraic Geometry I*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2004.
- [Li20] Zhan Li. Boundedness of the base varieties of certain fibrations. 2020.
- [LM09] Robert Lazarsfeld and Mircea Mustață. Convex bodies associated to linear series. *Annales Scientifiques de l’Ecole Normale Supérieure*, 42:783–835, 09 2009.
- [Nak04] Noboru Nakayama. *Zariski-decomposition and Abundance*. The Mathematical Society of Japan, 2004.

- [Vie95] Eckart Viehweg. *Quasi-projective Moduli for Polarized Manifolds*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1995.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84112,  
USA

*Email address:* `jiao@math.utah.edu`