

Comment on the paper "Generalized version of chiral Schwinger model in terms of chiral bosonization", Eur.Phys.J.C 81 (2021) 3, 199.

Anisur Rahaman^{a,1}

¹Durgapur Government College, Durgapur-713214, Burdwan West Bengal, India

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract The results and calculations of the article [1] are found erroneous for a generic r and it remains irremediable in general. However for $r = 0$, $r = \pm 1$, and $r \gg 1$ it may be physically acceptable.

The author of the article [1], recently carried out an investigation to find out the theoretical spectra of a model which was resulted after imposition of a chiral constraint by Miao [2], in the phase-space of the generalized chiral Schwinger model (GCSM) [3–5]. The article [2] however was not cited in [1]. The innovative idea of imposing chiral constraint was put forward by Harada in his seminal work [6] where he imposed a chiral constraint in the Chiral Schwinger model (CSM) and expressed it in terms of chiral boson [7, 8]. The generating functional of the GCSM is given by

$$Z(A) = \int d\psi d\bar{\psi} e^{i \int d^2x \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu [i\partial_\mu + e\sqrt{\pi} A_\mu (1-r\gamma_5)] \psi}. \quad (1)$$

The bosonized version [2, 3, 5] corresponding to the fermionic Lagrangian density constituting Eqn. (1) reads

$$\mathcal{L}_B = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi + e A_\mu (\tilde{\partial}_\mu + r \partial_\mu) \phi + \frac{1}{2} a e^2 A_\mu A^\mu, \quad (2)$$

The GCSM contains both the vector and axial-vector interaction terms with the unequal weight. For both the choices $r = \pm 1$ the GCSM corresponds to CSM [9–13]. Fermion of left/ right chirality takes part in interaction with the gauge field for $r = +1/r = -1$ respectively. Here $\tilde{\partial}_\mu = \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu$ and $\epsilon^{01} = +1$ and ψ, ϕ , and A_μ respectively represent fermion, boson, and gauge field. The Lagrangian (2) after the imposition of the chiral constraint $\Omega = \pi_\phi - \phi' = 0$, along with the kinetic term of the electromagnetic background $\frac{1}{2}(A_1 - A_0')^2$ turns into

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{CB} = & \dot{\phi} \phi' - \phi'^2 + (1+r)(A_0 - A_1) \phi' + \frac{1}{2}(A_1 - A_0')^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{2} e^2 (A_1 - r A_0)^2 + \frac{a e^2}{2} (A_0^2 - A_1^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

^ae-mail: manisurn@gmail.com, anisur.rahman@saha.ac.in

The Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formulation of the model (3) is attempted in [1] to study the theoretical spectrum of the model. The author claimed that the model was exactly solvable for the generic r and theoretical spectrum contained *only a massive boson* with the square of the mass $m^2 = a e^2 \frac{r^2 + a - 1}{a - r^2}$ which showed a sharp disagreement with the result found in [6]. For instance setting $r = 1$ in [1] although a massive boson was found the massless chiral boson was found absent. This absence of massless chiral boson in [1] stood as a grave disparity so far counting of number of physical degrees of freedom is consented. Landing onto this erroneous result the author claimed that the fermion got confined [1]. How the article [1] is suffering from erroneous result and to what extent it is remediable that we would like to present through this note.

To determine the theoretical spectrum transparently through Hamiltonian analysis of the Lagrangian density (3) we compute the momenta corresponding to the field ϕ , A_0 , and A_1 :

$$\pi_\phi = \dot{\phi} - e A_1 + e r A_0, \quad \pi_\phi = \phi', \quad \pi_0 = 0, \quad \pi_1 = \dot{A}_1 - A_0'. \quad (4)$$

Note that $\Omega_1 = \pi_0 \approx 0$, is a primary constraint of the theory. The canonical Hamiltonian density is now obtained using Eqns. (4) by exploiting a Legendre transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_c = & \frac{1}{2} \pi_1^2 + \phi'^2 + \pi_1 A_0' + \frac{1}{2} e^2 (A_1 - r A_0)^2 \\ & - e(1+r)(A_1 - A_0) \phi' - \frac{a e^2}{2} (A_0^2 - A_1^2). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The preservation of Ω_1 results a secondary constraint

$$\Omega_2 = \pi_1' + e^2((a - r^2)A_0 + r A_1) + e(r + 1)\phi' \approx 0. \quad (6)$$

Ω_1 and Ω_2 are weak conditions [14] and form a second class set. From Eqn. (6) we have a solution for A_0 :

$$A_0 = -\frac{1}{e^2(\alpha - r^2)} (\pi_1' + e(r + 1)e\phi' + e^2 r A_1)', \quad (7)$$

and it leads us to evaluate the reduced Hamiltonian density by plugging in Eqn. (7) in the Eqn. (5)

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\pi_1^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2e^2(a-r^2)}(\pi_1' + e(r+1)e\phi' + e^2rA_1)^2 + \phi'^2 + \pi_1A_0' + e\phi' - e(1+r)\phi'A_1 + \frac{e^2(a+1)}{2}A_1^2. \quad (8)$$

However, Poisson brackets needs to be replaced by Dirac brackets [14] since the weak condition (6) is used as strong condition in 8. The non-vanishing Dirac bracelets for the fields describing the reduced Hamiltonian are:

$$[A_1(x), \pi_1(y)]^* = \delta(x-y), [\phi(x), \phi(y)]^* = -\frac{\epsilon(x-y)}{4}. \quad (9)$$

The Dirac brackets are found *non-canonical*. The reduced Hamiltonian density (8) along with the Dirac brackets leads to the following coupled equations of motions.

$$\dot{A}_1 = \pi_1 - \frac{r}{a-r^2}A_1' - \frac{1}{e^2(a-r^2)}\pi_1'' - \frac{1+r}{e(a-r^2)}\phi'', \quad (10)$$

$$\dot{\pi}_1 = ae^2\frac{r^2-a-1}{a-r^2}A_1 - \frac{r}{a-r^2}\pi_1', \quad (11)$$

$$\dot{\phi} = (1 + \frac{(r+1)^2}{2(a-r^2)})\phi' + e\frac{(r+1)(a+r-r^2)}{a-r^2}A_1 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1+r}{e(a-r^2)}\pi_1'. \quad (12)$$

The determination of theoretical spectra needs the decoupling of the above set of equations (10),(11) and (12). We observe that for the choice $r = \pm 1$, these equations leads to Lorentz invariant theoretical spectra that contains a massive boson with mass $m = \sqrt{\frac{a^2e^2}{a-1}}$ accompanied with a *massless chiral boson* having left/right chirality for $r = \mp 1$. It shows an exact agreement with the result reported in [6]. The massless chiral boson can be thought of in terms of a chiral fermion in the (1+1) dimension. Thus the presence of chiral fermion ensures that the fermion did not confine for $r = \pm 1$. We should mention that Lagrangian density for $r = -1$ can be obtained imposing the constraint $\tilde{\Omega}_{Ch} = \pi_\phi + \phi' = 0$ in the phase-space of the theory described by Eqn. (2) and in this situation the resulting lagrangian density reads

$$L_{CB} = \dot{\phi}\phi' - \phi'^2 + (1-r)(A_0 - A_1)\phi' + \frac{ae^2}{2}(A_0^2 - A_1^2) - \frac{1}{2}e^2(A_1 + rA_0)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(A_1 - A_0)^2. \quad (13)$$

Comparing Eqn. (10) with the Eqn. (36) of the article [1] absence of the term $-\frac{1+r}{e(a-r^2)}\phi''$ from Eqn. (36) has been found. In addition to that, equation of motion corresponding to ϕ , e.g. $[\phi, H_R]$ was not taken into consideration to come to the conclusion concerning the theoretical spectra. The model (3) is also found exactly solvable for $r = 0$ and $r \gg 1$. Note that the lagrangian (2) lands into the celebrated vector Schwinger model with coupling strength e , for

$r = 0$ while for $r \gg 1$ it can approximately be considered as Schwinger model described with axial vector interaction with coupling strength re . The models (2) however exhibit exact solvability for $r = 0$ and $r \gg 1$ with two specific regularization. The choice $a = 0$ and $a = r^2$ works for $r = 0$, and $r \gg 1$ respectively. In the second care, it can be thought that the coupling constant gets scaled by a factor r , and the vector interaction ceases to zero. For these two cases, if chiral constraint $\Omega_{Ch} = \pi_\phi - \phi' = 0$ is imposed in (2), the resulting model describes confinement of fermion of a definite chirality, and the theoretical spectrum contains only a massive boson with mass $2e$ and $2re$ for $r = 0$ and $r \gg 1$ respectively [15]. So for the set of values $r = 0$, $r = \pm 1$, and $r \gg 1$ although the model (2) is found exactly solvable, for a generic r after the imposition of chiral constraint the issue of exact solvability along with confinement aspect of fermion remained unsettled.

Let us now point out the inconsistencies in the Lagrangian formulation. Here the author introduced two ansatzes: Eqn. (15), and (16) for the fields ϕ and A_μ respectively. However, none of these two satisfy the Eqns. (12)/(17), (13), and (14). it is surprising tat Eqn. (16) is designed in an ambiguous manner to satisfy Eqn. (18) without paying heed to the Eqn. (12)/(17), (13), and (14) and ambiguously Eqn. (18) is identified as a massive boson!

Therefore, it transpires that from the beginning the author spoiled both Hamiltonian and Lagrangian formulation in [1]. We are afraid that it is irremediable for generic r . Albeit, it is known that the GCSM model (2) remains exactly solvable for a generic r , [2, 3, 5], but the question of solvability and physical sensibility of (3) stood open for the generic r . Our investigation reveals clearly that exact solvability are manifested only for $r = 0$, $r = \pm 1$, and $r \gg 1$, subject to the chiral constraint that fits with these permissible values of r which ensures physical sensibility and confinement of fermion takes place only for $r = 0$ and $r \gg 1$ (for very large r).

To summarize we reiterate that only the values $r = 0, \pm 1$, and $r \gg 1$ the model (2) may lead to physically sensible results after the imposition of chiral constraint suitable for the values of r that renders exact solvability. However confinement of fermion occurs only for $r = 0$ and $r \gg 1$. The set of values $r = \pm 1$ although renders exact solvability, fermion of a left chirality for $r = -1$ and a fermion of of right chirality for $r = +1$ remain unconfined. We would also like to add that the attempt to establish the physical Lorentz invariance in [1] for generic r through Poincare algebra is not trustworthy which could be understood from the work previous work [5]. However, for the set of values values $r = 0$, $r = \pm 1$, and $r \gg 1$, physical Lorentz invariance does maintained, although the Lagrangian densities does not have manifestly Lorentz covariant structure.

References

1. S. Yasmin: Eur. Phys. J. C (2021)
2. Y. Miao: Commun. Theor. Phys. 28 493 (1997)
3. A. Bassetto, L. Griguolo, P. Zanca: Phys. Rev. D 50, 1077 (1994)
4. C. Wotzasek, C.M. Naon: Z.Phys.C 46 (1990) 445-452
5. S. Yasmin, A. Rahaman: Int. J. Theor. Phys. 55, 5172 (2016)
6. K. Harada: Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 139 (1990)
7. W. Siegel: Nucl. Phys. B238, 307 (1984)
8. J. Sonnenschein, Nucl. Phys. B309 752 (1988)
9. R. Jackiw, R. Rajaraman: Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 1219 (1985)
10. R. Banerjee: Phys.Rev.Lett. 56 1889 (1986)
11. H.O.Girotti, H.J. Rothe, K.D. Rothe: Phys. Rev. D33 514 (1986)
12. H.O.Girotti, H.J.Rothe, K.D. Rothe: Phys. Rev. D34 592 (1986)
13. P. Mitra: Phys. Lett. B284 23 (1992)
14. P. A. M. Dirac: Lectures on Quantum Mechanics, Yeshiva University Press, New York 1964
15. A Rahaman: arXiv:2111.01596, (To appear in MPLA)