

R-parity from string compactification

Jihn E. Kim

*Department of Physics, Kyung Hee University, 26 Gynghedaero,
Dongdaemun-Gu, Seoul 02447, Republic of Korea*

The strategy for assigning \mathbf{Z}_{4R} parity in the string compactification is presented. For the visible sector, an anti-SU(5) (flipped-SU(5)) grand unification (GUT) model with three families is used to reduce the number of representations compared to the number in the minimal supersymmetric standard models (MSSMs). The SO(32) heterotic string is used to allow a large nonabelian gauge group SU(N), $N \geq 9$, for the hidden sector such that the number of extra U(1) factors is small. A discrete subgroup of the gauge U(1)'s is defined as the \mathbf{Z}_{4R} parity. Spontaneous symmetry breaking of anti-SU(5) GUT is achieved by the vacuum expectation values of two index antisymmetric tensor Higgs fields $\mathbf{10}_{+1}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{10}}_{-1}$ that led to our word ‘anti-SU(5)’. In the illustrated example, the multiplicity 3 in one twisted sector allows the permutation symmetry S_3 that leads us to select the third family members and one MSSM pair of the Higgs quintets.

PACS numbers: 11.25.Mj, 11.30.Er, 11.30.Hv, 12.60.-i

Keywords: R-parity, Anti-SU(5), Orbifold compactification, MSSM

I. INTRODUCTION

Grand unified theories (GUTs) attracted a great deal of attention ethetically because they provided unification of gauge couplings and charge quantization [1–3]. But there seems to be a fundamental reason leading to GUTs even at the standard model (SM) level. With the electromagnetic and charged currents (CCs), the leptons need representations which are a doublet or bigger. A left-handed (L-handed) lepton doublet (ν_e, e) alone is not free of gauge anomalies because the observed electromagnetic charges are not $\pm\frac{1}{2}$. The anomalies from the fractional electromagnetic charges of the u and d quarks are needed to make the total anomaly to vanish [4, 5]. In view of this necessity for jointly using both leptons and quarks to cancel gauge anomalies even in the SM, we can view that unification of leptons and quarks is fundamentally needed in addition to the esthetic view.

In the SM, the largest number of parameters arises from the Yukawa couplings which form the bases of the family structure. Repetition of fermion families in 4-dimensional (4D) field theory or family-unified GUT (family-GUT) was formulated by Georgi [6], requiring un-repeated chiral representations while not allowing gauge anomalies. Some interesting family-GUT models are the spinor representation of SO(14) [7, 8] and $\mathbf{84} \oplus \mathbf{9} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{9}}$ of SU(9) [9].¹ While Refs. [7–9] do not provide interesting non-vanishing flavor quantum number, the SU(11) model [6] allows a possibility for non-vanishing flavor quantum number such as $U(1)_{\mu-\tau}$ or $U(1)_{B-L}$ [10].

On the other hand, the standard-like models from string have been the main focus of phenomenological activities for the ultraviolet completion of the SM toward the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) in the last several decades [12–35]. These models use the chiral spectrum from the level-1 construction which leads to unification of gauge couplings [36]. So, the standard-like models from string compactification achieved the goal of gauge coupling unification and GUT theories from string have not attracted much attention. Nevertheless, GUTs from strings [37, 38] have been discussed sporadically for anti-SU(5) [39] (or flipped SU(5) [40]), dynamical symmetry breaking [41, 42], and family unification [43]. In fact, family-GUTs are much easier in discussing the family problem, in particular on the origin of the mixing between quarks/leptons because the number of representations in family-GUTs is generally much smaller than in their (standard-like model) subgroups.

In this paper we study the R-parity assignment for a family-GUT from string compactification. So far, most string compactification models used the $E_8 \times E'_8$ heterotic string in which a GUT with rank greater than 8 is impossible. The group SU(11) has rank 10 which cannot arise from compactification of $E_8 \times E'_8$. Therefore, firstly we formulate the orbifold compactification [45, 46] of SO(32) heterotic string [11] whose rank is 16. From the compactification of the SO(32) heterotic string, however, we cannot derive the SU(11) model and the largest possible non-abelian gauge group we obtain is SU(9) [44].

¹ For more attempts of family-GUTs, see references in [10].

To discuss the R-parity from string compactification, we should consider a specific model. Among compactification schemes, we adopt the orbifold method. Among 13 possibilities listed in Ref. [45, 46], we employ \mathbf{Z}_{12-I} orbifold because it has the simplest twisted sectors. Twisted sectors are distinguished by Wilson lines [47]. The Wilson line in \mathbf{Z}_{12-I} distinguishes three fixed points at a twisted sector. Therefore, it suffices to consider only three cases at a twisted sector. In all the other orbifolds of Ref. [45], consideration of various possibilities of Wilson lines and the accompanying consistency conditions are much more involved.

In Sec. II, we recapitulate the orbifold methods used in this paper for an easy reference to Sec. III. In Sec. III, we construct a specific supersymmetric model possessing nonabelian groups SU(9) and SU(5). In Sec. IV, we assign \mathbf{Z}_{4R} quantum numbers to the massless fields obtained in Sec. III. Section V is a conclusion.

II. ORBIFOLD COMPACTIFICATION

A. Tensor representations of SU(N) and SO($2N$) spinors

All anti-symmetric representations $\Phi^A, \Phi^{AB} \equiv \Phi^{[AB]}, \dots \Phi^{[AB\dots]}$, etc. of SU(N) contain only $\mathbf{3}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{3}}$ under its subgroup SU(3). Therefore, using only anti-symmetric representations for matter in an SU(N) GUT guarantees no high dimensional colored matter particles such as $\mathbf{6}, \bar{\mathbf{6}}$, etc. The SU(N) representations are either of vector-type or of spinor type. Let us define the vector representation of SU(N) as

$$\text{SU}(9) : \mathbf{9} = (-10^8); \mathbf{36} = (\underline{-1 -1 0^7}) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{SU}(5) : \mathbf{5} = (\underline{+1 0^4}); \mathbf{10} = (\underline{+1 +1 0^3}) \quad (2)$$

where we defined differently for SU(9) and SU(5). It is a matter of convention to call what are $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{5}$. Here, the first (second) ones are called one-(two-)index tensors. The second ones carry two non-zero numbers. For spinor types, we also use this convection, but spinors fill all the 9 (for SU(9)) and 5 (for SU(5)) slots such as $(\underline{++- \dots})$. One index spinor is defined to have one sign and the rest the opposite sign. In string compactification, there appear only up to two index tensors. Define $\bar{\mathbf{36}}$ of the spinor type in SU(9) such that $\bar{\mathbf{36}} \cdot \mathbf{9} \cdot \mathbf{9}$ is allowed if two times the sum of entries is 0 modulo 9.² Therefore, we have $\bar{\mathbf{36}} = (\underline{++- - - - -})$. Similarly, we have the spinor $\bar{\mathbf{10}}$ of SU(5) as $\bar{\mathbf{10}} = (\underline{+++ - -})$, satisfying $+1+2+2=5$ from $\bar{\mathbf{10}} \cdot \mathbf{5} \cdot \mathbf{5}$. Thus, one and two index spinors are

$$\text{SU}(9) : \bar{\mathbf{9}} = (\underline{+ - - - - - -}); \mathbf{36} = (\underline{- - + + + + +}). \quad (3)$$

$$\text{SU}(5) : \bar{\mathbf{5}} = (\underline{+ - - - -}); \mathbf{10} = (\underline{++- - -}) \quad (4)$$

One $\mathbf{36}$ of (1) and two $\bar{\mathbf{9}}$'s of (3) give $-4 - 7 - 7 = -18$ from $\mathbf{36} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{9}} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{9}}$.

Counting the dimension of SO(32) spinor, we note that both even and odd numbers of + signs should be included. This is in contrast to the E_8 spinor where only even numbers of + signs are considered.

B. Two dimensional orbifolds

In compactifying 10D string theory to 4D effective field theory, the small internal 6D is a compactified three two-tori. So, the basic is a two dimensional torus. A two dimensional orbifold is this two dimensional torus modded by discrete groups for which we adopt the discrete group \mathbf{Z}_{12-I} . The shift vector we use here is similar to that of [44] but not the same, and the spectrum we obtain is a bit simpler. Since the strategy of compactifying SO(32) heterotic string is already presented there, we list key formulae used in the next chapter in Appendix A.

Most interesting spectra in the present paper are arising in the twisted sectors. Geometrically, twisted sectors correspond to fixed points. The moding vector of \mathbf{Z}_{12-I} is ϕ_s [45, 46],

$$\phi_s = \frac{1}{12}(5, 4, 1). \quad (5)$$

² The spinor $(+++++)$ for $+ \equiv \frac{1}{2}$ gives two times the sum as 9.

The multiplicities in the fixed points are three because ϕ_s contains $\frac{1}{3}$ in the second torus. This multiplicity 3 can be distinguished by Wilson lines $a_3 = a_4$ (3, 4 denoting two directions in the second torus) [47]. Entries of a_3 are some integer multiples of $\frac{1}{3}$. But $3a_3$ contains only integer entries, that leads to some conditions at twisted sectors T_3, T_6 and T_9 .

There is one point to be noted for \mathbf{Z}_{12} . If $N = \text{even}$, the $k = 1, \dots, \frac{N}{2} - 1$ sectors provide the opposite chiralities in the $k = N - 1, \dots, \frac{N}{2} + 1$ sectors,

$$T_k \leftrightarrow T_{N-k}.$$

Then, the corresponding phases of Eq. (A3) compare as

$$e^{2\pi i \Theta_k} \leftrightarrow e^{2\pi i \Theta_{N-k}} \quad (6)$$

whose difference is $e^{2\pi i(N-2k)/12}$. Thus, if $2k = N$ then T_{N-k} do not provide the charge conjugated fields of T_k , but they are identical. For T_3 or T_9 , therefore, we must provide the additional charge conjugated fields with an extra phase $e^{2\pi i(10/12)} = e^{2\pi i(-2/12)}$, the difference of $\hat{s} \cdot \phi_s$ for $\hat{s} = (+ + +)$ of R and $(- - -)$ of L. We choose T_9 for this phase. In T_6 , however, we do not need this since the charged conjugated fields also appear there.

C. Multiplicities in the twisted sectors

In the compactification of the SO(32) heterotic string, spinors in U are not appearing because it is not possible to have $P^2 = 2$ from sixteen $\pm\frac{1}{2}$'s. Only vector types are possible in U . The T_k twisted sector has three possibilities

$$T_k^{0,+,-} : kV_\alpha = \begin{cases} kV \equiv kV_0 \\ k(V + a_3) \equiv kV_+ \\ k(V - a_3) \equiv kV_- \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

We select only the even lattices shifted from the untwisted lattices, therefore, we consider even numbers for the sum of absolute value of each element of P . They should be even numbers if the absolute values are added. In Appendix A, Θ_k in Eq. (A3) is defined for the twisted sector T_k . Since different P 's in the same twisted sector may lead to different gauge group representations, there is a need to distinguish them. So, we may use

$$\Theta_{\text{Group}} = -\tilde{s} \cdot \phi_s - k p_{\text{vec}}^{k \text{ th}} \cdot \phi_s + k P \cdot V_0 + \frac{k}{2}(\phi_s^2 - V_0^2) + \Delta_k^N - \delta_k^N, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\delta_k^N = 2\tilde{\delta}_k. \quad (9)$$

III. THE MODEL

The left-hand side (LHS) sector of the heterotic string is the gauge sector. The shift vector V_0 and Wilson line a_3 are restricted to satisfy the \mathbf{Z}_{12-I} orbifold conditions,

$$12(V_0^2 - \phi_s^2) = 0 \text{ mod even integer}, \quad 12(V_0 \cdot a_3) = 0 \text{ mod even integer}, \quad 12|a_3|^2 = 0 \text{ mod even integer}. \quad (10)$$

Here, $a_3 (= a_4)$ is chosen to allow and/or forbid some spectra, and is composed of fractional numbers with the integer multiples of $\frac{1}{3}$ because the second torus has the \mathbf{Z}_3 symmetry. The model is

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= \left(\frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{3}{12}, \frac{6}{12}; \frac{6}{12}, \frac{6}{12}, \frac{6}{12}, \frac{6}{12}, \frac{6}{12} \right), \quad V_0^2 = \frac{234}{144} \rightarrow \frac{-54}{144}, \\ V_+ &= \left(\frac{+1}{12}, \frac{+1}{12}, \frac{+1}{12}, \frac{+1}{12}, \frac{+1}{12}, \frac{+1}{12}, \frac{+1}{12}, \frac{+1}{12}, \frac{+1}{12}, \frac{+1}{12}, \frac{-5}{12}, \frac{+6}{12}; \frac{+2}{12}, \frac{+2}{12}, \frac{+2}{12}, \frac{+2}{12}, \frac{+2}{12} \right), \quad V_+^2 = \frac{90}{144}, \\ V_- &= \left(\frac{+1}{12}, \frac{+1}{12}, \frac{+1}{12}, \frac{+1}{12}, \frac{+1}{12}, \frac{+1}{12}, \frac{+1}{12}, \frac{+1}{12}, \frac{+1}{12}, \frac{+1}{12}, \frac{+11}{12}, \frac{+6}{12}; \frac{-2}{12}, \frac{-2}{12}, \frac{-2}{12}, \frac{-2}{12}, \frac{-2}{12} \right), \quad V_-^2 = \frac{186}{144} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where

$$a_3 = a_4 = \left(0^9; \frac{-2}{3}, 0; \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right). \quad (12)$$

The right-hand side (RHS) sector of the heterotic string is given by the spin lattice $s = (\ominus \text{ or } \oplus; \hat{s})$ with every entry being integer multiples of $\frac{1}{2}$, satisfying $s^2 = 2$. The $\hat{\phi}_s$ for \mathbf{Z}_{12-I} with three entries is Eq. (5),

$$\hat{\phi}_s = \left(\frac{5}{12}, \frac{4}{12}, \frac{1}{12} \right), \text{ with } \phi_s^2 = \left(\frac{5}{12}, \frac{4}{12}, \frac{1}{12} \right)^2 = \frac{42}{144}. \quad (13)$$

A. Untwisted sector U

In U , we find the following nonvanishing roots of $SU(5) \times SU(9)' \times U(1)^4$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SU(5) gauge multiplet : } & P \cdot V = 0 \text{ mod. integer and } P \cdot a_3 = 0 \text{ mod. integer} \\ \text{SU(5) : } & P = (0^9; 0^2; \underline{1 - 1 0 0 0}). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SU(9)' gauge multiplet : } & P \cdot V = 0 \text{ mod. integer} \\ \text{SU(9)' : } & P = (\underline{+1 - 1 0 0 0 0 0 0}; 0 0; 0 0 0 0 0). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

In addition, there exists $U(1)^4$ symmetry. The non-singlet matter fields satisfy

$$\text{SU(5) and/or SU(9)' matter multiplet : } P \cdot V = \frac{1, 4, 5}{12}, P \cdot a_3 = 0 \text{ mod. integer}. \quad (16)$$

The conditions (16) allows the $P^2 = 2$ lattice shown in Table I.

U_i	P ,	Chirality	$[p_{\text{spin}}] (-p_{\text{spin}} \cdot \phi_s)$
$U_1 (p \cdot V = \frac{5}{12})$	$(\underline{-1 0^8}; 0 1; 0^5)$	L	$[\ominus; - - -] (\frac{\pm 5}{12})$
$U_2 (p \cdot V = \frac{4}{12})$	-		-
$U_3 (p \cdot V = \frac{1}{12})$	-		-

TABLE I: It is a $\mathbf{9}_L$ in view of Eq. (1).

B. Twisted sectors

In T_9 , for example, we have

$$9V_0 = \left(\left(\frac{+9}{12} \right)^9; \frac{+27}{12} \frac{+54}{12}; \left(\frac{+54}{12} \right)^5 \right), \quad (17)$$

$$9V_+ = \left(\left(\frac{+9}{12} \right)^9; \frac{-45}{12} \frac{+54}{12}; \left(\frac{+18}{12} \right)^5 \right), \quad (18)$$

$$9V_- = \left(\left(\frac{+9}{12} \right)^9; \frac{+99}{12} \frac{+54}{12}; \left(\frac{-18}{12} \right)^5 \right). \quad (19)$$

We shift the lattice by adding $SU(9)' \times SU(5)$ singlet vector; $v : (v_1, \dots, v_{16})$ satisfying $\sum_i |v_i| = 0$ modulo $2k$. In the following equations, we list all the shift vectors, $kV_{0,+,-}$, in all twisted sectors. Equations (17,18,19) are in Eq. (24).

$$T_1^0 \left(\left(\frac{+1}{12} \right)^9; \frac{+3}{12}, \frac{+6}{12}; \left(\frac{+6}{12} \right)^5 \right); T_1^+ \left(\left(\frac{+1}{12} \right)^9; \frac{-5}{12}, \frac{+6}{12}; \left(\frac{+2}{12} \right)^5 \right); T_1^- \left(\left(\frac{+1}{12} \right)^9; \frac{+11}{12}, \frac{+6}{12}; \left(\frac{-2}{12} \right)^5 \right), \quad (20)$$

$$T_2^0 \left(\left(\frac{+2}{12} \right)^9; \frac{-6}{12}, -1; 0^5 \right); T_2^+ \left(\left(\frac{+2}{12} \right)^9; \frac{+2}{12}, -1; \left(\frac{-8}{12} \right)^5 \right); T_2^- \left(\left(\frac{+2}{12} \right)^9; \frac{-2}{12}, -1; \left(\frac{-4}{12} \right)^5 \right), \quad (21)$$

$$T_4^0 \left(\left(\frac{+4}{12} \right)^9; 0, 0; (+1)^5 \right); T_4^+ \left(\left(\frac{+4}{12} \right)^9; \frac{-8}{12}, 0; \left(\frac{-4}{12} \right)^5 \right); T_4^- \left(\left(\frac{+4}{12} \right)^9; \frac{+8}{12}, 2; \left(\frac{+4}{12} \right)^5 \right), \quad (22)$$

$$T_7^0 \left(\left(\frac{-5}{12} \right)^9; \frac{+9}{12}, \frac{+6}{12}; \left(\frac{+6}{12} \right)^5 \right); T_7^+ \left(\left(\frac{+1}{12} \right)^9; \frac{-35}{12}, \frac{+42}{12}; \left(\frac{+2}{12} \right)^5 \right); T_7^- \left(\left(\frac{+7}{12} \right)^9; \frac{+5}{12}, \frac{+6}{12}; \left(\frac{-2}{12} \right)^5 \right), \quad (23)$$

$$T_9^0 \left(\left(\frac{-3}{12} \right)^9; \frac{-9}{12}, \frac{+6}{12}; \left(\frac{+6}{12} \right)^5 \right); T_9^+ \left(\left(\frac{+9}{12} \right)^9; \frac{+3}{12}, \frac{+6}{12}; \left(\frac{-6}{12} \right)^5 \right); T_9^- \left(\left(\frac{+9}{12} \right)^9; \frac{+3}{12}, \frac{-6}{12}; \left(\frac{-6}{12} \right)^5 \right), \quad (24)$$

$$T_6^0 = \left(\left(\frac{-6}{12} \right)^9; \frac{+18}{12}, +3; 0^5 \right). \quad (25)$$

1. Twisted sector T_9 ($\delta_3 = \frac{3}{12}$)

- Two indices spinor-form from T_9^0 : with Eq. (17) the spinor forms satisfying the mass-shell condition $(P + 9V_0)^2 = \frac{234}{144} = \frac{13}{8}$ and the Wilson-line condition $12(P + 9V_0) \cdot a_3 = 0$ are possible for SU(9):

$$P_9 = \left(\frac{-3}{2}, \frac{-3}{2}, -7; \frac{-5}{2}, \frac{-9}{2}; \left(\frac{-9}{2}\right)^5 \right). \quad (26)$$

Instead of the above P_9 , with Eq. (24) we have

$$P_9 = (\underline{- - + 7}; + -; -^5) \quad (27)$$

which is a shift from Eq. (26) by $(1^9; 3, 4; 4^5)$. With Eqs. (24) and (27), we present the multiplicity in Table II.

Chirality	\tilde{s}	$-\tilde{s} \cdot \phi_s$	$-k p_{\text{vec}}^{k \text{ th}} \cdot \phi_s$	$k P_9 \cdot V_0$	$(k/2)\phi_s^2, -(k/2)V_0^2,$	$\Delta_9^N, -\delta_9^N$	$\Theta_9, \text{ Mult.}$
$\ominus = L$	(- - -)	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{-3}{12},$	$\frac{+6}{12}$	$\frac{189}{144}, \frac{+243}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}, \frac{-6}{12}$	$\frac{+2}{12} + \frac{-2}{12}, 2$
$\oplus = R$	(+ + +)	$\frac{-5}{12}$	$\frac{-3}{12},$	$\frac{+6}{12}$	$\frac{189}{144}, \frac{+243}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}, \frac{-6}{12}$	$\frac{-8}{12} + \frac{-2}{12}, 1$

TABLE II: Two index spinor-form from $T_9^0 : (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{36}')_L$. This is the abbreviated one of Table XXIII presented in Appendix C. Note that we provided an extra phase $e^{2\pi i(-2/N)}$ as commented below Eq. (6).

2. Twisted sector T_4 ($\delta_4 = 0$)

- One index vector-form from T_4^0 :

$$P_9 = (\underline{-1 0^8}; 0 0; (-1)^5), \quad (28)$$

satisfies $(P_9 + 4V_0)^2 = \frac{192}{144}$. Thus, we obtain Table III.

Chirality	\tilde{s}	$-\tilde{s} \cdot \phi_s$	$-k p_{\text{vec}}^{k \text{ th}} \cdot \phi_s$	$k P_9 \cdot V_0$	$(k/2)\phi_s^2, -(k/2)V_0^2,$	$\Delta_4^N, -\delta_4^N$	$\Theta_9, \text{ Mult.}$
$\ominus = L$	(- - -)	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{+8}{12},$	$\frac{-4}{12}$	$\frac{84}{144}, \frac{+108}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}, \frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{+1}{12}, 0$
$\oplus = R$	(+ + +)	$\frac{-5}{12}$	$\frac{+8}{12},$	$\frac{-4}{12}$	$\frac{84}{144}, \frac{+108}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}, \frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{-9}{12}, 7$

TABLE III: One index vector-form from T_4^0 : Thus, we obtain $7 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{9}')_R$.

- One index spinor-form for T_4^- : the spinor-form

$$P_9 = \left(\underline{+ -^8}; -, \frac{-3}{2}; -^5 \right), \quad (29)$$

satisfies $(P_9 + 4V_-)^2 = \frac{192}{144}$, which is shown in Table IV.

Chirality	\tilde{s}	$-\tilde{s} \cdot \phi_s$	$-k p_{\text{vec}}^{k \text{ th}} \cdot \phi_s$	$k P_9 \cdot V_-$	$(k/2)\phi_s^2, -(k/2)V_-^2,$	$\Delta_4^N, -\delta_4^N$	$\Theta_9, \text{ Mult.}$
$\ominus = L$	(- - -)	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{+8}{12},$	$\frac{-4}{12}$	$\frac{84}{144}, \frac{-372}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}, \frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{+9}{12}, 7$
$\oplus = R$	(+ + +)	$\frac{-5}{12}$	$\frac{+8}{12},$	$\frac{-4}{12}$	$\frac{84}{144}, \frac{-372}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}, \frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{-1}{12}, 0$

TABLE IV: One index spinor-form from T_4^- : Thus, we obtain $7 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{9}')_L$.

3. Twisted sector T_1 ($\delta_1 = \frac{1}{12}$)

In T_1 , we use Eq. (11).

- One index vector-form for T_1^+ : the vector

$$P_5 = (0^9; +1, 0; \underline{-1, 0, 0, 0, 0}), \quad (30)$$

satisfies $(P + V_+)^2 = \frac{186}{144}$ which is short by $\frac{24}{144} = \frac{2}{12}$ from the target value of $\frac{210}{144}$, and all states are shown in Table V.

Chirality	\tilde{s}	$-\tilde{s} \cdot \phi_s$	$-k p_{\text{vec}}^{k \text{ th}} \cdot \phi_s$	$k P_5 \cdot V_+$	$(k/2)\phi_s^2$	$-(k/2)V_+^2$	Δ_k^N	$-\delta_k^N$	Θ_5 , Mult.
$\ominus = L$	(---)	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{+5}{12}$,	$\frac{-7}{12}$	$\frac{+21}{144}$	$\frac{-45}{144}, \frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{+2}{12}$,	$\frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{+1}{12}$ 0
$\oplus = R$	(+++)	$\frac{-5}{12}$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{-8}{12}$	$\frac{+21}{144}$	$\frac{-45}{144}$	$\frac{+2}{12}$,	$\frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{-9}{12}$ 3

TABLE V: One index vector-form from T_1^+ : Thus, we obtain $3 \cdot (\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{1})_R$ that is ρ in Table XIX.

Chirality	\tilde{s}	$-\tilde{s} \cdot \phi_s$	$-k p_{\text{vec}}^{k \text{ th}} \cdot \phi_s$	$k P_5 \cdot V_+$	$(k/2)\phi_s^2$	$-(k/2)V_+^2$	Δ_k^N	$-\delta_k^N$	Θ_5 , Mult.
$\ominus = L$	(---)	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{+5}{12}$,	$\frac{-8}{12}$	$\frac{+21}{144}$	$\frac{-45}{144}, \frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{+2}{12}$,	$\frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{0}{12}$ 3
$\oplus = R$	(+++)	$\frac{-5}{12}$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{-8}{12}$	$\frac{+21}{144}$	$\frac{-45}{144}$	$\frac{+2}{12}$,	$\frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{-10}{12}$ 0

TABLE VI: One index vector-form from T_1^+ : Thus, we obtain $3 \cdot (\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{1})_L$ that is σ in Table XIX.

- One index vector-form for T_1^+ : the vector

$$P_5 = (0^9; 0, -1; \underline{-1, 0, 0, 0, 0}), \quad (31)$$

satisfies $(P + V_+)^2 = \frac{186}{144}$ which is short by $\frac{24}{144} = \frac{2}{12}$ from the target value of $\frac{210}{144}$, and all states are shown in Table VI.

- One index vector-form for T_1^- : the vector

$$P_9 = (\underline{-1 0^8}; -1, 0; 0^5), \quad (32)$$

satisfies $(P + V_-)^2 = \frac{186}{144}$ which is short by $\frac{24}{144} = \frac{2}{12}$ from the target value of $\frac{210}{144}$, and the spectrum is shown in Table VII.

Chirality	\tilde{s}	$-\tilde{s} \cdot \phi_s$	$-k p_{\text{vec}}^{k \text{ th}} \cdot \phi_s$	$k P_5 \cdot V_-$	$(k/2)\phi_s^2$	$-(k/2)V_-^2$	Δ_k^N	$-\delta_k^N$	Θ_5 , Mult.
$\ominus = L$	(---)	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{+5}{12}$,	$\frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{+21}{144}$	$\frac{-93}{144}$	$\frac{+2}{12}$,	$\frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{+4}{12}$ 0
$\oplus = R$	(+++)	$\frac{-5}{12}$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{+21}{144}$	$\frac{-93}{144}$	$\frac{+2}{12}$,	$\frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{-6}{12}$ 3

TABLE VII: One index vector-form from T_1^- : Thus, we obtain $3 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{9}')_R$.

- One index vector-form from T_1^- : the vector

$$P_5 = (0^9; -1, 0; \underline{+1 0^4}), \quad (33)$$

satisfies $(P + V_-)^2 = \frac{162}{144}$ which is short by $\frac{4}{12}$ from the target value of $\frac{210}{144}$, and we obtain Table VIII.

Chirality	\tilde{s}	$-\tilde{s} \cdot \phi_s$	$-k p_{\text{vec}}^{k \text{ th}} \cdot \phi_s$	$k P_5 \cdot V_-$	$(k/2)\phi_s^2, -(k/2)V_-^2,$	$\Delta_k^N, -\delta_k^N$	$\Theta_5, \text{Mult.}$
$\ominus = L$	$(- - -)$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{+5}{12},$	$\frac{-1}{12}$	$\frac{+21}{144}, \frac{-93}{144},$	$\frac{+4}{12}, \frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{+5}{12}, 3$
$\oplus = R$	$(+ + +)$	$\frac{-5}{12}$	$\frac{+5}{12},$	$\frac{-1}{12}$	$\frac{+21}{144}, \frac{-93}{144},$	$\frac{+4}{12}, \frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{-5}{12}, 3$

TABLE VIII: One index vector-form from T_1^- : Thus, we obtain $3 \cdot (\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{1})_L + 3 \cdot (\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{1})_R$ that are β and α in Table XIX. .

4. Twisted sector T_2 ($\delta_2 = \frac{0}{12}$)

- One index vector-form from T_2^0 : the vector

$$P_9 = (\underline{-1} 0^8; 0, +1; 0^5), \quad (34)$$

which gives $(P + 2V_+)^2 = \frac{168}{144}$ which is short by $\frac{48}{144} = \frac{4}{12}$ from the target value of $\frac{216}{144}$, and massless fields are shown in Table IX.

Chirality	\tilde{s}	$-\tilde{s} \cdot \phi_s$	$-k p_{\text{vec}}^{k \text{ th}} \cdot \phi_s$	$k P \cdot V_0$	$(k/2)\phi_s^2, -(k/2)V_0^2,$	$\Delta_2^N, -\delta_2^N$	$\Theta_5, \text{Mult.}$
$\ominus = L$	$(- - -)$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{+10}{12},$	$\frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{+42}{144}, \frac{+54}{144}, \frac{8}{12}$	$\frac{+4}{12}, \frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{+1}{12}, 0$
$\oplus = R$	$(+ + +)$	$\frac{-5}{12}$	$\frac{+10}{12},$	$\frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{+42}{144}, \frac{+54}{144},$	$\frac{+4}{12}, \frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{-9}{12}, 3$

TABLE IX: One index vector-form from T_2^0 : Thus, we obtain $3 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{9}')_R$.

- One index spinor-form from T_2^- : the vector

$$P_5 = (-^9; +, +; \underline{-} + + + +), \quad (35)$$

which gives $(P + 2V_-)^2 = \frac{192}{144}$ which is short by $\frac{2}{12}$ from the target value of $\frac{216}{144}$, and massless fields are shown in Table X .

Chirality	\tilde{s}	$-\tilde{s} \cdot \phi_s$	$-k p_{\text{vec}}^{k \text{ th}} \cdot \phi_s$	$k P \cdot V_-$	$(k/2)\phi_s^2, -(k/2)V_-^2,$	$\Delta_2^N, -\delta_2^N$	$\Theta_5, \text{Mult.}$
$\ominus = L$	$(- - -)$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{+10}{12},$	$\frac{+4}{12}$	$\frac{+42}{144}, \frac{-90}{144}, \frac{-4}{12}$	$\frac{+2}{12}, \frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{+5}{12}, 3$
$\oplus = R$	$(+ + +)$	$\frac{-5}{12}$	$\frac{+10}{12},$	$\frac{+4}{12}$	$\frac{+42}{144}, \frac{-90}{144},$	$\frac{+2}{12}, \frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{-5}{12}, 3$

TABLE X: One index spinor-form from T_2^- : Thus, we obtain $3 \cdot (\bar{\mathbf{5}}, \mathbf{1})_L + 3 \cdot (\bar{\mathbf{5}}, \mathbf{1})_R$ that are η and ξ in Table XIX.

5. Twisted sector T_7 ($\delta_7 = \frac{1}{12}$)

- One index spinor-form from T_7^0 :

$$P_9 = (\underline{-} +^8; -, -, -^5), \quad (36)$$

gives $(P_9 + 7V_0)^2 = \frac{138}{144}$, which is short of $\frac{6}{12}$ from $\frac{210}{12}$, and we obtain Table XI.

- Two index vector-form from T_7^+ :

$$P_9 = (\underline{-1} 0^8; +3, -4; 0^5), \quad (37)$$

gives $(P_9 + 7V_+)^2 = \frac{186}{144}$ which is short of $\frac{2}{12}$ from the target value $\frac{210}{144}$, and massless fields are shown in Table XII.

Chirality	\tilde{s}	$-\tilde{s} \cdot \phi_s$	$-k p_{\text{vec}}^{k \text{ th}} \cdot \phi_s$	$k P_9 \cdot V_0$	$(k/2)\phi_s^2, -(k/2)V_0^2,$	$\Delta_k^N, -\delta_k^N$	$\Theta_9, \text{Mult.}$
$\ominus = L$	$(- - -)$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{+1}{12},$	$\frac{-4}{12}$	$\frac{+147}{144}, \frac{4}{12},$	$\frac{+189}{144}, \frac{+6}{12}, \frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{+10}{12}, 0$
$\oplus = R$	$(+ + +)$	$\frac{-5}{12}$	$\frac{+1}{12}$	$\frac{-4}{12}$	$\frac{+147}{144},$	$\frac{+189}{144}, \frac{+6}{12}, \frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{0}{12}, 3$

TABLE XI: One index spinor-form from $T_7^0: 3 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{9}')_R$.

Chirality	\tilde{s}	$-\tilde{s} \cdot \phi_s$	$-k p_{\text{vec}}^{k \text{ th}} \cdot \phi_s$	$k P_9 \cdot V_+$	$(k/2)\phi_s^2, -(k/2)V_+^2,$	$\Delta_k^N, -\delta_k^N$	$\Theta_9, \text{Mult.}$
$\ominus = L$	$(- - -)$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{+1}{12},$	$\frac{+2}{12}$	$\frac{+147}{144}, \frac{-315}{144}, \frac{-2}{12},$	$\frac{+2}{12}, \frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{+6}{12}, 3$
$\oplus = R$	$(+ + +)$	$\frac{-5}{12}$	$\frac{+1}{12}$	$\frac{+2}{12}$	$\frac{+147}{144}, \frac{-315}{144},$	$\frac{+2}{12}, \frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{-4}{12}, 0$

TABLE XII: One index vector-form from $T_7^+: 3 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{9}')_L$.

Chirality	\tilde{s}	$-\tilde{s} \cdot \phi_s$	$-k p_{\text{vec}}^{k \text{ th}} \cdot \phi_s$	$k P_5 \cdot V_-$	$(k/2)\phi_s^2, -(k/2)V_-^2,$	$\Delta_k^N, -\delta_k^N$	$\Theta_5, \text{Mult.}$
$\ominus = L$	$(- - -)$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{+1}{12},$	$\frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{+147}{144}, \frac{-651}{144}, \frac{6}{12},$	$\frac{+2}{12}, \frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{+10}{12}, 0$
$\oplus = R$	$(+ + +)$	$\frac{-5}{12}$	$\frac{+1}{12}$	$\frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{+147}{144}, \frac{-651}{144},$	$\frac{+2}{12}, \frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{0}{12}, 3$

TABLE XIII: Two index spinor-form from $T_7^-: 3(\overline{\mathbf{10}}, \mathbf{1})_R$ that is T in Table XIX.

- Two index spinor-form from T_7^- : The vector

$$P_5 = (-^9; - -; \underline{+ + + - -}), \quad (38)$$

gives $(P_5 + 7V_-)^2 = \frac{186}{144}$ which is short of $\frac{2}{12}$ from the target value $\frac{210}{144}$, and massless fields are shown in Table XIII.

- One index spinor-form from T_7^- : The vector

$$P_5 = (-^9; - -; \underline{+ + + + -}), \quad (39)$$

gives $(P_5 + 7V_-)^2 = \frac{138}{144}$ which is short of $\frac{6}{12}$ from the target value $\frac{210}{144}$, and massless fields are shown in Table XIV.

Chirality	\tilde{s}	$-\tilde{s} \cdot \phi_s$	$-k p_{\text{vec}}^{k \text{ th}} \cdot \phi_s$	$k P_5 \cdot V_-$	$(k/2)\phi_s^2, -(k/2)V_-^2,$	$\Delta_k^N, -\delta_k^N$	$\Theta_5, \text{Mult.}$
$\ominus = L$	$(- - -)$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{+1}{12},$	$\frac{-4}{12}$	$\frac{+147}{144}, \frac{-651}{144},$	$\frac{+6}{12}, \frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{0}{12}, 3$
$\oplus = R$	$(+ + +)$	$\frac{-5}{12}$	$\frac{+1}{12}$	$\frac{-4}{12}$	$\frac{+147}{144}, \frac{-651}{144},$	$\frac{+6}{12}, \frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{-10}{12}, 0$

TABLE XIV: One index spinor-form from $T_7^-: 3(\overline{\mathbf{5}}, \mathbf{1})_L$ that is \overline{F} in Table XIX.

6. Twisted sector T_6 ($\delta_6 = \frac{0}{12}$)

Note that $\frac{k}{2}(V_a^2 - \phi_s^2)$ in Eq. (A3) is not distinguished by Wilson lines, and we just calculate the spectra from $6V_0$ with multiplicity 3 (for $T_6^{0,+,-}$). With 3 and 0^5 in (25), we obtain only vectorlike representations. To clarify, we list all the possibilities for $\mathbf{5}, \overline{\mathbf{5}}, \mathbf{10}$, and $\overline{\mathbf{10}}$. All the allowed ones are spinor forms.

- Spinor-form for $\mathbf{10}$,

$$P_5 = (+^9; \frac{-3}{2}, \frac{-5}{2} \text{ or } \frac{-7}{2}; \underline{+ + - - -}) \quad (40)$$

Chirality	\tilde{s}	$-\tilde{s} \cdot \phi_s$	$-k p_{\text{vec}}^{k \text{ th}} \cdot \phi_s$	$k P_1 \cdot V_0$	$(k/2)\phi_s^2$	$-(k/2)V_0^2$	Δ_6^N	$-\delta_6^N$	Θ_5 , Mult. of $\mathbf{10}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{10}}$ s.
$\ominus = L$	$(- - -)$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{+126}{144}$	$\frac{+162}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{+5}{12}$ 6
$\oplus = R$	$(+ + +)$	$\frac{-5}{12}$	$\frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{+126}{144}$	$\frac{+162}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{-5}{12}$ 6

TABLE XV: T_6 : $\mathbf{10}$ appears 6 times for L and 6 times for R. The same multiplicities occur also in T_6^{+-} . So, in total, there appear 36 multiplicities of $\mathbf{10}$ for L and also for R.

which saturates the masslessness condition $(P_5 + 6V_0)^2 = \frac{216}{144}$. The spectra are shown in Table XV. Considering Eq. (40) for $\frac{-5}{2}$ and $\frac{-7}{2}$, we obtain 12 each for L and R. Thus, we obtain $36 \cdot (\mathbf{10}_L \oplus \mathbf{10}_R)$.

- Spinor-form for $\overline{\mathbf{10}}$,

$$P_5 = (+^9; \frac{-3}{2}, \frac{-5}{2} \text{ or } \frac{-7}{2}; \underline{+ + + - -}) \quad (41)$$

which saturates the masslessness condition $(P_5 + 6V_0)^2 = \frac{216}{144}$. So, we obtain $36 \cdot (\overline{\mathbf{10}}_L \oplus \overline{\mathbf{10}}_R)$.

- Spinor-form for $\mathbf{5}$,

$$P_5 = (+^9; \frac{-3}{2}, \frac{-5}{2} \text{ or } \frac{-7}{2}; \underline{+ - - - -}) \quad (42)$$

which saturates the masslessness condition $(P_5 + 6V_0)^2 = \frac{216}{144}$, and we obtain $36 \cdot (\mathbf{5}_L \oplus \mathbf{5}_R)$.

- Spinor-form for $\overline{\mathbf{5}}$,

$$P_5 = (+^9; \frac{-3}{2}, \frac{-5}{2} \text{ or } \frac{-7}{2}; \underline{+ + + + -}) \quad (43)$$

which saturates the masslessness condition $(P_5 + 6V_0)^2 = \frac{216}{144}$, and we obtain $36 \cdot (\overline{\mathbf{5}}_L \oplus \overline{\mathbf{5}}_R)$.

Summarizing the non-singlet chiral fields we obtained, $\mathbf{9}'_L(U) + \mathbf{36}'_L(T_9^0) + (\mathbf{36}'_L(T_9^0) + \mathbf{36}'_R(T_9^0)) + 7 \cdot \mathbf{9}'_R(T_4^0) + 7 \cdot \mathbf{9}'_L(T_4^-) + 3 \cdot \mathbf{9}'_R(T_1^-) + 3 \cdot \mathbf{9}'_R(T_2^0) + 3 \cdot \mathbf{9}'_R(T_7^0) + 3 \cdot \mathbf{9}'_L(T_7^+)$ for $\text{SU}(9)'$. After removing vector-like representations, note that the $\text{SU}(9)'$ anomaly is absent with

$$\overline{\mathbf{36}}'_R + 5 \cdot \mathbf{9}'_R. \quad (44)$$

Similarly after removing vector-like representations, for $\text{SU}(5)$ we obtain

$$3 \cdot \mathbf{10}_L(T_7^+) + 3 \cdot \overline{\mathbf{5}}_L(T_7^-). \quad (45)$$

These spectra in Eqs. (44) and (45) do not lead to non-Abelian gauge anomalies. For $\overline{\mathbf{5}}_L$ in Eq. (45), in fact it is a linear combination of $\overline{\mathbf{5}}_L(T_7^-)$ (\overline{F} in Table XIX) and $\overline{\mathbf{5}}_L(T_2^-)$ (η in Table XIX). In addition, note that there appear 72 pairs of $\mathbf{10} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{10}}$ from T_6 , which are needed for breaking the anti- $\text{SU}(5)$ GUT.

C. Singlets, quintets, and Higgs fields

We obtained the rank 16 group $\text{SU}(5) \times \text{SU}(9)' \times \text{U}(1)^4$. The four $\text{U}(1)$ charges are also shown in the following tables, where Q_X is the $\text{U}(1)_X$ charge of anti- $\text{SU}(5)$ GUT. The four $\text{U}(1)$ charges in the gauge group $\text{SU}(9)' \times \text{SU}(5) \times \text{U}(1)^4$ are defined by

$$Q_1 = \frac{1}{9}(-2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2; 0, 0; 0^5) \quad (46)$$

$$Q_2 = (0^9; -2, 0; 0^5) \quad (47)$$

$$Q_3 = (0^9; 0, -2; 0^5) \quad (48)$$

$$Q_X = (0^9; 0, 0; -2, -2, -2, -2). \quad (49)$$

We summarize the $SU(5) \times SU(9)'$ singlets in the following Tables XVI, XVII and XVIII, with the format of Subsec. III B. In Table XVIII, we tabulate the four $U(1)$ charges given in Eqs. (46)–(49). For the $SU(5)$ singlets, the electromagnetic charge is

$$Q_{\text{em}} = \frac{1}{5} Q_X. \quad (50)$$

Model($T_k^{0,+,-}$)	$-\hat{s} \cdot \phi_s$	$-k p_{\text{vec}}^{k \text{ th}} \cdot \phi_s$	$k P_{\text{Group}} \cdot V_{0,+,-}$	$(k/2)\phi_s^2, -(k/2)V_{0,+,-}^2$	$\Delta_{\text{Group}}^N, -\delta_{\text{Group}}^N$	Θ_{Group}
$A(T_9^0)$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{-3}{12}$	$\frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{189}{144}, \frac{+243}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}, \frac{-6}{12}$	$\frac{-4}{12}$
$B(T_9^+)$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{-3}{12}$	$\frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{189}{144}, \frac{-405}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}, \frac{-6}{12}$	$\frac{+2}{12}$
$C(T_9^-)$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{-3}{12}$	$\frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{189}{144}, \frac{-837}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}, \frac{-6}{12}$	$\frac{+2}{12}$
$D(T_4^+)$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{+8}{12}$	$\frac{+4}{12}$	$\frac{84}{144}, \frac{-180}{144}$	$\frac{+8}{12}, \frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{+5}{12}$
$E(T_4^-)$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{+8}{12}$	$\frac{+4}{12}$	$\frac{84}{144}, \frac{-372}{144}$	$\frac{+8}{12}, \frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{+1}{12}$
$F(T_1^-)$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{-5}{12}$	$\frac{21}{144}, \frac{-93}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}, \frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{+9}{12}$
$G(T_2^0)$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{+10}{12}$	$\frac{-6}{12}$	$\frac{42}{144}, \frac{+54}{144}$	$\frac{+8}{12}, \frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{+1}{12}$
$H(T_2^-)$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{+10}{12}$	$\frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{42}{144}, \frac{-186}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}, \frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{+1}{12}$
$I(T_7^0)$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{+1}{12}$	$\frac{-6}{12}$	$\frac{147}{144}, \frac{+189}{144}$	$\frac{+10}{12}, \frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{0}{12}$
$J(T_7^-)$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{+1}{12}$	$\frac{-1}{12}$	$\frac{147}{144}, \frac{-651}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}, \frac{-2}{12}$	$\frac{+9}{12}$

TABLE XVI: Entries in calculating the multiplicities in the following Table XVII.

Twisted Sector	$P; P + kV_i$	$-\hat{s} \cdot \phi_s$	Θ_k	Mult. of singlets (L or R)
$A(T_9^0)$	$(+^9; +, -, -^5)$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{-4}{12}$	1(L)
$B(T_9^+)$	$(-^9; -, -, +^5)$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{+2}{12}$	1(R)
$C(T_9^-)$	$(-^9; -, +, +^5)$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{+2}{12}$	1(R)
$D(T_4^+)$	$(-^9; +, \pm; +^5)$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	3(L)+3(L), 3(R)+3(R)
$E(T_4^-)$	$(-^9; -, \frac{-3}{2} \text{ or } \frac{-5}{2}; -^5)$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{+1}{12}$	7(R)+7(R)
$F(T_1^-)$	$(0^9; -1, -1; 0^5)$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{+9}{12}$	3(L), neutral
$G(T_2^0)$	$(0^9; +1, +1; 0^5)$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{+1}{12}$	3(R), neutral
$H(T_2^-)$	$(0^9; +1, +1; 0^5)$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{+1}{12}$	3(R), neutral
$I(T_7^0)$	$(+^9; \frac{-3}{2}, -, -^5)$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{0}{12}$	3(L)
$J(T_7^-)$	$(-^9; +, -, +^5)$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{+9}{12}$	3(L)
$K_1(T_6^0)$	$(+^9; \frac{-3}{2}, \frac{-5}{2}; +^5)$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$3 \times 7(L), 3 \times 7(R)$
$K_2(T_6^0)$	$(+^9; \frac{-3}{2}, \frac{-7}{2}; +^5)$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$3 \times 7(L), 3 \times 7(R)$
$K_3(T_6^0)$	$(+^9; \frac{-3}{2}, \frac{-5}{2}; -^5)$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$3 \times 7(L), 3 \times 7(R)$
$K_4(T_6^0)$	$(+^9; \frac{-3}{2}, \frac{-7}{2}; -^5)$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$3 \times 7(L), 3 \times 7(R)$
$T_6(\mathbf{10})$	$(+^9; \frac{-3}{2}, \frac{-5}{2} \text{ or } \frac{-7}{2}; ++--)$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$36(L), 36(R)$
$T_6(\overline{\mathbf{10}})$	$(+^9; \frac{-3}{2}, \frac{-5}{2} \text{ or } \frac{-7}{2}; +++--)$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$36(L), 36(R)$

TABLE XVII: Summary of chiral singlets, $\mathbf{1}$'s. The value for $-\hat{s} \cdot \phi_s$ is for $(\ominus; ---)$, *i.e.* the ones in the top rows in the tables. We also listed the vectorlike $(\mathbf{10} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{10}}, \mathbf{1})_R$ obtained previously in Table XV.

In Table XIX, we collect all $\mathbf{5}$'s, $\overline{\mathbf{5}}$'s, $\mathbf{10}$'s, and $\overline{\mathbf{10}}$'s. A set of anti- $SU(5)$ GUT representation, which is of course anomaly-free chiral set, is $\overline{\mathbf{10}}_{-1} \oplus \mathbf{5}_{+3} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{1}}_{-5}$. Indeed, three $\overline{\mathbf{1}}_{-5}$'s (A, B , and C) in Table XVIII belong to the anti- $SU(5)$ families. The remaining $Q_X = \pm 5$ singlets form vector-like representations under anti- $SU(5)$. The neutral singlets, F, G , and H are the heavy neutrinos.

Twisted Sector	$P ; P + kV_i$	Q_1 Q_2 Q_3	Q_X Q_R	Mult. of singlets (L or R)
$A(T_9^0)$	$(+^9; +, -, -^5)$	-1 -1 +1	+5 0	1(L)
$B(T_9^+)$	$(-^9; -, -, +^5)$	+1 +1 +1	-5 -3	1(R)
$C(T_9^-)$	$(-^9; -, +, +^5)$	+1 +1 -1	-5 0	1(R)
$D(T_4^+)$	$(-^9; +, \pm, +^5)$	+1 -1 \mp 1	-5 (+2, -1)	3(L)+3(L), 3(R)+3(R)
$E(T_4^-)$	$(-^9; -, \frac{-3}{2} \text{ or } \frac{-5}{2}; -^5)$	+1 -1 +3/+5	+5 (+6, +3)	7(R)+7(R)
$F(T_1^-)$	$(0^9; -1, -1; 0^5)$	0 +2 +2	0 -5	3(L), neutral
$G(T_2^0)$	$(0^9; +1, +1; 0^5)$	0 -2 -2	0 +5	3(R), neutral
$H(T_2^-)$	$(0^9; +1, +1; 0^5)$	0 -2 -2	0 +5	3(R), neutral
$I(T_7^0)$	$(+^9; \frac{-3}{2}, -, -^5)$	-1 +3 +1	+5 -4	3(L)
$J(T_7^-)$	$(-^9; +, -, +^5)$	+1 -1 +1	-5 -1	3(L)
$K_1(T_6^0)$	$(+^9; \frac{-3}{2}, \frac{-5}{2}; +^5)$	-1 +3 +5	-5 -20	$3 \times 7(L)$, $3 \times 7(R)$
$K_2(T_6^0)$	$(+^9; \frac{-3}{2}, \frac{-7}{2}; +^5)$	-1 +3 +7	-5 -23	$3 \times 7(L)$, $3 \times 7(R)$
$K_3(T_6^0)$	$(+^9; \frac{-3}{2}, \frac{-5}{2}; -^5)$	-1 +3 +5	+5 -10	$3 \times 7(L)$, $3 \times 7(R)$
$K_4(T_6^0)$	$(+^9; \frac{-3}{2}, \frac{-7}{2}; -^5)$	-1 +3 +7	+5 -13	$3 \times 7(L)$, $3 \times 7(R)$
$T_6(\mathbf{10})$	$(+^9; \frac{-3}{2}, \frac{-5}{2} \text{ or } \frac{-7}{2}; \underline{++----})$	-1 +3 +5/+7	+1 (-14, -17)	36(L), 36(R)
$T_6(\overline{\mathbf{10}})$	$(+^9; \frac{-3}{2}, \frac{-5}{2} \text{ or } \frac{-7}{2}; \underline{+++--})$	-1 +3 +5/+7	-1 (-14, -17)	36(L), 36(R)

TABLE XVIII: U(1) charges of $\mathbf{1}$'s and Higgs $\mathbf{10}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{10}}$. Here, Q_R is calculated from Eq. (52).

States	$P ; P + kV_i$	Q_1 Q_2 Q_3	Q_X	Multiplicities of $\mathbf{5}, \overline{\mathbf{5}}, \mathbf{10}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{10}}$	Q_R
$\rho(\mathbf{5}_R(T_1^+))$	$(0^9; +1, 0; \underline{-10^4})$	0 -2 0	+2	3(R)	+4
$\sigma(\overline{\mathbf{5}}_R(T_1^+))$	$(0^9; 0, +1; \underline{+10^4})$	0 0 -2	-2	3(L)	+1
$\xi(\overline{\mathbf{5}}_R(T_2^-))$	$(-^9; +, +, \underline{++++})$	+1 -1 -1	-3	3(R)	+4
$\eta(\mathbf{5}_R(T_2^-))$	$(+^9; -, -, \underline{+----})$	-1 +1 +1	+3	3(L)	-4
$\alpha(\mathbf{5}_R(T_1^-))$	$(0^9; -1, 0; \underline{+10^4})$	0 +2 0	-2	3(R), Higgs $\mathbf{5}_{hR}$ containing H_u	-4
$\beta(\overline{\mathbf{5}}_R(T_1^-))$	$(0^9; +1, 0; \underline{-10^4})$	0 -2 0	+2	3(L), Higgs $\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{hR}$ containing H_d	+4
$\overline{F}(\mathbf{5}_R(T_7^-))$	$(+^9; +, +, \underline{+----})$	-1 -1 -1	+3	3(L)	+1
$T(\overline{\mathbf{10}}_R(T_7^-))$	$(-^9; -, -, \underline{+++--})$	+1 +1 +1	-1	3(R)	+1
$H(\mathbf{10}_R(T_6))$	$(-^9; -, -, \underline{+----})$	+1 +1 +1	+1	36(L), 36(R), $VEV = 0$	+3
$\overline{H}(\overline{\mathbf{10}}_R(T_6))$	$(-^9; -, +, \underline{+++--})$	+1 +1 -1	-1	36(L), 36(R), $VEV \neq 0$	+4

TABLE XIX: U(1) charges of $\mathbf{5}, \overline{\mathbf{5}}, \mathbf{10}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{10}}$, where Q_R is given in Eq. (52). The L fields are changed to R fields in the first column by taking charge conjugated quantum numbers presented in the text.

From Table XIX, the vector-like pairs $\{\rho, \sigma\}$ and $\{\xi, \eta\}$ are removed. The remaining ones constitute the anti-SU(5) spectra T, \overline{F}, A, B, C and the MSSM Higgs fields α and β , containing H_u and H_d . The vector-like pairs H and \overline{H} are needed to break the anti-SU(5) down to the SM.

IV. ASSIGNMENT OF R-PARITY

For a \mathbf{Z}_{4R} symmetry, we may assign the following $U(1)_R$ charges to the MSSM plus σ fields,

$$Q_R : \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} q(T(\overline{\mathbf{10}}_{-1,R})), & \ell(\eta(\mathbf{5}_{+3,R})), & \tau^+(B(\mathbf{1}_{-5,R})), & H_u(\alpha(\mathbf{5}_{-2,R})), & H_d(\beta(\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{+2,R})), & \sigma \\ +1, & +1, & +1, & -4, & +4, & +1 \end{array} \quad (51)$$

With the four gauged $U(1)$ charges, let us choose Q_R as

$$Q_R = \frac{9}{2}Q_1 - Q_2 - \frac{3}{2}Q_3 + Q_X \quad (52)$$

which is tabulated in Table XIX and also in Table XVIII. To break $U(1)_R$ down to \mathbf{Z}_{4R} , we need the GUT breaking VEVs, $\langle \overline{H}(\overline{\mathbf{10}}_R(T_6)) \rangle \neq 0$, but we demand $\langle H(\mathbf{10}_R(T_6)) \rangle$ should not develop a VEV such that \mathbf{Z}_{4R} is not broken further by $\langle H(\mathbf{10}_R(T_6)) \rangle$. With \mathbf{Z}_{4R} , the Yukawa couplings are required to carry the Q_R charge 2 modulo 4. With (52), the Higgs quintets carry $Q_R = 0$ modulo 4 and the Yukawa couplings $T\overline{F}\alpha, TT\beta$ and $\overline{F}B\beta$ are obtained. So, B is τ^+ .

A. One pair of Higgs quintets

The Higgs quintets are α and β . Twisted sector T_1^- of α and β gives multiplicity 3. Thus, three objects at these fixed points must have permutation symmetry S_3 , the largest discrete symmetry of three objects. From three permuting elements of S_3 , $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, the S_3 singlet is formed as

$$(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) / \sqrt{3}, \quad (53)$$

and the S_3 doublet becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} (x_1 + \omega x_2 + \omega^2 x_3) / \sqrt{3} \\ (x_1 + \omega^2 x_2 + \omega x_3) / \sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix} \quad (54)$$

where ω is the complex cube root of unity. The tensor product of two S_3 doublets, (x_1, x_2) and (y_1, y_2) , is [54],

$$\mathbf{2} \otimes \mathbf{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1}' \oplus \mathbf{2} \quad (55)$$

where

$$\mathbf{1} = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2, \quad \mathbf{1}' = x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1, \quad \mathbf{2} = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 y_2 - x_1 y_1 \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (56)$$

The Higgsino mass terms, constructed with the α and β must be an S_3 singlet $\mathbf{1}$, but not $\mathbf{1}'$. With this condition, we try to estimate the unmatched pairs of $\mathbf{5}_{hR}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{hR}$, *i.e.* the number of massless Higgsino pairs. Note that $SU(9)'$ confines at a high energy scale, and we consider the condensation $\overline{\mathbf{36}}' \cdot \mathbf{9}' \cdot \mathbf{9}'$. The Q_R charges of the hidden sector fields given by Eq. (52) are

$$Q_R : \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\mathbf{36}}'(T_9^0), & \mathbf{9}'(T_4^0), & \mathbf{9}'(T_1^-) \\ +18, & +19, & +7 \end{array} \quad (57)$$

The condensation we consider is the scalar component of $\overline{\mathbf{36}}'$ and the fermion components of $\mathbf{9}'$. Then, the Q_R charge of $C \propto \overline{\mathbf{36}}'_{\rho^0} \cdot \mathbf{9}'_{\rho^1} \cdot \mathbf{9}'_{\rho^1}$ are either 54 (both $\mathbf{9}'$ from T_4^0), 30 (both $\mathbf{9}'$ from T_1^-), or 42 (one $\mathbf{9}'$ from T_4^0 and the other from T_1^-), *i.e.* $Q_R = 2$ modulo 4. Thus, the coupling $\alpha_1 \beta_1 C$ is allowed and α_1 and β_1 are removed at the scale where $SU(9)'$ confines, $\langle C \rangle$. Note, however, that \mathbf{Z}_{4R} is broken to \mathbf{Z}_{2R} at the scale $\langle C \rangle$.

Next, let us consider doublets. Two doublets, one each from the α and β sets, *i.e.* α_2 and β_2 (the form given in Eq. (54)), combine to form $\mathbf{1}$: $\beta_2 \xi_2$, as noted in Eq. (55). As above, the coupling $\alpha_2 \beta_2 C$ is allowed and one of α_2 and one

of β_2 are removed at the scale where $SU(9)'$ confines, $\langle C \rangle$, and there remains only one pair of $\mathbf{5}_{hR}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{hR}$. This is the MSSM vector-like pair of $\mathbf{5}'_{hR}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{5}}'_{hR}$. Summarizing,

$$\mathbf{5}_{hR} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{5}}_{hR} : \text{one MSSM pair, two pairs at the scale } \langle C \rangle. \quad (58)$$

From two permuting elements x_2 and x_3 , the S_2 singlet is $(x_2 + x_3)/\sqrt{2}$, and the orthogonal component to that is $(x_2 - x_3)/\sqrt{2}$. Thus, the MSSM doublets are

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\mathbf{5}_{hR}(T_1^-)_2 - \mathbf{5}_{hR}(T_1^-)_3), \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{hR}(T_1^-)_2 - \overline{\mathbf{5}}_{hR}(T_1^-)_3). \quad (59)$$

B. The third family members

It is natural to choose the singlet combination $(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)/\sqrt{3}$ as the third family member, and the doublet as the light family members. The quark and lepton mass matrix can be constructed based on these bases.

V. CONCLUSION

We derived a \mathbf{Z}_{4R} parity in a family unification model with a GUT anti-SU(5) possessing three families and one pair of Higgs quintets. Spontaneous symmetry breaking of anti-SU(5) GUT is achieved by $\langle \mathbf{10}_{+1} \rangle \oplus \langle \overline{\mathbf{10}}_{-1} \rangle$. The \mathbf{Z}_{4R} parity together with the permutation symmetry S_3 are useful to select the third family members and one MSSM pair of the Higgs quintets.

Acknowledgments

I thank the APCTP for the hospitality extended to me during the visit. This work is supported in part by the National Research Foundation (NRF) grants NRF-2018R1A2A3074631.

Appendix A: Fixed points in \mathbf{Z}_{12-I}

In the k -th twisted sector of \mathbf{Z}_N orbifold, multiplicities \mathcal{P}_k is

$$\mathcal{P}_k = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \tilde{\chi}(k, l) e^{i2\pi l \Theta_k}, \quad (A1)$$

where $\tilde{\chi}(k, l)$ in the \mathbf{Z}_{12-I} orbifold are listed in Table XX. In the calculation of multiplicity the information on Θ is

	$l =$											
k	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
3	4	1	1	4	1	1	4	1	1	4	1	1
4	9	1	1	1	9	1	1	1	9	1	1	1
5	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
6	16	1	1	4	1	1	16	1	1	4	1	1

TABLE XX: $\tilde{\chi}(k, l)$ in the \mathbf{Z}_{12-I} orbifold [48].

the key. The right-hand side (RHS) mover is denoted by the spinor

$$s = (s_0; \tilde{s}) = (\ominus \text{ or } \oplus; \pm, \pm, \pm), \quad (A2)$$

where s_0 corresponds to L- or R- movers. In this paper, + or - in the spinor form as in Eqs. (27) and (A2), denote $+\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$, respectively. The phase Θ_k in the k^{th} twisted sector is

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_k &= \sum_j (N_L^j - N_R^j) \hat{\phi}^j - \frac{k}{2}(V_a^2 - \phi_s^2) + (P + kV_a) \cdot V_a - (\tilde{s} + k\phi_s) \cdot \phi_s + \text{integer}, \\ &= -\tilde{s} \cdot \phi_s + \Delta_k,\end{aligned}\tag{A3}$$

where Δ_k is

$$\Delta_k = (P + kV_a) \cdot V_a - \frac{k}{2}(V_a^2 - \phi_s^2) + \sum_j (N_L^j - N_R^j) \hat{\phi}^j\tag{A4}$$

$$\equiv \Delta_k^0 + \Delta_k^N.\tag{A5}$$

V_a is the shift vector V distinguished by Wilson lines a , $V_{0,+,-}$, and

$$\Delta_k^0 = P \cdot V_a + \frac{k}{2}(-V_a^2 + \phi_s^2),\tag{A6}$$

$$\Delta_k^N = \sum_j (N_L^j - N_R^j) \hat{\phi}^j,\tag{A7}$$

and $\hat{\phi}^j$ is in the range $0 < \hat{\phi}^j \leq 1 \text{ mod integer}$. The oscillator contributions in Δ_k^N is for $N_{L,R} \geq 0$. We satisfy the massless spectrum condition for gauge sectors by

$$(P + kV_a)^2 + 2 \sum_j N_L^j \hat{\phi}^j = 2\tilde{c}_k,\tag{A8}$$

where $2\tilde{c}_k$ of \mathbf{Z}_{12-I} orbifold is

$$2\tilde{c}_k : \frac{210}{144}(k=1), \frac{216}{144}(k=2), \frac{234}{144}(k=3), \frac{192}{144}(k=4), \frac{210}{144}(k=5), \frac{216}{144}(k=6),\tag{A9}$$

which will lead to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry in 4D.

In the twisted sectors with $3a_3 = 0 \text{ mod. integer}$, *i.e.* at $T_{3,6,9}$ and also U [38],³ the gauge invariance condition has to be taken into account explicitly,

$$(P + kV_0) \cdot a_3 = 0.\tag{A10}$$

We distinguish the twisted sector T_k by 0, + and -. The phase Δ_k^0 of Eq. (A7) contains an extra $\frac{k}{2}$ factor, but at T_6 the factor $\frac{k}{2}$ is an integer. At $T_{1,2,4,5}$, we distinguish three fixed points just by $V_{0,+,-}$.

Appendix B: Useful tables for model building

Here we present multiplicities in Table XXI and H -momenta in Table XXII [48].

i	Multiplicity				
	$\mathcal{P}_k(0)$	$\mathcal{P}_k(\pm\frac{\pi}{3})$	$\mathcal{P}_k(\pm\frac{2\pi}{3})$	$\mathcal{P}_k(\pm\frac{\pi}{2})$	$\mathcal{P}_k(\pi)$
1	3	0	0	0	0
2	3	0	0	0	0
3	2	0	1	0	0
4	3	0	0	2	2
5	3	0	0	0	0
6	4	2	3	0	2

TABLE XXI: Multiplicities in the k -th twisted sectors of \mathbf{Z}_{12-I} . $\mathcal{P}_k(\text{angle})$ is calculated with angle = $\frac{2\pi}{12} \cdot l$ in Eq. (A1).

³ T_9 contains the CTP conjugate states of T_3 .

Orbifold	Twisted Sector	$k \hat{\phi}$	p_{vec}	p_{orb}	$-k p_{\text{vec}}^{\text{th}} \cdot \phi_s$	δ_k
\mathbf{Z}_{12-I}	T_1	$(\frac{5}{12}, \frac{4}{12}, \frac{1}{12})$	$(-1, 0, 0)$	$(\frac{-7}{12}, \frac{+4}{12}, \frac{+1}{12})$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{12}$
	T_2	$(\frac{5}{6}, \frac{4}{6}, \frac{1}{6})$	$(-1, 0, 0)$	$(\frac{-1}{6}, \frac{+4}{6}, \frac{+1}{6})$	$\frac{10}{12}$	0
	T_3	$(\frac{5}{4}, \frac{4}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$	$(-1, -1, -1)$	$(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{0}{4}, \frac{-3}{4})$	$\frac{6}{12}$	$\frac{3}{12}$
	T_4	$(\frac{5}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$	$(-2, -1, 0)$	$(\frac{-1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$	$\frac{8}{12}$	0
	T_5	$(\frac{25}{12}, \frac{20}{12}, \frac{5}{12})$	$(-2, -2, -1)$	$(\frac{1}{12}, \frac{-4}{12}, \frac{-7}{12})$	\times	\times
	T_6	$(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{4}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	$(-2, -2, 0)$	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{0}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	0	0
	T_7	$(\frac{35}{12}, \frac{28}{12}, \frac{7}{12})$	$(-3, -1, 0)$	$(\frac{-1}{12}, \frac{4}{12}, \frac{7}{12})$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{12}$
	T_8	$(\frac{40}{12}, \frac{32}{12}, \frac{8}{12})$	$(-3, -3, -1)$	$(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{-1}{3}, \frac{-1}{3})$	$\frac{8}{12}$	0
	T_9	$(\frac{45}{12}, \frac{36}{12}, \frac{9}{12})$	$(-4, -3, -1)$	$(\frac{-3}{12}, \frac{0}{12}, \frac{-3}{12})$	$\frac{-3}{12}$	$\frac{3}{12}$

TABLE XXII: H momenta, p_{orb} , in the twisted sectors of \mathbf{Z}_{12-I} , Table 10.1 of [48]. Requiring $(p_{\text{vec}} + k\hat{\phi})^2 = (2\text{nd line in Eq. (A9)})$, we have p_{vec} in the 4th column. In the last column, δ_k is shown, from which we have the energy contribution from right movers $2\delta_k \geq 0$.

Appendix C: Multiplicities for each \hat{s}

The multiplicities are calculated for each \hat{s} . In Table XXIII, we present one example for a complete list of the RHS spin vectors \hat{s} , deriving $\mathbf{36}$ of $\text{SU}(9)'$ in T_9^0 .

Chirality	\hat{s}	$-\hat{s} \cdot \phi_s$	$-k p_{\text{vec}}^{\text{th}} \cdot \phi_s$	$k P_9 \cdot V_0$	$(k/2)\phi_s^2, -(k/2)V_0^2,$	$\Delta_9^N, -\delta_9^N$	$\Theta_9,$	Mult. of $\text{SU}(9)$
$\ominus = L$	$(- - -)$	$\frac{+5}{12}$	$\frac{-3}{12}, \frac{+6}{12}$	$\frac{189}{144}$	$\frac{+243}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}, \frac{-6}{12}$	$\frac{+2}{12} + \frac{-2}{12}$	2
$\ominus = L$	$(- + +)$	$\frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{-3}{12}, \frac{+6}{12}$	$\frac{189}{144}$	$\frac{+243}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}, \frac{-6}{12}$	$\frac{-5}{12}$	0
$\ominus = L$	$(+ - +)$	$\frac{-1}{12}$	$\frac{-3}{12}, \frac{+6}{12}$	$\frac{189}{144}$	$\frac{+243}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}, \frac{-6}{12}$	$\frac{-6}{12}$	0
$\ominus = L$	$(+ + -)$	$\frac{-4}{12}$	$\frac{-3}{12}, \frac{+6}{12}$	$\frac{189}{144}$	$\frac{+243}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}, \frac{-6}{12}$	$\frac{-9}{12}$	0
$\oplus = R$	$(+ + +)$	$\frac{-5}{12}$	$\frac{-3}{12}, \frac{+6}{12}$	$\frac{189}{144}$	$\frac{+243}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}, \frac{-6}{12}$	$\frac{-10}{12}$	0
$\oplus = L$	$(+ - -)$	$\frac{0}{12}$	$\frac{-3}{12}, \frac{+6}{12}$	$\frac{189}{144}$	$\frac{+243}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}, \frac{-6}{12}$	$\frac{-5}{12}$	0
$\oplus = L$	$(- + -)$	$\frac{+1}{12}$	$\frac{-3}{12}, \frac{+6}{12}$	$\frac{189}{144}$	$\frac{+243}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}, \frac{-6}{12}$	$\frac{-4}{12}$	1
$\oplus = L$	$(- - +)$	$\frac{+4}{12}$	$\frac{-3}{12}, \frac{+6}{12}$	$\frac{189}{144}$	$\frac{+243}{144}$	$\frac{0}{12}, \frac{-6}{12}$	$\frac{-1}{12}$	0

TABLE XXIII: The multiplicities for each \hat{s} calculated with the row $i = 3$ in Table XXI.

-
- [1] H. Georgi, H. R. Quinn, and S. Weinberg, *Hierarchy of interactions in unified gauge theories*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **33** (1974) 451 [doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.33.451].
 - [2] J. C. Pati and Abdus Salam, *Unified lepton-hadron symmetry and a gauge theory of the basic interactions*, Phys. Rev. D **8** (1973) 1240 [doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.8.1240].
 - [3] H. M. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, *Unity of all elementary particle forces*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **32** (1974) 438 [doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.32.438].
 - [4] C. Bouchiat, J. Ilipoulos, and P. Meyer, *An anomaly free version of Weinberg's model*, Phys. Lett. B **38** (1972) 519 [doi: 10.1016/0370-2693(72)90532-1].
 - [5] D. J. Gross and R. Jackiw, *Effect of anomalies on quasirenormalizable theories*, Phys. Rev. D **6** (1972) 477 [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.6.477].
 - [6] H. Georgi, *Towards a grand unified theory of flavor*, Nucl. Phys. B **156** (1979) 126 [doi: 10.1016/0550-3213(79)90497-8].
 - [7] J. E. Kim, *Model of flavor unity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45** (1980) 1916 [doi:10.1016/0370-2693(80)90140-9].
 - [8] J. E. Kim, *Flavor unity in $\text{SU}(7)$: Low mass magnetic monopole, doubly charged lepton, and $Q = 5/3, -4/3$ quarks*, Phys. Rev. D **23** (1981) 2706 [doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.23.2706].

- [9] P. H. Frampton, *Unification of Flavor*, Phys. Lett. B **89** (1980) 352 [doi:10.1016/0370-2693(80)90140-9].
- [10] P. H. Frampton, S. K. Kang, J. E. Kim, and S. Nam, $L_\mu - L_\tau$ effects to quarks and leptons from flavor unification, Phys. Rev. D **102** (2020) 013005 [e-print:2004.08234 [hep-ph]].
- [11] D. J. Gross, J. A. Harvey, E. J. Martinec, and R. Rohm, *The heterotic string*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54** (1985) 502 [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.54.502].
- [12] L. E. Ibanez, J. E. Kim, H. P. Nilles, and F. Quevedo, *Orbifold compactifications with three families of $SU(3)\times SU(2)\times U(1)^n$* , Phys. Lett. B **191** (1987) 292 [doi:10.1016/0370-2693(87)90255-3].
- [13] C. Casas and C. Munoz, *Three generation $SU(3)\times SU(2)\times U(1)_Y$ models from orbifolds*, Phys. Lett. B **214** (1988) 63 [doi:10.1016/0370-2693(88)90452-2].
- [14] S. Chaudhuri, G. Hockney, and J. D. Lykken, *Three generations in the fermionic construction*, Nucl. Phys. B **469** (1996) 357 [arXiv:hep-th/9510241].
- [15] W. Pokorski and G. G. Ross, *Flat directions, string compactification and three generation models*, Nucl. Phys. B **551** (1999) 515 [e-print:hep-ph/9809537].
- [16] G. B. Cleaver, A. E. Faraggi, and D. V. Nanopoulos, *String derived MSSM and M theory unification*, Phys. Lett. B **455** (1999) 135 [e-print:hep-ph/9811427].
- [17] G. B. Cleaver, A. E. Faraggi, and D. V. Nanopoulos, *A minimal superstring standard model I: Flat directions*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16** (2001) 425 [e-print:hep-ph/9904301].
- [18] G. B. Cleaver, A. E. Faraggi, D. V. Nanopoulos, and J. W. Walker, *Phenomenological study of a minimal superstring standard model*, Nucl. Phys. B **593** (2001) 471 [e-print:hep-ph/9910230].
- [19] T. Kobayashi, S. Raby, R.-J. Zhang, *Searching for realistic 4d string models with a Pati-Salam symmetry: Orbifold grand unified theories from heterotic string compactification on a Z_6 orbifold*, Nucl. Phys. B **704** (2005) 3, [e-print:hep-ph/0409098].
- [20] R. Donagi, B. A. Ovrut, T. Pantev, and D. Waldram, *Spectral involutions on rational elliptic surfaces*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **5** (2002) 93 [e-print:math/0008011].
- [21] R. Donagi, Y.-H. He, B. A. Ovrut, and T. Pantev, *A heterotic standard model*, Phys. Lett. B **618** (2005) 252 [e-print:hep-th/0501070].
- [22] R. Donagi, Y.-H. He, B. A. Ovrut, and R. Reinbacher, *he spectra of heterotic standard model vacua*, JHEP **06** (2005) 070 [e-print:hep-th/0411156].
- [23] V. Bouchard and R. Donagi, *An $SU(5)$ heterotic standard model*, Phys. Lett. B **633** (2006) 783 [e-print:hep-th/0512149].
- [24] V. Braun, Y.-H. He, B. A. Ovrut, and T. Pantev, *The exact MSSM spectrum from string theory*, JHEP **05** (2006) 043 [e-print:hep-th/0512177].
- [25] R. Blumenhagen, S. Moster, and T. Weigand, *Heterotic GUT and standard model vacua from simply connected Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Nucl. Phys. B **751** (2006) 186 [e-print: hep-th/0603015].
- [26] V. Bouchard, M. Cvetič, and R. Donagi, *Tri-linear couplings in an heterotic minimal supersymmetric standard model*, Nucl. Phys. B **745** (2006) 62 [e-print: hep-th/0602096].
- [27] R. Blumenhagen, S. Moster, R. Reinbacher, and T. Weigand, *Massless spectra of three generation $U(N)$ heterotic string vacua*, JHEP **0705** (2007) 041 [e-print:hep-th/0612039].
- [28] J. E. Kim, J.-H. Kim, and B. Kyae, *Superstring standard model from $Z(12-I)$ orbifold compactification with and without exotics, and effective R -parity*, JHEP **0706** (2007) 034 [e-print:hep-ph/0702278].
- [29] A. E. Faraggi, C. Kounas, and J. Rizos, *Chiral family classification of fermionic $Z_2 \times Z_2$ heterotic orbifold models*, Phys. Lett. B **648** (2007) 84 [e-print: hep-th/0606144].
- [30] G. B. Cleaver, *In search of the (minimal supersymmetric) standard model string*, e-print:hep-ph/0703027.
- [31] C. Munoz, *A kind of prediction from string phenomenology: Extra matter at low energy*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **22** (2007) 989 [e-print:0704.0987 [hep-ph]].
- [32] O. Lebedev, H. P. Nilles, S. Raby, S. Ramos-Sanchez, M. Ratz, P. K. S. Vaudrevange, and A. Wingerter, *The heterotic road to the MSSM with R parity*, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 046013 [e-print:0708.2691 [hep-th]].
- [33] S. G. Nibbelink, O. Loukas, and F. Ruehle, *(MS)SM-like models on smooth Calabi-Yau manifolds from all three heterotic string theories*, Progress of Phys. **63** (2015) 609–632 [e-print:1507.07559v3 [hep-th]].
- [34] O. Lebedev, H. P. Nilles, S. Ramos-Sanchez, M. Ratz, and P. K. S. Vaudrevange, *Heterotic mini-landscape (II): Completing the search for MSSM vacua in a $Z(6)$ orbifold*, Phys. Lett. B **668** (2008) 331 [e-print:0807.4384 [hep-th]].
- [35] H. P. Nilles, S. Ramos-Sanchez, P. K. S. Vaudrevange, A. Wingerter, *The Orbifolder: A Tool to study the Low Energy Effective Theory of Heterotic Orbifolds*, Comp. Phys. Comm. **183** (2012) 1363–1380 [e-print:1110.5229[hep-th]].
- [36] P. H. Ginsparg, *Gauge and Gravitational Couplings in Four-Dimensional String Theories*, Phys. Lett. B **197** (1987) 139 [doi:10.1016/0370-2693(87)90357-1].
- [37] I. Antoniadis, J. R. Ellis, J. S. Hagelin, and D. V. Nanopoulos, *The flipped $SU(5)\times U(1)$ string model revamped*, Phys. Lett. B **231** (1989) 65 [doi: 10.1016/0370-2693(89)90115-9].
- [38] J. E. Kim and B. Kyae, *Flipped $SU(5)$ from $Z(12-I)$ orbifold with Wilson line*, Nucl. Phys. B **770** (2007) 47 [e-print: hep-th/0608086].
- [39] J. P. Derendinger, J. E. Kim, and D. V. Nanopoulos, *Anti- $SU(5)$* , Phys. Lett. B **139** (1984) 170 [doi:10.1016/0370-2693(84)91238-3].
- [40] S. M. Barr, *A new symmetry breaking pattern for $SO(10)$ and proton decay*, Phys. Lett. B **112** (1982) 219 [doi: 10.1016/0370-2693(82)90966-2].
- [41] J. H. Huh, J. E. Kim, and B. Kyae, *$SU(5)_{\text{flipped}}\times SU(5)'$ from $Z(12-I)$* , Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 115012 [e-print: 0904.1108 [hep-ph]].

- [42] J. E. Kim and B. Kyae, *A model of dynamical SUSY breaking*, Phys. Lett. B **797** (2019) 134807 [e-print:1904.07371 [hep-th]].
- [43] J. E. Kim, *R-parity from string compactification*, Phys. Rev. D **99** (2019) 093004 [e-print:1810.10796 [hep-ph]].
- [44] J. E. Kim, *Grand unification models from $SO(32)$ heterotic string*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **35** (2020) 2050198 [e-print:2008.00367 [hep-th]].
- [45] L. J. Dixon, J. A. Harvey, C. Vafa, and E. Witten, *Strings on orbifolds*, Nucl. Phys. B **261** (1985) 678 [doi: 10.1016/0370-2693(87)90066-9].
- [46] L. J. Dixon, J. A. Harvey, C. Vafa, and E. Witten, *Strings on orbifolds (II)*, Nucl. Phys. B **274** (1986) 285 [doi:10.1016/0550-3213(86)90287-7].
- [47] L. E. Ibañez, H. P. Nilles, and F. Quevedo, *Orbifolds and Wilson lines*, Phys. Lett. B **187** (1987) 25 [doi: 10.1016/0370-2693(87)90066-9].
- [48] K.-S. Choi and J. E. Kim, *Quarks and Leptons from Orbifolded Superstring, 2nd Ed.*, Lecture Notes in Physics Vol. 954 (Springer-Verlag, 2020).
- [49] H. M. Lee, S. Raby, M. Ratz, G. G. Ross, R. Schieren, K. Schmidt-Hoberg, and P. K. S. Vaudrevange, *Discrete R symmetries for the MSSM and its singlet extensions*, Nucl. Phys. B **850** (2011) 1 [e-Print: 1102.3595 [hep-ph]].
- [50] J. E. Kim and H. P. Nilles, *The μ problem and the strong CP problem*, Phys. Lett. B **138** (1984) 150 [doi: 10.1016/0370-2693(84)91890-2].
- [51] J. A. Casas, E. K. Katehou, and C. Munoz, *$U(1)$ charges in orbifolds: anomaly cancellation and phenomenological consequences*, Nucl. Phys. B **317** (1988) 171 [doi: 10.1016/0550-3213(89)90566-X].
- [52] J. E. Kim, *The strong CP problem in orbifold compactifications and an $SU(3)\times SU(2)\times U(1)^n$ model*, Phys. Lett. B **207** (1988) 434 [doi: 10.1016/0370-2693(88)90678-8].
- [53] S. Weinberg, *Baryon and lepton nonconserving processes*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43** (1979) 1566 [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.43.1566].
- [54] H. Ishimori, T. Kobayashi, H. Ohki, H. Okada, Y. Shimizu, and M. Tanimoto, *Nonabelian discrete symmetries in particle physics*, Prog. Theor. Phys. Supplement **183** (2010) 1 [e-print: 1003.3552[hep-th]].