

LINK CRITERION FOR LIPSCHITZ NORMAL EMBEDDING OF DEFINABLE SETS

NHAN NGUYEN

ABSTRACT. We give a link criterion for normal embedding of definable sets in o-minimal structures. Namely, we prove that given a definable germ $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ with $(X \setminus \{0\}, 0)$ connected and a continuous definable function $\rho : (X, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\rho(x) \sim \|x\|$, then $(X, 0)$ is Lipschitz normally embedded (LNE) if and only if $(X, 0)$ is link Lipschitz normally embedded (LLNE) with respect to ρ (i.e., for $r > 0$ small enough, $X \cap \rho^{-1}(r)$ is Lipschitz normally embedded and its LNE constant is bounded by a constant C independent of r). This is a generalization of Mendes–Sampaio’s result for the subanalytic case. As an application, we give a counterexample to a question on the relation between Lipschitz normal embedding and MD Homology asked by Bobadilla et al in their paper about Moderately Discontinuous Homology.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a connected definable set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, one can equip X with two natural metrics: *the outer metric* (d_{out}) induced by the Euclidean metric of the ambient space \mathbb{R}^n and *the inner metric* (d_{inn}) where the distance between two points in X is defined as the infimum of the lengths of rectifiable curves connecting these points. We call X Lipschitz normally embedded (LNE) if these two metrics are equivalent, i.e., there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$d_{inn}(x, y) \leq C d_{out}(x, y), \forall x, y \in X.$$

Any such C is referred to as a *LNE constant* for X . We say that X is LNE at a point $x_0 \in \bar{X}$ (or the germ (X, x_0) is LNE) if there is a neighbourhood U of x_0 in \mathbb{R}^n such that $X \cap U$ is LNE.

The notion of Normal Embedding first appeared in a paper of Birbrair and Mostowski [4], it has since been an active research area and many interesting results were proved in [2], [3], [4], [7], [8], [9], [14], [15]. Most of these results concern with necessary conditions for Lipschitz Normal Embeddings. Birbrair and Mendes [2] prove that Lipschitz normal embedding of a semialgebraic germ $(X, 0)$ is equivalent to the condition that for any pair of arcs parametrized by the distance to the origin, the inner and outer contacts are the same. Recently, Mendes and Sampaio [13] gave a nice criterion for a germ of subanalytic set to be LNE based on the LNE condition on the link. Namely, they prove that

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14B05; Secondary 32C05.

Theorem 1.1 ([13], Theorem 1.1). *A subanalytic germ $(X, 0)$ in \mathbb{R}^n with $(X \setminus \{0\}, 0)$ connected is LNE if and only if $(X, 0)$ is LLNE.*

Let us recall the definition of LLNE (link Lipschitz normally embedded). For $r > 0$, the r -link of X is the set $L_{X,r} := X \cap \mathbf{S}_r^{n-1}$ where \mathbf{S}_r^{n-1} denotes the $(n-1)$ -dimensional sphere the radius r and centered at the origin. $(X, 0)$ is called LLNE if there are $r_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for all $0 < r < r_0$, $L_{X,r}$ are LNE with LNE constants bounded by C . We call such constant C a LLNE constant for $(X, 0)$.

The arguments in Mendes–Sampaio’s proof rely on Birbrair–Mendes’s result and especially on a powerful result due to Valette [20] which states as follows:

Theorem 1.2 ([18], Theorem 4.4.8; [20], Corollary 2.2). *Let $(X, 0)$ be a subanalytic germ in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $\rho : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz subanalytic function such that $\rho(x) \sim \|x\|$. Then there is a bi-Lipschitz subanalytic homeomorphism $h : (X, 0) \rightarrow (X, 0)$ such that $\|h(x)\| = \rho(x)$.*

The above theorem implies that, up to a bi-Lipschitz subanalytic homeomorphism, the link of X can be defined as a fiber of a Lipschitz subanalytic function “preserving” the distance to the origin. By similar arguments one can check that Mendes–Sampaio’s result holds true for definable sets in polynomially bounded o-minimal structures. It is because both Birbrair–Mendes’ and Valette’s results still work for this setting. However, there is a big obstruction with non-polynomially bounded o-minimal structures. The notion of the order between two definable arcs as in the result of Birbrair–Mendes does not make a good sense, furthermore the result of Valette is no longer valid. It is easy to find examples of a definable set in an exponential o-minimal structure whose r -Link is of different bi-Lipschitz type when r changes. It raises a question whether Theorem 1.1 is true in any o-minimal structure. Surprisingly, the answer is positive. In fact, in Section 2 we prove a more general statement:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $(X, 0)$ be a definable germ in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $\rho : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous definable function such that $\rho(x) \sim \|x\|$. Suppose that $(X \setminus \{0\}, 0)$ is connected. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $(X, 0)$ is LNE.

(ii) *There are $r_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for all $0 < r < r_0$, $\rho^{-1}(r) \cap X$ are LNE with LNE constants bounded by C .*

If a germ $(X, 0)$ satisfies the condition (ii) then we call $(X, 0)$ LLNE with respect to ρ . Our idea to prove Theorem 1.3 is as follows. First by a continuous definable extension we can assume that ρ is well defined on the whole \mathbb{R}^n . We show that the map $\varphi(x) := \frac{\rho(x)}{\|x\|_\infty} x$ is a germ of a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Note that φ takes $X \cap \rho^{-1}(r)$ to $\varphi(X) \cap \rho'^{-1}(r)$ where $\rho'(x) := \|x\|_\infty$ (Lemma 2.5). This reduces our problem to proving the theorem for a germ $(X, 0)$ and $\rho = \|x\|_\infty$. To do this, we need Lemma 2.3 which tells us the fastest way to move from a point in $X \cap \rho^{-1}(r)$ to $X \cap \rho^{-1}(r')$ for $r \neq r'$. Indeed this lemma is enough to prove that (ii) implies (i). For the converse, we have to separate $(X, 0)$ into finitely many L -cells which are proved to be LLNE with respect to ρ (see Lemma 2.6). The LLNE

property of L -cells allows us to show that the length of the shortest path in X connecting two points in $X \cap \rho^{-1}(r)$ is equivalent to the length of a path in $X \cap \rho^{-1}(r)$ connecting these points. This is enough for us to prove that (i) implies (ii).

In [5] Bobadilla–Heinze–Pereira–Sampaio introduced a homology called Moderately Discontinuous homology (MD homology) in order to capture the singular homology of the link of given subanalytic germ $(X, 0)$ after collapsing with a certain speed. The identity map $Id : (X, 0, d_{inn}) \rightarrow (X, 0, d_{out})$ induces homomorphisms between groups of MD-homologies of (X, x_0) for all $x_0 \in (X, 0)$. It is easy to check that if $(X, 0)$ is LNE then these homomorphisms are actually isomorphisms. It is asked if the converse holds, i.e., suppose these homomorphisms are isomorphisms then is it true that $(X, 0)$ is LNE. In Section 3, as an application of Theorem 1.3, we give an example showing that in general the answer is negative.

Throughout the paper, we assume that the reader is familiar with the notion of o-minimal structures on \mathbb{R} . By “definable” we mean definable in the a given o-minimal structure. We will use Curve Selection ([6], Theorem 3.2), Definable Choice ([6], Theorem 3.1) without reciting the references. We refer the reader to [6], [21] for more details about the theory of o-minimal structures.

We denote by \mathbf{B}_r^n , \mathbf{S}_r^{n-1} respectively the n -dimensional closed ball and $(n-1)$ -dimensional sphere in \mathbb{R}^n of radius r centered at 0. Given $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by \overline{X} the closure of X in \mathbb{R}^n . Given non-negative functions $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we write $f \lesssim g$ (or $g \gtrsim f$) if there is $C > 0$ such that $f(x) \leq Cg(x), \forall x \in X$, and write $f \sim g$ if $f \lesssim g$ and $g \lesssim f$. For two function germs $f, g : (X, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we write $f \ll g$ (or $f = o(g)$) if $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)/g(x) \rightarrow 0$ where $g(x) \neq 0$. $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the maximum norm. Given a definable set X , sometimes instead of using the notion d_{inn} we use d_X for the inner metric on X .

2. LINK CRITERION OF LIPSCHITZ NORMALLY EMBEDDING

In this section, we will give a proof of Theorem 1.3. We need the following results.

Definition 2.1. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

(1) We call A a *standard L -cell* (with constant C) if

- $n = 1$: A is a point or an open interval,
- $n > 1$: A has one of the following forms
 - (i) (Graph) $\Gamma_\xi := \{(x, y) \in B \times \mathbb{R} : x \in B, y = \xi(x)\}$
 - (ii) (Band) $(\xi_1, \xi_2) := \{(x, y) \in B \times \mathbb{R} : x \in B, \xi_1(x) < y < \xi_2(x)\}$
 where B is a standard L -cell (with constant C) in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and $\xi, \xi_1, \xi_2 : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are C^1 definable functions such that $\|D\xi(x)\|$, $\|D\xi_1(x)\|$ and $\|D\xi_2(x)\|$ are bounded by C . We call B the *basis* of A .

(2) We call $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a *L -cell* if there is an orthogonal change of coordinates $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\phi(A)$ is a standard L -cell.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a definable set. If $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous definable functions such that $f(x) \leq g(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f(x) \leq h(x) \leq g(x), \forall x \in X$, then there is a continuous definable extension $H : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of h such that $f(x) \leq H(x) \leq g(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Proof. Take

$$h'(x) := \inf_{a \in X} h(a) \frac{d(x, a)}{d(x, X)}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus X.$$

It is easy to prove that h' is a continuous definable function on the whole \mathbb{R}^n (see [1], Lemma 6.6). Define $H : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $H(x) := \max\{f(x), \min\{g(x), h'(x)\}\}$. Then H satisfies the statement of the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{X} = \{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a finite collection of definable germs at 0. Let $\rho : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, 0)$ be a germ of a continuous definable function such that $\rho(x) \sim \|x\|$. Then, there are $r_0 > 0, C > 0$, a definable stratification Σ compatible with $\{X_i \setminus 0\}_{i \in I}$ and a continuous integrable stratified vector field ξ on Σ such that the flow $\Phi : (X \setminus \{0\}) \times [0, r_0] \rightarrow X \setminus \{0\}$ generated by ξ has the following properties:*

- (1) $\Phi(x, s)$ preserves the strata of the stratification (i.e, if $x \in S \in \Sigma$ then $\Phi(x, s) \in S, \forall s \in [0, r_0]$),
- (2) $\Phi(x, s) \in X \cap \rho^{-1}(r - s)$ for any $s < r \leq r_0$ and $x \in X \cap \rho^{-1}(r)$,
- (3) $\text{length}(\Phi(x \times [0, s])) \leq Cs$.

Proof. Let Σ be a Whitney (b)-regular stratification of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ compatible with $\{X_i \setminus \{0\}\}_{i \in I}$ such that the restriction of ρ to each stratum of Σ is of class C^2 . The existence of Whitney stratification for definable sets is proved in [11] (see also [12], [16]). For $x \neq 0$, set $v(x) := \frac{x}{\|x\|}$. For $x \in S \in \Sigma$, set $w = P_x(v(x))$ where $P_x : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow T_x S$ is the orthogonal projection from \mathbb{R}^n to the tangent space to S at the point x .

We claim that for any $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0$ there is $R > 0$ such that

- (i) $\|w(x) - v(x)\| < \varepsilon, \forall x \in \mathbf{B}_R^n \setminus \{0\}$.
- (ii) for $x \in S \cap \mathbf{B}_R^n, S \in \Sigma$, we have $d_x(\rho|_S)(w(x)) > \varepsilon'$ where $d_x(\rho|_S)$ denotes the tangent map at x of the restriction $\rho|_S$.

First, we give a proof for (i). Assume that (i) is not true. By Curve Selection, there are $\varepsilon > 0$, a stratum $S \in \Sigma$ and a C^1 definable curve $\gamma : [0, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\gamma(0) = 0$, $\gamma((0, \delta)) \subset S$ such that for every $t \in (0, \delta)$:

$$\|w(\gamma(t)) - v(\gamma(t))\| \geq \varepsilon, \forall t \in (0, \delta).$$

Since γ is a C^1 curve through the origin, the angle between $v(\gamma(t)) = \frac{\gamma(t)}{\|\gamma(t)\|}$ and the tangent line to γ at $\gamma(t)$ tends to 0 as t tends to 0. This implies the angle between $v(\gamma(t))$ and the tangent space $T_{\gamma(t)} S$ tends to 0 as well, which gives a contradiction.

Now we prove (ii). We will show that

$$|d_x(\rho|_S)(w(x))| > \varepsilon', \forall x \in S \cap \mathbf{B}_R^n.$$

Assume on the contrary that (ii) fails. By Curve Selection there are $S \in \Sigma$ and a C^1 definable curve $\alpha : [0, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\alpha((0, \delta)) \subset S$ such that $d_x(\rho|_S)(w(\alpha(t))) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Reparametrizing α if necessary, we may assume $\|\alpha(t)\| \sim t$. Then $\alpha(t) = at + o(t)$ where $a \in \mathbb{R}^n, a \neq 0$. Note that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} v(\alpha(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} w(\alpha(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha'(t)}{\|\alpha'(t)\|}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} d_{\alpha(t)}(\rho|_S)(w(\alpha(t))) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} d_{\alpha(t)}(\rho|_S)\left(\frac{\alpha'(t)}{\|\alpha'(t)\|}\right) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\|\alpha'(t)\|} \frac{d(\rho(\alpha(t)))}{dt}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\alpha'(t)\| = \|a\| \neq 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{d(\rho(\alpha(t)))}{dt} = 0$. This implies that $\rho(\alpha(t)) \ll t$, which contradicts the fact that $\rho(\alpha(t)) \sim \|\alpha(t)\| \sim t$.

Observe that for any C^1 definable curve $\beta : [0, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\beta(0) = 0$ and $\beta((0, \delta)) \subset S$ for some $S \in \Sigma$, $\rho \circ \beta(t)$ is a non-negative definable function, $\rho(\beta(0)) = 0$ and $\rho(\beta(t)) \sim t > 0$ as $t > 0$. This implies that $\rho \circ \beta$ is strictly increasing near 0. Hence $d_{\beta(t)}(\rho|_S)(w(\beta(t))) > 0$ as t near 0. Thus, $d_x(\rho|_S)(w(x)) > 0, \forall x \in S \cap \mathbf{B}_R^n$, so (ii) is proved.

Now we prove the lemma. It is showed in the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [17] that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $R > 0$ and a continuous integrable stratified vector field μ on Σ such that $\|\mu(x) - v(x)\| < \varepsilon$. By (i) in the claim, shrinking R if necessary, we may assume that $\|\mu(x) - w(x)\| < 2\varepsilon$. It follows from (ii) that there is $\varepsilon' > 0$ such that $d_x(\rho|_S)(\mu(x)) > \varepsilon'$.

Set

$$\xi(x) := \frac{-\mu(x)}{d_x(\rho|_S)(\mu(x))}$$

where $x \in S \in \Sigma$. When ε small enough, we have $\|\mu(x)\| \sim \|w(x)\| \sim \|v(x)\|$. Since $\|v(x)\| = 1$, there is $C > 0$ such that $\|\xi\| < C$. Furthermore, since μ is integrable so is ξ .

Let $\Phi(x, t)$ denote the flow generated by ξ . Take $0 < r_0 < R$ such that $\rho^{-1}((0, r_0)) \subset \mathbf{B}_R^n$ (this is possible because $\rho(x) \sim \|x\|$). We now check that Φ satisfies the conditions (1), (2) and (3). (1) is clear from the fact that ξ is a stratified vector field on Σ . Since $d_x(\rho|_S)(\xi(x)) = -1$, for $x \in S \cap \rho^{-1}(r)$ ($r \leq r_0$) and $s < r$ one has $\Phi(x, s) \in S \cap \rho^{-1}(r - s)$. Condition (2) then follows. Finally,

$$\text{length}(\Phi(x \times [0, s])) = \int_0^s |\xi(\Phi(x, s))| ds \leq C \int_0^s ds = Cs,$$

and hence (3) is satisfied. □

Let us denote by \mathcal{L} the set of all half lines in \mathbb{R}^n starting at the origin.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\rho : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a continuous definable function such that $\rho(x) \sim \|x\|$. There is $R > 0$ such that $\rho|_{l \cap \mathbf{B}_R^n} : l \cap \mathbf{B}_R^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is injective for every $l \in \mathcal{L}$.*

Proof. It follows from the claim (ii) in the proof of Lemma 2.3 that there is a definable open dense subset of \mathbf{B}_R^n , let say U , such that ρ is C^2 on U and

$$\langle \text{grad}\rho(x), \frac{x}{\|x\|} \rangle > \varepsilon, \forall x \in U, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\text{grad}\rho(x)$ is the gradient of ρ at the point x .

Assume on the contrary that the statement of the lemma is not true. By Curve Selection, there is a C^1 definable curve $\gamma : (0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, t \mapsto (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t))$ such that (i): $\gamma_1(0) = \gamma_2(0) = 0$, $\gamma_1(t) \neq \gamma_2(t)$ and they are both contained in some $l_t \in \mathcal{L}$; (ii): $\rho(\gamma_1(t)) = \rho(\gamma_2(t))$. Shrinking R if necessary, we may assume that $\|\gamma_1(t)\| < \|\gamma_2(t)\|$.

Let $l := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} l_t \in \mathcal{L}$. By (i), γ_1 and γ_2 are sharing the same tangent cone at 0 that coincides with l . By (2.1), for each t we can choose $\tilde{\gamma}(t) \in U$ sufficiently close to $\gamma_2(t)$ such that $\|\rho(\tilde{\gamma}(t)) - \rho(\gamma_2(t))\| \ll \|\tilde{\gamma}(t) - \gamma_1(t)\|$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\gamma_2(t)}{\|\gamma_2(t)\|} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tilde{\gamma}(t)}{\|\tilde{\gamma}(t)\|}$ and the intersection $[\gamma_1(t), \tilde{\gamma}(t)] \cap U$ is dense in the segment $[\gamma_1(t), \tilde{\gamma}(t)]$. By Definable Choice, we may assume that $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a C^1 definable curve. It is obvious that for any $\beta(t) \in [\gamma_1(t), \tilde{\gamma}(t)] \cap U$ we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\beta(t)}{\|\beta(t)\|} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tilde{\gamma}(t) - \gamma_1(t)}{\|\tilde{\gamma}(t) - \gamma_1(t)\|} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\gamma_1(t)}{\|\gamma_1(t)\|}.$$

Moreover, (2.1) shows

$$\langle \text{grad}\rho(\beta(t)), \frac{\beta(t)}{\|\beta(t)\|} \rangle > \varepsilon.$$

Thus, for t small enough,

$$\langle \text{grad}\rho(\beta(t)), \frac{\tilde{\gamma}(t) - \gamma_1(t)}{\|\tilde{\gamma}(t) - \gamma_1(t)\|} \rangle > \varepsilon/2.$$

Since such $\beta(t)$ exists almost everywhere in $[\gamma_1(t), \tilde{\gamma}(t)]$,

$$|\rho(\tilde{\gamma}(t)) - \rho(\gamma_1(t))| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|\tilde{\gamma}(t) - \gamma_1(t)\|,$$

which contradicts the fact that $\|\rho(\tilde{\gamma}(t)) - \rho(\gamma_2(t))\| = \|\rho(\tilde{\gamma}(t)) - \rho(\gamma_1(t))\| \ll \|\tilde{\gamma}(t) - \gamma_1(t)\|$. This ends the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\rho : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, 0)$ be a germ of a continuous definable function such that $\rho(x) \sim \|x\|$. Let $\varphi : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ defined by $\varphi(x) := \frac{\rho(x)}{\|x\|_\infty} x$ if $x \neq 0$ and $\varphi(0) = 0$. Then φ is a germ of a bi-Lipschitz definable homeomorphism.*

Proof. First we show that φ is a bijection. Note that x and $\varphi(x)$ lie in the same half line starting at the origin. To prove the bijectivity of φ it suffices to show that there is $R > 0$ such that for any $l \in \mathcal{L}$ the restriction of φ to $l \cap (\mathbf{B}_R^n \setminus \{0\})$ is injective.

Take $R > 0$ as in Lemma 2.4. We have the restriction of ρ to $l \cap \mathbf{B}_R^n$ is injective. Let x and x' be in $l \cap (\mathbf{B}_R^n \setminus \{0\})$, $x \neq x'$. Then, there is $t \neq 0$ such that $x = tx'$. We have

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(x') \Leftrightarrow \frac{\rho(x)}{\|x\|_\infty} x = \frac{\rho(tx')}{\|tx'\|_\infty} tx'$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \rho(x) = \rho(tx) = \rho(x') \Leftrightarrow x = x' \text{ (since } \rho|_{l \cap \mathbf{B}_R^n} \text{ is injective).}$$

Thus, $\varphi|_{l \cap \mathbf{B}_R^n \setminus \{0\}}$ is injective.

To prove φ is bi-Lipschitz on \mathbf{B}_R^n , it is enough to show that $\frac{\|\varphi(x) - \varphi(x')\|}{\|x - x'\|} \sim 1$ for $x, x' \in (\mathbf{B}_R^n \setminus \{0\})$. Let $x, x' \in \mathbf{B}_R^n \setminus \{0\}$, we may assume $\|x\| \geq \|x'\|$. Set $\sigma(x) := \frac{\rho(x)}{\|x\|_\infty}$. Note that $\sigma(x) \sim 1$, then there are $C_1 > C_2 > 0$ such that $C_2 \leq \sigma(x)/\sigma(x') \leq C_1$ for all $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This implies that $C_1 \frac{\|x\|}{\|x'\|} \geq \|\frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(x')}\| \geq C_2 \frac{\|x\|}{\|x'\|}$. Put $K := \max\{3, 3/C_2\}$. The proof is split into two cases.

Case 1: $\frac{\|x\|}{\|x'\|} \geq K$. In this case we have $\|\frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(x')}\|$ and $\frac{\|x\|}{\|x'\|}$ are both greater than 3.

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - x'\|^2 &= \|x\|^2 + \|x'\|^2 - 2 \cos \alpha \|x\| \|x'\| \\ &\gtrsim \|x\| + \|x'\|^2 - \frac{2}{3} \|x\|^2 \sim \|x\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where α is the angle between $\overrightarrow{0x}$ and $\overrightarrow{0x'}$. On the other hand, $\|x - x'\| \leq \| \|x\| - \|x'\| \| \sim \|x\|$. Therefore, $\|x - x'\| \sim \|x\|$.

Similarly, one can easily show that $\|\varphi(x) - \varphi(x')\| \sim \|\varphi(x)\|$. It induces that

$$\frac{\|\varphi(x) - \varphi(x')\|}{\|x - x'\|} \sim \frac{\|\varphi(x)\|}{\|x\|} \sim 1.$$

Case 2: $1 \leq \frac{\|x\|}{\|x'\|} \leq K$. We have $C_2 \leq \frac{\|\varphi(x)\|}{\|\varphi(x')\|} \leq KC_1$. If $\cos \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - x'\|^2 &= \|x\|^2 + \|x'\|^2 - 2 \cos \alpha \|x\| \|x'\| \\ &\geq \|x\| + \|x'\|^2 - \|x\|^2 \geq (1/K) \|x'\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|x\| \sim \|x'\|$, $\|x - x'\| \leq \|x\|$. This implies that $\|x - x'\| \sim \|x\|$. Similarly, we also have $\|\varphi(x) - \varphi(x')\| \sim \|\varphi(x)\|$, hence $\frac{\|\varphi(x) - \varphi(x')\|}{\|x - x'\|} \sim 1$.

Now we assume that $\cos \alpha > 1/2$, i.e., $\alpha < \pi/3$. Let l be the half line in \mathcal{L} containing x . Let z and z' denote the images of x' and $\varphi(x')$ under the orthogonal projection onto l . Let β denote the angle between $\overrightarrow{x0}$ and \overrightarrow{xz} , and let β' denote the angle between $\overrightarrow{\varphi(x)0}$ and $\overrightarrow{\varphi(x)\varphi(x')}$ (see Figure 1). We have $\|x - x'\| \sin \beta = \|x' - z\|$ and $\|\varphi(x) - \varphi(x')\| \sin \beta' =$




FIGURE 1.

$\|\varphi(x') - z'\|$. It follows that

$$\frac{\|\varphi(x) - \varphi(x')\|}{\|x - x'\|} \frac{\sin \beta'}{\sin \beta} = \frac{\|\varphi(x') - z'\|}{\|x' - z\|} = \frac{\|\varphi(x')\|}{\|x'\|} \sim 1.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\|\varphi(x) - \varphi(x')\|}{\|x - x'\|} \sim \frac{\sin \beta}{\sin \beta'}.$$

Since $\|x\| \sim \|x'\|$ and $\|\varphi(x)\| \sim \|\varphi(x')\|$, β and β' are bounded away from 0. This implies that $\frac{\sin \beta}{\sin \beta'} \sim 1$, so $\frac{\|\varphi(x) - \varphi(x')\|}{\|x - x'\|} \sim 1$. □

We define $\mathcal{C}_n := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 \leq x_i \leq x_1, i = 2, \dots, n\}$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $C \subset \mathcal{C}_n$ be a definable set such that $\dim X \geq 1$. Suppose $(C, 0)$ is a germ of a L -cell. Then \overline{C} is LLNE at 0 with respect to $\rho(x) = \|x\|_\infty$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on n . For $n = 1$, the result is trivial.

Let $B \subset \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$ denote the basis of C . There are two possibilities of C , either a graph or a band over B .

Case 1: $C = \Gamma_\xi$ (graph). Since ξ is Lipschitz, it is possible to extend it to a Lipschitz function over \overline{B} . We use the same notation ξ for this extension. Obviously, \overline{C} is the graph of ξ over \overline{B} . Note that $\rho|_{\mathcal{C}_n} = x_1$ and $\overline{C} \subset \mathcal{C}_n$. It is obvious that $\overline{C}(r) := \overline{C} \cap \rho^{-1}(r)$ is a the graph of the restriction of ξ to $\overline{B}(r) := \overline{B} \cap \rho^{-1}(r)$. By inductive assumption we have $\overline{B}(r)$ is LNE, moreover, ξ is a Lipschitz function, therefore $\overline{C}(r)$ is LNE.

Case 2: $C = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ (band). Extend ξ_1, ξ_2 to Lipschitz functions on \overline{B} , use the same notation for these extensions. It is clear that $\overline{C} = \{(x, y) \in \overline{B} \times \mathbb{R} : \xi_1(x) \leq y \leq \xi_2(x)\}$. In this case $\overline{C}(r) := \overline{C} \cap \rho^{-1}(r)$ is the band bounded by the graphs of ξ_1 and ξ_2 restricted to $\overline{B}(r) := \rho^{-1}(r) \cap \overline{B}$. We may write $\overline{C}(r) = (\xi_1|_{\overline{B}(r)}, \xi_2|_{\overline{B}(r)})$.

Given two points z and z' in $\overline{C}(r)$. There are finitely many points $\{z = z_0, z_1, \dots, z_k = z'\}$ contained in the segment $[z, z']$ connecting z and z' such that $z_i \in \Gamma_{\xi_1|_{\overline{B}(r)}} \cup \Gamma_{\xi_2|_{\overline{B}(r)}}$ where $1 \leq i \leq k$, and for each $i < k$, the open interval (z_i, z_{i+1}) is either in $\overline{C}(r)$ or in $(\mathcal{C}_n \cap \{x_1 = r\}) \setminus \overline{C}(r)$. If $(z_i, z_{i+1}) \subset \overline{C}(r)$ then $\|z_i - z_{i+1}\| = d_{\overline{C}(r)}(z_i, z_{i+1})$. Recall that by d_A we mean the inner metric on A . If $(z_i, z_{i+1}) \subset (\mathcal{C}_n \cap \{x_1 = r\}) \setminus \overline{C}(r)$ then z_i and z_{i+1} are both in the same graph of $\xi_j|_{\overline{B}(r)}$, $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Since $\overline{B}(r)$ is LNE (by inductive assumption) and ξ_j is Lipschitz, the graph of $\xi_j|_{\overline{B}(r)}$ is LNE. This implies that $\|z_i - z_{i+1}\| \sim d_{\Gamma_{\xi_j|_{\overline{B}(r)}}}(z_i, z_{i+1}) \geq d_{\overline{C}(r)}(z_i, z_{i+1})$. Therefore,

$$\|z - z'\| = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \|z_i - z_{i+1}\| \gtrsim \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} d_{\overline{C}(r)}(z_i, z_{i+1}) \geq d_{\overline{C}(r)}(z, z').$$

Note that in both cases, the LNE constant for $\overline{C}(r)$ is uniformly bounded by a constant depending only on \overline{B} and the Lipschitz constants of ξ, ξ_1, ξ_2 . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By taking a continuous definable extension we may assume that ρ is defined on the whole \mathbb{R}^n (see Lemma 2.2).

Case 1: $\rho(x) = \|x\|_\infty$. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, set $C_k^+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\|_\infty = x_k\}$ and $C_k^- := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\|_\infty = -x_k\}$. Then $\mathbb{R}^n = \bigcup_{k=1}^n C_k^+ \cup C_k^-$. There is a definable partition $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i\}_{i \in I}$ of \mathbb{R}^n into L -cells compatible with $\{X, C_1^+, C_1^-, \dots, C_n^+, C_n^-\}$ (see [10], Proposition 1.4). It is clear that for $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$, after permuting the coordinates, we have $S_i \subset C_n$. By Lemma 2.6, the germ of \overline{S}_i at 0 is LLNE with respect to ρ .

Applying Lemma 2.3 to \mathcal{S} we obtain $r_0 > 0, C > 0$, a stratification Σ compatible with \mathcal{S} and a stratified vector field ξ on Σ such that the flow $\Phi : (X \setminus \{0\}) \times [0, r_0) \rightarrow X \setminus \{0\}$ generated by ξ satisfies:

- (a) $\Phi(x, s) \in X \cap \rho^{-1}(r - s)$ for every $0 < s < r \leq r_0$,
- (b) $\text{length}(\Phi(x \times [0, s])) \leq Cs$.

Since Σ is a refinement of \mathcal{S} and Φ preserves the strata of Σ , Φ preserves the strata of \mathcal{S} .

Let $p, q \in X \cap \rho^{-1}([0, r_0])$. Set $r_1 := \|p\|_\infty, r_2 := \|q\|_\infty$ and $s := |r_1 - r_2|$. We may assume that $r_1 \leq r_2$. We denote by $X(r) := X \cap \rho^{-1}(r)$. Let γ be the integral curve of Φ through p and let $p' := \gamma \cap \rho^{-1}(r_2)$. It is clear that

$$\|p - q\| \sim \|p - q\|_\infty \geq \| \|p\|_\infty - \|q\|_\infty \| = s. \quad (2.2)$$

By (b),

$$\|p - p'\| \leq d_\gamma(p, p') \leq Cs. \quad (2.3)$$

Thus,

$$\|q - p'\| \lesssim \|p - q\| + \|p - p'\| \lesssim \|p - q\|. \quad (2.4)$$

First we prove (ii) \Rightarrow (i). We have

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(p, q) &\leq d_\gamma(p, p') + d_{X(r_2)}(p', q) \\ &\lesssim \|p - q\| + K\|q - p'\| \quad (\text{by (2.2), (2.3) and the fact that } X \text{ is LLNE w.r.t } \rho), \\ &\lesssim \|p - q\| \quad (\text{by (2.4)}), \end{aligned}$$

where K is the LLNE constant for X at 0. This shows that X is LNE at 0.

Now we prove (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Given two points p and q in $X(r)$. Let β be a curve in X realizing the inner distance from p to q . Taking $r \ll R$ we can assume that β is contained in $X \cap \rho^{-1}([0, r_0])$. In case β intersects $\overline{S}_i \in \mathcal{S}$, we denote by $p_{i,1}, p_{i,2} \in \overline{S}_i$ respectively the starting and the ending points of β in \overline{S}_i . Set $\Lambda := \{i : \beta \cap \overline{S}_i \neq \emptyset\}$. Let $\beta_{i,j}, i \in \Lambda, j = 1, 2$ be the integral curves of Φ through $p_{i,j}$ and let $q_{i,j} := \beta_{i,j} \cap X(r)$ (see Figure 2).

Set $l := \max_{i \in \Lambda} \{\|p_{i,j} - q_{i,j}\|\}$. We may assume $l = \|p_{i,1} - q_{i,1}\|$ for some $i \in \Lambda$. By (b), we have

$$l \leq C\| \|p_{i,1}\|_\infty - \|q_{i,1}\|_\infty \|.$$




FIGURE 2.

On the other hand,

$$\text{length}(\beta) \geq d_\beta(p_{i,1}, p) \geq \|p_{i,1} - p\| \sim \|p_{i,1} - p\|_\infty \geq |\|p_{i,1}\|_\infty - \|p\|_\infty|.$$

Since $p, q_{i,1}$ lie in $\rho^{-1}(r)$, $\|p\|_\infty = \|q_{i,1}\|_\infty$. Hence

$$\text{length}(\beta) \geq |\|p_{i,1}\|_\infty - \|q_{i,1}\|_\infty| \gtrsim l. \quad (2.5)$$

Since X is LNE at 0, we have

$$l \lesssim \text{length}(\beta) \sim \|p - q\|. \quad (2.6)$$

In addition,

$$\|q_{i,1} - q_{i,2}\| \leq \|q_{i,1} - p_{i,1}\| + \|p_{i,1} - p_{i,2}\| + \|p_{i,2} - q_{i,2}\| \leq \|p_{i,1} - p_{i,2}\| + 2l. \quad (2.7)$$

Since \overline{S}_i is LNE,

$$\text{length}(\beta) = \sum_{i \in \Lambda} d_{\overline{S}_i}(p_{i,1}, p_{i,2}) \sim \sum_{i \in \Lambda} \|p_{i,1} - p_{i,2}\|. \quad (2.8)$$

Lemma 2.6 says that \overline{S}_i is LLNE with respect to ρ . Combining with (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_{X(r)}(p, q) &\leq \sum_{i \in \Lambda} d_{\overline{S}_i(r)}(q_{i,1}, q_{i,2}) \sim \sum_{i \in \Lambda} \|q_{i,1} - q_{i,2}\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in \Lambda} (\|p_{i,1} - p_{i,2}\| + 2l) \lesssim (2m + 1)\text{length}(\beta) \sim (2m + 1)\|p - q\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $m := \#\Lambda$. This implies that X is LLNE with respect to ρ .

For a general ρ , let $\varphi(x) := \frac{\rho(x)}{\|x\|_\infty}x$ if $x \neq 0$ and $\varphi(0) = 0$. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that φ is a germ of a bi-Lipschitz definable homeomorphism. The proof follows from the fact that the following are equivalent:

- (1) X is LNE;
- (2) $\varphi(X)$ is LNE;

- (3) $\rho'^{-1}(r) \cap \varphi(X)$ is LNE with LNE-constant uniformly bounded;
 (4) $\rho^{-1}(r) \cap X$ is LNE with LNE-constant uniformly bounded.

Indeed, (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) follows from the definition of LNE sets; (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) follows from the fact that φ brings $X \cap \rho^{-1}(r)$ to $X \cap \rho'^{-1}(r)$. Finally, (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) follows from Case 1.

3. APPLICATIONS

3.1. Moderately discontinuous homology. We briefly recall the definition of Moderately discontinuous homology. For more details about this theory, we refer the reader to [5].

Definition 3.1. Let (X, x_0, d_1) and (Y, y_0, d_2) be two metric subanalytic germs. Given a subanalytic continuous map $f : (X, x_0, d_1) \rightarrow (Y, y_0, d_1)$.

- (i) f is called *linearly vertex approaching* (l.v.a) if there is $K \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{K} \|x - x_0\| \leq \|f(x) - y_0\| \leq K \|x - x_0\|, \forall x \in X. \quad (3.1)$$

Such a constant K is called a *l.v.a constant* for f .

- (ii) f is called *Lipschitz linearly vertex approaching* (Lipschitz l.v.a) if f is l.v.a and there is $C > 1$ such that

$$d_2(f(x), f(x')) \leq C d_1(x, x'), \forall x, x' \in X. \quad (3.2)$$

Such a constant C which also serves as a l.v.a constant is called a *Lipschitz l.v.a constant* for f .

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Delta_n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ denote the standard n -simplex (i.e, $\Delta_n := \{(p_0, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n+1} : \sum_{i=0}^n p_i = 1\}$) with the orientation induced by the standard orientation in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} of the convex hull of $\Delta_n \cup 0$. For $0 \leq k \leq n$, denote by $i_n^k : \Delta_{n-1} \mapsto \Delta_n, (p_0, \dots, p_{n-1}) \mapsto (p_0, \dots, p_{k-1}, 0, p_k, \dots, p_{n-1})$. Set

$$\hat{\Delta}_n := \{(tx, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R} : x \in \Delta_n, t \in [0, 1)\}$$

and let $\hat{j}_n^k : \hat{\Delta}_{n-1} \rightarrow \hat{\Delta}_n, (tx, t) \mapsto (ti_n^k(x), t)$. We identify $\hat{\Delta}_n$ with its germ at $(0, 0)$.

Definition 3.2. Given a definable germ (X, x_0) . A linearly vertex approaching n -simplex (l.v.a n -simplex) in (X, x_0) is a subanalytic continuous map germ $\sigma : \hat{\Delta}_n \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ such that there is $K \geq 1$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{K} t \leq \|\sigma(tx, t) - x_0\| \leq Kt, \forall (x, t) \in \hat{\Delta}_n.$$

Given an abelian group A , a linear vertex approaching n -chain in (X, x_0) is a finite formal sum $\sum_{i \in I} a_i \sigma_i$ where $a_i \in A$ and σ_i is a l.v.a n -simplex in (X, x_0) . Denote by $MDC_n^{\text{pre}, \infty}((X, x_0), d_1, A)$ the abelian group of n -chains. If the metric d_1 and the group

are clear from the context, we shorten it as $MDC_n^{\text{pre},\infty}(X, x_0)$. The boundary of σ is a formal sum of $(n-1)$ -simplices defined as follows:

$$\partial\sigma := \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \sigma \circ j_n^k.$$

Definition 3.3. A homological subdivision of $\hat{\Delta}_n$ is a finite collection $\{\rho_i\}_{i \in I}$ of injective l.v.a map germs $\rho_i : \hat{\Delta}_n \rightarrow \hat{\Delta}_n$ such that there is a subanalytic triangulation $\alpha : |K| \rightarrow \hat{\Delta}_n$ with the following properties

- α is compatible with faces of $\hat{\Delta}_n$;
- the collection $\{T_i\}$ of maximal triangles of α is also indexed by I ;
- for any $i \in I$, $\rho_i(\hat{\Delta}_n) = T_i$ and the map $\alpha^{-1} \circ \rho_i$ takes faces of $\hat{\Delta}_n$ to faces of K .

The sign of ρ_i , denoted by $\text{sign}(\rho_i)$, is defined to be 1 if ρ_i preserves orientation preserving, and -1 if it is of the opposite orientation.

Definition 3.4. Let $b \in (0, +\infty]$. Two n -simplices σ_1 and σ_2 in $MDC_n^{\text{pre},\infty}(X, x_0)$ are called b -equivalent (write $\sigma_1 \sim_b \sigma_2$) if

- for $b < \infty$:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\max\{d_1(\sigma_1(tx, t), \sigma_2(tx, t)), x \in \Delta_n\}}{t^b} = 0.$$
- for $b = \infty$: $\sigma_1(tx, t) = \sigma_2(tx, t), \forall x \in \Delta_n$.

Definition 3.5. Let $b \in (0, +\infty]$. Let $z = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \sigma_i$ and $z' = \sum_{j \in J} b_j \tau_j$ be l.v.a n -complex chains in $MDC_n^{\text{pre},\infty}(X, x_0)$. Write $I = \bigsqcup_{k \in K} I_k$ and $J = \bigsqcup_{k \in K} J_k$ where

- $i_1, i_2 \in I$ belong to the same I_k iff $\sigma_{i_1} \sim_b \sigma_{i_2}$.
- $j_1, j_2 \in J$ belong to the same J_k iff $\tau_{j_1} \sim_b \tau_{j_2}$.
- for $k \in K$, $i \in I_k$, $j \in J_k$ we have $\sigma_i \sim_b \tau_j$.

Then, z is called b -equivalent to z' , denoted $z \sim_b z'$, if for any k ,

$$\sum_{i \in I_k} a_i = \sum_{j \in J_k} b_j.$$

Definition 3.6. Let $b \in (0, +\infty]$. Given two l.v.a n -complex chains $z = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \sigma_i$ and $z' = \sum_{j \in J} b_j \tau_j$ in $MDC_n^{\text{pre},\infty}(X, x_0)$.

- We write $z \rightarrow_b z'$ (immediate relation) if for each $i \in I$ there is a homological subdivision $\{\rho_{i,k}\}_{k \in K_i}$ such that

$$\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{k \in K_i} \text{sgn}(\rho_{i,k}) a_i \sigma \circ \rho_{i,k} \sim_b \sum_{j \in J} b_j \tau_j.$$

- z and z' are called *homological subdivision equivalent*, denoted by $z \sim_{S,b} z'$, if there exist sequences of immediate sequences $z = z_0 \rightarrow_b z_1 \rightarrow_b \dots \rightarrow_b z_l$ and $z' = w_0 \rightarrow_b w_1 \rightarrow_b \dots \rightarrow_b w_m$ such that $w_m \sim_b z_l$.

Definition 3.7. Let $b \in (0, +\infty]$. The b -moderately discontinuous chain complex in (X, x_0) is the quotient group $MDC_{\bullet}^b(X, x_0) := MDC_{\bullet}^{\text{pre}, \infty}(X, x_0) / \sim_{S, b}$. Its homology is called b -moderately discontinuous homology, denoted by $MDH_{\bullet}^b(X, x_0)$.

Given a Lipschitz l.v.a subanalytic map $f : (X, x_0, d_1) \rightarrow (Y, y_0, d_2)$. It is easy to check that $f_{\#} : MDC_{\bullet}^b((X, x_0, d_1), A) \rightarrow MDC_{\bullet}^b((Y, y_0, d_2), A)$, $\sigma \mapsto f \circ \sigma$ satisfies $f_{\#} \circ \partial = \partial \circ f_{\#}$. Therefore, we have the homomorphism $f_* : MDH_{\bullet}^b((X, x_0, d_1), A) \rightarrow MDH_{\bullet}^b((Y, y_0, d_2), A)$. In particular, if f is a subanalytic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism then f_* is an isomorphism.

Let (X, x_0) be a subanalytic germ. The identity map $Id_{x_0} : (X, x_0, d_{inn}) \rightarrow (X, x_0, d_{out})$ is a Lipschitz l.v.a subanalytic map. If (X, x_0) is LNE, then

$$Id_{x_0, *}: MDH_{\bullet}^b((X, x_0, d_{inn}), A) \rightarrow MDH_{\bullet}^b((X, x_0, d_{out}), A)$$

is an isomorphism.

Question 3.8 ([5], Problem 147). *Let X be a subanalytic closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose that for every $x \in X$, the map $Id_{x, *}: MDH_{\bullet}^b((X, x, d_{inn}), A) \rightarrow MDH_{\bullet}^b((X, x, d_{out}), A)$ is an isomorphism. Is X LNE?*

We need the following result to calculate the MD homology of our example.

Definition 3.9. Let (X, x_0, d_1) and (Y, y_0, d_2) be metric subanalytic germs. Let $f, g : (X, x_0, d_1) \rightarrow (Y, y_0, d_2)$ be Lipschitz l.v.a subanalytic maps. A metric homotopy between f and g is a continuous subanalytic map $H : X \times I \rightarrow Y$ such that $H_s := H(-, s)$ is Lipschitz l.v.a with the Lipschitz l.v.a constant independent of s and $H_0 = f$ and $H_1 = g$. In this case, f and g are called metrically homotopic.

Theorem 3.10 ([5], Theorem 81 (2)). *Let $f, g : (X, x_0, d_1) \rightarrow (Y, y_0, d_2)$ be Lipschitz l.v.a subanalytic maps which are metrically homotopic. Then, for any $b \in (0, \infty]$*

$$f_{\#}, g_{\#} : MDC_{\bullet}^b(X, x_0) \rightarrow MDC_{\bullet}^b(Y, y_0)$$

represent the same map and hence induce the same homomorphism in the MD homology.

3.2. A counterexample to Question 3.8.

Proposition 3.11. *Let $X := \{(t, x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3, z^2 = t^2 x^2, 0 \leq x \leq t\}$. Then*

- (1) $(X, 0)$ is not LNE.
- (2) $Id_{X, *}: MDH_{\bullet}^b(X, x, d_{inn}) \rightarrow MDH_{\bullet}^b(X, x, d_{out})$ is isomorphic for every $x \in (X, 0)$.

Therefore, $(X, 0)$ is an counterexample to Question 3.8.

Proof. Let $\rho : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, w = (t, x, z) \mapsto t$. It is obvious that ρ is a continuous semialgebraic function with $\rho(w) \sim \|w\|$. Set $X(r) := X \cap \{\rho^{-1}(r)\}$.

It is easy to see that $X(r)$ is LNE with the LNE constant $\sim 1/r$, which tends to ∞ when r tends to 0. This means $(X, 0)$ is not LLNE with respect to ρ , so by Theorem 1.3, it is not LNE. Thus, (1) is proved.




FIGURE 3.

We now show (2). Let $S_0 := \{0\}$, $S_1 := \{(t, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3, t > 0\}$, $S_2 := \{(t, x, z) \in X, 0 < x = t\}$ and $S_3 := X \setminus \cup_{i=0}^2 S_i$ (see Figure 3).

It is clear that $\{S_i\}_{i=0}^3$ is a stratification of X . Let $x \in X$. If $x \in S_3$, then x is a smooth point, hence (X, x) is LNE, hence (2) is satisfied. If $x \in S_2$, the germ (X, x) is a smooth manifold with boundary, so it is also LNE hence (2) is again true. If $x \in S_1$, by Valette's Lipschitz Triviality Theorem (see [19], Theorem 2.2) in a neighbourhood of x , X is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to $X(r) \times (0, \varepsilon)$ which is obviously LNE. This implies that (2) holds. The only case needs verifying is $x = 0$.

Consider the following map:

$$H : X \times I \rightarrow X, (t, x, z, s) \mapsto H_s(t, x, z) := (t, sx, sz).$$

We show that H is a Lipschitz l.v.a metric homotopy for both the outer and the inner metrics. Fix s and let $w = (t_1, x_1, z_1)$ and $w' = (t_2, x_2, z_2)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_s(w) - H_s(w')\| &= \|(t_1, sx_1, sz_1) - (t_2, sx_2, sz_2)\| \\ &\leq |t_1 - t_2| + s(|x_1 - x_2| + |z_1 - z_2|) \lesssim \|w - w'\|. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that H_s is Lipschitz with respect to the outer metric.

Observe that X consists of two branches $X_1 := \{(t, x, z) \in X, z \geq 0\}$ and $X_2 := \{(t, x, z) \in X, z \leq 0\}$ and each branch is LNE. Moreover, $H_s(-)$ preserves these branches.

If w and w' are in the same branch we have

$$d_{inn}(H_s(w), H_s(w')) \sim \|H_s(w) - H_s(w')\| \lesssim \|w - w'\| \sim d_{inn}(w, w').$$

Now assume that $w \in X_1$ and $w' \in X_2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{inn}(H_s(w), H_s(w')) &= d_{inn}((t_1, sx_1, sz_1), (t_2, sx_2, sz_2)) \\ &\leq d_{inn}((t_1, sx_1, sz_2), (t_2, 0, 0)) + d_{inn}((t_2, 0, 0), (t_2, sx_2, sz_2)) \\ &\lesssim |t_1 - t_2| + s(|x_1| + |z_1|) + s(|x_2| + |z_2|). \end{aligned}$$

Let γ be a curve connecting w and w' which realizes the inner distance between w and w' . Since w and w' lie in two different branches of X , γ has to pass through the t -axis. Hence

$$d_{inn}(w, w') = \text{length}(\gamma) \gtrsim |t_1 - t_2| + (|x_1| + |z_1| + |x_2| + |z_2|).$$

It follows that $d_{inn}(H_s(w), H_s(w')) \lesssim d_{inn}(w, w')$. Consequently, H_s is Lipschitz with respect to the inner metric. Note that Lipschitz constants for H_s can be chosen to be independent of s .

Let $Y := \{(t, 0, 0), t \geq 0\}$ and $g : X \rightarrow Y, w \mapsto g(w) = H_0(w)$. Clearly, $H_0 = \iota \circ g$ where $\iota : Y \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion map. Since $H_1 = Id_X$ and H_0 are metrically homotopic for both the inner and the outer metrics, $Id_{X,*}, H_{0,*} : MDH_{\bullet}^b(X, 0, d) \rightarrow MDH_{\bullet}^b(X, 0, d)$ (where $d \in \{d_{inn}, d_{out}\}$) represent the same homomorphism which is actually an isomorphism (see Theorem 3.10). Since $H_{0,*} = \iota_* \circ g_*$, which is an isomorphism, and ι_* is injective, g_* must be an isomorphism. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} MDH_{\bullet}^b(X, 0, d_{inn}) & \xrightarrow{g_*} & MDH_{\bullet}^b(Y, 0, d_{inn}) \\ Id_{X,*} \downarrow & & \downarrow Id_{Y,*} \\ MDH_{\bullet}^b(X, 0, d_{out}) & \xrightarrow{g_*} & MDH_{\bullet}^b(Y, 0, d_{out}) \end{array}$$

Since $(Y, 0)$ is a germ of a half line which is LNE, $Id_{Y,*}$ is an isomorphism. Thus, $Id_{X,*}$ is also an isomorphism. \square

Remark 3.12. Since our counterexample is a set with non-isolated singularity, the question is still open for the isolated case.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Javier Bobadilla for his interest and useful discussion on the example. The work was supported by the ERCEA 615655 NMST Consolidator Grant and also by the Basque Government through the BERC 2018–2021 program and by the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities: BCAM Severo Ochoa accreditation SEV-2017–0718.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aschenbrenner and A. Fischer. Definable versions of theorems by Kirszbraun and Helly. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 102(3):468–502, 2011.
- [2] L. Birbrair and R. Mendes. Arc criterion of normal embedding. *Singularities and foliations. geometry, topology and applications, Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, 222:549–553, 2018.
- [3] L. Birbrair, R. Mendes, and J. J. Nuno-Ballesteros. Un-knotted Corank 1 Singularities of Surfaces in \mathbb{R}^4 . *J. Geom. Anal.*, 28(4):3708–3717, 2018.
- [4] L. Birbrair and T. Mostowski. Normally Embedding of semialgebraic sets. *Michigan Math. J.*, 47:125–132, 2000.
- [5] J. F. Bobadilla, S. Heinze, M. P. Pereira, and J. E. Sampaio. Moderately discontinuous homology. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.12552>, 2019.
- [6] M. Coste. *An introduction to o-minimal geometry*. Dip. Mat. Univ. Pisa, Dottorato di Ricerca in Matematica, Istituti Editoriali e Poligrafici Internazionali, Pisa, 2000.

- [7] M. Denkowski and M. Tibar. Testing Lipschitz non-normally embedded complex spaces. *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie*, 62(2):93–100, 2019.
- [8] A. Fernandes and J.E. Sampaio. Tangent cones of Lipschitz normally embedded sets are lipschitz normally embedded. Appendix by Anne Pichon and Walter D. Neumann. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2019(15):4880–4897, 2019.
- [9] A. Fernandes and J.E. Sampaio. On Lipschitz rigidity of complex analytic sets. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 30:706–718, 2020.
- [10] K. Kurdyka and A. Parusiński. Quasi-convex decomposition in o-minimal structures. Application to the gradient conjecture. *Singularity theory and its applications, Advanced Studies Pure Mathematics*, 43:137–177, 2006.
- [11] T. L. Loi. Whitney stratification of sets definable in the structure \mathbb{R}_{exp} . *Banach Center Publications*, 33(1):401–409, 1996.
- [12] T. L. Loi. Verdier stratifications and w_f -stratification in o-minimal structures. *Illinois J. Math.*, 42(2):19–30, 1998.
- [13] R. Mendes and J. E. Sampaio. On Link of Lipschitz normally embedded sets. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2101.05572.pdf>, 2021.
- [14] W. D. Neumann, H. M. Pedersen, and A. Pichon. A characterization of Lipschitz normally embedded surface singularities. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 101(2):612–640, 2020.
- [15] W. D. Neumann, H. M. Pedersen, and A. Pichon. Minimal surface singularities are Lipschitz normally embedded. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 101(2):641–658, 2020.
- [16] N. Nguyen and D. Trotman S. Trivedi. A geometric proof of the existence of definable Whitney stratifications. *Illinois J. Math.*, 58(2):381–389, 2014.
- [17] N. Nguyen and G. Valette. Whitney stratification and the continuity of local Lipschitz-Killing curvatures. *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble.*, 68(5):2253–2267, 2018.
- [18] G. Valette. On subanalytic geometry, survey. <http://www2.im.uj.edu.pl/gkw/sub.pdf>.
- [19] G. Valette. Lipschitz triangulations. *Illinois J. Math.*, 49(3):953–979, 2005.
- [20] G. Valette. The link of the germ of a semi-algebraic metric space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 135:3083–3090, 2007.
- [21] L. van den Dries. *Tame topology and o-minimal structures*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

BASQUE CENTER FOR APPLIED MATHEMATICS (BCAM), ALAMEDA DE MAZARREDO 14, 48009 BILBAO, BIZKAIA, SPAIN

Email address: nnguyen@bcamath.org

THANGLONG INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLIED SCIENCES (TMAS), NGHIEM XUAN YEM, HOANG MAI, HANOI, VIETNAM

Email address: nguyenxuanvietnhan@gmail.com