

STEINBERG SLICES AND GROUP-VALUED MOMENT MAPS

ANA BĂLIBANU

ABSTRACT. We define a class of transversal slices in spaces which are quasi-Poisson for the action of a complex semisimple group G . This is a multiplicative analogue of Whittaker reduction. One example is the multiplicative universal centralizer \mathfrak{Z} of G , which is equipped with the usual symplectic structure in this way. We construct a smooth relative compactification $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}$ by taking the closure of each centralizer fiber in the wonderful compactification of G . By realizing this relative compactification as a transversal in a larger quasi-Poisson variety, we show that it is smooth and log-symplectic.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Quasi-Hamiltonian and quasi-Poisson structures	4
2. Steinberg slices	12
3. The multiplicative universal centralizer and the wonderful compactification	19
4. The logarithmic double	22
5. The relative compactification of \mathfrak{Z}	27
References	32

INTRODUCTION

Let G be a simply-connected, complex semisimple group, and let G_{ad} be its adjoint form. The group G_{ad} acts on G by conjugation, and G contains a transversal slice Σ for this action which was introduced by Steinberg [Ste]. The resulting (multiplicative) universal centralizer is the smooth affine variety

$$\mathfrak{Z} := \left\{ (a, h) \in G_{\text{ad}} \times \Sigma \mid a \in G_{\text{ad}}^h \right\}.$$

This family of centralizers first appeared in work of Lusztig [Lus, Section 8.6]. When G is simply-laced, Bezrukavnikov, Finkelberg, and Mirkovic [BFM] showed that its coordinate ring is isomorphic to the equivariant K -theory of the affine Grassmannian of the Langlands dual group G^{\vee} —therefore, in this case \mathfrak{Z} is an example of a Coulomb branch as defined by Nakajima [Nak].

The natural symplectic structure on \mathfrak{Z} is inherited from the nondegenerate quasi-Poisson structure on the double $\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}} := G_{\text{ad}} \times G$ as described, up to a finite central quotient, by [BFM] and by

Finkelberg and Tsymbaliuk [FT]. We construct a relative compactification

$$\overline{\mathfrak{Z}} := \left\{ (a, h) \in \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times \Sigma \mid a \in \overline{G_{\text{ad}}^h} \right\}$$

of \mathfrak{Z} , by taking the closure of each centralizer fiber inside the wonderful compactification $\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$. We show that $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}$ is smooth, and that the symplectic structure on \mathfrak{Z} extends to a log-symplectic Poisson structure on $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}$. When G is simply-laced, the relative compactification $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}$ can be obtained from the Coulomb branch definition given in [BFM] through a Rees construction which comes from the Vinberg monoid. Therefore, in this case $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}$ is an example of a Rees algebra approach to compactified Coulomb branches suggested by Braverman, Finkelberg, and Nakajima [BFN, Remark 3.7].

Additive setting. The theory developed in this paper parallels the main theorem of [Bal]. That work considers the principal slice \mathcal{S} in the Lie algebra of G , which was defined by Kostant [Kos] and which is a cross-section to the regular adjoint orbits. The corresponding universal centralizer is the symplectic variety

$$\mathcal{Z} := \{(a, x) \in G_{\text{ad}} \times \mathcal{S} \mid a \in G_{\text{ad}}^x\}.$$

It is obtained from the cotangent bundle T^*G_{ad} by Whittaker reduction, which is a type of Hamiltonian reduction with respect to a maximal unipotent subgroup at a fixed regular character. The symplectic structure on the cotangent bundle T^*G_{ad} extends to a log-symplectic structure on the logarithmic cotangent bundle $T_D^*\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$. The universal centralizer \mathcal{Z} has a smooth, log-symplectic relative compactification

$$\overline{\mathcal{Z}} := \{(a, x) \in \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times \mathcal{S} \mid a \in \overline{G_{\text{ad}}^x}\},$$

which is the Whittaker reduction of $T_D^*\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$.

Identifying \mathfrak{g} with \mathfrak{g}^* under the Killing form isomorphism, there is a commutative diagram of moment maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*G_{\text{ad}} & \hookrightarrow & T_D^*\overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \\ & \searrow \nu & \downarrow \overline{\nu} \\ & & \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}. \end{array}$$

The varieties \mathcal{Z} and $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ are simply the preimages of the principal slice $\mathcal{S} \times (-\mathcal{S})$ under ν and $\overline{\nu}$. In particular, because \mathcal{S} intersects every adjoint orbit exactly once and transversally, \mathcal{Z} and $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ sit inside T^*G_{ad} and $T_D^*\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$ as Poisson transversals—that is, they intersect each symplectic leaf of the ambient space transversally and symplectically. Their Poisson structures are therefore also obtained via restriction in this way.

Summary of results. We give a multiplicative analogue of these results by considering manifolds which are quasi-Poisson relative to the action of G . These can be viewed as deformations of ordinary Poisson structures in which the Jacobi identity is twisted by a canonical trivector field induced by the group action. They were introduced in a series of papers by Alekseev, Malkin, and Meinrenken [AMM], Alekseev and Kosmann-Schwarzbach [AKS], and Alekseev, Kosmann-Schwarzbach, and

Meinreken [AKSM]. These manifolds come equipped with group-valued momentum maps, and they are foliated by nondegenerate leaves.

The geometry of quasi-Poisson structures makes the multiplicative setting more subtle than the additive case described above. In this setting there is no naïve analogue of Whittaker reduction, because one cannot generally perform quasi-Hamiltonian reduction with respect to the action of a subgroup. This is because a quasi-Poisson G -manifold is generally not quasi-Poisson for the action of a subgroup of G . To fix this issue, we introduce a multiplicative counterpart of Whittaker reduction which uses transversal slices. Kostant’s principal slice \mathcal{S} is replaced by the Steinberg cross-section Σ of G , and we prove the following theorem, as Corollary 2.5:

Theorem. *Let M be a quasi-Poisson G -manifold with group-valued moment map $\Phi : M \rightarrow G$. The Steinberg slice*

$$M_\Sigma := \Phi^{-1}(\Sigma)$$

is a smooth submanifold of M . The quasi-Poisson structure on M pulls back to a Poisson structure on M_Σ whose symplectic leaves are the intersections of M_Σ with the nondegenerate leaves of M .

The natural Poisson structure on M_Σ is “transverse” to the quasi-Poisson structure on M , in the sense that it intersects every nondegenerate leaf transversally and symplectically. We use this approach to construct multiplicative analogues of several Whittaker-type algebraic varieties. We also define the notion of *logarithmically nondegenerate* quasi-Poisson structures, which are a multiplicative analogue of log-symplectic structures. In Proposition 2.19 we show that the log-nondegeneracy condition behaves well with respect to Steinberg slices:

Proposition. *If M is a logarithmically nondegenerate quasi-Poisson G -manifold, the Poisson structure induced on the Steinberg slice M_Σ is log-symplectic.*

We then apply this framework to the multiplicative universal centralizer \mathfrak{Z} . This variety sits as a Steinberg slice in the double $\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}} = G_{\text{ad}} \times G$, which is the quasi-Poisson analogue of the cotangent bundle of G . In fact, using the identification $T^*G_{\text{ad}} \cong G_{\text{ad}} \times \mathfrak{g}$, the cotangent bundle T^*G_{ad} is a bundle of Lie algebras over G_{ad} and $\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}$ is the simply-connected group scheme which integrates it. In Proposition 4.3 we extend the group scheme $\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}$ to a group scheme over $\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$, which we call the *logarithmic double*.

Proposition. *The double $\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}$ extends to a group scheme $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$ over $\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$ which integrates the bundle of Lie algebras $T_D^*\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$. The nondegenerate quasi-Poisson structure on $\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}$ extends to a log-nondegenerate quasi-Poisson structure on $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$.*

This produces a commutative diagram of group-valued moment maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}} \\ & \searrow \mu & \downarrow \bar{\mu} \\ & & G \times G. \end{array}$$

We write $\iota : G \rightarrow G$ for the inversion map, and we prove the following theorem, as Theorem 5.4:

Theorem. *There is an isomorphism of varieties*

$$\overline{\mathfrak{Z}} \cong \overline{\mu}^{-1}(\Sigma \times \iota(\Sigma))$$

between the compactified universal centralizer $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}$ and the Steinberg slice $\overline{\mu}^{-1}(\Sigma \times \iota(\Sigma))$ of the logarithmic double $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$. Therefore $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}$ is a smooth, log-symplectic Poisson variety whose open dense symplectic leaf is \mathfrak{Z} .

Outline. In Section 1 we review quasi-Poisson manifolds as developed in [AKSM]. We also outline how they fit into the framework of twisted Dirac structures, as described in [BC1] and [BC2]. In Section 2 we develop a theory of Steinberg slices in quasi-Poisson manifolds. We give several examples of these slices, including a multiplicative analogue of the twisted cotangent bundle of the base affine space. Then we define the notion of log-nondegeneracy for quasi-Poisson manifolds, and we show that Steinberg slices in log-nondegenerate quasi-Poisson manifolds are log-symplectic.

In Section 3 we recall the multiplicative universal centralizer \mathfrak{Z} , which is a Steinberg slice in the double $\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}$, and we review some facts about the geometry of the wonderful compactification $\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$. In Section 4 we use the Vinberg monoid to construct the smooth group scheme $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$. Then we show that the quasi-Poisson structure on $\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}$ extends to a log-nondegenerate structure on $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$. Finally, in Section 5 we realize the relative compactification $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}$ as a Steinberg slice in $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$, equipping it with a log-symplectic Poisson structure. We give an explicit description of its stratification by symplectic leaves.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Maxence Mayrand and Eckhard Meinrenken for interesting discussions. This work was partially supported by a National Science Foundation MSPRF under award DMS-1902921.

1. QUASI-HAMILTONIAN AND QUASI-POISSON STRUCTURES

We recall the basics of quasi-Hamiltonian and quasi-Poisson manifolds below, and we refer to [AKSM] for more details. We then explain how to view quasi-Poisson manifolds as twisted Dirac manifolds, following [BC1] and [BC2]. We will use this formalism in Section 2.

1.1. Quasi-Poisson manifolds. Let G be a simply-connected, semisimple complex group, let \mathfrak{g} be its Lie algebra, and write (\cdot, \cdot) for the Killing form. Under the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}^*$ induced by this form, the Cartan 3-tensor $\varphi \in \wedge^3 \mathfrak{g}$ is the dual of the invariant trilinear function $\eta \in \wedge^3 \mathfrak{g}^*$ given by

$$\eta(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{12}(x, [y, z]) \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Let $\{e_i\}$ be a basis of \mathfrak{g} which is orthonormal relative to the Killing form. Then

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{12} C_{ijk} e_i \wedge e_j \wedge e_k$$

where $C_{ijk} = (e_i, [e_j, e_k])$ are the structure constants. Here and throughout the paper we adopt the convention of summing over repeated indices.

If G acts on a complex manifold M , we write ξ_M for the polyvector field induced by the infinitesimal action of an element $\xi \in \wedge^k \mathfrak{g}$. In particular, the Cartan 3-tensor φ generates a trivector field $\varphi_M \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 TM)$. A *quasi-Poisson* structure on the manifold M is a G -invariant section $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 TM)$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad [\pi, \pi] = \varphi_M,$$

where the bracket on the left is the Schouten–Nijenhuis bracket. In the special case where G is abelian, the Cartan 3-tensor is trivial, and a quasi-Poisson structure on M is simply a G -invariant Poisson structure.

Example 1.2. [AKSM, Section 3] The group G , equipped with the conjugation action, has a natural quasi-Poisson bivector

$$\pi_G := \frac{1}{2} e_i^R \wedge e_i^L.$$

Here e_i^L and e_i^R are the invariant vector fields on G corresponding to left- and right-multiplication. The bivector π_G is tangent to the conjugacy classes, and it induces a quasi-Poisson structure on each one.

If (M_1, π_1) and (M_2, π_2) are quasi-Poisson G -manifolds, a G -equivariant map $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is called *quasi-Poisson* if the bivectors π_1 and π_2 are f -related. A quasi-Poisson manifold (M, π) is *Hamiltonian* if it has a G -equivariant group-valued moment map

$$\Phi : M \rightarrow G$$

which satisfies a differential equation analogous to the usual moment map condition [AKSM, Definition 2.2]. In particular, Φ is a quasi-Poisson map when G is equipped with the bivector π_G . In what follows all quasi-Poisson manifolds will be Hamiltonian, so we will suppress this adjective.

Example 1.3. [AKSM, Example 5.3] Consider the *internal fusion double* $D(G) := G \times G$. The group $G \times G$ acts on $D(G)$ by

$$(g, h) \cdot (u, v) = (guh^{-1}, hvg^{-1})$$

for $(g, h) \in G \times G$ and $(u, v) \in D(G)$. Let $\{e_i^1, e_i^2\}$ be the induced orthonormal basis for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$. The manifold $D(G)$ has a quasi-Poisson structure

$$\frac{1}{2} (e_i^{1L} \wedge e_i^{2R} + e_i^{1R} \wedge e_i^{2L}).$$

The associated moment map is

$$\begin{aligned} D(G) &\rightarrow G \times G \\ (u, v) &\mapsto (uv, u^{-1}v^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

In the subsequent sections we will often use the reparametrization of $D(G)$ given by setting $a = u$ and $b = vu$. This is analogous to the left-trivialization of the cotangent bundle T^*G . In these coordinates the $G \times G$ -action is

$$(1.4) \quad (g, h) \cdot (a, b) = (gah^{-1}, hbh^{-1}).$$

At the point (a, b) the quasi-Poisson bivector becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} (e_i^{1L} \wedge e_i^{2R} + e_i^{2L} \wedge e_i^{2R} + e_i^{1R} \wedge (\text{Ad}_{a^{-1}} e_i)^{2L}).$$

Using the fact that $\text{Ad}_{a^{-1}}$ is an orthogonal transformation relative to the Killing form and summing once again over repeated indices, the last term simplifies to

$$e_i^{1R} \wedge (\text{Ad}_{a^{-1}} e_i)^{2L} = (\text{Ad}_{a^{-1}} e_i)^{1L} \wedge (\text{Ad}_{a^{-1}} e_i)^{2L} = e_i^{1L} \wedge e_i^{2L}.$$

Therefore the quasi-Poisson structure in these coordinates is

$$(1.5) \quad \pi := \frac{1}{2} (e_i^{1L} \wedge (e_i^{2L} + e_i^{2R}) + e_i^{2L} \wedge e_i^{2R}),$$

and the associated moment map is

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu : D(G) &\longrightarrow G \times G \\ (a, b) &\longmapsto (aba^{-1}, b^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Quasi-Poisson structures are not compatible with restriction to the action of a subgroup—that is, a quasi-Poisson G -manifold is not in general quasi-Poisson for the action of a subgroup of G . An exception to this is the case of diagonal subgroups, for which there is a procedure called *internal fusion* [AKSM, Section 5] which we now describe.

Suppose that (M, π) is a quasi-Poisson $G \times G$ -manifold with group-valued moment map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : M &\longrightarrow G \times G \\ m &\longmapsto (\Phi_1(m), \Phi_2(m)). \end{aligned}$$

Define a 2-tensor

$$\psi := \frac{1}{2} e_i^1 \wedge e_i^2 \in \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}),$$

and consider the modified bivector

$$\pi_{\text{fus}} := \pi + \psi_M.$$

Let $\Phi_1 \Phi_2$ denote the pointwise product of the components of Φ . Then the triple

$$(M, \pi_{\text{fus}}, \Phi_1 \Phi_2)$$

is a quasi-Poisson G -manifold relative to the diagonal action of G .

Fusion equips the category of quasi-Poisson G -manifolds with a monoidal structure. Given two quasi-Poisson G -manifolds (M_1, π_1, Φ_1) and (M_2, π_2, Φ_2) , their direct product $M_1 \times M_2$ is naturally a quasi-Poisson manifold for the action of $G \times G$. Fusing the two sides of the G -action, we obtain

a new quasi-Poisson G -manifold denoted

$$M_1 \otimes M_2,$$

with bivector $(\pi_1 + \pi_2)_{\text{fus}}$ and moment map $\Phi_1 \Phi_2$.

1.2. Nondegenerate quasi-Poisson structures. Let (M, π, Φ) be a quasi-Poisson G -manifold. The bivector π induces a morphism of vector bundles

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^\# : T^*M &\longrightarrow TM \\ \alpha &\longmapsto \pi(\alpha, -) \end{aligned}$$

from the cotangent bundle T^*M to the tangent bundle TM . The action of G differentiates to an infinitesimal action map

$$\rho : M \times \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow TM.$$

The quasi-Poisson manifold M is called *nondegenerate* if the bundle map

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi^\# \oplus \rho : T^*M \oplus \mathfrak{g} &\longrightarrow TM \\ (\alpha, \xi) &\longmapsto \pi^\#(\alpha) + \rho(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

is surjective. For example, the double $D(G)$ defined in Example 1.3 is nondegenerate.

Let θ^L and θ^R be the left- and right-invariant Maurer–Cartan forms on G . These are \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-forms defined as follows: if L_h, R_h are the differentials of left- and right-multiplication by the element $h \in G$, then for any $v \in T_h G$

$$\theta_h^L(v) = L_{h^{-1}} v \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_h^R(v) = R_{h^{-1}} v.$$

The bi-invariant 3-form on G induced by $\eta \in \wedge^3 \mathfrak{g}^*$, which by abusing notation we denote by the same symbol, is

$$(1.8) \quad \eta = \frac{1}{12} (\theta^L, [\theta^L, \theta^L]) = \frac{1}{12} (\theta^R, [\theta^R, \theta^R]) \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 T^*G).$$

Every nondegenerate quasi-Poisson manifold (M, π, Φ) carries a (possibly degenerate, non-closed) 2-form ω which satisfies the following properties:

- (Q1) $d\omega = -\Phi^* \eta$;
- (Q2) $\iota_{\xi_M} \omega = \frac{1}{2} \Phi^*(\theta^L + \theta^R, \xi)$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$;
- (Q3) $\ker \omega_m = \{ \xi_M(m) \mid \xi \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ such that } \text{Ad}_{\Phi(m)} \xi = -\xi \}$.

This 2-form gives M the structure of a *quasi-Hamiltonian G -space* in the sense of [AMM]. We write $\theta_i^L, \theta_i^R \in \Gamma(T^*G)$ for the components of θ^L and θ^R in the basis $\{e_i\}$. At every point these 1-forms are a dual basis to the left- and right-invariant vector fields, so that

$$\theta_i^L(e_j^L) = \theta_i^R(e_j^R) = \delta_{ij}.$$

Define $C : TM \rightarrow TM$ to be the morphism of vector bundles

$$(1.9) \quad C := \text{Id} - \Phi^*(\theta_i^L - \theta_i^R) \otimes e_{iM}.$$

Then ω and π satisfy the compatibility condition

$$\pi^\# \circ \omega^\flat = C,$$

where $\omega^\flat : TM \rightarrow T^*M$ is the vector bundle map given by contraction with ω .

Example 1.10. The quasi-Hamiltonian 2-form corresponding to the nondegenerate quasi-Poisson manifold $D(G)$ from Example 1.3 is

$$\omega = -\frac{1}{2} (\theta_i^{1L} \wedge \theta_i^{2R} + \theta_i^{1R} \wedge \theta_i^{2L}).$$

Remark 1.11. If the action of G is trivial, the quasi-Poisson manifold M is nondegenerate if and only if $\pi^\#$ is an isomorphism—that is, if and only if π is a nondegenerate Poisson structure. In this case ω is exactly the corresponding symplectic form.

Even when π is degenerate, the image of (1.7) is an integrable distribution. Its integral submanifolds, which are G -stable, are called the *nondegenerate leaves* of M , because π gives each the structure of a nondegenerate quasi-Poisson manifold. In particular, each nondegenerate leaf S is equipped with a quasi-Hamiltonian 2-form ω_S .

Example 1.12. The nondegenerate leaves of the quasi-Poisson structure (G, π_G) defined in Example 1.2 are the conjugacy classes.

There is an analogue of Hamiltonian reduction for quasi-Poisson manifolds. Let (M, π, Φ) be a quasi-Poisson G -manifold and fix a conjugacy class $\mathcal{O} \subset G$. Then, if the quotient

$$M //_{\mathcal{O}} G := \Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{O})/G$$

is a manifold, it has a natural Poisson structure whose symplectic leaves are precisely the reductions of the nondegenerate leaves of M . When $\mathcal{O} = \{1\}$ is the identity element, we denote this quotient simply by $M // G$.

1.3. Twisted Dirac structures. Fix a closed 3-form $\phi \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 T^*M)$. A vector subbundle

$$L \subset TM \oplus T^*M$$

is called a ϕ -twisted Dirac structure on M if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- L is Lagrangian with respect to the symmetric pairing on $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ given by

$$\langle (X, \alpha), (Y, \beta) \rangle = \beta(X) + \alpha(Y);$$

- $\Gamma(L)$ is closed under the ϕ -twisted Courant bracket on $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ defined by

$$\llbracket (X, \alpha), (Y, \beta) \rrbracket_\phi = ([X, Y], \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \iota_Y d\alpha + \iota_X \wedge \iota_Y \phi).$$

The projection of $L \subset TM \oplus T^*M$ onto the first summand is an integrable distribution, and induces a foliation of M by *presymplectic leaves*. Each presymplectic leaf $S \subset M$ carries a (potentially degenerate, non-closed) 2-form ω_S such that $d\omega_S = \phi|_S$.

Example 1.13. (1) A symplectic structure ω on a manifold M corresponds to the 0-twisted Dirac structure

$$L_\omega := \{(X, \omega^\flat(X)) \mid X \in TM\} \subset TM \oplus T^*M$$

given by the graph of ω^\flat . Conversely, a 0-twisted Dirac structure $L \subset TM \oplus T^*M$ is induced by a symplectic form if and only if L is transverse to both TM and T^*M , viewed as subbundles of $TM \oplus T^*M$.

(2) Similarly, a Poisson structure π on M corresponds to the 0-twisted Dirac structure

$$L_\pi := \{(\pi^\#(\alpha), \alpha) \mid \alpha \in T^*M\} \subset TM \oplus T^*M$$

given by the graph of $\pi^\#$. Its projection onto the first coordinate is the distribution whose integral submanifolds are the symplectic leaves of π . Conversely, a 0-twisted Dirac structure $L \subset TM \oplus T^*M$ is induced by a Poisson bivector if and only if L is transverse to TM .

(3) [BC1, Theorem 3.16] A Hamiltonian quasi-Poisson structure π on a G -manifold M corresponds to the $-\Phi^*\eta$ -twisted Dirac structure

$$L = \left\{ \left(\pi^\#(\alpha) + \rho(\xi), C^*(\alpha) + \Phi^*\sigma(\xi) \right) \mid \alpha \in T^*M, \xi \in \mathfrak{g} \right\} \subset TM \oplus T^*M.$$

Here C is as defined in (1.9) and σ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : \mathfrak{g} &\longrightarrow T^*G \\ \xi &\longmapsto \frac{1}{2} (\xi^L + \xi^R)^\vee, \end{aligned}$$

where v^\vee is the dual of the vector $v \in TG$ under the isomorphism $TG \cong T^*G$ induced by the Killing form.

This Dirac structure has the property that $\ker \Phi_* \cap L = 0$. The associated presymplectic foliation, given by projecting L onto TM , is exactly the foliation of M by quasi-Hamiltonian leaves described in Section 1.2.

Let (M, L_M) be a Dirac manifold and let $f : M \longrightarrow N$ be a smooth map to a manifold N . The *pushforward* of the Dirac structure L_M , if it is well-defined, is the distribution

$$f_*L_M := \{(f_*X, \beta) \in TN \oplus T^*N \mid (X, f^*\beta) \in L_M\}.$$

If this distribution defines a smooth vector bundle on N , it is a Dirac structure. When (M, L_M) and (N, L_N) are Dirac manifolds, a map $f : M \longrightarrow N$ is *forward-Dirac* if

$$L_N = f_*L_M.$$

This notion generalizes the pushforward of vector fields, and all Poisson and quasi-Poisson maps are forward-Dirac. In particular, if (M, π, Φ) is a quasi-Poisson G -manifold, then the group-valued moment map Φ is forward-Dirac when M and G are viewed as Dirac manifolds. Moreover, [BC1,

Theorem 3.16] shows that every ϕ -twisted Dirac manifold (M, L) equipped with a forward-Dirac map $\Phi : M \rightarrow G$ which satisfies

$$(1.14) \quad \phi = -\Phi^*\eta \quad \text{and} \quad \ker \Phi_* \cap L = 0$$

is a quasi-Poisson manifold. (In [BC2], such a map is called *strong* forward-Dirac.)

Conversely, let (N, L_N) be a Dirac manifold and let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map from a manifold M . The *pullback* of the Dirac structure L_N is the Lagrangian distribution

$$f^*L_N := \{(X, f^*\beta) \in TM \oplus T^*M \mid (f_*X, \beta) \in L_N\}.$$

If this distribution defines a smooth vector bundle on M , it is a Dirac structure. When (M, L_M) and (N, L_N) are Dirac manifolds, a map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is called *backward-Dirac* if

$$L_M = f^*L_N.$$

This is a generalization of the pullback of differential forms—symplectomorphisms, for instance, are backward-Dirac. We give the following important example of a Dirac pullback, which we will use repeatedly in the next section.

Example 1.15. [Bur, Proposition 5.6] Suppose that (M, L) is a ϕ -twisted Dirac manifold. If $\iota : X \hookrightarrow M$ is a submanifold which is transverse to the foliation of M by presymplectic leaves, then

$$\iota^*L = \{(X, \iota^*\beta) \in TX \oplus T^*X \mid (\iota_*X, \beta) \in L\}$$

is a $\iota^*\phi$ -twisted Dirac structure on X .

Note that any isomorphism which is forward-Dirac is also backward-Dirac, and vice-versa. To conclude we prove two simple “push–pull” lemmas which will be useful in the next section.

Lemma 1.16. *Let A, B, C, D be manifolds which fit into the diagram*

$$(1.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\psi} & B \\ \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma \\ C & \xrightarrow{\tau} & D. \end{array}$$

Suppose that B has a Dirac structure L_B and that all Dirac pullbacks and pushforwards along the diagram are well-defined smooth vector bundles. If (1.17) is Cartesian, then

$$\rho_*\psi^*L_B = \tau^*\sigma_*L_B.$$

Proof. Computing, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_*\psi^*L_B &= \{(\rho_*a, \gamma) \in TC \oplus T^*C \mid (a, \rho^*\gamma) \in \psi^*L_B\} \\ &= \{(\rho_*a, \gamma) \in TC \oplus T^*C \mid \exists \beta \in T^*B \text{ such that } \psi^*\beta = \rho^*\gamma \text{ and } (\psi_*a, \beta) \in L_B\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\tau^*\sigma_*L_B &= \{(c, \tau^*\delta) \in TC \oplus T^*C \mid (\tau_*c, \delta) \in \sigma_*L_B\} \\ &= \{(c, \tau^*\delta) \in TC \oplus T^*C \mid \exists b \in TB \text{ such that } \sigma_*b = \tau_*c \text{ and } (b, \sigma^*\delta) \in L_B\}\end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that $(\rho_*a, \gamma) \in \rho_*\psi^*L_B$. Then there exists $\beta \in T^*B$ such that

$$\psi^*\beta = \rho^*\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad (\psi_*a, \beta) \in L_B.$$

Since the pullbacks of β and γ to A agree, and since the diagram (1.17) is Cartesian, there exists a covector $\delta \in T^*D$ such that

$$\sigma^*\delta = \beta \quad \text{and} \quad \tau^*\delta = \gamma.$$

Let $b = \psi^*a$. Then $\sigma_*b = \tau_*\rho_*a$ and

$$(b, \sigma^*\delta) = (\psi_*a, \beta) \in L_B.$$

Therefore $(\rho_*a, \gamma) \in \tau^*\sigma_*L_B$, and we see that

$$\rho_*\psi^*L_B \subset \tau^*\sigma_*L_B.$$

Since the two sides of this inclusion are vector bundles of the same rank over C , they are equal. \square

Lemma 1.18. *Let A, B, C be Dirac manifolds and let $\sigma : A \rightarrow C$ be an isomorphism which factors as*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xleftrightarrow{\psi} & B \\ & \searrow \sigma & \downarrow \rho \\ & & C, \end{array}$$

where ψ is backward-Dirac and ρ is forward-Dirac. Then σ is both backward- and forward-Dirac.

Proof. Write L_A , L_B , and L_C for the Dirac structures on A , B , and C respectively. Since ψ is backward-Dirac and ρ is forward-Dirac, we have

$$L_A = \psi^*L_B \quad \text{and} \quad L_C = \rho_*L_B.$$

Since σ is an isomorphism, it is sufficient to prove that it is forward-Dirac—that is, to show that $L_C = \sigma_*L_A$.

Suppose that $(\sigma_*a, \gamma) \in \sigma_*L_A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma_*a, \gamma) \in \sigma_*L_A &\Rightarrow (a, \psi^*\rho^*\gamma) \in L_A \\ &\Rightarrow (\psi_*a, \rho^*\gamma) \in L_B \quad \text{since } L_A = \psi^*L_B \\ &\Rightarrow (\rho_*\psi_*a, \gamma) \in L_C \quad \text{since } L_C = \rho_*L_B \\ &\Rightarrow (\sigma_*a, \gamma) \in L_C.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\sigma_*L_A \subset L_C$. Since σ_*L_A and L_C are vector bundles of the same rank over C , this inclusion implies equality. Therefore σ is forward-Dirac. \square

2. STEINBERG SLICES

In this section we show that any quasi-Poisson G -manifold (M, π, Φ) has a distinguished submanifold M_Σ which intersects each nondegenerate leaf transversally and symplectically. This submanifold, which we call the *Steinberg slice* of M , is the preimage of the Steinberg cross-section of G under the moment map Φ . It carries a Poisson structure whose symplectic leaves are its intersections with the nondegenerate leaves of M .

2.1. Construction of M_Σ . Let W be the Weyl group of G corresponding to a maximal torus T , and let $c \in W$ be a Coxeter element—that is, c is the product of the simple reflections, which is unique up to conjugation. Write $\dot{c} \in N_G(T)$ for a fixed group representative of c .

Fix a pair of opposite Borel subgroups B and B^- containing T , and let U and U^- be their unipotent radicals. The *Steinberg cross-section* of G , which was introduced in [Ste], is the closed subvariety

$$\Sigma := U\dot{c} \cap \dot{c}U^- \subset G.$$

It is an affine space which consists entirely of regular elements. Its dimension is equal to the length of c as an element of the Weyl group, which is the rank of G .

Since G is simply-connected, Σ intersects every regular conjugacy class in G exactly once and transversally. (The proof of transversality does not appear in [Ste], but can be found for example in [Sev, Proposition 2.3].) If $\Xi : G \rightarrow T/W$ is the quotient map induced by the Chevalley isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[G]^G \cong \mathbb{C}[T]^W$, then the composition

$$(2.1) \quad \Sigma \hookrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\Xi} T/W$$

is an isomorphism of affine varieties.

Lemma 2.2. *The pullback of the twisted Dirac structure L_G to the cross-section Σ is the zero Poisson structure.*

Proof. Let $j : \Sigma \rightarrow G$ be the inclusion map. Since Σ is transverse to the conjugacy classes of G , by Example 1.15 the Dirac structure L_G pulls back to a $j^*\eta$ -twisted Dirac structure L_Σ on Σ .

For any $h \in \Sigma$, the image of

$$\theta_h^L = (L_{h^{-1}})_* : T_h\Sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

is contained in $\mathfrak{b} = \text{Lie}(B)$, and $(\mathfrak{b}, [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}]) = 0$. In view of (1.8) we have $j^*\eta = 0$, so the Dirac structure L_Σ is non-twisted.

Since Σ meets each regular conjugacy class in a single point,

$$L_\Sigma = j^*L_G = \{(0, \alpha) \in T\Sigma \oplus T^*\Sigma \mid \alpha \in T^*\Sigma\}.$$

Therefore L_Σ corresponds to the zero Poisson structure. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let H and K be simply-connected, semisimple complex groups and let Σ be the Steinberg cross-section of K . Let (M, π) be a quasi-Poisson $H \times K$ -manifold with group-valued moment map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : M &\longrightarrow H \times K \\ m &\longmapsto (\Phi_H(m), \Phi_K(m)). \end{aligned}$$

- (a) *The preimage $M_{H,\Sigma} := \Phi_K^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is a smooth submanifold of M .*
- (b) *The pullback of the twisted Dirac structure L_M to $M_{H,\Sigma}$ is quasi-Poisson for the action of H , with group-valued moment map $\Phi_H|_{M_{H,\Sigma}}$.*
- (c) *The nondegenerate leaves of $M_{H,\Sigma}$ are the connected components of $M_{H,\Sigma} \cap S$, where S varies over all nondegenerate leaves of M ; the quasi-Hamiltonian 2-form on each connected component of $M_{H,\Sigma} \cap S$ is the restriction of the quasi-Hamiltonian form ω_S .*

Proof. (a) Let $k \in \Sigma$ and $m \in \Phi_K^{-1}(k)$, and write $\mathcal{O} \subset K$ for the conjugacy class of k . Because Φ_K is K -equivariant,

$$T_k \mathcal{O} = \Phi_{K*}(T_m(K \cdot m)) \subset \Phi_{K*}(T_m M).$$

Therefore, since Σ is transverse to \mathcal{O} , it is transverse to Φ_K . It follows that $M_{H,\Sigma} = \Phi_K^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is a smooth submanifold of M .

(b) Let $j : H \times \Sigma \hookrightarrow H \times K$ be the inclusion, and let $\eta = (\eta_H, \eta_K)$ be the canonical 3-form on the product $H \times K$. Since Σ is transverse to the conjugacy classes of K ,

$$T_m M_{H,\Sigma} + T_m(K \cdot m) = \Phi_{K*}^{-1}(T_k \Sigma + T_k \mathcal{O}) = T_m M.$$

It follows that $M_{H,\Sigma}$ is transverse to the $H \times K$ -orbits on M , and therefore also to the presymplectic leaves of the Dirac structure L_M .

Let $\iota : M_{H,\Sigma} \hookrightarrow M$ be the inclusion. By Example 1.15, transversality implies that the $-\Phi^*\eta$ -twisted Dirac structure on M pulls back to a $-\iota^*(\Phi^*\eta)$ -twisted Dirac structure $L_{M_{H,\Sigma}}$ on $M_{H,\Sigma}$. The commutative diagram

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M_{H,\Sigma} & \xleftarrow{\iota} & M \\ \downarrow \Phi & & \downarrow \Phi \\ H \times \Sigma & \xleftarrow{j} & H \times K \end{array}$$

shows that

$$-\iota^*(\Phi^*\eta) = -\Phi^*(j^*\eta) = -\Phi_{H*}\eta_H,$$

where the last equality follows since the restriction of η_K to Σ vanishes by Lemma 2.2. Therefore $L_{M_{H,\Sigma}}$ is a $-\Phi_{H*}\eta_H$ -twisted Dirac structure on $M_{H,\Sigma}$.

To show that $L_{M_{H,\Sigma}}$ is quasi-Poisson for the action of H , by (1.14) it is sufficient to show (i) that Φ_H is a forward-Dirac map and (ii) that it has the property

$$\ker \Phi_{H*} \cap L_{M_{H,\Sigma}} = 0.$$

(i) Let $p_H : H \times K \rightarrow H$ be the first projection, so that $\Phi_H = p_H \circ \Phi$. Since p_H and Φ are forward-Dirac, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_H &= p_{H*}L_{H \times \Sigma} \\ &= p_{H*}(j^*\Phi_*L_M) \\ &= p_{H*}(\Phi_*\iota^*L_M) = \Phi_{H*}L_{M_{H,\Sigma}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows from applying Lemma 1.16 to (2.4). Therefore Φ_H is forward-Dirac.

(ii) Let $p_K : H \times K \rightarrow K$ be the second projection, so that

$$L_\Sigma = p_{K*}L_{H \times \Sigma}.$$

Suppose that $(X, 0) \in L_{M_{H,\Sigma}}$ is such that $\Phi_{H*}(X) = 0$. In particular, it follows that $(X, 0) \in L_M$. Since L_Σ is the zero Poisson by Lemma 2.2 and since p_K and Φ are forward-Dirac,

$$\Phi_{K*}(X) = p_{K*}\Phi_*(X) = 0.$$

This implies that $\Phi_*(X) = 0$. Therefore $X \in \ker \Phi_* \cap L_M$. Since Φ satisfies condition (1.14), it follows that $X = 0$.

(c) This is immediate since we have shown that the quasi-Poisson structure on $M_{H,\Sigma}$ is the pullback of the Dirac structure L_M . \square

When $H = 1$ and $K = G$, Theorem 2.3 has the following corollary:

Corollary 2.5. *Let (M, π, Φ) be a quasi-Poisson G -manifold. Then*

- (a) $M_\Sigma := \Phi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is a smooth submanifold of M .
- (b) The pullback of the twisted Dirac structure L_M to M_Σ is Poisson.
- (c) The symplectic leaves of M_Σ are the connected components of $M_\Sigma \cap S$, where S varies over all nondegenerate leaves of M ; the symplectic form on each connected component of $M_\Sigma \cap S$ is the restriction of the quasi-Hamiltonian 2-form ω_S .

Our first example of a Steinberg slice is the group scheme of regular centralizers of G , whose symplectic structure is constructed in essentially the same way in [FT, Section 2].

Example 2.6. Consider the double $D(G)$ of Example 1.3. Recall that its moment map is

$$\begin{aligned} \mu : D(G) &\rightarrow G \times G \\ (a, b) &\mapsto (aba^{-1}, b^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

with image

$$(2.7) \quad \text{im}(\mu) = \{(g, h) \in G \times G \mid g \text{ is conjugate to } h^{-1}\}.$$

Let

$$\Sigma_\Delta := \{(h, h^{-1}) \mid h \in \Sigma\} \subset G \times G$$

be the antidiagonal embedding of the Steinberg cross-section Σ . Since two elements of Σ are conjugate if and only if they are equal, we have

$$\mu^{-1}(\Sigma_\Delta) = \mu^{-1}(\Sigma \times \iota(\Sigma)),$$

where $\iota : G \rightarrow G$ is the inversion. Since $\Sigma \times \iota(\Sigma)$ is a Steinberg cross-section in $G \times G$, it follows from Corollary 2.5 that $\mu^{-1}(\Sigma_\Delta)$ is a smooth submanifold of $D(G)$ with an induced symplectic structure.

The fiber of μ above an antidiagonal point $(h, h^{-1}) \in G \times G$ is the G -centralizer of h , and therefore

$$\mu^{-1}(\Sigma_\Delta) = \{(a, h) \in G \times \Sigma \mid aha^{-1} = h\}.$$

This space is the completion of the phase space of the open relativistic Toda lattice, and this symplectic structure is precisely the one described in [FT, Lemma 2.1].

2.2. Slices and the base affine space. We may also take the preimage of Σ through only one component of the moment map (1.6). This is the analogue of the one-sided Whittaker reduction of T^*G , which gives the twisted cotangent bundle of the base affine space G/U .

Example 2.8. By letting $M = D(G)$ and $H = K = G$ in Theorem 2.3, we see that

$$D_\Sigma(G) := G \times \Sigma,$$

has a natural nondegenerate quasi-Poisson structure for the residual G -action

$$g \cdot (a, h) = (ga, h), \quad \text{for } g \in G, (a, h) \in D_\Sigma(G).$$

The corresponding group-valued moment map is

$$\begin{aligned} D_\Sigma(G) &\longrightarrow G \\ (a, h) &\longrightarrow aha^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.9. Consider the affine space $\Theta := U\dot{c}U$, which contains Σ . By [Ste, Section 8.5], the conjugation action gives an isomorphism

$$U \times \Sigma \xrightarrow{\sim} \Theta.$$

(The proof in *loc. cit.* is omitted, but more general versions of this statement are proved in [Sev, Proposition 2.1] or [LH, Theorem 3.6].) Then $D_\Sigma(G)$ becomes a bundle of affine spaces

$$D_\Sigma(G) \cong G \times_U \Theta \longrightarrow G/U.$$

The one-sided slice $D_\Sigma(G)$ is similar to the universal imploded cross-section of [HJS], where the authors study real quasi-Hamiltonian manifolds under the action of compact Lie groups. Following this analogy, we show that the Steinberg slice M_Σ can always be obtained as a quasi-Poisson reduction of the fusion product $M \circledast D_\Sigma(G)$.

Let (M, π, Φ) be a quasi-Poisson G -manifold, and consider the embedding

$$\begin{aligned} M &\hookrightarrow M \times D(G) \\ m &\longmapsto (m, 1, \Phi(m)^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Its restriction to M_Σ descends to an embedding

$$(2.10) \quad M_\Sigma \hookrightarrow M \times D_\Sigma(G).$$

If we view the right-hand side as a fused quasi-Poisson G -manifold with moment map

$$\begin{aligned} J : M \otimes D_\Sigma(G) &\longrightarrow G \\ (m, a, x) &\longrightarrow \Phi(m)axa^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

the image of (2.10) is contained in the fiber $J^{-1}(1)$ above the identity.

Proposition 2.11. *The embedding (2.10) induces an isomorphism of Poisson manifolds*

$$M_\Sigma \cong (M \otimes D_\Sigma(G)) // G.$$

Proof. Since the diagonal action of G on $M \otimes D_\Sigma(G)$ is free, each G -orbit in $J^{-1}(1)$ contains a unique element of the form $(m, 1, \Phi(m)^{-1})$. Therefore the induced map

$$M_\Sigma \longrightarrow J^{-1}(1)/G = (M \otimes D_\Sigma(G)) // G$$

is an isomorphism. We only need to check that it is Poisson.

Because of the transversality condition (1.14), the inclusion

$$J^{-1}(1) \hookrightarrow M \otimes D_\Sigma(G)$$

equips $J^{-1}(1)$ with a Dirac structure via pullback. We get a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_\Sigma & \hookrightarrow & J^{-1}(1) \\ & \searrow \sim & \downarrow \\ & & J^{-1}(1)/G, \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrow is backward-Dirac and the vertical arrow is forward-Dirac [BC2, Proposition 4.1]. Since the diagonal arrow is an isomorphism, Lemma 1.18 implies that it is forward-Dirac, and therefore Poisson. \square

2.3. Log-nondegenerate quasi-Poisson structures. Once again let (M, π, Φ) be a quasi-Poisson G -manifold, and let $D \subset M$ be a G -stable divisor with simple normal crossings. The *logarithmic tangent sheaf* is the sheaf of logarithmic vector fields on M —that is, vector fields which are tangent to the divisor D . Because D has simple normal crossings, this sheaf is locally free. The associated vector bundle $T_D M$ is called the *logarithmic tangent bundle* of M , and its dual $T_D^* M$ is the *logarithmic cotangent bundle*.

Suppose for the rest of this section that the bivector field π is logarithmic. Then it corresponds to a natural morphism of vector bundles

$$\pi_D^\# : T_D^*M \longrightarrow T_DM$$

from the log-cotangent bundle of M to the log-tangent bundle. Similarly, any logarithmic 2-form $\omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 T_D^*M)$ corresponds to

$$\omega_D^\flat : T_DM \longrightarrow T_D^*M.$$

Since the action of G on M stabilizes the divisor D , there is also a logarithmic infinitesimal action map

$$\rho_D : M \times \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow T_DM.$$

Definition 2.12. The quasi-Poisson G -manifold M is *logarithmically nondegenerate* if the morphism of vector bundles

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_D^\# \oplus \rho_D : T_D^*M \oplus \mathfrak{g} &\longrightarrow T_DM \\ (\alpha, \xi) &\longmapsto \pi_D^\#(\alpha) + \rho_D(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

is surjective.

Remark 2.14. The pullback of T_DM to the open dense locus $M^\circ := M \setminus D$ is just the ordinary cotangent bundle TM° ; similarly, the pullback of T_D^*M to M° is T^*M° . Therefore, along M° the morphism (2.13) agrees with the morphism of vector bundles (1.7). In particular, if M is log-nondegenerate then M° is its unique open dense nondegenerate leaf.

Viewed as an automorphism of the tangent sheaf of M , the map defined in (1.9) takes logarithmic vector fields to logarithmic vector fields. Therefore it defines a morphism of vector bundles

$$(2.15) \quad C_D : T_DM \longrightarrow T_DM.$$

Using this and [AKSM, Theorem 10.3], we give an equivalent condition for log-nondegeneracy.

Proposition 2.16. *The quasi-Poisson manifold (M, π, Φ) is log-nondegenerate if and only if there exists a logarithmic 2-form $\omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 T_D^*M)$ such that*

$$(2.17) \quad \pi_D^\# \circ \omega_D^\flat = C_D.$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow) First suppose that π is log-nondegenerate. Then its restriction to M° is a nondegenerate quasi-Poisson bivector π° . By [AKSM, Theorem 10.3] there is a 2-form

$$\omega^\circ \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 T^*M^\circ)$$

which satisfies conditions (Q1), (Q2), and (Q3). If C° is the restriction of (2.15) to M° , then

$$\pi^{\circ\#} \circ \omega^{\circ\flat} = C^\circ.$$

Taking duals, we also obtain

$$\omega^{\circ\flat} \circ \pi^{\circ\#} = C^{\circ*}.$$

If ω° extends to a logarithmic 2-form ω on M , then condition (2.17) is automatically satisfied by continuity. Therefore it is enough to show that $\omega^{\circ b} : TM^\circ \rightarrow T^*M^\circ$ extends to a morphism of vector bundles

$$\omega_D^b : T_D M \rightarrow T_D^* M.$$

For any $v \in T_D^* M$, we define

$$\omega_D^b(\pi_D^\#(v)) := C_D^*(v).$$

This extends ω_D^b to the entire image of $\pi_D^\#$. On the other hand, the condition (Q2) defines ω_D^b on the image of ρ_D . By the log-nondegeneracy assumption (2.13), this determines ω_D^b entirely, and we are done.

(\Leftarrow) Conversely, suppose that there exists a logarithmic 2-form ω on M such that (2.17) holds, and let $v \in T_D M$ be any logarithmic vector. Then, in view of (1.9),

$$\pi_D^\# \circ \omega_D^b(v) = C_D(v) = v - \rho_D(\xi)$$

for some $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. It follows that

$$\pi_D^\#(\omega_D^b(v)) + \rho_D(\xi) = v,$$

and so $\pi_D^\# \oplus \rho_D$ is surjective. Therefore π is log-nondegenerate. \square

Remark 2.18. Together with [AKSM, Theorem 10.3], Proposition 2.16 implies that any log-nondegenerate quasi-Poisson manifold comes equipped with a unique logarithmic 2-form which satisfies logarithmic versions of conditions (Q1), (Q2), (Q3), as well as the compatibility condition (2.17).

In the special case that the action of G is trivial, (M, π) is log-nondegenerate if and only if $\pi_D^\#$ is an isomorphism—that is, if and only if π is a log-symplectic Poisson structure. In this case C_D is the identity morphism and the logarithmic 2-form ω_D is exactly the corresponding log-symplectic form.

The following proposition shows that Steinberg slices in log-nondegenerate quasi-Poisson manifolds are log-symplectic.

Proposition 2.19. *Suppose that (M, π, Φ) is log-nondegenerate.*

- (a) $M_\Sigma \cap D$ is a simple normal crossing divisor in M_Σ .
- (b) The induced bivector π_Σ is tangent to $M_\Sigma \cap D$.
- (c) (M_Σ, π_Σ) is a log-symplectic Poisson manifold.

Proof. (a) Let D_1, \dots, D_l be the smooth irreducible components of the simple normal crossing divisor D . Since the bivector π is tangent to D and since D is G -stable, each partial intersection

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} D_i, \quad I \subset \{1, \dots, l\}$$

is a union of nondegenerate leaves of (M, π) . Since M_Σ is transverse to these nondegenerate leaves, it is transverse to every partial intersection of divisor components. It follows that $M_\Sigma \cap D$ is again a simple normal crossing divisor.

(b) Fix a point $m \in M_\Sigma$ and a covector $\alpha \in T_m^* M_\Sigma$, and let $\iota : M_\Sigma \rightarrow M$ be the inclusion map. Write L_{M_Σ} and L_M for the twisted Dirac structures associated to M_Σ and M . By Theorem 2.3,

$$L_{M_\Sigma} = \iota^* L_M.$$

Therefore, since $(\pi_\Sigma^\#(\alpha), \alpha) \in L_{M_\Sigma}$, there exists some $\beta \in T_m^* M$ such that

$$\left(\pi_\Sigma^\#(\alpha), \alpha \right) = \left(\pi_\Sigma^\#(\alpha), \iota^* \beta \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\iota_* \pi_\Sigma^\#(\alpha), \beta \right) \in L_M.$$

Since (M, π) is quasi-Poisson, Example 1.13(c) then implies that

$$\iota_* \pi_\Sigma^\#(\alpha) = \pi^\#(\gamma) + \rho(\xi)$$

for some $\gamma \in T_m^* M$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. Since π is logarithmic and D is G -stable, both terms on the right-hand side are tangent to D . It follows that $\pi_\Sigma^\#(\alpha)$ is tangent to $M_\Sigma \cap D$, and therefore the bivector π_Σ is logarithmic.

(c) Let ω be the logarithmic 2-form on M defined by Proposition 2.16. Write ω_Σ for its restriction to M_Σ , and ω_Σ° for its restriction to $M_\Sigma^\circ := M_\Sigma \cap M^\circ$. Since (M°, π°) is nondegenerate and $M_\Sigma^\circ \subset M^\circ$ is a Steinberg slice, it follows from Theorem 2.3 that ω_Σ° is a symplectic form. Therefore

$$\pi_\Sigma^{\circ\#} \circ \omega_\Sigma^{\circ\flat} : TM_\Sigma^\circ \rightarrow TM_\Sigma^\circ$$

is the identity map.

There is a morphism of vector bundles

$$\pi_{\Sigma, D}^\# \circ \omega_{\Sigma, D}^\flat : T_D M_\Sigma \rightarrow T_D M_\Sigma.$$

For simplicity and since there is no risk of confusion, here we abuse notation to write $T_D M_\Sigma$ for the log-tangent bundle of M_Σ relative to the normal crossing divisor $M_\Sigma \cap D$. This morphism agrees with the identity map along M_Σ° . Therefore it agrees with the identity map everywhere, and π_Σ is log-symplectic. \square

3. THE MULTIPLICATIVE UNIVERSAL CENTRALIZER AND THE WONDERFUL COMPACTIFICATION

Let Z_G be the center of the simply-connected group G , and let $G_{\text{ad}} := G/Z_G$ be its adjoint form. A finite quotient of Example 2.6 produces a smooth, symplectic family of centralizer subgroups of G_{ad} over Σ . In the next sections we will compactify the centralizer fibers of this family inside the wonderful compactification of G_{ad} . First we recall the construction of this universal centralizer and of the wonderful compactification.

3.1. The multiplicative universal centralizer. The natural action of G on itself by conjugation descends to an action of G_{ad} on G , for which we use the same notation. For every $h \in G$ we define the *adjoint centralizer*

$$Z_{\text{ad}}(h) := \{a \in G_{\text{ad}} \mid aha^{-1} = h\}.$$

Note that $Z_{\text{ad}}(h) = Z_G(h)/Z_G$, where Z_G is the center of G , and we have the following simple lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $h \in G$ is a regular element. Then $Z_{\text{ad}}(h)$ is connected.*

Proof. Let $h = us$ be the Jordan decomposition of h into a unipotent part u and a semisimple part s . Let $L = Z_G(s)$ be the centralizer of s in G . Because G is simply-connected, the reductive group L is connected.

Since h is regular, the unipotent element u is regular in L and

$$Z_G(h) = Z_L(u) = Z_L \times Z_{U_L}(u).$$

Here U_L is the unique maximal unipotent subgroup of L which contains u , and the second factor $Z_{U_L}(u)$ is connected by [Spr, Lemma 4.3].

Write $L_{\text{ad}} := L/Z_G \subset G_{\text{ad}}$ for the image of L in G_{ad} . We have $Z_G \subset Z_L$ and $Z_L/Z_G = Z_{L_{\text{ad}}}$. The center $Z_{L_{\text{ad}}}$ is connected because G_{ad} is of adjoint type, and therefore

$$Z_{\text{ad}}(h) = Z_G(h)/Z_G \cong Z_{L_{\text{ad}}} \times Z_{U_L}(u)$$

is also connected. □

Definition 3.2. The (*multiplicative*) *universal centralizer* associated to G is the affine variety

$$\mathfrak{Z} := \{(a, h) \in G_{\text{ad}} \times \Sigma \mid a \in Z_{\text{ad}}(h)\}.$$

We will consider the *double*

$$\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}} := G_{\text{ad}} \times G,$$

which is the quotient of the space $D(G)$ in Example 1.3 by the action of the finite center Z_G on the left. The $G \times G$ -action (1.4), the bivector π (1.5), and the moment map μ (1.6) all descend to $\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}$. Keeping this notation, $(\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}, \pi, \mu)$ is a nondegenerate quasi-Poisson $G \times G$ -variety.

Remark 3.3. We may view $\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}$ as a constant algebraic group scheme over G_{ad} . On the other hand, letting \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G_{ad} and using the Killing form to identify $\mathfrak{g}^* \cong \mathfrak{g}$, the cotangent bundle

$$T^*G_{\text{ad}} \cong G_{\text{ad}} \times \mathfrak{g}$$

becomes a bundle of Lie algebras over G_{ad} . The double

$$\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}} = G_{\text{ad}} \times G$$

is then its simply-connected integration.

In view of Example 2.6, the multiplicative universal centralizer

$$\mathfrak{Z} = \mu^{-1}(\Sigma \times \iota(\Sigma)) = \{(a, h) \in G_{\text{ad}} \times \Sigma \mid aha^{-1} = h\}$$

sits inside $\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}$ as a symplectic Steinberg slice. In particular, as in [FT], through isomorphism (2.1) \mathfrak{Z} is equipped with an integrable system given by the invariant generators of $\mathbb{C}[T]^W$.

3.2. The wonderful compactification. Let l be the rank of G . The *wonderful compactification* $\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$ is a canonical, smooth, $G \times G$ -equivariant compactification of G_{ad} which was introduced by de Concini and Procesi [dCP]. We recall some of its structure theory, following [EJ]. It is a smooth projective variety which contains G_{ad} as an open dense subset and on which G acts by extensions of the left- and right-multiplication. The boundary

$$D := \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \setminus G_{\text{ad}}$$

is a simple normal crossing divisor with irreducible components D_1, \dots, D_l .

The $G \times G$ orbits on $\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$ are in bijection with subsets of the simple roots in the sense that, for any $I \subset \{1, \dots, l\}$, the closure of the orbit \mathcal{O}_I is the corresponding partial intersection of divisor components

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_I = \bigcap_{i \notin I} D_i.$$

In particular, the closure of each orbit is smooth.

The subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, l\}$ determines a “positive” parabolic subgroup P_I , generated by the “positive” Borel B and the simple root spaces indexed by I . Write P_I^- for the opposite parabolic and L_I for their common Levi component. Let $U_I^\pm \subset P_I^\pm$ be the unipotent radicals, and denote by \mathfrak{p}_I^\pm , \mathfrak{u}_I^\pm , and \mathfrak{l}_I the Lie algebras of these subgroups. Each orbit \mathcal{O}_I has a distinguished basepoint

$$z_I \in \mathcal{O}_I$$

whose $G \times G$ -stabilizer is

$$(3.4) \quad \text{Stab}_{G \times G}(z_I) := \{(us, vt) \in P_I \times P_I^- \mid u \in U_I, v \in U_I^-, s, t \in L_I, st^{-1} \in Z_{L_I}\}.$$

It follows that \mathcal{O}_I is a fiber bundle over the product of partial flag varieties $G/P_I \times G/P_I^-$, with fiber isomorphic to the adjoint group L_I/Z_{L_I} . This extends to a smooth fibration

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{L_I/Z_{L_I}} & \hookrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{O}}_I \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & G/P_I \times G/P_I^- \end{array}$$

whose fiber is the wonderful compactification of L_I/Z_{L_I} .

The wonderful compactification $\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$ is *log-homogeneous* in the sense of [Bri]—that is, the logarithmic infinitesimal action map

$$\text{act}_D : \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow T_D \overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$$

is surjective. In the short exact sequence of vector bundles over $\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(\text{act}_D) \longrightarrow \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow T_D \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

the kernel $\ker(\text{act}_D)$ is Lagrangian relative to the Killing form [Bri, Example 2.5]. It follows that

$$(3.5) \quad \ker(\text{act}_D) \cong T_D^* \overline{G_{\text{ad}}}.$$

This identifies the log-cotangent bundle $T_D^* \overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$ with a subbundle of the trivial bundle $\overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$, extending the embedding

$$\begin{aligned} T^* G_{\text{ad}} &\cong G_{\text{ad}} \times \mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow G_{\text{ad}} \times \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \\ (a, x) &\longrightarrow (a, \text{Ad}_a x, x). \end{aligned}$$

Under (3.5), the fiber of the log-cotangent bundle at the orbit basepoint $z_I \in \mathcal{O}_I$ is

$$T_{D, z_I}^* \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \cong \mathfrak{p}_I \times_{\iota_I} \mathfrak{p}_I^-.$$

Remark 3.6. Via (3.5), the log-cotangent bundle $T_D^* \overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$ is a bundle of Lie algebras over $\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$. In analogy with Remark 3.3, we will show in the next section that it integrates to a smooth subgroup scheme of the constant group scheme

$$\overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times G \times G \longrightarrow \overline{G_{\text{ad}}}.$$

4. THE LOGARITHMIC DOUBLE

In this section we recall the Vinberg monoid, and we use it to construct an enlargement of the double $\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}$ to a group scheme $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$ over the wonderful compactification $\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$. The nondegenerate quasi-Poisson structure on $\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}$ will extend to a log-nondegenerate quasi-Poisson structure on $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$.

4.1. Construction of $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$. The *Vinberg monoid* V_G , introduced in [Vin], is a normal affine algebraic semigroup whose locus of invertible elements is the *enhanced group*

$$G_{\text{enh}} := G \times_{Z_G} T.$$

There are natural projections

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & G_{\text{enh}} & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ G_{\text{ad}} & & T \end{array}$$

—the first is a principal T -bundle, and the second is the abelianization of the group G_{enh} . The second map extends to

$$\alpha : V_G \longrightarrow \overline{T},$$

where

$$\overline{T} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t^{\alpha_1}, \dots, t^{\alpha_l}] \cong \mathbb{C}^l.$$

Here $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l$ are the simple roots and $t^\lambda \in \mathbb{C}[T]$ is the function on T given by the weight λ . The space \overline{T} is an abelian monoid into which the adjoint torus embeds as the group of units via the map

$$t \longmapsto (\alpha_1(t), \dots, \alpha_l(t)).$$

The morphism α is the abelianization of the monoid V_G .

The monoid V_G carries an action of $G \times G \times T$ that extends the natural action on the enhanced group, and α is $G \times G$ -invariant. In particular, every fiber of α contains an open dense $G \times G$ -orbit. The *nondegenerate locus* $\mathring{V}_G \subset V_G$ is the quasi-affine open dense subvariety whose intersection with each fiber of α is this maximal orbit. We obtain a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathring{V}_G & \\ \overset{\mathring{\tau}}{\swarrow} & & \searrow \overset{\mathring{\alpha}}{} \\ \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} & & \overline{T}, \end{array}$$

whose pullback along the inclusion $G_{\text{enh}} \hookrightarrow \mathring{V}_G$ is (4.1).

Now $\mathring{\tau}$ and $\mathring{\alpha}$ are smooth morphisms, $\mathring{\tau}$ is a principal T -bundle, and the $G \times G$ -stabilizer of any point $v \in \mathring{\tau}^{-1}(z_I)$ is

$$(4.2) \quad \text{Stab}_{G \times G}(v) = P_I \times_{L_I} P_I^-.$$

Let $G \times G$ act on $\overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times G \times G$ via

$$(g, h) \cdot (a, x, y) = (gah^{-1}, gxg^{-1}, hyh^{-1})$$

for $(g, h) \in G \times G$ and $(a, x, y) \in \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times G \times G$.

Proposition 4.3. *There is a smooth, closed, $G \times G$ -stable subgroup scheme $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}} \subset \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times G \times G$ whose fiber over the basepoint $z_I \in \overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$ is*

$$P_I \times_{L_I} P_I^-.$$

Proof. Since $\mathring{\alpha}$ is smooth, the fiber product $\mathring{V}_G \times_{\overline{T}} \mathring{V}_G$ is a smooth variety. The action morphism

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathring{V}_G \times G \times G &\longrightarrow \mathring{V}_G \times_{\overline{T}} \mathring{V}_G \\ (v, g, h) &\longrightarrow (v, gvh^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

is smooth and surjective, because every fiber of $\mathring{\alpha}$ is a single $G \times G$ -orbit. The preimage of the diagonal

$$\mathring{V}_G \hookrightarrow \mathring{V}_G \times_{\overline{T}} \mathring{V}_G$$

under (4.4) is the smooth family of stabilizers

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ (v, g, h) \in \mathring{V}_G \times G \times G \mid (g, h) \in \text{Stab}_{G \times G}(v) \right\},$$

defined for example in [DG, Appendix D].

Because the action of $G \times G$ commutes with the action of T , for any $v \in \mathring{V}_G$ and $t \in T$ we have

$$\text{Stab}_{G \times G}(v) = \text{Stab}_{G \times G}(t \cdot v).$$

Therefore the group scheme of stabilizers \mathcal{S} descends through the principal T -bundle $\mathring{\tau}$ to a smooth, closed, $G \times G$ -stable subvariety

$$\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}} \subset \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times G \times G.$$

By (4.2), the fiber of $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$ over $z_I \in \overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$ is $P_I \times_{L_I} P_I^-$. \square

The group scheme $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$, which we call the *logarithmic double*, integrates the bundle of Lie algebras given by the log-cotangent bundle

$$T_D^* \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \subset \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$$

described in (3.5). Its fiber at the identity element $1 \in G_{\text{ad}}$ is the diagonal subgroup

$$\{(g, g) \mid g \in G\} \subset G \times G.$$

Since $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$ is $G \times G$ stable, it follows that its fiber at any point $a \in G_{\text{ad}}$ is

$$\{(aga^{-1}, g) \mid g \in G\}.$$

Therefore the logarithmic double $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$ is the closure of the image of the embedding

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}} &\hookrightarrow \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times G \times G \\ (a, g) &\longmapsto (a, aga^{-1}, g). \end{aligned}$$

The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G_{\text{ad}} & \hookrightarrow & \overline{G_{\text{ad}}}, \end{array}$$

is Cartesian, and $\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}$ is exactly the restriction of $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$ to the open dense copy of G_{ad} which sits inside $\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$.

4.2. The quasi-Poisson structure on $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$. In view of the previous section, the nondegenerate quasi-Poisson variety $(\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}, \pi, \mu)$ sits inside the logarithmic double $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$ as an open dense subset. Its complement is a simple normal crossing divisor, and for simplicity we abuse notation to denote it by D . We will show that the quasi-Poisson bivector π extends to a logarithmic bivector on $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$, and that this gives $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$ the structure of a log-nondegenerate quasi-Poisson manifold in the sense of Section 2.3.

Using the notation of Section 1 and summing over repeated indices, define a bivector on the space $\overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times G \times G$ by

$$(4.6) \quad \overline{\pi} = \frac{1}{2} (e_i^{1L} \wedge (e_i^{2L} + e_i^{2R}) + e_i^{1R} \wedge (e_i^{3L} + e_i^{3R}) + e_i^{2L} \wedge e_i^{2R} + e_i^{3R} \wedge e_i^{3L}).$$

Let the morphism $\overline{\mu}$, which extends the moment map $\mu : \mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}} \rightarrow G \times G$ first defined in (1.6), be the composition

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}} & \hookrightarrow & \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times G \times G \\ & \searrow \overline{\mu} & \downarrow \\ & & G \times G, \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrow is

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times G \times G &\longrightarrow G \times G \\ (a, g, h) &\longmapsto (g, h^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.8. *The bivector $\overline{\pi}$ is tangent to $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$, and $(\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}, \overline{\pi}, \overline{\mu})$ is a quasi-Poisson variety whose unique open dense nondegenerate leaf is $(\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}, \pi, \mu)$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that the restriction of $\overline{\pi}$ to

$$\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}} \subset \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times G \times G$$

agrees with π . This will imply that $\overline{\pi}$ is tangent to $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$, which is the closure of $\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}$. Moreover, since π satisfies the quasi-Poisson condition (1.1) along $\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}$, $\overline{\pi}$ will satisfy (1.1) along $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$.

Recall that the embedding of $\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}$ into $\overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times G \times G$ fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(G) & \hookrightarrow & G \times G \times G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}} & \hookrightarrow & \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times G \times G, \end{array}$$

where $D(G)$ is as defined in Example 1.3. The top horizontal arrow is

$$\begin{aligned} D(G) = G \times G &\hookrightarrow G \times G \times G \\ (g, h) &\longmapsto (g, gh, hg). \end{aligned}$$

The bottom horizontal arrow is (4.5), and the vertical arrows are quotients by the left action of the center Z_G . Therefore, from Example 1.3, it is sufficient to check that the pushforward of

$$\frac{1}{2} (e_i^{1L} \wedge e_i^{2R} + e_i^{1R} \wedge e_i^{2L}) \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 TD(G))$$

along the top arrow of this diagram agrees with (4.6).

At the point (g, gh, hg) the vectors which constitute π push forward to

$$\begin{aligned} e_i^{1L} &\longmapsto e_i^{1L} + e_i^{2L} + (\text{Ad}_g e_i)^{3R} \\ e_i^{1R} &\longmapsto e_i^{1R} + (\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} e_i)^{2L} + e_i^{3R} \\ e_i^{2L} &\longmapsto (\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} e_i)^{2L} + e_i^{3L} \\ e_i^{2R} &\longmapsto e_i^{2R} + (\text{Ad}_g e_i)^{3R}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, at (g, gh, hg) the bivector π is half the expression

$$\begin{aligned} (4.9) \quad & e_i^{1L} \wedge e_i^{2R} + e_i^{1L} \wedge (\text{Ad}_g e_i)^{3R} + e_i^{2L} \wedge e_i^{2R} \\ & + (\text{Ad}_g e_i)^{3R} \wedge e_i^{2R} + e_i^{2L} \wedge (\text{Ad}_g e_i)^{3R} \\ & + e_i^{1R} \wedge e_i^{3L} + e_i^{1R} \wedge (\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} e_i)^{2L} + e_i^{3R} \wedge e_i^{3L} \\ & + (\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} e_i)^{2L} \wedge e_i^{3L} + e_i^{3R} \wedge (\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} e_i)^{2L}. \end{aligned}$$

Since Ad_g and $\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}$ are orthogonal operators relative to the Killing form, and since we are summing over repeated indices, the second terms in the first and third lines simplify:

$$\begin{aligned} e_i^{1L} \wedge (\text{Ad}_g e_i)^{3R} &= (\text{Ad}_g e_i)^{1R} \wedge (\text{Ad}_g e_i)^{3R} = e_i^{1R} \wedge e_i^{3R}; \\ e_i^{1R} \wedge (\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} e_i)^{2L} &= (\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} e_i)^{1L} \wedge (\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} e_i)^{2L} = e_i^{1L} \wedge e_i^{2L}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, applying orthogonality again, the terms in the last row become

$$e_i^{3R} \wedge (\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} e_i)^{2L} = (\text{Ad}_g e_i)^{3R} \wedge e_i^{2L}$$

and

$$(\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} e_i)^{2L} \wedge e_i^{3L} = e_i^{2L} \wedge (\text{Ad}_g e_i)^{3L} = e_i^{2R} \wedge (\text{Ad}_g e_i)^{3R}.$$

Therefore the second and fourth lines of (4.9) sum to zero, and we see that (4.9) agrees exactly with (4.6). \square

Proposition 4.10. *The quasi-Poisson variety $(\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}, \overline{\pi}, \overline{\mu})$ is log-nondegenerate.*

Proof. It is clear from (4.6) that $\overline{\pi}$ is a logarithmic bivector, because the action of $G \times G$ on $\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$ preserves the boundary divisor. We will check that $\overline{\pi}$ satisfies condition (2.13)—that the morphism of vector bundles

$$\overline{\pi}^{\#}_D \oplus \rho_D : T_D^* \mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow T_D \mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$$

is surjective. By $G \times G$ -equivariance, it is sufficient to check this at a point of the form $(z_I, x, y) \in \mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$. We begin by making a fixed choice of orthonormal basis.

Let R_0 be the set of weights of the T -action on \mathfrak{g} , with multiplicity and including 0. Write R^+ for the subset of R_0 consisting of positive roots. Choose a basis of generalized eigenvectors

$$\mathcal{B} := \{E_\alpha \mid \alpha \in R_0\} \subset \mathfrak{g}.$$

By scaling E_α if necessary, we obtain an orthonormal basis

$$\{E_\alpha \mid \alpha = 0\} \cup \{E_\alpha \pm E_{-\alpha} \mid \alpha \in R^+\}$$

of \mathfrak{g} relative to the Killing form. In this basis, the bivector $\overline{\pi}$ from (4.6) becomes

$$\overline{\pi} = E_\alpha^{1L} \wedge (E_\alpha^{2L} + E_\alpha^{2R}) + E_\alpha^{1R} \wedge (E_\alpha^{3L} + E_\alpha^{3R}) + E_\alpha^{2L} \wedge E_\alpha^{2R} + E_\alpha^{3R} \wedge E_\alpha^{3L},$$

where once again we sum over the repeated index $\alpha \in R_0$.

As in (3.5), the infinitesimal action map

$$\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow T_{D, z_I} \overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$$

is surjective with kernel $\mathfrak{p}_I \times_I \mathfrak{p}_I^-$. In particular, the vector fields $\{E_\alpha^L, E_\alpha^R \mid \alpha \in R_0\}$ span the log-cotangent space of $\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$ at every point. Therefore the image of ρ_D at (z_I, x, y) , which is spanned by the logarithmic vectors

$$\{E_\alpha^{1L} + E_\alpha^{2L} - E_\alpha^{2R}, E_\alpha^{1R} + E_\alpha^{3L} - E_\alpha^{3R}\},$$

contains a subspace of dimension $\dim G$ which is not parallel to the fiber.

Let $\{\theta_\alpha \mid \alpha \in R_0\}$ be the basis of \mathfrak{g}^* dual to \mathcal{B} . Since the logarithmic vector fields

$$\{E_\alpha^{1L} \mid E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}_I^-\} \subset \Gamma(T_D^* \mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}})$$

are linearly independent at $(z_I, x, y) \in \mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$, the corresponding 1-forms

$$\{\theta_\alpha^{1L} \mid E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}_I^-\} \subset \Gamma(T^* \mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}})$$

extend to logarithmic 1-forms in a neighborhood of $(z_I, x, y) \in \mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$. By the same argument, the same is true for

$$\{\theta_\alpha^{1R} \mid E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}_I\} \subset \Gamma(T^* \mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}).$$

Applying $\overline{\pi}_D^\#$ to these logarithmic 1-forms at $(z_I, x, y) \in \mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$, we obtain

$$\overline{\pi}_D^\#(\theta_\alpha^{1L}) = \begin{cases} E_\alpha^{2L} + E_\alpha^{2R}, & \text{if } E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}_I^- \setminus \mathfrak{l}_I \\ E_\alpha^{2L} + E_\alpha^{2R} + E_\alpha^{3L} + E_\alpha^{3R}, & \text{if } E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{l}_I \end{cases}$$

and

$$\overline{\pi}_D^\#(\theta_\alpha^{1R}) = \begin{cases} E_\alpha^{3L} + E_\alpha^{3R}, & \text{if } E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}_I \setminus \mathfrak{l}_I \\ E_\alpha^{2L} + E_\alpha^{2R} + E_\alpha^{3L} + E_\alpha^{3R}, & \text{if } E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{l}_I. \end{cases}$$

This implies that the image of $\overline{\pi}_D^\#$ contains a subspace of dimension $\dim G$ which is parallel to the fiber. It follows that, at the point (z_I, x, y) ,

$$\dim \left(\text{im}(\overline{\pi}_D^\# \oplus \rho_D) \right) = 2 \dim G.$$

Therefore this morphism of vector bundles is surjective. \square

5. THE RELATIVE COMPACTIFICATION OF \mathfrak{Z}

Consider the relative compactification

$$\overline{\mathfrak{Z}} = \left\{ (a, h) \in \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times \Sigma \mid a \in \overline{Z_{\text{ad}}(h)} \right\}.$$

By realizing $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}$ as a Steinberg slice in $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$, we will use the results of the previous sections to show that it is a smooth algebraic variety whose boundary is a simple normal crossing divisor, and that the symplectic structure on \mathfrak{Z} defined (up to a finite central quotient) in Example 2.6 extends to a log-symplectic structure on $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}$. We will then describe the symplectic leaves of this structure.

5.1. Construction of $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}$. We begin by characterizing the image and fibers of the compactified moment map $\overline{\mu}$. In Section 2 we defined the quotient map $\Xi : G \rightarrow T/W$, whose fibers are the closures of the regular conjugacy classes. In view of diagram (4.7), the map $\overline{\mu}$ is proper, and we have the following description of its image.

Lemma 5.1. *The image of $\overline{\mu}$ is the closed subvariety*

$$\Delta := \{(g, h) \in G \times G \mid \Xi(g) = \Xi(h^{-1})\}$$

consisting of pairs of elements $(g, h) \in G \times G$ with the property that g and h^{-1} lie in the closure of the same conjugacy class.

Proof. Since $\bar{\mu}$ is proper, its image is closed, so it is the closure of the image of μ . As in (2.7), the image of μ is the collection of pairs

$$\{(g, h) \in G \times G \mid g \text{ is conjugate to } h^{-1}\}.$$

The closure of this set is precisely Δ . □

Lemma 5.2. *The variety Δ is normal.*

Proof. Because Δ is the image of $\bar{\mu}$, it is irreducible of dimension

$$2 \dim G - l.$$

Let $f_1, \dots, f_l \in \mathbb{C}[G]^G$ be a set of generators for the algebra of conjugation-invariant functions on G . Then

$$\Delta = \{(g, h) \in G \times G \mid f_i(g) = f_i(h^{-1}) \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq l\}.$$

In particular, Δ is the vanishing locus of exactly l algebraically independent functions on $G \times G$. Therefore it is a complete intersection.

The regular locus

$$\Delta^r = \{(g, h) \in \Delta \mid g \text{ and } h \text{ are regular}\}$$

is a smooth open subset of Δ because the differentials df_1, \dots, df_l are linearly independent at every point of G^r [Ste, Theorem 1.5]. Moreover, the complement of Δ^r in Δ has codimension at least two [Ste, Theorem 1.3]. It follows that Δ has no singularities in codimension one, so by Serre's criterion it is normal. □

Lemma 5.3. *The fibers of $\bar{\mu}$ are connected.*

Proof. A general fiber of $\bar{\mu}$ is the closure in $\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}$ of a general fiber of μ , which is connected by Lemma 3.1. Moreover, $\bar{\mu}$ is proper and by Lemma 5.2 its image is normal. Therefore, by Zariski's main theorem, all the fibers of $\bar{\mu}$ are connected. □

Theorem 5.4. *The variety $\bar{\mathfrak{Z}}$ is smooth and has a natural log-symplectic Poisson structure whose open dense symplectic leaf is \mathfrak{Z} .*

Proof. By Propositions 4.8 and 4.10, $\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}$ is a log-nondegenerate quasi-Poisson variety whose open dense leaf is the double $\mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}$. There is a commutative diagram of moment maps

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}} \\ & \searrow \mu & \downarrow \bar{\mu} \\ & & G \times G. \end{array}$$

Two elements of Σ are in the closure of the same conjugacy class if and only if they are equal. It follows from Lemma 5.1 that

$$\bar{\mu}^{-1}(\Sigma \times \iota(\Sigma)) = \bar{\mu}^{-1}(\Sigma_\Delta).$$

Since $\Sigma \times \iota(\Sigma)$ is a Steinberg cross-section in $G \times G$, Theorem 2.3 implies that the preimage $\bar{\mu}^{-1}(\Sigma_\Delta)$ is a smooth subvariety of $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$ with a natural Poisson structure whose symplectic leaves are the intersections of $\bar{\mu}^{-1}(\Sigma_\Delta)$ with the nondegenerate leaves of $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$. This Poisson structure is log-symplectic by Proposition 2.19. It remains only to show that $\bar{\mu}^{-1}(\Sigma_\Delta)$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}$.

By Lemma 5.3, the variety $\bar{\mu}^{-1}(\Sigma_\Delta)$ is connected. Since it is also smooth, it is irreducible, and therefore it is the closure in $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$ of $\mu^{-1}(\Sigma_\Delta) \subset \mathbf{D}_{G_{\text{ad}}}$. In particular, for any $h \in \Sigma$,

$$\bar{\mu}^{-1}(h, h^{-1}) = \overline{\mu^{-1}(h, h^{-1})} \cong \overline{Z_{\text{ad}}(h)} \subset \overline{G_{\text{ad}}}.$$

It follows that

$$\bar{\mu}^{-1}(\Sigma_\Delta) = \left\{ (a, h, h^{-1}) \in \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times G \times G \mid h \in \Sigma, a \in \overline{Z_{\text{ad}}(h)} \right\} \cong \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}.$$

We obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{Z} & \longleftrightarrow & \overline{\mathfrak{Z}} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \Sigma, \end{array}$$

which is the pullback of (5.5) along the embedding $\Sigma \cong \Sigma_\Delta \hookrightarrow G \times G$. Since the horizontal arrow in this diagram is the restriction of a backward-Dirac map, it is a Poisson morphism. In particular, \mathfrak{Z} sits inside $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}$ as the unique open dense symplectic leaf. \square

Remark 5.6. Suppose that G is simply-laced and let G^\vee be its Langlands dual group. Write \mathcal{K} for the field $\mathbb{C}((t))$ of Laurent series, \mathcal{O} for its ring of integers, and

$$\mathbf{Gr}_{G^\vee} := G^\vee(\mathcal{K})/G^\vee(\mathcal{O})$$

for the affine Grassmannian of G^\vee . In [BFM, Proposition 2.8 and Theorem 2.15], the authors prove that there is a natural isomorphism Poisson algebras

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}] \cong K^{G^\vee(\mathcal{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_{G^\vee})$$

between the coordinate ring of the multiplicative universal centralizer and the equivariant K -theory of \mathbf{Gr}_{G^\vee} . Here the right-hand side has a ring structure given by convolution, and its Poisson bracket comes from the one-parameter deformation $K^{G^\vee(\mathcal{O}) \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Gr}_{G^\vee})$ induced by the loop rotation.

The algebra $K^{G^\vee(\mathcal{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_{G^\vee})$ has a natural filtration indexed by the weight lattice Λ of G , which is induced by the support in $G^\vee(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit closures. Using the Vinberg monoid realization of the wonderful compactification, one easily shows (see [Bal, Section 5]) that the relative compactification $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}$ can also be obtained via relative Proj as

$$\overline{\mathfrak{Z}} \cong \text{Proj}_\Sigma \left(\text{Rees}_\Lambda(K^{G^\vee(\mathcal{O})}(\mathbf{Gr}_{G^\vee})) \right).$$

Therefore, in the simply-laced case, our relative compactification $\overline{\mathfrak{J}}$ is an example the Rees algebra approach to compactified Coulomb branches illustrated in [BFN, Remark 3.7].

5.2. Symplectic leaves. By Proposition 2.19, the symplectic leaves of $\overline{\mathfrak{J}}$ are the connected components of the intersections of $\overline{\mathfrak{J}}$ with the nondegenerate leaves of $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$. Therefore we first describe the nondegenerate leaves of the quasi-Poisson variety $(\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}, \overline{\pi}, \overline{\mu})$. For this we need to analyze the image of the (non-logarithmic) bundle map

$$\overline{\pi}^\# \oplus \rho : T^*\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow T\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}.$$

Fix an index set $I \subset \{1, \dots, l\}$, and write

$$\mathfrak{c}_I : P_I \longrightarrow P_I/[P_I, P_I] =: A_I$$

for the quotient of P_I by its derived subgroup. The torus A_I is the “universal torus” associated to the standard parabolic P_I . We first give a criterion for when two points in the fiber of $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$ above $z_I \in \overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$ are in the same nondegenerate leaf.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $(x, y), (x', y') \in P_I \times_{L_I} P_I^-$. Then (z_I, x, y) and (z_I, x', y') are in the same nondegenerate leaf of $(\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}, \overline{\pi}, \overline{\mu})$ if and only if*

$$\mathfrak{c}_I(x) = \mathfrak{c}_I(x').$$

Remark 5.8. The value of $\mathfrak{c}_I(x)$ depends only on the L_I -component of the element

$$x \in P_I = L_I \times U_I.$$

Since points in $P_I \times_{L_I} P_I^-$ are pairs with the same Levi component, the proposition could instead be stated in an equivalent way relative to the second coordinate and the negative parabolic P_I^- .

Proof. In order to determine the intersection of the fiber $\{z_I\} \times (P_I \times_{L_I} P_I^-)$ with each nondegenerate leaf, we will find which vectors in the image of $\overline{\pi}^\# \oplus \rho$ are tangent to the fibers of $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$.

By (3.4), the kernel of the infinitesimal action map

$$\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow T_{z_I} \overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$$

is the subalgebra of pairs

$$\{(u + s, v + t) \in \mathfrak{p}_I \times \mathfrak{p}_I^- \mid u \in \mathfrak{u}_I, v \in \mathfrak{u}_I^-, s, t \in \mathfrak{l}_I, s - t \in Z_{\mathfrak{l}_I}\}.$$

We use the same notation as in the proof of Proposition 4.10. Viewed as a section of $\wedge^2 T\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$, at the point (z_I, x, y) the value of the bivector $\overline{\pi}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\pi} = & \sum_{E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}_I^- \setminus Z_{\mathfrak{l}_I}} E_\alpha^{1L} \wedge (E_\alpha^{2L} + E_\alpha^{2R}) + \sum_{E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}_I \setminus Z_{\mathfrak{l}_I}} E_\alpha^{1R} \wedge (E_\alpha^{3L} + E_\alpha^{3R}) \\ & + \sum_{\alpha \in R_0} (E_\alpha^{2L} \wedge E_\alpha^{2R} + E_\alpha^{3R} \wedge E_\alpha^{3L}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the vectors in the image of $\overline{\pi}^\# \oplus \rho$ which are parallel to the fiber of $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$ at $z_I \in \overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$ are given by the span of

$$\begin{aligned} & \{E_\alpha^{2L} + E_\alpha^{2R} \mid E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{u}_I^-\} \cup \{E_\alpha^{3L} + E_\alpha^{3R} \mid E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{u}_I\} \\ & \cup \{E_\alpha^{2L} + E_\alpha^{2R} + E_\alpha^{3L} + E_\alpha^{3R} \mid E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}_I \setminus Z_{\mathfrak{t}_I}\}. \end{aligned}$$

At each point this is the tangent space to the fibers of the smooth morphism

$$\begin{aligned} P_I \times_{L_I} P_I^- & \longrightarrow A_I \\ (x, y) & \longrightarrow \mathfrak{c}_I(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since these fibers are connected, it follows that two points (z_I, x, y) and (z_I, x', y') are in the same nondegenerate leaf if and only if they have the same image under this map. \square

Let $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}, I}$ be the preimage of $\mathcal{O}_I \subset \overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$ under the structure map

$$\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}} \longrightarrow \overline{G_{\text{ad}}}.$$

Since both π and ρ are tangent to the boundary of $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}}$, each orbit preimage $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}, I}$ is a union of nondegenerate leaves. To extend the criterion of Proposition 5.7 to this preimage, we define the following data.

For any $a \in \overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$, there exist group elements $g, h \in G$ such that $a = gz_I h^{-1}$. We associate to this point a corresponding ‘‘positive’’ parabolic subgroup

$$P_a := gP_I g^{-1},$$

which, in view of (3.4), depends only on the point $a \in \overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$. There is a canonical identification of tori

$$P_a/[P_a, P_a] \cong P_I/[P_I, P_I] = A_I,$$

and we denote the corresponding quotient map by

$$\mathfrak{c}_a : P_a \longrightarrow P_a/[P_a, P_a] \cong A_I.$$

The preimage $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}, I}$ is a locally trivial $G \times G$ -equivariant fiber bundle over \mathcal{O}_I . In other words, there is an isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}, I} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (G \times G) \times_{\text{Stab}_{G \times G}(z_I)} (P_I \times_{L_I} P_I^-) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{O}_I, \end{array}$$

Moreover, the map

$$\begin{aligned} (G \times G) \times_{\text{Stab}_{G \times G}(z_I)} (P_I \times_{L_I} P_I^-) & \longrightarrow A_I \\ [(g, h) : (x, y)] & \longmapsto \mathfrak{c}_I(x) \end{aligned}$$

is well-defined. Composing it with the isomorphism above, we get a smooth morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}, I}} &\longrightarrow A_I \\ (a, x, y) &\longmapsto \mathbf{c}_a(x). \end{aligned}$$

In the following proposition we show that its fibers are precisely the nondegenerate quasi-Poisson leaves in $\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}, I}}$.

Proposition 5.9. *Two points $(a, x, y), (b, w, z) \in \mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}, I}}$ are in the same nondegenerate leaf of $\overline{\pi}$ if and only if*

$$\mathbf{c}_a(x) = \mathbf{c}_b(w).$$

Proof. There exist points

$$(x', y'), (w', z') \in P_I \times_{L_I} P_I^-$$

such that (a, x, y) is $G \times G$ -conjugate to (z_I, x', y') and (b, w, z) is $G \times G$ -conjugate to (z_I, w', z') . Since the nondegenerate leaves of $(\mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}, I}}, \overline{\pi})$ are $G \times G$ -stable, (a, x, y) and (b, w, z) are in the same leaf if and only if their translates (z_I, x', y') and (z_I, w', z') are in the same leaf. By Proposition 5.7, this occurs if and only if

$$\mathbf{c}_I(x') = \mathbf{c}_I(w').$$

But now $\mathbf{c}_a(x) = \mathbf{c}_I(x')$ and $\mathbf{c}_b(w) = \mathbf{c}_I(w')$, and the statement follows. \square

The orbit stratification on $\overline{G_{\text{ad}}}$ induces a stratification

$$\overline{\mathfrak{Z}} = \bigsqcup \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}_I$$

on $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}$, where

$$\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}_I := \overline{\mathfrak{Z}} \cap \mathbf{D}_{\overline{G_{\text{ad}}, I}} = \left\{ (a, h) \in \overline{G_{\text{ad}}} \times \Sigma \mid a \in \overline{Z_{\text{ad}}(h)} \cap \mathcal{O}_I \right\}.$$

By Theorem 2.3(c), each stratum $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}_I$ is a union of symplectic leaves, and Proposition 5.9 has the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 5.10. *The symplectic leaves of $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}_I$ are the fibers of the smooth morphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}_I &\longrightarrow A_I \\ (a, h) &\longmapsto \mathbf{c}_a(h). \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [AKS] A. Alekseev and Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach. Manin pairs and moment maps. *J. Differential Geom.*, 56:133–165. 2000.
- [AKSM] A. Alekseev, Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, and E. Meinrenken. Quasi-Poisson manifolds. *Can. J. Math.*, 54(1):3–29. 2002.
- [AMM] A. Alekseev, M. Malkin, and E. Meinrenken. Lie group valued moment maps. *J. Differential Geom.*, 48:445–495. 1998.
- [Bal] A. Balibanu. The partial compactification of the universal centralizer. *ArXiv e-prints*. math/1710.06327.

- [BC1] H. Bursztyn and M. Crainic. Dirac structures, momentum maps and quasi-Poisson manifolds. In *The breadth of symplectic and Poisson geometry*. Progress in Mathematics. 2005.
- [BC2] H. Bursztyn and M. Crainic. Dirac geometry, quasi-Poisson actions and D/G -valued moment maps. *J. Differential Geom.*, 82:501–566. 2009.
- [BFM] R. Bezrukavnikov, M. Finkelberg, and I. Mirkovic. Equivariant homology and K -theory of affine Grassmannians and Toda lattices. *Compos. Math.*, 141(3):746–768. 2005.
- [BFN] A. Braverman, M. Finkelberg, and H. Nakajima. Coulomb branches of 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ quiver gauge theories and slices in the affine Grassmannian, (*with two appendices by A. Braverman, M. Finkelberg, J. Kamnitzer, R. Kodera, H. Nakajima, B. Webster, and A. Weekes*). *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 23:75–166. 2019.
- [Bri] M. Brion. Vanishing theorems for Dolbeault cohomology of log-homogeneous varieties. *Tohoku Math. J.*, 61(3):365–392. 2009.
- [Bur] H. Bursztyn. A brief introduction to Dirac manifolds. In *Geometric and topological methods for quantum field theory*. Cambridge Univ. Press. 2013.
- [dCP] C. de Concini and C. Procesi. Complete symmetric varieties. In *Invariant Theory*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 1983.
- [DG] V. Drinfeld and D. Gaitsgory. Geometric constant term functor(s). *Selecta Math.*, 22:1881–1951. 2016.
- [EJ] S. Evens and B. F. Jones. On the wonderful compactification. *ArXiv e-prints*. math/0801.0456v1.
- [FT] M. Finkelberg and A. Tsymbaliuk. Multiplicative slices, relativistic Toda and shifted quantum affine algebras. In *Representations and Nilpotent Orbits of Lie Algebraic Systems*. Progress in Mathematics. 2019.
- [HJS] J. Hurtubise, L. Jeffrey, and R. Sjamaar. Group-valued implosion and parabolic structures. *Amer. J. Math.*, 128(1):167–214. 2006.
- [Kos] B. Kostant. Lie group representations on polynomial rings. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.*, 69(4):518–526. 1963.
- [LH] G. Lusztig and X. He. A generalization of Steinberg’s cross section. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 25(3):739–757. 2012.
- [Lus] G. Lusztig. Coxeter orbits and eigenspaces of Frobenius. *Invent. Math.*, 38:101–159. 1976.
- [Nak] H. Nakajima. Introduction to a provisional mathematical definition of Coulomb branches of 3-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theories. In *Modern geometry: a celebration of the work of Simon Donaldson*. Proceedings of Symposia of Pure Mathematics. 2018.
- [Sev] A. Sevostyanov. Algebraic group analogues of the Slodowy slices and deformations of Poisson W -algebras. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2011(8):1880–1925. 2011.
- [Spr] T. A. Springer. Some arithmetical results on semi-simple Lie algebras. *Publ. Math. IHÉS*, 30:115–141. 1966.
- [Ste] R. Steinberg. Regular elements of semisimple algebraic groups. *Publ. Math. IHÉS*, 25:49–80. 1965.
- [Vin] E. B. Vinberg. On reductive algebraic semigroups. *Translations of the American Mathematical Society*, 169:145–182. 1995.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, 1 OXFORD STREET, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138, USA
Email address: ana@math.harvard.edu