

# Distribution of rational points on toric varieties: All the heights

Arda Demirhan and Ramin Takloo-Bighash

January 6, 2022

Abstract: In this paper we prove a formula for the number of rational points of bounded height relative to all the generators of the cone of effective divisor for a toric variety over a number field.

## 1 Introduction

The purpose of this note is to provide an example where the role played by the exceptional set in Manin's conjecture can be eliminated. In its original formulation Manin's conjectures [9, 1] dealt with a Fano variety over a number field and counted rational points with height relative to a metrized line bundle whose underlying geometric line bundle was in the cone of effective divisors, and predicted an asymptotic formula for the number of rational points of bounded height on a small enough open set. One has to consider proper open sets as opposed to the whole variety because there are many examples where a proper Zariski closed subset of a variety can have more rational points than the rest of the variety. The easiest example one can construct is the blowup of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  at the origin, [16], §2.12. As stated these conjectures are wrong [4]. This is due to the presence of dense thin sets with too many rational points. Recently, many works have explored the effects of thin sets on the distribution of rational points and their counting functions. In particular, Lehman, Sengupta, and Tanimoto [11, 12] have formulated a deep conjecture about the distribution of rational points on any geometrically rationally connected and geometrically integral smooth projective variety with bounded height relative to a metrized line bundle which is big and nef (if  $X$  is Fano, it suffices to assume that the line bundle is big). In this conjecture,

there is a careful geometric description of a set  $Z$  such that the number of rational  $x \in X(F) \setminus Z$  of height less than  $B$  grows like

$$cB^a(\log B)^{b-1}$$

with  $c, a, b$  the expected constants, [13, 6], provided that  $X(F)$  is not thin. We refer the reader to [12, 11] for the precise formulation of the conjecture, examples, and references to many works by other mathematicians that motivated the conjecture.

In the other direction, there have been attempts to formulate conjectures that do not require removing exceptional sets, [14, 15]. In one approach by Peyre, the *all heights approach*, instead of considering a single height function, one considers the distribution of rational points relative to the height functions coming from *all* line bundles. In the case where the cone of effective divisors is polyhedral, one can might consider the distribution relative to the generators of the cone. Namely, suppose  $X$  is a Fano variety over a number field  $F$  and let  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  be height functions associated to the generators of the cone of effective divisors of  $X$ . Fix positive real numbers  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ . For an open set  $U$  and  $B > 1$ , we set

$$N(U, B) = \#\{x \in U(F) \mid H_i(x) \leq B^{\beta_i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Then one might hope to prove an asymptotic formula  $CB^a(\log B)^{b-1}$  for  $N(U, B)$  with  $a, b$  independent of  $U$ . It is important to note that the all height approach not going to remove all exceptional sets in Manin's conjecture. For example, there are families of varieties of Picard rank 1 with non-trivial exceptional sets. For some explicit constructions, see [12], §10. In general, we would expect to need other notions, such as *freeness*, to formulate a Manin-type conjecture that does not require removing exceptional sets, [14, 15].

In this paper we consider the case of smooth projective toric varieties over a number field and we show that the all heights approach of Peyre works. Manin's conjecture in its original form was proved for toric varieties by Batyrev and Tschinkel [2, 3, 5] using harmonic analysis on tori. Toric varieties are the perfect test ground for investigations of the sort we consider here because their geometry is well-understood, and the structure of the boundary divisors is given in terms of combinatorial data. We prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective toric variety defined over a number field  $F$ . Then there are metrizations of the line bundles corresponding to the generators of the cone of effective divisor in such that if  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  are the associated height functions, then the following holds: Let  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  be fixed positive real numbers. Then there are constants  $C > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that as  $B \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\#\{x \in X(F) \mid H_i(x) \leq B^{\beta_i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\} = CB^{\sum_i \beta_i} (1 + O(B^{-\epsilon})).$$

This theorem is Corollary 4.3 below. We use the methodology of Strauch and Tschinkel [17] to prove the theorem using height zeta functions. To simplify notation, and to avoid dealing with Galois actions, we have presented the proof only for split toric varieties. The general case follows from the same argument, except that the sum in Equation (4) needs to be replaced by an appropriate sum involving characters of an anisotropic torus. Conceptually, this is the correct theorem as in the case of toric varieties the exceptional set predicted by the conjecture of Lehman, Sengupta, and Tanimoto is contained in the boundary (Example 5.12 of [12]), and our proof shows that the boundary pieces contribute less than the open torus part to the asymptotic formula.

This paper is organized as follow. Section 2 gives the basic definitions regarding toric varieties and their associated height functions. Section 3 contains the analytic properties of the height zeta function. Section 4 has the proof of the main theorem.

We wish to thank Jordan Ellenberg, Emmanuel Peyre, Sho Tanimoto, Yuri Tschinkel, and Akshay Venkatesh for useful communications. The second author is partially supported by a Collaboration Grant from the Simons Foundation.

## 2 Preliminaries

The standard elementary induction to the geometry of toric varieties is [10]. We use the notations and terminology of [17]. In order to make this paper as self-contained as possible we review the basic theory of split toric varieties and their height functions in this section.

## 2.1 Toric varieties

Let  $T$  be a split algebraic torus of dimension  $d$  over a number field  $F$ , and let  $M = X^*(T)$ ,  $N = \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ . We let  $\Sigma$  be a complete regular fan in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ , and we define  $X = X_{\Sigma}$  be the smooth projective toric variety corresponding to  $\Sigma$ . The variety  $X$  is obtained by patching together affine open sets

$$U_{\sigma} = \text{Spec}(F[M \cap \check{\sigma}])$$

for  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , and for each  $\sigma$ ,

$$\check{\sigma} = \{m \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid n(m) \geq 0, \forall n \in \sigma\}.$$

We define  $PL(\Sigma)$  be the group of functions  $\varphi$  on  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  that satisfy the following two conditions: (i)  $\varphi(N) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ , (ii) for each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , the restriction of  $\varphi$  is equal to the restriction of a linear function on  $N$  to  $\sigma$ . We call  $PL(\Sigma)$  the group of  $\Sigma$ -piecewise linear integral functions on  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ . For  $\varphi \in PL(\Sigma)$  and every  $d$  dimensional  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , there is a unique  $m_{\varphi, \sigma} \in M$  such that

$$\varphi(n) = n(m_{\varphi, \sigma}).$$

For a general  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , we pick a  $d$  dimensional  $\sigma' \in \Sigma$  and we set

$$m_{\varphi, \sigma} = m_{\varphi, \sigma'}.$$

To any  $\varphi \in PL(\Sigma)$  we associate a line bundle  $L_{\varphi}$  on  $X$  by setting

$$L_{\varphi} = \left( \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} U_{\sigma} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \right) / \sigma$$

where  $(x, a) \in U_{\sigma} \times \mathbb{A}^1$  and  $(x', a') \in U_{\sigma'} \times \mathbb{A}^1$  are identified if  $x = x' \in U_{\sigma} \cap U_{\sigma'}$  and  $a' = \frac{m_{\varphi, \sigma'}}{m_{\varphi, \sigma}}(x) \cdot a$ . The class of  $(x, a)$  will be denoted by  $[x, a]$ . There is a canonical  $T$  action on  $L_{\varphi}$  given by

$$[x, a] \cdot t = [x \cdot t, m_{\varphi, \sigma}(t) \cdot a],$$

if  $x \in U_{\sigma}$ . This action identifies  $PL(\Sigma)$  with  $T$ -linearized line bundles on  $X$ . We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow PL(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Pic } X \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}$$

where the map  $PL(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Pic } X$  is given by  $\varphi \mapsto L_\varphi$ .

We define  $\Sigma_1 \subset N$  to be the set of primitive integral generators of the one-dimensional cones in  $\Sigma$  and we set

$$PL(\Sigma)^+ = \{\varphi \in PL(\Sigma)_\mathbb{R} \mid \varphi(e) > 0, \forall e \in \Sigma_1\}.$$

It is known that

$$\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X) = \overline{PL(\Sigma)^+} \quad (2)$$

where the closure is taken in  $\text{Pic}(X)_\mathbb{R}$ . A fact of utmost importance is the statement that if we define  $\varphi_\Sigma$  to be the piecewise-linear function which is defined by  $\varphi_\Sigma(e) = 1$  for all  $e \in \Sigma_1$ , then  $L_{\varphi_\Sigma}$  is isomorphic to the anti-canonical line bundle on  $X$ .

For each  $e \in \Sigma_1$ , let  $T_e$  be the  $(d-1)$ -dimensional torus orbit corresponding to the cone  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}e \in \Sigma$ . Define  $D_e$  to be the Zariski closure of  $T_e$  in  $X$ . Proposition 1.2.11 of [2] asserts that the images of  $D_e$ ,  $e \in \Sigma$ , generate the cone  $\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(X)$ . Each divisor  $D_e$  is a toric variety in its own right. Let  $(N_e)_\mathbb{R} = N_\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{R}e$ , and let  $\Sigma_e$  be the cones in  $\Sigma$  that contain  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}e$  as a face. Then for each  $\sigma \in \Sigma_e$ , we let  $\bar{\sigma} = \sigma + \mathbb{R}e/\mathbb{R}e$ . The collection  $\{\bar{\sigma}, \sigma \in \Sigma_e\}$ , again denoted  $\Sigma_e$ , is a fan in  $(N_e)_\mathbb{R}$ . The toric variety corresponding to this fan is  $D_e$ .

## 2.2 Metrizations

Following [17] we introduce an adelic metric on  $L_\varphi$ . For  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and  $v \in \text{Val}(F)$  we set  $\mathbf{K}_{\sigma,v} = \{x \in U_\sigma(F_v) \mid |m(x)|_v \leq 1, \forall m \in \check{\sigma} \cap M\}$ . If  $x \in \mathbf{K}_{\sigma,v}$ ,  $a \in F_v$ , then  $[x, a] \in L_\varphi(F)$  is  $F_v$ -rational, and we put

$$\|[x, a]\|_x = |a|_v.$$

This is well-defined. The family  $\|\cdot\|_v = (\|\cdot\|_x)_{x \in X(F_v)}$  is a  $v$ -adic metric on  $L_\varphi$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_\varphi = (L_\varphi, (\|\cdot\|_v)_v)$  is a metrization of  $L_\varphi$ . Let  $\mathbf{K}_{T,v}$  be the maximal compact subgroup. For  $x \in T(F_v)$ , consider the map  $M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , for  $v$  non-archimedean, and  $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for  $v$  archimedean, given by

$$m \mapsto -\frac{\log(|m(x)|_v)}{\log q_v}$$

where for  $v$  non-archimedean,  $q_v$  is the size of the residue field of  $F_v$ , and for  $v$  archimedean, we set  $\log q_v = 1$ . This map provides an isomorphism  $T(F_v)/\mathbf{K}_{T,v} \rightarrow N$  for  $v$  non-archimedean, and  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  otherwise. Let  $\bar{x}$  be the image of  $x$  in  $T(F_v)$  in  $N$ , resp.  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  for archimedean  $v$ . For  $\varphi \in PL(\Sigma)_{\mathbb{C}}$  define a function  $H_{\Sigma,v}(\cdot, \varphi) : T(F_v) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$H_{\Sigma,v}(x, \varphi) = e^{-\varphi(\bar{x}) \log(q_v)}.$$

The corresponding global height function  $H_{\Sigma}(\cdot, \varphi) : T(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$H_{\Sigma}(x, \varphi) = \prod_v H_{\Sigma,v}(x_v, \varphi)$$

is well-defined.

### 2.3 $\mathcal{A}_T$ and $\mathcal{U}_T$

Let  $\mathbf{K}_T = \prod_v \mathbf{K}_{T,v} \subset T(\mathbb{A})$ . Define

$$\mathcal{A}_T = (T(\mathbb{A})/T(F)\mathbf{K}_T)^*$$

to be the group of unitary characters of  $T(\mathbb{A})$  which are trivial on  $T(F)\mathbf{K}_T$ . For  $m \in M$  we define the character

$$\chi^m(x) = e^{i \log(|m(x)|_{\mathbb{A}})}.$$

The map  $m \mapsto \chi^m$  provides us with an embedding  $M_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T$ . For any archimedean place  $v$  and  $\chi \in \mathcal{A}_T$  there is  $m_v = m_v(\chi) \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$\chi_v(x_v) = e^{-i\bar{x}_v(m_v)}$$

for  $x_v \in T(F_v)$ . We then get a homomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}_T \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R},\infty} = \bigoplus_{v|\infty} M_{\mathbb{R}},$$

given by sending  $\chi$  to the vector  $m_{\infty}(\chi) = (m_v(\chi))_{v|\infty}$ . In the sequel, given a real vector space  $W$ , we set  $W_{\infty} = \bigoplus_{v|\infty} W$ .

We define  $T(\mathbb{A})^1$  to be the common kernel of the all maps  $T(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $x \mapsto |m(x)|_{\mathbb{A}}$ ,  $m \in M$ . Set

$$\mathcal{U}_T = (T(\mathbb{A})^1/T(F)\mathbf{K}_T)^*.$$

If we fix an isomorphism  $T \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}_{m,F}^d$  and a projection  $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{A})^1$  we obtain a splitting of the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow T(\mathbb{A})^1 \rightarrow T(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow T(\mathbb{A})/T(\mathbb{A})^1 \rightarrow 1.$$

We then obtain a decomposition

$$\mathcal{A}_T = M_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathcal{U}_T$$

and

$$M_{\mathbb{R},\infty} = M_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus M_{\mathbb{R},\infty}^1 \tag{3}$$

with  $M_{\mathbb{R},\infty}^1$  being the minimal  $\mathbb{R}$ -subspace of  $M_{\mathbb{R},\infty}$  containing the image of  $\mathcal{U}_T$  under the map  $\mathcal{A}_T \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R},\infty}$  defined above. For the remainder of this work we fix these splittings. Note that by Dirichlet's unit theorem, the image of  $\mathcal{U}_T \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R},\infty}^1$  is a lattice of maximal rank.

We also fix measures as follows. For an archimedean place  $v$ , let  $dx_v$  be the Haar measure on  $T(F_v)$  giving  $\mathbf{K}_{T,v}$  volume one. For archimedean  $v$ , we give  $T(F_v)/\mathbf{K}_{T,v}$  the pullback of the Lebesgue measure on  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ , giving volume 1 to  $N_{\mathbb{R}}/N$ . We then give  $\mathbf{K}_{T,v}$  the Haar measure with total measure one. We then obtain an invariant measure on  $T(F_v)$ . On  $T(\mathbb{A})$  we have an adelic measure  $dx = \prod_v dx_v$ .

### 3 Height zeta function

In this section we establish the basic properties of the height zeta function.

#### 3.1 Integrals of height functions

For a character  $\chi : T(F_v) \rightarrow S^1$  define

$$\hat{H}_{\Sigma,v}(\chi, \varphi) = \int_{T(F_v)} H_{\Sigma,v}(x_v, \varphi) \chi(x_v) dx_v.$$

If the integral is convergent, as  $H$  is invariant under  $\mathbf{K}_{T,v}$ , unless  $\chi$  is trivial on  $\mathbf{K}_{T,v}$ ,  $\hat{H}_{\Sigma,v}(\chi, \varphi) = 0$ . These integrals converge absolutely for  $\varphi \in PL(\Sigma)^+$ .

Let  $v$  be an archimedean place of  $F$ . Any  $d$  dimensional cone  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  is simplicial, generated by  $\Sigma_1 \cap \sigma$ . Let  $\chi$  be unramified. This means  $\chi(x) = e^{-\bar{x}(m)}$  for some  $m \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Then

$$\hat{H}_{\Sigma,v}(\chi, \varphi) = \sum_{\dim \sigma = d} \prod_{e \in \sigma \cap \Sigma_1} \frac{1}{\varphi(e) + ie(m)}.$$

We also compute the integral at the non-archimedean places. We have a set of variables  $\underline{u} = \{u_e\}_{e \in \Sigma_1}$ . Set for each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$

$$R_{\sigma}((u_e)_e) = \prod_{e \in \sigma \cap \Sigma_1} \frac{u_e}{1 - u_e},$$

and define

$$R_{\Sigma}((u_e)_e) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} R_{\sigma}((u_e)_e),$$

and

$$Q_{\Sigma}((u_e)_e) = R_{\Sigma}((u_e)_e) \prod_{e \in \Sigma_1} (1 - u_e).$$

Then if  $\chi$  is an unramified unitary character of  $T(F_v)$  and if  $\Re \phi \in PL(\Sigma)^+$ , then

$$\hat{H}_{\Sigma,v}(\chi, \varphi) = Q_{\Sigma}((\chi(e)q_v^{-\varphi(e)}) \prod_{e \in \Sigma_1} (1 - \chi(e)q_v^{-\varphi(e)})^{-1}.$$

Any  $e \in \Sigma_1$  defines a homomorphism  $F[M] \rightarrow F[\mathbb{Z}]$ , and by duality, a morphism of algebraic tori  $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow T$ . For any character  $\chi \in \mathcal{A}_T$ , we let  $\chi_e$  be the Hecke character

$$\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow T(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow S^1.$$

Let

$$L_f(\chi_e, s) = \prod_{v \nmid \infty} (1 - \chi_e(\pi_v)q_v^{-s})^{-1}.$$

The product converges for  $\Re s > 1$ . A consequence of this is that the global Fourier transform

$$\hat{H}_{\Sigma}(\chi, \varphi) = \int_{T(\mathbb{A})} H_{\Sigma}(x, \varphi) \chi(x) dx$$

converges absolutely if  $\Re \varphi \in \varphi_{\Sigma} + PL(\Sigma)^+$ .



### 3.2 An inequality

For  $\chi \in \mathcal{A}_T$  and  $\Re\varphi \in \frac{1}{2}\varphi_\Sigma + PL(\Sigma)^+$ , we put

$$\zeta_\Sigma(\chi, \varphi) = \prod_{v|\infty} \hat{H}_{\Sigma, v}(\chi_v, \varphi) \prod_{v \nmid \infty} Q_\Sigma((\chi_v(e)q_v^{-\varphi(e)})_e).$$

The following lemma follows from the proof of Prop. 2.3.2 of [2].

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{K}$  be a compact subset of  $\frac{1}{2}\varphi_\Sigma + PL(\Sigma)^+$ , and let  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{K}} \subset PL(\Sigma)_{\mathbb{C}}$  be the tube domain over  $\mathbf{K}$ . Then there is a constant  $c = c(\mathbf{K})$  such that for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{K}}$ ,*

$$|\zeta_\Sigma(\chi, \varphi)| \leq c \prod_{v|\infty} \left\{ \sum_{\dim \sigma = d} \prod_{e \in \sigma \cap \Sigma_1} \frac{1}{(1 + |e(\Im\varphi) + e(m_v(\chi))|)^{1+1/d}} \right\}.$$

For  $\Re\varphi \in \varphi_\Sigma + PL(\Sigma)^+$ , we have

$$\hat{H}_\Sigma(\chi, \varphi) = \zeta_\Sigma(\chi, \varphi) \prod_{e \in \Sigma_1} L_f(\chi_e, \varphi(e)).$$

The following lemma follows from the proof of Lemma 5.4 of [17].

**Lemma 3.2.** *There is a convex open neighborhood  $B$  of the origin in  $PL(\Sigma)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that the following holds: For any compact  $\mathbf{K} \subset B$  there is a constant  $\kappa(\mathbf{K}) > 0$  such that for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{K}}$ ,  $\chi \in \mathcal{U}_T$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \hat{H}_\Sigma(\chi, \varphi + \varphi_\Sigma) \prod_{e \in \Sigma_1} \frac{\varphi(e)}{\varphi(e) + 1} \right| \\ & \leq \kappa(\mathbf{K}) \prod_{v|\infty} \left\{ \sum_{\dim \sigma = d} \prod_{e \in \sigma \cap \Sigma_1} \frac{1}{(1 + |e(\Im\varphi) + e(m_v(\chi))|)^{1+1/2d}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

### 3.3 The zeta function

It is Proposition 3.4 of [17] that the series

$$\sum_{x \in T(F)} H_\Sigma(x, \varphi)$$

converges absolutely and uniformly for  $\Re\varphi \in \varphi_\Sigma + PL(\Sigma)^+$ . We define the height zeta function  $Z_\Sigma(\varphi)$ , first for  $\varphi \in \varphi_\Sigma + PL(\Sigma)^+$ , by setting

$$Z_\Sigma(\varphi) = \sum_{x \in T(F)} H_\Sigma(x, \varphi).$$

In order to apply the Tauberian theorem of §4.1 we need to analytically continue this function.

By the Poisson summation formula,

$$\sum_{x \in T(F)} H_\Sigma(x, \varphi) = \mu_T \int_{M_\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{U}_T} \hat{H}_\Sigma(\chi, \varphi + im) \right\} dm, \quad (4)$$

where, if the Lebesgue measure is normalized to give  $M_\mathbb{R}/M$  volume one, then

$$\mu_T = \frac{1}{(2\pi\kappa)^d}, \quad \kappa = \frac{h_F \cdot R_F}{w_F}$$

with  $h_F$  the class number,  $R_F$  the regulator, and  $w_F$  the number of roots of unity of  $F$ .

As in the proof of Theorem 5.5 of [17] we define a function  $c_0 : PL(\Sigma)_{\mathbb{R}, \infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  by

$$c_0((\varphi_v)_v) = \prod_{v|\infty} \left\{ \sum_{\dim \sigma = d} \prod_{e \in \sigma \cap \Sigma_1} \frac{1}{(1 + |\varphi_v(e)|)^{1+1/2d}} \right\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset M_{\mathbb{R}, \infty}^1$  be the fundamental parallelogram constructed from a basis of the image of  $\mathcal{U}_T$  in  $M_{\mathbb{R}, \infty}^1$ . There is a constant  $c' > 0$  such that for all  $m_\infty(\chi \in M_{\mathbb{R}, \infty}^1, \chi \in \mathcal{U}_T, \text{ and all } m^1 \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$c_0(m_\infty(\chi)) \leq c' c_0(m_\infty(\chi) + m^1).$$

Let  $dm^1$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $M_{\mathbb{R}, \infty}^1$  normalized by the image of  $\mathcal{U}_T$ , and set

$$c((\varphi_v)_v) = h_F^d c' \int_{M_{\mathbb{R}, \infty}^1} c_0((\varphi_v)_v + m^1) dm^1.$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{U}_T} c_0((\varphi_v)_v + m_\infty(\chi)) \leq c((\varphi_v)_v).$$

Since the latter function is defined mod  $M_{\mathbb{R},\infty}^1$ , let us describe  $PL(\Sigma)_{\mathbb{R},\infty}/M_{\mathbb{R},\infty}^1$ . By (1),  $PL(\Sigma)_{\mathbb{R}} = M_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . This means  $PL(\Sigma)_{\mathbb{R},\infty} = M_{\mathbb{R},\infty} \oplus \text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R},\infty}$ . By (3),  $M_{\mathbb{R},\infty} = M_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus M_{\mathbb{R},\infty}^1$ . This means

$$PL(\Sigma)_{\mathbb{R},\infty} = \text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R},\infty} \oplus M_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus M_{\mathbb{R},\infty}^1.$$

If  $\varphi \in PL(\Sigma)_{\mathbb{R}}$ , we denote by  $(\varphi)_v$  the vector in  $PL(\Sigma)$  all of whose coordinates are equal to  $\varphi$ . We abbreviate  $c((\varphi)_v)$  to  $c(\varphi)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *There is a convex open neighborhood  $B$  of the origin in  $PL(\Sigma)_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that the following holds: For any compact  $\mathbf{K} \subset B$  there is a constant  $\kappa(\mathbf{K}) > 0$  such that for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{K}}$  we have*

$$\left| \left\{ \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{T}}} \hat{H}_{\Sigma}(\chi, \varphi + \varphi_{\Sigma}) \right\} \prod_{e \in \Sigma_1} \frac{\varphi(e)}{\varphi(e) + 1} \right| \leq \kappa(\mathbf{K}) c(\mathfrak{S}\varphi).$$

### 3.4 Meromorphic continuation of certain integrals

Suppose  $E$  is a finite dimensional vector space  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $E_{\mathbb{C}}$  its complexification. Also suppose  $V \subset E$  is a subspace of dimension  $d$ , and  $l_1, \dots, l_m$  are linearly independent real valued forms on  $E$ . For each  $j$ , let  $H_j$  be the kernel of  $l_j$ . Let  $B \subset E$  be an open and convex neighborhood of 0 such that for each  $j$ , and all  $x \in B$ ,  $l_j(x) > -1$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_B$  be the tube domain over  $B$ . We start with the following definition:

**Definition 3.4.** *A function  $c : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is called sufficient if it satisfies*

1. *For any subspace  $U \subset V$  and any  $v \in V$  the functions  $U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $u \mapsto c(v + u)$  is measurable on  $U$  and the integral*

$$c_U(v) = \int_U c(v + u) du$$

*is finite.*

2. *For any subspace  $U \subset V$  and  $v \in V \setminus U$ ,*

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \pm\infty} c_U(\tau.v) = 0.$$

**Definition 3.5.** *We call a meromorphic function  $f : \mathcal{T}_B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  distinguished with respect to  $(V; c; l_1, \dots, l_m)$  if*

1. The function

$$g(z) = f(z) \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{l_j(z)}{l_j(z) + 1}$$

is holomorphic on  $\mathcal{T}_B$ ;

2. There is a sufficient function  $c : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that for all compact sets  $\mathbf{K} \subset \mathcal{T}_B$ , all  $z \in \mathbf{K}$  and  $v \in V$ ,

$$|g(z + iv)| \leq \kappa(\mathbf{K})c(v).$$

Let  $C$  be a connected component of  $B \setminus \cup_{j=1}^m H_j$  and  $\mathcal{T}_C$  the tube domain over  $C$ . Set

$$\tilde{f}_C(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^\nu} \int_V f(z + iv) dv,$$

$\nu = \dim V$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *Suppose  $f$  a distinguished function with respect to  $(V; c; l_1, \dots, l_m)$ . Then*

1.  $\tilde{f}_C(z) : \mathcal{T}_C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a holomorphic function;
2. There is an open and convex neighborhood  $\tilde{B}$  of 0 containing  $C$ , and linear forms  $\tilde{l}_1, \dots, \tilde{l}_{\tilde{m}}$ , all vanishing on  $V$ , such that

$$z \mapsto \tilde{f}_C(z) \prod_{j=1}^{\tilde{m}} \tilde{l}_j(z)$$

has a holomorphic continuation to  $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{B}}$ . Moreover, for each  $j$ ,  $\ker(\tilde{l}_j) \cap C = \emptyset$ .

### 3.5 A limit computation

Let notation be as in §3.4. Let  $E^{(0)} = \cap_{j=1}^m \ker(l_j)$  and  $E_0 = E/E^{(0)}$ . Suppose  $V \cap E^{(0)} = \{0\}$ . Let

$$E_0^+ = \{x \in E_0 \mid l_j(x) \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq m\}.$$

Let  $\pi_0 : E \rightarrow E_0$  and  $\psi_0 : E_0 \rightarrow E_0^+/\pi_0(V)$  be the canonical projections. Let  $P = E_0^+/\pi_0(V)$ . Assume  $\pi_0(V) \cap E_0^+ = \{0\}$ . With these assumptions

$\Lambda = \psi_0(E_0^+)$  is a strictly convex polyhedral cone. Let  $dy$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $E_0^\vee$  normalized by the lattice  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathbb{Z}l_j$ . Let  $A \subset V$  be a lattice and  $dv$  the Lebesgue measure on  $V$  normalized by the lattice  $A$ . On  $V^\vee$  we have a Lebesgue measure  $dy'$  normalized by  $A^\vee$  and a measure  $dy''$  on  $P^\vee$  constructed using a section of the projection  $E_0^\vee \rightarrow V^\vee$  such that  $dy = dy' dy''$ .

Define the  $\mathcal{X}$ -function of the cone  $\Lambda$  by

$$\mathcal{X}_\Lambda(x) = \int_{\Lambda^\vee} e^{y''(x)} dy''$$

for  $x \in P_C$  with  $\Re x$  in the interior of the cone  $\Lambda$ . Let

$$B^+ = B \cap \{x \in E \mid l_j(x) > 0, 1 \leq j \leq m\}.$$

We note that  $\tilde{f}_C$  is holomorphic on  $\mathcal{T}_{B^+}$ . The following is Theorem 5.3 of [17]:

**Proposition 3.7.** *For  $x_0 \in B^+$ , we have*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s^{m-\nu} \tilde{f}_C(sx_0) = g(0) \mathcal{X}_\Lambda(\psi_0(x_0)).$$

### 3.6 A sufficient function

Let  $V$  be a  $d$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $(l_1, \dots, l_d)$  be a basis of  $V^\vee = \text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{R})$ . Fix a constant  $\epsilon > 0$ , and define a function  $c : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  by setting

$$c(v) = \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{(1 + |l_j(v)|)^{1+\epsilon}}.$$

We recall Theorem 7.6 of [17].

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $U \subset V$  be a subspace and  $du$  a Lebesgue measure on  $U$ . Then there is a positive constant  $\kappa = \kappa(\epsilon, U, du)$  and a finite family  $\{(l_{\alpha,1}, \dots, l_{\alpha,d'})\}_{\alpha \in A}$  of bases of  $(V/U)^\vee$ ,  $d' = \dim V/U$ , such that for all  $v \in V$  we have*

$$\int_U c(v+u) du \leq \kappa \sum_{\alpha \in A} \prod_{j=1}^{d'} \frac{1}{(1 + |l_{\alpha,j}(v)|)^{1+\epsilon}}.$$

### 3.7 Meromorphic continuation of the height zeta function

We now return to the notations of §3.3. By, when  $E = PL(\Sigma)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $V = M_{\mathbb{R}}$ , Theorem 3.8 the function  $c$  is sufficient. Equation (4) allows us to invoke the machinery of §3.4. By Proposition 3.6, the height zeta function  $Z_{\Sigma}(\varphi)$ , a priori only holomorphic on  $\varphi_{\Sigma} + PL(\Sigma)^+$ , has a meromorphic continuation to a domain  $\varphi_{\Sigma} + \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{B}}$ , with  $\tilde{B}$  an open and convex neighborhood of the origin. Furthermore, there are linear forms  $\tilde{l}_1, \dots, \tilde{l}_{\tilde{m}}$ , all vanishing on  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ , such that

$$F(\varphi) = Z_{\Sigma}(\varphi + \varphi_{\Sigma}) \prod_{j=1}^{\tilde{m}} \tilde{l}_j(\varphi)$$

has a holomorphic continuation to  $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{B}}$ . By the proof of Proposition 3.6, the linear forms  $\tilde{l}_j$ 's are linearly independent. Since the  $\tilde{l}_j$ 's are real forms on  $PL(\Sigma)_{\mathbb{R}}$  which vanish on  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ , by (1), they descend to  $\text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . We also note that since  $Z_{\Sigma}(\varphi + \varphi_{\Sigma})$  is holomorphic on  $PL(\Sigma)^+$ , the descent of  $\tilde{l}_j$ 's to  $\text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$  is positive inside the cone of effective divisors given by Equation (2), and non-negative on the cone.

Next, by Proposition 3.7, if  $m = \dim PL(\Sigma)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\nu = \dim M_{\mathbb{R}}$ , for  $\varphi_0 \in PL(\Sigma)^+$ , the limit  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s^{m-\nu} F(s\varphi_0)$  is non-zero if

$$\lim_{\varphi \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{T}}} \hat{H}_{\Sigma}(\chi, \varphi + \varphi_{\Sigma}) \right\} \prod_{e \in \Sigma_1} \frac{\varphi(e)}{\varphi(e) + 1} \neq 0.$$

We let  $s$  approach 0 through  $PL(\Sigma)^+$  to get absolutely convergent integrals. By the computations of §3.1 the only  $\chi$ 's which contribute to this limit are those such that  $\chi_e = 1$  for all  $e \in \Sigma_1$ . It is easy to see that such  $\chi$  will have to be trivial. For trivial  $\chi$  the limit

$$\lim_{\varphi \rightarrow 0} \hat{H}_{\Sigma}(1, \varphi + \varphi_{\Sigma}) \cdot \prod_{e \in \Sigma_1} \frac{\varphi(e)}{\varphi(e) + 1}$$

exists and is non-zero. This computation means that  $\tilde{m} = \dim \text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

We can take the open set  $B$  in such a way that for each  $j$  and  $x \in B$ ,  $\tilde{l}_j(x) > -1$ . It is then a consequence of the proof of Proposition 3.6 and

Proposition 3.8 that the analytic continuation of

$$Z_\Sigma(\varphi + \varphi_\Sigma) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{\tilde{m}} \frac{\tilde{l}_j(\varphi)}{\tilde{l}_j(\varphi) + 1}$$

is bounded on the tube domain  $\mathcal{T}_B$ .

We summarize these results as the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.9.** *The shifted height zeta function  $Z_\Sigma(\varphi + \varphi_\Sigma)$  is holomorphic on  $PL(\Sigma)^+$ . There are linearly independent real linear forms  $\tilde{l}_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq \dim \text{Pic}(X)_\mathbb{R}$ , vanishing on  $M_\mathbb{R}$ , such that*

$$Z_\Sigma(\varphi + \varphi_\Sigma) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{\tilde{m}} \frac{\tilde{l}_j(\varphi)}{\tilde{l}_j(\varphi) + 1}$$

*has an analytic continuation to a bounded holomorphic function on a tube domain  $\mathcal{T}_B$  with  $B$  an open convex neighborhood of 0. The linear forms  $\tilde{l}_j$  are positive inside the cone of effective divisors of  $X$ , and non-negative on the cone.*

## 4 Tauberian argument

In this section we review a Tauberian theorem of de la Bretèche [7, 8] and use it to prove our main theorem.

### 4.1 A theorem of de la Bretèche

In this section we recall the following theorem of de la Bretèche.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_r$  be discrete strictly increasing sequences of real numbers larger than or equal to 1. Suppose  $f$  is a positive function defined on  $\mathcal{S}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}_r$ , and let  $F$  be the associated multiple Dirichlet series*

$$F(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{d_1 \in \mathcal{S}_1} \dots \sum_{d_r \in \mathcal{S}_r} \frac{f(d_1, \dots, d_r)}{d_1^{s_1} \dots d_r^{s_r}}.$$

*Suppose there is a vector  $\alpha \in (0, +\infty)^r$  such that  $F$  satisfies the following conditions:*

(P1) The series  $F(\mathbf{s})$  is absolutely convergent for  $\mathbf{s}$  such that  $\Re s_j > \alpha_j$ .

(P2) There are  $n$  linear forms  $\mathcal{L} = \{\ell_i\}_{i=1}^n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^r$  with the property that  $\ell_i(e_j) \in [0, +\infty)$  such that the function  $H$  on  $\mathbb{C}^r$  defined by  $H(\mathbf{s}) = F(\mathbf{s} + \alpha) \prod_{i=1}^n \ell_i(\mathbf{s})$  has an analytic continuation to a holomorphic function on the domain  $\mathcal{D}(\delta_1) = \{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^r \mid \Re \ell_i(\mathbf{s}) > -\delta_1\}$  with  $\delta_1 > 0$ .

(P3) There is  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that for each  $\epsilon, \epsilon' > 0$ , we have

$$|H(\mathbf{s})| \ll \prod_{i=1}^n (|\Im \ell_i(\mathbf{s})| + 1)^{1 - \delta_2 \min(0, \Re \ell_i(\mathbf{s}))} (1 + \|\Im \mathbf{s}\|_1^\epsilon)$$

uniformly on the domain  $\mathcal{D}(\delta_1 - \epsilon')$ . Here for an  $r$ -tuple of real numbers  $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_r)$ , we have set  $\|\tau\|_1 = \sum_i |\tau_i|$ .

Suppose  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r) \in (0, +\infty)^r$ . Then there is a polynomial  $Q = Q_\beta$  with real coefficients of degree less than or equal to  $n - \text{rank } \mathcal{L}$  and a positive real number  $\vartheta$ , depending on  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\delta_1$ ,  $\delta_2$ ,  $\alpha$ , and  $\beta$  such that for  $B \geq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_\beta(B) &:= \sum_{1 \leq d_1 \leq B^{\beta_1}} \cdots \sum_{1 \leq d_r \leq B^{\beta_r}} f(d_1, \dots, d_r) \\ &= B^\sigma Q(\log B) + O(B^{\sigma - \vartheta}), \end{aligned}$$

with  $\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j \beta_j$ .

De la Bretèche [7, 8] states this theorem only for  $\mathcal{S}_i = \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , but the same argument works for the more general theorem stated here.

## 4.2 Application

For  $e \in \Sigma_1$ , we let  $D_e$  be the corresponding  $T$ -invariant divisor. Define a  $\varphi_e \in PL(\Sigma)$  by setting  $\varphi_e(f) = \delta_{ef}$ , Kronecker's delta, for  $f \in \Sigma_1$ . The line bundle corresponding to  $D_e$  is  $L_{\varphi_e}$ . We denote the metrized line bundle  $L_{\varphi_e}$  by  $\mathcal{L}(e)$ . We choose the metrization so that for  $x \in X(F)$ ,

$$H_{\mathcal{L}(e)}(x) = H_\Sigma(x, \varphi_e)^{-1}.$$

**Theorem 4.2.** Fix positive real numbers  $\beta_e$ ,  $e \in \Sigma_1$ . For a positive real number  $B > 1$ , set

$$N_T(B) = \#\{x \in T(F) \mid 1 \leq H_{\mathcal{L}(e)}(x) \leq B^e, e \in \Sigma_1\}.$$



There is a constant  $C > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$N_T(B) = CB^{\sum_{e \in \Sigma_1} \beta_e} (1 + O(B^{-\epsilon}))$$

as  $B \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.1 we need to understand the analytic properties of the zeta function

$$Z((s_e)_{e \in \Sigma_1}) = \sum_{x \in T(F)} \frac{1}{\prod_{e \in \Sigma_1} H_{\mathcal{L}(e)}(x)^{s_e}}.$$

We have

$$\prod_{e \in \Sigma_1} H_{\mathcal{L}(e)}(x)^{s_e} = \prod_{e \in \Sigma_1} H_{\Sigma}(x, \varphi_e)^{-s_e} = H_{\Sigma}(x, \sum_{e \in \Sigma_1} s_e \varphi_e)^{-1}.$$

As a result,

$$Z((s_e)_{e \in \Sigma_1}) = Z_{\Sigma}(\varphi)$$

with  $\varphi = \sum_{e \in \Sigma_1} s_e \varphi_e$ . That the conditions required by Theorem 4.1 are satisfied is the content of Proposition 3.9.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** Fix positive real numbers  $\beta_e$ ,  $e \in \Sigma_1$ . For a positive real number  $B > 1$ , set

$$N_X(B) = \#\{x \in T(F) \mid 1 \leq H_{\mathcal{L}(e)}(x) \leq B^e, e \in \Sigma_1\}.$$

There is a constant  $C > 0$  and  $\epsilon' > 0$  such that

$$N_X(B) = CB^{\sum_{e \in \Sigma_1} \beta_e} (1 + O(B^{-\epsilon'}))$$

as  $B \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* We will do induction on  $d = \dim X$ . The key observation is that  $X = T \cup \cup_{e \in \Sigma_1} D_e$ . If  $d = 1$ , then  $X$  is the union of  $T$  with a finite set, so the result follows from Theorem 4.2. Now suppose the result is true for all toric varieties of dimension  $d - 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{x \in D_e(F) \mid 1 \leq H_{\mathcal{L}(f)}(x) \leq B^f, f \in \Sigma_1\} \\ & \leq \#\{x \in D_e(F) \mid 1 \leq H_{\mathcal{L}(f)}(x) \leq B^f, f \in \Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_e\} \\ & \ll B^{\sum_{f \in \Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_e} \beta_f}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $e \notin \Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_f$ , the result follows with any  $\epsilon'$  less than the minimum of the  $\epsilon$  from Theorem 4.2 and the numbers  $\beta_e$ ,  $e \in \Sigma_1$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] Batyrev, Victor ; Manin, Yu. I. *Sur le nombre des points rationnels de hauteur borné des variétés algébriques*. Math. Ann. 286 (1990), no. 1-3, 27-43.
- [2] Batyrev, Victor V.; Tschinkel, Yuri. *Rational points of bounded height on compactifications of anisotropic tori*. Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1995, no. 12, 591-635.
- [3] Batyrev, V.; Tschinkel, Yu. *Height zeta functions of toric varieties*. Algebraic geometry, 5. J. Math. Sci. 82 (1996), no. 1, 3220-3239.
- [4] Batyrev, Victor V.; Tschinkel, Yuri. *Rational points on some Fano cubic bundles*. C. R. Acad. Sci.Paris Sér. I Math., 323(1):41-46, 1996.
- [5] Batyrev, Victor V.; Tschinkel, Yuri. *Manin's conjecture for toric varieties*. J. Algebraic Geom. 7 (1998), no. 1, 15-53.
- [6] Batyrev, Victor V.; Tschinkel, Yuri. *Tamagawa numbers of polarized algebraic varieties*. Astérisque,(251):299-340, 1998. Nombre et répartition de points de hauteur bornée (Paris, 1996)
- [7] de la Bretèche, Régis. *Compter des points d'une variété torique*. J. Number Theory 87 (2001), no. 2, 315-331.
- [8] de la Bretèche, Régis. *Estimation de sommes multiples de fonctions arithmétiques*. Compositio Math. 128 (2001), no. 3, 261-298.
- [9] Franke, Jens; Manin, Yuri I.; Tschinkel, Yuri. *Rational points of bounded height on Fano varieties*. Invent. Math. 95 (1989), no. 2, 421-435.
- [10] Fulton, William. *Introduction to toric varieties*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 131. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. xii+157 pp.
- [11] Lehman, Brian; Sengupta; Akash Kumar; Tanimoto, Sho. *Geometric consistency of Manin's conjecture*. Preprint, 2019.
- [12] Lehmann, Brian; Tanimoto, Sho. *On exceptional sets in Manin's conjecture*. Res. Math. Sci. 6 (2019), no. 1, Paper No. 12, 41 pp.

- [13] Peyre, Emmanuel. *Hauteurs et mesures de Tamagawa sur les variétés de Fano*. Duke Math. J., 79(1):101-218, 1995.
- [14] Peyre, Emmanuel. *Liberté et accumulation*. Documenta Math. 22 (2017), 1615-1659.
- [15] Peyre, Emmanuel. *Beyond heights: Slopes and distribution of rational points*. Arakelov geometry and diophantine applications, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2276 (2020), 1-67.
- [16] Serre, Jean-Pierre. *Lectures on the Mordell-Weil theorem*. Aspects of Mathematics, vol. E15, Vieweg, Braunschweig, Wiesbaden, 1989.
- [17] Strauch, Matthias; Tschinkel, Yuri. *Height zeta functions of toric bundles over flag varieties*. Selecta Math. (N.S.) 5 (1999), no. 3, 325-396.

*Address:* Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science,  
University of Illinois at Chicago, 851 S Morgan St, Chicago, IL 60607.

*Email:* ademir3@uic.edu

*Email:* rtakloo@uic.edu