

Schur Q-Polynomials and Kontsevich-Witten Tau Function

Xiaobo Liu *, Chenglang Yang

Abstract

Using matrix model, Mironov and Morozov recently gave a formula which represents Kontsevich-Witten tau-function as a linear expansion of Schur Q-polynomials. In this paper, we will show directly that the Q-polynomial expansion in this formula satisfies the Virasoro constraints, and consequently obtain a proof of this formula without using matrix model. We also give a proof for Alexandrov's conjecture that Kontsevich-Witten tau-function is a hypergeometric tau-function of the BKP hierarchy after re-scaling.

1 Introduction

Kontsevich-Witten tau-function, denoted by τ_{KW} , is the generating function for intersection numbers of certain tautological classes on moduli spaces of stable curves (see section 2.1 for the precise definition). It is also the partition function in the two dimensional pure topological quantum gravity. It was conjectured by Witten and proved by Kontsevich that this function is a tau function of the KdV hierarchy (cf. [W90] and [K]). This result reveals deep connections between integrable systems and geometry of moduli spaces of stable curves. Since Witten's conjecture is equivalent to the Virasoro constraints for τ_{KW} , this result is also the prototype for the Virasoro conjecture for Gromov-Witten invariants of smooth projective varieties (cf. [EHX] and [CK]). Since KdV hierarchy is a reduction of KP hierarchy, it is rather natural to consider representing τ_{KW} in terms of ordinary Schur functions which provide polynomial solutions to KP hierarchy (cf. [IZ]). However it turns out that the coefficients of such representation are very complicated. In fact, those coefficients are given by determinants of very complicated matrices (cf. [Z] and [BY]). Using Kontsevich matrix model, Mironov and Morozov recently gave a surprisingly simple and beautiful formula in [MM], which represents τ_{KW} as a linear expansion of Schur's Q-polynomials. In this paper we will give a proof for this formula without using matrix model.

Q-polynomials, also known as Q-functions, were first introduced by Schur in 1911 in the study of projective representations of symmetric groups. Such functions provide

*Research was partially supported by NSFC grants 11890662 and 11890660.

polynomial solutions to BKP hierarchy (cf. [Y] and [KL]). In some sense, Q-polynomials correspond to square roots of certain ordinary Schur polynomials (see, for example, [O]). It is very surprising that it turns out to be much simpler to use Q-polynomials rather than ordinary Schur polynomials to expand Kontsevich-Witten tau-function.

Let $Q_\lambda(\mathbf{t})$ be the Q-polynomial associated with a partition λ where $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_3, \dots)$ are independent variables (see section 2.2 for the precise definition). This is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $|\lambda|$ which only depends on finitely many variables t_i for each fixed partition λ . Define

$$\tau_{MM}(\mathbf{t}) := \sum_{\lambda \in DP} \left(\frac{\hbar}{16} \right)^{|\lambda|/3} 2^{-l(\lambda)} \frac{Q_\lambda(\delta_{k,1}) Q_{2\lambda}(\delta_{k,3}/3)}{Q_{2\lambda}(\delta_{k,1})} Q_\lambda(\mathbf{t}), \quad (1)$$

where DP denotes the set of strict partitions and \hbar is a formal parameter. The constant $Q_\lambda(\delta_{k,1})$ is defined to be the value of $Q_\lambda(\mathbf{t})$ at the point $t_k = \delta_{k,1}$ for all k , and the constant $Q_\lambda(\delta_{k,3}/3)$ is defined similarly. There is a well known explicit formula for $Q_\lambda(\delta_{k,1})$ which is related to the hook length formula (see equation (23)). In this paper we will give an explicit closed formula for $Q_\lambda(\delta_{k,3}/3)$ in Theorem 3.1. Hence τ_{MM} is just a linear combination of polynomial functions $Q_\lambda(\mathbf{t})$. The coefficients of this linear combination are rather simple. They are explicitly given by Theorem 3.1 and equation (33) (see also Remark 3.2).

In this paper, we will give a proof for the Virasoro constraints of τ_{MM} only using properties of Q-polynomials. Define Virasoro operators

$$L_m := \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a+b=2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_a \partial t_b} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ k \text{ odd}}} k t_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k+2m}} - \frac{1}{2\hbar} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{2m+3}} + \frac{1}{4} t_1 t_1 \delta_{m,-1} + \frac{\delta_{m,0}}{16}, \quad (2)$$

for $m \geq -1$. These operators satisfy the bracket relation

$$[L_k, L_m] = (k - m) L_{k+m}. \quad (3)$$

So they form a half branch of the Virasoro algebra.

Theorem 1.1 *The function τ_{MM} satisfies the following Virasoro constraints:*

$$L_m \tau_{MM} = 0$$

for all $m \geq -1$.

Since τ_{KW} also satisfies the same Virasoro constraints which uniquely fix the tau function up to a scalar, an immediate consequence of the above theorem is the following

Corollary 1.2 *Mironov-Morozov's formula*

$$\tau_{KW}(\mathbf{t}) = \tau_{MM}(\mathbf{t}) \quad (4)$$

holds.

Equation (4) was given for Kontsevich matrix model in [MM]. This equation was called the Mironov-Morozov conjecture in [A20]. After the first version of this paper has been posted in arXiv:2103.14318, we noticed that a new Remark 3.1 had been added to the third version of [A20]. In that remark, it was pointed out (following a communication with Harnad) that a key step in the derivation of equation (4), i.e. equation (55) in [MM], follows from Proposition (K') in [DIZ] and Corolary 3 in [J]. Actually authors of [DIZ] did not realize that polynomials in their Proposition (K') are \mathbb{Q} -polynomials. This fact was proved in [J] using a forgotten formula for Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions in [Lit].

The advantage of our proof of equation (4) using Virasoro constraints is that it does not need matrix model. Note that Virasoro constraints exit in a much more general context. For example, the Virasoro conjecture predicts that the generating functions of Gromov-Witten invariants of all smooth projective varieties satisfy Virasoro constraints. This conjecture has been verified for many special cases (see, for example, [LT], [DZ], [L], [OP], [T]). Very few of such geometric models have matrix model correspondences. Therefore it is of independent interest to have a direct proof for the Virasoro constraints of \mathbb{Q} -polynomial expansions, since this method may be adapted to study similar properties for other geometric models. As an example, in a separate paper [LY] we will use the method and techniques developed in this paper to prove Alexandrov's conjectures posted in [A20] that the Brézin-Gross-Witten tau function and its generalizations have simple \mathbb{Q} -polynomial expansions.

The class of hypergeometric tau functions of BKP hierarchy was introduced by Orlov in [O]. These functions are related to generating functions of spin Hurwitz numbers (cf. [MMN]). In this paper, we will also give a proof for the following result which was conjectured by Alexandrov in [A20]:

Theorem 1.3 *The Kontsevich-Witten tau function after a re-scaling, i.e. $\tau_{KW}(\mathbf{t}/2)$, is a hypergeometric tau function of the BKP hierarchy.*

This result follows from equation (4) and Corollary 3.5, which states that $\tau_{MM}(\mathbf{t}/2)$ is a hypergeometric tau function of BKP hierarchy. Our proof of Corollary 3.5 uses Pfaffian representation of \mathbb{Q} -polynomials. After this work has been completed, we were informed that Corollary 3.5 also follows from the work in [MMNO] via a completely different approach using fermionic representation of \mathbb{Q} -polynomials.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review definitions and basic properties of Kontsevich-Witten tau function and Schur \mathbb{Q} -polynomials. In section 3, we prove Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.5, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. Some useful identities which will be needed in the proof of Theorem 1.1 will also be given in section 3. In section 4, we will prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Andrei Mironov and Alexei Morozov for their interests in this work and for bring to our attention several relevant references.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Kontsevich-Witten tau function

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ be the moduli space of stable genus g curves with n marked points. Each point in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is represented by a nodal curve C with n distinct smooth marked points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in C$, which has finite automorphism group. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, let \mathbb{L}_i be the line bundle over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ whose geometric fiber at a point $(C; x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is the cotangent space of C at the marked point x_i . Let ψ_i be the first Chern class of \mathbb{L}_i . Kontsevich-Witten tau function is the generating function for the intersection numbers

$$\langle \tau_{k_1} \tau_{k_2} \cdots \tau_{k_n} \rangle_g := \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \psi_1^{k_1} \psi_2^{k_2} \cdots \psi_n^{k_n},$$

where k_1, \dots, k_n are non-negative integers. More precisely, following notations in [A20], let

$$\mathcal{F}_{g,n}(\mathbf{t}) := \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 0} \langle \tau_{k_1} \tau_{k_2} \cdots \tau_{k_n} \rangle_g \prod_{i=1}^n (2k_i + 1)!! t_{2k_i+1},$$

where $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_3, \dots)$ are formal variables. Then Kontsevich-Witten tau function is defined to be

$$\tau_{KW}(\mathbf{t}) := \exp \left(\sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hbar^{2g-2+n} \mathcal{F}_{g,n}(\mathbf{t}) \right), \quad (5)$$

where \hbar is a formal parameter.

Note that since the complex dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is $3g - 3 + n$, $\mathcal{F}_{g,n}(\mathbf{t})$ must be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $3(2g - 2 + n)$ if we assign degree of t_k to be k for all k . This implies that in $\log \tau_{KW}(\mathbf{t})$, the coefficient of \hbar^m must be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $3m$. By the stability condition, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is non-empty only if $2g - 2 + n > 0$. Hence only positive integral powers of \hbar can appear in $\log \tau_{KW}(\mathbf{t})$. In particular, at $\mathbf{t} = 0$, $\tau_{KW} = 1$.

It was conjectured by Witten and proved by Kontsevich that τ_{KW} is a tau function of the KdV hierarchy (cf. [W90] and [K]). Together with the string equation, this property determines the function τ_{KW} . Let L_m be the Virasoro operators defined by equation (2). Witten's conjecture is equivalent to saying that τ_{KW} satisfies the following equations:

$$L_m \tau_{KW} = 0, \quad (6)$$

for all $m \geq -1$ (cf. [DVV], [FKN], and [KS]). These equations are known as the *Virasoro constraints* for the Kontsevich-Witten tau-function. In particular, the L_{-1} -constraint, i.e. the equation $L_{-1} \tau_{KW} = 0$, is the string equation. By the Virasoro bracket relation (3), L_{-1} and L_2 generate all operators L_k for $k \geq -1$. These two operators are given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{-1} &= \frac{1}{4} t_1 t_1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ k \text{ odd}}} k t_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k-2}} - \frac{1}{2\hbar} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, \\ L_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ k \text{ odd}}} k t_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k+4}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1 \partial t_3} - \frac{1}{2\hbar} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_7}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

To prove a function satisfying the Virasoro constraints, we only need to show that it satisfies the L_{-1} and L_2 constraints.

There is also a matrix model, known as Kontsevich model, corresponding to the above geometric description of Kontsevich-Witten tau-function (c.f. [K]). The partition function of this matrix model has been shown to be a tau function of the KdV hierarchy and obeys the Virasoro constraints (c.f. [KMMMZ], [W91], and [MMM]).

In [D] and [VV], it was shown that recursion relations derived from Virasoro constraints can reduce calculations of all intersection numbers $\langle \tau_{k_1} \tau_{k_2} \cdots \tau_{k_n} \rangle_g$ to $\langle \tau_0^3 \rangle_0$ and $\langle \tau_1 \rangle_1$. In fact, $\langle \tau_0^3 \rangle_0$ and $\langle \tau_1 \rangle_1$ can also be computed from L_{-1} and L_0 constraints respectively. Together with the dimension constraint, this implies that the Virasoro constraints uniquely determine τ_{KW} up to a constant. This constant is in fact fixed by the stability condition. Based on Virasoro constraints, Alexandrov gave an explicit representation of τ_{KW} using actions of the cut-and-joint operator, which is a combination of the slightly modified Virasoro operators with linear coefficients (cf. [A11]). Therefore to prove Corollary 1.2, we only need to show Theorem 1.1, which will be done in section 4.

2.2 Schur Q-polynomials

Since we have to frequently deal with partitions whose parts might change in the process of calculations, it will be convenient not to require partitions to have positive non-increasing parts. In this paper, a *partition* of length l has the form $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ with all parts λ_i non-negative integers. We set $l(\lambda) := l$. A partition is *positive* if all parts are positive. A partition is *weakly positive* if it has at most one part equal to 0. A partition λ is *strict* if $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \cdots > \lambda_l > 0$. In particular, a strict partition is positive. The set of all strict partitions is denoted by DP . For convenience, we consider the *empty partition* \emptyset , i.e. the partition which has no parts, as a strict partition.

We will follow Macdonald's book [Mac] for the definition of the Schur Q-polynomial Q_λ associated to any partition λ . This is different from the notion used in [MM] and [A20] where the Q-polynomial associated with λ is equal to $2^{-l(\lambda)/2} Q_\lambda$. We consider Q_λ as a polynomial of variables $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_3, t_5, \dots)$.

More precisely, let $\{q_r(\mathbf{t}) \mid r = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ be the sequence of polynomials whose generating function is given by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k(\mathbf{t}) z^k = \exp \left(2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_{2k+1} z^{2k+1} \right), \quad (8)$$

where z is a formal parameter. If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2m})$ is a weakly positive partition of even length, the associated *Schur Q-polynomial* is defined by the Pfaffian:

$$Q_\lambda(\mathbf{t}) := \text{Pf}(M_{(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2m}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$M_{(r,s)} := q_r(\mathbf{t}) q_s(\mathbf{t}) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^s (-1)^i q_{r+i}(\mathbf{t}) q_{s-i}(\mathbf{t}) \quad (10)$$

for any pair of non-negative integers $(r, s) \neq (0, 0)$ and $M_{(0,0)} := 0$. It turns out that the matrix $(M_{(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2m}$ is skew symmetric and the Pfaffian of this matrix is well defined. For a positive partition λ with odd length, Q_λ is defined to be equal to $Q_{(\lambda, 0)}$. Here we have used the notation $(\lambda, a_1, \dots, a_k)$ for the partition $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l, a_1, \dots, a_k)$ if $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ and a_1, \dots, a_k are non-negative integers.

For convenience, we extend the definition of Q_λ to all partitions λ by the following rules:

- If for some $i < l(\lambda)$, a partition $\tilde{\lambda}$ is obtained from λ by switching λ_i and λ_{i+1} which are not both equal to 0, then $Q_{\tilde{\lambda}} = -Q_\lambda$.
- $Q_{(\lambda, 0)} = Q_\lambda$ for all λ .
- $Q_\emptyset = 1$.

By properties of Pfaffian, Q_λ is skew symmetric with respect to the permutations of λ if λ is weakly positive. In particular $Q_\lambda = 0$ if λ has two equal positive parts. However Q_λ does not change sign when switching two parts of λ which are both 0.

It is well-known that $Q_\lambda(\mathbf{t})$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $|\lambda| := \sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \lambda_i$, where the degree of t_k is assigned to be k . Moreover $\{Q_\lambda(\mathbf{t}) \mid \lambda \in DP\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_3, \dots]$. There is a standard inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on the space $\mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_3, \dots]$ such that

$$\langle Q_\lambda, Q_\mu \rangle = 2^{l(\lambda)} \delta_{\lambda, \mu}, \text{ if } \lambda, \mu \in DP. \quad (11)$$

For any operator f on $\mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_3, \dots]$, the adjoint operator f^\perp is defined by

$$\langle f^\perp p_1(\mathbf{t}), p_2(\mathbf{t}) \rangle = \langle p_1(\mathbf{t}), f p_2(\mathbf{t}) \rangle$$

for all $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_3, \dots]$. In particular, for any positive odd integer r , the adjoint of the operator defined by multiplication by t_r is given by

$$t_r^\perp = \frac{1}{2r} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}. \quad (12)$$

The action of this operator on Q-polynomials can be computed in the following way (cf. [Mac], p266): For any strict partition λ and positive odd integer r , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_r} Q_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} Q_{\lambda - r\epsilon_i}, \quad (13)$$

where $\lambda - r\epsilon_i := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_i - r, \dots, \lambda_l)$. In case $\lambda_i < r$, $Q_{\lambda - r\epsilon_i}$ should be understood in the following way:

Definition 2.1 Assume $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ with exactly one $\lambda_i < 0$ and all $\lambda_j \geq 0$ for $j \neq i$. If there exists $j > i$ such that $\lambda_j = -\lambda_i$ and $\lambda_k \neq -\lambda_i$ for all $k > i$ and $k \neq j$, then define

$$Q_\lambda := (-1)^{j-i-1+\lambda_j} 2 Q_{\lambda\{i,j\}}.$$

Otherwise we define $Q_\lambda := 0$.

In the above formula, we have used the following notation

$$\lambda^{\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}} := (\lambda_1, \dots, \widehat{\lambda}_{i_1}, \dots, \widehat{\lambda}_{i_n}, \dots, \lambda_l) \quad (14)$$

for any integers $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq l$.

Lemma 2.2 *For any strict partition λ and positive odd integer r , we have*

$$rt_r Q_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} Q_{\lambda+r\epsilon_i} + \frac{1}{2} Q_{(\lambda,r)} + \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \frac{(-1)^{r-k}}{4} Q_{(\lambda,k,r-k)}. \quad (15)$$

Proof: Since $\{Q_\lambda(\mathbf{t}) \mid \lambda \in DP\}$ is an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_3, \dots]$,

$$rt_r Q_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \in DP} 2^{-l(\mu)} \langle rt_r Q_\lambda, Q_\mu \rangle Q_\mu. \quad (16)$$

By skew symmetry of Q-polynomial, we can replace μ by any permutation of μ in the above formula.

By equations (12) and (13), we have

$$\langle rt_r Q_\lambda, Q_\mu \rangle = \langle Q_\lambda, \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_r} Q_\mu \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{l(\lambda)} \langle Q_\lambda, Q_{\mu-r\epsilon_j} \rangle. \quad (17)$$

By orthogonality of Q-polynomials, $\langle Q_\lambda, Q_{\mu-r\epsilon_j} \rangle$ is non-zero only in the following three cases:

Case (1): μ is a permutation of $\lambda + r\epsilon_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq l(\lambda)$. In this case, we can replace μ by $\lambda + r\epsilon_i$ in equation (16) and use equation (17) to compute $\langle rt_r Q_\lambda, Q_{\lambda+r\epsilon_i} \rangle$.

Note that $\langle Q_\lambda, Q_{\lambda+r\epsilon_i-r\epsilon_j} \rangle \neq 0$ only if $\lambda + r\epsilon_i - r\epsilon_j$ is a permutation of λ . This implies $i = j$ since otherwise $\lambda_i + r = \lambda_k$ for some $k \neq i$, which is not possible since $\lambda + r\epsilon_i$ is a permutation of a strict partition. Hence by equation (17),

$$\langle rt_r Q_\lambda, Q_{\lambda+r\epsilon_i} \rangle = \langle Q_\lambda, Q_\lambda \rangle = 2^{l(\lambda)}. \quad (18)$$

Case (2): μ is a permutation of $(\lambda, k, r-k)$ for some integer k with $0 < k < r/2$. In this case, we can replace μ by $(\lambda, k, r-k)$. Since $(\lambda, k, r-k)$ is a permutation of a strict partition, both k and $r-k$ can not be parts of λ . This implies $\langle Q_\lambda, Q_{(\lambda-r\epsilon_j, k, r-k)} \rangle = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq l(\lambda)$. Since $Q_{(\lambda, k, -k)} = 0$ by definition, by equation (17),

$$\langle rt_r Q_\lambda, Q_{(\lambda, k, r-k)} \rangle = \langle Q_\lambda, Q_{(\lambda, k, -r-k)} \rangle = (-1)^{r-k} 2^{l(\lambda)+1}. \quad (19)$$

Case (3): μ is a permutation of (λ, r) . In this case, we can replace μ by (λ, r) . Since (λ, r) is a permutation of a strict partition, r can not be a part of λ . Since λ is a strict partition, 0 is not a part of λ . Hence $\langle Q_\lambda, Q_{(\lambda-r\epsilon_j, r)} \rangle = 0$ for all $j \leq l(\lambda)$. By equation (17),

$$\langle rt_r Q_\lambda, Q_{(\lambda, r)} \rangle = \langle Q_\lambda, Q_{(\lambda, 0)} \rangle = 2^{l(\lambda)}. \quad (20)$$

The lemma then follows from a combination of equations (16), (18), (19), (20), and the fact $(-1)^{r-k}Q_{(\lambda,k,r-k)} = (-1)^kQ_{(\lambda,r-k,k)}$ for $0 < k < r$ since r is odd. \square

Note that if λ is a strict partition, the partitions appeared on the right hand sides of equations (13) and (15) may not be strict any more. In fact, they are even not necessarily partitions since negative parts might occur. In order to repeatedly using the above formula, it is convenient to have the following

Corollary 2.3 *The derivative formula (13) and the multiplication formula (15) hold for all partitions λ which are not necessarily strict.*

This result might be known to experts. However we could not find a satisfactory reference for it. So we will give a proof of this corollary in Appendix A.

In [ASY], Aokage, Shinkawa, and Yamada introduced a different set of Virasoro operators $\{L'_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ and computed their actions on Q-polynomials. In this paper we will only use the actions of the first two operators which can be written as

$$L'_1 := \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ k \text{ odd}}} kt_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k+2}} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1 \partial t_1}, \quad L'_2 := \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ k \text{ odd}}} kt_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k+4}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1 \partial t_3}. \quad (21)$$

The coefficients of these operators are slightly different from the formulas given in [ASY]. The reason for this is that the definition of Q-polynomials in [ASY] is slightly different from the definition we are using in this paper. If we denote Q-polynomials in [ASY] by Q_λ^{ASY} , then

$$Q_\lambda(\mathbf{t}) = (-1)^{\lceil l(\lambda)/2 \rceil} Q_\lambda^{\text{ASY}}(2\mathbf{t}).$$

So the variables t_k in [ASY] is $2t_k$ in this paper.

The following formulas were obtained in [ASY] Theorem 2: For strict partitions λ ,

$$L'_1 Q_\lambda(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} (\lambda_i - 1) Q_{\lambda - 2\epsilon_i}(\mathbf{t}), \quad L'_2 Q_\lambda(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} (\lambda_i - 2) Q_{\lambda - 4\epsilon_i}(\mathbf{t}). \quad (22)$$

Since both sides of these equations are linear in Q-polynomials, the difference of signs for the definitions of Q-polynomials does not affect these formulas as long as length of partitions appeared in both sides of these equations do not change. In fact, the length of partition could change only if $\lambda_i - 2$ or $\lambda_i - 4$ becomes negative for some i . Since λ is positive, $\lambda_i - 2 < 0$ only if $\lambda_i = 1$, so the corresponding coefficient in the formula for $L'_1 Q_\lambda$ becomes 0. However, if $\lambda_i = 3$ and $\lambda_j = 1$ for some i and j , $Q_{\lambda - 4\epsilon_i}$ or $Q_{\lambda - 4\epsilon_j}$ will be replaced by Q_μ for some partition μ with $l(\mu) = l(\lambda) - 2$. This will cause a change of sign when comparing Q-polynomials in this paper and that in [ASY]. However, the definitions for strict partitions are also different in these two papers. Strict partitions in this paper have decreasing parts, while strict partitions in [ASY] have increasing parts. The order of the parts will determine whether $Q_{\lambda - 4\epsilon_i}$ or $Q_{\lambda - 4\epsilon_j}$ should contribute after removing negative parts, and their coefficients in $L'_2 Q_\lambda$ happens to be either 1 or -1 . This will compensate the problem about the change of sign when lengths of partitions drop by 2. In a summary, equations (22) can be used in our setting without modifications.

3 Properties of $Q_\lambda(\delta_{k,3}/3)$

The definition of τ_{MM} as given by equation (1) depends on two special values of Q-polynomials: $Q_\lambda(\delta_{k,1})$ and $Q_\lambda(\delta_{k,3}/3)$. It is well-known that

$$Q_\lambda(\delta_{k,1}) = \frac{2^{|\lambda|}}{\lambda!} \prod_{i < j} \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}, \quad (23)$$

where $\lambda! := \prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \lambda_i!$. This formula can be interpreted as an analogue of the hook length formula (see, for example, equation (3.3) in [A20]). In this section, we will give an explicit formula for $Q_\lambda(\delta_{k,3}/3)$ and study some basic properties of these constants.

For any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$, we define

$$A_\lambda := Q_\lambda(\delta_{k,3}/3). \quad (24)$$

For convenience, we may also allow λ to have one negative part. In this case, A_λ should be interpreted as in Definition 2.1. It follows from properties of Q_λ that A_λ is skew symmetric with respect to permutations of λ if λ is weakly positive. Moreover $A_{(\lambda,0)} = A_\lambda$ for all λ . We also have $A_\lambda = 0$ if all parts of λ are non-negative and λ has two positive parts which are equal.

When dealing with A_λ , we often write λ in the following standard form

$$\lambda = (3k_1, \dots, 3k_p, 3m_1 + 1, \dots, 3m_q + 1, 3n_1 + 2, \dots, 3n_r + 2), \quad (25)$$

where k_i, m_i, n_i are non-negative integers. For such λ , we also define

$$\lambda_{[1]} := (3k_1, \dots, 3k_p), \quad \lambda_{[2]} := (3m_1 + 1, \dots, 3m_q + 1, 3n_1 + 2, \dots, 3n_r + 2). \quad (26)$$

Theorem 3.1 *For weakly positive λ given by equation (25),*

$$A_\lambda = \frac{\delta_{q,r} (-1)^{r(r-1)/2} 2^r (2/3)^{|\lambda|/3}}{\prod_{i=1}^p k_i! \prod_{i=1}^q m_i! \prod_{i=1}^r n_i!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} \frac{k_i - k_j}{k_i + k_j} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (m_i - m_j)(n_i - n_j)}{\prod_{i,j=1}^r (m_i + n_j + 1)},$$

where $\delta_{q,r} = 1$ if $q = r$ and $\delta_{q,r} = 0$ if $q \neq r$. In particular,

$$A_\lambda = 0 \text{ if } q \neq r. \quad (27)$$

Proof: By equations (8) and (9), the generating function for $A_{(k)}$ is given by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{(k)} z^k = \exp\left(\frac{2}{3} z^3\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^k \frac{z^{3k}}{k!},$$

where z is a formal parameter. Hence we have

$$A_{(3k)} = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^k \frac{1}{k!} \quad (28)$$

and $A_{(k)} = 0$ if $k/3$ is not an integer. By equations (9) and (10),

$$A_{(m,n)} = A_{(m)}A_{(n)} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i A_{(m+i)}A_{(n-i)}.$$

It follows that $A_{(m,n)} = 0$ if $(m+n)/3$ is not an integer. By using the elementary combinatorial identity

$$\sum_{i=a}^b (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} = (-1)^a \binom{n-1}{a-1} + (-1)^b \binom{n-1}{b}, \quad (29)$$

we obtain

$$A_{(3k_1, 3k_2)} = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{k_1+k_2} \frac{1}{k_1!k_2!} \frac{k_1 - k_2}{k_1 + k_2} \quad (30)$$

for $(k_1, k_2) \neq (0, 0)$, and

$$A_{(3m+1, 3n+2)} = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{m+n+1} \frac{2}{m!n!(m+n+1)}. \quad (31)$$

For any weakly positive partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ with l even, by equation (9),

$$A_\lambda = \text{Pf}(A_{(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq l}.$$

In this formula, $A_{(0,0)}$ should be replaced by 0 if $\lambda_i = 0$ for some i . It follows that A_λ is alternating with respect to permutations of parts of λ and

$$A_\lambda = 0 \text{ if } |\lambda|/3 \text{ is not an integer.} \quad (32)$$

For λ given by equation (25), the matrix $(A_{(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq l}$ has the following form

$$\begin{pmatrix} B & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C \\ 0 & -C^T & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$B = (A_{(3k_i, 3k_j)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p}, \quad C = (A_{(3m_i+1, 3n_j+2)})_{1 \leq i \leq q, 1 \leq j \leq r}.$$

If $q \neq r$, the matrix $(A_{(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq l}$ is singular and $A_\lambda = 0$. If $q = r$,

$$A_\lambda = \text{Pf}(B) \cdot \text{Pf} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ -C^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \text{Pf}(B) \cdot (-1)^{r(r-1)/2} \det(C).$$

By equation (30),

$$\text{Pf}(B) = \frac{(2/3)^{\sum_{i=1}^p k_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^p k_i!} \text{Pf} \left(\frac{k_i - k_j}{k_i + k_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq p} = \frac{(2/3)^{\sum_{i=1}^p k_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^p k_i!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} \frac{k_i - k_j}{k_i + k_j},$$

where the last equality is Schur's Pfaffian identity (c.f. [O, Proposition 2.2]).

By equation (31),

$$\begin{aligned} \det(C) &= \frac{2^r (2/3)^{\sum_{i=1}^r (m_i + n_i + 1)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r m_i! n_i!} \det \left(\frac{1}{m_i + n_j + 1} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \\ &= \frac{2^r (2/3)^{\sum_{i=1}^r (m_i + n_i + 1)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r m_i! n_i!} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (m_i - m_j)(n_i - n_j)}{\prod_{i, j=1}^r (m_i + n_j + 1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is Cauchy's identity (c.f. [HJ, p38]). The theorem then follows by combining the above three equations. \square

Remark 3.2 *By skew symmetry of Q_λ , we can replace each strict partition λ in the definition of τ_{MM} by any permutation of λ . In particular, we can take λ to be a partition with positive distinct parts and has the standard form (25). So Theorem 3.1, together with equation (23) (or even simpler equation (33)), give a complete explicit description of coefficients in τ_{MM} .*

Corollary 3.3 *For λ given by equation (25),*

$$A_\lambda = A_{\lambda_{[1]}} A_{\lambda_{[2]}}.$$

Corollary 3.4 *For λ given by equation (25),*

$$A_{2\lambda} = A_\lambda \cdot \frac{(-1)^r (1/3)^{|\lambda|/3}}{\prod_{i=1}^p (2k_i - 1)!! \prod_{i=1}^q (2m_i - 1)!! \prod_{i=1}^r (2n_i + 1)!!}.$$

Remark: In [MMNO], a more general formula for the ratio $Q_\lambda/Q_{N\lambda}$ at special points $t_k = \frac{r}{2}\delta_{k,r}$ and a factorization formula were obtained using fermionic representation of Q-polynomials (c.f. equations (7.6) and (7.9) in [MMNO]). Our method of using Pfaffian to prove Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.4 is different from that used in [MMNO]. This method could be adapted to obtain more general results, which we omit here since they are not needed in proving Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 3.5 *$\tau_{MM}(\mathbf{t}/2)$ is a hypergeometric tau function of the BKP hierarchy.*

Proof: Since

$$\frac{Q_\lambda(\delta_{k,1})}{Q_{2\lambda}(\delta_{k,1})} = \prod_{j=1}^{l(\lambda)} (2\lambda_j - 1)!! \quad (33)$$

(c.f. [A20] Equation (4.16)), we can use Corollary 3.4 to represent τ_{MM} in the following form:

$$\tau_{MM}(\mathbf{t}/2) = \sum_{\lambda \in DP} \theta_\lambda 2^{-l(\lambda)} Q_\lambda(\mathbf{t}/2) Q_\lambda(\mathbf{t}^*/2), \quad (34)$$

where $\mathbf{t}^* = (t_1^*, t_3^*, t_5^*, \dots)$ with $t_k^* = 2\delta_{k,3}/3$ for all k , and for λ given by a permutation of the partition in equation (25),

$$\theta_\lambda = \left(\frac{\hbar}{48}\right)^{|\lambda|/3} (-1)^r \prod_{i=1}^p \frac{(6k_i - 1)!!}{(2k_i - 1)!!} \prod_{i=1}^q \frac{(6m_i + 1)!!}{(2m_i - 1)!!} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{(6n_i + 3)!!}{(2n_i + 1)!!}.$$

For any non-negative integer k , define

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{(3k)} &:= \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\hbar}{16} (6j - 1)(6j - 5), \\ \eta_{(3k+1)} &:= c_1(\hbar) \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\hbar}{16} (6j + 1)(6j - 1), \\ \eta_{(3k+2)} &:= c_2(\hbar) \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\hbar}{16} (6j + 1)(6j - 1) \end{aligned}$$

with any constants $c_1(\hbar)$ and $c_2(\hbar)$ such that

$$c_1(\hbar)c_2(\hbar) = -\frac{\hbar}{16}.$$

For example, we can take $c_1(\hbar) = 1$ and $c_2(\hbar) = -\frac{\hbar}{16}$, or $c_1(\hbar) = \left(-\frac{\hbar}{16}\right)^{1/3}$ and $c_2(\hbar) = \left(-\frac{\hbar}{16}\right)^{2/3}$. Equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{(3k)} &= \left(\frac{\hbar}{48}\right)^k \frac{(6k - 1)!!}{(2k - 1)!!}, \\ \eta_{(3k+1)} &= c_1(\hbar) \left(\frac{\hbar}{48}\right)^k \frac{(6k + 1)!!}{(2k - 1)!!}, \\ \eta_{(3k+2)} &= c_2(\hbar) \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\hbar}{48}\right)^k \frac{(6k + 3)!!}{(2k + 1)!!}. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\eta_\lambda := \prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \eta_{(\lambda_i)}.$$

Note that the only contributions to the right hand side of equation (34) are from λ which are permutations of partitions in the form of equation (25) with $q = r$. For such λ we have

$$\theta_\lambda = \eta_\lambda.$$

So equation (34) implies that $\tau_{MM}(\mathbf{t}/2)$ is a hypergeometric tau function as defined in [Or] (see also [A20]). Note that if we take $c_1(\hbar) = \frac{\beta\hbar^{1/3}}{2}$ and $c_2(\hbar) = -\frac{\hbar^{2/3}}{8\beta}$, then η_λ is equal to r_λ^{KW} used by Alexandrov in formulating his Conjecture 3 in [A20]. \square

A_λ satisfies two recursion relations. The first recursion is

$$\frac{|\lambda|}{2}A_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} A_{\lambda-3\epsilon_i}, \quad (35)$$

where $A_{\lambda-3\epsilon_i}$ should be understood in the similar way as in Definition 2.1 in case $\lambda_i-3 < 0$. This formula can be proved using equation (13). Since we will not use this formula in this paper, we omit the proof.

The second recursion is

$$A_\lambda = \sum_{i=2}^l (-1)^i A_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_i)} A_{\lambda\{1, i\}} \quad (36)$$

for any weakly positive partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ with l even. This formula follows from similar properties for Pfaffian (cf. Equation (2.4) in [O], see also Theorem 9.14 in [HH]). By skew symmetry of A , for any fixed j we can use λ_j to replace the role of λ_1 in formula (36). The corresponding formula for weakly positive partition with even length is

$$A_\lambda = (-1)^{j-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1, \\ i \neq j}}^l (-1)^{\tilde{i}(j)} A_{(\lambda_j, \lambda_i)} A_{\lambda\{j, i\}}, \quad (37)$$

where

$$\tilde{i}(j) = \begin{cases} i-1, & \text{if } i < j, \\ i, & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

We call the right hand side of equation (37) the expansion of A_λ with respect to the j -th part λ_j .

If λ is a positive partition with odd length, we should replace λ by $(\lambda, 0)$ in equation (37). In particular, we have

$$A_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^l (-1)^{i+1} A_{(\lambda_i)} A_{\lambda\{i\}} \quad (39)$$

for positive partition with odd length. This formula is obtained by expanding $A_{(\lambda, 0)}$ using equation (37) with $j = l+1$.

In comparison to equation (39), we have:

Lemma 3.6 *If λ is a positive partition with even length,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^l (-1)^{i+1} A_{(\lambda_i)} A_{\lambda\{i\}} = 0.$$

Proof: Since $\lambda^{\{i\}}$ is a positive partition of odd length, we can use equation (39) to expand $A_{\lambda\{i\}}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, l$. Then the left hand side of the above equation becomes

$$\sum_{i=1}^l (-1)^{i+1} A_{(\lambda_i)} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^l (-1)^{\tilde{j}(i)} A_{(\lambda_j)} A_{\lambda\{i, j\}} = \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^l (-1)^{i+\tilde{j}(i)+1} A_{(\lambda_i)} A_{(\lambda_j)} A_{\lambda\{i, j\}} = 0,$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that each summand is skew symmetric with respect to i and j . \square

Occasionally we also need to use recursion for A_λ where λ is a partition with two parts equal to 0. Hence we need the following

Lemma 3.7 *Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$ be a weakly positive partition of odd length. Then equation (37) holds for $\lambda = (\mu, 0)$ with $j = 1, \dots, l$. It also holds for λ with $j = l + 1$ up to a sign.*

Proof: Since A_λ is skew symmetric with respect to permutations of the first l parts of λ , to prove equation (37) holds for $\lambda = (\mu, 0)$ with $j = 1, \dots, l$, we only need to prove it holds for $j = 1$, i.e. equation (36) holds.

If μ is positive, then λ is weakly positive. So equation (36) holds automatically for λ . Therefore we only need to consider the case where μ has exactly one part equal to 0.

If $\mu_1 = 0$, the left hand side of equation (36) is equal to $A_{\mu^{\{1\}}}$ since l is odd. The right hand side of equation (36) is

$$\sum_{i=2}^l (-1)^i A_{(0, \mu_i)} A_{(\mu^{\{1, i\}}, 0)} + A_{(0, 0)} A_{\mu^{\{1\}}} = \sum_{i=2}^l (-1)^{i+1} A_{(\mu_i)} A_{\mu^{\{1, i\}}} + A_{\mu^{\{1\}}} = A_{\mu^{\{1\}}},$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 3.6 applied to the positive partition $\mu^{\{1\}}$. Hence equation (36) holds for this case.

Similar, the right hand side of equation (37) with $j = l + 1$ is $-A_{\mu^{\{1\}}}$. Hence it only holds up to a sign.

If $\mu_i = 0$ for some $2 \leq i \leq l$ and all other parts of μ are positive, then $\mu^{\{i\}}$ is a positive partition with even length. By equation (36),

$$A_{\mu^{\{i\}}} = \sum_{\substack{k=2 \\ k \neq i}}^l (-1)^{\tilde{k}^{(i)}+1} A_{(\mu_1, \mu_k)} A_{\mu^{\{1, k, i\}}}. \quad (40)$$

On the other hand, plugging $\lambda = (\mu, 0)$ into the right hand side of equation (36), we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{k=2 \\ k \neq i}}^l (-1)^k A_{(\mu_1, \mu_k)} A_{(\mu^{\{1, k\}}, 0)} + (-1)^i A_{(\mu_1, 0)} A_{(\mu^{\{1, i\}}, 0)} + (-1)^{l+1} A_{(\mu_1, 0)} A_{\mu^{\{1\}}}. \quad (41)$$

The last two terms in this expression cancel each other since

$$A_{\mu^{\{1\}}} = (-1)^{l-i} A_{(\mu^{\{1, i\}}, 0)}.$$

Moreover,

$$A_{(\mu^{\{1, k\}}, 0)} = (-1)^{l-\tilde{i}^{(k)}} A_{\mu^{\{1, k, i\}}}.$$

So expression (41) is equal to $(-1)^{l-i}$ times the right hand side of equation (40). Since $A_\lambda = (-1)^{l-i} A_{\mu^{\{i\}}}$, equation (36) holds for λ . The lemma is thus proved. \square

Using recursion formula (37), we can prove the following very useful formula:

Lemma 3.8 For weakly positive partition λ given by equation (25),

$$\sum_{i=1}^r A_{\lambda - \epsilon_{i+p+q}} = 0.$$

Proof: If $r \neq q + 2$, then $A_{\lambda - \epsilon_{i+p+q}} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. So the lemma is trivial in this case.

Assume $r = q + 2$. We prove this lemma by induction on p and q .

When $p = q = 0$, the lemma has the form

$$A_{(3n_1+1, 3n_2+2)} + A_{(3n_1+2, 3n_2+1)} = 0 \quad (42)$$

for all non-negative integers n_1 and n_2 , which follows from equation (31) and skew symmetry of A .

Let

$$R(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^r A_{\lambda - \epsilon_{i+p+q}}.$$

By Corollary 3.3,

$$R(\lambda) = A_{\lambda_{[1]}} R(\lambda_{[2]}).$$

So we only need to consider the case $p = 0$. In this case, $l := p + q + r$ is even.

If $q > 0$, we use equation (37) with $j = l$ to expand all $A_{\lambda - \epsilon_{i+p+q}}$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R(\lambda) &= \sum_{i=1}^q (-1)^{p+i+1+l} A_{(3m_i+1, 3n_r+2)} R(\lambda^{\{p+i, l\}}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (-1)^{p+q+i+1+l} A_{\lambda^{\{p+q+i, l\}}} \cdot \{A_{(3n_i+1, 3n_r+2)} + A_{(3n_i+2, 3n_r+1)}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Note in this calculation, we have used the fact

$$A_{(\lambda_j, 3n_r+2)} = 0$$

unless $\lambda_j = 3a + 1$ for some integer a . The second term in the right hand side of equation (43) is 0 due to equation (42). Since the number of parts in $\lambda^{\{p+i, l\}}$ which are equal to $1 \pmod{3}$ is equal to $q - 1$, by induction on q , we only need to prove $R(\lambda) = 0$ for $q = 0$. Hence the lemma follows from equation (42). \square

Setting $t_k = \delta_{k,3}/3$ for all k and $r = 1$ or $r = 3$ in equation (15), we obtain the following useful formulas:

$$A_{(\lambda, 1)} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} A_{\lambda + \epsilon_i} \quad (44)$$

and

$$A_{(\lambda, 2, 1)} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} A_{\lambda + 3\epsilon_i} + A_{(\lambda, 3)} - 2A_{\lambda} \quad (45)$$

for all λ . As a corollary of equation (44), we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.9 For λ given by equation (25),

$$\sum_{i=1}^q A_{\lambda+\epsilon_{i+p}} = 0.$$

Proof: By equation (27), if $q \neq r + 2$, then $A_{\lambda+\epsilon_{j+p}} = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq q$. So we can assume $q = r + 2$. By equation (44),

$$-\frac{1}{2} A_{(\lambda,1)} = \sum_{j=1}^p A_{\lambda+\epsilon_j} + \sum_{i=1}^q A_{\lambda+\epsilon_{i+p}} + \sum_{k=1}^r A_{\lambda+\epsilon_{k+p+q}}.$$

Since $q = r + 2$, except terms in the middle summation on the right hand side, all other terms in above equation are zero by equation (27). This proves the lemma. \square

4 Virasoro constraints for τ_{MM}

In this section, we will prove τ_{MM} satisfies the *Virasoro constraints*

$$L_k \tau_{MM} = 0$$

for all $k \geq -1$, where L_k is given by equation (2). This is equivalent to show

$$\langle L_k \tau_{MM}, Q_\mu \rangle = 0$$

for all strict partitions μ . Note that coefficients of Q_λ in τ_{MM} are skew symmetric with respect to permutations of λ . The following formula will be useful in proving Virasoro constraints for τ_{MM} .

Lemma 4.1 Let $f(\lambda)$ be any function which only depends on partitions λ and not on \mathbf{t} . If $f(\lambda)$ is skew symmetric with respect to permutations of two parts of λ which are not both 0 and $f((\lambda, 0)) = f(\lambda)$ for all partitions λ , then for any partition μ ,

$$\left\langle \sum_{\lambda \in DP} 2^{-l(\lambda)} f(\lambda) Q_\lambda, Q_\mu \right\rangle = f(\mu). \quad (46)$$

Proof: Note that $f(\lambda)Q_\lambda$ is symmetric with respect to permutations of all parts of λ . So in $\sum_{\lambda \in DP} 2^{-l(\lambda)} f(\lambda)Q_\lambda$ we can replace each λ by any permutation of λ . In particular, if μ is a permutation of some strict partition $\tilde{\mu}$, then only $f(\tilde{\mu})Q_{\tilde{\mu}} = f(\mu)Q_\mu$ term can contribute to the left hand side of equation (46) by orthogonality of the Q-polynomials. Hence we have

$$\left\langle \sum_{\lambda \in DP} 2^{-l(\lambda)} f(\lambda)Q_\lambda, Q_\mu \right\rangle = \langle 2^{-l(\mu)} f(\mu)Q_\mu, Q_\mu \rangle = f(\mu).$$

If μ has two equal positive parts, then $Q_\mu = 0$ and $f(\mu) = 0$ by skew symmetry of these two functions with respect to permutation of μ . Hence both sides of equation (46) are zero. Therefore the lemma holds for all positive partitions μ .

We then prove the lemma by induction on the number of zero parts of μ . Since both sides of equation (46) are skew symmetric with respect to permutation of a zero part and a positive part, if μ has a zero part, we permute μ to a partition of the form $(\mu', 0)$. Since $Q_{(\mu', 0)} = Q_{\mu'}$ and $f((\mu', 0)) = f(\mu')$, equation (46) for μ is reduced to that of μ' , which holds by induction hypothesis. The lemma is thus proved. \square

4.1 Action of Virasoro operators

Lemma 4.1 indicates that computing $\langle \tau_{MM}, (L_k)^\perp Q_\mu \rangle$ could be simpler than computing $\langle L_k \tau_{MM}, Q_\mu \rangle$ although these two quantities are equal. Therefore instead of computing $L_k \tau_{MM}$, we will compute $(L_k)^\perp Q_\mu$ for all strict partitions μ . Since L_{-1} and L_2 generate all L_k for $k \geq -1$, we only need to compute $(L_k)^\perp Q_\mu$ for $k = -1, 2$.

First, we observe that operators L_{-1} and L_2 given by equation (7) and operators L'_1 and L'_2 given by equation (21) have the following relation:

Lemma 4.2

$$(L_{-1})^\perp = \frac{1}{2}L'_1 - \frac{1}{16} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1 \partial t_1} - \frac{t_1}{\hbar}, \quad (47)$$

$$(L_2)^\perp = \frac{1}{2}(L'_2)^\perp + 3t_1 t_3 - \frac{7t_7}{\hbar}. \quad (48)$$

Proof: Since

$$L_2 = \frac{1}{2}L'_2 + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1 \partial t_3} - \frac{1}{2\hbar} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_7},$$

This lemma follows from equation (12). \square

Therefore, to compute $(L_{-1})^\perp \cdot Q_\lambda$ and $(L_2)^\perp \cdot Q_\lambda$, we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.3 For any strict partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$,

$$(L'_2)^\perp \cdot Q_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} (\lambda_i + 2) Q_{\lambda + 4\epsilon_i} + Q_{(\lambda, 4)} - \frac{1}{2} Q_{(\lambda, 3, 1)}.$$

Proof: By orthogonality of Q-polynomials,

$$(L'_2)^\perp \cdot Q_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \in DP} 2^{-l(\mu)} \langle (L'_2)^\perp \cdot Q_\lambda, Q_\mu \rangle Q_\mu. \quad (49)$$

By skew symmetry of Q-polynomials, $\langle (L'_2)^\perp \cdot Q_\lambda, Q_\mu \rangle Q_\mu$ is symmetric with respect to permutations of μ . Hence we can replace each μ in the above equation by any permutation of μ . After permutation, μ may not be strict, but it must have distinct parts.

By equation (22), for $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{l(\mu)})$,

$$\langle (L'_2)^\perp \cdot Q_\lambda, Q_\mu \rangle = \langle Q_\lambda, L'_2 \cdot Q_\mu \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{l(\mu)} (\mu_j - 2) \langle Q_\lambda, Q_{\mu - 4\epsilon_j} \rangle. \quad (50)$$

For this inner product to be non-zero, μ must have one of the following three forms.

Case (1), μ is a permutation of $\lambda + 4\epsilon_i$ for some i between 1 and $l(\lambda)$. We may assume $\mu = \lambda + 4\epsilon_i$. By equation (50),

$$\langle (L'_2)^\perp \cdot Q_\lambda, Q_\mu \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{l(\lambda)} (\lambda_j + 4\delta_{j,i} - 2) \langle Q_\lambda, Q_{\lambda + 4\epsilon_i - 4\epsilon_j} \rangle.$$

For $\langle Q_\lambda, Q_{\lambda + 4\epsilon_i - 4\epsilon_j} \rangle \neq 0$, $\lambda + 4\epsilon_i - 4\epsilon_j$ must be a permutation of λ . If $j \neq i$, this implies $\lambda_i + 4 = \lambda_j$ which is not possible since $\mu = \lambda + 4\epsilon_i$ must have distinct parts. Therefore we must have $j = i$ and

$$\langle (L'_2)^\perp \cdot Q_\lambda, Q_\mu \rangle = (\lambda_i + 2) \langle Q_\lambda, Q_\lambda \rangle = 2^{l(\lambda)} (\lambda_i + 2) = 2^{l(\mu)} (\lambda_i + 2) \quad (51)$$

for $\mu = \lambda + 4\epsilon_i$.

Case (2), μ is a permutation of $(\lambda, 4)$. We may assume $\mu = (\lambda, 4)$. Since μ must have distinct parts, λ can not have parts equal to 4. By equation (50),

$$\langle (L'_2)^\perp \cdot Q_\lambda, Q_\mu \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{l(\lambda)} (\lambda_j - 2) \langle Q_\lambda, Q_{(\lambda - 4\epsilon_j, 4)} \rangle + (4 - 2) \langle Q_\lambda, Q_{(\lambda, 0)} \rangle.$$

Since λ does not have parts equal to 4, $\langle Q_\lambda, Q_{(\lambda - 4\epsilon_j, 4)} \rangle = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq l(\lambda)$. Moreover $Q_{(\lambda, 0)} = Q_\lambda$. So we have

$$\langle (L'_2)^\perp \cdot Q_\lambda, Q_\mu \rangle = 2 \langle Q_\lambda, Q_\lambda \rangle = 2^{l(\lambda)+1} = 2^{l(\mu)} \quad (52)$$

for $\mu = (\lambda, 4)$.

Case (3), μ is a permutation of $(\lambda, 3, 1)$. We may assume $\mu = (\lambda, 3, 1)$. Since μ must have distinct parts, λ can not have parts equal to 3 or 1. By equation (50),

$$\langle (L'_2)^\perp \cdot Q_\lambda, Q_\mu \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{l(\lambda)} (\lambda_j - 2) \langle Q_\lambda, Q_{(\lambda - 4\epsilon_j, 3, 1)} \rangle + (3 - 2) \langle Q_\lambda, Q_{(\lambda, -1, 1)} \rangle.$$

Since λ does not have parts equal to 3 and 1, $\langle Q_\lambda, Q_{(\lambda - 4\epsilon_j, 3, 1)} \rangle = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq l(\lambda)$. Moreover $Q_{(\lambda, -1, 1)} = -2Q_\lambda$. So we have

$$\langle (L'_2)^\perp \cdot Q_\lambda, Q_\mu \rangle = -2 \langle Q_\lambda, Q_\lambda \rangle = -2^{l(\lambda)+1} = -2^{l(\mu)-1} \quad (53)$$

for $\mu = (\lambda, 3, 1)$.

Combining equations (49), (51), (52), (53), we obtain the desired formula. The lemma is thus proved. \square

Lemma 4.4 *For any positive partition λ , we have*

$$\frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1 \partial t_1} Q_\lambda = \sum_{i,j=1}^{l(\lambda)} Q_{\lambda - \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j}, \quad (54)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 3t_1 t_3 Q_\lambda &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{l(\lambda)} Q_{\lambda + \epsilon_i + 3\epsilon_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} Q_{(\lambda + 3\epsilon_i, 1)} + \frac{1}{2} Q_{(\lambda, 4)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} Q_{(\lambda + \epsilon_i, 3)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} Q_{(\lambda + \epsilon_i, 2, 1)} - \frac{1}{4} Q_{(\lambda, 3, 1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Proof: Given a positive partition λ , by equation (13) and Corollary 2.3,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} Q_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} Q_{\lambda - \epsilon_i},$$

where each $\lambda - \epsilon_i$ on the right hand side is still a partition, i.e. all parts are non-negative. By Corollary 2.3, we can apply equation (13) again to take derivative with respect to t_1 on both sides of the above equation and obtain equation (54).

By Corollary 2.3, we can repeatedly using equations (15) to multiply Q_λ by t_1 and t_3 and obtain equation (55). This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Combining results from Lemmas 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, and applying equations (22) and (15), we obtain the following formulas:

Proposition 4.5 *For any strict partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (L_{-1})^\perp \cdot Q_\lambda &= -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1, \\ i \neq j}}^{l(\lambda)} Q_{\lambda - \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \frac{2\lambda_i - 3}{4} Q_{\lambda - 2\epsilon_i} \\ &- \frac{1}{\hbar} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} Q_{\lambda + \epsilon_i} + \frac{1}{2} Q_{(\lambda, 1)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (L_2)^\perp \cdot Q_\lambda &= \sum_{\substack{i,j=1, \\ i \neq j}}^{l(\lambda)} Q_{\lambda + 3\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \frac{\lambda_i + 4}{2} Q_{\lambda + 4\epsilon_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} Q_{(\lambda + 3\epsilon_i, 1)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} Q_{(\lambda + \epsilon_i, 3)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} Q_{(\lambda + \epsilon_i, 2, 1)} + Q_{(\lambda, 4)} - \frac{1}{2} Q_{(\lambda, 3, 1)} \\ &- \frac{1}{\hbar} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} Q_{\lambda + 7\epsilon_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^3 (-1)^r Q_{(\lambda, 7-r, r)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Remark 4.6 *It is straightforward to check that both sides of equations (56) and (57) do not change value if λ is replaced by $(\lambda, 0)$. Hence these equations also hold for $\lambda = (\mu, 0)$ where μ is a strict partition.*

Now we are ready to compute $\langle L_k \tau_{MM}, Q_\mu \rangle$ for $k = -1, 2$. They are essentially given by the following functions of μ :

$$\Phi(\mu) := \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{l(\mu)} \frac{A_{2\mu-2\epsilon_i-2\epsilon_j}}{(2\mu_i-1)(2\mu_j-1)} - \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \frac{A_{2\mu-4\epsilon_i}}{(2\mu_i-1)} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} (2\mu_i+1) A_{2\mu+2\epsilon_i} + \frac{1}{8} A_{(2\mu,2)}, \quad (58)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\mu) := & \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{l(\mu)} (2\mu_j+1)(2\mu_i+5)_{[[3]]} A_{2\mu+6\epsilon_i+2\epsilon_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} (\mu_i+4)(2\mu_i+7)_{[[4]]} A_{2\mu+8\epsilon_i} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} (2\mu_i+5)_{[[3]]} A_{(2\mu+6\epsilon_i,2)} + \frac{15}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} (2\mu_i+1) A_{(2\mu+2\epsilon_i,6)} \\ & - \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} (2\mu_i+1) A_{(2\mu+2\epsilon_i,4,2)} + 105 A_{(2\mu,8)} - \frac{15}{2} A_{(2\mu,6,2)} \\ & - \frac{1}{16} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} (2\mu_i+13)_{[[7]]} A_{2\mu+14\epsilon_i} + \sum_{r=0}^3 \frac{(-1)^r (2r-1)!! (13-2r)!!}{2} A_{2(\mu,7-r,r)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

where

$$x_{[[k]]} := x(x-2) \cdots (x-2k+2)$$

is the double falling factorial, and we set $(-1)!! = 1$ for convenience.

Theorem 4.7 *For all strict partitions μ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_{-1} \tau_{MM}, Q_\mu \rangle &= -\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\hbar}{16} \right)^{(|\mu|-2)/3} \frac{Q_\mu(\delta_{k,1})}{Q_{2\mu}(\delta_{k,1})} \Phi(\mu), \\ \langle L_2 \tau_{MM}, Q_\mu \rangle &= \left(\frac{\hbar}{16} \right)^{(|\mu|+4)/3} \frac{Q_\mu(\delta_{k,1})}{Q_{2\mu}(\delta_{k,1})} \Psi(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: For any partition λ , let

$$a_\lambda := \left(\frac{\hbar}{16} \right)^{|\lambda|/3} \frac{Q_\lambda(\delta_{k,1})}{Q_{2\lambda}(\delta_{k,1})} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{MM}(\lambda) := a_\lambda A_{2\lambda}.$$

Then $f_{MM}(\lambda)$ satisfies conditions for $f(\lambda)$ in Lemma 4.1 and

$$\tau_{MM} = \sum_{\lambda \in DP} 2^{-l(\lambda)} f_{MM}(\lambda) Q_\lambda.$$

Since for $k = -1, 2$,

$$\langle L_k \tau_{MM}, Q_\mu \rangle = \langle \tau_{MM}, (L_k)^\perp Q_\mu \rangle.$$

By Proposition 4.5 and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_{-1} \tau_{MM}, Q_\mu \rangle &= -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1, \\ i \neq j}}^{l(\mu)} a_{\mu-\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j} A_{2(\mu-\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j)} + \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \frac{2\mu_i-3}{4} a_{\mu-2\epsilon_i} A_{2(\mu-2\epsilon_i)} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} a_{\mu+\epsilon_i} A_{2(\mu+\epsilon_i)} - \frac{1}{2\hbar} a_{(\mu,1)} A_{2(\mu,1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_2 \tau_{MM}, Q_\mu \rangle &= \sum_{\substack{i,j=1, \\ i \neq j}}^{l(\mu)} a_{\mu+3\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j} A_{2(\mu+3\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j)} + \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \frac{\mu_i+4}{2} a_{\mu+4\epsilon_i} A_{2(\mu+4\epsilon_i)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} a_{(\mu+3\epsilon_i,1)} A_{2(\mu+3\epsilon_i,1)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} a_{(\mu+\epsilon_i,3)} A_{2(\mu+\epsilon_i,3)} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} a_{(\mu+\epsilon_i,2,1)} A_{2(\mu+\epsilon_i,2,1)} + a_{(\mu,4)} A_{2(\mu,4)} - \frac{1}{2} a_{(\mu,3,1)} A_{2(\mu,3,1)} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} a_{\mu+7\epsilon_i} A_{2(\mu+7\epsilon_i)} - \sum_{r=0}^3 \frac{(-1)^r}{2\hbar} a_{(\mu,7-r,r)} A_{2(\mu,7-r,r)}. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Note that since μ is a strict partition, $\mu - \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$ with $i \neq j$ are still partitions, so there is no problem to use Lemma 4.1 to compute $\langle \tau_{MM}, Q_{\mu-\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j} \rangle$. However $\mu - 2\epsilon_i$ might have a part equal to -1 if $\mu_i = 1$ for some i . In this case we can not apply Lemma 4.1 to compute $\langle \tau_{MM}, Q_{\mu-2\epsilon_i} \rangle$ directly. Since μ is a strict partition, it has at most one part equal to 1, Hence $\mu - 2\epsilon_i$ can not have a pair of parts equal to -1 and 1 respectively. So the formula

$$\langle \tau_{MM}, Q_{\mu-2\epsilon_i} \rangle = a_{\mu-2\epsilon_i} A_{2(\mu-2\epsilon_i)}$$

still holds since both sides of this equation are 0.

By equation (33),

$$a_\mu = \left(\frac{\hbar}{16} \right)^{|\mu|/3} \prod_{j=1}^{l(\mu)} (2\mu_j - 1)!!$$

for all partition μ . The theorem is obtained by factorizing out $-\frac{a_\mu}{4} \left(\frac{\hbar}{16} \right)^{-2/3}$ from right hand side of equation (60) and $a_\mu \left(\frac{\hbar}{16} \right)^{4/3}$ from right hand side of equation (61). \square

By Theorem 4.7, to prove Virasoro constraints for τ_{MM} , we only need to show $\Phi(\mu) = 0$ and $\Psi(\mu) = 0$ for all strict partitions μ . These equations will be proved in Theorem 4.8 and Theorem 4.12 respectively.

4.2 L_{-1} constraint

In this subsection, we will prove the following

Theorem 4.8 *Let $\Phi(\mu)$ be the function of μ defined by equation (58). Then $\Phi(\mu) = 0$ for all strict partitions μ . Therefore τ_{MM} satisfies the L_{-1} -constraint.*

Proof: Note that if μ is a strict partition, then for all A_λ appeared in the right hand side of equation (58), λ can not have two components which add up to 0. Therefore $\Phi(\mu)$ is skew symmetric with respect to permutations of μ . So to prove $\Phi(\mu) = 0$ for a strict partition μ , it is equivalent to prove $\Phi(\nu) = 0$ where ν is a permutation of μ .

Without loss of generality, we may assume μ has the standard form

$$\mu = (3k_1, \dots, 3k_p, 3m_1 + 1, \dots, 3m_q + 1, 3n_1 + 2, \dots, 3n_r + 2), \quad (62)$$

where k_i, m_i, n_i are non-negative integers. Note that all k_i must be positive if μ is a permutation of a strict partition, and at most one k_i can be 0 if μ is weakly positive.

In the rest part of this proof, we will assume μ is a permutation of a strict partition.

We can use Corollary 3.4 to represent $A_{2\lambda}$ in terms of A_λ for all partitions λ appeared in $\Phi(\mu)$. Then after divided by constant

$$\frac{(-1)^r (1/3)^{(|\mu|-2)/3}}{\prod_{i=1}^p (2k_i - 1)!! \prod_{i=1}^q (2m_i - 1)!! \prod_{i=1}^r (2n_i + 1)!!},$$

equation $\Phi(\mu) = 0$ becomes

$$\delta_{r,q+4} \cdot \frac{1}{9} \Phi_1(\mu) + \delta_{r,q-2} \cdot \Phi_2(\mu) + \delta_{r,q+1} \cdot \Phi_3(\mu) = 0,$$

where $\Phi_i(\mu)$ are defined by

$$\Phi_1(\mu) := \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^r A_{\mu - \epsilon_{i+p+q} - \epsilon_{j+p+q}}, \quad (63)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_2(\mu) &:= \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^p \frac{A_{\mu - \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j}}{(6k_i - 1)(6k_j - 1)} + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^q \frac{A_{\mu - \epsilon_{i+p} - \epsilon_{j+p}}}{(6m_i + 1)(6m_j + 1)} \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{A_{\mu - \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{j+p}}}{(6k_i - 1)(6m_j + 1)} + \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{A_{\mu - 2\epsilon_{i+p}}}{6m_i + 1} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^q A_{\mu + \epsilon_{i+p}}, \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_3(\mu) &:= \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{A_{\mu - \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{j+p+q}}}{6k_i - 1} - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i=1}^q \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{A_{\mu - \epsilon_{i+p} - \epsilon_{j+p+q}}}{6m_i + 1} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{2k_i - 1}{6k_i - 1} A_{\mu - 2\epsilon_i} + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^r A_{\mu - 2\epsilon_{i+p+q}} + \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^p (6k_i + 1) A_{\mu + \epsilon_i} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^r (6n_i + 5) A_{\mu + \epsilon_{i+p+q}} + \frac{1}{24} A_{(\mu,1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Applying Lemma 3.8 for $\lambda = \mu - \epsilon_{j+p+q}$ and then summing over all $j = 1, \dots, r$, we have

$$\Phi_1(\mu) = 0$$

for all μ .

We will prove $\Phi_2(\mu) = 0$ and $\Phi_3(\mu) = 0$ in Propositions 4.10 and 4.11 respectively. This will complete the proof of Theorem 4.8. \square

To prove $\Phi_2(\mu) = 0$, we need the following

Lemma 4.9 *For λ given by equation (25), define*

$$\Phi'_2(\lambda) := \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{(-1)^i (2/3)^{m_i}}{m_i! (6m_i + 1)} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^q \frac{A_{(\lambda - \epsilon_{p+j})\{p+i\}}}{6m_j + 1} - \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{A_{(\lambda - \epsilon_j)\{p+i\}}}{6k_j - 1} \right\}.$$

We have

$$\Phi'_2(\lambda) = 0$$

for all λ which is a permutation of a strict partition.

Proof: If $q \neq r + 2$, then every term in $\Phi'_2(\lambda)$ is equal to 0 by equation (27). So we may assume $q = r + 2$. We prove this lemma by induction on p and r .

If $p = r = 0$, then $q = 2$ and

$$\Phi'_2(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(6m_1 + 1)(6m_2 + 1)} \cdot \left\{ -\frac{(2/3)^{m_1} A_{(3m_2)}}{m_1!} + \frac{(2/3)^{m_2} A_{(3m_1)}}{m_2!} \right\} = 0, \quad (66)$$

where the last equality follows from equation (28).

If p is a positive odd integer, then partitions appeared in the right hand side of the definition of $\Phi'_2(\lambda)$ are weakly positive of even length. We can apply equation (36) to each term in $\Phi'_2(\lambda)$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'_2(\lambda) &= \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{(-1)^i (2/3)^{m_i}}{m_i! (6m_i + 1)} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^q (-1)^{p+\tilde{j}(i)+1} A_{\lambda\{1, p+i, p+j\}} \left\{ \frac{A_{(3k_1, 3m_j)}}{6m_j + 1} - \frac{A_{(3k_1-1, 3m_j+1)}}{6k_1 - 1} \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=2}^p (-1)^i A_{(3k_1, 3k_i)} \Phi'_2(\lambda^{\{1, i\}}), \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

where $\tilde{j}(i)$ is defined in equation (38).

By equations (30) and (31),

$$\frac{A_{(3k, 3m)}}{6m + 1} - \frac{A_{(3k-1, 3m+1)}}{6k - 1} = \frac{(2/3)^{k+m} (6k + 1)}{k! m! (6m + 1) (6k - 1)} \quad (68)$$

for any integers $k \geq 1$ and $m \geq 0$. Hence the first term on the right hand side of equation (67) is equal to

$$(-1)^{p+1} \frac{(2/3)^{k_1} (6k_1 + 1)}{k_1! (6k_1 - 1)} \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^q (-1)^{i+\tilde{j}(i)} \frac{(2/3)^{m_i+m_j} A_{\lambda\{1, p+i, p+j\}}}{m_i! m_j! (6m_i + 1) (6m_j + 1)},$$

which is equal to 0 since each term in the summation is skew symmetric with respect to i and j . Hence we have

$$\Phi'_2(\lambda) = \sum_{i=2}^p (-1)^i A_{(3k_1, 3k_i)} \Phi'_2(\lambda^{\{1, i\}}). \quad (69)$$

When p is a positive even integer, we need to replace each partition ν appeared in the right hand side of the definition of $\Phi'_2(\lambda)$ by $(\nu, 0)$ and use Lemma 3.7 when applying equation (36). All calculations are similar to the odd p case except that an extra term $A_{(3k_1, 0)} \Phi'_2(\lambda^{\{1\}})$ should be added to the right hand sides of equations (67) and (69).

Since the numbers of parts in $\lambda^{\{1\}}$ and $\lambda^{\{1, i\}}$ which could be divided by 3 are less than p , by induction, we only need to prove $\Phi'_2(\lambda) = 0$ for $p = 0$.

Let $l := p + q + r$. If $r > 0$ and $p = 0$, we first replace each partition $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{l-1})$ appeared in the right hand side of the definition of $\Phi'_2(\lambda)$ by $(\nu, 0)$, then apply equation (37) with $j = l - 1$ to each term in $\Phi'_2(\lambda)$. Note that $\nu_{l-1} = 3n_r + 2$ in each term and $A_{(3n_r+2, 0)} = 0$. Hence the effect of adding 0 to ν could be ignored in the final expansion formula. After the expansion, we obtain

$$\Phi'_2(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^q (-1)^i A_{(3m_i+1, 3n_r+2)} \Phi'_2(\lambda^{\{i, l\}}).$$

Since the number of parts in $\lambda^{\{i, l\}}$ which are equal to 2 mod(3) is $r - 1$, we only need to prove $\Phi'_2(\lambda) = 0$ for the case $r = p = 0$, which we have considered in equation (66). The proof of the lemma is thus finished. \square

We are now ready to prove

Proposition 4.10 *For $\Phi_2(\mu)$ defined by equation (64), we have*

$$\Phi_2(\mu) = 0$$

for all μ which is a permutation of a strict partition.

Proof: Assume μ is given by equation (62). If $q \neq r + 2$, then every term in $\Phi_2(\mu)$ is equal to 0 by equation (27). So we may assume $q = r + 2$. In this case the length of μ is even if and only if p is even.

Note that the right hand side of equation (64) could have a term containing A_ν with some parts of ν equal to -1 . This could occur when μ has a part equal to 1. However, since μ is a permutation of a strict partition, ν can not have simultaneously one part equal to -1 while another part equal to 1. So the corresponding $A_\nu = 0$. Hence the presence of a possibly negative part in ν does not affect the calculations.

We prove this proposition by induction on p and r . If $p = r = 0$, then $q = 2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_2(\mu) &= \Phi_2((3m_1 + 1, 3m_2 + 1)) \\ &= \frac{2A_{(3m_1, 3m_2)}}{(6m_1 + 1)(6m_2 + 1)} + \frac{A_{(3m_1-1, 3m_2+1)}}{6m_1 + 1} + \frac{A_{(3m_1+1, 3m_2-1)}}{6m_2 + 1} \\ &\quad - \frac{A_{(3m_1+2, 3m_2+1)}}{4} - \frac{A_{(3m_1+1, 3m_2+2)}}{4} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

where the last equality is obtained by straightforward calculation using equations (30) and (31).

If p is a positive even integer, we apply equation (36) to each term in $\Phi_2(\mu)$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_2(\mu) &= 2 \sum_{i=2}^p \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{(-1)^{p+j}}{6k_i - 1} A_{(\mu - \epsilon_i)\{1, p+j\}} \left\{ -\frac{A_{(3k_1, 3m_j)}}{6m_j + 1} + \frac{A_{(3k_1-1, 3m_j+1)}}{6k_1 - 1} \right\} \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^q \frac{(-1)^{p+i}}{6m_j + 1} A_{(\mu - \epsilon_{p+j})\{1, p+i\}} \left\{ \frac{A_{(3k_1, 3m_i)}}{6m_i + 1} - \frac{A_{(3k_1-1, 3m_i+1)}}{6k_1 - 1} \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=2}^p (-1)^i A_{(3k_1, 3k_i)} \Phi_2(\mu^{\{1, i\}}).\end{aligned}\tag{71}$$

After using equation (68) to simplify the first two terms in the above equation, we have

$$\Phi_2(\mu) = 2(-1)^p \frac{(2/3)^{k_1} (6k_1 + 1)}{k_1! (6k_1 - 1)} \Phi_2'(\mu^{\{1\}}) + \sum_{i=2}^p (-1)^i A_{(3k_1, 3k_i)} \Phi_2(\mu^{\{1, i\}}),\tag{72}$$

where $\Phi_2'(\mu^{\{1\}}) = 0$ by Lemma 4.9. Hence we have

$$\Phi_2(\mu) = \sum_{i=2}^p (-1)^i A_{(3k_1, 3k_i)} \Phi_2(\mu^{\{1, i\}}).\tag{73}$$

If p is a positive odd integer, we need first replace each partition ν appeared in the right hand side of equation (64) by $(\nu, 0)$. Then we can apply equation (36) to expand each term in $\Phi_2(\mu)$. The calculations are similar to the p even case except that an extra term $A_{(3k_1, 0)} \Phi_2(\mu^{\{1\}})$ should be added to equations (71), (72), and (73).

Since the numbers of parts in $\mu^{\{1\}}$ and $\mu^{\{1, i\}}$ which can be divided by 3 are less than p , the proposition is reduced to the case of $p = 0$.

If $r > 0$ and $p = 0$, applying equation (37) with $j = l := q + r$ to each term in $\Phi_2(\mu)$, we obtain

$$\Phi_2(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^q (-1)^{i+1} A_{(3m_i+1, 3n_r+2)} \Phi_2(\mu^{\{i, l\}}).$$

Since the number of parts in $\mu^{\{i, l\}}$ which are equal to 2 mod(3) is $r - 1$, this equation reduces the proof to the case $r = p = 0$, which we have considered in equation (70). The proof of the proposition is thus finished. \square

Proposition 4.11 *For $\Phi_3(\mu)$ defined by equation (65), we have*

$$\Phi_3(\mu) = 0$$

for all μ which is a permutation of a strict partition.

Proof: Assume μ is given by equation (62). If $r \neq q + 1$, then every term in $\Phi_3(\mu)$ is equal to 0 by equation (27). So we may assume $r = q + 1$. In this case, the length of μ is even if and only if p is odd.

By equation (44), the last term in $\Phi_3(\mu)$ is

$$\frac{1}{24}A_{(\mu,1)} = -\frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^{p+q+r} A_{\mu+\epsilon_i}.$$

By equation (27), $A_{\mu+\epsilon_{p+j}} = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq q$ since $r = q + 1$. Hence we can get rid of the term $A_{(\mu,1)}$ in $\Phi_3(\mu)$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_3(\mu) &= \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{A_{\mu-\epsilon_i-\epsilon_{j+p+q}}}{6k_i-1} - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i=1}^q \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{A_{\mu-\epsilon_{i+p}-\epsilon_{j+p+q}}}{6m_i+1} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{2k_i-1}{6k_i-1} A_{\mu-2\epsilon_i} + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^r A_{\mu-2\epsilon_{i+p+q}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p k_i A_{\mu+\epsilon_i} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r (n_i+1) A_{\mu+\epsilon_{i+p+q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

Since μ is a permutation of a strict partition, all partitions occurred in the right hand side of this equation are weakly positive.

We now prove this proposition by induction on p and q . If $p = q = 0$, then $r = 1$ and

$$\Phi_3(\mu) = \Phi((3n+2)) = \frac{1}{3}A_{(3n)} - \frac{n+1}{2}A_{(3n+3)} = 0, \tag{75}$$

where the last equality follows from equation (28).

If $p = 1, q = 0$, then $r = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_3(\mu) &= \Phi_3((3k, 3n+2)) \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \frac{A_{(3k-1, 3n+1)}}{6k-1} - \frac{2k-1}{6k-1} A_{(3k-2, 3n+2)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} A_{(3k, 3n)} + \frac{k}{2} A_{(3k+1, 3n+2)} - \frac{n+1}{2} A_{(3k, 3n+3)} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

where the last equality follows from straightforward calculations using equations (30) and (31).

If p is a positive odd integer, we apply equation (36) to expand each term in $\Phi_3(\mu)$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_3(\mu) &= \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i=1}^q (-1)^{p+i} \left\{ \frac{A_{(3k_1-1, 3m_i+1)}}{6k_1-1} - \frac{A_{(3k_1, 3m_i)}}{6m_i+1} \right\} \sum_{j=1}^r A_{(\mu-\epsilon_{p+q+j})^{\{1, p+i\}}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{p+q+i} A_{\mu^{\{1, p+q+i\}}} \Phi_3((3k_1, 3n_i+2)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=2}^p (-1)^i A_{(3k_1, 3k_i)} \Phi_3(\mu^{\{1, i\}}). \tag{77}
\end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right hand side of the above equation is equal to 0 after applying Lemma 3.8 to $\lambda = \mu^{\{1, p+i\}}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, q$. The second term is also equal to 0 by equation (76). Hence we have

$$\Phi_3(\mu) = \sum_{i=2}^p (-1)^i A_{(3k_1, 3k_i)} \Phi_3(\mu^{\{1, i\}}). \tag{78}$$

If p is a positive even integer, we first replace each partition ν occurred in the right hand side of equation (74) by $(\nu, 0)$, then apply equation (36) to expand each term in $\Phi_3(\mu)$. The calculations are similar to p odd case except that an extra term $A_{(3k_1, 0)} \Phi_3(\mu^{\{1\}})$ should be added to the right hand sides of equations (77) and (78). By induction, the proposition is then reduced to the case of $p = 0$.

If $q > 0$ and $p = 0$, we first replace each partition ν occurred in the right hand side of equation (74) by $(\nu, 0)$, then apply equation (37) for $j = l := q + r$ to expand each term in $\Phi_3(\mu)$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_3(\mu) &= -\frac{2}{3} \sum_{i=1}^q \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \frac{(-1)^{q+j}}{6m_i+1} A_{(\mu-\epsilon_i)^{\{q+j, l\}}} \{A_{(3n_j+1, 3n_r+2)} + A_{(3n_j+2, 3n_r+1)}\} \\
&\quad + A_{\mu^{\{l\}}} \Phi_3((3n_r+2)) + \sum_{i=1}^q (-1)^i A_{(3m_i+1, 3n_r+2)} \Phi_3(\mu^{\{i, l\}}). \tag{79}
\end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right hand side of the above equation vanish due to equation (42), the second term vanishes due to equation (75). By induction, the proposition is reduced to the case $q = p = 0$, which has been considered in equation (75).

The proof of the proposition is thus finished. This also completes the proof of Theorem 4.8. \square

4.3 L_2 constraint

In this subsection we will prove the following

Theorem 4.12 *Let $\Psi(\mu)$ be the function of μ defined by equation (59). Then $\Psi(\mu) = 0$ for all strict partitions μ . Therefore τ_{MM} satisfies the L_2 -constraint.*

Proof: Note that $\Psi(\mu)$ is skew symmetric with respect to permutations of μ . So to prove $\Psi(\mu) = 0$ for a strict partition μ , it is equivalent to prove $\Psi(\nu) = 0$ where ν is a permutation of μ . Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume μ has the standard form given by equation (62).

We first use Corollary 3.4 to replace all $A_{2\lambda}$ in $\Psi(\mu)$ by A_λ . Then after divided by constant

$$\frac{(-1)^r (1/3)^{(|\mu|+4)/3}}{\prod_{i=1}^p (2k_i - 1)!! \prod_{i=1}^q (2m_i - 1)!! \prod_{i=1}^r (2n_i + 1)!!},$$

equation $\Psi(\mu) = 0$ becomes

$$-\delta_{r+2,q} 3\Psi_1(\mu) + \delta_{r,q+1} \Psi_2(\mu) = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1(\mu) = & \frac{9}{2} \sum_{i=1}^q (m_i + 1)(6m_i + 7)_{[[2]]} A_{\mu+4\epsilon_i+p} + \frac{105}{32} A_{(\mu,5,2)} \\ & - \frac{1}{16} \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{(6m_i + 13)_{[[5]]}}{2m_i + 3} A_{\mu+7\epsilon_i+p} \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_2(\mu) := & 6 \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{(6k_i + 5)_{[[3]]}}{2k_i + 1} \left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^p k_j A_{\mu+3\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j} - \sum_{j=1}^r (n_j + 1) A_{\mu+3\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+p+q} \right) \\ & - 18 \sum_{j=1}^r (n_j + 1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^q (6m_i + 7)_{[[2]]} A_{\mu+3\epsilon_i+p+\epsilon_j+p+q} + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^r (6n_i + 7)_{[[2]]} A_{\mu+3\epsilon_i+p+q+\epsilon_j+p+q} \right) \\ & + 18 \sum_{j=1}^p k_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^q (6m_i + 7)_{[[2]]} A_{\mu+3\epsilon_i+p+\epsilon_j} + \sum_{i=1}^r (6n_i + 7)_{[[2]]} A_{\mu+3\epsilon_i+p+q+\epsilon_j} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{(18k_i^2 + 45k_i + 26)(6k_i + 5)_{[[3]]}}{2k_i + 1} A_{\mu+4\epsilon_i} \\ & - \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r (18n_i^2 + 69n_i + 68)(6n_i + 7)_{[[2]]} A_{\mu+4\epsilon_i+p+q} + 6 \sum_{i=1}^p (9k_i + 4) A_{(\mu+\epsilon_i,3)} \\ & - 6 \sum_{i=1}^r (9n_i + 5) A_{(\mu+\epsilon_i+p+q,3)} + 3 \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{l(\mu)} (6k_i + 1) A_{\mu+\epsilon_i+3\epsilon_j} - 3 \sum_{i=1}^p (6k_i + 1) A_{\mu+\epsilon_i} \\ & - 3 \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{l(\mu)} (6n_i + 5) A_{\mu+\epsilon_i+p+q+3\epsilon_j} + 3 \sum_{i=1}^r (6n_i + 5) A_{\mu+\epsilon_i+p+q} + 120 A_{(\mu,4)} \\ & - \frac{1}{48} \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{(6k_i + 13)_{[[7]]}}{(2k_i + 3)(2k_i + 1)} A_{(\mu+7\epsilon_i)} + \frac{1}{48} \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{(6n_i + 17)_{[[7]]}}{(2n_i + 5)(2n_i + 3)} A_{(\mu+7\epsilon_i+p+q)} \end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{15015}{32}A_{(\mu,7)} + \frac{1155}{32}A_{(\mu,6,1)} + \frac{525}{32}A_{(\mu,4,3)}. \quad (81)$$

In calculating $\Psi_1(\mu)$, we have used Lemma 3.9 to simplify the expression. In calculating $\Psi_2(\mu)$ we have used equations (44) and (45) to remove all terms of the form $(\lambda, 1)$ and $(\lambda, 2, 1)$ for some partitions λ . Presence of such terms would make induction process more complicated.

We will prove $\Psi_1(\mu) = 0$ and $\Psi_2(\mu) = 0$ in Propositions 4.13 and 4.21 respectively. The theorem is thus proved. \square

Proposition 4.13 *For $\Psi_1(\mu)$ defined by equation (80), we have*

$$\Psi_1(\mu) = 0$$

for all μ which is a permutation of a strict partition.

Proof: Assume μ is given by equation (62).

If $q \neq r+2$, then every term in $\Psi_1(\lambda)$ is equal to 0 by equation (27), so we may assume $q = r+2$. We prove this proposition by induction on p and r .

If $p = r = 0$, then $q = 2$. In this case $\Psi_1(\mu)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi_1((3m_1 + 1, 3m_2 + 1)) \\ &= \frac{9}{2} \left((m_1 + 1)(6m_1 + 7)_{[[2]]} A_{(3m_1+5, 3m_2+1)} + (m_2 + 1)(6m_2 + 7)_{[[2]]} A_{(3m_1+1, 3m_2+5)} \right) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{(6m_1 + 13)_{[[5]]}}{2m_1 + 3} A_{(3m_1+8, 3m_2+1)} + \frac{(6m_2 + 13)_{[[5]]}}{2m_2 + 3} A_{(3m_1+1, 3m_2+8)} \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{105}{32} \{ -A_{(3m_1+1, 5)} A_{(3m_2+1, 2)} + A_{(3m_1+1, 2)} A_{(3m_2+1, 5)} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

In this formula, we have used equation (36) to expand $A_{(3m_1+1, 3m_2+1, 5, 2)}$. After using equation (31) to compute all A_λ on the right hand side of this equation and factoring out $\frac{3}{m_1!m_2!} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{m_1+m_2+2}$, the first two terms on the right hand side of this equation together give $-108(m_1 - m_2)$ and the last four terms give $108(m_1 - m_2)$. Hence we have

$$\Psi_1((3m_1 + 1, 3m_2 + 1)) = 0 \quad (83)$$

for any non-negative integers m_1 and m_2 .

If p is a positive even integer, we can apply equation (36) to obtain

$$\Psi_1(\mu) = \sum_{m=2}^p (-1)^m A_{(3k_1, 3k_m)} \cdot \Psi_1(\mu^{\{1, m\}}).$$

If p is a positive odd integer, we replace each partition ν appeared in the right hand side of the definition of $\Psi_1(\mu)$ by $(\nu, 0)$ and then use equation (36) to obtain

$$\Psi_1(\mu) = \sum_{m=2}^p (-1)^m A_{(3k_1, 3k_m)} \cdot \Psi_1(\mu^{\{1, m\}}) + A_{(3k_1, 0)} \cdot \Psi_1(\mu^{\{1\}}).$$

Since the numbers of parts in $\mu^{\{1\}}$ and $\mu^{\{1,m\}}$ which can be divided by 3 are less than p , the proposition is reduced to the case of $p = 0$.

If $r > 0$ and $p = 0$, we first use recursion formula (36) to expand each term in the right hand side of $\Psi_1(\mu)$ in equation (80). After this expansion, we obtain two terms containing factors $A_{(\mu^{\{1\}},s)}$ with $s = 2$ or 5 . We then use formula (37) to expand such factors again with respect to the last part. Comparing the result with equation (82), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_1(\mu) &= \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{q+i} A_{(3m_1+1, 3n_i+2)} \cdot \Psi_1(\mu^{\{1, q+i\}}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=2}^q (-1)^j A_{\mu^{\{1, j\}}} \Psi_1((3m_1 + 1, 3m_j + 1)).\end{aligned}$$

Since the second term on the right hand side is 0 by equation (83), and the numbers of parts in $\mu^{\{1, q+i\}}$ which are equal to $2 \pmod{3}$ is $r - 1$, the proposition is reduced to the $r = p = 0$ case. The proposition is thus proved. \square

To prove $\Psi_2(\mu) = 0$, we will need the following seven lemmas.

Lemma 4.14 *For λ given by equation (25), define*

$$M_1(\lambda) := \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^i \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n_i} \frac{1}{n_i!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^p k_j A_{(\lambda+\epsilon_j)\{p+q+i\}} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^r (n_j + 1) A_{(\lambda+\epsilon_{j+p+q})\{p+q+i\}} \right).$$

Then $M_1(\lambda) = 0$ for all positive partitions λ .

Proof: If $r \neq q + 2$, every term in $M_1(\lambda)$ is zero by equation (27). So we may assume $r = q + 2$. We will prove this lemma by induction on p and q .

The first non-trivial case is $p = q = 0$. In this case, $r = 2$ and $M_1(\lambda)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}M_1((3n_1 + 2, 3n_2 + 2)) &= \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n_1} \frac{1}{n_1!} \cdot (n_2 + 1) A_{(3n_2+3)} - \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n_2} \frac{1}{n_2!} \cdot (n_1 + 1) A_{(3n_1+3)} \\ &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from equation (28).

If p is a positive odd integer, then by equation (36), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}M_1(\lambda) &= \sum_{i=2}^p (-1)^i A_{(3k_1, 3k_i)} M_1(\lambda^{\{1, i\}}) + \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^i \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n_i} \frac{1}{n_i!} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^r (-1)^{\tilde{j}(i)+1+p+q} \\ &\quad \cdot A_{\lambda^{\{1, p+q+i, p+q+j\}}} \left(k_1 A_{(3k_1+1, 3n_j+2)} - (n_j + 1) A_{(3k_1, 3n_j+3)} \right), \quad (84)\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{j}(i)$ is defined by equation (38). By equations (30) and (31), the second term on the right hand side of this equation is equal to

$$(-1)^{p+q+1} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{k_1+1} \frac{1}{k_1!} \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^r (-1)^{\tilde{j}(i)+i} A_{\lambda^{\{1, p+q+i, p+q+j\}}} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n_i+n_j} \frac{1}{n_i! n_j!} = 0,$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that each summand in the above equation is skew symmetric with respect to i and j . Hence we have

$$M_1(\lambda) = \sum_{i=2}^p (-1)^i A_{(3k_1, 3k_i)} M_1(\lambda^{\{1, i\}}). \quad (85)$$

If p is a positive even integer, we first replace each partition ν appeared in the definition of $M_1(\lambda)$ by $(\nu, 0)$, then apply equation (36). The calculations are similar to the p odd case except that an extra term $A_{(3k_1, 0)} M_1(\lambda^{\{1\}})$ should be added to equations (84) and (85).

Since the numbers of parts in $\lambda^{\{1\}}$ and $\lambda^{\{1, i\}}$ which can be divided by 3 are less than p , this lemma can be reduced to the case of $p = 0$.

If $q > 0$, and $p = 0$, we replace each partition ν appeared in the definition of $M_1(\lambda)$ by $(\nu, 0)$ and expand each term of $M_1(\lambda)$ using equation (36). Since $A_{(3m_1+1, 0)} = 0$, the last part of $(\nu, 0)$ actually does not contribute to the expansion. Hence we have

$$M_1(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{q+i} A_{(3m_1+1, 3n_i+2)} M_1(\lambda^{\{1, i+q\}}).$$

Therefore the lemma can be reduced to the $p = q = 0$ case and is thus proved. \square

Lemma 4.15 *For λ given by equation (25), define*

$$M_2(\lambda) := \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^i \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n_i} \frac{1}{(n_i + 1)!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^p A_{(\lambda+\epsilon_j)\{p+q+i\}} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^r A_{(\lambda+\epsilon_j+p+q)\{p+q+i\}} \right).$$

Then $M_2(\lambda) = 0$ for all positive partitions λ .

Proof: If $r \neq q + 2$, every term in $M_2(\lambda)$ is zero by equation (27). So we may assume $r = q + 2$. We will prove this lemma by induction on p and q .

The first non-trivial case is $p = q = 0$. In this case, $r = 2$ and $M_2(\lambda)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} M_2((3n_1 + 2, 3n_2 + 2)) &= - \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n_1} \frac{1}{(n_1 + 1)!} A_{(3n_2+3)} + \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n_2} \frac{1}{(n_2 + 1)!} A_{(3n_1+3)} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from equation (28).

If p is a positive odd integer, then by equation (36), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_2(\lambda) &= \sum_{i=2}^p (-1)^i A_{(3k_1, 3k_i)} M_2(\lambda^{\{1, i\}}) + \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^i \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n_i} \frac{1}{(n_i + 1)!} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^r (-1)^{\tilde{j}(i)+1+p+q} \\ &\quad \cdot A_{\lambda^{\{1, p+q+i, p+q+j\}}} (A_{(3k_1+1, 3n_j+2)} + A_{(3k_1, 3n_j+3)}). \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

By equations (30) and (31), the second term on the right hand side of this equation is equal to

$$(-1)^{p+q+1} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{k_1+1} \frac{1}{k_1!} \sum_{i=j=1, j \neq i}^r (-1)^{\tilde{j}(i)+i} A_{\lambda\{1, p+q+i, p+q+j\}} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n_i+n_j} \frac{1}{(n_i+1)!(n_j+1)!} = 0,$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that each summand in the above equation is skew symmetric with respect to i and j . Hence we have

$$M_2(\lambda) = \sum_{i=2}^p (-1)^i A_{(3k_1, 3k_i)} M_2(\lambda^{\{1, i\}}). \quad (87)$$

The rest part of the proof is the same as in the proof of Lemma 4.14. So we omit it here.

□

Lemma 4.16 *For λ given by equation (25), define*

$$M_3(\lambda) := \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^p (-1)^j (6k_i + 5)(6k_i + 1) \frac{(2/3)^{k_j}}{k_j!} A_{(\lambda+3\epsilon_i)\{j\}}.$$

Then $M_3(\lambda) = 0$ for positive λ with $p > 1$ odd.

Proof: By Corollary 3.3, we can factor out $A_{\lambda_{[2]}}$ from $M_3(\lambda)$. Hence we may assume $q = r = 0$.

If p is odd, we can use equation (37) to expand $A_{(\lambda+3\epsilon_i)\{j\}}$ with respect to the part $3k_i + 3$ and obtain

$$M_3(\lambda) = \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^p (-1)^j (6k_i + 5)(6k_i + 1) \frac{(2/3)^{k_j}}{k_j!} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq i, j}}^p A_{(3k_i+3, 3k_m)} (-1)^{\tilde{i}(j)+\tilde{m}(i, j)} A_{\lambda^{\{i, m, j\}}},$$

where $\tilde{i}(j)$ is defined by equation (38), and

$$\tilde{m}(i, j) := m - \delta_{i>m} - \delta_{j<m} \quad (88)$$

with $\delta_{i>m}$ being 1 if $i > m$ and 0 otherwise.

Computing $A_{(3k_i+3, 3k_m)}$ using equation (30) and re-grouping terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_3(\lambda) &= \sum_{\substack{\{a, b, c\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, p\} \\ a < b < c}} \frac{(2/3)^{k_a+k_b+k_c+1}}{k_a!k_b!k_c!} A_{\lambda^{\{a, b, c\}}} \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{(i, j, m) \in P(a, b, c)} (-1)^{j+\tilde{i}(j)+\tilde{m}(i, j)} \frac{(6k_i+5)(6k_i+1)(k_i+1-k_m)}{(k_i+1)(k_i+1+k_m)} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from an elementary identity, whose proof will be given in Lemma B.2 in the appendix. In the above formula, $P(a, b, c)$ denotes the set of all permutations of (a, b, c) . \square

Lemma 4.17 *For λ given by equation (25), define*

$$M_4(\lambda) := \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^p (-1)^j \frac{(2/3)^{k_j}}{k_j!} A_{(\lambda+3\epsilon_i)\{j\}}.$$

Then $M_4(\lambda) = 0$ for positive λ with $p > 1$ odd.

Proof: By Corollary 3.3, we can factor out $A_{\lambda_{[2]}}$ from $M_4(\lambda)$. Hence we may assume $q = r = 0$.

If p is odd, we can use equation (37) to expand $A_{(\lambda+3\epsilon_i)\{j\}}$ with respect to the part $3k_i + 3$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_4(\lambda) &= \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^p (-1)^j \frac{(2/3)^{k_j}}{k_j!} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq i,j}}^p A_{(3k_i+3, 3k_m)} (-1)^{\tilde{i}(j)+\tilde{m}(i,j)} A_{\lambda\{i,m,j\}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\{a,b,c\} \subseteq \{1,\dots,p\} \\ a < b < c}} \frac{(2/3)^{k_a+k_b+k_c+1}}{k_a!k_b!k_c!} A_{(\lambda\{a,b,c\})} \sum_{(i,j,m) \in P(a,b,c)} (-1)^{j+\tilde{i}(j)+\tilde{m}(i,j)} \frac{(k_i+1-k_m)}{(k_i+1)(k_i+1+k_m)} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from an elementary identity, whose proof will be given in Lemma B.1 in the appendix. \square

Lemma 4.18 *For λ given by equation (25), define*

$$M_5(\lambda) := \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{(-1)^i (2/3)^{k_i}}{k_i!} \left(A_{(\lambda,3)\{i\}} - \frac{2}{3} A_{\lambda\{i\}} \right).$$

Then $M_5(\lambda) = 0$ for positive λ with p odd.

Proof: By Corollary 3.3, we can factor out $A_{\lambda_{[2]}}$ from $M_5(\lambda)$. Hence we may assume $q = r = 0$. By equation (28), $M_5(\lambda)$ can be rewritten as

$$M_5(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^i A_{(3k_i)} \left(A_{(\lambda,3)\{i\}} - \frac{2}{3} A_{\lambda\{i\}} \right).$$

Since p is odd, we can use Lemma 3.6 for partition $(\lambda, 3)$ to obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^i A_{(3k_i)} A_{(\lambda,3)\{i\}} = -A_{(3)} A_{\lambda}. \quad (89)$$

Since $A_{(3)} = \frac{2}{3}$, we have

$$M_5(\lambda) = \frac{2}{3} \left(-A_\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{i+1} A_{(3k_i)} A_{\lambda^{\{i\}}} \right),$$

which is equal to 0 by recursion formula (39). Thus this lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 4.19 *For λ given by equation (25), define*

$$M_6(\lambda) := \sum_{i=1}^p \left\{ (-1)^{i+1} A_{\lambda^{\{i\}}} A_{(3k_i+3)} + A_{\lambda+3\epsilon_i} \right\}.$$

Then $M_6(\lambda) = 0$ for positive partitions λ with p even.

Proof: By Corollary 3.3, we can factor out $A_{\lambda_{[2]}}$ from $M_6(\lambda)$. Hence we may assume $q = r = 0$. We prove this lemma by induction on p .

The first non-trivial case is $p = 2$. In this case $M_6(\lambda)$ is equal to

$$M_6((3k_1, 3k_2)) = (A_{(3k_2)} A_{(3k_1+3)} + A_{(3k_1+3, 3k_2)}) + (-A_{(3k_1)} A_{(3k_2+3)} + A_{(3k_1, 3k_2+3)}), \quad (90)$$

which is zero by straightforward calculations using equations (28) and (30).

For any even integer $p > 2$, we first replace $\lambda^{\{i\}}$ by $(\lambda^{\{i\}}, 0)$, then expand all terms in $M_6(\lambda)$ with partitions of length bigger than 1 using recursion formula (36). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_6(\lambda) &= \sum_{j=2}^p (-1)^j A_{(3k_1, 3k_j)} M_6(\lambda^{\{1, j\}}) + \sum_{i=2}^p (-1)^{i+1} A_{(3k_1)} A_{\lambda^{\{1, i\}}} A_{(3k_i+3)} \\ &\quad + A_{\lambda^{\{1\}}} A_{(3k_1+3)} + \sum_{i=2}^p (-1)^i A_{\lambda^{\{1, i\}}} (A_{(3k_1+3, 3k_i)} + A_{(3k_1, 3k_i+3)}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $A_{\lambda^{\{1\}}} = \sum_{i=2}^p (-1)^i A_{(3k_i)} A_{\lambda^{\{1, i\}}}$ by recursion formula (39), comparing with equation (90), we can see that

$$M_6(\lambda) = \sum_{j=2}^p (-1)^j A_{(3k_1, 3k_j)} M_6(\lambda^{\{1, j\}}) + \sum_{i=2}^p (-1)^i A_{\lambda^{\{1, i\}}} M_6((3k_1, 3k_i)).$$

This lemma is proved by induction. \square

Lemma 4.20 *For λ given by equation (25), define*

$$M_7(\lambda) := \sum_{i=1}^p \left\{ (6k_i^2 + 6k_i + 1) ((-1)^{i+1} A_{\lambda^{\{i\}}} A_{(3k_i+3)} - A_{\lambda+3\epsilon_i}) + (-1)^{i+1} A_{(3k_i, 3)} A_{\lambda^{\{i\}}} \right\}.$$

Then $M_7(\lambda) = 0$ for positive λ with p even.

Proof: By Corollary 3.3, we can factor out $A_{\lambda_{[2]}}$ from $M_7(\lambda)$. Hence we may assume $q = r = 0$. We prove this lemma by induction on p .

The first non-trivial case is $p = 2$. In this case, $M_7(\lambda)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} M_7((3k_1, 3k_2)) &= (6k_1^2 + 6k_1 + 1)(A_{(3k_2)}A_{(3k_1+3)} - A_{(3k_1+3, 3k_2)}) + A_{(3k_1, 3)}A_{(3k_2)} \\ &\quad + (6k_2^2 + 6k_2 + 1)(-A_{(3k_1)}A_{(3k_2+3)} - A_{(3k_1, 3k_2+3)}) - A_{(3k_2, 3)}A_{(3k_1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

which is zero by straightforward calculations using equations (28) and (30).

For any even integer $p > 2$, we first replace $\lambda^{\{i\}}$ by $(\lambda^{\{i\}}, 0)$, then expand all terms in $M_7(\lambda)$ with partitions of length bigger than 2 using recursion formula (36). After the first expansion, we obtain some terms containing a factor $A_{\lambda_{\{1\}}}$. We then use recursion formula (39) to expand $A_{\lambda_{\{1\}}}$ again. Comparing the result with equation (91), we obtain

$$M_7(\lambda) = \sum_{j=2}^p (-1)^j A_{(3k_1, 3k_j)} M_7(\lambda^{\{1, j\}}) + \sum_{i=2}^p (-1)^i A_{\lambda^{\{1, i\}}} M_7((3k_1, 3k_i)).$$

This lemma is proved by induction. \square

Now we are ready to prove the following

Proposition 4.21 *For $\Psi_2(\mu)$ defined by equation (81), we have*

$$\Psi_2(\mu) = 0$$

for all μ which is a permutation of a strict partition.

Proof: By equation (27), the proposition is trivial if $r \neq q + 1$. So we can assume $r = q + 1$. We will prove this proposition in four steps.

Step 1. We first show that the proposition can be reduced to the case $q = 0$ by induction on q .

If $q > 0$, we apply recursion formula (37) with $j = p + 1$ to expand each term A_ν appeared in the right hand side of equation (81). In case ν has odd length, we need to replace ν by $(\nu, 0)$ first. Since in this situation, ν_{p+1} always has the form $3r + 1$ for some non-negative integer r and since $A_{(3r+1, a)} \neq 0$ only if $a = 3b + 2$ for some integer b , many terms in this expansion vanish. In particular, since $A_{(3r+1, 0)} = 0$, no extra terms would appear in the expansion when replacing ν by $(\nu, 0)$. Hence the expansion formulas for p odd case and for p even case are the same. In case that some ν has length bigger than $l := p + q + r$, ν_i must be one of the numbers in $\{1, 3, 4, 6, 7\}$ for $i > l$. Hence $A_{(\nu_{p+1}, \nu_i)} = 0$ for $i > l$. So corresponding terms will not appear in the expansion. In a summary, after the expansion, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_2(\mu) &= \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{2p+q+i} A_{(3m_1+1, 3n_i+i)} \Psi_2(\mu^{\{p+1, p+q+i\}}) \\ &\quad + 18 \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{2p+q+i} \{ (6m_1 + 7)_{[[2]]} A_{(3m_1+4, 3n_i+2)} + (6n_i + 7)_{[[2]]} A_{(3m_1+1, 3n_i+5)} \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^p k_j A_{(\mu+\epsilon_j)\{p+1,p+q+i\}} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^r (n_j + 1) A_{(\mu+\epsilon_{j+p+q})\{p+1,p+q+i\}} \right) \\
& + 3 \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{2p+q+i} \{ A_{(3m_1+4,3n_i+2)} + A_{(3m_1+1,3n_i+5)} \} \\
& \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^p (6k_j + 1) A_{(\mu+\epsilon_j)\{p+1,p+q+i\}} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^r (6n_j + 5) A_{(\mu+\epsilon_{p+q+j})\{p+1,p+q+i\}} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

By equation (31), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (6m_1 + 7)_{[[2]]} A_{(3m_1+4,3n_i+2)} + (6n_i + 7)_{[[2]]} A_{(3m_1+1,3n_i+5)} \\
& = \frac{72 \cdot (2/3)^{m_1+n_i+2}}{m_1! n_i!} - \frac{2 \cdot (2/3)^{m_1+n_i+2}}{(m_1 + 1)! (n_i + 1)!},
\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

and

$$A_{(3m_1+4,3n_i+2)} + A_{(3m_1+1,3n_i+5)} = \frac{2 \cdot (2/3)^{m_1+n_i+2}}{(m_1 + 1)! (n_i + 1)!}. \tag{94}$$

Recall that $M_1(\lambda)$ and $M_2(\lambda)$ have been defined in Lemmas 4.14 and 4.15 respectively. The first term on the right hand side of equation (93) combined with corresponding term in equation (92) produces a factor equal to $M_1(\mu^{\{p+1\}})$. The second term on the right hand side of equation (93) is equal to the right hand side of equation (94). Hence the corresponding terms in equation (92) can be combined together to produce a factor equal to $M_2(\mu^{\{p+1\}})$. Putting all these together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_2(\mu) &= \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{2p+q+i} A_{(3m_1+1,3n_i+i)} \Psi_2(\mu^{\{p+1,p+q+i\}}) \\
&+ \frac{1296(-1)^{2p+q}(2/3)^{m_1+2}}{m_1!} M_1(\mu^{\{p+1\}}) + \frac{6(-1)^{2p+q}(2/3)^{m_1+2}}{(m_1 + 1)!} M_2(\mu^{\{p+1\}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $M_1(\mu^{\{p+1\}}) = M_2(\mu^{\{p+1\}}) = 0$ by Lemmas 4.14 and 4.15, this shows that the proposition can be reduced to the $q = 0$ case. Hence for the rest part of the proof for this proposition, we can assume $q = 0$, which also implies $r = 1$.

Step 2. We show $\Psi_2(\mu) = 0$ if $p = q = 0$ or $p = 1$ and $q = 0$. For both cases, we have $r = 1$.

For the first case, $\mu = (3n + 2)$ for some non-negative integer n . After using equa-

tion (39) to expand $A_{(3n+2,6,1)}$ and $A_{(3n+2,4,3)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_2((3n+2)) &= - \left(\frac{3}{2}(18n^2 + 69n + 68)(6n+7)_{[[2]]} + 3(6n+5) \right) A_{(3n+6)} \\ &\quad - 6(9n+5)A_{(3n+3,3)} + 3(6n+5)A_{(3n+3)} + \frac{(6n+17)_{[[7]]}}{48(2n+5)(2n+3)}A_{(3n+9)} \\ &\quad - \frac{15015}{32}A_{(3n+2,7)} - \frac{1155}{32}A_{(3n+2,1)}A_{(6)} + \frac{525}{32}A_{(3n+2,4)}A_{(3)} + 120A_{(3n+2,4)},\end{aligned}\tag{95}$$

which is zero by straightforward calculations using equations (28), (30), and (31).

For the second case, $\mu = (3k, 3n+2)$ for some non-negative integers k and n . After using equations (36) and (39) to expand A_ν with $l(\nu) > 2$, we have

$$\Psi_2((3k, 3n+2)) = f_1(k, n) + f_2(k, n),\tag{96}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}f_1(k, n) &:= A_{(3k+3, 3n+3)} \{-18(6k+5)(6k+1)(n+1) - 3(6n+5)\} \\ &\quad + A_{(3k+1, 3n+5)} \{18(6n+7)(6n+5)k + 3(6k+1)\} + 6(9k+4)A_{(3k+1, 3n+2)}A_{(3)} \\ &\quad + A_{(3k+4, 3n+2)} \left(\frac{3}{2}(6k+5)(6k+1)(18k^2 + 45k + 26) + 3(6k+1) \right) \\ &\quad + A_{(3k, 3n+6)} \left(-\frac{3}{2}(6n+7)(6n+5)(18n^2 + 69n + 68) - 3(6n+5) \right) \\ &\quad - 6(9n+5) \{A_{(3k, 3n+3)}A_{(3)} + A_{(3n+3, 3)}A_{(3k)} - A_{(3n+3)}A_{(3k, 3)}\} \\ &\quad - 3(6k+1)A_{(3k+1, 3n+2)} + 3(6n+5)A_{(3k, 3n+3)} + 120A_{(3n+2, 4)}A_{(3k)}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}f_2(k, n) &:= - \frac{(6k+13)_{[[7]]}}{48(2k+3)(2k+1)}A_{(3k+7, 3n+2)} + \frac{(6n+17)_{[[7]]}}{48(2n+5)(2n+3)}A_{(3k, 3n+9)} \\ &\quad - \frac{15015}{32}A_{(3n+2, 7)}A_{(3k)} - \frac{1155}{32}A_{(3n+2, 1)}A_{(3k, 6)} + \frac{525}{32}A_{(3n+2, 4)}A_{(3k, 3)}.\end{aligned}$$

A straightforward calculation using equations (28), (30), (31) shows that

$$f_1(k, n) = -f_2(k, n) = \frac{54 \cdot (2/3)^{k+n+2}}{k!n!} (36k^2 - 36kn + 6k + 18n^2 + 51n + 35).$$

Hence

$$\Psi_2((3k, 3n+2)) = 0\tag{97}$$

for all non-negative integers k and n .

Step 3. We prove $\Psi_2(\mu) = 0$ if $q = 0$ and p is an odd integer which is bigger than 1.

Since $r = 1$ in this case, we can write $\mu = (\lambda, 3n + 2)$ where n is a non-negative integer and $\lambda = (3k_1, \dots, 3k_p)$ for some positive integers k_1, \dots, k_p .

We first use equation (37) to expand each A_ν with respect to $(p + 1)$ -th part for all partitions $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{l(\nu)})$ appeared in the right hand side of equation (81). In case some partitions ν have odd length, we need replace ν by $(\nu, 0)$ first and then use the equation (37). For most cases, ν_{p+1} is equal to $3n + 2$ or $3n + 5$. Occasionally, we also have ν_{p+1} equal to $3n + 3$, $3n + 6$, or $3n + 9$. If ν_{p+1} is $3n + 2$ or $3n + 5$, then $A_{(\nu_{p+1}, \nu_i)} = 0$ for all ν_i which are not of the form $3r + 1$ for some integer r . Since all parts of λ can be divided by 3, most terms in the expansion of A_ν vanish. Moreover, if after the first expansion, we obtain some terms containing factors like $A_{(\lambda, s)}$ for some non-negative integer s , we further expand $A_{(\lambda, s)}$ with respect to the last part s using equation (37). Actually s can only be 0, 3, or 6 in our case. In a summary, after such expansions, every term in $\Psi_2(\mu)$ must contain one of the following three factors:

$$A_{(\lambda+3\epsilon_i)\{j\}}, \quad A_{(\lambda, 3)\{i\}}, \quad A_{\lambda\{i\}}$$

for some i and j . Eventually $\Psi_2(\mu)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_2(\mu) &= \sum_{\substack{i, j=1, \\ i \neq j}}^p (-1)^{p+j} A_{(\lambda+3\epsilon_i)\{j\}} \cdot \omega_1(k_i, k_j, n) + \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{p+i} A_{(\lambda, 3)\{i\}} \cdot \omega_2(k_i, n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{p+i} A_{\lambda\{i\}} \cdot \{\Psi_2((3k_i, 3n + 2)) - A_{(3)}\omega_2(k_i, n)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1(k_i, k_j, n) &= \{18(6k_i + 5)(6k_i + 1)k_j + 3(6k_j + 1)\} A_{(3k_j+1, 3n+2)} \\ &\quad - \{18(6k_i + 5)(6k_i + 1)(n + 1) + 3(6n + 5)\} A_{(3k_j, 3n+3)}, \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

$$\omega_2(k_i, n) = 6(9k_i + 4)A_{(3k_i+1, 3n+2)} - 6(9n + 5)A_{(3k_i, 3n+3)}. \quad (100)$$

By straightforward calculations using equations (30) and (31), we have

$$\omega_1(k_i, k_j, n) = 18(6k_i + 5)(6k_i + 1) \frac{(2/3)^{k_j+n+1}}{k_j!n!} + \frac{3 \cdot (2/3)^{k_j+n+1}(6n + 7)}{k_j!(n + 1)!}, \quad (101)$$

and

$$\omega_2(k_i, n) = \frac{6 \cdot (2/3)^{k_i+n+1}(9n + 13)}{k_i!(n + 1)!}. \quad (102)$$

Plugging equations (101), (102), (97) into equation (98), we have

$$\Psi_2(\mu) = \frac{(-1)^p}{(n + 1)!} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n+1} \{18(n + 1)M_3(\lambda) + 3(6n + 7)M_4(\lambda) + 6(9n + 13)M_5(\lambda)\},$$

where $M_3(\lambda), M_4(\lambda), M_5(\lambda)$ are defined in Lemmas 4.16, 4.17, 4.18, and they are equal to 0 by these lemmas. Hence $\Psi_2(\mu) = 0$ if $q = 0$ and p is odd.

Step 4. We prove $\Psi_2(\mu) = 0$ if $q = 0$ and p is a positive even integer.

As in step 3, we expand each term A_ν in the right hand side of equation (81) with respect to $(p+1)$ -th part using recursion formula (37). In case ν has odd length, we need replace ν by $(\nu, 0)$ first before expansion. If after the first expansion, we obtain a term like $A_{(\lambda, s)}$ with $s = 3$ or 6 , we further expand the term with respect to the $(p+1)$ -th part after replacing (λ, s) by $(\lambda, s, 0)$. The calculation is similar to step 3 except that in case we obtain terms like A_λ and $A_{\lambda+3\epsilon_i}$ after first expansion, we do not expand these terms further. Eventually, after the expansion, every term contains one of the following five factors:

$$A_{(\lambda+3\epsilon_i)\{j\}}, A_{\lambda\{i\}}, A_{(\lambda, 3)\{i\}}, A_{\lambda+3\epsilon_i}, A_\lambda$$

for some i and j , and $\Psi_2(\mu)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_2(\mu) &= \sum_{\substack{i, j=1, \\ i \neq j}}^p (-1)^{p+j} A_{(\lambda+3\epsilon_i)\{j\}} \cdot \omega_1(k_i, k_j, n) + A_\lambda \cdot \Psi_2((3n+2)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{p+i} A_{\lambda\{i\}} \cdot \xi_1(k_i, n) + \sum_{i=1}^p A_{\lambda+3\epsilon_i} \cdot \xi_2(k_i, n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{p+i} A_{(\lambda, 3)\{i\}} \cdot \xi_3(k_i, n), \end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

where ω_1 is defined by equation (99), and

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1(k_i, n) &= \Psi_2((3k_i, 3n+2)) - A_{(3)}\omega_2(k_i, n) - 6(9n+5)A_{(3n+3)}A_{(3k_i, 3)} \\ &\quad + \left(6(9n+5)A_{(3n+3, 3)} - 120A_{(3n+2, 4)} + \frac{15015}{32}A_{(3n+2, 7)} \right) \cdot A_{(3k_i)}, \\ \xi_2(k_i, n) &= -\{18(6k_i+5)(6k_i+1)(n+1) + 3(6n+5)\} A_{(3n+3)}, \\ \xi_3(k_i, n) &= 6(9k_i+4)A_{(3k_i+1, 3n+2)} - 6(9n+5)A_{(3k_i, 3n+3)}. \end{aligned}$$

By equation (101), we have

$$\omega_1(k_i, k_j, n) = \left(18(6k_i+5)(6k_i+1) \frac{(2/3)^{n+1}}{n!} + \frac{3 \cdot (2/3)^{n+1}(6n+7)}{(n+1)!} \right) \cdot A_{(3k_j)}.$$

When considering the contribution of $\omega_1(k_i, k_j, n)$ to $\Psi_2(\mu)$ in equation (103), for each i , we apply Lemma 3.6 for $(\lambda+3\epsilon_i)$ and obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^p (-1)^{j+1} A_{(3k_j)} A_{(\lambda+3\epsilon_i)\{j\}} = (-1)^i A_{(3k_i+3)} A_{\lambda\{i\}}.$$

This formula will be used to simplify the first term on the right hand side of equation (103).

To compute the contribution of $\xi_1(k_i, n)$ in equation (103), we use equation (102) to rewrite $\omega_2(k_i, n)$ as

$$\omega_2(k_i, n) = \frac{6 \cdot (2/3)^{n+1} (9n + 13)}{(n + 1)!} \cdot A_{(3k_i)}.$$

Hence, by equation (97), we have

$$\xi_1(k_i, n) = -6(9n + 5)A_{(3n+3)}A_{(3k_i,3)} + c(n)A_{(3k_i)}, \quad (104)$$

where $c(n)$ is a function only depending on n . By Lemma 3.6,

$$\sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{p+i} A_{\lambda\{i\}} \cdot c(n)A_{(3k_i)} = 0.$$

Hence the second term on the right hand side of equation (104) does not contribute to the calculation of $\Psi_2(\mu)$ in equation (103).

By straightforward calculations,

$$\xi_3(k_i, n) = \frac{(2/3)^{k_i+n+1}}{k_i!(n+1)!} \cdot 6(9n+13) = 6(9n+13) \frac{(2/3)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \cdot A_{(3k_i)}.$$

When calculating its contribution to $\Psi_2(\mu)$ in equation (103), we use the following formula

$$\sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^i A_{(3k_i)} A_{(\lambda,3)\{i\}} = - \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^i A_{(3k_i,3)} A_{\lambda\{i\}},$$

which follows from the fact that both sides of this equation are equal to $A_{(3)}A_{\lambda} - A_{(\lambda,3)}$ by equation (39) applied to $A_{(\lambda,3)}$ and equation(37) applied to $A_{(\lambda,3,0)}$ with $j = p + 1$.

Putting above considerations together, we obtain the following formula from equation (103):

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_2(\mu) = & \frac{(2/3)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \cdot \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{i+1} A_{\lambda\{i\}} A_{(3k_i+3)} \cdot \{18(6k_i+5)(6k_i+1)(n+1) + 3(6n+7)\} \right. \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^p A_{\lambda+3\epsilon_i} \cdot \{18(6k_i+5)(6k_i+1)(n+1) + 3(6n+5)\} \\ & \left. - 108(n+1) \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^i A_{\lambda\{i\}} A_{(3k_i,3)} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

Recall that M_6 and M_7 have been defined in Lemmas 4.19 and 4.20 respectively. Writing $(6n+7) = 6(n+1) + 1$ and $(6n+5) = 6(n+1) - 1$ in equation (105), and grouping all terms containing factor $(n+1)$ together, we obtain

$$\Psi_2(\mu) = 3 \frac{(2/3)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \cdot M_6(\lambda) + 108 \frac{(2/3)^{n+1}}{n!} \cdot M_7(\lambda).$$

Since $M_6(\lambda) = M_7(\lambda) = 0$ by Lemmas 4.19 and 4.20, this proposition is proved. \square

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.12. Since L_{-1} and L_2 generate all L_k with $k \geq -1$, Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorems 4.8 and Theorem 4.12.

Proof of Corollary 1.2: By Theorem 3.1, $Q_\lambda(\delta_{k,3}/3) = A_\lambda = 0$ if $|\lambda|/3$ is not an integer. Hence only non-negative integral powers of \hbar could occur in τ_{MM} . Since Q_λ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $|\lambda|$, the coefficient of \hbar^m in τ_{MM} is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $3m$ for all $m \geq 0$. This corresponds to the dimension constraint for τ_{KW} (see Section 2.1). Moreover, at $\mathbf{t} = 0$, $\tau_{MM} = Q_\emptyset = 1$. Hence τ_{MM} and τ_{KW} have the same initial value. By Theorem 1.1 and Kontsevich's theorem, both τ_{MM} and τ_{KW} satisfy the same Virasoro constraints. Since the Virasoro constraints determine the tau functions up to a constant (cf. [D] and [VV]), this completes the proof of Corollary 1.2. \square

Appendix

A Proof of Corollary 2.3

In this section we give a proof of Corollary 2.3.

We first show equation (15) holds for all partitions. By skew symmetry of Q-polynomials, both sides of equation (15) are skew symmetric with respect to permutations of λ if λ is weakly positive. If λ has at least two positive equal parts, then equation (15) holds since both sides are zero. By Lemma 2.2, equation (15) holds for all positive partitions. We then prove equation (15) by induction on the number of parts of λ which are zero. Through permutation of a zero part and positive parts, we can assume $\lambda = (\mu, 0)$ where the number of zero parts in μ is strictly less than the number of zero parts in λ . In this case, equation (15) has the form

$$rt_r Q_{(\mu,0)} = \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} Q_{(\mu+r\epsilon_i,0)} + Q_{(\mu,r)} + \frac{1}{2}Q_{(\mu,0,r)} + \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \frac{(-1)^{r-k}}{4} Q_{(\mu,0,k,r-k)}.$$

The two middle terms on the right hand side of this equation adds up to $\frac{1}{2}Q_{(\mu,r)}$. The 0 parts appeared in partitions in all other terms in the above equation can be simply removed. The result is exactly the same as equation (15) applied to μ which holds by induction hypothesis.

We can prove equation (13) holds for all partitions in a similar way. Namely we first show that both sides of equation (13) just change by a sign if we switch two adjacent parts of λ which are not both equal to 0, then finish the proof by induction on the number of zero parts of λ . We omit the detail here since it is quite messy. Instead, from the proof of equation (13) outlined in [Mac] page 265-266, we can see that this equation holds for a larger system of functions Q_λ which are defined for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^l$. In particular, it must hold when λ is a partition. This completes the proof of Corollary 2.3. \square

B Some elementary identities

In this section we prove some elementary identities which are needed in the proof of the Virasoro constraints for τ_{MM} .

Lemma B.1 *For any positive integers $a < b < c$ and non-negative integers k_a, k_b, k_c indexed by a, b, c , we have*

$$\sum_{(i,j,m) \in P(a,b,c)} (-1)^{j+\tilde{i}(j)+\tilde{m}(i,j)} \frac{(k_i+1-k_m)}{(k_i+1)(k_i+1+k_m)} = 0,$$

where $\tilde{j}(i)$ has been defined by equation (38), $\tilde{m}(i, j)$ has been defined by equation (88), and $P(a, b, c)$ denotes the set of all permutations of (a, b, c) .

Proof: Note that

$$j + \tilde{i}(j) + \tilde{m}(i, j) = i + j + m - \delta_{i < j} - \delta_{i > m} - \delta_{j < m}.$$

Using the identity

$$\frac{(k_i+1-k_m)}{(k_i+1)(k_i+1+k_m)} - \frac{(k_m+1-k_i)}{(k_m+1)(k_m+1+k_i)} = \frac{1}{k_m+1} - \frac{1}{k_i+1},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(i,j,m) \in P(a,b,c)} (-1)^{j+\tilde{i}(j)+\tilde{m}(i,j)} \frac{(k_i+1-k_m)}{(k_i+1)(k_i+1+k_m)} \\ &= (-1)^{a+b+c} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{k_c+1} - \frac{1}{k_a+1} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{k_b+1} - \frac{1}{k_c+1} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{k_a+1} - \frac{1}{k_b+1} \right) \right\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma B.2 *For any positive integers $a < b < c$ and non-negative integers k_a, k_b, k_c indexed by a, b, c , we have*

$$\sum_{(i,j,m) \in P(a,b,c)} (-1)^{j+\tilde{i}(j)+\tilde{m}(i,j)} D_{i,m} = 0,$$

where $D_{i,m} := \frac{(6k_i+5)(6k_i+1)(k_i+1-k_m)}{(k_i+1)(k_i+1+k_m)}$.

Proof: Let $E_{i,m} := \frac{36k_i k_m + 36k_i + 36k_m + 41}{k_i+1}$. Then

$$D_{i,m} - D_{m,i} = E_{m,i} - E_{i,m}.$$

Since $E_{i,j} - E_{i,m} = 36(k_j - k_m)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(i,j,m) \in P(a,b,c)} (-1)^{j+\tilde{i}(j)+\tilde{m}(i,j)} D_{i,m} \\ &= (-1)^{a+b+c+1} \{ (E_{a,c} - E_{a,b}) + (E_{b,a} - E_{b,c}) + (E_{c,b} - E_{c,a}) \} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

References

- [A11] A. Alexandrov, *Cut-and-Join operator representation for Kontsevich-Witten tau-function*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 26 (2011), p2193-2199, arXiv:1009.4887.
- [A20] A. Alexandrov, *Intersection numbers on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ and BKP hierarchy*, arXiv:2012.07573.
- [ASY] K. Aokage, E. Shinkawa and H.-F. Yamada, *Pfaffian identities and Virasoro operators*, Lett. Math. Phys. vol. 110 (2020), p1381-1389.
- [BY] F. Balogh and D. Yang, *Geometric interpretation of Zhou's explicit formula for the Witten-Kontsevich tau function*, Lett. Math. Phys. 107 (2017) no.10, p1837-1857.
- [CK] D. Cox and S. Katz, *Mirror Symmetry and Algebraic Geometry*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [DIZ] P. Di Francesco, C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber, *Polynomial averages in the Kontsevich model*, Commun. Math. Phys. 151 (1993), 193-219.
- [D] R. Dijkgraaf, *Intersection Theory, Integrable Hierarchies and Topological Field Theory*, arXiv:hep-th/9201003.
- [DVV] R. Dijkgraaf, H. Verlinde, E. Verlinde, *Loop equations and Virasoro constraints in nonperturbative two-dimensional quantum gravity*, Nuclear Phys. B 348 (1991), no. 3, p435-456.
- [DZ] B. Dubrovin, Y. Zhang, *Frobenius manifolds and Virasoro constraints*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 5 (1999) 423-466.
- [EHX] T. Eguchi, K. Hori, and C. Xiong, *Quantum Cohomology and Virasoro Algebra*, Phys. Lett. B 402 (1997), 71-80.
- [FKN] M. Fukuma, H. Kawai, R. Nakayama, *Continuum Schwinger-Dyson equations and universal structures in two-dimensional quantum gravity*, Internat. J. Modern Phys. A 6 (1991), no. 8, p1385-1406.
- [HH] P. N. Hoffman and J. F. Humphreys, *Projective representations of the symmetric groups, Q-functions and shifted Tableaux*, Clarendon Press . Oxford, 1992.
- [HJ] R. Horn and R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, second edition, Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [IZ] C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber, *Combinatorics of the modular group. 2. The Kontsevich integrals*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 7 (1992), p5661-5705.
- [J] J. Jòzefiak, *Symmetric functions in the Kontsevich-Witten intersection theory of the moduli space of curves*, Lett. Math. Phys. 33 (1995), no. 4, 347-351.

- [KL] V. Kac and J. van de Leur, *Polynomial tau-functions of BKP and DKP hierarchies*, J. Math. Phys. 60 (2019) no.7, 071702, arXiv:1811.08733.
- [KS] V. Kac and A. Schwarz, *Geometric interpretation of the partition function of 2D gravity*, Phys. Lett. B 257 (1991), no. 3-4, p329-334.
- [KMMMZ] S. Kharchev, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, A. Zabrodin, *Unification of All String Models with $c < 1$* , Physics Letters B, Vol. 275 (1992), 311-314.
- [K] M. Kontsevich, *Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function*, Commun. Math. Phys. 147 (1992), 1-23.
- [Lit] D. E. Littlewood, *On certain symmetric functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 11 (1961), 485-498.
- [L] X. Liu, *Genus-2 Gromov-Witten invariants for manifolds with semisimple quantum cohomology*, Amer. J. Math. 129 (2007), no. 2, 463-498.
- [LT] X. Liu and G. Tian, *Virasoro constraints for quantum cohomology*, J. Diff. Geom. 50 (1998), 537-591.
- [LY] X. Liu and C. Yang, *Q-polynomial expansion for Brezin-Gross-Witten tau-function*, arXiv:2104.01357.
- [Mac] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1995).
- [MMM] A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, *On Equivalence of Topological and Quantum 2d Gravity*, Physics Letters B, vol. 274 (1992) p280-288.
- [MM] A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Superintegrability of Kontsevich matrix model*, arXiv:2011.12917.
- [MMN] A. Mironov, A. Morozov and S. Natanzon, *Cut-and-join structure and integrability for spin Hurwitz numbers*, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) no.2, 97.
- [MMNO] A. Mironov, A. Morozov, S. Natanzon, A. Orlov, *Around spin Hurwitz numbers*, arXiv:2012.09847.
- [O] S. Okada, *Pfaffian formulas and Schur Q-function identities*, Advances in Math. vol. 353 (2019), p446-470.
- [OP] A. Okounkov and R. Pandharipande, *Virasoro constraints for target curves*, Invent. Math. 163 (2006), no. 1, 47-108.
- [Or] A. Orlov, *Hypergeometric functions related to Schur Q-polynomials and the BKP equation*, Theor. Math. Phys. 137 (2003), p1574-1589.

- [T] C. Teleman, *The structure of 2D semisimple field theories*, Invent. Math. 188 (2012), pp 525-588.
- [VV] H. Verlinde, E. Verlinde, *A Solution of Two-Dimensional Topological Quantum Gravity*, Nuclear Physics B 348 (1991), p457-489.
- [W90] E. Witten, *Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space*. Surveys in differential geometry (Cambridge, MA, 1990), 243-310, Lehigh Univ., Bethlehem, PA, 1991.
- [W91] E. Witten, *On the Kontsevich model and other models of two dimensional gravity*, Proc. XXth International Conference on Differential geometric methods in theoretical physics, New York 1991, World Scientific (1992), p176–216.
- [Y] Y. You, *Polynomial solutions of the BKP hierarchy and projective representations of symmetric groups*, Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras and Groups (Luminy-Marseille, 1988), Adv. Ser. Math. Phys 7 (1989), 449-464.
- [Z] J. Zhou, *Explicit Formula for Witten-Kontsevich Tau-Function*, arXiv:1306.5429.

Xiaobo Liu
 School of Mathematical Sciences &
 Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research,
 Peking University, Beijing, China.
 Email: *xbliu@math.pku.edu.cn*

Chenglang Yang
 Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research,
 Peking University, Beijing, China.
 Email: *yangcl@pku.edu.cn*