

A LAX FORMULATION OF A GENERALIZED q -GARNIER SYSTEM

TAKAO SUZUKI

ABSTRACT. Recently, a birational representation of an extended affine Weyl group of type $A_{mn-1}^{(1)} \times A_{m-1}^{(1)} \times A_{m-1}^{(1)}$ was proposed with the aid of a cluster mutation. In this article we formulate this representation in a framework of a system of q -difference equations with $mn \times mn$ matrices. This formulation is called a Lax form and is used to derive a generalization of the q -Garnier system.

Key Words: Affine Weyl group, Discrete Painlevé equation, Garnier system.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B80, 34M55, 39A13.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Garnier system (in n variables) was proposed as a generalization of the sixth Painlevé equation in [1]. It is derived from the isomonodromy deformation of a Fuchsian system of second order with $n + 3$ regular singular points. A q -analogue of the Garnier system was proposed from a viewpoint of a connection problem of a system of linear q -difference equations in [13]. Afterward it was studied in detail by a Padé method in [7, 8].

A group of symmetries for the Garnier system is isomorphic to the affine Weyl group $W(B_{n+3}^{(1)})$. This fact was shown in [3, 14]. For the q -Garnier system, a symmetry structure was clarified recently. In [9] we formulated a birational representation of an extended affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W}(A_{2n+1}^{(1)} \times A_1^{(1)} \times A_1^{(1)})$ with the aid of a cluster mutation and derived the q -Garnier system as translations. We also generalized this representation to that of $\widetilde{W}(A_{mn-1}^{(1)} + A_{m-1}^{(1)} + A_{m-1}^{(1)})$ in [10].

The aim of this article is to formulate the birational representation of $\widetilde{W}(A_{mn-1}^{(1)} + A_{m-1}^{(1)} + A_{m-1}^{(1)})$ again in a framework of a system of q -difference equations with $mn \times mn$ matrices. This formulation is called a Lax form. A Lax form for $\widetilde{W}(A_{2n+1}^{(1)} \times A_1^{(1)} \times A_1^{(1)})$ was partially given in a framework of the q -Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy in [15]. Based on this previous work, we give a complete Lax form for $\widetilde{W}(A_{mn-1}^{(1)} + A_{m-1}^{(1)} + A_{m-1}^{(1)})$ in this article.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the group of the birational transformations which is isomorphic to $\widetilde{W}(A_{mn-1}^{(1)} + A_{m-1}^{(1)} + A_{m-1}^{(1)})$ given in [10]. In Section 3 we introduce a system of q -difference equations with $mn \times mn$ matrices, whose compatibility condition implies the group given in Section 2. In Section 4 we actually formulate a generalized q -Garnier system in the case $(m, n) = (3, 2)$ as an example.

2. BIRATIONAL REPRESENTATION

Recall that the affine Weyl group $W(A_{m-1}^{(1)})$ is generated by the generators r_i ($i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$) and the fundamental relations

$$r_0^2 = r_1^2 = 1,$$

for $m = 2$ and

$$r_i^2 = 1, \quad r_i r_j r_i = r_j r_i r_j \quad (|i - j| = 1), \quad r_i r_j = r_j r_i \quad (|i - j| > 1),$$

for $m \geq 3$. Here we denote the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ by \mathbb{Z}_m .

Let $\varphi_{j,i}$ ($j \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$) be dependent variables and $\alpha_j, \beta_i, \beta'_i$ ($j \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$) be parameters defined by

$$\alpha_j = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \varphi_{j,i}, \quad \beta_i = \prod_{j=0}^{mn-1} \varphi_{j,i}, \quad \beta'_i = \prod_{j=0}^{mn-1} \varphi_{j,i+j}.$$

We also set

$$\prod_{j=0}^{mn-1} \alpha_j = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \beta_i = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \beta'_i = \prod_{j=0}^{mn-1} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \varphi_{j,i} = q.$$

Note that the parameters α_j , β_i and β'_i correspond to multiplicative simple roots for $W(A_{mn-1}^{(1)})$, $W(A_{m-1}^{(1)})$ and $W(A_{m-1}^{(1)})$ respectively. We define birational transformations r_j ($j \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}$) by

$$\begin{aligned} r_j(\varphi_{j-1,i}) &= \varphi_{j-1,i} \varphi_{j,i+1} \frac{P_{j,i+2}}{P_{j,i+1}}, & r_j(\varphi_{j,i}) &= \frac{1}{\varphi_{j,i+1}} \frac{P_{j,i}}{P_{j,i+2}}, & r_j(\varphi_{j+1,i}) &= \varphi_{j,i} \varphi_{j+1,i} \frac{P_{j,i+1}}{P_{j,i}}, \\ r_j(\varphi_{k,i}) &= \varphi_{k,i} \quad (k \neq j, j \pm 1), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$P_{j,i} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \varphi_{j,i+l}.$$

They act on the parameters as

$$r_j(\alpha_j) = \frac{1}{\alpha_j}, \quad r_j(\alpha_{j\pm 1}) = \alpha_{j\pm 1} \alpha_j, \quad r_j(\alpha_k) = \alpha_k \quad (k \neq j, j \pm 1), \quad r_j(\beta_i) = \beta_i, \quad r_j(\beta'_i) = \beta'_i.$$

We also define birational transformations s_i, s'_i ($i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$) by

$$\begin{aligned} s_i(\varphi_{j,i}) &= \frac{1}{\varphi_{j+1,i}} \frac{Q_{j,i}}{Q_{j+2,i}}, & s_i(\varphi_{j,i+1}) &= \varphi_{j,i} \varphi_{j,i+1} \varphi_{j+1,i} \frac{Q_{j+2,i}}{Q_{j,i}}, \\ s'_i(\varphi'_{j,i}) &= \frac{1}{\varphi'_{j+1,i}} \frac{Q'_{j,i}}{Q'_{j+2,i}}, & s'_i(\varphi'_{j,i+1}) &= \varphi'_{j,i} \varphi'_{j,i+1} \varphi'_{j+1,i} \frac{Q'_{j+2,i}}{Q'_{j,i}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for $m = 2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} s_i(\varphi_{j,i-1}) &= \varphi_{j,i-1} \varphi_{j+1,i} \frac{Q_{j+2,i}}{Q_{j+1,i}}, & s_i(\varphi_{j,i}) &= \frac{1}{\varphi_{j+1,i}} \frac{Q_{j,i}}{Q_{j+2,i}}, & s_i(\varphi_{j,i+1}) &= \varphi_{j,i} \varphi_{j,i+1} \frac{Q_{j+1,i}}{Q_{j,i}}, \\ s_i(\varphi_{j,k}) &= \varphi_{j,k} \quad (k \neq i, i \pm 1), \\ s'_i(\varphi'_{j,i-1}) &= \varphi'_{j,i-1} \varphi'_{j+1,i} \frac{Q'_{j+2,i}}{Q'_{j+1,i}}, & s'_i(\varphi'_{j,i}) &= \frac{1}{\varphi'_{j+1,i}} \frac{Q'_{j,i}}{Q'_{j+2,i}}, & s'_i(\varphi'_{j,i+1}) &= \varphi'_{j,i} \varphi'_{j,i+1} \frac{Q'_{j+1,i}}{Q'_{j,i}}, \\ s'_i(\varphi'_{j,k}) &= \varphi'_{j,k} \quad (k \neq i, i \pm 1), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

for $m \geq 3$, where

$$Q_{j,i} = \sum_{k=0}^{mn-1} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \varphi_{j+l,i}, \quad Q'_{j,i} = \sum_{k=0}^{mn-1} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \varphi'_{j+l,i}.$$

and $\varphi'_{j,i} = \varphi_{-j,i-j}$. They act on the parameters as

$$s_i(\beta_i) = \frac{1}{\beta_i}, \quad s_i(\beta_{i+1}) = \beta_{i+1} \beta_i^2, \quad s_i(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j, \quad s_i(\beta'_k) = \beta'_k,$$

$$s'_i(\beta'_i) = \frac{1}{\beta'_i}, \quad s'_i(\beta'_{i\pm 1}) = \beta'_{i\pm 1}(\beta'_i)^2, \quad s'_i(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j, \quad s'_i(\beta_k) = \beta_k,$$

for $m = 2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} s_i(\beta_i) &= \frac{1}{\beta_i}, & s_i(\beta_{i\pm 1}) &= \beta_{i\pm 1} \beta_i, & s_i(\beta_k) &= \beta_k \quad (k \neq i, i \pm 1), & s_i(\alpha_j) &= \alpha_j, & s_i(\beta'_l) &= \beta'_l, \\ s'_i(\beta'_i) &= \frac{1}{\beta'_i}, & s'_i(\beta'_{i\pm 1}) &= \beta'_{i\pm 1} \beta'_i, & s'_i(\beta'_k) &= \beta'_k \quad (k \neq i, i \pm 1), & s'_i(\alpha_j) &= \alpha_j, & s'_i(\beta_l) &= \beta_l, \end{aligned}$$

for $m \geq 3$.

Fact 2.1 ([2, 6]). *If we set*

$$G = \langle r_0, \dots, r_{mn-1} \rangle, \quad H = \langle s_0, \dots, s_{m-1} \rangle, \quad H' = \langle s'_0, \dots, s'_{m-1} \rangle,$$

then the groups G , H and H' are isomorphic to the affine Weyl groups $W(A_{mn-1}^{(1)})$, $W(A_{m-1}^{(1)})$ and $W(A_{m-1}^{(1)})$ respectively. Moreover, any two groups are mutually commutative.

Remark 2.2. *In this article we suppose that a composition of transformations $w = rs$ acts on a rational function $f(\varphi)$ as $w.f(\varphi) = f(\varphi.w)$, namely w acts on the variables from the right.*

In addition, we define birational transformations π_1, π_2 by

$$\pi_1(\varphi_{j,i}) = \varphi_{j+1,i+1}, \quad \pi_2(\varphi_{j,i}) = \varphi_{j,i+1}. \quad (2.4)$$

They act on the parameters as

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(\alpha_j) &= \alpha_{j+1}, & \pi_1(\beta_i) &= \beta_{i+1}, & \pi_1(\beta'_i) &= \beta'_i, \\ \pi_2(\alpha_j) &= \alpha_j, & \pi_2(\beta_i) &= \beta_{i+1}, & \pi_2(\beta'_i) &= \beta'_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Fact 2.3 ([10]). *The transformations π_1, π_2 satisfy fundamental relations*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1^{mn} &= 1, & \pi_2^m &= 1, & \pi_1 \pi_2 &= \pi_2 \pi_1, \\ r_j \pi_1 &= \pi_1 r_{j+1}, & s_i \pi_1 &= \pi_1 s_{i+1}, & s'_i \pi_1 &= \pi_1 s'_i, \\ r_j \pi_2 &= \pi_2 r_j, & s_i \pi_2 &= \pi_2 s_{i+1}, & s'_i \pi_2 &= \pi_2 s'_{i+1}, \end{aligned}$$

for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$.

Hence we can regard the semi-direct product $\langle G, H, H' \rangle \rtimes \langle \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle$ as an extended affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W}(A_{mn-1}^{(1)} \times A_{m-1}^{(1)} \times A_{m-1}^{(1)})$.

3. LAX FORM

Let us introduce an independent variable z satisfying

$$r_j(z) = z \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}), \quad s_i(z) = z, \quad s'_i(z) = z \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}_m), \quad \pi_1(z) = z, \quad \pi_2(z) = q^{1/m} z.$$

We also set

$$\zeta = z \prod_{j=1}^{mn-1} \prod_{i=0}^{m-2} \alpha_j^{(mn-j)/m} \beta_i^{(i+1)/m}.$$

Then the birational transformations act on ζ as

$$r_0(\zeta) = \alpha_0^n \zeta, \quad r_1(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\alpha_1^n} \zeta, \quad r_k(\zeta) = \zeta \quad (k \neq 0, 1),$$

$$s_{m-2}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\beta_{m-2}} \zeta, \quad s_{m-1}(\zeta) = \beta_{m-1} \zeta, \quad s_k(\zeta) = \zeta \quad (k \neq m-2, m-1), \quad s'_i(\zeta) = \zeta,$$

$$\pi_1(\zeta) = \frac{\beta_{m-1}}{\alpha_1^n} \zeta, \quad \pi_2(\zeta) = \beta_{m-1} \zeta.$$

We first give a Lax form for the birational transformations π_1, π_2 . Let E_{j_1, j_2} be a $mn \times mn$ matrix with 1 in (j_1, j_2) -th entry and 0 elsewhere. Consider $mn \times mn$ matrices

$$\Pi_1 = \zeta^{-\log_q \alpha_1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{mn-1} \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{1}{\varphi_{1,k}} E_{j, j+1} + \frac{1}{\alpha_1^n \prod_{i=0}^{m-2} \beta_i} \zeta E_{mn, 1} \right),$$

and

$$\Pi_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{mn} \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \varphi_{k, j-1} E_{j, j} + \sum_{j=1}^{mn-1} E_{j, j+1} + \frac{1}{\prod_{i=0}^{m-2} \beta_i} \zeta E_{mn, 1}.$$

We also set

$$M = \pi_2^{m-1}(\Pi_2) \pi_2^{m-2}(\Pi_2) \dots \pi_2(\Pi_2) \Pi_2.$$

Theorem 3.1. *The compatibility condition of a system of linear q -difference equations*

$$T_{q,z}(\psi) = M \psi, \quad \pi_1(\psi) = \Pi_1 \psi, \quad \pi_2(\psi) = \Pi_2 \psi,$$

where

$$T_{q,z} = \pi_2^m,$$

is equivalent to the action of the birational transformations (2.4).

Proof. If we assume (2.4), then we can show

$$\pi_2(\Pi_1) \Pi_2 = \beta_{m-1}^{-\log_q \alpha_1} \varphi_{1,0} \pi_1(\Pi_2) \Pi_1,$$

by a direct calculation. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{q,z}(\Pi_1) &= \pi_2^{m-1} \pi_2(\Pi_1) \\ &= \beta_{m-2}^{-\log_q \alpha_1} \varphi_{1, m-1} \pi_2^{m-1} \pi_1(\Pi_2) \pi_2^{m-1}(\Pi_1) \pi_2^{m-1}(\Pi_2^{-1}) \\ &= \beta_{m-3}^{-\log_q \alpha_1} \beta_{m-2}^{-\log_q \alpha_1} \varphi_{1, m-2} \varphi_{1, m-1} \pi_2^{m-1} \pi_1(\Pi_2) \pi_2^{m-2} \pi_1(\Pi_2) \pi_2^{m-2}(\Pi_1) \pi_2^{m-2}(\Pi_2^{-1}) \pi_2^{m-1}(\Pi_2^{-1}) \\ &= \dots \\ &= q^{-\log_q \alpha_1} \alpha_1 \pi_2^{m-1} \pi_1(\Pi_2) \dots \pi_2 \pi_1(\Pi_2) \pi_1(\Pi_2) \Pi_1 \Pi_2^{-1} \pi_2(\Pi_2^{-1}) \dots \pi_2^{m-1}(\Pi_2^{-1}) \\ &= \pi_1(M) \Pi_1 M^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Besides we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_{q,z}(\Pi_2) &= \pi_2 \pi_2^{m-1}(\Pi_2) \\ &= \pi_2(M) \pi_2(\Pi_2^{-1}) \pi_2^2(\Pi_2^{-1}) \dots \pi_2^{m-1}(\Pi_2^{-1}) \\ &= \pi_2(M) \Pi_2 M^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Inversely, we can restore the birational transformations (2.4) by going back the way we came. \square

We next give a Lax form for the other birational transformations. Let I be the identity matrix. Consider $mn \times mn$ matrices

$$\begin{aligned} R_0 &= \zeta^{\log_q \alpha_0} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{mn} \frac{P_{0,j-1} \prod_{k=0}^{j-2} \varphi_{0,k}}{P_{0,0}} E_{j,j} + \frac{q(1-\alpha_0)}{\varphi_{0,m-1} P_{0,0}} \frac{1}{\zeta} E_{1,mn} \right), \\ R_1 &= \zeta^{-\log_q \alpha_1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{mn} \frac{\varphi_{1,1} P_{1,2}}{P_{1,j} \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \varphi_{1,k}} E_{j,j} + \frac{1-\alpha_1}{P_{1,1}} E_{2,1} \right), \\ R_j &= I + \frac{(1-\alpha_j) \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \varphi_{k,j-1}}{P_{j,j}} E_{j+1,j} \quad (j = 2, \dots, mn-1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_i &= I + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{Q_{mk+i+2,i} \prod_{l=2}^{mk+i+1} \varphi_{l,i}}{Q_{2,i}} - 1 \right) E_{mk+i+1, mk+i+1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{Q_{1,i}}{Q_{mk+i+2,i} \prod_{l=1}^{mk+i+1} \varphi_{l,i}} - 1 \right) E_{mk+i+2, mk+i+2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\beta_i - 1}{\varphi_{1,i} Q_{2,i}} E_{mk+i+1, mk+i+2} \quad (i = 0, \dots, m-2), \\ S_{m-1} &= I + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{Q_{mk+1, m-1} \prod_{l=2}^{mk} \varphi_{l, m-1}}{Q_{2, m-1}} - 1 \right) E_{mk, mk} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{Q_{1, m-1}}{Q_{mk+1, m-1} \prod_{l=1}^{mk} \varphi_{l, m-1}} - 1 \right) E_{mk+1, mk+1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\beta_{m-1} - 1}{\varphi_{1, m-1} Q_{2, m-1}} E_{mk, mk+1} + \frac{\beta_{m-1} - 1}{\varphi_{1, m-1} Q_{2, m-1} \prod_{l=0}^{m-2} \beta_l} \zeta E_{mn, 1}, \\ S'_i &= I + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\varphi'_{0,i} Q'_{1,i}}{Q'_{0,i}} - 1 \right) E_{mk+i+2, mk+i+2} \quad (i = 0, \dots, m-2). \end{aligned}$$

We also set

$$S'_{m-1} = s'_{m-1} (\Pi_2^{-1}) \pi_2 (S'_{m-2}) \Pi_2.$$

The explicit formula of S'_{m-1} does not given here. Strangely it is rational in ζ , is not diagonal and hence is much more complicated than the others. The cause has not been clarified yet.

Theorem 3.2. *The compatibility condition of a system of linear q -difference equations*

$$T_{q,z}(\psi) = M\psi, \quad r_j(\psi) = R_j\psi \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}), \quad s_i(\psi) = S_i\psi, \quad s'_i(\psi) = S'_i\psi \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}_m),$$

is equivalent to the action of the birational transformations (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3).

Proof. We prove for the transformations s_i . If we assume (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), then we can show

$$\pi_2 (S_{i-1}) \Pi_2 = s_i (\Pi_2) S_i \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}_m),$$

by a direct calculation with relations

$$\varphi_{j,i} Q_{j+1,i} = Q_{j,i} + \beta_j + 1.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_i &= s_i(\Pi_2^{-1}) \pi_2(S_{i-1}) \Pi_2 \\
&= s_i(\Pi_2^{-1}) \pi_2 s_{i-1}(\Pi_2^{-1}) \pi_2^2(S_{i-2}) \pi_2(\Pi_2) \Pi_2 \\
&= \dots \\
&= s_i(\Pi_2^{-1}) \pi_2 s_{i-1}(\Pi_2^{-1}) \dots \pi_2^{m-1} s_{i+1}(\Pi_2^{-1}) \pi_2^m(S_i) \pi_2^{m-1}(\Pi_2) \dots \pi_2(\Pi_2) \Pi_2 \\
&= s_i(M^{-1}) T_{q,z}(S_i) M.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\pi_2 s_{i-1} = s_i \pi_2$. Inversely, we can restore the actions of s_i by going back the way we came.

We can prove for the other transformations in a similar manner by using

$$\varphi_{j,i} P_{j,i+1} = P_{j,i} + \alpha_j + 1 \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_m),$$

and

$$Q'_{j,i} + \varphi'_{j+1,i} Q'_{j+2,i} = (1 + \varphi'_{j,i}) Q'_{j+1,i} \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_m).$$

We don't state its detail here. \square

Remark 3.3. *The above definition of the matrices is suggested by [15], in which we propose a q -analogue of the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy of type $A_{mn-1}^{(1)}$ corresponding to the partition (n, \dots, n) of mn . A relationship between our Lax form and the cluster algebra is still incompletely understood. It is a future problem.*

Remark 3.4. *The transformations r_j were given by gauge transformations of the q -KP hierarchy in [4]. Besides, the transformations s_i (or s'_i) were given with the aid of a factorization of a matrix and permutations of the factors in [4, 5, 11, 12].*

4. EXAMPLE

In the previous section we obtained a Lax form for the extended affine Weyl group $\langle G, H, H' \rangle \rtimes \langle \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle$. Hence we can derive a class of generalized q -Garnier systems together with their Lax pairs from the group of translations systematically. Since the case $m = 2$ has been already studied in detail in [9, 10], we consider another case as an example in this section.

Remark 4.1. *In Remark 4.2 of [9], we conjectured that the translation $s_1 r_1 \dots r_{2n-1} \pi_1$ for $m = 2$ implies a variation of the q -Garnier system given in §3.2.4 of [8]. We can show that this conjecture is true with the aid of a q -Laplace transformation which act on the Lax pair. Since the proof can be given in a similar manner as that given in §6 of [9], we omit it here.*

Let $m = 3$ and $n = 2$. Then the matrix M is described as

$$M = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & P_{1,1} & P_{1,2}^* & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha_1 & \frac{\alpha_1 P_{2,2}^*}{\varphi_{1,1}} & \varphi_{1,0} P_{2,0}^* & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha_1 \alpha_2 & \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 P_{3,0}^*}{\varphi_{1,2} \varphi_{2,2}} & \varphi_{1,1} \varphi_{2,1} P_{3,1}^* & 1 \\
\frac{\zeta}{\beta_0 \beta_1} & 0 & 0 & \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 & \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 P_{4,1}^*}{\varphi_{1,0} \varphi_{2,0} \varphi_{3,0}} & \varphi_{1,2} \varphi_{2,2} \varphi_{3,2} P_{4,2}^* \\
\frac{\varphi_{1,0} \varphi_{2,0} \varphi_{3,0} \varphi_{4,0} P_{5,0}^* \zeta}{\beta_0 \beta_1} & \frac{\zeta}{\beta_1} & 0 & 0 & \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 & \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 P_{5,2}^*}{\varphi_{1,1} \varphi_{2,1} \varphi_{3,1} \varphi_{4,1}} \\
\frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 P_{0,0} \zeta}{\beta_0 \beta_1 \varphi_{1,2} \varphi_{2,2} \varphi_{3,2} \varphi_{4,2} \varphi_{5,2}} & \frac{\varphi_{1,1} \varphi_{2,1} \varphi_{3,1} \varphi_{4,1} \varphi_{5,1} P_{0,1}^* \zeta}{\beta_1} & \zeta & 0 & 0 & \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_5
\end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$P_{j,i} = 1 + \varphi_{j,i} + \varphi_{j,i} \varphi_{j,i+1}, \quad P_{j,i}^* = 1 + \varphi_{j,i} + \varphi_{j,i} \varphi_{j+1,i}.$$

Note that the dependent variables and the parameters satisfy a periodic condition

$$\varphi_{j,i} = \varphi_{j+6,i} = \varphi_{j,i+3}, \quad \alpha_j = \alpha_{j+6}, \quad \beta_i = \beta_{i+3}, \quad \beta'_i = \beta'_{i+3}.$$

We consider a translation

$$\tau = s_0 s_1 s'_0 s'_1 \pi_2,$$

which acts on the parameters as

$$\tau(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j, \quad \tau(\beta_i) = q^{-\delta_{i,0} + \delta_{i,2}} \beta_i, \quad \tau(\beta'_i) = q^{-\delta_{i,0} + \delta_{i,2}} \beta'_i,$$

where $\delta_{i,k}$ stands for the Kronecker's delta. Then the action of τ on the dependent variables $\varphi_{j,i}$ is derived from the compatibility condition of a Lax pair

$$T_{q,z}(T) M = \tau(M) T, \quad T = s_1 s'_0 s'_1 \pi_2(S_0) s'_0 s'_1 \pi_2(S_1) s'_1 \pi_2(S'_0) \pi_2(S'_1) \Pi_2. \quad (4.1)$$

System (4.1) can be regarded as a system of meromorphic q -difference equations of eighth order. We don't give its explicit formula here.

In the following, we introduce a particular solution of (4.1) in terms of a linear q -difference equation. Assume that

$$P_{1,1} = P_{1,2}^* = P_{4,1} = P_{4,2}^* = 0,$$

which contains a constraint between parameters

$$\alpha_1^2 \alpha_2 \alpha_4^2 \alpha_5 = \frac{\beta_0 \beta'_2}{\beta_2 \beta'_0}.$$

Also assume that

$$\beta'_0 \beta'_2 = 1.$$

Then there exist two invariants

$$\tau(\varphi_{0,0} \varphi_{0,2} \varphi_{1,0}) = \varphi_{0,0} \varphi_{0,2} \varphi_{1,0}, \quad \tau(\varphi_{1,2} \varphi_{2,0} \varphi_{2,2}) = \varphi_{1,2} \varphi_{2,0} \varphi_{2,2}.$$

Now we normalize that

$$\varphi_{0,0} \varphi_{0,2} \varphi_{1,0} = c, \quad \varphi_{1,2} \varphi_{2,0} \varphi_{2,2} = -\frac{(c-1) \alpha_2 \beta_0 \beta'_2}{c \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 - \beta_0 \beta'_2},$$

where c is an arbitrary constant. Then we obtain a system of meromorphic q -difference equations with one independent variable β'_2 , three dependent variables $(1 + \varphi_{0,0}) \varphi_{0,2}$, $\frac{\varphi_{2,0}}{\varphi_{3,2}}$, $(1 + \varphi_{3,0}) \varphi_{2,0}$ and six parameters $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \beta_0 \beta'_2$. We don't give its explicit formula here.

Let x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 be dependent variables such that

$$\tau(x_0) = \frac{\beta'_2 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_1 \beta'_2} x_0 - \frac{(\alpha_4 - 1)(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4^2 \alpha_5 - \beta_0 \beta'_2)}{\alpha_1^2 \alpha_2^2 \alpha_4^2 \alpha_5 \beta_0 \beta'_2 (\alpha_3 \alpha_4 - 1)} x_2 + \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 - \beta_0 \beta'_2}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \beta_0 \beta'_2} x_3,$$

and

$$(1 + \varphi_{0,0}) \varphi_{0,2} = -\frac{c(\alpha_0 - 1) + \alpha_0(\alpha_1 - 1)}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1 - 1} + (c-1) \frac{x_1}{x_0},$$

$$\frac{\varphi_{2,0}}{\varphi_{3,2}} = \frac{c-1}{c \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 - \beta_0 \beta'_2} \frac{x_2}{x_0},$$

$$(1 + \varphi_{3,0}) \varphi_{2,0} = \frac{c-1}{c} \left(-\frac{c \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 (\alpha_4 - 1) + \beta_0 \beta'_2 (\alpha_3 - 1)}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 (\alpha_3 \alpha_4 - 1)(c \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 - \beta_0 \beta'_2)} \frac{x_2}{x_0} + \frac{x_3}{x_0} \right).$$

Recall that $\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 = q$.

Proposition 4.2. *A vector of variables $x = {}^t(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ satisfies a system of linear q -difference equation*

$$\tau(x) = (A_0 + \beta'_2 A_1) x, \quad (4.2)$$

with 4×4 matrices

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha_1} & 0 & -\frac{(\alpha_4-1)(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4^2 \alpha_5 - \beta_0 \beta'_2)}{\alpha_1^2 \alpha_2^2 \alpha_4^2 \alpha_5 \beta_0 \beta'_2 (\alpha_3 \alpha_4 - 1)} & \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 - \beta_0 \beta'_2}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \beta_0 \beta'_2} \\ 0 & \alpha_0 & -\frac{(\alpha_0-1)(\alpha_4-1)(\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4^2 \alpha_5 - \beta_0 \beta'_2)}{\alpha_1^2 \alpha_2^2 \alpha_4^2 \alpha_5 \beta_0 \beta'_2 (\alpha_0 \alpha_1 - 1)(\alpha_3 \alpha_4 - 1)} & \frac{(\alpha_0-1)(\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 - \beta_0 \beta'_2)}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \beta_0 \beta'_2 (\alpha_0 \alpha_1 - 1)} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{(\alpha_1-1)(\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 - \beta_0 \beta'_2)}{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 (\alpha_0 \alpha_1 - 1)} & -\frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 - \beta_0 \beta'_2}{\alpha_3} & -\frac{1}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3} & 0 \\ \frac{(\alpha_1-1)(\alpha_3-1)(\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4^2 \alpha_5 - \beta_0 \beta'_2)}{\alpha_1^2 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 (\alpha_0 \alpha_1 - 1)(\alpha_3 \alpha_4 - 1)} & \frac{(\alpha_3-1)(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4^2 \alpha_5 - \beta_0 \beta'_2)}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 (\alpha_3 \alpha_4 - 1)} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can prove this proposition by a direct calculation.

Remark 4.3. *In a continuous limit $q \rightarrow 1$, system (4.2) reduces to the rigid system with the spectral type $\{22, 211, 1111\}$ whose solution is expressed in terms of Simpson's even four hypergeometric function. Moreover, system (4.1) reduces to the isomonodromy deformation equation of eighth order with the spectral type $\{31, 31, 31, 22, 1111\}$.*

Remark 4.4. *Another generalization of the q -Garnier system is proposed together with its particular solution expressed in terms of the q -hypergeometric function $\mathcal{F}_{N,M}$ in [11, 12]. We have not clarified a relationship between our result and that of [11, 12] yet. It is a future problem.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 20K03645.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Garnier, *Sur des équations différentielles du troisième ordre dont l'intégrale est uniforme et sur une classe d'équations nouvelles d'ordre supérieur dont l'intégrale générale a ses points critiques fixés*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **29** (1912) 1–126.
- [2] R. Inoue, T. Ishibashi and H. Oya, *Cluster realizations of Weyl groups and higher Teichmüller theory*, arXiv:1902.02716.
- [3] H. Kimura, *Symmetries of the Garnier system and of the associated polynomial Hamiltonian system*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **66** (1990) 176–178.
- [4] K. Kajiwara, M. Noumi and Y. Yamada, *Discrete dynamical systems with $W(A_{m-1}^{(1)} \times A_{n-1}^{(1)})$ symmetry*, Lett. Math. Phys. **60** (2002) 211–219.
- [5] K. Kajiwara, M. Noumi and Y. Yamada, *q -Painlevé systems arising from q -KP hierarchy*, Lett. Math. Phys. **62** (2003) 259–268.
- [6] T. Masuda, N. Okubo and T. Tsuda, *Birational Weyl group actions via mutation combinatorics in cluster algebras (Aspects of Combinatorial Representation Theory)*, RIMS Kokyuroku **2127** (2018) 20–38 (in Japanese).
- [7] H. Nagao and Y. Yamada, *Study of q -Garnier system by Padé method*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **61** (2018) 109–133.
- [8] H. Nagao and Y. Yamada, *Variations of q -Garnier system*, J. Phys. A: Math. and Theor. **51** (2018) 135204.
- [9] N. Okubo and T. Suzuki, *Generalized q -Painlevé VI systems of type $(A_{2n+1} + A_1 + A_1)^{(1)}$ arising from cluster algebra*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2020) rnaa283.

- [10] T. Suzuki and N. Okubo, *Cluster algebra and q -Painlevé equations: higher order generalization and degeneration structure*, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu **B78** (2020) 53-75.
- [11] K. Park, *A certain generalization of q -hypergeometric functions and their related monodromy preserving deformation*, J. Integrable Syst. **3** (2018) 1–14.
- [12] K. Park, *A certain generalization of q -hypergeometric functions and their related monodromy preserving deformation II*, arXiv:2005.04992.
- [13] H. Sakai, *A q -analog of the Garnier system*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **48** (2005) 237–297.
- [14] T. Suzuki, *Affine Weyl group symmetry of the Garnier system*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **48** (2005) 203–230.
- [15] T. Suzuki, *A q -analogue of the Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy of type A and q -Painlevé system*, AMS Contemp. Math. **651** (2015) 25–38.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KINDAI UNIVERSITY, 3-4-1, KOWAKAE, HIGASHI-OSAKA, OSAKA 577-8502, JAPAN
Email address: suzuki@math.kindai.ac.jp