

EQUATIONS FOR A K3 LEHMER MAP

SIMON BRANDHORST, NOAM D. ELKIES

ABSTRACT. McMullen proved that there exists an automorphism of minimal topological entropy on a projective K3 surface. We derive equations for the surface and its automorphism. We reconstruct the surface and its automorphism from the Hodge theoretic model provided by McMullen. The approach is computer aided and relies on finite non-symplectic automorphisms, p -adic lifting, elliptic fibrations and the Kneser neighbor method for \mathbb{Z} -lattices.

1. INTRODUCTION

The topological entropy $h(g)$ of a bi-holomorphic map $g: S \rightarrow S$ of a compact, connected complex surface S is a measure for the disorder created by repeated iteration of g . It is either zero or the logarithm of a so called Salem number.

That is, it is a real algebraic integer $\lambda > 1$ which is conjugate to $1/\lambda$ and whose other conjugates lie on the unit circle. The smallest known Salem number is the root $\lambda_{10} = 1.176280818\dots$ of

$$S_{10}(x) = x^{10} + x^9 - x^7 - x^6 - x^5 - x^4 - x^3 + x + 1$$

found by Lehmer in 1933. Conjecturally it is the smallest Salem number, even the smallest algebraic integer with Mahler measure > 1 .

In [McM07] C.T. McMullen showed that Lehmer's conjecture holds for the set of entropies coming from automorphisms of surfaces, i. e. $h(g) = \log \lambda(g)$ is either zero or bounded below by $\log \lambda_{10}$. This can be interpreted as a spectral gap since the dynamical degree $\lambda(g)$ is the largest eigenvalue of $g^*|H^2(S, \mathbb{C})$. If the entropy $h(g)$ is non-zero, then the surface S is birational to a rational surface, a complex torus, a K3 or an Enriques surface [Can01].

The bottom $\log \lambda_{10}$ of the entropy spectrum can be attained only on a rational surface and on a K3 surface but not on an abelian surface (for trivial reasons) and not on Enriques surfaces [Ogu10].

Explicit equations for a rational surface and its automorphism of minimum entropy are given in [McM07]. The case of K3 surfaces was treated in a series of papers by C.T. McMullen [McM11] who first proved the existence of a non-projective K3 surface admitting an automorphism of entropy $\log \lambda_{10}$ and then refined his methods to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. [McM16] *There exists a complex projective K3 surface S and an automorphism $l: S \rightarrow S$ with minimal topological entropy $h(l) = \log \lambda_{10}$.*

The first author was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project-ID 286237555 – TRR 195.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37F80, 11R06, 14J50, 14J27 14J28, 14Q10

Key words and phrases: elliptic K3 surface, dynamical degree, Lehmer's number.

The proof proceeds by exhibiting a Hodge theoretic model for (S, l) , that is McMullen constructs a \mathbb{Z} -lattice H and an isometry $l' \in O(H)$ with spectral radius λ_{10} and certain further properties. Then the strong Torelli-type theorem and the surjectivity of the period map for K3 surfaces guarantee that the Hodge theoretic model (H, l') is induced by a K3 surface S and $l \in \text{Aut}(S)$ via an isometry (more precisely a marking) $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H$. Since the Torelli-type theorem is non-constructive, this is a purely abstract existence result.

This work promotes computational methods to reconstruct equations of an automorphism from its Hodge theoretic model. A key ingredient is the constructive treatment of elliptic fibrations on a K3 surface as developed by the second autor and A. Kumar [Elk08, Kum14, EK14]. They have the benefit of working in positive characteristic as well. Our motivating example is to derive explicit equations both for the surface S and its Lehmer automorphism l .

Theorem 1.2. *Let w be a root of*

$$w^6 - 2w^5 + 2w^4 - 3w^3 + 2w^2 - 2w + 1 = 0.$$

Let S_6 denote the minimal model of the following surface.

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + bt^7 + c \quad \text{where}$$

$$a = (-86471w^5 - 19851w^4 - 116626w^3 + 67043w^2 - 125502w + 106947)/48$$

$$b = 7(-w^5 + w^4 + 2w^3 - 3w^2 + 3w - 1)$$

$$c = (141655682w^5 - 65661512w^4 + 230672148w^3 - 136877559w^2 + 149096157w - 96818792)/864$$

Equations for a K3 surface $S_6 \cong S_1 = S$ and an automorphism $l: S_6 \rightarrow S_6$ with entropy $h(l) = \log \lambda_{10}$ are given in [Section 5](#) and the ancillary file. The coefficients lie in $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_7, \omega]$ which is a degree 2 extension of $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_7]$.

Remark 1.3. We refrain from including the equations of the automorphism here since coefficients in a degree 12 field are rather unpleasant to the eye. See [Section 6](#) for a (factored) representation of l with coefficients modulo 29.

In what follows we sketch how we derived the equations. Since the Lehmer map has positive entropy, it does not preserve any polarization. This means that it is not linear, i.e. we cannot represent l as an element of $\text{PGL}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ acting on the surface $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$.

However, from the Hodge theoretic model one infers that S has complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_7]$ which, as we shall see in [Section 3](#), comes from a non-symplectic automorphism σ of order 7. This automorphism in fact determines S up to isomorphism. Since it preserves a polarization, the automorphism σ is linear and much easier to write down. Indeed, we find a one dimensional maximal family of K3 surfaces with an automorphism of order 7 in [\[AST11\]](#) that must contain (S, σ) .

We locate the sought for surface inside the family by first reducing it modulo a suitable prime p and then lift the resulting surface to the p -adic numbers with a multivariate Newton iteration in [Section 4](#). The coefficients of S are recovered as algebraic numbers in a degree 6 subfield of $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_7]$.

To derive the automorphism from its action on cohomology, we use the theory of elliptically fibered K3 surfaces. In fact the surface S is already represented in terms of a Weierstrass model. K3 surfaces may carry more than one elliptic fibration. We view elliptic fibrations on our surface S as vertices of a graph and the coordinate

changes between them as edges. The resulting graph is in fact closely related to the Kneser neighbor graph of an integer quadratic form. See [Section 2](#) for the details of this construction. Further we give an algorithm how to derive the change of coordinates corresponding to an edge of length 2.

Now the idea to get the Lehmer map l is the following: let $f \in \text{NS}(S)$ be the class of a fiber. Then $f' = l_*(f)$ is the fiber class of another elliptic fibration on S . Both classes are visible on the Hodge theoretic side. Charting a path in the neighbor graph from f to its image f' yields a birational map $W \dashrightarrow W'$ between two Weierstrass models of S . In fact they must (up to Weierstrass isomorphisms) be the same since we know that l is an isomorphism. Thus this isomorphism (which on an affine chart is nothing but a change of coordinates) can be seen as an automorphism $\tilde{l}: S \rightarrow S$. By construction $(\tilde{l} \circ l^{-1})_*(f) = f'$ preserves the class of a fiber. Such automorphisms act on the base \mathbb{P}^1 or are fiberwise translations. In any case they are easily controlled which leads us to a Lehmer map l in [Section 6](#).

The calculations were carried out using the computer algebra systems Pari-GP [[Pari](#)], SageMath [[Sage](#)] and Singular [[DGPS19](#)].

2. KNESER'S NEIGHBOR METHOD AND FIBRATION HOPPING.

In this section we review a connection between elliptic fibrations on a given K3 surface and the neighboring graph of a quadratic form found by the second author. It is described in [[Kum14](#), Appendix], [[EK14](#), Sect. 5] and used in [[ES15](#)], [[Kum15](#)]. In this work we take an algorithmic point of view.

2.1. The lattice story. A lattice (or \mathbb{Z} -lattice) consists of a finitely generated free abelian group L together with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: L \times L \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

If the bilinear form is understood, we omit it from notation and simply call L a lattice. Further we abbreviate $\langle x, x \rangle = x^2$ and $\langle x, y \rangle = x.y$ for $x, y \in L$. Vectors $x \in L$ with $x^2 = -2$ are called roots. For $L = \mathbb{Z}^n$ we denote the lattice by its gram matrix. For a subset $A \subset L$ we denote by $A^\perp = \{x \in L : x.A = 0\}$ the maximal submodule orthogonal to A . An isometry of lattices is an isomorphism of abelian groups preserving the bilinear forms. We say that two lattices belong to the same *genus*, if their completions $L \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\nu$ at all places ν of \mathbb{Q} are isometric. The symbol \mathbb{Z}_p denotes the p -adic numbers. See [[Kne02](#)] for an introduction to quadratic forms and their neighbors.

In this section we review a constructive version of the following theorem. See [[Dur77](#), 4.1] for a related proof.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $U \cong \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ be a hyperbolic plane. Two lattices L, L' are in the same genus if and only if $U \oplus L \cong U \oplus L'$.*

Definition 2.2. Let L, L' be lattices in the same quadratic space V and p a prime number. We say that L and L' have p -distance n if $p^n = [L : L \cap L'] = [L' : L \cap L']$. Then we call them p^n -neighbors.

Assumption. For the rest of this section let $p \nmid \det L$ be a prime and $\text{rk } L \geq 3$.

Note that p -neighbors have the same determinant. Since $p \nmid \det L$, both $L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $L' \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ are unimodular and of the same rank and determinant. Thus they are isometric. For primes $q \neq p$ we have $L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_q = L' \otimes \mathbb{Z}_q$. Thus any two p -neighbors

lie in the same genus. The following theorem works in the converse direction. At a first read the reader may ignore the difference between genus and the so called spinor genus since they usually agree.

Theorem 2.3. *Any two classes in the spinor genus of L are connected by a sequence of p -neighbors. If $p = 2$ and L is even, then this sequence can be chosen to consist of even lattices only.*

Proof. This is a consequence of [Kne02, 28.4] which in fact works with weaker assumptions. \square

For indefinite genera (of rank at least 3) the spinor genus consists of a single isometry class and the genus consists of a finite number (usually 1) of spinor genera. In the definite case, the number of isometry classes in a genus is still finite but in general one uses algorithmic methods to enumerate them. The standard approach uses the previous theorem: it explores the neighboring graph by passing iteratively to neighbors. This rests on the following explicit description of p -neighbors.

Lemma 2.4. [Kne02, 28.5] *Let $v \in L \setminus pL$ with $v^2 \in p^2\mathbb{Z}$, then*

$$L(v) = L_v + \mathbb{Z}\frac{1}{p}v \text{ with } L_v = \{x \in L \mid x.v \in p\mathbb{Z}\}$$

is a p -neighbor and every p -neighbor is of this form.

Neighbors turn out to be useful in the hyperbolic case as well. Let N be a lattice. We call an element $x \in N$ primitive, if for $y \in N$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x = ny$ implies that $n = \pm 1$.

Let $f_1 \in N$ be primitive with $f_1^2 = 0$. In the case that f_1 can be completed to a hyperbolic plane by $e_1 \in N$ with $e_1^2 = 0$ and $e_1.f_1 = 1$, then we have $f_1^\perp/f_1 \cong \{f_1, e_1\}^\perp$. Suppose that $f_1.f_2 = p$. Since $p \nmid \det N$, we find $e' \in N$ with $p \nmid f_1.e'$. This implies that we can complete f_1 to a hyperbolic plane.

Lemma 2.5. *Let N be a lattice and $f_1, f_2 \in N$ be primitive with $f_1^2 = f_2^2 = 0$ and $f_1.f_2 = p$. Denote by L_i the image of the orthogonal projection*

$$f_i^\perp/\mathbb{Z}f_i \longrightarrow \{f_1, f_2\}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then L_1 and L_2 are p -neighbors in the quadratic space $\{f_1, f_2\}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. The lattices L_1 and L_2 are p -neighbors since their intersection is precisely $\{f_1, f_2\}^\perp \subseteq N$ which is of index p in each: we can compare the determinants of $L_i \cong f_i^\perp/\mathbb{Z}f_i \cong \{f_i, e_i\}^\perp$ and $\{f_1, f_2\}^\perp$; the first one is $\det N$, and since p does not divide $\det N$, the second one is $-p^2 \det N$ (use that the completions $N \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_q f_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_q f_2$, $q \neq p$ are unimodular). \square

In view of Lemma 2.4 we make this explicit.

Lemma 2.6. *Let L_1 be an even lattice and $v \in L_1 \setminus pL_1$ with $v^2 \in 2p^2\mathbb{Z}$. Fix a hyperbolic plane $U_1 = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}f_1$ with $(e_1, f_2) = 1$, $e_1^2 = f_1^2 = 0$ and consider $N = U_1 \oplus L_1$. Set*

$$f_2 = \frac{v^2}{2p}f_1 - pe_1 + v$$

and choose some $e_2 \in U_1 \oplus L_1$ with $e_2^2 = 0$ and $(e_2, f_2) = 1$. Then the orthogonal complement L_2 of $U_2 = \mathbb{Z}e_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}f_2$ is isomorphic to the p -neighbor $L_1(v)$ of L_1 .

Proof. By construction f_2 is primitive, $f_2^2 = 0$ and $f_2 \cdot f_1 = p$, so the previous Lemma applies to give that the orthogonal complements are neighbors. Restricting the orthogonal projection to L_1 and L_2 gives the isometries

$$\pi_1: L \rightarrow \{f_1, f_2\}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \quad x \mapsto x - \frac{x \cdot f_2}{p} f_1,$$

and

$$\pi_2: L_2 \rightarrow \{f_1, f_2\}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \quad y \mapsto y - \frac{y \cdot f_1}{p} f_2.$$

Indeed, since f_1 is isotropic and orthogonal to L_1 , π_1 preserves the bilinear form and likewise does π_2 . To see that $\pi_1(L_1(v)) = \pi_2(L_2)$, one can calculate that $\pi_1(v)$ is the image of $\pi_1(v) - (e_2 \cdot \pi(v))e_2 \in pL_2$ under π_2 . \square

2.2. The geometric story. See [SS10] for a survey on elliptic fibrations. Let S be a K3 surface over an algebraically closed field $K = \bar{K}$. To exclude the possibility of quasi-elliptic fibrations we assume that the characteristic of K is not 2 or 3.

Definition 2.7. A *genus one fibration* on S consists of a morphism $\pi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ whose generic fiber is a smooth curve of genus one over the base. An *elliptic fibration* is a genus one fibration equipped with a distinguished section $O: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow S$ with $\pi \circ O = \text{id}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$.

Rational points of the generic fiber correspond to sections of the fibration and vice versa. The zero section O defines a rational point on the genus one curve $S/K(\mathbb{P}^1)$. This turns the generic fiber into an elliptic curve with zero given by O . Such a curve has a Weierstrass model

$$y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$$

with $a_i(t, s) \in K[\mathbb{P}^1]$ homogeneous of degree $2i$. This defines a normal surface in weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 4, 6)$ whose minimal model is the K3 surface S . Here s, t have weight 1, x has weight 4 and y weight 6.

Denote by f and $o \in \text{NS}(S)$ the algebraic equivalence classes of a fiber F and the zero section O . Their intersection numbers are $e^2 = -2$, $f^2 = 0$ and $e \cdot f = 1$. Thus they span a hyperbolic plane $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cong \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \cong U_\pi \subseteq \text{NS}(S)$.

Definition 2.8. We call U_π^\perp the *frame lattice* and $U_\pi \oplus \mathcal{R}(U_\pi^\perp)$ the *trivial lattice* where $\mathcal{R}(U_\pi^\perp)$ is the sublattice spanned by the roots of U_π^\perp .

The trivial lattice gives information on the reducible singular fibers. For instance the reducible fibers not meeting the zero section form a fundamental root system for the root lattice $\mathcal{R}(U_\pi^\perp)$.

The Mordell-Weil group is the group of sections of the fibration. It comes equipped with the height pairing h which is a \mathbb{Q} -valued bilinear form and turns it into the *Mordell-Weil lattice* $\text{MWL}(\pi)$. The Mordell-Weil lattice is isomorphic to the image of the orthogonal projection $\text{NS}(S) \rightarrow (\text{Triv}(\pi)^\perp)^\vee$ equipped with the negative of the intersection form (cf. [Shi90, Lemma 8.1]). In fact the Mordell-Weil group is isomorphic to $\text{NS}(S)/\text{Triv}(\pi)$ (cf. [Shi90, Theorem 1.3]). Addition in the lattice indeed corresponds to the group law on the elliptic curve.

A K3 surface may admit several elliptic fibrations. They can be detected in the Néron-Severi lattice:

Theorem 2.9. [PŠŠ71, Paragraph 3] *Let S be a K3 surface and $f \in \text{NS}(S)$ a primitive nef divisor class with $f^2 = 0$. Then the complete linear system $|f|$ induces a genus one fibration $\pi_{|f|}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. We call such a class an elliptic divisor class.*

Remark 2.10. If $f \in \text{NS}(S)$ is merely primitive with $f^2 = 0$, then there is an element $w \in W(\text{NS}(S))$ of the Weyl group with $w(f)$ nef. Hence [Theorem 2.1](#) shows that every lattice in the genus of some frame lattice U_π^\perp is a frame lattice $U_{\pi'}^\perp$ for some elliptic fibration $\pi': S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

Example 2.11. The class $o + f$ has square 0, but it is not nef since $(o + f).o = -2 + 1 < 0$.

Definition 2.12. Let π_1, π_2 be two elliptic fibrations with fiber classes f_1, f_2 . We call the fibrations n -neighbors if their frame lattices project to n -neighbors in $\{f_1, f_2\}^\perp$.

Suppose that n is coprime to $\det \text{NS}(S)$. By the previous section, two elliptic fibrations are n -neighbors if $f_1.f_2$ equals n .

Remark 2.13. The traditional way to produce neighboring elliptic divisors, is to find a configuration of (-2) curves on S whose intersection graph is an extended Dynkin-diagram of type \tilde{A} , \tilde{D} , or \tilde{E} . The corresponding isotropic class is automatically nef. The isotropic class f_2 constructed in [Lemma 2.6](#) may not be nef. Often this can be compensated with an element w of the Weyl group as in [Remark 2.10](#). However in general $f_1.w(f_2)$ may be different from $f_1.f_2$.

2.3. Computing 2-neighboring fibrations. In this subsection we give an algorithm to compute the linear system $|f'|$ of an elliptic divisor starting from a Weierstrass model of a 2-neighbor f .

Lemma 2.14. [Shi90, Lemma 5.1] *Every divisor D of an elliptic K3 surface is linearly equivalent to a divisor of the form*

$$D \sim (d - 1)O + P + V$$

for $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, P some section (possibly the zero section) and V a vertical divisor.

Suppose F' is an elliptic divisor with $(F, F') = 2$. Since $F.V = 0$ for any vertical divisor V , we have

$$F' \sim O + P + V$$

with V vertical but not necessarily effective. We want to compute this linear system.

Since V is vertical, there is $k \geq 0$ such that the class of $kF - V$ is effective. To determine k one uses extended Dynkin diagrams and their isotropic vectors to represent f as a linear combination of fiber components of the respective reducible fibers. Our strategy is to first compute the larger linear system $|O + P + kF|$ and then to figure out the equations of the linear subspace $|O + P + V|$ of $|kF + O + P|$.

Let (X, Y) be affine coordinates of the ambient affine space of a Weierstrass model of $E/K(t)$. We set $\deg X = 4$ and $\deg Y = 6$. Suppose that $P = (P_X, P_Y) \in E$ is not a torsion section. (See [\[Kum14\]](#) for this case.) Then 1 and $(Y + P_Y)/(X - P_X)$ are a basis of global sections of $\mathcal{O}_E(F')$. Thus every section of $\mathcal{O}_S(F')$ is of the form $a(t) + b(t)(Y + P_Y)/(X - P_X)$ for some $a(t), b(t) \in K(t)$. The divisor V gives conditions on the zeros and poles of a and b .

Remark 2.15. The dimension of the linear system is predicted by the Riemann-Roch formula and Serre duality. Indeed, for an effective divisor D on a K3 surface S we have

$$h^0(S, D) = h^0(S, D) + h^0(S, -D) = 2 + \frac{1}{2}D^2 + h^1(S, D).$$

The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow 0$ shows that $h^1(S, D) = h^1(S, -D) = \dim h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S) - 1$ which is zero for $D = O + P + lF$ since D is numerically connected (cf. [SD74, Lem. 2.2 and 3.4]).

We regard $K(t) \subseteq K(S)$ and write the elements $e \in K(t)$ in the form $e = e_n/e_d$ where the numerator e_n and denominator e_d are co-prime elements of the polynomial ring $K[t]$. For P we abbreviate $x_n = (P_X)_n$ and $x_d = (P_X)_d$ and likewise $y_n = (P_Y)_n$, $y_d = (P_Y)_d$.

Lemma 2.16. *Let $O \neq P \in E$ be a section. Then*

$$2O.P = \deg(Xx_d - x_n) - 4 = \frac{2}{3}(\deg(Yy_d - y_n) - 6)$$

Proof. For a start note that $x_d^3 = y_d^2$. The intersection multiplicity in the chart $s \neq 0$, is

$$\deg(y_d/x_d) = \frac{1}{2} \deg x_d.$$

We change to the chart given by $X = \tilde{X}/s^4$, $Y = \tilde{Y}/s^6$, $t = 1/s$. In these coordinates the section P is given by

$$(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = (s^4 x(\frac{1}{s}), s^6 y(\frac{1}{s})).$$

Hence the valuation at s is given by

$$\nu_s(\tilde{x}) = 4 + \deg x_d - \deg x_n$$

Similarly we have $\tilde{x}_d^3 = \tilde{y}_d^2$ and so the intersection multiplicity at $s = 0$ is

$$\max\{0, -\nu_s(\tilde{y}/\tilde{x})\} = \frac{1}{2} \max\{0, \deg x_n - \deg x_d - 4\}.$$

Combined this results in

$$\begin{aligned} 2O.P &= \deg x_d + \max\{0, \deg x_n - \deg x_d - 4\} \\ &= \max\{\deg x_d + 4, \deg x_n\} - 4 = \deg(Xx_d - x_n) - 4. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.17. *Let F be the divisor on S given by $\{t = 0\}$, P a non-torsion section and $k \geq 0$. Then the elements of $H^0(S, O + P + kF)$ are given by*

$$\frac{a(t)(Xx_d - x_n) + b(t)(Yy_d + y_n)}{t^k x_d(Xx_d - x_n)}$$

with $a, b \in K[t]$, $2O.P = \max(\deg x_d, \deg x_n - 4)$ and

- (1) $\deg a \leq k + 2O.P$,
- (2) $\deg b \leq k + 2O.P - 2 - \frac{1}{2} \deg x_d$,
- (3) $\deg(ax_n + by_n) \leq k + 2O.P + 4 + \deg x_d$,
- (4) $ax_n + by_n \equiv 0 \pmod{x_d}$.

This results in a solution space of dimension $h^0(S, O + P + kF) = 2k + O.P$.

Proof. The condition that

$$u = \tilde{a}(t) + \tilde{b}(t)(Y + P_y)/(X - P_x) \in K(X, Y, t)$$

represents an element of $H^0(S, O + P + kF)$ means that the denominator of u is divisible at most by $t^k(Xx_d - x_n)$. Writing u as a reduced fraction yields the following form with $a, b \in K[t]$

$$u = \frac{ax_dX + by_dY - (ax_n + by_n)}{t^kx_d(Xx_d - x_n)}.$$

Conditions (1–3) assure that there is no pole at $t = \infty$: with $\deg X = 4$, $\deg Y = 6$ this means that $\deg u \leq 0$. Condition (4) assures that we can reduce x_d from the fraction.

We compute the dimension of the linear system. Conditions (1) and (2) result in a space of dimension $2k + 4O.P - \frac{1}{2} \deg x_d$. Let \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} be general elements of this space. The rank of condition (3) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{0, \deg(\tilde{a}x_n + \tilde{b}y_n) - (k + 2O.P + 4 + \deg x_d)\} \\ &= \max\{0, \deg x_n - \deg x_d - 4, \deg y_n - \deg y_d - 6\} \\ &= \frac{3}{2}(2O.P - \deg x_d) \end{aligned}$$

Condition (4) is independent and has rank $\deg x_d$.

This gives the total number of solutions

$$\begin{aligned} & (2k + 4O.P - \frac{1}{2} \deg x_d) - \frac{3}{2}(2O.P - \deg x_d) - \deg x_d \\ &= 2k + O.P \\ &= 2 + \frac{1}{2}(kF + O + P)^2 \end{aligned}$$

as predicted by the Riemann-Roch formula. \square

Next we have to cut down the linear system $|kF + O + P|$ to the smaller system $|O + P + V|$. To this end we recall some concepts from commutative algebra.

For ideals I, J of a Noetherian ring R let $I : J = \{x \in R \mid xJ \subseteq I\}$ be the ideal quotient and $I^{(n)}$ be n -th symbolic power of I . For a prime ideal P , the symbolic power $P^{(n)}$ is the smallest P -primary ideal containing P^n . Recall that an ideal I is called primary if $xy \in I$ implies that $x \in I$ or $y^n \in I$. The localization of R at P is denoted by R_P .

Lemma 2.18. *Let $f \in R$ be an element of a Noetherian ring R , $0 \leq w \in \mathbb{Z}$, $P \leq R$ a prime ideal with R_P a discrete valuation ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{ord}_P(f) \geq w$,
- (2) $f \equiv 0 \pmod{P^w R_P}$,
- (3) $f \equiv 0 \pmod{P^{(w)}}$,
- (4) $P^w : fR \not\subseteq P$.

Proof. (1) \iff (2): R_P is a discrete valuation ring with valuation ord_P .

(2) \iff (3): Let $f \in R$ with $f \in P^w R_P$. This means that there is $s \in R \setminus P$ with $sf \in P^w$. Since $P^w \subseteq P^{(w)}$ and the latter is P -primary, this implies that $f \in P^{(w)}$. Hence $R \cap P^w R_P \subseteq P^{(w)}$. It remains to show that $P^w R_P$ is P -primary. Then, by minimality, $R \cap P^w R_P \supseteq P^{(w)}$. Let $f, g \in R$ with $fg \in R \cap P^w R_P$, i.e.

$\exists : s \in R \setminus P$ with $sf g \in P^w$. Since P is prime and $s \notin P$, $g \in P$ or $f \in P$. Thus $f^w \in P^w$ or $g^w \in P^w$.

(3) \implies (4): Suppose that $f \in P^{(w)} = R \cap P^w R_P$. Then there is $r \in R \setminus P$ with $rf \in P^w$ which implies that $rfR \subseteq P^w$. Hence r is an element of $P^w : fR$ not contained in P .

(4) \implies (2): Take $r \in (P^w : fR) \setminus P$, i.e. $rfR \subseteq P^w$. Since r is a unit in R_P , this gives $rfR_P = fR_P \subseteq P^w R_P$. \square

Next we describe how to obtain linear equations for $H^0(S, kF + O + P + V)$ in $H^0(S, kF + O + P)$.

Remark 2.19. Let D be a vertical prime Weil divisor on S . In practice we obtain D as exceptional divisor coming from a blowup during a minimal resolution of the Weierstrass model (e.g. by Tate's algorithm [Tat75]). Choose some chart $U \subset S$ intersecting D . And let $W \subset S$ be a Weierstrass chart. We represent D as the pair (ϕ_U, D_U) where $\psi_U: K(W) \rightarrow K(U)$ is the (rational) change of coordinates and $P_U \leq K[U]$ is the prime ideal giving the Weil divisor $D|_U$. The conditions on $\phi \in H^0(S, kF + O + P)$ to descend to the subspace $H^0(S, O + P + V)$ are of the form $\text{ord}_D(\phi) \geq v \in \mathbb{Z}$. To this end write $\psi_U(\phi) = f/g$ for some $f \in K[U]$ and some fixed $g \in K[U]$ independent of ϕ . Using Lemma 2.18 our condition $\text{ord}_D(f) \geq v + \text{ord}_D(g) = w$ is equivalent to $f \equiv 0 \pmod{P_U^{(w-v)}}$. This defines a linear map

$$H^0(S, kF + O + P) \longrightarrow k[U]/P_U^{(w-v)}$$

whose kernel is $H^0(S, kF + O + P + V)$.

The computation of $H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(f'))$ allows us to explicitly give a morphism $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ whose generic fibre is a curve of genus one. In order to continue fibration hopping from the newly found fibration, one chooses a point of small height and transforms the genus one curve into minimal Weierstrass form (see e.g. [Kum14] for formulas, most computer algebra systems have implemented functionality for this).

To proceed we need generators of the Mordell-Weil group. Generators for $\text{NS}(S)$ can be obtained via push forward from the previous model. However, they will in general not be sections but multi-sections. From a multi-section one obtains a section by taking the fiberwise trace.

Lemma 2.20. *Let $\mathfrak{p} \leq K(t)[x, y]$ be the defining ideal of an irreducible multi-section in a Weierstrass chart given by $y^2 - f(x)$. Then $\mathfrak{p} = (y - h(x), g(x))$ or $\mathfrak{p} = (y^2 - f(x), g(x))$ for $h(x), g(x) \in K(t)[x]$.*

Proof. Since \mathfrak{p} is prime and contains $y^2 - f(x)$, we find a prime element $0 \neq g(x) \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then either $\mathfrak{p} = (y^2 - f(x), g(x))$ or $yr(x) - \tilde{h}(x) \in \mathfrak{p}$ with r and g coprime. But then we find $s, s' \in K(t)[x]$ with $sr + s'g = 1$ so that $y + s\tilde{h}(x) =: y + h(x) \in \mathfrak{p}$. Since $(y - h(x), g(x))$ is a prime ideal contained in \mathfrak{p} , it must be equal to \mathfrak{p} . \square

If the ideal of the multi-section is $\mathfrak{p} = (y^2 - f(x), g(x))$, then the fiberwise trace is the zero section since \mathfrak{p} is linearly equivalent to $(\deg g(x))O$. Otherwise it is computed by the following simple Algorithm 1.

Proof of Algorithm 1. By assumption $y^2 - f \in (g, y - h)$. Thus $h^2 - f \in (g)$ which means that $(h^2 - f)/g \in k[x]$. Since the degree of $(h^2 - f)/g$ is bounded by $2 \deg h - \deg g < \deg g$, the procedure terminates. Let D_1, D_2 be the divisors defined

Algorithm 1 Fiberwise trace

Require: a Weierstrass model $y^2 - f(x)$,
a multi-section $(g(x), y - h(x)) \subset K(t)[x, y]$
Ensure: $(x - x(t), y \pm y(t))$ a section which is up to sign the fiberwise trace
 $h := h \pmod{g}$
while $\deg g > 1$ **do**
 $g := (h^2 - f)/g$
 $h := h \pmod{g}$
end while
return (g, h)

by $(g, y - h)$ and $((h^2 - f)/g, y - h)$. The divisor $D_1 + D_2 - 2 \deg(h)O$ is linearly equivalent to zero. It is the divisor of the rational function $(y/z - h(x/z))$. Hence the output section P satisfies a linear equivalence of the form $D_1 \pm P + nO \sim 0$, i.e. it is up to sign the trace. \square

2.4. A strategy for fibration hopping. We summarize the method of fibration hopping highlighting practical aspects. It is applied in [Section 6](#) to obtain the Lehmer map. Start with the following data:

- a minimal Weierstrass equation $W : y^2 - x^3 - a_2x^2 - a_4x - a_6$ defining an elliptic fibration $\pi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of a K3 surface with fiber class $f \in \text{NS}(S)$;
- a \mathbb{Z} -basis B of $\text{NS}(S)$ consisting of sections and fiber components;
- an elliptic divisor class $f' \in \text{NS}(S)$ with $f \cdot f' = 2$ written as a linear combination of the basis.

Assume that f' admits a section, i.e. $f' \cdot \text{NS}(S) = \mathbb{Z}$, otherwise stop at step (12).

- (1) Compute the intersection matrix of the basis and the height pairing of the sections.
- (2) Find a representative $F' = O + P + V \in f'$ as in [Lemma 2.14](#).
- (3) Compute P using addition in the Mordell-Weil group.
- (4) Find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $O + P + V \leq O + P + kF = D$.
- (5) Compute the linear system $H^0(S, D)$ as in [Proposition 2.17](#).
- (6) Resolve the singularities of the Weierstrass model using Tate's algorithm and represent fiber components by a pair $(\phi_U : k(W) \rightarrow K(U), P_U)$ with $P_U \leq K[U]$ the defining ideal.
- (7) Cut out the linear subspace $H^0(S, O+P+V)$ of $H^0(S, D)$ using [Remark 2.19](#).
- (8) Choose two elements $\phi_0, \phi_1 \in H^0(S, O + P + V)$ and set $u = \phi_0/\phi_1$.
- (9) Solve for $y = y(u, x, t)$ (assuming P is not 2-torsion see cf. [\[Kum14, 39.1\]](#) for the general case), substitute into the Weierstrass equation, cancel a common factor and absorb square factors into x , to obtain an equation of the form $x^2 = g(u, t)$ of degree 3 or 4 in t .
- (10) Search a $K(u)$ -rational point of small height. This can be done by pushing forward suitable divisors from S , or by exhaustive search modulo a prime and p -adic lifting.
- (11) Use the point to obtain a Weierstrass model (see e.g. [\[Kum14, EK14\]](#)).
- (12) Use Tate's algorithm to obtain a globally minimal Weierstrass model W' and its singular fibers.

- (13) Let $\psi_W : W \dashrightarrow W'$ be the birational change of coordinates between the Weierstrass charts. Push forward fiber components and sections, to obtain multi-sections of the new fibration π' and turn them into sections by taking the fiberwise trace with [Algorithm 1](#).
- (14) Compute the height pairing and LLL-reduce the gram matrix to obtain a basis of short vectors and the corresponding sections of small height.
- (15) Choose a basis B' of $\text{NS}(S')$ consisting of fiber components and sections.
- (16) Pushforward fiber components and sections of S not contained in the indeterminacy locus of ψ_W and compute the basis representation w.r.t to B' using the intersection pairing; stop when sufficient information to recover the matrix representation of the pushforward $\psi_* : \text{NS}(S) \rightarrow \text{NS}(S')$ in the bases B and B' is obtained. For efficiency this is best done over a finite field with subsequent p -adic lifting.

Remark 2.21. The following sanity checks may help the reader to avoid common errors when reproducing the strategy:

- Make sure the labeling of the exceptional divisors in step (6) matches that of the basis B .
- If the linear subspace $|O + P + V|$ in step (7) is zero, double check that the divisor f' is actually nef.
- Use the formulas for the Jacobian of a genus 1 curve to obtain W' (this does not yield the transformation) and compare with your result.
- Compute the singular fibers of W' , compare with the root sublattice of f'^\perp .
- Push forward some extra sections of the fibration in step (16) using equations. Then compare with the matrix representation of ψ_* .

3. FINDING THE SURFACE

In this section we derive equations for the K3 surface S carrying an automorphism of minimal entropy. We begin by fixing our notation for K3 surfaces.

Let X be a complex K3 surface and g an automorphism. Write $\mathbb{C}\omega = H^0(X, \Omega_X^2)$ with ω a non-zero 2-form. Then $g^*\omega = \delta(g)\omega$ for some $\delta(g) \in \mathbb{C}$. If X is projective, then $\delta(g)$ must be a root of unity. We call g symplectic if $\delta(g) = 1$ and non-symplectic otherwise. Recall that we denote by $\text{NS}(X)$ the Neron-Severi lattice and by $T(X)$ the transcendental lattice of X . Denote by $C_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ the n -th cyclotomic polynomial.

Theorem 3.1 (The two prime construction). [\[McM16, 7.2\]](#) *There exists an automorphism $l : S \rightarrow S$ of a complex projective K3 surface S such that $\text{NS}(S)$ has rank 16 and discriminant $7 \cdot 13^2$,*

$$\lambda(l) = \lambda_{10} \text{ and } \delta(l) = \exp(2\pi i 5/14).$$

The characteristic polynomial of l^ on $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ is given by*

$$S_{10}(x)C_{14}(x)C_4(x)(x^2 - 1)^2.$$

Remark 3.2. From the proof one extracts the following: An even unimodular lattice H and an isometry $l' \in O(H)$ - both as 22×22 integer matrices. We know that abstractly $H \cong H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{22}$. A Hodge structure on H is given by setting $H^{2,0}(H_{\mathbb{C}})$ as the eigenspace of $l'_{\mathbb{C}}$ with eigenvalue $\delta(l)$. By Lefschetz' theorem on $(1, 1)$ -classes we recover $\text{NS}(S) \cong H^{2,0}(H_{\mathbb{C}})^\perp \cap H$.

In the following l will continue to denote the Lehmer map as in [Theorem 3.1](#). For an even lattice N we denote by A_N the discriminant group equipped with the discriminant quadratic form. This is a finite group of order $|\det(N)|$. Recall that we have a natural homomorphism $O(N) \rightarrow O(A_N)$. Assume that X is projective. Then $\delta(g)$ is a root of unity. Let $\kappa(g)$ denote the kernel of

$$\mathbb{Z}[\delta(g)] \rightarrow \text{End}(A_{\text{NS}(X)}), \quad \delta(g) \mapsto (x \mapsto g_*(x)).$$

In particular $\kappa(g)$ is an ideal in a cyclotomic field.

Lemma 3.3. *If a complex K3 surface X admits an automorphism g of order 7 with $\delta(g) = \delta(l^2)$ and $\kappa(g) = \kappa(l^2)$ then X is isomorphic to McMullen's surface S .*

Proof. We take l^2 so that $\delta(l^2)$ is a 7-th root of unity. Then [\[Bra19, Prop. 5.1\]](#) applies and yields the result. \square

The next lemma shows that such an X in fact exists. Let N be a lattice and $g \in O(N)$ an isometry. The fixed lattice and the path of g are defined as

$$N^g = \{x \in N \mid g(x) = x\} \quad \text{and} \quad N_g = (N^g)^\perp.$$

By [\[AST11\]](#) the fixed lattice of a prime order p automorphism on a K3 surface is p -elementary (i.e. $pA_{N^g} = 0$). Thus we cannot hope for $\text{NS}(X) = H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^g$. The next best thing is to aim for $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^g \cong U \oplus E_8$. Then the path $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})_g \cong 2U \oplus E_8$.

Lemma 3.4. *There exists a surface X which admits an action by a non-symplectic automorphism g of order 7 with $\text{NS}(X)^g \cong U \oplus E_8$ such that $\delta(g) = \delta(l^2)$ and $\kappa(g) = \kappa(l^2)$.*

Proof. We obtain g in the style of McMullen [\[McM16\]](#) by equivariant gluing. Set $(T, g_T) = (T(S), l_*^2|T(S))$. We obtain (C, g_C) as a twist of the principal $\Phi_7(x)$ -lattice. By picking a suitable prime of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_7 + \zeta_7^{-1}]$ above 13 for the twist, one can assure that $A_C \cong A_T(-1)$ and that the characteristic polynomials of the actions on the glue groups $(A_C)_q \cong (A_T(-1))_q$, $q = 7, 13$ of C and T match.

$$\boxed{(U \oplus E_8, \text{id}), (1, 9)} \xrightarrow{1} \boxed{\begin{array}{l} (C, g_C), (0, 6) \\ A_C \cong \mathbb{F}_7 \oplus \mathbb{F}_{13}^2 \end{array}} \xrightarrow{7 \cdot 13^2} \boxed{\begin{array}{l} (T, g_T), (2, 4) \\ A_T \cong \mathbb{F}_7 \oplus \mathbb{F}_{13}^2 \end{array}}$$

FIGURE 1. Gluing diagram for σ .

Thus C and T glue equivariantly to an even unimodular lattice of signature $(2, 10)$ (cf. [\[McM16, Thm. 4.1\]](#)). Taking the orthogonal direct sum with $U \oplus E_8$ yields an even unimodular lattice H of signature $(3, 19)$. We obtain the isometry $g' = \text{id} \oplus g_C \oplus g_T \in O(H)$. Since C has no roots, g' is unobstructed in the sense of McMullen. By construction it preserves the Hodge structure defined by $H^{2,0}(S) \subseteq T(S) \otimes \mathbb{C} = T \otimes C$. By surjectivity of the period map and the global Torelli theorem, we find an H -marked K3 surface (X, η) and an automorphism $g \in \text{Aut}(X)$ with $\eta g_* \eta^{-1} = g'$. By construction $\delta(g) = \delta(l^2)$ and $\kappa(g) = \kappa(l^2)$. \square

Automorphisms of prime order on K3 surfaces are well understood. Their deformation type is determined by the fixed lattice and for each type we know a maximal family (cf. [\[AST11\]](#)). In our case [Lemmas 3.3](#) and [3.4](#) imply that S must

be a member of the one dimensional family \mathcal{S} of complex elliptic K3 surfaces given by

$$\mathcal{S}: y^2 = x^3 + ax + t^7 + 1$$

found in [AST11, Example 6.1 1]. The automorphism g is given by $(x, y, t) \mapsto (x, y, \zeta_7 t)$.

We want to find a member S_1 of this family with $\text{NS}(S) \cong \text{NS}(S_1)$. Since $\text{Triv}(S_1) \cong U \oplus E_8$ is unimodular, $\text{NS}(S_1) = \text{Triv}(S_1) \oplus \text{MWL}(S_1)(-1)$, that is, the elements of C give elements of the Mordell-Weil group of the fibration. Note that the minimum of the Mordell-Weil lattice $\text{MWL}(S_1) \cong C(-1)$ is 4, and in fact there are up to a change of sign exactly 6 minimal vectors.

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -4 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -4 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -4 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -4 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad g_C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

They lie in a single g -orbit. In our case we are searching for a section P of height $h(P) = 4$. This means that $P = (x(t), y(t))$ with $x, y \in K[t]$ of degree at most 4 resp. 6.

Imposing the existence of an extra section in the family \mathcal{S} with given intersection pairing is a closed condition on the base. But to write it down and to solve for it can be difficult. Instead, we reduce the family modulo a prime p and look for the extra sections over \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . We would like to take $n = 1$. Since \mathcal{S} admits a non-symplectic automorphism of order 7 acting non-trivially on the extra sections, it seems likely that ζ_7 is involved in their equations (see [Tae17] for a quantitative statement on a field of definition of CM K3 surfaces). Thus we should assure that \mathbb{F}_p contains a 7-th root of unity. This is the case if and only if 7 divides $p - 1$. Hence we continue with $p = 29$ and work over \mathbb{F}_{29} . For $\mathcal{S}/\mathbb{F}_{29}$ our search is a finite problem.

Since we do not work over an algebraically closed field, it is better to take the general form

$$\mathcal{S}_{a,b,c}: y^2 = x^3 + ax + bt^7 + c$$

instead. It can be normalized to the first one but only at the cost of a coordinate change involving roots of the coefficients. It is equivalent to $\mathcal{S}_{a',b',c'}$ with

- $(a', b', c') = (a, v^7 b, c)$ for $v \in \mathbb{F}_{29}^\times$ (scale t by v),
- $(a', b', c') = (m^4 a, m^6 b, m^6 c)$ (scale x by m^2 and y by m^3 for $m \in \mathbb{F}_{29}^\times$).

Note that we can assume $b \neq 0$ since otherwise the fibration is trivial. The case $a = 0$ is dealt with separately and we continue with $a \neq 0$. After a normalization we may assume that $a = b$ and vary c in a set of representatives of $\mathbb{F}_{29}/(\pm 1)$. (Note that this comes at the price of taking a cube root which is harmless over \mathbb{F}_{29} but not over \mathbb{Q} .) We set

$$x(t) = x_0 + x_1 t + x_2 t^2 + x_3 t^3 + x_4 t^4.$$

Using the action on t by a 7-th root of unity we may assume that x_4 varies in a set of representatives of $\mathbb{F}_{29}/(\mathbb{F}_{29}^\times)^4$. Further, the leading coefficient must be a square and the degree of $x(t)$ even. But if $\deg x \leq 2$, then $\deg y \leq 3$ and we cannot cancel the at^7 term. Thus $\deg x(t) = 4$ and we may continue with $x_4 = \pm 1$.

Given (a, c) and $x(t)$ we can compute $x^3 + ax + at^7 + c$ and check whether or not is a square. If it is, we have found a section $P = (x(t), y(t))$ and can compute its square root $y(t)$. This leaves us with at most $2 \cdot 29^4 \cdot 15 \cdot 28$ combinations to check.

We can speed this up further by varying $a \in \mathbb{F}_{29}$ and $x \in \mathbb{F}_{29}[t]_4$, then computing $y \in \mathbb{F}_{29}[t]_6$ such that $x^3 + ax + at^7 - y^2$ is of degree at most 6. If this difference is constant, it must be equal to c and we have found a solution. We may assume $x_4 = 1$ using the coordinate change $(x, y, t) \mapsto (-x, \zeta_4^3 y, -t)$. This way there are only $29^4 \cdot 28$ cases to be checked.

To compute the candidate for y , we use the first 6 terms of the power series expansion

$$v = \sqrt{1+u} = 1 + 15u + 18u^2 + 20u^3 + 2u^4 + 16u^5 + 17u^6 + O(u^7).$$

Set $g(t) = x^3 + ax + at^7$, which is of degree 12, and let $1 + u = t^{12}g(1/t)$. Then set $y = t^6v(1/t)$ and truncate to get a polynomial of degree 6.

The computation took about 12 minutes (20 seconds with an optimized implementation on 4 cores) resulting in the 6 fibrations (4 non-isomorphic ones) given by $(a, c) \in \{(2, \pm 11), (14, \pm 1), (19, 15), (19, 26)\}$.

In a second step we computed, for each candidate $\mathcal{S}_{(a,a,c)}$ and P , the Gram matrix of the height pairing with respect to the basis $(P, \sigma^*(P), \dots, \sigma^{*6}(P))$. Then we tested if this Gram matrix defines a lattice isometric to C . Since the only reducible fiber is of type II^* , i.e. \tilde{E}_8 , the height pairing is the negative of the intersection pairing. The height pairing of two sections $P \neq Q$ on our surface is given as follows: write $(\frac{Q_y - P_y}{Q_x - P_x}) = \frac{f(t)}{g(t)}$ with $f, g \in K[t]$ coprime polynomials. Then $h(P, Q) = \deg g - 2$.

We obtain determinants 343 and 448 for 2 fibrations each. The remaining two have the desired determinant $1183 = 7 \cdot 13^2$ and isomorphic Mordell-Weil lattices.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{19,19,15}: \quad y^2 &= x^3 + 19x + 19t^7 + 15 \\ x(t) &= t^4 + 7t^3 + 7t^2 + 27t + 16 \\ y(t) &= t^6 + 25t^5 + 18t^4 + 25t^3 + 15t^2 + 20t + 23 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{19,19,26}: \quad y^2 &= x^3 + 19x + 19t^7 + 26 \\ x(t) &= t^4 + 17t^3 + 4t^2 + 22t + 17 \\ y(t) &= t^6 + 11t^5 + 2t^4 + 18t^3 + 23t^2 + 23t + 19 \end{aligned}$$

Since g is tame, we know a priori that (Y_i, g) lifts to characteristic zero (cf. [Jan17]). Each lift supports a map with entropy $\log \lambda_{10}$. In the next section we see how to carry out the lift in practice.

4. A LIFT TO CHARACTERISTIC ZERO

We regard the equation and its section as a solution of

$$g(x, y, a, c) = y^2 - x^3 - ax - at^7 - c = 0 \pmod{p}$$

with $x, y \in K[t]$ monic of degree 4 and 6. Using a p -adic multivariate Newton iteration we lifted the solution (in 11 steps) to a solution modulo p^{2048} . The function **algdep** in PARI [Pari] discovers an integer polynomial of degree 18 with root a and a polynomial of degree 6 with root c . In fact $a^3 \in \mathbb{Q}[c]$ which reflects that our normalization $a = b$ required taking a cube root. After a change of coordinates

(allowing for $a \neq b$) we can get rid of the cube root. Using the function **polredabs** we find the defining polynomial

$$w^6 - 2w^5 + 2w^4 - 3w^3 + 2w^2 - 2w + 1 = 0$$

of discriminant $7^4 13$ and containing the cubic field of discriminant 49. Then $\mathbb{Q}[w] \cong \mathbb{Q}[b]$, which is the number field [6.2.31213.1](#). With further coordinate changes we arrive at the following equation for $S = S_1$.

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} S_1: y^2 &= x^3 + ax + bt^7 + c \quad \text{where} \\ a &= (-86471w^5 - 19851w^4 - 116626w^3 + 67043w^2 - 125502w + 106947)/48 \\ b &= 7(-w^5 + w^4 + 2w^3 - 3w^2 + 3w - 1) \\ c &= (141655682w^5 - 65661512w^4 + 230672148w^3 - 136877559w^2 \\ &\quad + 149096157w - 96818792)/864 \end{aligned}$$

The section $P = (x, y)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (w^5 - 2w^4 + w + 1)t^4 \\ &+ (-10w^5 + 12w^4 - 2w^3 + 14w^2 - 2w + 6)t^3 \\ &+ (-22w^5 + 42w^4 - 41w^3 + 53w^2 - 25w + 39)t^2 \\ &+ (-22w^5 + 26w^4 - 41w^3 + 89w^2 - 21w + 68)t \\ &+ (-400w^5 + 493w^4 + 98w^3 + 639w^2 + 178w + 557)/12 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} y &= (-3w^5 + 7w^4 - 6w^3 + 7w^2 - 7w + 4)t^6 \\ &+ (6w^5 - 18w^4 + 9w^3 - 9w^2 + 21w + 9)t^5 \\ &+ (-159/2w^5 + 201/2w^4 - 51w^3 + 153w^2 - 45/2w + 63)t^4 \\ &+ (-206w^5 + 374w^4 - 365w^3 + 537w^2 - 289w + 377)t^3 \\ &+ (-519/2w^5 + 249w^4 - 389/2w^3 + 1203/2w^2 - 14w + 1205/2)t^2 \\ &+ (-1213/2w^5 + 861w^4 - 1153/2w^3 + 1276w^2 - 367/2w + 775)t \\ &+ (-773/2w^5 + 1553/2w^4 - 745w^3 + 2423/2w^2 - 961/2w + 1347/2) \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.1 (The Coxeter construction). McMullen constructs a second automorphism \tilde{l} of a projective K3 surface \tilde{S} of dynamical degree λ_{10} . This time $\delta(\tilde{l})$ is a 22nd root of unity and $\text{NS}(\tilde{S})$ has discriminant $11 \cdot 23^2$. As before we find that \tilde{S} lies in a one dimensional family of automorphisms of order 11, we reduced modulo 23, found $\tilde{S}/\mathbb{F}_{23}$ and lifted the surface modulo 23^{8192} . However, this time we were unable to find an algebraic relation up to degree 100.

At this point we have a basis for $\text{NS}(S_1)$ and can compute the corresponding intersection matrix. On the other hand McMullen's construction provides a lattice N abstractly isometric to $\text{NS}(S_1)$ and an isometry $l' \in O(N)$ preserving some chamber of the positive cone. Our next task is to find a concrete isometry $N \cong \text{NS}(S_1)$ and to reconstruct the Lehmer map l from its cohomological shadow l' .

5. FINDING A GOOD FIBRATION.

Equations for Lehmer's automorphism l depend on the coordinates we choose on the surface. In order to get manageable equations, we need to choose suitable coordinates. We decided to work with Weierstrass models of elliptic fibrations. Let t be a coordinate on the base \mathbb{P}^1 of the fibration. The intersection $l_*(F).F$ gives the degree of $l(t)$ on the generic fiber. Hence our first step is to search a "nef divisor" $f_6 \in N$ with $l'(f_6).f_6$ as small as possible. Here "nef" means that f_6 is a ray of a chamber preserved by l . By a computer search we found f_6 with $l'(f_6).f_6 = 4$.

We know that N and $\text{NS}(S_1)$ are abstractly isomorphic since they lie in the same genus $\text{II}_{(1,15)}7^{-1}13^{-2}$ which consists of a single isometry class. To find equations for l , we search a sequence of 2-neighbor steps connecting f_6 with f_1 . In particular this gives an explicit isometry $\text{NS}(S_1) \rightarrow N$.

We choose some e_6 with $e_6^2 = -2$ and $e_6.f_6 = 1$. This results in a hyperbolic plane U_6 whose orthogonal complement has the root sublattice $\mathcal{R}(U_6^\perp) = A_3 \oplus A_4 \oplus 3A_1$. The complement $U_6^\perp \subseteq N$ is a negative definite lattice in the genus $\text{II}_{(0,14)}7^{-1}13^{-2}$. This genus has mass

$$15589726949525753/172164671078400 = 90.55\dots$$

which is quite big. Hence it is better to first exhibit an E_8 lattice inside N by hand. This is carried out in a sequence of three 2-neighbor steps with root sublattices $\mathcal{R}(U_5^\perp) = A_3 \oplus D_5 \oplus A_1$, $\mathcal{R}(U_4^\perp) = D_8 \oplus A_1$ and finally $\mathcal{R}(U_3^\perp) = E_8 \oplus A_1$. Giving $U_3 \oplus E_8 \oplus C_3 = N$ for some lattice C_3 in the genus $\text{II}_{(1,7)}7^{-1}13^{-2}$.

We continue on our path of 2-neighbor steps on the orthogonal complement of E_8 , which is easier since the mass of the genus of C_3 is only 2669/2016 and the dimension of the lattices in question is only 6. Indeed the Kneser neighboring algorithm returns 23 representatives for this genus almost instantly. One of them is isomorphic to C - the Mordell-Weil lattice of the fibration on S_1 . We are searching for a hyperbolic plane $U \subseteq E_8^\perp \subset N$ with U^\perp isomorphic to the frame lattice $E_8 \oplus C$ of the fibration. With [Lemma 2.6](#) we chart a 2-neighbor path with two steps connecting U_3^\perp and U_1^\perp using reflections where necessary to guarantee nefness.

The path from U_1 to U_6 yields a sequence of coordinate changes between the Weierstrass models S_1, \dots, S_6 of the corresponding fibrations. We display the final equation S_6 . A list of the intermediate fibrations is found in the ancillary files. Since one needs a primitive 7th root of unity to get all of $\text{NS}(S_1)$, we worked with the degree 12 number field $F = \mathbb{Q}(w, \zeta_7) \cong \mathbb{Q}(z)$ where

$$(2a) \quad 0 = z^{12} - 3z^{11} + z^{10} + 7z^9 - 8z^8 - 4z^7 + 13z^6 - 4z^5 - 8z^4 + 7z^3 + z^2 - 3z + 1$$

$$(2b) \quad w = z^{11} - z^{10} - 3z^9 + 4z^8 + 5z^7 - 6z^6 - 2z^5 + 7z^4 + z^3 - 4z^2 + z + 2$$

$$(2c) \quad \zeta_7 = 2z^{11} - 4z^{10} - 3z^9 + 14z^8 - 4z^7 - 16z^6 + 16z^5 + 8z^4 - 14z^3 + 2z^2 + 6z - 2.$$

Finally a minimal Weierstrass equation for S_6 is given by

$$S_6: y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$$

where a and b are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
a = & ((-486z^{11} + 1620z^{10} - 513z^9 - 3888z^8 + 4077z^7 + 2565z^6 - 5616z^5 + 1269z^4 \\
& + 3591z^3 - 1620z^2 - 675z + 567)t^8 + (-6696z^{11} + 17712z^{10} + 432z^9 - 44712z^8 \\
& + 30024z^7 + 36720z^6 - 53352z^5 - 2808z^4 + 39960z^3 - 10800z^2 - 10368z + 7344)t^7 \\
& + (-2808z^{11} + 10044z^{10} - 17172z^9 - 1080z^8 + 52488z^7 - 64044z^6 - 29484z^5 \\
& \quad + 84024z^4 - 35208z^3 - 37044z^2 + 32076z + 5832)t^6 \\
& + (-11016z^{11} + 48384z^{10} - 63288z^9 - 65664z^8 + 222696z^7 - 81648z^6 \\
& \quad - 238248z^5 + 201960z^4 + 56376z^3 - 164592z^2 + 7560z + 45360)t^5 \\
& + (-12690z^{11} + 93150z^{10} - 118260z^9 - 171990z^8 + 411750z^7 + 44280z^6 \\
& \quad - 487890z^5 + 222750z^4 + 287820z^3 - 247590z^2 - 90180z + 76680)t^4 \\
& + (-1296z^{11} + 37800z^{10} - 41256z^9 - 86832z^8 + 139320z^7 + 98280z^6 \\
& \quad - 151200z^5 + 20088z^4 + 151632z^3 - 23760z^2 - 50112z + 7776)t^3 \\
& + (-13284z^{11} + 39204z^{10} + 19224z^9 - 132732z^8 + 37260z^7 + 183384z^6 \\
& \quad - 110052z^5 - 78516z^4 + 152928z^3 + 25272z^2 - 41796z + 9180)t^2 \\
& + (-6048z^{11} + 2808z^{10} + 28944z^9 - 30240z^8 - 46656z^7 + 59184z^6 + 11664z^5 \\
& \quad - 63072z^4 + 15336z^3 + 28296z^2 - 7776z - 2592)t + 297z^{11} - 1026z^{10} + 3456z^8 \\
& \quad - 2889z^7 - 3699z^6 + 5562z^5 - 81z^4 - 4590z^3 + 1782z^2 + 1242z - 756),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
b = & ((-7722z^{11} + 22086z^{10} - 3240z^9 - 50652z^8 + 38502z^7 + 40500z^6 - 59562z^5 \\
& - 4482z^4 + 45576z^3 - 8856z^2 - 15012z + 6804)t^{12} \\
& + (-98496z^{11} + 212544z^{10} + 90072z^9 - 555336z^8 + 109512z^7 + 583200z^6 \\
& - 423144z^5 - 344088z^4 + 430272z^3 + 70632z^2 - 174960z + 44064)t^{11} \\
& + (223560z^{11} - 733536z^{10} - 90072z^9 + 2659716z^8 - 2026620z^7 - 3154464z^6 \\
& + 4465044z^5 + 323352z^4 - 3957336z^3 + 1499148z^2 + 1261980z - 763992)t^{10} \\
& + (3447576z^{11} - 7511184z^{10} - 4469040z^9 + 24927480z^8 - 8393328z^7 - 28239408z^6 \\
& + 28654992z^5 + 10934352z^4 - 25684344z^3 + 5006664z^2 + 8851896z - 3840912)t^9 \\
& + (3403296z^{11} - 3695868z^{10} - 11826648z^9 + 21801312z^8 + 9769248z^7 - 33295536z^6 \\
& + 15361812z^5 + 25153416z^4 - 19040832z^3 - 2238678z^2 + 8672670z - 812592)t^8 \\
& + (-4041576z^{11} + 18718128z^{10} - 16985376z^9 - 32168016z^8 + 60015816z^7 \\
& + 7663248z^6 - 64441656z^5 + 30965328z^4 + 37784232z^3 - 26276400z^2 \\
& - 8659872z + 11021184)t^7 + (-18053280z^{11} + 49242816z^{10} - 3374784z^9 \\
& - 119968128z^8 + 88202520z^7 + 97750800z^6 - 151035192z^5 - 9475704z^4 \\
& + 111685392z^3 - 32377968z^2 - 36442224z + 19078416)t^6 \\
& + (-17546544z^{11} + 38331792z^{10} + 13155048z^9 - 99952056z^8 + 34218288z^7 + 90345456z^6 \\
& - 89199792z^5 - 39875328z^4 + 68240880z^3 - 1063368z^2 - 24871536z + 5820336)t^5 \\
& + (1819098z^{11} - 11564532z^{10} + 6563106z^9 + 34937082z^8 - 42080796z^7 - 40589748z^6 \\
& + 69748452z^5 - 4833270z^4 - 64619694z^3 + 25145964z^2 + 20500938z - 14105664)t^4 + (8464824z^{11} \\
& - 19295928z^{10} - 8678016z^9 + 58692600z^8 - 20930616z^7 - 64744704z^6 + 63535752z^5 \\
& + 26203392z^4 - 58450032z^3 + 7671024z^2 + 20609856z - 8338032)t^3 + (1602828z^{11} - 1830924z^{10} \\
& - 4247964z^9 + 7142256z^8 + 4926420z^7 - 9102456z^6 + 1647540z^5 + 8908056z^4 - 2494800z^3 \\
& - 2839860z^2 + 1512432z + 366768)t^2 + (-200232z^{11} + 598752z^{10} - 12960z^9 - 1662768z^8 \\
& + 1236384z^7 + 1578528z^6 - 2214864z^5 - 97848z^4 + 1799496z^3 - 498960z^2 - 505440z \\
& + 283824)t - 6534z^{11} + 10422z^{10} + 17712z^9 - 45144z^8 - 9180z^7 + 67824z^6 - 36072z^5 \\
& - 45360z^4 + 49248z^3 + 3996z^2 - 19440z + 6480).
\end{aligned}$$

6. EQUATIONS FOR LEHMER'S MAP

In this section we factor the Lehmer automorphism of S into a sequence of isomorphisms between elliptic K3 surfaces. Since birational maps of K3 surfaces are isomorphisms, it suffices to define the map on an open subset.

We will now confirm that the map we constructed has dynamical degree given by Lehmer's number λ_{10} . As confirming the computations over the degree 12 field is impractical, we work modulo a prime. This leaves l_* unchanged and gives us the opportunity to display human readable equations again to illustrate the methods by which we found equations for the Lehmer map. We reduce modulo the prime $P = (29, z + 12)$ of norm 29 and work over the residue field $k \cong \mathbb{F}_{29}$. The neighbor steps were really carried out over a number field of degree 12 (with intermediate steps modulo P). The corresponding equations are found in the ancillary files.

We work with column vectors so that $l_*^T N_6 l_* = N_6$. One may note that $l_*(f_6).f_6 = 4$. Instead of performing a (complicated) 4-neighbor step, we shall perform two 2-neighbor steps. An intermediate fibration is given by the following vector:

$$f_7 = (6, 3, -1, -2, -1, -1, -1, -2, -2, -1, 0, -1, -1, 1, -1, 0).$$

Indeed f_7 is nef and $f_6.f_7 = 2$, $l_*(f_6).f_7 = 2$.

6.2. The first neighbor step. The fibration on S_6 is our starting point. We want to find equations for the fibration S_7 corresponding to f_7 . We now follow the strategy for fibration hopping given in [Section 2.4](#).

(1-8) The new elliptic parameter. We calculate the linear system $H^0(S, f_7) = \{\phi(c_0, c_1) \mid c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{29}\}$ and choose $\phi(0, 1)/\phi(1, 0) = u$ with

$$u = \frac{(-13t^7 + 5t^6 + 9t^5 - 11xt^3 - 3t^4 - 11t^3 - 5xt + yt - 6t^2 + 2x - 7y + 8t - 7)}{(-10t^6 + 10t^5 + 3t^4 + xt^2 - 8t^3 - 14xt - 7t^2 - 9x + 12t - 12)}$$

as new elliptic parameter.

(9) A curve of genus one. Solve for $y = y(x, t, u)$ and set $x \mapsto y'$, $t \mapsto x'$ and $y \mapsto y(y', x', u)$. We rename $(x, y, t) = (x', y', u)$ and view the resulting change of coordinates $\mathbb{F}_{29}(x, y, t) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{29}(x, y, t)$ as a bi-rational map of the ambient affine space \mathbb{A}^3 ,

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} x &\mapsto y, \\ y &\mapsto (-13x^7 + 10x^6t + 5x^6 - 10x^5t + 9x^5 - 3x^4t - 3x^4 - 11x^3y + 8x^3t - x^2yt \\ &\quad - 11x^3 + 7x^2t + 14xyt - 6x^2 - 5xy - 12xt + 9yt + 8x + 2y + 12t - 7)/(-x + 7), \\ t &\mapsto x, \end{aligned}$$

which leads us to the following equation of a curve of genus 1 over $\mathbb{F}_{29}(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = &x^8 + (26t + 24)x^7 + (19t^2 + 19t + 3)x^6 + (10t^2 + 11t + 2)x^5 + 24x^4y + (3t^2 + 6t + 1)x^4 \\ &+ (22t + 27)x^3y + (21t^2 + 22t + 6)x^3 + (t^2 + 11)x^2y + (22t^2 + 12t + 7)x^2 \\ &+ (15t^2 + 10t + 26)xy - y^2 + (12t^2 + 13t + 21)x + (20t^2 + 25t + 17)y + 17t^2 + 14t + 18. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we complete the square and absorb square factors into y . This transforms it to a hyperelliptic curve (of genus 1) over $\mathbb{F}_{29}(t)$:

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} x &\mapsto x, \\ y &\mapsto 12x^4 + 11x^3t - 14x^2t^2 - x^3 - 7xt^2 - 9x^2 + xy + 5xt + 10t^2 + 13x - 7y - 2t - 6, \\ t &\mapsto t, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 = &(7t^2 + 26t + 20)x^4 + (11t^3 + 26t^2 + 7t + 3)x^3 + (22t^4 + 2t^2 + 15t + 18)x^2 \\ &+ (11t^4 + 5t^3 + 10t^2 + 19t + 5)x + 5t^4 + 27t^3 + 11t^2 + 28t + 23. \end{aligned}$$

(10-11) A Weierstrass model. To reach a Weierstrass model, we choose the point $(x, y) = (0, -11t^2 + 8t + 9)$ as zero section and move it to infinity:

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} x &\mapsto x/y, \\ y &\mapsto (6x^3t^4 - 14x^3t^3 - 12xyt^4 - 3y^2t^4 - 10x^3t^2 + 4x^2t^3 + 13xyt^3 + 7y^2t^3 \\ &\quad - 7x^3t - x^2t^2 - 3xyt^2 + 5y^2t^2 - 13x^3 + 11x^2t + 3xyt - 11y^2t - x^2 + 13xy \\ &\quad - 8y^2)/(5y^2t^2 - y^2t - 12y^2), \\ t &\mapsto t, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & (t^8 + 5t^7 + 15t^6 + 28t^5 + 20t^4 + 14t^3 + 11t^2 + 26t + 20)x^3 \\
& + (11t^7 + 3t^6 + 28t^5 + 3t^4 + 26t^3 + 26t^2 + 15t + 12)x^2 \\
& + (25t^8 + 4t^7 + 2t^6 + 4t^5 + 25t^4 + 9t^3 + 26t^2 + 25t + 18)xy \\
& + (28t^8 + 24t^7 + 14t^6 + t^5 + 9t^4 + 15t^3 + 18t^2 + 3t + 9)y^2 \\
& + (27t^6 + 3t^5 + 27t^4 + 28t^3 + 25t^2 + 19t + 3)x \\
& + (5t^7 + 24t^6 + t^5 + 13t^4 + 20t^3 + 10t + 5)y,
\end{aligned}$$

(12) **Tate's algorithm.** We move two reducible fibers to 0 and ∞ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(8) \quad & x \mapsto x, \\
& y \mapsto y, \\
& t \mapsto (-6t - 11)/t,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & (28t^4 + 4t^3 + 23t^2 + 4t + 28)x^3 + (4t^6 + 22t^5 + 3t^4 + 28t^2 + t)x^2 \\
& + (12t^5 + 8t^4 + 16t^3 + 16t^2 + 2t + 4)xy + (t^4 + 25t^3 + 6t^2 + 25t + 1)y^2 \\
& + (25t^8 + 27t^7 + 5t^6 + 12t^5 + 26t^4 + 4t^3 + 12t^2)x \\
& + (5t^7 + 17t^6 + 8t^5 + 28t^4 + 4t^3 + 14t^2 + 11t)y.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we reach a globally minimal elliptic fibration:

$$\begin{aligned}
(9) \quad & x \mapsto (-t^4 + 9t^3 - 8t^2 - 4x - 9t - 11)/(t^2 - 2t + 1), \\
& y \mapsto (-11t^6 - 14t^5 + 7t^4 - 5xt^2 - 13t^3 + xt + 14t^2 - 8x + 9y + 12t + 7)/(t^3 - 3t^2 \\
& \quad \quad \quad + 3t - 1), \\
& t \mapsto t,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_7: \quad & y^2 = x^3 + (11t^8 + 15t^7 + 26t^6 + 7t^5 + 14t^4 + 4t^3 + 17t^2 + 15t + 19)x + 20t^{12} \\
& \quad \quad \quad + 4t^{11} + 9t^{10} + 15t^9 + 14t^8 + 14t^7 + 16t^6 + 23t^4 + 8t^3 + 5t^2 + 5t + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

(13-14) **The new basis.** Next we have to find a basis for $\text{NS}(S_7)$, that is, generators of the Mordell-Weil group of the new fibration. Let us call $f_{76} : S_7 \rightarrow S_6$ the map induced by the composition of the rational maps above. We calculate $(f_{76}^{-1})_*(D)$ for D in our basis of $\text{NS}(S_6)$ (since we worked with Weil-Divisors, we cannot simply calculate f_{76}^*). The resulting divisors are either fibers or multisections. We take the fiberwise trace of each multisection with [Algorithm 1](#) turning it into a section, compute the Mordell-Weil lattice in this basis and LLL-reduce it to obtain the following sections of small height:

$$\begin{aligned}
(10) \quad & B_1 = (25t^4 + 13t^3 + 16t^2 + 23t + 15, \quad 17t^6 + 3t^5 + 16t^4 + 15t^3 + 5t^2 + 25t + 6), \\
& B_2 = (25t^4 + 26t^3 + 23t^2 + 4t + 15, \quad 12t^6 + 6t^5 + 17t^3 + 9t^2 + 4t + 6), \\
& B_3 = (9t^4 + 4t^3 + 25t^2 + 24t + 21, \quad 23t^6 + 27t^5 + 2t^4 + 7t^3 + 28t^2 + 17t + 27), \\
& B_4 = (7t^4 + 24t^3 + 27t^2 + 5t + 19, \quad 18t^6 + 27t^5 + 21t^4 + 26t^2 + t), \\
& B_5 = (21t^4 + 20t^3 + 23t^2 + 9t + 19, \quad 19t^5 + 19t^4 + 17t^3 + 21t^2 + 11t).
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.1. Calculating $(f_{76}^{-1})_*(D)$ in the degree 12 field is expensive since f_{76} has large coefficients. So instead we lifted the sections B_i to characteristic zero by a multivariate Newton iteration.

(15) The intersection matrix. The intersection matrix N_7 of our chosen basis of $\text{NS}(S_7)$ is the following:

$$N_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & -2 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & -2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As before one can infer the ordering of fiber components in our basis from the intersection matrix.

(16) The transformation matrix. Next, we calculated (the basis representation of) the pushforward

$$(f_{76})_*: S_7 \longrightarrow S_6.$$

$$(f_{76})_* = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 0 & 14 & 10 & 10 & 20 & 8 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 7 & 5 & 5 & 10 & 4 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -3 & -1 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -2 & 0 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -5 & -2 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -3 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -3 & -2 & -2 & -3 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -3 & -2 & -2 & -3 & -1 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -2 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -2 & 0 & -5 & -3 & -4 & -6 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -2 & 0 & -4 & -3 & -4 & -6 & -2 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -2 & -1 & -2 & -4 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -3 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -4 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -3 & -1 & -2 & -3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $(f_{76})_*^T N_6 (f_{76})_* = N_7$.

Remark 6.2. We represented f_{76} as a morphism of some open subset of S_7 (defined as the complement of the hypersurface of the denominators) to some affine chart of S_6 . Many of the basis divisors will not meet the chart used to define f_{76} . However only finitely many do. Thus instead of only pushing forward the basis, we push forward elements of the Mordel-Weil group until we have reached a \mathbb{Q} -basis. The

basis representation of a divisor on S_6 was obtained by calculating the intersection numbers with our given basis.

6.3. The second factor. Using the basis representation we calculate

$$f_8 = (f_{76})_*^{-1} l_*(f_6) = (9, 4, -1, -2, -2, -2, -2, -1, -1, -1, 0, 0, 1, 0, -1, -2).$$

This is the target elliptic divisor for the second neighbor step.

(1-8) The next elliptic parameter. The elliptic parameter turns out to be

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} & (5t^{11} + 2t^{10} + 13t^9 - 3xt^7 - 5t^8 - xt^6 + 7t^7 + 6xt^5 - 6yt^5 - 10t^6 \\ & - 2xt^4 - 5yt^4 - 7t^5 - 5xt^3 - 11yt^3 + 7t^4 - 9xt^2 + 3yt^2 - 5t^3 + 5xt \\ & + 4yt - 13t^2 + 7x + 14t + 10)/(-10t^{11} + 7t^{10} - 13t^9 + 6xt^7 - 13t^8 \\ & + 7xt^6 + 14t^7 + xt^5 - 7t^6 - xt^4 - 6yt^4 + 7t^5 + 10xt^3 - 5yt^3 - 6t^4 \\ & - 13xt^2 - 11yt^2 + 10t^3 + 2xt + 3yt - 11t^2 + 9x + 4y - 10t - 4). \end{aligned}$$

(9) A curve of genus one. As before we use it to derive the coordinate change to the new fibration:

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} & x \mapsto y, \\ & y \mapsto (10x^{11}t + 5x^{11} - 7x^{10}t + 2x^{10} + 13x^9t + 13x^9 + 13x^8t - 6x^7yt - 5x^8 \\ & - 3x^7y - 14x^7t - 7x^6yt + 7x^7 - x^6y + 7x^6t - x^5yt - 10x^6 + 6x^5y - 7x^5t \\ & + x^4yt - 7x^5 - 2x^4y + 6x^4t - 10x^3yt + 7x^4 - 5x^3y - 10x^3t + 13x^2yt \\ & - 5x^3 - 9x^2y + 11x^2t - 2xyt - 13x^2 + 5xy + 10xt - 9yt + 14x + 7y + 4t \\ & + 10)/(6x^5 - 6x^4t + 5x^4 - 5x^3t + 11x^3 - 11x^2t - 3x^2 + 3xt - 4x + 4t), \\ & t \mapsto x, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & (3t^2 + 3t + 27)x^{10} + (8t^2 + 11t + 26)x^9 + (10t^2 + 8t + 20)x^8 + (26t^2 + 15t + 26)x^7 \\ & + (4t^2 + 4t + 4)x^6y + (26t^2 + 16t + 11)x^6 + (22t^2 + 3t + 5)x^5y + (26t^2 + t + 22)x^5 \\ & + (4t^2 + 11t + 28)x^4y + (9t^2 + 4t + 8)x^4 + (18t^2 + 24t + 26)x^3y + 25x^2y^2 \\ & + (23t^2 + 23t + 20)x^3 + (14t^2 + 3t + 22)x^2y + 8txy^2 + (15t + 25)x^2 \\ & + (14t^2 + 26t + 10)xy + 25t^2y^2 + (19t^2 + 6t + 3)x + (27t^2 + 12t + 5)y + 14t + 3. \end{aligned}$$

Transform to a hyperelliptic curve over $K(t)$:

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} & x \mapsto x, \\ & y \mapsto (4x^6t^2 + 4x^6t - 7x^5t^2 + 4x^6 + 3x^5t + 4x^4t^2 + 5x^5 + 8x^4y + 11x^4t \\ & - 11x^3t^2 - x^4 - 3x^3y - 5x^3t + 14x^2t^2 - 3x^3 + 5x^2y + 3x^2t + 14xt^2 \\ & - 7x^2 - 4xy - 3xt - 2t^2 + 10x + 14y + 12t + 5)/(8x^2 + 13xt + 8t^2), \\ & t \mapsto t, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 = & (22t^4 + 15t^3 + 16t^2 + 23t + 7)x^4 + (12t^4 + 2t^3 + 9t^2 + 22t + 16)x^3 \\ & + (28t^4 + 28t^3 + 4t^2 + 21t + 3)x^2 \\ & + (11t^4 + 24t^3 + 3t^2 + 26t + 18)x + 16t^4 + 8t^3 + 21t^2 + 16t + 13. \end{aligned}$$

(10) **A rational point.** We change the chart of the hyperelliptic curve and thus find a section which was previously at infinity and invisible to us.

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} x &\mapsto 1/x, \\ y &\mapsto y/x^2, \\ t &\mapsto t, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 &= (16t^4 + 8t^3 + 21t^2 + 16t + 13)x^4 + (11t^4 + 24t^3 + 3t^2 + 26t + 18)x^3 \\ &\quad + (28t^4 + 28t^3 + 4t^2 + 21t + 3)x^2 \\ &\quad + (12t^4 + 2t^3 + 9t^2 + 22t + 16)x + 22t^4 + 15t^3 + 16t^2 + 23t + 7. \end{aligned}$$

(11) **A Weierstrass model.** Use the section $(x, y) = (0, -14t^2 - 14t - 6)$ to obtain a Weierstrass form. (Note that a different choice of section will result in a different final map!)

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} x &\mapsto x/y, \\ y &\mapsto (-x^3t^3 - 5x^3t^2 + 12xyt^3 - 14y^2t^3 + 8x^3t + 7x^2t^2 + 9xyt^2 - 12y^2t^2 \\ &\quad - 10x^3 - 14x^2t + 7xyt - 4y^2t - 9x^2 + 14xy + 5y^2)/(y^2t + 4y^2), \\ t &\mapsto t, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (28t^6 + 19t^5 + 20t^4 + 2t^3 + 10t^2 + 15t + 16)x^3 + (14t^5 + 13t^4 + 20t^3 + 13t^2 + 9t + 23)x^2 \\ &\quad + (24t^6 + 22t^5 + 28t^4 + 20t^3 + 5t^2 + 3t + 19)xy \\ &\quad + (t^6 + 10t^5 + 9t^4 + 27t^3 + 19t^2 + 14t + 13)y^2 \\ &\quad + (16t^6 + 20t^5 + 2t^4 + 15t^3 + t^2 + 21t + 11)x + (11t^6 + 2t^5 + t^4 + 21t^3 + t^2 + 5t + 18)y. \end{aligned}$$

(12) **Tate's algorithm.** Next, we reach a short Weierstrass model (up to scaling):

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} x &\mapsto (-4xt^4 - 8xt^3 + 10t^4 + 5xt^2 - 12t^3 + 9xt + 12t^2 + 4x - 3t \\ &\quad - 3)/(t^4 + 2t^3 + 6t^2 + 5t - 1), \\ y &\mapsto (-10xt^6 + 9yt^6 - 2xt^5 - 2yt^5 + 5t^6 - 10xt^4 - 7yt^4 + 6t^5 - xt^3 - yt^3 \\ &\quad + 3t^4 + 10xt^2 - 3yt^2 - 4t^3 - 6xt + 2yt - 9t^2 - 14x - 8y + 2t \\ &\quad + 10)/(t^6 + 3t^5 - 4t^4 - 13t^3 - 10t^2 - 3t + 12), \\ t &\mapsto t, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (t^{12} + 6t^{11} + t^{10} + 8t^9 + 5t^8 + 9t^7 + 23t^6 + 8t^5 + 24t^4 + 9t^3 + t^2 + 15t + 28)x^3 + (28t^{12} \\ &\quad + 23t^{11} + 28t^{10} + 21t^9 + 24t^8 + 20t^7 + 6t^6 + 21t^5 + 5t^4 + 20t^3 + 28t^2 + 14t + 1)y^2 \\ &\quad + (3t^{12} + 18t^{11} + 6t^{10} + 7t^9 + 4t^8 + 10t^7 + 11t^6 + 26t^5 + 23t^4 + 25t^3 + 11t^2 + 10t \\ &\quad + 14)x + 25t^{12} + 17t^{11} + 2t^{10} + t^9 + 20t^8 + 8t^7 + 28t^6 + t^5 + 13t^4 + 8t^3 + 4t^2 + 7t. \end{aligned}$$

Then we produce a globally minimal Weierstrass model:

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} x &\mapsto x/(t^4 + 2t^3 + 6t^2 + 5t - 1), \\ y &\mapsto y/(t^6 + 3t^5 - 4t^4 - 13t^3 - 10t^2 - 3t + 12), \\ t &\mapsto t, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_8: y^2 &= x^3 + (3t^8 + 12t^7 + 22t^6 + 21t^5 + 5t^4 + 8t^3 + 27t^2 + 7t + 15)x + 25t^{12} \\ &\quad + 17t^{11} + 2t^{10} + t^9 + 20t^8 + 8t^7 + 28t^6 + t^5 + 13t^4 + 8t^3 + 4t^2 + 7t. \end{aligned}$$

Matching S_8 and S_6 . By construction the fibered surfaces S_8 and S_6 are isomorphic. Since we are working with Weierstrass models, one can give such an isomorphism in two steps. First we apply an automorphism of the base \mathbb{P}^1 to match the singular fibers with those of S_6 :

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} x &\mapsto x, \\ y &\mapsto y, \\ t &\mapsto (-8t - 10)/(t - 13), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & (t^{12} + 18t^{11} + 18t^{10} + 3t^9 + 21t^8 + 4t^7 + 7t^6 + 9t^5 + 3t^4 + 2t^3 + 27t^2 + 10t + 23)x^3 \\ & + (28t^{12} + 11t^{11} + 11t^{10} + 26t^9 + 8t^8 + 25t^7 + 22t^6 + 20t^5 + 26t^4 + 27t^3 + 2t^2 \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad + 19t + 6)y^2 \\ & + (14t^{12} + 5t^{11} + 4t^{10} + 3t^9 + 27t^8 + 9t^7 + 8t^6 + 11t^5 + 5t^4 + 23t^3 + 24t^2 + 18t + 19)x \\ & + 6t^{12} + t^{11} + 14t^{10} + 22t^9 + 22t^8 + 17t^7 + 21t^6 + 3t^5 + 17t^4 + 26t^3 + t^2 + 26t + 13. \end{aligned}$$

Then the resulting elliptic curve is isomorphic to $S_6/\mathbb{F}_{29}(t)$. An isomorphism is given by

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} x &\mapsto (-13x)/(t^4 + 6t^3 - t^2 - t - 4), \\ y &\mapsto (-6y)/(t^6 + 9t^5 + 12t^4 - 5t^3 - 2t^2 - 7t - 9), \\ t &\mapsto t, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_6: y^2 = & x^3 + (3t^8 + 10t^7 + 6t^6 + 17t^5 + 25t^4 + 4t^3 + 23t^2 + 9t + 14)x + 5t^{12} + 25t^{11} \\ & + 2t^{10} + 28t^9 + 28t^8 + 19t^7 + 3t^6 + 17t^5 + 19t^4 + 12t^3 + 25t^2 + 12t + 6. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $f_{67}: S_6 \rightarrow S_7$ the map induced by the composition of the rational maps above.

Remark 6.3. Our construction assures that $(f_{76})_*(f_{67})_*(f_6) = l_*(f_6) = f_8$. However, it may happen that $(f_{76})_*(f_{67})_*(o_6)$ is not $l_*(o_6)$ but rather another section. This can be compensated by a translation, or by choosing a different zero section when forming the Weierstrass model. Indeed this is the reason for the change of charts in (14). Once fiber and zero section have the desired pushforward, the number of automorphisms fixing both is finite. They come from automorphisms of the elliptic curve (fixing the zero section) and automorphisms of the base.

(13-16) The pushforward. As before we compute the pushforward $(f_{67})_*: \text{NS}(S_7) \rightarrow \text{NS}(S_6)$.

$$(f_{67})_* = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 0 & 4 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 10 & 2 & 4 & 20 \\ 4 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 9 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -2 & -1 & 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -4 & -1 & 0 & -4 \\ -2 & 0 & -3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -5 & -1 & 0 & -4 \\ -2 & 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -4 & -1 & 0 & -4 \\ -2 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -3 & -1 & 0 & -3 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -2 & -1 & 0 & -2 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -3 & -1 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 & -3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -6 & -1 & 0 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that $(f_{67})_*^T N_7 (f_{67})_* = N_6$.

Lehmer's map. We confirm that $(f_{76})_* (f_{67})_* = l_*$, on $\text{NS}(S_6)$. Thus the composite map $f_{76} \circ f_{67}$ has dynamical degree equal to Lehmer's number.

REFERENCES

- [AST11] Michela Artebani, Alessandra Sarti, and Shingo Taki. $K3$ surfaces with non-symplectic automorphisms of prime order. *Math. Z.*, 268(1-2):507–533, 2011. With an appendix by Shigeyuki Kondō.
- [Bra19] Simon Brandhorst. The classification of purely non-symplectic automorphisms of high order on $K3$ surfaces. *J. Algebra*, 533:229–265, 2019.
- [Can01] Serge Cantat. Dynamique des automorphismes des surfaces $K3$. *Acta Math.*, 187(1):1–57, 2001.
- [DGPS19] Wolfram Decker, Gert-Martin Greuel, Gerhard Pfister, and Hans Schönemann. SINGULAR 4-1-2 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations. <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>, 2019.
- [Dur77] Alan H. Durfee. Bilinear and quadratic forms on torsion modules. *Adv. Math.*, 25:133–164, 1977.
- [EK14] Noam Elkies and Abhinav Kumar. $K3$ surfaces and equations for Hilbert modular surfaces. *Algebra Number Theory*, 8(10):2297–2411, 2014.
- [Elk08] Noam D. Elkies. Shimura curve computations via $K3$ surfaces of Néron-Severi rank at least 19. In *Algorithmic number theory. 8th international symposium, ANTS-VIII Banff, Canada, May 17–22, 2008 Proceedings*, pages 196–211. Berlin: Springer, 2008.
- [ES15] Noam D. Elkies and Matthias Schütt. Genus 1 fibrations on the supersingular $K3$ surface in characteristic 2 with Artin invariant 1. *Asian J. Math.*, 19(3):555–582, 2015.
- [Jan17] Junmyeong Jang. A lifting of an automorphism of a $K3$ surface over odd characteristic. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2017(6):1787–1804, 2017.
- [Kne02] Martin Kneser. *Quadratische Formen. Neu bearbeitet und herausgegeben in Zusammenarbeit mit Rudolf Scharlau*. Berlin: Springer, 2002.
- [Kum14] Abhinav Kumar. Elliptic fibrations on a generic Jacobian Kummer surface. *J. Algebr. Geom.*, 23(4):599–667, 2014.
- [Kum15] Abhinav Kumar. Hilbert modular surfaces for square discriminants and elliptic subfields of genus 2 function fields. *Res. Math. Sci.*, 2:46, 2015. Id/No 24.
- [McM07] Curtis T. McMullen. Dynamics on blowups of the projective plane. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 105:49–89, 2007.

- [McM11] Curtis T. McMullen. $K3$ surfaces, entropy and glue. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 658:1–25, 2011.
- [McM16] Curtis T. McMullen. Automorphisms of projective $K3$ surfaces with minimum entropy. *Invent. Math.*, 203(1):179–215, 2016.
- [Ogu10] Keiji Oguiso. The third smallest Salem number in automorphisms of $K3$ surfaces. In *Algebraic geometry in East Asia – Seoul 2008.*, pages 331–360. Tokyo: Mathematical Society of Japan, 2010.
- [Pari] The PARI Group, Univ. Bordeaux. *PARI/GP version 2.11.2*, 2019. available from <http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/>.
- [PŠŠ71] I. I. Pjateckii-Šapiro and I. R. Šafarevič. Torelli’s theorem for algebraic surfaces of type $K3$. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 35:530–572, 1971.
- [Sage] The Sage Developers. *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 9.1.0)*, 2020. <https://www.sagemath.org>.
- [SD74] B. Saint-Donat. Projective models of $K - 3$ surfaces. *Amer. J. Math.*, 96:602–639, 1974.
- [Shi90] Tetsuji Shioda. On the Mordell-Weil lattices. *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli*, 39(2):211–240, 1990.
- [SS10] Matthias Schütt and Tetsuji Shioda. Elliptic surfaces. In *Algebraic Geometry in East Asia — Seoul 2008*, pages 51–160. Tokyo: Mathematical Society of Japan, 2010.
- [Tae17] Lenny Taelman. Complex multiplication and shimura stacks. arXiv:1707.01236, 2017.
- [Tat75] J. Tate. Algorithm for determining the type of a singular fiber in an elliptic pencil. In *Modular functions of one variable. IV. Proc. int. summer school, Univ. of Antwerp, RUCA, 1972*, pages 33–52. Berlin: Springer, 1975.

SIMON BRANDHORST, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT DES SAARLANDES, CAMPUS E2.4, 66123 SAARBRÜCKEN, GERMANY
Email address: brandhorst@math.uni-sb.de

NOAM D. ELKIES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138
Email address: elkies@math.harvard.edu