

SPLITTING OF GROMOV-WITTEN INVARIANTS WITH TORIC GLUING STRATA

YIXIAN WU

ABSTRACT. We prove a splitting formula that reconstructs the logarithmic Gromov-Witten invariants of simple normal crossing varieties from the punctured Gromov-Witten invariants of their irreducible components, under the assumption of the gluing strata being toric varieties. The formula is based on the punctured Gromov-Witten theory developed in [ACGS21].

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Punctured invariants and the gluing formalism	7
2.1. Punctured Gromov-Witten invariants	7
2.2. Logarithmic evaluation maps	9
2.3. The gluing formalism	13
3. Generalization of Fulton-Sturmfels formula	15
4. Proof of the splitting formula	21
4.1. Toric strata assumption	21
4.2. Local toric models of the gluing formalism	26
4.3. Gluing of the local models	36
Appendix A. Lift of Artin cones	37
Appendix B. Logarithmic fiber product of toric varieties	42
Reference	43

1. INTRODUCTION

Relative Gromov-Witten invariants of a smooth projective variety Y and a smooth divisor D , developed in [LR01][IP00][Li01][Li02], has been one of the most important techniques to calculate Gromov-Witten invariants. For a degenerating family of projective schemes $X \rightarrow B$ with general fiber over $b \in B$ a smooth variety X_b and the central fiber X_0 the union of two smooth irreducible components Y_1, Y_2 meeting along a smooth divisor D , a degeneration formula is obtained to relate the Gromov-Witten invariants of X_b with the relative Gromov-Witten invariants of (Y_1, D) and (Y_2, D) .

Recently, logarithmic Gromov-Witten theory developed in [GS13] [Che14] [AC14] has been proved to be a successful generalization of the relative Gromov-Witten theory to the case of D being a normal crossing divisor of Y . Especially, for a degenerating family with central fiber X_0 a normal crossing variety, a decomposition formula is obtained in [ACGS20] that relates the Gromov-Witten invariants of X_b with the logarithmic Gromov-Witten invariants on X_0 of rigid decorated *tropical types*. The rigid decorated

tropical types τ restrict the combinatorics of the maps, including the dual intersection graphs, the image cones of irreducible components, marked and nodal points, the contact orders and the curve classes.

To further decompose the logarithmic Gromov-Witten invariants of X_0 of type τ to the invariants of irreducible components of X_0 , the theory of punctured Gromov-Witten invariants is built in [ACGS21]. Punctured Gromov-Witten theory studies logarithmic maps with domain being punctured logarithmic curves, which naturally occur after splitting log smooth curves along nodal points. The combinatorics of the splitted maps are encoded in tropical sub-types τ_1, \dots, τ_r . There is a natural splitting morphism

$$\mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau_i).$$

In this paper, we prove an explicit formula (Theorem 1.5) presenting the virtual fundamental class of $\mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau)$ under splitting as the products of the strata of $\mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau_i)$ associated to τ_i -marked tropical types, under the assumption that the gluing strata are toric varieties whose log stratifications are the same as the toric stratifications. A numerical splitting formula of logarithmic Gromov-Witten invariants (Corollary 1.6) is obtained as a direct corollary.

1.1. The main results. Let B be a log point $\text{Spec}(Q_B \rightarrow \mathbb{k})$ with Q_B a toric monoid. Let $X \rightarrow B$ be a projective log smooth morphism between log schemes with Zariski log structures. Let β be a curve class in X supported on fibers of $X \rightarrow B$.

The moduli space $\mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau)$ of basic stable punctured maps marked by a global decorated type τ is a logarithmic algebraic stack ([ACGS21, Thm A]). The tropicalization of $\mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau)$ is locally determined by the tropical types of the maps. For a geometric point in $\mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau)$ with tropical type ω , there is an *associated basic cone* $\bar{\omega}$ (Definition 2.5) of ω parametrizing the tropical maps of type ω . Suppose \bar{x}' is a geometric point lying in the closure of \bar{x} , there is a canonical *contraction morphism* (Definition 2.10) from ω' to ω , with ω' the tropical type associated to \bar{x}' . The contraction morphism induces an inclusion of the associated basic cone $\bar{\omega}$ as a face of $\bar{\omega}'$. The tropicalization of $\mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau)$ is defined to be the colimit of the basic cones over the geometric points under the above maps.

In order to define logarithmic evaluation maps, we need to modify the log structure on $\mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau)$ based on the set \mathbf{S} of nodal and punctured points where we evaluate at (Section 2.2). The tropicalizations of the modified moduli spaces are now determined by the *associated evaluation cones* $\tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{S}}$ (Definition 2.5), parametrizing the tropical maps with type ω together with a marking on each edge and leg corresponding to points in \mathbf{S} . There is a tropical evaluation map by taking the evaluations at the markings

$$(1.1) \quad \text{ev}_{\omega} : \tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{S}} \rightarrow \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} \Sigma(X),$$

with $\Sigma(X)$ the tropicalization of X .

Splitting a logarithmic map along nodal points of the domain can be described easily using the tropical types. Fix a subset \mathbf{S} of edges in the graph G of τ . Cutting along each edge $p \in \mathbf{S}$ results in a set of global decorated types τ_1, \dots, τ_r with $\mathbf{S}_1, \dots, \mathbf{S}_r$ the

set additional half legs from the edges in each type. We use $\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{S}}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_i = \tilde{\omega}_{i, \mathbf{S}_i}$ to denote the associated evaluation cones of types ω and ω_i marked by τ and τ_i .

Theorem 1.1. [ACGS21, Thm C] *There is a finite, representable morphism of moduli spaces of punctured log stable maps to X over B*

$$\delta : \mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau_i).$$

The reverse process of gluing punctured maps of type τ_i requires both schematic and tropical matching conditions. Though in general complicated, under the case of the gluing strata being toric varieties, the gluings of the logarithmic maps are completely determined by the tropical information.

Assumption 1.2. Assume the graph G of the global decorated type τ is connected. Assume for each edge $p \in \mathbf{S}$, the strict closed subscheme $V_p := V_X(\sigma(p))$ of the log scheme X associated to the cone $\sigma(p)$ has underlying scheme a toric variety and the log stratification of V_p is the same as the toric stratification.

Lemma 1.3. (Theorem 4.1) *There exists a toric variety X_p of the fan (Σ_p, N_p) with canonical toric log structure, such that V_p is isomorphic to a toric stratum of X_p .*

For curves of types ω_i , the tropical matching condition is a fiber diagram of cones

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\prod_{i=1}^r \varepsilon_{\omega_i})^{-1}(0) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\omega}_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi \varepsilon_{\omega_i} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} N_{p, \mathbb{R}}, \end{array}$$

with

$$(1.2) \quad \prod_{i=1}^r \varepsilon_{\omega_i} : \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\omega}_i \xrightarrow{\Pi \text{evt} \omega_i} \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} N_{p, \mathbb{R}} \times N_{p, \mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\text{coker } \Delta} \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} N_{p, \mathbb{R}}.$$

Here, the second map is the cokernel of the diagonal.

Following the intersection theory of toric varieties in [FS97], we introduce *generic displacement vectors* of the splitting situation.

Definition 1.4.

- (1) A vector $\mathfrak{V} \in \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} N_p$ is a *displacement vector* if \mathfrak{V} lies in the sublattice

$$N_{p_1} \times_{N_B} \dots \times_{N_B} N_{p_{|\mathbf{S}|}} \subseteq \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} N_p,$$

where the map $N_{p_i} \rightarrow N_B$ is induced by the tropicalization of the map $X_{p_i} \rightarrow B$.

- (2) For a displacement vector \mathfrak{V} , define $\Delta(\mathfrak{V})$ to be the set of types $[\rho] = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$ such that

- (i) ρ_i admits a contraction morphism to τ_i , for $i = 1, \dots, r$,
- (ii) $\mathfrak{V} \in \text{im}(\prod_{i=1}^r \varepsilon_{\rho_i})$, for $\prod_{i=1}^r \varepsilon_{\rho_i}$ defined in (1.2) and
- (iii)

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \dim \tilde{\rho}_i - \dim \tilde{\tau} = \sum_{p \in \mathbf{S}} \dim N_p - (|\mathbf{S}| - r + 1) \cdot \text{rank } Q_B^{\text{gp}}.$$

- (3) A displacement vector \mathfrak{V} is *generic* if for any type $[\rho] \in \Delta(\mathfrak{V})$, the map $\prod_{i=1}^r \varepsilon_{\rho_i}$ is injective and \mathfrak{V} lies in the interior of the image cone $\text{im}(\prod_{i=1}^r \varepsilon_{\rho_i})$.
- (4) For each $[\rho] \in \Delta(\mathfrak{V})$, we define the multiplicity

$$m_{[\rho]} = \left[\text{im}\left(\prod_{i=1}^r \bar{\varepsilon}_{\rho_i}\right)^{\text{sat}} : \text{im}\left(\prod_{i=1}^r \bar{\varepsilon}_{\rho_i}\right) \right],$$

where $\bar{\varepsilon}_{\rho_i}$ is the lattice map associated to ε_{ρ_i} and $\text{im}\left(\prod_{i=1}^r \bar{\varepsilon}_{\rho_i}\right)^{\text{sat}}$ is the saturation of the sublattice $\text{im}\left(\prod_{i=1}^r \bar{\varepsilon}_{\rho_i}\right)$ in $\prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} N_p$.

Now, we are ready to state the main result:

Theorem 1.5. *Let X be a logarithmic smooth projective scheme over a log point $B = \text{Spec}(Q_B \rightarrow \mathbb{k})$, with Q_B a toric monoid. Let τ be a decorated global tropical type. Fix a set of the splitting edges \mathbf{S} and let τ_1, \dots, τ_r be the decorated global types obtained after splitting.*

Suppose the Assumption 1.2 is satisfied. Let \mathfrak{V} be a generic displacement vector defined in Definition 1.4. Then, for the finite, representable morphism of moduli spaces of punctured stable log maps

$$\delta : \mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau_i),$$

the following equation holds

$$(1.3) \quad \delta_*[\mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau)]^{\text{virt}} = \sum_{[\rho] \in \Delta(\mathfrak{V})} m_{[\rho]} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r [\mathcal{M}_{\rho_i}(X/B, \tau_i)]^{\text{virt}},$$

with $\mathcal{M}_{\rho_i}(X/B, \tau_i)$ the image stack of the finite morphism $\mathcal{M}(X/B, \rho_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau_i)$ associated to the contraction morphism $\rho_i \rightarrow \tau_i$.

A special case of Theorem 1.5 is the splitting of τ at all edges. Then each splitted type τ_i consists of one vertex with a number of legs, with the associated image stratum often strictly smaller than the full target. In this case (1.3) expresses the punctured invariants of type τ in terms of punctured invariants of these logarithmic strata. For example, in a degeneration situation as in [ACGS20] this expresses the Gromov-Witten invariants of a general fiber in terms of the punctured invariants of the strata of the central fiber. We emphasize that such a localization to the strata does not follow from the general gluing formulas in [Par17b], [ACGS21, Thm C] and [Ran20].

A direct corollary of the above theorem is a numerical formula of logarithmic Gromov-Witten invariants.

Corollary 1.6. *Follow the situation in Theorem 1.5. Let \mathbf{P} be a subset of legs in τ and \mathbf{P}_i be the subset \mathbf{P} that lies in τ_i after splitting, for $i = 1, \dots, r$. There are evaluation maps $e : \mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau) \rightarrow \underline{X}^{|\mathbf{P}|}$ along punctured points in \mathbf{P} and $e_{\rho_i} : \mathcal{M}_{\rho_i}(X/B, \tau_i) \rightarrow \underline{X}^{|\mathbf{P}_i|}$ along punctured points \mathbf{P}_i , for ρ_i the τ_i -marked decorated types.*

Let $\beta \in H^(X^{|\mathbf{P}|})$ be the Künneth decomposition of a cohomology class*

$$\beta = \sum_{\mu} \alpha_{\mu} \cdot \beta_{\mu,1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \beta_{\mu,r},$$

where $\beta_{\mu,i} \in H^*(X^{|\mathbf{P}_i|})$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Then

$$\delta_* \left[\int_{[\mathcal{M}(X/B, \boldsymbol{\tau})]^{\text{virt}}} e^*(\beta) \right] = \sum_{\mu} \sum_{[\boldsymbol{\rho}] \in \Delta(\mathfrak{V})} \alpha_{\mu} \cdot m_{[\boldsymbol{\rho}]} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r \int_{[\mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}_i}(X/B, \boldsymbol{\tau}_i)]^{\text{virt}}} e_{\boldsymbol{\rho}_i}^*(\beta_{\mu,i}).$$

Proof. The claim is a direct result of Theorem 1.5, following the projection formula. ♠

1.2. Idea of the proof and structure of the paper. The foundation of the paper is based on the punctured Gromov-Witten invariants in [ACGS21]. In Chapter 2, we provide a brief review of punctured Gromov-Witten theory and the gluing formalism. We briefly cover the basic theory of the moduli spaces of punctured logarithmic maps and the virtual theory over the moduli of the maps to the relative Artin fans in Section 2.1. We study the evaluation log structures in Section 2.2. There are canonical *evaluation idealized structures* on the modified moduli spaces such that they are idealized log smooth (Proposition 2.8). An immediate corollary is shown in Corollary 2.9 that the logarithmic evaluation maps to the relative Artin fans are idealized log smooth. In Section 2.3, we recall the gluing formalism studied in [ACGS21, §5.2]. It is shown in Proposition 2.14 that up to a reduction of the moduli spaces, it is sufficient to study the following fiber diagram in the category of fine, saturated logarithmic stacks

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\text{red}}^{\text{ev}}} & \prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}} \\ \downarrow \text{ev} & & \downarrow \prod \text{ev}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}_i} \\ X_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_X} & \prod_{i=1}^r X_{\boldsymbol{\tau}_i} \end{array}$$

Such diagrams have nice properties. First, the moduli spaces $\prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}$ and the evaluation maps are both idealized log smooth (Prop 2.8, Cor 2.9). Hence locally the gluing diagram (1.4) admits a chart of toric morphisms. Second, under Assumption 1.2, the gluing strata $X_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$ and $X_{\boldsymbol{\tau}_i}$ have global toric structures, which provides a canonical patching of the splitting formulas from the local charts.

Since the local gluings are toric, we review the intersection theory in toric varieties in Chapter 3 following [FS97]. We give the necessary generalization of the Fulton-Sturmfels formula to toric stacks in Corollary 3.5.

The local form of the splitting formula is explored in detail in the first two sections of Chapter 4. In Section 4.1, we study the structures of the gluing strata $X_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$ and $X_{\boldsymbol{\tau}_i}$, based on the logarithmic fiber products of toric varieties studied in Appendix B. Each of them is a disjoint union of log schemes isomorphic with each other, denoted $Z_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$ and $Z_{\boldsymbol{\tau}_i}$ correspondingly. In Section 4.2, we study the local chart of the gluing formalism (1.4). Étale locally, the moduli space $\prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}$ admits a smooth map to a quotient stack of a toric variety by an algebraic torus. The quotient stack \mathcal{A}^{ev} is an evaluation enhancement of the Artin cone defined in (4.10) and has a canonical evaluation map to the gluing strata $\prod_{i=1}^r X_{\boldsymbol{\tau}_i}$. By studying the gluing of \mathcal{A}^{ev} using the generalized Fulton-Sturmfels formula, we obtain the splitting formula for \mathcal{A}^{ev} in Lemma 4.5 and the local splitting formula in Proposition 4.4. In Section 4.3, we finish the proof of the global splitting formula 1.5 (Proof.4.2) by showing the splitting formula patches under a fixed generic displacement vector.

1.3. Other approaches. Relative Gromov-Witten invariants for smooth pairs (X, D) , studied in [LR01][IP00][Li01][Li02], are defined through the moduli spaces of stable maps to expansions of X along D . The stable maps to the expansions are transverse, hence the degeneration formulas are obtained by gluing the underlying stable maps. Along the idea of expansion, the degeneration formulas for smooth pairs are studied using twisted stable maps in [AF11] and logarithmic stable maps in [Kim10][Che10]. These different approaches are proved to be identical with logarithmic Gromov-Witten invariants for smooth pairs in [AMW14]. In [KLR18], Kim, Lho and Ruddat provided a proof of the gluing formula for logarithmic Gromov-Witten invariants for smooth pairs without expansions using logarithmic technique. Because of the transverse nature of the underlying tropical geometry, all these approaches come with splitting formulas according to strata similar to our Corollary 1.6.

Combining the idea of expanded degenerations and tropical geometry, Ranganathan showed a general gluing formula of log Gromov-Witten invariants in the normal crossing settings in [Ran20]. The numerical degeneration formula there requires the knowledge of a Künneth decomposition of universal divisor expansions. We expect a similar splitting formula as we present can be obtained by proving an explicit Künneth formula for universal expansions of toric varieties.

The gluing and splitting formalism using punctured Gromov-Witten invariants has a symplectic parallel by the theory of exploded manifolds due to Brett Parker in [Par17a][Par17b]. The concept of generic deformation vectors in [Par17a] partially inspires our definition of the generic displacement vectors here. In a special case for rigid analytic Gromov-Witten invariants, a gluing formula has been proved by Yu [Yu20].

1.4. Acknowledgement. I can not be more thankful to my advisor Bernd Siebert, who suggested this project and provided profound support, discussions and explanations. I thank Mark Gross for carefully reading and providing comments and corrections to an early version of the paper. I thank Michel van Garrel for the opportunity to present this work in 3CinG Workshop 2020. I thank Lawrence Barrott, Rok Gregoric and Yan Zhou for useful discussions.

1.5. Conventions. We follow the conventions in [ACGS20] and [ACGS21]. All logarithmic schemes and stacks are fine and defined of a field \mathbb{k} over characteristic 0.

The affine log scheme with a global chart defined by a homomorphism $Q \rightarrow R$ from a monoid Q to a ring R is denoted $\text{Spec}(Q \rightarrow R)$. For Q a toric monoid, we define $Q^\vee := \text{Hom}(Q, \mathbb{N})$ and $Q^* := \text{Hom}(Q, \mathbb{Z})$. For a real cone σ , we use $\sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ to denote $\sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Q}$.

For a toric variety X and a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma(X)$ in the fan of X , we use $V_X(\sigma)$ to denote the closed toric stratum associated σ and $\mathcal{O}_X(\sigma)$ for the open algebraic torus of $V_X(\sigma)$. For a Zariski log scheme X and a cone σ in the reduced presentation of the tropicalization of X , we use $V_X(\sigma)$ to denote the closed stratum whose dual cone of the stalk $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_X$ at the generic point of $V_X(\sigma)$ is σ . For a logarithmic stack X and a cone σ in the tropicalization of X , we use $V_X(\sigma)$ to denote the strict closed integral substack with pullback $V_W(\sigma)$ on each Zariski smooth chart $W \rightarrow X$.

For a proper, representable morphism between logarithmic stacks $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we use $f_*[X]$ to denote the pushforward class $\underline{f}_*[X]$.

We use $|\mathbf{S}|$ to denote the cardinality of a finite set \mathbf{S} .

2. PUNCTURED INVARIANTS AND THE GLUING FORMALISM

In this section, we give a brief introduction to the punctured Gromov-Witten invariants and the gluing formalism studied in [ACGS21]. We show the gluing formalism admits a local model of fiber product of toric varieties in the category of fine, saturated log schemes.

2.1. Punctured Gromov-Witten invariants. Let X be a projective log smooth scheme over a log scheme B . A *punctured log curve* over a log scheme W is given by

$$(C^\circ \xrightarrow{p} C \xrightarrow{\pi} W, \mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)),$$

where

- (1) $C \rightarrow W$ is a logarithmic curve with a set of disjoint sections $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$.
- (2) C° is a logarithmic curve with underlying curve \underline{C} and log structure

$$\mathcal{M}_{C^\circ} \subset \mathcal{M}_C \oplus_{\mathcal{O}_C^\times} \mathcal{P}^{\text{sp}}$$

for $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}_C$ the divisorial log structure along sections \mathbf{p} , such that for any geometric point $\bar{x} \in \underline{C}$ and $s_{\bar{x}} \notin \mathcal{M}_{\bar{x}} \oplus_{\mathcal{O}_C^\times} \mathcal{P}_{\bar{x}}$, we have $\alpha_{C^\circ}(s_{\bar{x}}) = 0$.

A *punctured log map* to $X \rightarrow B$ over $W \rightarrow B$ is a punctured log curve $(C^\circ \rightarrow C \rightarrow W, \mathbf{p})$ and a morphism $f : C^\circ \rightarrow W$ over B . It is *stable* if \mathcal{M}_{C° is generated by \mathcal{M}_C and $f^b(f^*(\mathcal{M}_X))$ and the underlying map \underline{f} is stable in the usual sense.

The *contact orders* of a punctured map over a log point $W = \text{Spec}(Q \rightarrow \mathbb{k})$ at point $p \in \mathbf{p}$ is the composition

$$u_p : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{X, \underline{f}(p)} \xrightarrow{f^b} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{C, p} \rightarrow Q \oplus \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} \mathbb{Z}.$$

The contact order is *negative* if the image of u_p is not contained in \mathbb{N} , which naturally occurs over the points p with $\mathcal{M}_{C, p}$ a strict submonoid of $\mathcal{M}_{C^\circ, p}$.

As in the theory of logarithmic Gromov-Witten, the moduli spaces of the stable punctured log maps to X are stratified by combinatorial data of *global types*.

Definition 2.1. [ACGS21, Def. 3.4] A *global type* τ of a family of tropical punctured maps is a tuple $(G, \mathbf{g}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ consisting of

- (1) A connected graph G with a set of vertices $V(G)$, a set of edges $E(G)$ and a set of legs $L(G)$.
- (2) A genus map $\mathbf{g} : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.
- (3) An image cone map $\boldsymbol{\sigma} : V(G) \cup E(G) \cup L(G) \rightarrow \Sigma(X)$.
- (4) A global contact order map $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$

$$\bar{\mathbf{u}} : E(G) \cup L(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(X),$$

with $\bar{\mathbf{u}}(x) \in \mathfrak{C}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}(x)}(X)$ and

$$\mathfrak{C}_\sigma(X) := \text{colim}_{y \in V_X(\sigma)}^{\mathbf{Sets}} N_{\sigma_y}, \quad \mathfrak{C}(X) := \bigsqcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma(X)} \mathfrak{C}_\sigma(X),$$

for a point $y \in X$. By the cone σ_y we mean the dual cone $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{X,y}^\vee$.

A *global decorated type* τ is a tuple (τ, \mathbf{A}) with τ a global type and \mathbf{A} a function from $V(G)$ to a monoid of curve classes of X . We say a global type τ or a global decorated type $\tau = (\tau, \mathbf{A})$ is *realizable* if there exists a tropical map to $\Sigma(X)$ with associated global type τ .

We say a punctured map $(C^\circ/W, \mathbf{p}, f)$ is *marked by* τ if it lies in the closure of the locus of punctured maps of type τ in the moduli space of punctured maps ([ACGS21, Def.3.6]).

Theorem 2.2. [ACGS21, Thm A] *Let τ be a global decorated type. Then the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau)$ of τ -decorated basic stable punctured maps to $X \rightarrow B$ is a Deligne-Mumford logarithmic algebraic stack and is proper over B .*

The Artin fans \mathcal{A}_X and \mathcal{A}_B of X and B are the algebraic stacks constructed by gluing the quotient stacks of the affine toric varieties associated to the monoids of the stalks of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_X$ under the maximal torus actions. Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{A}_X \times_{\mathcal{A}_B} B$ be the relative Artin fan. The moduli space $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{X}/B, \tau)$ of τ -decorated basic stable punctured maps to $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ is again an algebraic stack. For τ realizable, the moduli spaces $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{X}/B, \tau)$ are non-empty and pure dimensional ([ACGS21, Prop.3.28]).

There is a natural evaluation map

$$\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{X}/B, \tau) \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{X}} \times_{\underline{B}} \dots \times_{\underline{B}} \underline{\mathcal{X}},$$

taken over all edges and legs of type τ .

Define

$$(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{M}^{\text{ev}}(\mathcal{X}/B, \tau) = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{X}/B, \tau) \times_{(\underline{\mathcal{X}} \times_{\underline{B}} \dots \times_{\underline{B}} \underline{\mathcal{X}})} (\underline{\mathcal{X}} \times_{\underline{B}} \dots \times_{\underline{B}} \underline{\mathcal{X}}).$$

There are perfect obstruction theories

$$\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau)/\mathfrak{M}^{\text{ev}}(\mathcal{X}/B, \tau)}$$

that defines the virtual fundamental classes $[\mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau)]^{\text{virt}}$.

The virtual theory behaves well under splitting. Let \mathbf{S} be a subset of edges of the graph G of τ . By splitting G along the edges in \mathbf{S} , we obtain a collection of splitting types τ_i , $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Theorem 2.3. [ACGS21, Thm C, Prop 5.15, Thm 5.17]

There is a Cartesian diagram

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau_i) \\ \downarrow \varepsilon & & \downarrow \varepsilon \\ \mathfrak{M}^{\text{ev}}(\mathcal{X}/B, \tau) & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & \prod_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{M}^{\text{ev}}(\mathcal{X}/B, \tau_i), \end{array}$$

with horizontal splitting maps finite and representable, and vertical maps strict morphisms.

There are obstruction theories

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau)/\mathfrak{M}^{\text{ev}}(\mathcal{X}/B, \tau)} \\ \mathbb{G}_{\text{spl}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{M}(X/B, \tau_i)/\prod_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{M}^{\text{ev}}(\mathcal{X}/B, \tau_i)}, \end{array}$$

such that the obstruction theory of the left vertical map is the pullback of the obstruction theory of the right vertical map.

For $\alpha \in A_*(\mathfrak{M}^{\text{ev}}(\mathcal{X}/B, \tau))$, there is an identity

$$\delta_* \hat{\varepsilon}^!(\alpha) = \varepsilon^! \delta'_*(\alpha),$$

where $\hat{\varepsilon}^!$ and $\varepsilon^!$ are the Manolache's virtual pullback defined using these two obstruction theories.

2.2. Logarithmic evaluation maps. In order to glue logarithmic maps, we need to identify the evaluations at the punctured points. Logarithmic evaluation maps do not always exist for maps with basic log structures. We first need to do a modification of the log structure of the moduli spaces.

For ease of notation, we use $\mathfrak{M}_\tau := \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{X}/B, \tau)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_\tau^{\text{ev}} := \mathfrak{M}^{\text{ev}}(\mathcal{X}/B, \tau)$ for the rest of the paper. Let G be the graph associated to τ . For each element $p \in E(G) \cup L(G)$, let $\underline{s}_p : \underline{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau \rightarrow \underline{\mathfrak{C}}^\circ$ be the universal section of the punctured or nodal point associated to p . Define $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_p$ to be the logarithmic algebraic stack with underlying stack $\underline{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau$ and the log structure $\underline{s}_p^* \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{C}^\circ}$. With this log structure, there is a canonical evaluation map $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ on the section of p .

For a subset $\mathbf{S} \subseteq E(G) \cup L(G)$, let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathbf{S}, \tau}$ be the saturation of the fine fiber product

$$(2.3) \quad \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{p_1} \times_{\mathfrak{M}_\tau}^{\text{fine}} \cdots \times_{\mathfrak{M}_\tau}^{\text{fine}} \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{p_{|\mathbf{S}|}}, \quad p_i \in \mathbf{S}$$

in the category of fine log stacks. For the rest of the section, we fix a subset \mathbf{S} and use $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau$ for $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathbf{S}, \tau}$. Define $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau^{\text{ev}} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau \times_{\mathfrak{M}_\tau} \mathfrak{M}_\tau^{\text{ev}}$.

Proposition 2.4. [ACGS21, Prop.5.5] *The canonical map $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_\tau^{\text{ev}}$ induces an isomorphism on the reductions. If $\mathbf{S} \subseteq E(G)$, then the map is an isomorphism.*

There is a *canonical idealized structure* on \mathfrak{M}_τ , such that \mathfrak{M}_τ is idealized log smooth ([ACGS21, Thm 3.24]). We will construct an *evaluation idealized structure* on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau$, with which $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau$ is also idealized log smooth. Similar to the log structure on \mathfrak{M}_τ , both log structure and evaluation idealized structure on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau$ are determined by the global type τ .

For a basic punctured log map of type τ over a point w , the dual cone of the stalk $(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{M}_\tau, \overline{w}})_{\mathbb{R}}^\vee$ is called the *associated basic cone* of τ . The associated basic cone parametrizes the tropical maps of type τ , which we describe concretely in the following Definition 2.5. Similarly, the dual cone of the stalk $(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau, \overline{w}})_{\mathbb{R}}^\vee$ admits a simple description by *associated evaluation cone* of τ , which parametrizes the tropical maps of type τ with an additional marking on each edge or leg in \mathbf{S} .

Definition 2.5. Let τ be a realizable global decorated type. The *associated basic cone* $\overline{\tau}$ of τ consists of elements

$$((V_v)_{v \in V(G)}, (l_E)_{E \in E(G)}) \in \prod_{v \in V(G)} \sigma(v) \times \prod_{E \in E(G)} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0},$$

such that $V_{v_E} - V_{v'_E} = l_E \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}}(E)$. Here v_E and v'_E are the vertices of the edge E , with order specified by $\overline{\mathbf{u}}(E)$. As V_{v_E} and $V_{v'_E}$ both lie in $\sigma(E)$, the difference $V_{v_E} - V_{v'_E}$ is well defined.

Define the *associated evaluation cone* $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbf{S}}$ of τ with respect to a set $\mathbf{S} \subseteq E(G) \cup L(G)$ to be the set of elements

$$((V_v)_{v \in V(G)}, (l_E)_{E \in E(G)}, (l_p)_{p \in \mathbf{S}}) \in \prod_{v \in V(G)} \sigma(v) \times \prod_{E \in E(G)} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0},$$

such that $V_{v_E} - V_{v'_E} = l_E \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}(E)$ and $V_{v_p} + l_p \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}(p) \in \sigma(p)$. Here, if $p \in L(G)$, we define the vertex v_p to be the vertex of leg p ; if p is an edge $E \in E(G)$, we define the vertex v_p to be the vertex v'_E with $V_{v_E} - V_{v'_E} = l_E \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}(E)$, specified by the orientation of the contact order.

By evaluating at the addition markings, there is an *tropical evaluation map*

$$\text{evt}_{\tau} : \tilde{\tau}_{\mathbf{S}} \rightarrow \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} \sigma(p),$$

$$((V_v)_{v \in V(G)}, (l_E)_{E \in E(G)}, (l_p)_{p \in \mathbf{S}}) \mapsto (V_{v_p} + l_p \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}(p))_{p \in \mathbf{S}}.$$

Lemma 2.6. *Given a geometric point \bar{w} on $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}$. Let τ be the tropical type of the punctured map over \bar{w} . Then, there is an isomorphism between the dual cone $(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}, \bar{w}}^{\vee})_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbf{S}}$.*

Proof. By the definition of $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}$ in (2.3), there is a projection from $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_p$, for every $p \in \mathbf{S}$. Let \bar{w}_p be the geometric point in $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_p$ under the projection. Let \tilde{Q}_p be the monoid $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_p, \bar{w}_p}$. From the tropical interpretation of the basic log structure in [ACGS21, §2.2], for an edge of a leg $p \in \mathbf{S}$ and the associated punctured or nodal point $s_p : \mathfrak{M}_{\tau} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}^{\circ}$, there are isomorphisms between $\tilde{Q}_{p, \mathbb{R}}^{\vee} = s_p^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{C}^{\circ}, s_p(\bar{w})})$ and the subcone

$$\left\{ ((V_v)_{v \in V(G)}, (l_E)_{E \in E(G)}, l_p) \mid \begin{array}{l} V_{v_E} - V_{v'_E} = l_E \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}(E), \\ V_{v_p} + l_p \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}(p) \in \sigma(p) \end{array} \right\}$$

with elements $((V_v)_{v \in V(G)}, (l_E)_{E \in E(G)}, l_p)$ in $\prod_{v \in V(G)} \sigma(v) \times \prod_{E \in E(G)} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

As \tilde{Q} is the saturation of $\tilde{Q}_{p_1} \oplus_Q \dots \oplus_Q \tilde{Q}_{p_{|\mathbf{S}|}}$,

$$\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee} = (\tilde{Q}_{p_1} \oplus_Q \dots \oplus_Q \tilde{Q}_{p_{|\mathbf{S}|}})_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee} = \tilde{Q}_{p_1, \mathbb{R}}^{\vee} \times_{Q_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}} \dots \times_{Q_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}} \tilde{Q}_{p_{|\mathbf{S}|}, \mathbb{R}}^{\vee}.$$

Thus, there is an isomorphism of cones $\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee} \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}_{\mathbf{S}}$. ♠

There is a natural idealized structure on $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}$ following the general construction of a coherent idealized log structure on a logarithmic stack M given a strict closed embedding $(M, \mathcal{M}_M) \rightarrow (N, \mathcal{M}_N)$ and a coherent idealized log structure \mathcal{K}_N on N .

Construction 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{I}_N \subset \mathcal{O}_N$ be the ideal sheaf that defines M . Let \mathcal{K}' be the preimage ideal sheaf in \mathcal{M}_N under the structure morphism $\alpha_N : \mathcal{M}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_N$. Define \mathcal{K}_M to be the ideal sheaf of \mathcal{M}_M generated by the pullback of \mathcal{K}' and \mathcal{K}_N .*

By definition $\alpha_M(\mathcal{K}_M) = 0$, thus \mathcal{K}_M is a well defined idealized structure. As \mathcal{K}_N is coherent, the morphism $(M, \mathcal{M}_M, \mathcal{K}_M) \rightarrow (N, \mathcal{M}_N, \mathcal{K}_N)$ is idealized log smooth by [Ogu18, Variant IV.3.1.21].

Let $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{M}_{\tau}}$ be the *canonical idealized structure* on \mathfrak{M}_{τ} defined in [ACGS21, Def.3.19]. For $p \in \mathbf{S}$, the map $e_p : \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\tau}$ is the composition of the strict section map $s_p : \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}^{\circ}$ and the universal curve $\mathfrak{C}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\tau}$. Define an idealized log structure $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{C}^{\circ}}$ on \mathfrak{C}° by the pullback of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{M}_{\tau}}$ on \mathfrak{M}_{τ} . Define $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_p}$ to be the canonical idealized

structure on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_p$ associated to s_p constructed in Construction 2.7 and $\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_S}$ to be the sheaf of ideals generated by the pullback of ideals $\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_p}$ under the projection maps $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_p$.

Proposition 2.8. *The logarithmic algebraic stack $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau$ with log ideal $\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau}$ is idealized log smooth over B .*

Proof. With the idealized structure $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{C}^\circ}$ on \mathfrak{C}° , the universal curve $\mathfrak{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_\tau$ is ideally strict. Since $\mathfrak{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_\tau$ is log smooth, it is idealized log smooth by [Ogu18, Variant IV.3.1.22]. By [Ogu18, Variant IV.3.1.21], the closed embedding $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}^\circ$ is idealized log smooth. Hence, we obtain that $e_p : \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_p \xrightarrow{s_p} \mathfrak{C}^\circ \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_\tau$ is idealized log smooth.

Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau^{\text{fine}}$ be the fiber product of fine logarithmic stacks

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{p_1} \times_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau}^{\text{fine}} \dots \times_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau}^{\text{fine}} \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{p_{|S|}}, \quad p_i \in S.$$

As the idealized log smoothness is stable under fine fiber products, with ideal sheaf $\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau^{\text{fine}}}$ on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau^{\text{fine}}$ generated by the pullback of ideals $\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_p}$, the projection map $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau^{\text{fine}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau$ is idealized log smooth. By the idealized log smoothness of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau$ over B , we obtain that $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau^{\text{fine}}$ is idealized log smooth over B .

Let $g : \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau^{\text{fine}}$ be the saturation morphism. By [Ogu18, §III.3.1.11], the saturation morphism g is log étale. As the projection maps $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_p$ factor through g , the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau}$ is generated by $g^*(\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau^{\text{fine}}})$. The morphism g is ideally strict, hence is idealized log smooth. The logarithmic algebraic stack $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau$ is idealized log smooth over B . ♠

Corollary 2.9. *The evaluation map $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ associated to $p \in E(G) \cup L(G)$ is idealized log smooth. Similarly, the evaluation map $\text{ev}_p : \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow X$ is idealized log smooth.*

Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}$ be the reduced induced logarithmic stack of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau^{\text{ev}}$. Let $\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}}$ be the idealized structure on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}$ associated to the strict closed embedding $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau^{\text{ev}}$ constructed in Construction 2.7. Then the corresponding idealized log stack $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}$ is idealized log smooth over B and the evaluation map $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow X$ is idealized log smooth.

Proof. Since the relative Artin fan $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ is logarithmically étale and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ is idealized log smooth, the evaluation map is idealized log smooth. Hence the pullback morphism $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau \times_{\mathcal{X}} X \rightarrow X$ is idealized log smooth. In the evaluation map

$$\text{ev}_p : \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau \times_{\mathcal{X}} X \rightarrow X,$$

since $X \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is smooth, the first morphism is smooth. Hence ev_p is idealized log smooth. The idealized smoothness of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow X$ then follows from [Ogu18, Variant IV.3.1.21]. ♠

Following the idealized smoothness of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau$, we obtain that the stratification of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau$ is encoded in the global types that admit a contraction morphism to τ , similar to [ACGS21, Rmk 3.29].

Definition 2.10. A *contraction morphism* of global decorated types $\omega \rightarrow \tau$ is a map of the graphs $G_\omega \rightarrow G_\tau$ contracting a subset of edges, such that, the global contact orders of τ are the same as those of the preimage edges and legs in ω , the genus and the curve class of a vertex in τ is the sum of those of the preimage vertices in ω , and the cone of a vertex, edge or leg in τ is a subcone of any vertex, edge or leg contained in the preimage.

Suppose $\omega \rightarrow \tau$ is a contraction of global decorated type. The preimage of elements in \mathbf{S} form a subset of the edges and legs of ω , which we again denote \mathbf{S} . Then, by the definition of the associated evaluation cones in 2.5, there is a face inclusion $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbf{S}} \rightarrow \tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{S}}$ whose image is the locus corresponding to points with $l_E = 0$ for the contracted edges in the graph of G . The evaluation map evt_τ in Definition 2.5 is the restriction of evt_ω on $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbf{S}}$.

Remark 2.11. Let us give the idealized structure on $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}$ a local description. Let ω be a global decorated type that admits a contraction morphism to τ . Let $Q_\omega = \text{Hom}(\omega_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{N})$ be the associated basic monoid of ω as defined in [ACGS21, Def 2.38] and $Q_\tau = \text{Hom}(\tau_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{N})$ be the associated basic monoid of τ . Let L_ω be the stalk of the ideal sheaf $\bar{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathfrak{M}_\tau}$ at a geometric point of type ω . Since τ is realizable, by [ACGS21, Prop.3.23]), the ideal L_ω is generated by the inverse image of $Q_\tau \setminus \{0\}$ under the generization map $Q_\omega \rightarrow Q_\tau$.

Let $Q_{\omega, p} \subseteq Q_\omega \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ be the stalk of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{C}^0}$ at the punctured or nodal point associated to $p \in \mathbf{S}$ of a punctured map with type ω . Let $L_{\omega, p}$ be the ideal generated by the preimage of L_ω under $Q_\omega \rightarrow Q_{\omega, p}$ and the preimage of $(Q_\omega \oplus \mathbb{Z}) \setminus (Q_\omega \oplus \{0\})$. Under the generization map $Q_{\omega, p} \rightarrow Q_{\tau, p}$, it follows that $L_{\omega, p}$ is generated by the preimage of $L_{\tau, p}$, thus is generated by the preimage of $Q_{\tau, p} \setminus \{0\}$.

Let $\tilde{Q}_\omega = \text{Hom}(\tilde{\omega}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{N})$ and \tilde{L}_ω be the stalk of the ideal sheaf $\bar{\mathcal{K}}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau^{\text{ev}}}$ at the geometric point $\bar{x} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau^{\text{ev}}$. As the monoid \tilde{Q}_ω is the saturation of the fibered sum

$$Q_{\omega, p_1} \oplus_{Q_\omega} \dots \oplus_{Q_\omega} Q_{\omega, p_{|\mathbf{S}|}}$$

in the category of monoids, the ideal \tilde{L}_ω is generated by the image of L_{ω, p_i} together with the elements in \tilde{Q}_ω which are mapped to the nilpotent elements under the structure morphism.

For type τ , the ideal $L_{\tau, p} = Q_{\tau, p} \setminus \{0\}$, hence \tilde{L}_τ is the prime ideal $\tilde{Q}_\tau \setminus \{0\}$.

As the preimage of \tilde{L}_τ under $\tilde{Q}_\omega \rightarrow \tilde{Q}_\tau$ is prime, then we obtain that \tilde{L}_ω is the preimage of \tilde{L}_τ . The toric variety

$$\text{Spec } \mathbb{k}[\tilde{Q}_\omega] / (\tilde{L}_\omega) = V_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{k}[\tilde{Q}_\omega]}(\tilde{\tau})$$

is the toric strata associated to the subcone $\tilde{\tau}$ in $\tilde{\omega}$.

Corollary 2.12. Let $\Sigma(\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau)$ be the tropicalization of the Artin stack $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau$ as mentioned in [ACGS20, § 2.1.4] and constructed in [ACP15] and [Uli17]. Then, the image of the finite morphism $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\omega \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau$ is the substack $V_{\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau}(\tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{S}})$ associated to the cone $\tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{S}} \in \Sigma(\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau)$.

Proof. It follows from the idealized smoothness of $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\omega$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\tau$ and the local description of the associated basic monoids and idealized structure in Remark 2.11. \spadesuit

2.3. The gluing formalism. Fix a decorated global tropical type $\tau = (\tau, \mathbf{A})$ with τ realizable and $\mathbf{S} \subseteq E(G)$ a subset of edges of the graph G of τ . By splitting along edges in \mathbf{S} , we obtain sub-types $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_r$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, let \mathbf{S}_i be the subset of legs of the graph in τ_i , obtained from the splitted edges.

In the rest of the section, we use $\tilde{\tau}$ and $\tilde{\tau}_i$ to denote the evaluation cones $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbf{S}}$ and $\tilde{\tau}_{i, \mathbf{S}_i}$. For a global decorated type ω that admits a contraction to τ , the set \mathbf{S} is a subset of edges of ω , we use $\tilde{\omega}$ to denote the evaluation cone $\tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{S}}$. Similarly, we use $\tilde{\omega}_i$ to denote the evaluation cone $\tilde{\omega}_{i, \mathbf{S}_i}$ for ω_i that admits a contraction to τ_i .

In the previous section, we constructed the logarithmic evaluation map $\text{ev}_p : \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow X$ for each $p \in \mathbf{S}$. The global type restricts the image strata of the evaluation map ev_p to $V_X(\sigma(p))$. Define

$$(2.4) \quad X_{\tau} := V_{p_1} \times_B^{\text{fs}} \dots \times_B^{\text{fs}} V_{p_{|\mathbf{S}|}}, \quad p_j \in \mathbf{S}$$

We then obtain an evaluation map $\text{ev}_{\tau} : \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow X_{\tau}$.

Similarly we define

$$X_{\tau_i} := V_{p_1} \times_B^{\text{fs}} \dots \times_B^{\text{fs}} V_{p_{|\mathbf{S}_i|}}, \quad p_j \in \mathbf{S}_i.$$

Let ev_{τ_i} be the corresponding evaluation map $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow X_{\tau_i}$.

Define $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}^{\text{gl, ev}}$ to be the following fiber product in the category of fine, saturated logarithmic stacks

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}^{\text{gl, ev}} & \xrightarrow{\delta^{\text{ev}}} & \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}} \\ \downarrow \text{ev} & & \downarrow \Pi^{\text{ev}_{\tau_i}} \\ X_{\tau} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_X} & \prod_{i=1}^r X_{\tau_i}. \end{array}$$

The gluing formalism of [ACGS21, Cor.5.13] relates the fiber product $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}^{\text{gl, ev}}$ with $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}}$ and leads to the following result.

Lemma 2.13. *Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}}$ be the reduction of logarithmic algebraic stack $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}^{\text{gl, ev}}$. Then, the morphism from $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}}$ to $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}^{\text{gl, ev}}$ induced by the fiber diagram factors through the map $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}^{\text{gl, ev}}$. Furthermore, it induces an isomorphism between $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}}$.*

Before we prove Lemma 2.13, let us first use it to show the main result of this section Proposition 2.14, which implies that in order to study the pushforward of the virtual fundamental class under the splitting morphism (1.1), it is enough to study the map δ^{ev} in diagram (2.5).

Proposition 2.14. *Let $\gamma_{\tau} : \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}}$ and $\gamma_i : \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}}$ be the canonical maps from moduli spaces with evaluation logarithmic structures to basic log structures. Let $\beta_i : \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}}$ be the canonical maps from the reduced induced stack $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}$ to $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}}$.*

Then, for the splitting morphism $\delta' : \mathfrak{M}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{M}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}}$ defined in (2.2), in the Chow group of $\prod_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{M}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}}$, the following equation holds

$$\delta'_* [\mathfrak{M}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}}] = \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \gamma_i \circ \beta_i \right)_* \delta_{\text{red}*}^{\text{ev}} [\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}}].$$

Here $\delta_{\text{red}}^{\text{ev}} : \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}$ is the map induced from $\delta^{\text{ev}} : \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}^{\text{gl, ev}} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}}$ in diagram (2.5) by taking the reduction.

Proof. By [ACGS21, Prop.5.5], which we recalled in Proposition 2.4, the underlying stack morphism of $\gamma_{\tau} : \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}}$ is an isomorphism. Then, by Lemma 2.13, the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\tau}} & \mathfrak{M}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}} \\ \downarrow \delta_{\text{red}}^{\text{ev}} & & \downarrow \delta' \\ \prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}} & \xrightarrow{\prod \gamma_i \circ \beta_i} & \prod_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{M}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}}. \end{array}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta'_*[\mathfrak{M}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}}] &= \delta'_* \gamma_{\tau*}[\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}}] \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \gamma_i \circ \beta_i \right)_* \delta_{\text{red}*}^{\text{ev}}[\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}}]. \end{aligned}$$

♠

In order to show Lemma 2.13, we need to mention the notion of punctured maps *weakly marked* by a global type τ defined in [ACGS21, Def.3.7], and the moduli space of basic log punctured maps of weak marking by τ , which is denoted $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}'}$. In contrast to the moduli spaces of punctured maps marked by τ , it carries an extra non-reducedness obtained from the infinitesimal deformation along τ , which naturally occurs in the gluing process. See [ACGS21, §3.5.6] for a more detailed discussion of moduli spaces of maps of weak marking. Here, we use this as a bridge between $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}^{\text{gl, ev}}$.

Theorem 2.15. [ACGS21, Cor.5.13] *There is a fine, saturated fiber product of logarithmic stacks*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}'} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_1}^{\text{ev}'} \times_B \dots \times_B \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_r}^{\text{ev}'} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_{\tau} & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & X_{\tau_1} \times_B \dots \times_B X_{\tau_r}. \end{array}$$

Note that there is a fiber diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_1}^{\text{ev}'} \times_B \dots \times_B \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_r}^{\text{ev}'} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}'} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_{\tau_1} \times_B \dots \times_B X_{\tau_r} & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \prod_{i=1}^r X_{\tau_i}, \end{array}$$

with the horizontal maps being the natural maps induced from universal property of the fiber products. Therefore, the following equation holds

$$(2.6) \quad \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}'} = \prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}'} \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r X_{\tau_i}} X_{\tau}.$$

Proof of Lemma 2.13. It is shown in [ACGS21, Prop 3.28] that \mathfrak{M}_{τ} is reduced. The smoothness of the underlying stacks morphism of $\mathfrak{M}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\tau}$ induces that $\mathfrak{M}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}}$ is reduced. As \mathbf{S} is a subset of edges, by Proposition 2.4, the canonical map of moduli

spaces $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}}$ is an isomorphism on the underlying stacks. Therefore, the moduli space $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}}$ is reduced.

By [ACGS21, Prop.3.31], there are closed embeddings $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}'}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}'}$ defined by nilpotent ideals. Therefore,

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}'}, \quad \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}'}$$

Then, by Theorem 2.15 and equation (2.6), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}} &= \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}'} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}'} \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r X_{\tau_i}} X_{\tau} \right)_{\text{red}} \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}} \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r X_{\tau_i}} X_{\tau} \right)_{\text{red}} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}}. \end{aligned}$$

♠

3. GENERALIZATION OF FULTON-STURMFELS FORMULA

The idealized log smoothness of the evaluation maps provides us with local toric models, where the local splitting maps can be seen as a toric morphism of toric stacks. We defer the discussion of the local toric models to the next Chapter. In this chapter, we study the pushforward of fundamental class under the toric morphism of toric stacks. It is a generalization of the classical result of Fulton and Sturmfels on the intersection product of toric varieties. This chapter serves as a technical foundation for the splitting formula. The readers can feel free to skip the chapter first and check back later.

Let X be a toric variety associated to a fan $(\Sigma(X), N(X))$. Let $N(Y) \subseteq N(X)$ be a saturated sublattice defining a subtorus $T_Y \subseteq T_X$. Define the scheme Y to be the closure of T_Y in X .

Definition 3.1. A vector $v \in N(X)$ is *generic with respect to pairs* (X, Y) if for any cone $\delta \in \Sigma(X)$ with dimension $\dim X - \dim Y$, the affine space $N(Y)_{\mathbb{R}} + v$ intersects δ at at most one point and if they intersect, the intersection point lies in the interior of δ .

Define $\Delta(v)$ to be the set of cones

$$\Delta(v) := \{\delta \in \Sigma(X) : N(Y)_{\mathbb{R}} + v \text{ meets } \delta \text{ at one point}\}.$$

In [FS97, Lemma 4.4], Fulton and Sturmfels proved the following lemma in order to construct the intersection product of toric varieties. Here, we provide a slightly different proof using the \mathbb{G}_m -orbit of Y under the torus action associated to a generic vector v .

Lemma 3.2. *In the Chow group $A_{\dim Y}(X)$,*

$$(3.1) \quad [Y] = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta(v)} m(\delta) \cdot [V_X(\delta)].$$

Here $m(\delta) = [N(X) : N_{\delta} + N(Y)]$, with N_{δ} the sublattice of $N(X)$ generated by the cone δ . The subscheme $V_X(\delta)$ is the closed subvariety associated to cone δ .

Proof. Let L be the toric variety $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with the product fan structure. Let $\alpha : L \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the projection and $\bar{\alpha} : N(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the associated projection of lattices.

We first construct the \mathbb{G}_m -orbit of Y under the torus action of v as a subvariety of $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Define $N(K)_{\mathbb{R}} := \{(x + tv, t) \mid x \in N(Y)_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $N(K)$ the saturated integral lattice $N(K)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap N(L)$. Let $T_K \subseteq T_L$ be the corresponding subtorus. The closure of T_K defines a subvariety K of L . By toric geometry, the preimage subscheme $\alpha|_{T_K}^{-1}(1)$ is isomorphic to T_Y and $\alpha|_K^{-1}(1)$ is isomorphic to Y .

Let $\Sigma(K)$ be the fan with lattice $N(K)$ and cones $\delta \cap N(K)_{\mathbb{R}}$ for $\delta \in \Sigma(L)$. Let \tilde{K} be the toric variety associated to $(\Sigma(K), N(K))$. The toric variety K is the reduction of the image of \tilde{K} under the proper toric morphism associated to the lattice morphism $\beta_N : N(K) \hookrightarrow N(L)$. Let $\alpha' : \tilde{K} \xrightarrow{\beta} L \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{P}^1$ be the induced projection to \mathbb{P}^1 .

By [ACGS20, Prop 3.1], the subscheme $(\alpha')^{-1}(0)$ satisfies the equation

$$[(\alpha')^{-1}(0)] = \sum_{\tau} m_{\tau} \cdot [V_{\tilde{K}}(\tau)],$$

where τ goes over the rays in $\Sigma(K)$, whose image under the \mathbb{R} -linear map

$$\bar{\alpha}'_{\mathbb{R}} : N(K)_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\beta_{N, \mathbb{R}}} N(L)_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}_{\mathbb{R}}} \mathbb{R}$$

is $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. The multiplicity m_{τ} is given by the image of the primitive generator of τ under $\bar{\alpha}'_{\mathbb{R}}$. Since α is a flat dominant morphism, by the alternative definition of rational equivalence in [Ful98, §1.6], we obtain a rational equivalence relation in the Chow group of X :

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} [Y] &= [\alpha|_K^{-1}(1)] \sim [\alpha|_K^{-1}(0)] = \beta'_*[(\alpha')^{-1}(0)] \\ &= \sum_{\tau} m_{\tau} \cdot \beta'_*[V_{\tilde{K}}(\tau)]. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\beta' : K \rightarrow X$ is composition of the map $\beta : \tilde{K} \rightarrow L$ with the projection $L \rightarrow X$. It is sufficient to show that the above equation (3.2) is the same as equation (3.1).

First, there is an one-to-one correspondence between rays τ and cones in $\Delta(v)$. Note each ray $\tau \in \Sigma(K)$ is the intersection of $\delta \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $N(K)_{\mathbb{R}}$ for a cone δ in $\Sigma(X)$. The preimage $\bar{\alpha}'_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(1) \cap \tau$ is a point $(x + v, 1)$ in $\delta_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for some $x \in N(Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Hence $\{(N(Y)_{\mathbb{R}} + v) \cap \delta\}$ is non-empty. By the genericity of v , there is only one intersection point. It follows that the cone δ lies in $\Delta(v)$. On the other hand, for every δ in $\Delta(v)$, the intersection of $\delta \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $N(K)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a ray with image $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ under $\bar{\alpha}'_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Next, we need to show the corresponding multiplicities are the same. Under the proper morphism $\beta : \tilde{K} \rightarrow K$, the image of $V_{\tilde{K}}(\tau)$ is $V_L(\delta \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ up to a multiplicity. The multiplicity is decided by the degree of the finite map of the open toric strata from $O_{\tilde{K}}(\tau)$ to $O_L(\delta \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$, that is, the lattice index

$$\begin{aligned} & [N(L)/(N_{\delta} \times \mathbb{Z}) : N(K)/N_{\tau}] \\ &= [N(L)/(N_{\delta} \times \mathbb{Z}) : N(K)/((N_{\delta} \times \mathbb{Z}) \cap N(K))] \\ &= [N(L)/(N_{\delta} \times \mathbb{Z}) : (N_{\delta} \times \mathbb{Z} + N(K))/(N_{\delta} \times \mathbb{Z})] \\ &= [N(L) : N_{\delta} \times \mathbb{Z} + N(K)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$(3.3) \quad \beta'_*[V_{\tilde{K}}(\tau)] = [N(L) : N_\delta \times \mathbb{Z} + N(K)] \cdot [V_X(\delta)].$$

The sublattice

$$\begin{aligned} N_\delta \times \mathbb{Z} + N(K) &= N_\delta \times \mathbb{Z} + N(Y) \times \{0\} + \mathbb{Z} \cdot (v, 1) \\ &= N_\delta \times \mathbb{Z} + N(Y) \times \{0\} + \mathbb{Z} \cdot (v, 0) \\ &= (N_\delta + N(Y)) \times \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} \cdot (v, 0), \end{aligned}$$

with the second and the third equality following from the fact that $(0, 1) \in N_\delta \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Write $v = \frac{a_1}{a_2} \cdot x + \frac{b_1}{b_2} \cdot y$, where $x \in N_\delta$, $y \in N(Y)$ and integers pairs (a_1, a_2) , (b_1, b_2) are coprime. As N_δ and $N(Y)$ has complementary dimension in $N(X)$, such presentation of v is unique. The lattice index

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &[(N_\delta \times \mathbb{Z} + N(K)) : (N_\delta + N(Y)) \times \mathbb{Z}] \\ &= [(N_\delta + N(Y)) \times \mathbb{Z} + (\mathbb{Z} \cdot v, 0) : (N_\delta + N(Y)) \times \mathbb{Z}] \\ &= [N_\delta + N(Y) + (\mathbb{Z} \cdot v, 0) : N_\delta + N(Y)] = \text{lcm}(a_2, b_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{lcm}(a_2, b_2)$ is the least common multiple of integers a_2 and b_2 .

Furthermore, the integral generator v_τ of the ray $N(K)_\mathbb{R} \cap \sigma$ has form

$$\begin{aligned} v_\tau &= n \cdot (v, 1) + (y', 0) \\ &= \left(\frac{n \cdot a_1}{a_2} \cdot x + \frac{n \cdot b_1}{b_2} \cdot y + y', n \right) \end{aligned}$$

for $y' \in N(Y)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since v_τ is integral, then n is a multiple of $\text{lcm}(a_2, b_2)$. As n is the smallest integer such that v_τ is integral, then $n = \text{lcm}(a_2, b_2)$. Therefore

$$m_\tau = \text{lcm}(a_2, b_2) = [N_\delta \times \mathbb{Z} + N(K) : (N_\delta + N(Y)) \times \mathbb{Z}].$$

The multiplicity

$$\begin{aligned} &m_\tau \cdot [N(L) : (N_\delta \times \mathbb{Z} + N(K))] \\ &= [N_\delta \times \mathbb{Z} + N(K) : (N_\delta + N(Y)) \times \mathbb{Z}] \cdot [N(L) : N_\delta \times \mathbb{Z} + N(K)] \\ &= [N(L) : (N_\delta + N(Y)) \times \mathbb{Z}] \\ &= [N(X) : N_\delta + N(Y)] = m_\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

$$[Y] = \sum_{\tau} m_\tau \cdot \beta'_*[V_{\tilde{K}}(\tau)] = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta(v)} m_\delta \cdot [V_X(\delta)].$$

♠

The next discussion is a generalization of Lemma 3.2 to the pushforward of fundamental class under the toric morphisms.

Let $\tilde{f} : Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper toric morphisms associated to an injective map of lattices $f_N : N(Y) \rightarrow N(X)$. Let τ be a cone in $\Sigma(Y)$ and let τ' be the smallest cone in $\Sigma(X)$ that contains the image of τ . Let $f : V_Y(\tau) \rightarrow V_X(\tau')$ be the restriction of \tilde{f} on $V_Y(\tau)$.

Definition 3.3. A vector $v \in N(X)$ is *generic with respect to* $(X, Y, V_Y(\tau))$ if its image under the quotient map $N(X) \rightarrow N(X)/N_{\tau'}$ is generic with respect to the pair $(V_X(\tau'), f(V_Y(\tau)))$ as defined in Definition 3.1.

Define $\Delta^\tau(v)$ to be the collection of cones δ in $\Sigma(X)$ satisfying that

- (1) $\tau' \subseteq \delta$
- (2) $\dim N_\delta = \dim N(X) - \dim f_N(N(Y)) + \dim(f_N(N(Y)) \cap N_{\tau'})$.
- (3) $(f_N(N(Y)))_{\mathbb{R}} + v \cap \delta$ is not empty.

Proposition 3.4. *In the Chow group $A_l(V_X(\tau'))$:*

$$(3.5) \quad f_*[V_Y(\tau)] = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta^\tau(v)} m(\delta) \cdot [V_X(\delta)],$$

where $l = \dim N(Y) - \dim \tau$ and $m(\delta) = [N(X) : f_N(N(Y)) + N_\delta]$.

Proof. Let $q : N(X) \rightarrow N(X)/N_{\tau'}$ be the quotient of the lattice. Let N' be the saturation of the image $q(f_N(N(Y)))$ in $N(X)$. Then the image of $V_Y(\tau)$ under f is the closure of $T_{N'} \subseteq T_{N(X)/N_{\tau'}}$ inside $V_X(\tau')$. The degree of the map is the degree of the cover of torus induced from the saturation $q(f_N(N(Y))) \rightarrow N'$. Therefore,

$$(3.6) \quad f_*[V_Y(\tau)] = [N' : q(f_N(N(Y)))] \cdot [f(V_Y(\tau))].$$

We first apply Lemma 3.2 to study $[f(V_Y(\tau))]$. By definition, the vector $q(v)$ is generic with respect to $(V_X(\tau'), V_Y(\tau))$. Hence, in the Chow group of $V_X(\tau')$,

$$(3.7) \quad [f(V_Y(\tau))] = \sum_{\omega \in \Delta(q(v))} [N(X)/N_{\tau'} : N' + N_\omega] \cdot [V_{V_X(\tau')}(\omega)].$$

Let us first show that a cone $\omega \in \Delta(q(v))$ if and only if $\delta \in \Delta^\tau(v)$ for δ the unique cone in $\Sigma(X)$ containing τ' with $q(\delta) = \omega$. Note that the intersection $\omega \cap (N'_{\mathbb{R}} + q(v))$ is not empty if and only if the preimage of it in $N(X)$ is not empty. That is, the intersection of $\delta + N_{\tau', \mathbb{R}}$ with $f_N(N(Y))_{\mathbb{R}} + N_{\tau', \mathbb{R}} + v$ is not empty. It is equivalent to that the vector v lies in $\delta + f_N(N(Y))_{\mathbb{R}} + N_{\tau', \mathbb{R}}$. As a real cone, the lattice $N_{\tau', \mathbb{R}}$ is the same as $\tau' + L$, for L any one dimensional linear space intersecting τ' in the interior of τ' . Since $f_N(N(Y))_{\mathbb{R}}$ intersects with the interior of τ' , we have

$$f_N(N(Y))_{\mathbb{R}} + \tau' = N_{\tau', \mathbb{R}}.$$

Because τ' is a subcone of δ ,

$$\delta + f_N(N(Y))_{\mathbb{R}} + N_{\tau', \mathbb{R}} = \delta + f_N(N(Y))_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Thus the condition (3) of Definition 3.3 that $f_N(N(Y))_{\mathbb{R}} + v \cap \delta$ is not empty is equivalent to $\omega \cap (N'_{\mathbb{R}} + q(v))$ being not empty.

For the dimension condition, as $\tau' \subseteq \delta$, the dimension

$$\dim \omega = \dim q(N_\delta) = \dim N_\delta - \dim N_{\tau'}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim N_\delta - \dim N_{\tau'} &= \dim \omega \\ &= \dim q(N(X)) - \dim q(f_N(N(Y))) \end{aligned}$$

if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \dim N_\delta &= \dim N_{\tau'} + \dim q(N(X)) - \dim q(f_N(N(Y))) \\ &= \dim N(X) - \dim q(f_N(N(Y))) \\ &= \dim N(X) - \dim f_N(N(Y)) + \dim(f_N(N(Y)) \cap N_{\tau'}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\omega \in \Delta(q(v))$ if and only if $\delta \in \Delta^\tau(v)$.

Note that $V_X(\delta) = V_{V_X(\tau')}(\delta)$ by definition. The equation (3.7) is then equivalent to

$$(3.8) \quad [f(V_Y(\tau))] = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta^\tau(\delta)} [N(X)/N_{\tau'} : N' + q(N_\delta)] \cdot [V_X(\delta)].$$

Together with the equation (3.6), we obtain that

$$(3.9) \quad f_*[V_Y(\tau)] = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta^\tau(v)} [N' : q(f_N(N(Y)))] [N(X)/N_{\tau'} : N' + q(N_\delta)] \cdot [V_X(\delta)].$$

Since N' and $q(N_\delta)$ have complementary dimensions in lattice $N(X)/N_{\tau'}$, and the intersection of $q(f_N(N(Y)))$ and $q(N_\delta)$ is zero dimensional. Thus,

$$(3.10) \quad [N' : q(f_N(N(Y)))] = [N' + q(N_\delta) : q(f_N(N(Y))) + q(N_\delta)].$$

Since the quotient lattice

$$\begin{aligned} q(f_N(N(Y))) + q(N_\delta) &= q(f_N(N(Y)) + N_\delta) \\ &= (f_N(N(Y)) + N_\delta)/N_{\tau'}, \end{aligned}$$

The lattice index in the equation (3.9) satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned} &[N' : q(f_N(N(Y)))] \cdot [N(X)/N_{\tau'} : N' + q(N_\delta)] \\ &\stackrel{(3.10)}{=} [N(X)/N_{\tau'} : q(f_N(N(Y))) + q(N_\delta)] \\ &= [N(X)/N_{\tau'} : (f_N(N(Y)) + N_\delta)/N_{\tau'}] \\ &= [N(X) : f_N(N(Y)) + N_\delta]. \end{aligned}$$

We now finish the proof of the equation (3.5). ♠

Corollary 3.5. *With the same assumption in Proposition 3.4, let N_Q be a sublattice of $N(Y)$. The subtorus $T_Q \subseteq T_Y$ induces a T_Q -action on $V_Y(\tau)$ and $V_X(\tau')$. The morphism $f : V_Y(\tau) \rightarrow V_X(\tau')$ is T_Q -equivariant.*

Let $f_Q : [V_Y(\tau)/T_Q] \rightarrow [V_X(\tau')/T_Q]$ be the induced map on the quotient stacks. Let v be a generic displacement vector with respect to $(X, Y, V_Y(\tau))$ as defined in 3.3. Then there is a closed substack of $[V_X(\tau')/T_Q] \times \mathbb{P}^1$ which induces the rational equivalence of $[f_Q([V_Y(\tau)/T_Q])]$ and

$$\sum_{\delta \in \Delta^\tau(v)} \frac{m(\delta)}{[\mathrm{im}(q \circ f_N)^{\mathrm{sat}} : \mathrm{im}(q \circ f_N)]} \cdot [V_X(\delta)/T_Q],$$

where $\mathrm{im}(q \circ f_N)^{\mathrm{sat}}$ is the saturation of sublattice $\mathrm{im}(q \circ f_N)$ in $N(X)/N_{\tau'}$ and

$$m(\delta) = [N(X) : f_N(N(Y)) + N_\delta].$$

In the Chow group $A_l([V_X(\tau')/T_Q])$,

$$(3.11) \quad f_{Q*}[V_Y(\tau)/T_Q] = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta^\tau(v)} m(\delta) \cdot [V_X(\delta)/T_Q],$$

where $l = \dim Y - \dim \tau - \dim N_Q$.

Proof. Let N' be the saturation of $f_N(N(Y))$ in $N(X)$ and let $q : N(X) \rightarrow N(X)/N_{\tau'}$ be the quotient map. We first show that

$$(3.12) \quad [f_Q([V_Y(\tau)/T_Q])] = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta^\tau(v)} [N(X)/N_{\tau'} : N' + q(N_\delta)] \cdot [V_X(\delta)/T_Q]$$

similar to the equation (3.8) in the toric variety case.

In the toric subvariety $V_X(\tau')$, the closure of the torus associated to N' is $f(V_Y(\tau))$. Let v' be the vector $q(v)$ in $N(X)/N_{\tau'}$. By Lemma 3.2, there is a closed subvariety K in $V_X(\tau') \times \mathbb{P}^1$ defined to be the closure of the torus associated to the subspace

$$\{(x + t \cdot v', t) \mid x \in N(X)_{\mathbb{R}}/N_{\tau', \mathbb{R}} \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

such that the projection map $\alpha : K \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ induces the rational equivalence of $[f(V_Y(\tau))]$ and

$$\sum_{\delta \in \Delta^\tau(v)} [N(X)/N_{\tau'} : N' + q(N_\delta)] \cdot [V_X(\delta)].$$

The inclusion of lattices

$$N_Q \times \{0\} \hookrightarrow N_Q \times \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow N(Y) \times \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{f_N \times \text{id}} N(X) \times \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{q \times \text{id}} N(X)/N_{\tau'} \times \mathbb{Z}$$

induces a T_Q -action on $V_X(\tau') \times \mathbb{P}^1$. An easy lattice computation tells us that K is invariant under the T_Q -action and each fiber of α is T_Q -invariant. Therefore, the closed substack $[K/T_Q]$ together with the dominant morphism $\alpha' : [K/T_Q] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ satisfies the equations

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha'^{-1}(1)] &= [f(V_Y(\tau))/T_Q] = [f_Q([V_Y(\tau)/T_Q])], \\ [\alpha'^{-1}(0)] &= \sum_{\delta \in \Delta^\tau(v)} [N(X)/N_{\tau'} : N' + q(N_\delta)] \cdot [V_X(\delta)/T_Q]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence induces the rational equivalence of $[f(V_Y(\tau))/T_Q]$ and

$$\sum_{\delta \in \Delta^\tau(v)} [N(X)/N_{\tau'} : N' + q(N_\delta)] \cdot [V_X(\delta)/T_Q].$$

In Proposition 3.4, we showed that the equation (3.8) induces that

$$f_*[V_Y(\tau)] = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta^\tau(v)} m(\delta) \cdot [V_X(\delta)],$$

With the same argument, the equation (3.12) induces that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{Q*}[V_Y(\tau)/T_Q] &= [N' : f_N(N(Y))] \cdot [f_Q([V_Y(\tau)/T_Q])] \\ &= \sum_{\delta \in \Delta(v)} [N(X) : f_N(N(Y)) + N_\delta] \cdot [V_X(\delta)/T_Q]. \end{aligned}$$



4. PROOF OF THE SPLITTING FORMULA

From now on, let us assume the Assumption 1.2 is satisfied.

Assumption 1.2. *Assume the graph G of the global decorated type τ is connected. Assume for each edge $p \in \mathbf{S}$, the strict closed subscheme $V_p := V_X(\sigma(p))$ of the log scheme X associated to cone $\sigma(p)$ has underlying scheme a toric variety and the log stratification of V_p is the same as the toric stratification.*

4.1. Toric strata assumption. In fact, as shown in the following theorem, any idealized log smooth scheme being a toric variety whose logarithmic stratification same as the toric stratification can be embedded into a large toric variety with canonical toric log structure.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose X is an idealized log smooth scheme over \mathbb{k} , with Zariski log structure \mathcal{M}_X and idealized structure \mathcal{K}_X . Let $\Sigma(X)$ be the tropicalization of X . Suppose X satisfies that*

- (1) *The underlying scheme \underline{X} is a toric variety of fan (Σ, N) .*
- (2) *The log strata of X is the same as the toric strata of X . In other words, for each point x of X , let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be the dual cone of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{X,x}$, the underlying scheme of the logarithmic stratum $\underline{V}_X(\tilde{\sigma})$ is the deepest toric stratum of \underline{X} containing x .*

Then there is a toric variety Z with canonical toric log structure and a cone $\sigma_Z \in \Sigma(Z)$, such that there is an isomorphism between X and $V_Z(\sigma_Z)$ as log schemes.

Proof. Let $Q_0 = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_X(T)$, for T the maximal torus of X . Let N_Z be $N \times Q_0^*$ and $\sigma_Z = \{0\} \times Q_0^\vee$ a cone in N_Z . We will directly construct the fan of toric variety Z in the lattice N_Z .

For a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$, let $P_\sigma = \sigma^\vee \cap M$, $U(\sigma) = \text{Spec } \mathbb{k}[P_\sigma]$ and $Q_\sigma = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_X(U(\sigma))$. In the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[P_\sigma]^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X^{\text{gp}}(U(\sigma)) \rightarrow Q_\sigma^{\text{gp}} \rightarrow 0,$$

the group Q_σ^{gp} is a finitely generated, torsion free abelian group, hence it is abelian. A choice of the section map $Q_\sigma^{\text{gp}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X^{\text{gp}}(U(\sigma))$ induces a split morphism $Q_\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X(U(\sigma))$ and an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}_X(U(\sigma)) \cong \mathbb{k}[P_\sigma]^\times \oplus Q_\sigma \cong \mathbb{k}^\times \oplus P_\sigma^\times \oplus Q_\sigma.$$

The structure morphisms induce a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_X(U(\sigma)) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times \oplus P_\sigma^\times \oplus Q_\sigma & \xrightarrow{\alpha(U(\sigma))} & \mathbb{k}[P_\sigma] \\ \downarrow \chi_\sigma & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}_X(T) \cong \mathbb{k}^\times \oplus M \oplus Q_0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha(T)} & \mathbb{k}[M] \end{array}$$

and a monoid morphism from the restriction map χ_σ

$$\phi : P_\sigma^\times \oplus Q_\sigma \rightarrow M \oplus Q_0.$$

Define $\tilde{\sigma}$ to be the dual cone of $\phi(P_\sigma^\times \oplus Q_\sigma)$ in the lattice N_Z . It is well defined since the different choices of the split morphism $Q_\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X(U(\sigma))$ differ by a map $Q_\sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^\times \oplus P_\sigma^\times$, which might change ϕ but do not change the image $\phi(P_\sigma^\times \oplus Q_\sigma)$.

Let Z_σ be the affine toric variety associated to $\tilde{\sigma}$ in the lattice N_Z . We first show that σ_Z is a face of $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $V_{Z_\sigma}(\sigma_Z)$ is isomorphic to $U(\sigma)$ as logarithmic schemes, with the log structure on $U(\sigma)$ the strict pullback from the log structure on X .

Taking the quotients of the invertible elements on both sides of ϕ , we get the restriction map $\bar{\chi}_\sigma : Q_\sigma \rightarrow Q_0$. Since the logarithmic strata coincides with the toric strata, the monoid $Q_\sigma = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{X,x}$ for a geometric point x in the deepest strata of $U(\sigma)$ and $Q_0 = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{X,\eta}$ for η the generic point of X . Therefore, the dual of $\bar{\chi}_\sigma$ identifies Q_0^\vee with a face of Q_σ^\vee . The cone σ_Z is then a face of $\tilde{\sigma}$.

For $q \in \bar{\chi}_\sigma^{-1}(0)$ and $p \in P_\sigma^\times$, the image $\phi(p, q) \in P_\sigma \oplus \{0\}$. It induces a monoid morphism

$$\eta : \bar{\chi}_\sigma^{-1}(0) \rightarrow P_\sigma \rightarrow P_\sigma/P_\sigma^\times.$$

Both $\bar{\chi}_\sigma^{-1}(0)$ and P_σ/P_σ^\times are sharp and saturated. By condition (2) and X being idealized log smooth, the induced map

$$\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{k}[P_\sigma/P_\sigma^\times] \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{k}[\bar{\chi}_\sigma^{-1}(0)]$$

have compatible toric stratification. Hence η is an isomorphism. The restriction of ϕ on $P_\sigma^\times \oplus \bar{\chi}_\sigma^{-1}(0)$ induces an isomorphism of monoids

$$(4.1) \quad P_\sigma^\times \oplus \bar{\chi}_\sigma^{-1}(0) \rightarrow P_\sigma \oplus \{0\}.$$

Suppose $\phi(p, q) = \phi(p', q')$, then $q = q' + c$ for some element $c \in \bar{\chi}_\sigma^{-1}(0)$. We then have

$$\phi(p, c) + \phi(0, q') = \phi(p, q) = \phi(p', q') = \phi(p', 0) + \phi(0, q').$$

By the isomorphism of (4.1), we have $(p, c) = (0, q')$ and ϕ is injective.

In the monoid $M \oplus Q_0$, the submonoid

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_Z^\perp \cap \phi(P_\sigma^\times \oplus Q_\sigma) &= (\{0\} \times Q_{0, \mathbb{R}}^\vee)^\perp \cap \phi(P_\sigma^\times \oplus Q_\sigma) \\ &= \phi(P_\sigma^\times \oplus \bar{\chi}_\sigma^{-1}(0)) \stackrel{(4.1)}{=} P_\sigma \oplus \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the underlying scheme $V_{Z_\sigma}(\sigma_Z)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{k}[P_\sigma]$. The log structure on $V_Z(\sigma_Z)$ is defined by the chart

$$\phi(P_\sigma^\times \oplus Q_\sigma) \xrightarrow{t} \sigma_Z^\perp \cap \phi(P_\sigma^\times \oplus Q_\sigma) = P_\sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[P_\sigma],$$

with t sends elements in $\sigma_Z^\perp \cap \phi(P_\sigma^\times \oplus Q_\sigma)$ to themselves and others to 0. As ϕ is injective, it is the log structure defined by chart

$$Q_\sigma \rightarrow P_\sigma^\times \oplus Q_\sigma \rightarrow \phi(P_\sigma^\times \oplus Q_\sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[P_\sigma].$$

Hence $V_{Z_\sigma}(\sigma_Z)$ is isomorphic to $U(\sigma)$ as logarithmic schemes.

For a subcone $\delta \subseteq \sigma \in \Sigma$, the restriction map

$$\mathcal{M}_X(U(\sigma)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X(U(\delta)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X(T)$$

defines a monoid morphism

$$P_\sigma^\times \oplus Q_\sigma \rightarrow P_\delta^\times \oplus Q_\delta \xrightarrow{\phi_\delta} M \oplus Q_0,$$

up to choices of splitting morphisms $Q_\sigma \rightarrow P_\sigma^\times$ and $Q_\delta \rightarrow P_\delta^\times$. Let $\tilde{\delta}$ be the dual cone of $\phi_\delta(P_\delta^\times \oplus Q_\delta)$. The inclusion map $\tilde{\delta} \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}$ identifies $\tilde{\delta}$ with the unique face of $\tilde{\sigma}$ induced from the map $Q_\delta^\vee \rightarrow Q_\sigma^\vee$. For another maximal cone $\omega \in \Sigma$, the intersection $\tilde{\sigma} \cap \tilde{\omega}$ is the face of both cones corresponding to $\sigma \cap \omega$.

Taking the union of cones $\tilde{\sigma}$ for maximal cones $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we obtain a fan $\Sigma(Z)$. Let Z be the associated toric variety with its canonical log structure. Then the underlying scheme of $V_Z(\sigma_Z)$, as the union of affine toric varieties $V_{Z_\sigma}(\sigma_Z)$, is isomorphic to \underline{X} . On each open affine toric variety $U(\sigma)$, the restriction of the log structure on $V_Z(\sigma_Z)$ is isomorphic to the restriction of the log structure on X . Hence the isomorphism of the underlying schemes induces an isomorphism of the stalk of the log structures on each point. We then obtain that the log scheme $V_Z(\sigma_Z)$ is isomorphic to the log scheme X . \spadesuit

Since V_p is a logarithmic strata of a log smooth scheme, it is idealized log smooth. Therefore, by theorem 4.1, there exists a toric variety X_p with canonical toric log structure such that V_p is a strict toric strata of X_p . Let (Σ_p, N_p) be the fan of X_p .

Recall the definitions of X_τ and X_{τ_i} in (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} X_\tau &:= V_{p_1} \times_B^{\text{fs}} \dots \times_B^{\text{fs}} V_{p_{|\mathbf{S}|}}, \quad p_j \in \mathbf{S} \\ X_{\tau_i} &:= V_{p_1} \times_B^{\text{fs}} \dots \times_B^{\text{fs}} V_{p_{|\mathbf{S}_i|}}, \quad p_j \in \mathbf{S}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Using Appendix B, log schemes X_τ and X_{τ_i} admit nice toric descriptions.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume the graph G of τ is connected. There is a Cartesian diagram in the category of fine, saturated logarithmic schemes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_\tau & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^r X_{\tau_i} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} X_p & \xrightarrow{\Delta_p} & \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} X_p \times X_p, \end{array}$$

with horizontal maps the diagonal maps and the vertical maps the composition of the projections

$$g_\tau : X_\tau \rightarrow \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} V_p, \quad g_{\tau_i} : X_{\tau_i} \rightarrow \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}_i} V_p$$

with the closed embeddings $V_p \hookrightarrow X_p$, for all p .

Proof. As $V_p \hookrightarrow X_p$ are strict closed embeddings, it is sufficient to show that the following diagram is Cartesian in the category of fine, saturated log schemes

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_\tau & \xrightarrow{\Delta_X} & \prod_{i=1}^r X_{\tau_i} \\ \downarrow g_\tau & & \downarrow \prod g_{\tau_i} \\ \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} V_p & \xrightarrow{\Delta_p} & \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} V_p \times V_p. \end{array}$$

We show that X_τ satisfies the universal property of the Cartesian diagram.

Assume Z is a fine, saturated log scheme with $\alpha : Z \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r X_{\tau_i}$ and $\beta : Z \rightarrow \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} V_p$, such that $\prod_{i=1}^r g_{\tau_i} \circ \alpha = \Delta_p \circ \beta$ as logarithmic maps. Then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \prod_{i=1}^r X_{\tau_i} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^r B \\ \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \prod g_{\tau_i} & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} V_p & \xrightarrow{\Delta_p} & \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} V_p \times V_p & \searrow & \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} B \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} B & \longrightarrow & \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} B \times B & & \end{array}$$

By the universal property of the fiber products, there is a morphism

$$Z \rightarrow \left(\prod_{i=1}^r B \right) \times_{\left(\prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} B \times B \right)} \left(\prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} B \right).$$

As the dual graph G of τ is connected, the pullback of $\prod_{i=1}^r B$ along the diagonal map identifies the base of each X_{τ_i} . Thus

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^r B \right) \times_{\left(\prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} B \times B \right)} \left(\prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} B \right) = B,$$

with the maps from B to each factor being the diagonal maps.

For each $p \in \mathbf{S}$, the projection $Z \xrightarrow{\text{pr} \circ \beta} V_p \rightarrow B$ is the same as the map

$$Z \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\Delta_B} \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} B \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_p} B.$$

By the universal property of the logarithmic fiber products, there is a unique morphism

$$\psi : Z \rightarrow X_{\tau} = V_{p_1} \times_B^{\text{fs}} \dots \times_B^{\text{fs}} V_{p_{|\mathbf{S}|}},$$

which satisfies that $g_{\tau} \circ \psi = \beta$. On the other hand, both α and $\Delta_X \circ \psi$ are the unique morphism induced from the universal property of the fiber product

$$\prod_{i=1}^r X_{\tau_i} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^r B \right) \times_{\left(\prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} B \times B \right)} \left(\prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} V_p \times V_p \right).$$

Hence $\Delta_X \circ \psi = \alpha$ and we finish the proof of the diagram (4.2) being Cartesian in the category of log fine, saturated schemes. \spadesuit

Proposition 4.3.

- (1) *The fiber product X_{τ} is a disjoint union of schemes, each of which is isomorphic to an irreducible, but possibly non-reduced subscheme Z_{τ} of the toric variety X'_{τ} with fan*

$$\Sigma_{\tau} = \Sigma_{p_1} \times_{\Sigma(B)} \dots \times_{\Sigma(B)} \Sigma_{p_{|\mathbf{S}|}}, \quad p_j \in \mathbf{S}.$$

Similarly, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, the fiber product X_{τ_i} is a disjoint union of schemes and each of them is isomorphic to an irreducible, but possibly non-reduced subscheme Z_{τ_i} of the toric variety X'_{τ_i} with fan

$$\Sigma_{\tau_i} = \Sigma_{p_1} \times_{\Sigma(B)} \dots \times_{\Sigma(B)} \Sigma_{p_{|\mathbf{S}_i|}}, \quad p_j \in \mathbf{S}_i.$$

(2) Under the diagonal toric morphism $\Delta : X'_\tau \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r X'_{\tau_i}$, the following equation holds

$$Z_\tau = X'_\tau \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r X'_{\tau_i}} \prod_{i=1}^r Z_{\tau_i}.$$

(3) The fiber product $X_\tau \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r X_{\tau_i}} \prod_{i=1}^r Z_{\tau_i}$ is a disjoint union of \mathcal{N} schemes, each of which is isomorphic to Z_τ . The number of the components

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{N} = [\text{im}(\text{coker } \bar{\Delta}_p \circ \prod_{i=1}^r \bar{\Delta}_{\tau_i})^{\text{sat}} : \text{im}(\text{coker } \bar{\Delta}_p \circ \prod_{i=1}^r \bar{\Delta}_{\tau_i})]$$

with $\bar{\Delta}_p$ the lattice map associated to $\Delta_p : \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} X_p \rightarrow \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} X_p \times X_p$ and

$$(4.4) \quad \prod_{i=1}^r \bar{\Delta}_{\tau_i} : \prod_{i=1}^r N_{\tau_i} \rightarrow \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} N_p \times N_p$$

the lattice projection map associated to the fiber product of fans Σ_{τ_i} .

Proof. Let δ_p be the cone in Σ_p such that $V_{X_p}(\delta_p)$ is isomorphic to V_p . For the log point $B = \text{Spec}(Q_B \rightarrow \mathbb{k})$, let δ_B be the dual cone of $Q_{B, \mathbb{R}}^\vee$. Since X is log smooth over B , for each $p \in \mathbf{S}$, the subscheme V_p is idealized log smooth over B . By the structure of the idealized log smooth morphism [Ogu18, Variant 3.3.5], the monoid morphism $Q_B \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{V_p, \bar{x}}$ at any geometric point $\bar{x} \rightarrow V_p$ is injective. Thus, the induced morphism of dual cones is surjective. In particular, the map $\delta_p \rightarrow \delta_B$ is surjective.

By Lemma B.2, the fine, saturated log fiber product

$$(4.5) \quad X_{p_1} \times_{Z_B}^{\text{fs}} \dots \times_{Z_B}^{\text{fs}} X_{p_{|\mathbf{S}|}}, \quad p_j \in \mathbf{S}$$

is a disjoint union of schemes, each of which is isomorphic to the toric variety X'_τ of the fan obtained by taking fiber products of corresponding fans.

Let

$$I_p = M_p \setminus \{\delta_p^\perp \cap M_p\}$$

for $p \in \mathbf{S}$ and M_p the dual monoid of N_p . The scheme V_p is the subscheme of X_p associated to the ideal generated by I_p . Hence, the scheme X_τ is defined by the ideal generated by the pullbacks of I_p . We now show that the X_τ is a disjoint union of irreducible schemes of X'_τ , whose reductions are toric strata of X'_τ .

By toric geometry, under toric morphisms $X'_\tau \rightarrow X_p$, the image of $V_{X'_\tau}(\delta)$ is a subscheme of V_p if and only if the image of δ under the fan map intersects with the interior of δ_p . Let $\delta = \delta_{p_1} \times_{\delta_B} \dots \times_{\delta_B} \delta_{p_{|\mathbf{S}|}}$. Suppose a cone $\delta' = \delta'_{p_1} \times_{\delta_B} \dots \times_{\delta_B} \delta'_{p_{|\mathbf{S}|}}$ in the fan of X'_τ has image intersects with the interior of δ_p for each $p \in \mathbf{S}$, then δ'_p is a cone containing δ_p . The cone δ is a face of δ' . On the other hand, as each δ_p is surjective onto δ_B , the cone δ is mapped to the interior of δ_p for all $p \in \mathbf{S}$, hence it is the unique minimal cone that satisfy the conditions. The reduced induced subscheme of irreducible components Z_τ of X_τ is the toric strata $V_{X'_\tau}(\delta)$.

Similarly for each splitted type τ_i , the gluing strata X_{τ_i} is a disjoint union of schemes, each of which is isomorphic to an irreducible subscheme Z_{τ_i} of X'_{τ_i} .

As both Z_{τ} and $X'_{\tau} \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r X'_{\tau_i}} \prod_{i=1}^r Z_{\tau_i}$ are the closed subscheme of X'_{τ} defined by the ideal generated by the pullback of the ideals I_p under the map

$$X'_{\tau} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \prod_{i=1}^r X'_{\tau_i} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} X_p \times X_p$$

following the commutative diagram

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma_{\tau} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^r \Sigma_{\tau_i} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} \Sigma_p & \longrightarrow & \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} \Sigma_p \times \Sigma_p. \end{array}$$

We then obtain the description of Z_{τ} in (2).

For (3), by Lemma 4.2, the following equation holds

$$X_{\tau} \times_{\left(\prod_{i=1}^r X_{\tau_i}\right)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r Z_{\tau_i}\right) = \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} X_p \times_{\left(\prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} X_p \times X_p\right)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r Z_{\tau_i}\right).$$

Then by Lemma B.2, both sides are the union of Z_{τ} with the number of the components \mathcal{N} being the lattice index

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N} &= [(\text{im}(\overline{\Delta}_p) + \prod_{i=1}^r \text{im}(\overline{\Delta}_{\tau_i}))^{\text{sat}} : \text{im}(\overline{\Delta}_p) + \prod_{i=1}^r \text{im}(\overline{\Delta}_{\tau_i})] \\ &= [\text{im}(\text{coker } \overline{\Delta}_p \circ \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\Delta}_{\tau_i})^{\text{sat}} : \text{im}(\text{coker } \overline{\Delta}_p \circ \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\Delta}_{\tau_i})] \end{aligned}$$

with $\overline{\Delta}_p$ and $\overline{\Delta}_{\tau_i}$ defined in the following lattice commutative diagram associated to (4.6)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_{\tau} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^r N_{\tau_i} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \prod \overline{\Delta}_{\tau_i} \\ \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} N_p & \xrightarrow{\overline{\Delta}_p} & \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} N_p \times N_p. \end{array}$$

♠

4.2. Local toric models of the gluing formalism. We are now ready to study the gluing formalism under Assumption 1.2. By Proposition 2.14, the splitting of the moduli space of punctured maps \mathfrak{M}_{τ} is determined by the commutative diagram (1.4)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\text{red}}^{\text{ev}}} & \prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}} \\ \downarrow \text{ev} & & \downarrow \prod \text{ev}_{\tau_i} \\ X_{\tau} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_X} & \prod_{i=1}^r X_{\tau_i} \end{array}$$

obtained from the fiber diagram (2.5).

Let \bar{x} be a geometric point on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}}$. By [ACGS21, Prop B.2], there is a strict étale neighborhood $U_{\bar{x}}$ of $\delta_{\text{red}}^{\text{ev}}(\bar{x})$ in $\prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}$, such that there is a commutative diagram

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} U_{\bar{x}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_{Q_{\bar{x}}, L_{\bar{x}}} \\ \downarrow \text{ev}_U & & \downarrow \Pi^{\text{ev}_{\tau_i}} \\ \prod_{i=1}^r Z_{\tau_i} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{A}_{\tau_i}, \end{array}$$

with $\prod_{i=1}^r Z_{\tau_i}$ the irreducible component of $\prod_{i=1}^r X_{\tau_i}$ containing the image of \bar{x} and

$$Q_{\bar{x}} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\prod \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}, \delta_{\text{red}}^{\text{ev}}(\bar{x})}, \quad L_{\bar{x}} = \overline{\mathcal{K}}_{\prod \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}, \delta_{\text{red}}^{\text{ev}}(\bar{x})}.$$

The Artin fan $\mathcal{A}_{Q_{\bar{x}}, L_{\bar{x}}}$ associated to a pair $(Q_{\bar{x}}, L_{\bar{x}})$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{Q_{\bar{x}}, L_{\bar{x}}} &:= [S_{Q_{\bar{x}}, L_{\bar{x}}} / \text{Spec}(\mathbb{k}[Q_{\bar{x}}^*])], \\ \text{with } S_{Q_{\bar{x}}, L_{\bar{x}}} &:= \text{Spec}(\mathbb{k}[Q_{\bar{x}}]/(L_{\bar{x}})). \end{aligned}$$

The Artin fan \mathcal{A}_{τ_i} is defined as $[X'_{\tau_i}/T_{\tau_i}]$, with X'_{τ_i} defined in Proposition 4.3 and T_{τ_i} the maximal algebraic torus of X'_{τ_i} .

Taking the pullback of $\text{ev}_U : U_{\bar{x}} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r Z_{\tau_i}$ along the diagonal map, we define

$$(4.8) \quad U_{\bar{x}}^{\text{gl, ir}} := U_{\bar{x}} \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r Z_{\tau_i}} Z_{\tau} = U_{\bar{x}} \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r X'_{\tau_i}} X'_{\tau}$$

with second equality following from Proposition 4.3. The fiber product

$$(4.9) \quad U_{\bar{x}}^{\text{gl}} := U_{\bar{x}} \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}} \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}} = U_{\bar{x}} \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r X_{\tau_i}} X_{\tau}$$

is a disjoint union of \mathcal{N} schemes, with \mathcal{N} defined in (4.3), and each of the scheme is isomorphic to $U_{\bar{x}}^{\text{gl, ir}}$. We further define an Artin fan version of the glued space

$$(4.10) \quad \mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}} = \mathcal{A}_{Q_{\bar{x}}, L_{\bar{x}}} \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{A}_{\tau_i}} \prod_{i=1}^r X'_{\tau_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{gl, ev}} = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}} \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r X'_{\tau_i}} X'_{\tau}.$$

The idea is to use $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ev}}$ in the following commutative diagram to study $\delta_{\text{red}}^{\text{ev}}$

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}} & \longleftarrow & U_{\bar{x}, \text{red}}^{\text{gl}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}, \text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}} \\ & \delta_{\text{red}}^{\text{ev}} \swarrow & \vdots & \delta_U^{\text{ev}} \swarrow & \vdots & \delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ev}} \swarrow & \downarrow \\ \prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}} & \longleftarrow & U_{\bar{x}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}} & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \prod_{i=1}^r X_{\tau_i} & \longleftarrow & \prod_{i=1}^r Z_{\tau_i} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \prod_{i=1}^r Z_{\tau_i} & & \\ \downarrow & \Delta_X \swarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \prod_{i=1}^r X_{\tau_i} & \longleftarrow & \prod_{i=1}^r Z_{\tau_i} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \prod_{i=1}^r Z_{\tau_i} & & \end{array}$$

Let us refer to Definition 1.4 for the definition of a generic displacement vector \mathfrak{B} , the global decorated types $\Delta(\mathfrak{B})$ associated to \mathfrak{B} and the multiplicities $m_{[\rho]}$ of the types.

Proposition 4.4. *Let \mathfrak{B} be a generic displacement vector in $\prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} N_p$. Then in the Chow group of $U_{\bar{x}}$,*

$$(\star) \quad \delta_{U_*}^{\text{ev}}([U_{\bar{x}, \text{red}}^{\text{gl}}]) = \sum_{[\rho] \in \Delta(\mathfrak{B})} m_{[\rho]} \cdot [U_{\bar{x}}^{\rho}].$$

The closed substack $U_{\bar{x}}^{\rho}$ for $[\rho] = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$ is defined as

$$U_{\bar{x}}^{\rho} = U_{\bar{x}} \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}} \prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\rho_i, \tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}},$$

with $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\rho_i, \tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}$ the image stack of the finite morphism $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\rho_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to study the restriction of δ_U^{ev} on $U_{\bar{x}}^{\text{gl, ir}}$, which is the projection map $\delta'_U : U_{\bar{x}}^{\text{gl, ir}} \rightarrow U_{\bar{x}}$ in the fiber product (4.8).

In the commutative diagram

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} U_{\bar{x}}^{\text{gl, ir}} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{gl, ev}} & \longrightarrow & X'_{\tau} \\ \downarrow \delta'_U & & \downarrow \delta'_{\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow \\ U_{\bar{x}} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^r X'_{\tau_i} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{A}_{Q_{\bar{x}, L_{\bar{x}}}} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{A}_{\tau_i}, \end{array}$$

the map $\iota : U_{\bar{x}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}}$ is induced by the universal property of the fiber product. All squares are Cartesian in the category of fine, saturated logarithmic stacks. By Lemma 2.9, the evaluation maps $\prod \text{ev}_{\tau_i}$ and ev_U in diagram (4.7) are idealized log smooth. Then by [ACGS21, Prop.B.4], the map ι is smooth. As the diagonal map $X'_{\tau} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r X'_{\tau_i}$ is proper, both vertical maps δ'_U and $\delta'_{\mathcal{A}}$ in diagram (4.12) are proper. The local splitting map $\delta_U^{\text{ev}} : U_{\bar{x}}^{\text{gl}} \rightarrow U_{\bar{x}}$ satisfies that

$$(4.13) \quad \delta_{U^*}^{\text{ev}}[U_{\bar{x}}^{\text{gl}}] = \mathcal{N} \cdot \delta'_U[U_{\bar{x}}^{\text{gl, ir}}] = \mathcal{N} \cdot \delta'_{U^*} \phi^*[\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{gl, ev}}] = \mathcal{N} \cdot \iota^* \delta_{\mathcal{A}^*}^{\text{ev}}[\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{gl, ev}}].$$

Hence it is sufficient to study $\delta_{\mathcal{A}^*}^{\text{ev}}[\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{gl, ev}}]$.

By Lemma 2.6, the Artin fan $\mathcal{A}_{Q_{\bar{x}, L_{\bar{x}}}}$ is determined only by the global type associated to the punctured map of \bar{x} . Let $[\omega] = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)$ be the global type of $\delta_{\text{red}}^{\text{ev}}(\bar{x})$. The dual cone $Q_{\bar{x}, \mathbb{R}}^{\vee}$ is the evaluation cone $\widetilde{\omega} = \prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\omega}_i$. Then, by the definition of $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}}$ in equation (4.10)

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}} &= \mathcal{A}_{Q_{\bar{x}, L_{\bar{x}}}} \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{A}_{\tau_i}} \prod_{i=1}^r X'_{\tau_i} \\ &= [S_{Q_{\bar{x}, L_{\bar{x}}}} / \text{Spec}(\mathbb{k}[Q_{\bar{x}}^{\text{gp}}])] \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r [X'_{\tau_i} / T_{\tau_i}]} \prod_{i=1}^r X'_{\tau_i} \\ &= [S_{Q_{\bar{x}, L_{\bar{x}}}} \times \prod_{i=1}^r T_{\tau_i} / \text{Spec}(\mathbb{k}[Q_{\bar{x}}^{\text{gp}}])], \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is obtained from Corollary A.3. Let $[\rho] = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$ be a global type that admits a contraction to $[\tau] = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_r)$, we define

$$\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}, \rho} := \begin{cases} V_{\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}}}(\widetilde{\rho}), & \text{if } [\rho] \text{ is a contraction of } [\omega], \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\widetilde{\rho} = \prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\rho}_i$ is the evaluation cone associated to $[\rho]$ defined in Definition 2.5. By Corollary 2.12, the evaluation cone $\widetilde{\rho}$ is a subcone of $\widetilde{\omega}$, hence the stratum $V_{\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}}}(\widetilde{\rho})$ is well defined.

We use $N_{\tilde{\rho}_i}$ and $N_{\tilde{\tau}}$ to denote the lattices associated to evaluation cones $\tilde{\rho}_i$ and $\tilde{\tau}$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let \mathfrak{V} be a generic displacement as defined in Definition 1.4. Then, in the Chow group of $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}}$,*

$$(4.15) \quad \delta_{\mathcal{A}^*}^{\text{ev}}[\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x},\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}}] = \sum_{\rho \in \Delta(\mathfrak{V})} m'_{[\rho]} \cdot [\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}, \rho}],$$

where

$$(4.16) \quad m'_{[\rho]} = \left[\prod_{i=1}^r N_{\tau_i} : \text{im}(\bar{\Delta}) + \prod_{i=1}^r \text{im}(\overline{\text{ev}}_{\rho_i}) \right],$$

Here $\bar{\Delta} : N_{\tau} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r N_{\tau_i}$ is the lattice diagonal map. The map $\overline{\text{ev}}_{\rho_i} : N_{\tilde{\rho}_i} \rightarrow N_{\tau_i}$ is defined by the factorization of the tropical evaluation map (1.1)

$$(4.17) \quad \overline{\text{ev}}_{\rho_i} : N_{\tilde{\rho}_i} \xrightarrow{\overline{\text{ev}}_{\rho_i}} N_{\tau_i} \rightarrow \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}_i} N_p.$$

We defer the proof of the Lemma 4.5 to later.

Since ϕ is smooth, the preimage stack $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x},\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}})$ is the reduction of $U_{\bar{x}}^{\text{gl, ir}}$. Hence in the Chow group of $U_{\bar{x}}$,

$$\delta'_{U^*}[U_{\bar{x},\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ir}}] = \sum_{[\rho] \in \Delta(\mathfrak{V})} m'_{[\rho]} \cdot [\iota^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}, \rho})].$$

If $[\rho]$ is a contraction of $[\omega]$, then by the stratification of $\prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}}$ described in Remark 2.12,

$$\begin{aligned} \iota^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}, \rho}) &= U_{\bar{x}} \times_{\prod \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}} \prod_{i=1}^r V_{\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}}(\tilde{\rho}_i) \\ &= U_{\bar{x}} \times_{\prod \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}} \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\rho_i, \tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}} =: U_{\bar{x}}^{\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

If $[\rho]$ is not a contraction of $[\omega]$, then there are no geometric points on $U_{\bar{x}}$ with associated punctured maps marked by $[\rho]$. Hence

$$U_{\bar{x}}^{\rho} = \emptyset = \iota^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}, \rho}).$$

By (4.13),

$$\delta_{U^*}^{\text{ev}}[U_{\bar{x},\text{red}}^{\text{gl}}] = \mathcal{N} \cdot \delta'_{U^*}[U_{\bar{x},\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ir}}] = \sum_{[\rho] \in \Delta(\mathfrak{V})} \mathcal{N} \cdot m'_{[\rho]} \cdot [U_{\bar{x}}^{\rho}]$$

We finish the proof by showing that

$$\mathcal{N} \cdot m'_{[\rho]} = m_{[\rho]} = \left[\text{im}\left(\prod_{i=1}^r \bar{\varepsilon}_{\rho_i}\right)^{\text{sat}} : \text{im}\left(\prod_{i=1}^r \bar{\varepsilon}_{\rho_i}\right) \right]$$

as defined in Definition 1.4. There is a lattice commutative diagram

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} N_{\tilde{\tau}} & \longrightarrow & N_{\tilde{\rho}} = \prod_{i=1}^r N_{\tilde{\rho}_i} & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi \overline{\text{ev}}_{\rho_i} & & \\ N_{\tau} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\Delta}} & \prod_{i=1}^r N_{\tau_i} & \xrightarrow{\text{coker } \overline{\Delta}} & N' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi \overline{\Delta}_{\tau_i} & & \downarrow c \\ \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} N_p & \xrightarrow{\overline{\Delta}_p} & \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} N_p \times N_p & \xrightarrow{\text{coker } \overline{\Delta}_p} & \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} N_p, \end{array}$$

where the morphisms $\text{coker } \overline{\Delta}$ and $\text{coker } \overline{\Delta}_p$ are cokernel morphisms. In the upper square, the vertical maps are the evaluation maps defined in (4.17) and the upper horizontal map is the splitting morphism. In the lower left square, the vertical maps are the projections obtained by the lattice fiber products of N_{τ} and N_{τ_i} in (4.4).

The lattice index

$$\begin{aligned} m'_{[\rho]} &= \left[\prod_{i=1}^r N_{\tau_i} : \text{im}(\overline{\Delta}) + \prod_{i=1}^r \text{im}(\overline{\text{ev}}_{\rho_i}) \right] \\ &= [N' : \text{im}(\text{coker } \overline{\Delta} \circ \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\text{ev}}_{\rho_i})] \\ &= [c(N') : \text{im}(c \circ \text{coker } \overline{\Delta} \circ \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\text{ev}}_{\rho_i})]. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the fact that the map $c : N' \rightarrow \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} N_p$ is injective because $\prod \overline{\Delta}_i$ is injective.

Recall that the map $\prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\varepsilon}_{\rho_i} : \prod_{i=1}^r N_{\tilde{\rho}_i} \rightarrow \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}_i} N_p$ in (1.2) is defined as the composition

$$\prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\varepsilon}_{\rho_i} = \text{coker } \overline{\Delta}_p \circ \overline{\text{ev}}_{\rho} = \text{coker } \overline{\Delta}_p \circ \prod_{i=1}^r (\overline{\Delta}_{\tau_i} \circ \overline{\text{ev}}_{\rho_i}).$$

We then obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} m'_{[\rho]} &= [c(N') : \text{im}(c \circ \text{coker } \overline{\Delta} \circ \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\text{ev}}_{\rho_i})] \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} [\text{im}(\text{coker } \overline{\Delta}_p \circ \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\Delta}_{\tau_i}) : \text{im}(c \circ \text{coker } \overline{\Delta} \circ \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\text{ev}}_{\rho_i})] \\ &= [\text{im}(\text{coker } \overline{\Delta}_p \circ \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\Delta}_{\tau_i}) : \prod_{i=1}^r \text{im}(\overline{\varepsilon}_{\rho_i})] \end{aligned}$$

The equality (1) follows since $\text{coker } \overline{\Delta}$ is surjective and

$$c(N') = \text{im}(c \circ \text{coker } \overline{\Delta}) = \text{im}(\text{coker } \overline{\Delta}_p \circ \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\Delta}_{\tau_i}).$$

Together with the lattice index in (4.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N} \cdot m'_{[\rho]} &= [\text{im}(\text{coker } \overline{\Delta}_p \circ \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\Delta}_{\tau_i})^{\text{sat}} : \text{im}(\text{coker } \overline{\Delta}_p \circ \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\Delta}_{\tau_i})] \cdot m'_{[\rho]} \\ &= [\text{im}(\text{coker } \overline{\Delta}_p \circ \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\Delta}_{\tau_i})^{\text{sat}} : \prod_{i=1}^r \text{im}(\overline{\varepsilon}_{\rho_i})]. \end{aligned}$$

By Definition 1.4, the image of $\prod \overline{\text{ev}}_{\rho_i} \circ \text{coker } \overline{\Delta}$ is full dimension in N' . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^r \text{im}(\overline{\varepsilon}_{\rho_i})^{\text{sat}} &= \text{im}(c \circ \prod \overline{\text{ev}}_{\rho_i} \circ \text{coker } \overline{\Delta})^{\text{sat}} \\ &= (\text{im } c)^{\text{sat}} \\ &= \text{im}(c \circ \text{coker } \overline{\Delta})^{\text{sat}} \\ &= \text{im}(\text{coker } \overline{\Delta}_p \circ \prod \overline{\Delta}_{\tau_i})^{\text{sat}}. \end{aligned}$$

♠

Before we prove Lemma 4.5, let us analyze the structure of the morphism $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ev}}$ using the fine, saturated log fiber diagram (4.12). As discussed in equation (4.14),

$$\mathcal{A}_{\overline{x}}^{\text{ev}} = [S_{Q_{\overline{x}}, L_{\overline{x}}} \times \prod_{i=1}^r T_{\tau_i} / \text{Spec } \mathbb{k}[Q_{\overline{x}}^{\text{gp}}]].$$

We let Y be $S_{Q_{\overline{x}}, L_{\overline{x}}} \times \prod_{i=1}^r T_{\tau_i}$ and let $T_{Q_{\overline{x}}}$ be the algebraic torus $\text{Spec } \mathbb{k}[Q_{\overline{x}}^{\text{gp}}]$. Define

$$Y^{\text{gl}} := Y \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r X'_{\tau_i}} X'_{\tau}.$$

By Lemma A.1, the torus action of $T_{Q_{\overline{x}}}$ on Y induces an action of $T_{Q_{\overline{x}}}$ on Y^{gl} and the quotient stack $[Y^{\text{gl}}/T_{Q_{\overline{x}}}]$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{x}}^{\text{gl, ev}}$. The diagonal map

$$(4.19) \quad \delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ev}} : \mathcal{A}_{\overline{x}, \text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\overline{x}}^{\text{ev}}$$

is then induced from the quotient of the $T_{Q_{\overline{x}}}$ -equivariant map $Y_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl}} \rightarrow Y$.

Proof of Lemma 4.5. Let \mathcal{Y} be the toric variety $\text{Spec } \mathbb{k}[Q_{\overline{x}}] \times \prod_{i=1}^r T_{\tau_i}$. We claim that the reduction $Y_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl}}$ is a toric strata of the toric variety \mathcal{Y}^{gl} with fan

$$\Sigma(\mathcal{Y}) \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r \Sigma(X'_{\tau_i})} \Sigma(X'_{\tau}).$$

Lemma 4.5 then follows from the generalized Fulton-Sturmfels formula.

First, we show that $Y_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl}} = V_{\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}}}(\sigma^{\text{gl}})$ for $\sigma^{\text{gl}} = \tilde{\tau} \times \{0\}$ in $\Sigma(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}})$. By Remark 2.11

$$S_{Q_{\overline{x}}, L_{\overline{x}}} = V_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{k}[Q_{\overline{x}}]} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\tau}_i \right).$$

Hence $Y = V_{\mathcal{Y}}(\sigma_Y)$ with $\sigma_Y = \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\tau}_i \times \{0\}$ in $\Sigma(\mathcal{Y})$. By Lemma B.2, the fine, saturated fiber product $\mathcal{Y} \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r X'_{\tau_i}} X'_{\tau}$ is the disjoint union of n varieties, each of which is isomorphic to \mathcal{Y}^{gl} , with

$$(4.20) \quad n = \left[\prod_{i=1}^r N_{\tau_i} : \overline{\Delta}(N_{\tau}) + \overline{\text{ev}}_{\mathcal{Y}}(N(\mathcal{Y})) \right].$$

In fact, the index $n = 1$ since the lattice map $\overline{\text{ev}}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ defined as

$$(4.21) \quad \overline{\text{ev}}_{\mathcal{Y}} : N(\mathcal{Y}) = N_{Q_{\overline{x}}} \times \prod_{i=1}^r N_{\tau_i} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r N_{\tau_i}, \quad (a, b) \mapsto e(a) - b,$$

is surjective. Here e is the lattice map of the evaluation map $\mathcal{A}_{Q_{\overline{x}}, L_{\overline{x}}} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{A}_{\tau_i}$ defined in Corollary A.3. Hence

$$(4.22) \quad \mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}} = \mathcal{Y} \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r X'_{\tau_i}} X'_{\tau}.$$

The fiber product

$$Y^{\text{gl}} := Y \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r X'_{\tau_i}} X'_{\tau} = Y \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}}$$

is a subscheme of \mathcal{Y}^{gl} . Since $Y = V_{\mathcal{Y}}(\sigma_Y)$, the irreducible components of Y^{gl} are determined by the cones of $\Sigma(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}})$, whose images in $\Sigma(\mathcal{Y})$ under the top horizontal map in the fan fiber diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}}) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma(\mathcal{Y}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ev}_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ \Sigma_{\tau} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Delta}} & \prod_{i=1}^r \Sigma_{\tau_i}, \end{array}$$

intersects with the interior of σ_Y . We claim that $\sigma^{\text{gl}} = \tilde{\tau} \times \{0\}$ is the unique minimal cone in $\Sigma(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}})$ that satisfies the condition. Since τ is realizable with splitting types τ_i , for a point in the interior of the cone $\tilde{\tau}$, the corresponding tropical map has type τ_i after splitting. Under the projection $\tilde{\tau} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\tau}_i$, the image lies in the interior of $\prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\tau}_i$. On the other hand, from the construction of the evaluation cones $\tilde{\tau}_i$ in Definition (2.5), the cone $\tilde{\tau}$ is the maximal cone of the fiber product

$$\prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\tau}_i \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r \Sigma_{\tau_i}} \Sigma_{\tau}.$$

Hence $\sigma^{\text{gl}} = \tilde{\tau} \times \{0\}$ is the unique minimal cone. Therefore $Y_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl}} = V_{\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}}}(\sigma^{\text{gl}})$.

The diagonal map $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ev}} : \mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}, \text{red}}^{\text{gl}, \text{ev}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}}$ can now be written as the map

$$\left[V_{\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}}}(\sigma^{\text{gl}}) / T_{Q_{\bar{x}}} \right] \rightarrow \left[V_{\mathcal{Y}}(\sigma_Y) / T_{Q_{\bar{x}}} \right].$$

By Corollary 3.5, let $v \in N(\mathcal{Y})$ be a generic vector with respect to $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}}, Y_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl}})$ as defined in Definition 3.3, in the Chow group of Y , there is

$$(4.23) \quad \delta_{\mathcal{A}^*}^{\text{ev}} \left[V_{\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}}}(\sigma^{\text{gl}}) / T_{Q_{\bar{x}}} \right] = \sum_{\rho' \in \Delta^{\sigma^{\text{gl}}}(v)} [N(\mathcal{Y}) : f_N(N(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}})) + N_{\rho'}] \cdot [V_{\mathcal{Y}}(\rho') / T_{Q_{\bar{x}}}],$$

with $f_N : N(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}}) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{Y})$ the lattice projection associated to $\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and $\Delta^{\sigma^{\text{gl}}}(v)$ the set of cones in $N(\mathcal{Y})$ defined in Definition 3.3.

It is now sufficient to show that for a generic displacement vector \mathfrak{A} , there is a vector $v \in N(\mathcal{Y})$ generic with respect to $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}}, Y^{\text{gl}})$, such that the equation (4.15)

$$\delta_{\mathcal{A}^*}^{\text{ev}} [\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}, \text{red}}^{\text{gl}, \text{ev}}] = \sum_{[\rho] \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A})} m'_{[\rho]} \cdot [\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}, \rho}]$$

can be obtained from the equation (4.23) associated to v .

Note that similar to the diagram (4.18), we now have

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} N(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}}) & \xrightarrow{f_N} & N(\mathcal{Y}) & \xrightarrow{\text{coker } f_N} & N'' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ev}_{\mathcal{Y}} & & \downarrow c' \\ N_{\tau} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Delta}} & \prod_{i=1}^r N_{\tau_i} & \xrightarrow{\text{coker } \bar{\Delta}} & N' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \prod \bar{\Delta}_{\tau_i} & & \downarrow c \\ \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} N_p & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Delta}_p} & \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} N_p \times N_p & \xrightarrow{\text{coker } \bar{\Delta}_p} & \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} N_p, \end{array}$$

For $\mathfrak{V} \in \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} N_p$ a generic displacement vector, let v be an element in $N(\mathcal{Y})$ with image \mathfrak{V} in $\prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} N_p$. As $\overline{ev}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is surjective and \mathfrak{V} lies in the image of $\prod \overline{\Delta}_{\tau_i}$, such vector v always exists.

Let $q : N(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{Y})/N_{\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}}$ be the quotient map of lattices. Let $S(v)$ be the set of the cones $\rho' \in \Sigma(\mathcal{Y})$ satisfying the condition in Definition 3.3

- (1) $\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}} \subseteq \rho'$,
- (2) $\dim N_{\rho'} = \dim N(\mathcal{Y}) - \dim q(f_N(N(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}})))$,
- (3) $(f_N(N(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}}))_{\mathbb{R}} + v) \cap \rho'$ is not empty, for $f_N : N(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}}) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{Y})$ the lattice projection map associated to $\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$.

Let $\Delta_{[\omega]}(\mathfrak{V})$ be the subset of $\Delta(\mathfrak{V})$ that consists of the types $[\rho] = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$ which is a contraction of $[\omega] = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)$, for ω_i the associated types on the geometric point $\delta_{\text{red}}^{\text{ev}}(\overline{x})$ on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}}$. We first define a bijective map between sets $\Delta_{[\omega]}(\mathfrak{V})$ and $S(v)$. Then, we show that \mathfrak{V} being generic as defined in Definition 1.4 induces that v is generic with respect to $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}}, Y_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl}})$ as defined in 3.3. Finally, we show that the toric splitting formula (4.23) associated to v induces the equation (4.15).

1. *There is a bijection $C : \Delta_{[\omega]}(V) \rightarrow S(v)$.*

Let $[\rho] = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$ be a type in $\Delta_{[\omega]}(\mathfrak{V})$. Define $C([\rho])$ to be $(\prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\rho}_i, \{0\})$. We first show that C is well defined by checking $C([\rho]) \in S(v)$ with the criterion above.

- (a) As ρ_i is τ_i marked, then $\tilde{\tau}_i \subseteq \tilde{\rho}_i$ and $\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is a subcone of $C([\rho])$.
- (b) Dimension condition: By Definition 1.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim C([\rho]) &= \dim \tilde{\tau} + \sum_{p \in \mathbf{S}} \dim N_p - (|\mathbf{S}| - r + 1) \cdot \text{rank } Q_B^{\text{gp}} \\ &= \dim \tilde{\tau} + \sum_{i=1}^r \dim N_{\tau_i} - \dim N_{\tau} \end{aligned}$$

In the upper left diagram of lattices in (4.24), the lattice map $\overline{ev}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is surjective, then

$$(4.25) \quad \sum_{i=1}^r \dim N_{\tau_i} - \dim N_{\tau} = \dim N(\mathcal{Y}) - \dim N(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}}).$$

As the lattice diagonal map $\overline{\Delta} : N_{\tau} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r N_{\tau_i}$ is injective, the pullback lattice map $f_N : N(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}}) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{Y})$ is injective. Let N^{gl} be the image lattice $f_N(N(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}}))$. Then $\dim N(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}}) = \dim N^{\text{gl}}$. In addition, the lattice $N^{\text{gl}} \cap N_{\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}}$ is the lattice of $f_N(\sigma^{\text{gl}}) = f_N(\tilde{\tau} \times \{0\})$. We then obtain the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} \dim C([\rho]) &= \sum_{i=1}^r \dim N_{\tau_i} - \dim N_{\tau} + \dim \tilde{\tau} \\ &\stackrel{(4.25)}{=} \dim N(\mathcal{Y}) - \dim N(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}}) + \dim \tilde{\tau} \\ &= \dim N(\mathcal{Y}) - \dim N^{\text{gl}} + \dim f_N(\tilde{\tau} \times \{0\}) \\ &= \dim N(\mathcal{Y}) - \dim N^{\text{gl}} + \dim(N^{\text{gl}} \cap N_{\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}}) \\ &= \dim N(\mathcal{Y}) - \dim(q(N^{\text{gl}})). \end{aligned}$$

(c) Intersection condition: Let $\phi : N(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \prod_{p \in \mathbf{S}} N_p$ be

$$(4.26) \quad \phi = c \circ \text{coker } \overline{\Delta} \circ \overline{\text{ev}}_{\mathcal{Y}} = c \circ c' \circ [\text{coker } f_N]$$

with c, c' defined in diagram (4.24). As both $\overline{\text{ev}}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ and $\text{coker } \overline{\Delta}$ are surjective and c is injective, then $\ker \phi = N^{\text{gl}}$. The intersection of $N_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{gl}} + v$ with ρ' being non-empty is equivalent to their images under ϕ being non-empty, since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1} \circ \phi_{\mathbb{R}}[(N_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{gl}} + v) \cap \rho'] &= (N_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{gl}} + v) \cap (\rho' + \ker \phi_{\mathbb{R}}) \\ &= (N_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{gl}} + v) \cap \rho'. \end{aligned}$$

By Definition 1.4, the vector \mathfrak{V} lies in the cone $\text{im}(\prod_{i=1}^r \varepsilon_{\rho_i}) = \phi_{\mathbb{R}}(C([\rho]))$. As $\phi(v) = \mathfrak{V}$, the intersection $\phi_{\mathbb{R}}(N_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{gl}} + v)$ with $\phi_{\mathbb{R}}(C([\rho]))$ is not empty. Hence the intersection $N^{\text{gl}} + v$ with $C([\rho])$ is not empty, fulfilling the intersection condition. The map $\Delta_{[\omega]}(\mathfrak{V}) \rightarrow S(v)$ is well defined.

We now show that C is a bijection. For a cone $\rho' \in S(v)$, the cone $\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is a subcone of ρ' . We can write $\rho' = \prod_{i=1}^r \rho'_i \times \{0\}$ such that $\tilde{\tau}_i \subseteq \rho'_i \subseteq \tilde{\omega}_i$. By the definition of the associated evaluation cones in Definition 2.5, taking the contraction of the edges E in the graph of $\tilde{\omega}_i$, with $l_E = 0$ on the image of ρ'_i in $\tilde{\omega}_i$, we obtain the unique global type ρ_i with evaluation cone ρ'_i . Let $[\rho] = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$. The dimension and intersection conditions then show that $[\rho] \in \Delta_{[\omega]}(\mathfrak{V})$ and $C([\rho]) = \rho'$.

2. *The vector v is generic with respect to $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}}, Y_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl}})$ as defined in Definition 3.3.*

We need to show for any cone $\rho \in \Delta(\mathfrak{V})$, under the lattice quotient map $q : N(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{Y})/N_{\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}}$, the intersection $q_{\mathbb{R}}((N_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{gl}} + v) \cap C([\rho]))$ lies in the interior of the cone $q_{\mathbb{R}}(C([\rho]))$. As $\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is a face of $C([\rho])$, it is sufficient to show that $[N_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{gl}} + v] \cap C([\rho])$ lies in the interior of $C([\rho])$. By Definition 1.4, the map $\prod_{i=1}^r \varepsilon_{\rho_i}$ is injective. Hence $\phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ is injective on $C([\rho])$ with ϕ in (4.26). In addition, the vector \mathfrak{V} lies in the interior of the cone $\text{im}(\prod_{i=1}^r \varepsilon_{\rho_i}) = \phi_{\mathbb{R}}(C([\rho]))$. The intersection

$$(v + \ker \phi_{\mathbb{R}}) \cap C([\rho]) = (v + f_N(N(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}})_{\mathbb{R}})) \cap C([\rho])$$

lies in the interior of $C([\rho])$. Since $\ker \phi_{\mathbb{R}} = f_{N, \mathbb{R}}(N^{\text{gl}})$, we finish the proof.

3. *For $[\rho] \in \Delta_{[\omega]}(\mathfrak{V})$, the multiplicity $m'_{[\rho]} = [N(\mathcal{Y}) : N^{\text{gl}} + N_{C([\rho])}]$.*

Recall that by Lemma 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned} m'_{[\rho]} &= \left[\prod_{i=1}^r N_{\tau_i} : \text{im}(\overline{\Delta}) + \prod_{i=1}^r \text{im}(\overline{\text{ev}}_{\rho_i}) \right] \\ &= [N' : \text{im}(\overline{\text{ev}}_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ \text{coker } \overline{\Delta})]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the map

$$\overline{\text{ev}}_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ \text{coker } \overline{\Delta} : N(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow N'$$

is surjective with kernel N^{gl} . The preimage of sublattice $\text{im}(\overline{\text{ev}}_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ \text{coker } \overline{\Delta})$ is $N^{\text{gl}} + N_{C([\rho])}$. By the first isomorphism theorem of group homomorphism, we obtain that

$$[N(\mathcal{Y}) : N^{\text{gl}} + N_{C([\rho])}] = [N' : \text{im}(\overline{\text{ev}}_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ \text{coker } \overline{\Delta})] = m'_{[\rho]}.$$

4. Obtain the equation (4.15) from the equation (4.23).

By equation (4.23),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_{\mathcal{A}^*}^{\text{ev}} [V_{\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}}}(\sigma^{\text{gl}})/T_{Q_{\bar{x}}}] &= \sum_{\rho' \in \Delta^{\text{gl}}(v)} [N(\mathcal{Y}) : N^{\text{gl}} + N_{\rho'}] \cdot [V_{\mathcal{Y}}(\rho')/T_{Q_{\bar{x}}}] \\
 &= \sum_{[\rho] \in \Delta_{[\omega]}(\mathfrak{V})} m'_{[\rho]} \cdot [V_{\mathcal{Y}}(C([\rho]))/T_{Q_{\bar{x}}}] \\
 &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \sum_{[\rho] \in \Delta_{[\omega]}(\mathfrak{V})} m'_{[\rho]} \cdot [V_{\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}}}(\tilde{\rho})] \\
 &= \sum_{[\rho] \in \Delta(\mathfrak{V})} m'_{[\rho]} \cdot [\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}, \rho}],
 \end{aligned}$$

with (1) obtained by the fact that $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}} = [\mathcal{Y}/T_{Q_{\bar{x}}}]$.



Remark 4.6. Let \mathfrak{V} be a vector in $\prod_{i=1}^r N_{\tau_i}$ and let v be any vector in $N(\mathcal{Y})$ satisfying that $\bar{e}_{\mathcal{Y}}(v) = v$. As the diagonal map (4.19)

$$\delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ev}} : \mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}, \text{red}}^{\text{gl}, \text{ev}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}}$$

is induced from the quotient of the $T_{Q_{\bar{x}}}$ -equivariant morphism $Y_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl}} \rightarrow Y$ of toric stratas, with associated toric morphism $\mathcal{Y}^{\text{gl}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, by Corollary 3.5, there is a closed substack $K_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and a projection map $\alpha_{\mathcal{A}} : K_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that as algebraic cycles in $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}}$

$$[\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(1)] = [\delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{ev}}(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}, \text{red}}^{\text{gl}, \text{ev}})], \quad [\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(0)] = \sum_{[\rho] \in \Delta(\mathfrak{V})} \frac{m'_{[\rho]}}{\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{x}}} \cdot [\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}, \rho}],$$

with

$$\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{x}} = [\text{im}(q \circ f_N)^{\text{sat}} : \text{im}(q \circ f_N)]$$

under the quotient map $q : N(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{Y})/N_{\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}}$. Suppose the geometric point \bar{x}' is a specialization of \bar{x} , then $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}'}^{\text{ev}}$ is an open substack of $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}}$. The map $\delta_{\mathcal{A}, \bar{x}'}^{\text{ev}}$ is the open restriction of $\delta_{\mathcal{A}, \bar{x}}^{\text{ev}}$, hence the index $\mathfrak{J}_{\bar{x}'} = \mathfrak{J}_{\bar{x}}$. As $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl}, \text{ev}}$ is irreducible and reduced, the index is the same for all geometric points. We use \mathfrak{J} to denote this index.

Let $K_{U_{\bar{x}}}$ be the closed substack of $U_{\bar{x}} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ defined as

$$K_{U_{\bar{x}}} = (\iota \times \text{id})^{-1}(K_{\mathcal{A}}),$$

for $\iota : U_{\bar{x}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}}$ the smooth map defined in (4.12) and $\iota \times \text{id}$ is the product map from $U_{\bar{x}} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ to $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}^{\text{ev}} \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $\alpha_U : K_{U_{\bar{x}}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the projection map. As the diagram (4.12) is fine, saturated Cartesian, as an algebraic cycle in $U_{\bar{x}}$,

$$(4.27) \quad [\alpha_U^{-1}(1)] = [\delta_U^{\text{ev}}(U_{\bar{x}, \text{red}}^{\text{gl}})], \quad [\alpha_U^{-1}(0)] = \sum_{[\rho] \in \Delta(\mathfrak{V})} \frac{m_{[\rho]}}{\mathfrak{J}} \cdot [U_{\bar{x}}^{\rho}].$$

The closed substack $K_{U_{\bar{x}}}$ induces the local splitting equation (\star).

4.3. **Gluing of the local models.** Now, we are ready to prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. For \bar{x} a geometric point on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}}$, let

$$\psi_{\bar{x}} : U_{\bar{x}} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}.$$

be a strict étale neighborhood of $\delta_{\text{red}}^{\text{ev}}(\bar{x})$ in $\prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}$ satisfying the commutative diagram (4.7). Let $U_{\bar{x}}^{\text{gl}}$ be the étale neighborhood of \bar{x} defined in (4.9)

$$U_{\bar{x}}^{\text{gl}} = U_{\bar{x}} \times_{\prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}} \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}}.$$

With \bar{x} going over the geometric points of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}}$, we obtain an étale cover $\bigsqcup_{\bar{x}} U_{\bar{x}}$ of $\prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}}$ and an étale cover $\bigsqcup_{\bar{x}} U_{\bar{x}}^{\text{gl}}$ of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}}$.

Let \mathfrak{B} be a generic displacement vector defined in Definition 1.4. By Proposition 4.4 and Remark 4.6, there are closed substacks $K_{U_{\bar{x}}}$ of $U_{\bar{x}} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ that induces the proper pushforward map

$$(4.28) \quad \delta_{U_*}^{\text{ev}}[U_{\bar{x}, \text{red}}^{\text{gl}}] = \sum_{[\rho] \in \Delta(\mathfrak{B})} m_{[\rho]} \cdot [U_{\bar{x}}^{\rho}].$$

Define K_{τ} to be the image stack of $\bigsqcup K_{U_{\bar{x}}}$ under the étale cover

$$\bigsqcup U_{\bar{x}} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}} \times \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Let α be the projection map $\alpha : K_{\tau} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Then, as algebraic cycles in $\prod_{i=1}^r \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha^{-1}(1)] &= \left[\bigsqcup_{\bar{x}} \psi_{\bar{x}} \circ \delta_U^{\text{ev}}(U_{\bar{x}, \text{red}}^{\text{gl}}) \right] = [\delta_{\text{red}}^{\text{ev}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}})] \\ [\alpha^{-1}(0)] &= \sum_{[\rho] \in \Delta(\mathfrak{B})} \frac{m_{[\rho]}}{\mathfrak{J}} \cdot \left[\bigsqcup_{\bar{x}} \psi_{\bar{x}}(U_{\bar{x}}^{\rho}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{[\rho] \in \Delta(\mathfrak{B})} \frac{m_{[\rho]}}{\mathfrak{J}} \cdot \left[\prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{j}_{\rho_i, \tau_i}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\rho_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{j}_{\rho_i, \tau_i} : \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\rho_i}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}}$ is the finite map induced from the contraction morphism from ρ_i to τ_i for each $i = 1, \dots, r$.

As the degree of the map $\delta_{\text{red}}^{\text{ev}}$ remains unchanged under the étale pullback to δ_U^{ev} , we obtain the equation

$$(4.29) \quad \delta_{\text{red}*}^{\text{ev}}[\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}}] = \mathfrak{J} \cdot [\delta_{\text{red}*}^{\text{ev}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}})] = \sum_{[\rho] \in \Delta(\mathfrak{B})} m_{[\rho]} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r [\tilde{j}_{\rho_i, \tau_i}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\rho_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}})].$$

By Proposition 2.14, with $\gamma_i : \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}}$ the map obtained from the evaluation enhanced log structure and $\beta_i : \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}}$ the canonical morphism from the

reduced induced stack $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}}$ to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta'_*[\mathfrak{M}_{\tau}^{\text{ev}}] &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \gamma_i \circ \beta_i \right)_* \delta_{\text{red}*}^{\text{ev}}[\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\text{red}}^{\text{gl, ev}}] \\ &= \sum_{[\rho] \in \Delta(\mathfrak{W})} m_{[\rho]} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r \gamma_i \beta_{i*} [\widetilde{j}_{\rho_i, \tau_i}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{\rho_i, \text{red}}^{\text{ev}})] \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \sum_{[\rho] \in \Delta(\mathfrak{W})} m_{[\rho]} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r [j_{\rho_i, \tau_i}(\mathfrak{M}_{\rho_i}^{\text{ev}})], \end{aligned}$$

with $j_{\rho_i, \tau_i} : \mathfrak{M}_{\rho_i}^{\text{ev}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\tau_i}^{\text{ev}}$ the corresponding map from the contraction morphism $\rho_i \rightarrow \tau_i$ for the moduli spaces without evaluation log structure. The equality (1) follows from the fact that $\mathfrak{M}_{\rho_i}^{\text{ev}}$ is reduced over B by [ACGS21, Prop 3.28].

Following Theorem 2.3, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.5. ♠

APPENDIX A. LIFT OF ARTIN CONES

Recall that for an algebraic group G and a scheme X with a G -action, the quotient stack $[X/G]$ is the groupoid fibered over the category of schemes, such that

(1) An object over a scheme B is a diagram $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} E \xrightarrow{h} X \\ \downarrow \pi \\ B, \end{array} \right\}$ where E is a principal

G -bundle over B and $f : E \rightarrow X$ is a G -equivariant map.

(2) A morphism from an object $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} E' \xrightarrow{h'} X \\ \downarrow \pi \\ B', \end{array} \right\}$ over B' to an object $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} E \xrightarrow{h} X \\ \downarrow \pi \\ B, \end{array} \right\}$

over B is a pair (g, g') with $g : B' \rightarrow B$ and $g' : E' \rightarrow E \times_B B'$ such that g' is an isomorphism and $h' = h \circ g'$.

Lemma A.1. *Let G be an algebraic group and X be a scheme with a G -action $\gamma : G \times X \rightarrow X$. Let Y and Z be algebraic stacks and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ a representable morphism. Assume there is a G -invariant morphism $f' : X \rightarrow Y$, we then have a map $f : [X/G] \rightarrow Y$ and a 2-isomorphism*

$$[X \times_Y Z/G] \rightarrow [X/G] \times_Y Z,$$

with the action of G on $X \times_Y Z$ is induced by the action on X .

Proof. As g is representable, the fiber product $X \times_Y Z$ is a scheme. By [Wan11, Lemma 2.3.2], there is a 2-Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [X \times_Y Z/G] & \longrightarrow & [Z/G] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [X/G] & \longrightarrow & [Y/G], \end{array}$$

where $[Z/G]$ and $[Y/G]$ are the quotient stacks induced by the trivial G -actions. As $[Z/G]$ is 2-isomorphic to the product stack $Z \times BG$ and $[Y/G]$ is 2-isomorphic to

$Y \times BG$, we obtain that

$$[Z/G] = [Y/G] \times_Y Z.$$

Hence

$$[X \times_Y Z/G] = [X/G] \times_{[Y/G]} [Z/G] = [X/G] \times_Y Z.$$

♠

Let P be a toric monoid and $S_P := \text{Spec}(\mathbb{k}[P])$ be the toric variety associated to P . Let $T_P := \text{Spec}(\mathbb{k}[P^{\text{gp}}])$ be the corresponding algebraic torus. Let $\mathcal{A}_P := [S_P/T_P]$ to be the Artin cone of P .

For a monoid morphism $m : P \rightarrow Q$, we let $f : S_Q \rightarrow S_P$ be the associated toric morphism, $f_T : T_Q \rightarrow T_P$ be the algebraic torus morphism and $f_A : \mathcal{A}_Q \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_P$ the morphism of Artin cones.

Proposition A.2. *Let $[S_Q \times T_P/T_Q]$ be the toric stack obtained by the torus action of T_Q on $S_Q \times T_P$ associated to the monoid morphism*

$$P \oplus Q \rightarrow Q, \quad (p, q) \mapsto m(p) + q$$

Then there is a Cartesian diagram of Artin stacks

$$(A.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} [S_Q \times T_P/T_Q] & \xrightarrow{g} & S_P \\ \downarrow \eta & & \downarrow \chi \\ \mathcal{A}_Q & \xrightarrow{f_A} & \mathcal{A}_P, \end{array}$$

where $\chi : S_P \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_P$ is the quotient map, the morphism η is associated to T_Q -equivariant map $S_Q \times T_P \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} S_Q$ and g is induced from the $\tilde{\eta} : S_Q \times T_P \rightarrow S_P$ associated to the monoid morphism

$$P \rightarrow Q \oplus P^{\text{gp}}, \quad p \mapsto (m(p), -p),$$

invariant under the T_Q -action on $S_Q \times T_P$.

Proof. We first check that the diagram (A.1) is 2-commutative. Let B be a scheme and

$$A \text{ be an object in } [S_Q \times T_P/T_Q](B). \text{ Then } f_A \circ \eta(B) \text{ sends } A = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} E \xrightarrow{h} S_Q \times T_P \\ \downarrow \\ B, \end{array} \right\}$$

to an object in $\mathcal{A}_P(B)$ in the following way:

$$(A.2) \quad A \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{c} E \xrightarrow{h} S_Q \times T_P \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} S_Q \\ \downarrow \\ B \end{array} \right\} \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{c} E \times^{T_Q} T_P \xrightarrow{\phi} S_Q \times^{T_Q} T_P \xrightarrow{\iota} S_P \\ \downarrow \\ B. \end{array} \right\}$$

where ϕ is the morphism induced from the T_Q -equivariant map

$$E \times T_P \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}_1 \circ h, \text{id})} S_Q \times T_P,$$

with T_Q acts on the first factors of $E \times T_P$ and $S_Q \times T_P$ by the canonical actions and acts on the second factors by taking the composition of the map f_T with the inverse action of T_P on itself. The map ι is induced from the T_Q -invariant map $(\text{pr}_2) \cdot (f \circ \text{pr}_1)$ from $S_Q \times T_P$ to S_P .

On the other hand, $\chi \circ g(B)$ is the map defined as

$$(A.3) \quad A = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} E \xrightarrow{h} S_Q \times T_P \\ \downarrow \pi \\ B, \end{array} \right\} \mapsto \left\{ B \xrightarrow{l} S_P \right\} \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{c} B \times T_P \xrightarrow{l'} S_P \\ \downarrow \\ B \end{array} \right\}$$

where l is defined by

$$l : B \xrightarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{h} S_Q \times T_P \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta}} S_P$$

with s a section of π and l' defined by $(\text{pr}_2)^{-1} \cdot (l \circ \text{pr}_1)$. Suppose s' is another section, as E is a principal T_Q bundle over B , there is $q_B : B \rightarrow T_Q$ such that $s' = q_B \cdot s$. The morphism l is independent of s since

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\eta} \circ h \circ s' \\ &= \tilde{\eta} \circ h \circ (q_B \cdot s) = \tilde{\eta}(q_B \cdot (h \circ s)) \\ &= f(q_B^{-1}) \cdot \text{pr}_2(h \circ s) \cdot f(q_B) \cdot (f \circ \text{pr}_1)(h \circ s) \\ &= \text{pr}_2 \cdot (f \circ \text{pr}_1)(h \circ s) = \tilde{\eta} \circ h \circ s. \end{aligned}$$

Construct the natural transformation $\alpha : \chi \circ g(B) \rightarrow f_A \circ \eta(B)$ in the following way:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} B \times T_P & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & E \times^{T_Q} T_P \rightarrow S_P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \xrightarrow{=} & B, \end{array} \right\}$$

where γ is induced by the map

$$B \times T_P \xrightarrow{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} E \times T_P \times T_P \xrightarrow{(\text{id}, \text{mult})} E \times T_P,$$

with $\gamma_1 : B \rightarrow E \times T_P$ being $(s, [\text{pr}_2(h \circ s)]^{-1})$ for s any section of $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ and $\gamma_2 : T_P \rightarrow T_P$ the identity map. The map γ is independent of the section s . Suppose s' is another section $s' = q_B \cdot s$. As h is T_Q equivariant, we have

$$[\text{pr}_2(h \circ s')]^{-1} = [\text{pr}_2(q_B \cdot h(s))]^{-1} = q_B^{-1} \cdot [\text{pr}_2(h \circ s)]^{-1}.$$

Under the quotient map $E \times T_P \rightarrow E \times^{T_Q} T_P$, the elements $(s, [\text{pr}_2(h \circ s)]^{-1})$ and $(q_B \cdot s, q_B^{-1} \cdot [\text{pr}_2(h \circ s)]^{-1})$ are being identified. Taking the composition of γ with the map $E \times^{T_Q} T_P \rightarrow S_P$ defined in Lemma A.2, we obtain the map

$$B \times T_P \xrightarrow{\gamma} E \times^{T_Q} T_P \xrightarrow{\phi} S_Q \times^{T_Q} T_P \xrightarrow{l} S_P$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \iota \circ \phi \circ \gamma \\ &= \iota \circ \phi \circ (s(\text{pr}_1), [\text{pr}_2(h \circ s(\text{pr}_1))]^{-1} \cdot \text{pr}_2) \\ &= \iota(\text{pr}_1 \circ h \circ s \circ \text{pr}_1, [\text{pr}_2(h \circ s(\text{pr}_1))]^{-1} \cdot \text{pr}_2) = l'. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the natural transformation is well defined.

On the other hand, there is a natural transformation α' from $f_A \circ \eta(B)$ to $\chi \circ g(B)$ defined by $\gamma' : E \times^{T_Q} T_P \rightarrow B \times T_P$ associated to the projection $\pi : E \rightarrow B$. We obtain immediately that α' is the inverse natural transformation of α . Hence, α is a natural isomorphism.

Next, we show that $[S_Q \times T_P/T_Q]$ satisfies the universal property of fiber products. Suppose we are given a 2-commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{g'} & S_P \\ \downarrow \eta' & & \downarrow \chi \\ \mathcal{A}_Q & \xrightarrow{f_A} & \mathcal{A}_P. \end{array}$$

Let A be an object in $\mathcal{M}(B)$ and let

$$g'(A) = \left\{ B \xrightarrow{l_A} S_P \right\}, \quad \eta'(A) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} E \xrightarrow{n_A} S_Q \\ \downarrow \\ B \end{array} \right\}.$$

Define $\phi(A)$ in $[S_Q \times T_P/T_Q](B)$ to be the object

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} E \xrightarrow{m_A} S_Q \times T_P \\ \downarrow \pi \\ B \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\text{pr}_1 \circ m_A = n_A$ and $\text{pr}_2 \circ m_A$ is the unique map from E to T_P satisfying that

$$f \circ n_A = (\text{pr}_2 \circ m_A) \cdot (l_A \circ \pi).$$

Define the morphism $\phi(A \xrightarrow{\psi} A')$ in the category $[S_Q \times T_P/T_Q](B)$ to be the map sending

$$\{\psi : A \rightarrow A'\} \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\psi_A} & E \xrightarrow{m_A} S_Q \times T_P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & = & B \end{array} \right\},$$

with ψ_A being the map η_A defined in $\eta'(A \rightarrow A') : \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\eta_A} & E \xrightarrow{n_A} S_Q \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & = & B \end{array} \right\}$. The natural

transformation ϕ satisfies the 2-isomorphisms $g' \cong g \circ \phi$ and $\eta' \cong \eta \circ \phi$.

Suppose $\phi' : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [S_Q \times T_P/T_Q]$ is another natural transformation, together with 2-isomorphisms $g' \cong g \circ \phi'$ and $\eta' \cong \eta \circ \phi'$. For an object $A \in \mathcal{M}(B)$, there is an isomorphism

$$\eta \circ \phi(A) \cong \eta \circ \phi'(A) : \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} E' & \xrightarrow{\psi'} & E \rightarrow S_Q \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & = & B \end{array} \right\}.$$

We define a 2-morphism from ϕ to ϕ' by taking

$$\phi(A) \rightarrow \phi'(A) : \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} E' & \xrightarrow{\psi'} & E \xrightarrow{h} S_Q \times T_P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & = & B \end{array} \right\}.$$

It is well defined since $g \circ \phi(A) \cong g \circ \phi'(A)$, the image $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} E' \xrightarrow{\psi'} S_Q \times T_P \\ \downarrow \\ B \end{array} \right\}$ under the above morphism is isomorphic to $\phi'(A)$. The 2-morphism is a 2-isomorphism following

the isomorphism between $\eta \circ \phi(A)$ and $\eta \circ \phi'(A)$. Therefore, the natural transformation ϕ is unique up to isomorphism. ♠

Proposition A.2 can be generalized to toric strata of affine toric varieties.

For a toric monoid P and an ideal K of P , we define $S_{P,K} := \text{Spec}(\mathbb{k}[P]/(K))$ and $\mathcal{A}_{P,K} := [S_{P,K}/T_P]$.

Corollary A.3. *Let $m : P \rightarrow Q$ be a morphism of toric monoids. Let K be an ideal of P and L be an ideal of Q such that $m(K) \subseteq L$. Then there is a Cartesian diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [S_{Q,L} \times T_P/T_Q] & \xrightarrow{g} & S_{P,K} \\ \downarrow \eta & & \downarrow \chi \\ \mathcal{A}_{Q,L} & \xrightarrow{f_m} & \mathcal{A}_{P,K}, \end{array}$$

where χ is the canonical quotient map and f_m is the map of toric stacks associated to the monoid morphism m . The morphism η is induced from the T_Q -equivariant map $S_{Q,L} \times T_P$ to $S_{Q,L}$ by taking the projection and g is induced from the $\tilde{\eta} : S_{Q,L} \times T_P \rightarrow S_{P,K}$ associated to the monoid morphism

$$P \rightarrow Q \oplus P^{\text{gp}}, \quad p \mapsto (m(p), -p),$$

invariant under the T_Q -action on $S_{Q,L} \times T_P$.

Proof. Since $S_{P,K} = \mathcal{A}_{P,K} \times_{\mathcal{A}_P} S_P$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{P,K} \times_{\mathcal{A}_{Q,L}} S_{P,K} &= \mathcal{A}_{P,K} \times_{\mathcal{A}_{Q,L}} (\mathcal{A}_{P,K} \times_{\mathcal{A}_P} S_P) \\ &= \mathcal{A}_{Q,L} \times_{\mathcal{A}_P} S_P. \end{aligned}$$

The map $\mathcal{A}_{Q,L} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_P$ factors through the closed embedding $\mathcal{A}_{Q,L} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_Q$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{A}_{Q,L} \times_{\mathcal{A}_P} S_P & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A}_Q \times_{\mathcal{A}_P} S_P & \rightarrow & S_P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}_{Q,L} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_Q & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_P \end{array}$$

where the right square and the large rectangle are Cartesian. Hence, the left square is also Cartesian. By Proposition A.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{Q,L} \times_{\mathcal{A}_P} S_P &= \mathcal{A}_{Q,L} \times_{\mathcal{A}_Q} (\mathcal{A}_Q \times_{\mathcal{A}_P} S_P) \\ &= \mathcal{A}_{Q,L} \times_{\mathcal{A}_Q} [S_Q \times T_P/T_Q]. \end{aligned}$$

The map $[S_Q \times T_P/T_Q] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_Q$ is induced by the T_Q -invariant map $S_Q \times T_P \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} S_Q \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_Q$. By Lemma A.1, we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{Q,L} \times_{\mathcal{A}_P} S_P &= \mathcal{A}_{Q,L} \times_{\mathcal{A}_Q} [S_Q \times T_P/T_Q] \\ &= [(S_Q \times T_P) \times_{\mathcal{A}_Q} \mathcal{A}_{Q,L}/T_Q] \\ &= [(S_Q \times_{\mathcal{A}_Q} \mathcal{A}_{Q,L}) \times T_P/T_Q] = [S_{Q,L} \times T_P/T_Q]. \end{aligned}$$

♠

APPENDIX B. LOGARITHMIC FIBER PRODUCT OF TORIC VARIETIES

In this section, we study the logarithmic fine, saturated fiber products of toric varieties. Unlike the fiber product in the category of schemes, the log fine, saturated fiber products of toric varieties are totally determined by the fiber product of the fans.

Definition B.1. Let $\Sigma(X) \rightarrow \Sigma(Y)$ and $\Sigma(Z) \rightarrow \Sigma(Y)$ be morphisms of fans. Define the *fiber product of fans* $\Sigma(X) \times_{\Sigma(Y)} \Sigma(Z)$ to be the fan $(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{N})$ with

- (1) \tilde{N} being the fiber product of lattices $N(X) \times_{N(Y)} N(Z)$,
- (2) $\tilde{\Sigma}$ consisting of the cones $\sigma_X \times_{\sigma_Y} \sigma_Z$ with $\sigma_X \in \Sigma(X)$, $\sigma_Y \in \Sigma(Y)$ and $\sigma_Z \in \Sigma(Z)$.

Lemma B.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ be toric morphisms of toric varieties. Then, the fine, saturated fiber product $X \times_Y^{\text{fs}} Z$ is a disjoint union of toric varieties and each of them is isomorphic to the toric variety of the fiber product of fans $\Sigma(X) \times_{\Sigma(Y)} \Sigma(Z)$. The number of the components is the lattice index $[(\text{im } \alpha)^{\text{sat}} : \text{im } \alpha]$ under the map*

$$(B.1) \quad \alpha : N(X) \times N(Z) \rightarrow N(Y), \quad (x, z) \mapsto f_N(x) - g_N(z)$$

with f_N and g_N the lattice maps associated to the toric morphisms f and g .

In particular, if the lattice map α has full dimensional image in $N(Y)$, then the lattice index is $[N(Y) : \text{im } \alpha]$.

Proof. The fine, saturated logarithmic fiber product of the toric varieties is discussed in [Mol21, Rmk 2.2.5] in detail. By [Mol21], the fine, saturated log fiber product $X \times_Y^{\text{fs}} Z$ is a disjoint union of schemes, each of which is isomorphic to the toric variety of the fiber product of fans $\Sigma(X) \times_{\Sigma(Y)} \Sigma(Z)$. The reason of the fiber products containing several components is due to the fact that the torsion subgroup Tor of the fibered sum of monoids $M(X) \oplus_{M(Y)} M(Z)$ is nontrivial, with $M(X)$, $M(Y)$ and $M(Z)$ the dual monoid of $N(X)$, $N(Y)$ and $N(Z)$. As we are working over a field of characteristic 0, the number of the components is the order of the group Tor .

Note that we have an exact sequences of monoids

$$(B.2) \quad M(Y) \xrightarrow{\phi} M(X) \oplus M(Z) \xrightarrow{\psi'} M(X) \oplus_{M(Y)} M(Z) \rightarrow 0,$$

with $\phi = (f_N^*, -g_N^*)$ the dual of α and ψ' the cokernel map of ϕ . Let

$$\psi : M(X) \oplus M(Z) \xrightarrow{\psi'} M(X) \oplus_{M(Y)} M(Z) \xrightarrow{q} M(X) \oplus_{M(Y)} M(Z) / \text{Tor}.$$

Since ψ' is surjective, the torsion group satisfies

$$\text{Tor} = \ker q = \psi'(\ker \psi) \cong \ker \psi / \ker \psi' = \ker \psi / \text{im } \phi.$$

As $\text{im } \phi$ is a full dimensional sublattice of $\ker \psi$, the order of the torsion group Tor is the same as the the lattice index $[(\text{im } \phi)^* : (\ker \psi)^*]$.

We want to show that under the inclusion of the lattice

$$\alpha' : (\text{im } \phi)^* \rightarrow N(Y),$$

obtained by taking the dual of $M(Y) \rightarrow \text{im } \phi$. The image of $(\text{im } \phi)^*$ is $(\text{im } \alpha)^{\text{sat}}$, and the image of $(\ker \psi)^*$ is $\text{im } \alpha$.

Since $\text{im } \phi$ is free abelian, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\text{im } \phi)^* \xrightarrow{\alpha'} N(Y) \rightarrow (\ker \phi)^* \rightarrow 0.$$

The cokernel $(\ker \phi)^*$ is torsion free, hence the image $\text{im } \alpha'$ is a saturated sublattice of $N(Y)$. On the other hand, there is a short exact sequence of lattices

$$0 \rightarrow N(X) \times_{N(Y)} N(Z) \xrightarrow{\psi^*} N(X) \times N(Z) \xrightarrow{\alpha} N(Y).$$

We obtain that $\text{im } \alpha \cong \text{coker } \psi^* = (\ker \psi)^*$, with the second equality following the dual of the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\text{im } \psi)^* \xrightarrow{\psi^*} N(Y) \rightarrow (\ker \psi)^* \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, the lattice quotient

$$[(\text{im } \phi)^* : (\ker \psi)^*] = [(\text{im } \alpha)^{\text{sat}} : \text{im } \alpha],$$

which equals to the order of the torsion group Tor .



REFERENCE

- [ACP15] Dan Abramovich, Lucia Caporaso, and Sam Payne. “The tropicalization of the moduli space of curves”. eng. *Annales scientifiques de l’École normale supérieure* 48.4 (2015), pp. 765–809. ISSN: 1873-2151.
- [AC14] Dan Abramovich and Qile Chen. “Stable logarithmic maps to Deligne–Faltings pairs II”. *Asian J. Math.* 18.3 (July 2014), pp. 465–488.
- [ACGS17] Dan Abramovich, Qile Chen, Mark Gross, and Bernd Siebert. “Decomposition of degenerate Gromov–Witten invariants” (2017). arXiv: [1709.09864 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [ACGS20] Dan Abramovich, Qile Chen, Mark Gross, and Bernd Siebert. *Decomposition of degenerate Gromov–Witten invariants*. 2020. arXiv: [1709.09864 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [ACGS21] Dan Abramovich, Qile Chen, Mark Gross, and Bernd Siebert. “Punctured logarithmic maps” (2021). arXiv: [2009.07720 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [AF11] Dan Abramovich and Barbara Fantechi. “Orbifold techniques in degeneration formulas”. *Annali Scuola Normale Superiore - Classe di Scienze* 16 (Mar. 2011).
- [AMW14] Dan Abramovich, Steffen Marcus, and Jonathan Wise. “Comparison theorems for Gromov–Witten invariants of smooth pairs and of degenerations”. en. *Annales de l’Institut Fourier* 64.4 (2014), pp. 1611–1667.
- [Che10] Qile Chen. “The degeneration formula for logarithmic expanded degenerations”. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry* 23 (2010), pp. 341–392.
- [Che14] Qile Chen. “Stable logarithmic maps to Deligne–Faltings pairs I”. eng. *Annals of mathematics* 180.2 (2014), pp. 455–521. ISSN: 0003-486X.
- [FS97] W. Fulton and B. Sturmfels. “Intersection Theory on Toric Varieties”. *Topology* 36.2 (1997), pp. 335–353.

- [Ful98] William Fulton. *Intersection Theory*. second. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. Springer-Verlag New York, 1998. ISBN: 978-1-4612-1700-8.
- [GS13] M. Gross and B. Siebert. “Logarithmic Gromov-Witten Invariants”. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* 26.2 (2013), pp. 451–510.
- [IP00] Eleny-Nicoleta Ionel and Thomas H. Parker. “The symplectic sum formula for Gromov–Witten invariants”. *Annals of Mathematics* 159 (2000), pp. 935–1025.
- [Kim10] Bumsig Kim. “Logarithmic stable maps”. *New Developments in Algebraic Geometry, Integrable Systems and Mirror Symmetry (RIMS, Kyoto, 2008)*. Tokyo, Japan: Mathematical Society of Japan, 2010, pp. 167–200.
- [KLR18] Bumsig Kim, Hyenho Lho, and Helge Ruddat. “The degeneration formula for stable log maps” (2018). arXiv: [1803.04210 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [LR01] An-Min Li and Yongbin Ruan. “Symplectic surgery and Gromov-Witten invariants of Calabi-Yau 3-folds”. *Inventiones mathematicae* 145 (July 2001).
- [Li01] Jun Li. “Stable Morphisms to Singular Schemes and Relative Stable Morphisms”. *J. Differential Geom.* 57.3 (Mar. 2001), pp. 509–578.
- [Li02] Jun Li. “A Degeneration Formula of GW-Invariants”. *J. Differential Geom.* 60.2 (Feb. 2002), pp. 199–293.
- [Mol21] Sam Molcho. “Universal stacky semistable reduction”. eng. *Israel journal of mathematics* (2021). ISSN: 0021-2172.
- [Ogu18] Arthur Ogu. *Lectures on Logarithmic Algebraic Geometry*. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics; 178. Cambridge University Press, 2018. ISBN: 978-1-107-18773-3.
- [Par17a] Brett Parker. “Three Dimensional Tropical Correspondence Formula”. eng. *Communications in mathematical physics* 353.2 (2017), pp. 791–819. ISSN: 0010-3616.
- [Par17b] Brett Parker. “Tropical gluing formulae for Gromov-Witten invariants” (2017). arXiv: [1703.05433 \[math.SG\]](#).
- [Ran20] Dhruv Ranganathan. “Logarithmic Gromov-Witten theory with expansions” (2020). arXiv: [1903.09006 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [Uli17] Martin Ulirsch. “Functorial tropicalization of logarithmic schemes: the case of constant coefficients”. eng. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 114.6 (2017), pp. 1081–1113. ISSN: 0024-6115.
- [Wan11] Jonathan Wang. “The moduli stack of G -bundles” (2011). arXiv: [1104.4828 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [Yu20] Tony Yue Yu. “Enumeration of holomorphic cylinders in log Calabi-Yau surfaces. II. Positivity, integrality and the gluing formula” (2020). arXiv: [1608.07651 \[math.AG\]](#).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, 2515 SPEEDWAY, AUSTIN, TX 78712, USA

Email address: yixianwu@math.utexas.edu