

# Comment on "High-Energy Symmetry of String Theory"

Sheng-Hong Lai,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Jen-Chi Lee,<sup>1,2,†</sup> and Yi Yang<sup>1,2,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Electrophysics, National Yang Ming*

*Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan, R.O.C.*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Electrophysics, National Chiao-Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan, R.O.C.*

(Dated: September 17, 2021)

## Abstract

Following the recent discovery of the Lauricella string scattering amplitudes (LSSA) and their associated exact  $SL(K+3, C)$  symmetry, we give a brief comment on Gross conjecture regarding "High-energy symmetry of string theory".

---

\*Electronic address: xgcj944137@gmail.com

†Electronic address: jcclee@cc.nctu.edu.tw

‡Electronic address: yiyang@mail.nctu.edu.tw

The *Gross conjecture* regarding high-energy symmetry of string theory [1, 2] was based on the saddle-point calculation of hard string scattering amplitudes (SSA) of both the closed [3, 4] and open [5] string theories. The conjecture claimed that there existed infinite *linear relations* with constant coefficients among hard SSA of different string states. Moreover, these infinite linear relations were so powerful that they can be used to solve all the hard SSA and express them in terms of one amplitude. Some monographs [6, 7] had made speculations about this hidden stringy symmetry without getting any conclusive results. However, the saddle-point calculation of the hard SSA [4, 5] which was claimed to be valid for all string states and all string loop orders was pointed out to be inconsistent for the cases of the *excited* string states in a series of works in [8–10].

It was then further shown [11] that even at closed string-tree level, there was *no* reliable saddle-point in the hard SSA calculation. The authors of [11] gave three evidences to demonstrate the inconsistency of the *saddle-point*. So instead of using the saddle-point method, they first used the infinite linear relations (to be discussed in Eq.(9) below) to derive the *hard string BCJ relations* [12] and then used the KLT [13] formula to obtain the correct hard closed SSA, which differs from result of Gross and Mende [4] by an oscillation prefactor. This prefactor consistently implied the existence of infinitely many zeros and poles in the hard SSA.

Soon later a similar conclusion was independently made in [14], which was based on the group theoretical calculation [15] of SSA. The Authors of [14] found out that up to the string one-loop level the saddle-point calculation was valid only for the hard four tachyon SSA, but was incorrect for other hard SSA of excited string states. For this reason, the authors of [14] admitted that they can not consistently find out any linear relations as suggested in [1].

For the case of open bosonic string at the mass level  $M^2 = 4$ , as an example, the linear relations (without calculating the ratios) of hard open SSA of Gross and Manes [5] were miscalculated to be [5]

$$\mathcal{T}_{TTT} \propto \mathcal{T}_{[LT]} \quad , \quad \mathcal{T}_{LLT} = \mathcal{T}_{(LT)} = 0, \quad (1)$$

which were inconsistent with the Ward identities or the decoupling of zero-norm states (ZNS) [8, 9] in the hard scattering limit to be discussed below in Eq.(5) to Eq.(7).

The importance of two types of ZNS in the old covariant first quantized string spectrum was stressed in the massive background field calculation of stringy symmetries [16–18]. It

was shown that in the weak field approximation (but valid for all energies) an *inter-particle* symmetry transformation [16]

$$\delta C_{(\mu\nu\lambda)} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_{(\mu}\partial_{\nu}\theta_{\lambda)}^2 - 2\eta_{(\mu\nu}\theta_{\lambda)}^2, \delta C_{[\mu\nu]} = 9\partial_{[\mu}\theta_{\nu]}^2; \partial^\mu\theta_\mu^2 = 0, (\partial^2 - 4)\theta_\mu^2 = 0 \quad (2)$$

for two propagating states  $C_{(\mu\nu\lambda)}$  and  $C_{[\mu\nu]}$  at mass level  $M^2 = 4$  of open bosonic string can be generated by the  $D_2$  vector ZNS with polarization  $\theta_\mu^2$  [16]

$$|D_2\rangle = [(\frac{1}{2}k_\mu k_\nu \theta_\lambda^2 + 2\eta_{\mu\nu}\theta_\lambda^2)\alpha_{-1}^\mu \alpha_{-1}^\nu \alpha_{-1}^\lambda + 9k_\mu \theta_\nu^2 \alpha_{-2}^{[\mu} \alpha_{-1}^{\nu]} - 6\theta_\mu^2 \alpha_{-3}^\mu] |0, k\rangle, \quad k \cdot \theta^2 = 0. \quad (3)$$

Incidentally, a set of discrete ZNS  $G_{J,M}^+$  [19] were constructed and shown to form the  $w_\infty$  spacetime symmetry algebra of the toy  $2D$  string theory

$$\int \frac{dz}{2\pi i} G_{J_1, M_1}^+(z) G_{J_2, M_2}^+(0) = (J_2 M_1 - J_1 M_2) G_{J_1+J_2-1, M_1+M_2}^+(0). \quad (4)$$

All these results strongly suggest that ZNS are crucial to study high-energy stringy symmetry. The first set of linear relations among hard SSA was obtained in [8, 9] for the mass level  $M^2 = 4$  of the  $26D$  open bosonic string theory by the method of decoupling of ZNS. (Note that the decoupling of ZNS was also used in the group theoretical calculation of SSA to fix the measure in the SSA calculation [15]). By solving the following three linear relations derived from the decoupling of high-energy ZNS or stringy Ward identities [20] among the four leading order hard SSA [8, 9]

$$\mathcal{T}_{LLT}^{5 \rightarrow 3} + \mathcal{T}_{(LT)}^3 = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$10\mathcal{T}_{LLT}^{5 \rightarrow 3} + \mathcal{T}_{TTT}^3 + 18\mathcal{T}_{(LT)}^3 = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{LLT}^{5 \rightarrow 3} + \mathcal{T}_{TTT}^3 + 9\mathcal{T}_{[LT]}^3 = 0, \quad (7)$$

one obtains the ratios [8, 9]

$$\mathcal{T}_{TTT} : \mathcal{T}_{LLT} : \mathcal{T}_{(LT)} : \mathcal{T}_{[LT]} = 8 : 1 : -1 : -1. \quad (8)$$

These ratios were justified by a set of sample calculation of hard SSA [8, 9]. Similar results were obtained for the mass level  $M^2 = 6$  [8, 9]. On the other hand, A remedy calculation was performed in [10] to recover the missing terms calculated in Eq.(1) [5] in order to obtain the correct four ratios in Eq.(8).

The results in Eq.(8) above can be generalized to arbitrary mass levels  $M^2 = 2(N - 1)$  [21, 22]

$$\frac{T^{(N,2m,q)}}{T^{(N,0,0)}} = \left(-\frac{1}{M}\right)^{2m+q} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m+q} (2m-1)!! \quad (9)$$

In addition to the method of decoupling of ZNS, a dual method called the Virasoro constraint method and a corrected saddle-point calculation (for string-tree amplitudes) also gave the same result in Eq.(9). See the recent review papers [23, 24]. It is important to note that the linear relations and ratios obtained by the decoupling of ZNS are valid for all string-loop orders since ZNS should be decoupled for all loop amplitudes due to unitarity of the theory. This important fact was not shared by the saddle-point calculation in [4, 5] and neither of the calculation performed in [14]. On the other hand, one believes that by keeping  $M$  fixed as a finite constant in the ZNS calculation one can obtain more information about the high-energy behavior of string theory in contrast to the tensionless string ( $\alpha' \rightarrow \infty$ ) approach [25] in which all string states are massless.

Since the linear relations obtained by the decoupling of ZNS are valid order by order and share the same forms for all orders in string perturbation theory, one expects that there exists *stringy symmetry* of the theory. Indeed, Two such symmetry groups were suggested recently to be the  $SL(5, C)$  group in the *Regge* scattering limit [23, 24] and the  $SL(4, C)$  group in the *Non-relativistic* scattering limit [23, 24]. Moreover, it was shown that the ratios in Eq.(9) can be extracted from the Regge SSA [23, 24].

More recently, the authors in [26] constructed the exact SSA of three tachyons and one *arbitrary* string state, or the Lauricella SSA (LSSA) [27]

$$A_{st}^{(r_n^T, r_m^P, r_l^L)} = \prod_{n=1}^{r_n^T} [-(n-1)!k_3^T]^{r_n^T} \cdot \prod_{m=1}^{r_m^P} [-(m-1)!k_3^P]^{r_m^P} \prod_{l=1}^{r_l^L} [-(l-1)!k_3^L]^{r_l^L} \cdot B\left(-\frac{t}{2}-1, -\frac{s}{2}-1\right) F_D^{(K)}\left(-\frac{t}{2}-1; R_n^T, R_m^P, R_l^L; \frac{u}{2}+2-N; \tilde{Z}_n^T, \tilde{Z}_m^P, \tilde{Z}_l^L\right) \quad (10)$$

in the  $26D$  open bosonic string theory. In addition, they discovered the Lie algebra of the  $SL(K+3, C)$  symmetry group [28, 29]

$$[\mathcal{E}_{ij}, \mathcal{E}_{kl}] = \delta_{jk}\mathcal{E}_{il} - \delta_{li}\mathcal{E}_{kj}; \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq K+3 \quad (11)$$

valid for *all* kinematic regimes of the LSSA. It was further shown that all the LSSA can be solved [30] by the recurrence relations associated with the  $SL(K+3, C)$  group and expressed

in terms of one amplitude. Moreover, the ratios presented in Eq.(9) can be rederived by the LSSA in Eq.(10) in the hard scattering limit [26].

- 
- [1] David J. Gross. High-Energy Symmetries of String Theory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 60:1229, 1988.
  - [2] D. J. Gross. Strings at superPlanckian energies: In search of the string symmetry. In *In \*London 1988, Proceedings, Physics and mathematics of strings\* 83-95. (Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London A329 (1989) 401-413).*, 1988.
  - [3] David J Gross and Paul F Mende. The high-energy behavior of string scattering amplitudes. *Phys. Lett. B*, 197(1):129–134, 1987.
  - [4] David J Gross and Paul F Mende. String theory beyond the Planck scale. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 303(3):407–454, 1988.
  - [5] David J Gross and JL Manes. The high energy behavior of open string scattering. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 326(1):73–107, 1989.
  - [6] J. Polchinski, "String Theory", Sec. 9.8, Vol. I, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
  - [7] M. Kaku, "Strings, Conformal Fields, and Topology: An Introduction", Sec.9.4, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
  - [8] Chuan-Tsung Chan and Jen-Chi Lee. Stringy symmetries and their high-energy limits. *Phys. Lett. B*, 611(1):193–198, 2005.
  - [9] Chuan-Tsung Chan and Jen-Chi Lee. Zero-norm states and high-energy symmetries of string theory. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 690(1):3–20, 2004.
  - [10] Chuan-Tsung Chan, Pei-Ming Ho, and Jen-Chi Lee. Ward identities and high energy scattering amplitudes in string theory. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 708(1):99–114, 2005.
  - [11] Chuan-Tsung Chan, Jen-Chi Lee, and Yi Yang. Notes on high-energy limit of bosonic closed string scattering amplitudes. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 749(1):280–290, 2006.
  - [12] The first BCJ relation was the discovery of the *hard* string BCJ derived in [11] (2006), which was earlier than the field theory BCJ (2008) and string BCJ (2009).
  - [13] H. Kawai, David C Lewellen, and S-HH Tye. A relation between tree amplitudes of closed and open strings. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 269(1):1–23, 1986.
  - [14] Nicolas Moeller and Peter West. Arbitrary four string scattering at high energy and fixed angle. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 729(1):1–48, 2005.

- [15] P. C. West, A Brief Review of the Group Theoretic Approach to String Theory, in "Conformal Field Theories and Related Topics", Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl) 5B (1988) 217.
- [16] Jen-Chi Lee. New symmetries of higher spin states in string theory. *Physics Letters B*, 241(3):336–342, 1990.
- [17] Jen-Chi Lee and Burt A Ovrut. Zero-norm states and enlarged gauge symmetries of the closed bosonic string with massive background fields. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 336(2):222–244, 1990.
- [18] Jen-Chi Lee. Decoupling of degenerate positive-norm states in string theory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 64(14):1636, 1990.
- [19] Tze-Dan Chung and Jen-Chi Lee. Discrete gauge states and  $w_\infty$  charges in  $c=1$  2D gravity. *Phys. Lett. B*, 350(1):22–27, 1995.
- [20] Jen-Chi Lee. Generalized on-shell Ward identities in string theory. *Prog. of th. phys.*, 91(2):353–360, 1994.
- [21] Chuan-Tsung Chan, Pei-Ming Ho, Jen-Chi Lee, Shunsuke Teraguchi, and Yi Yang. High-energy zero-norm states and symmetries of string theory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 96(17):171601, 2006.
- [22] Chuan-Tsung Chan, Pei-Ming Ho, Jen-Chi Lee, Shunsuke Teraguchi, and Yi Yang. Solving all 4-point correlation functions for bosonic open string theory in the high-energy limit. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 725(1):352–382, 2005.
- [23] Jen-Chi Lee and Yi Yang. Review on high energy string scattering amplitudes and symmetries of string theory. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1510.03297*, 2015.
- [24] Jen-Chi Lee and Yi Yang. Overview of high energy string scattering amplitudes and symmetries of string theory. *Symmetry*, 11(8):1045, 2019.
- [25] Sagnotti, A., and M. Tsulaia. "On higher spins and the tensionless limit of string theory." Nuclear Physics B 682.1-2 (2004): 83-116.
- [26] S. H. Lai, J. C. Lee and Yi Yang, "Recent developments of the Lauricella string scattering amplitudes and their exact  $SL(K+3, C)$  Symmetry", *Symmetry* 13 (2021) 454, arXiv:2012.14726 [hep-th].
- [27] Sheng-Hong Lai, Jen-Chi Lee, and Yi Yang. The lauricella functions and exact string scattering amplitudes. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2016(11):62, 2016.
- [28] S. H. Lai, J. C. Lee and Yi Yang, "The  $SL(K+3, C)$  Symmetry of the Bosonic String Scattering Amplitudes", Nucl. Phys. B 941 (2019) 53-71.

- [29] Willard Miller Jr. Symmetry and separation of variables. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1977.
- [30] S. H. Lai, J. C. Lee and Yi Yang, "Solving Lauricella String Scattering Amplitudes through recurrence relations", JHEP 09 (2017) 130.