

# ON NUMERICALLY TRIVIAL AUTOMORPHISMS OF THREEFOLDS OF GENERAL TYPE

ZHI JIANG, WENFEI LIU, AND HANG ZHAO

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we prove that the group  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  of numerically trivial automorphisms are uniformly bounded for *smooth* projective threefolds  $X$  of general type which either satisfy  $q(X) \geq 3$  or have a Gorenstein minimal model. If  $X$  is furthermore of maximal Albanese dimension, then  $|\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)| \leq 4$ , and the equality can be achieved by an unbounded family of threefolds previously constructed by the third author. Along the way we prove a Noether type inequality for log canonical pairs of general type with the coefficients of the boundary divisor from a given subset  $\mathcal{C} \subset (0, 1]$  such that  $\mathcal{C} \cup \{1\}$  attains the minimum.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
2.1. The Albanese morphism	4
2.2. The Chen–Jiang decomposition	4
2.3. Some basic properties of numerically trivial automorphisms	5
3. Irregular threefolds of general type	7
3.1. The case $\dim a_X(X) = 3$	7
3.2. The case $\dim a_X(X) < 3$	8
4. Threefolds of general type with Gorenstein minimal models	12
Appendix A. Noether type inequalities for log canonical pairs of general type	13
References	16

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In studying automorphism groups of projective varieties, it is a basic problem to understand its induced action on the cohomology groups, say with rational coefficients. More explicitly, for a complex smooth projective variety  $X$ , the action of the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  on the cohomology with rational coefficients is the group homomorphism  $\psi: \text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}))$  such that  $\psi(\sigma) = (\sigma^{-1})^*$  for any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X)$ . We denote  $\ker(\psi)$  by  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  and call its elements the *numerically trivial automorphisms* of  $X$ . In this paper, we are interested in the size of  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ .

Obviously, the identity component  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  of  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is contained in  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ . It is proved by Lieberman [Lie78] that the index  $[\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) : \text{Aut}_0(X)]$  is finite. The question is how large  $[\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) : \text{Aut}_0(X)]$  can be.

If  $\dim X = 1$ , then  $X$  is a curve and  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = \text{Aut}_0(X)$  holds by the explicit description of  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  and an easy application of the Riemann–Hurwitz formula.

From dimension two on, the situation becomes very delicate. It is part of the package of the Torelli theorem that  $\text{Aut}(X)$  acts faithfully on  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$  (or equivalently, on  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ ) for K3

---

*Date:* December 30, 2021.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J50, 14J30.

*Key words and phrases.* numerically trivial automorphism, threefold of general type.

surfaces [BuR75, PS71].<sup>1</sup> In relation to the Torelli type theorem in arbitrary dimension,  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  is shown to be trivial for the following classes of varieties: generalized Kummer manifolds ([O20]), smooth cubic threefolds and fourfolds as well as their Fano varieties of lines ([Pan20]), and complete intersections  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  such that  $\deg X \geq 3$ ,  $\dim X \geq 2$  and  $X$  is not of type  $(2, 2)$  ([CPZ17]).

However, examples of elliptic surfaces with nontrivial  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  were found around 1980 by several authors ([Pe79, Pe80, BarPe83, D84, MN84]). Mukai–Namikawa [MN84] obtained the optimal inequality  $|\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)| \leq 4$  for Enriques surfaces.<sup>2</sup> By a recent work of Catanese and the second author ([CatLiu20]),  $[\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) : \text{Aut}_0(X)] \leq 12$  holds for surfaces with Kodaira 0, but the index can be arbitrarily large for the class of smooth projective surfaces with Kodaira dimension  $-\infty$  and 1.

If  $X$  is a surface of general type, as for all smooth projective varieties of general type, the identity component  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  is trivial, and hence  $[\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) : \text{Aut}_0(X)] = |\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)|$ . Some restrictions on the invariants of  $X$  with nontrivial  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  were found in [Pe80] under the condition that the canonical linear system  $|K_X|$  is base point free. Cai [Cai04] used the Bogomolov–Miyaoaka–Yau inequality to show that  $|\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)|$  is uniformly bounded; in fact,  $|\text{Aut}(X)| \leq 4$  as soon as  $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \geq 189$ . For irregular surfaces of general type, the results are quite complete by now:

- (1) If  $q(X) \geq 3$ , then  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  is trivial ([Cai12a, CLZ13]).
- (2) If  $q(X) = 2$ , then  $|\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)| = 2$ , and there is complete classification of the equality case: they are certain surfaces isogenous to a product of curves of unmixed type ([Cai12b, CLZ13, Liu18]).
- (3) If  $q(X) = 1$  then  $|\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)| \leq 4$ , and again equality holds only if  $X$  is isogenous to a product of curves of unmixed type. Moreover, there are infinite series of such surfaces with  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 4$  ([CL18]).

For regular surfaces of general type, it follows from [Cai06] that  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  is trivial if  $X$  has a genus 2 fibration and  $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \geq 5$ .

Not much is known about  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  for higher dimensional general type varieties. Unlike the surface case, one easily constructs smooth threefolds of general type with  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  nontrivial and  $q(X)$  arbitrarily large: Just take  $X = S \times C$ , where  $S$  is a smooth projective surface of general type with nontrivial  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(S)$  and  $C$  be a smooth projective curve with  $g(C) \geq 2$ ; then  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ , containing  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(S) \times \{\text{id}_C\}$ , is nontrivial, and  $q(X) = q(S) + g(C)$  can be arbitrarily large.

Nevertheless, we have the following question about the boundedness of  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  for smooth projective varieties of general type:

**Question 1.1.** Given a positive integer  $n$ , does there exist a constant  $M(n)$ , depending on  $n$ , such that  $|\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)| \leq M(n)$  for each  $n$ -dimensional smooth projective variety  $X$  of general type?

In this paper we give a confirmative partial answer to Question 1.1 in dimension 3.

**Theorem 1.2.** *There is a positive constant  $M$  such that, for any smooth projective threefold of general type satisfying one of the following conditions:*

- (i)  $q(X) \geq 3$ .
- (ii)  $X$  has a Gorenstein minimal model.

*the inequality  $|\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)| \leq M$  holds.*

Curiously, the smoothness condition in Theorem 1.2 cannot be weakened to, say, the condition of having terminal singularities: There exist projective threefolds  $X$  with terminal singularities and maximal Albanese dimension such that  $|\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)|$  can be arbitrarily large ([Z21, Example 6.3]). We observe that the numerically trivial automorphism  $\sigma$  appearing there induces a nontrivial translation of the Albanese variety  $A_X$ . This cannot happen if  $X$  were smooth; see Lemma 2.5.

<sup>1</sup>For K3 surfaces in positive characteristics,  $\text{Aut}(X)$  acts faithfully on the second  $l$ -adic étale cohomology group  $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$  by [Ke16, Theorem 1.4].

<sup>2</sup>We refer to [D13, DM19, DM21] for numerically trivial automorphisms of Enriques surfaces in positive characteristics.

For smooth threefolds with maximal Albanese dimension, we have a more precise statement.

**Theorem 1.3** (= Corollary 3.2). *If  $X$  is smooth projective threefold of general type with maximal Albanese dimension, then  $|\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)| \leq 4$ , and in the equality case we have  $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$  and  $q(X) = 3$ .*

This generalizes and refines the effective boundedness results of [Z21]. Thanks to [Z21, Example 6.1], the bound of Theorem 1.3 is optimal.

By the Hodge decomposition of  $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  acts trivially on  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and  $H^i(X, \omega_X)$  for each  $i$ . It is this condition we are mainly using in the arguments, and it already yields the boundedness we are searching for. Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are consequences of the following more technical theorem; see also Corollaries 3.2, 3.4, 3.6. Here we allow mild singularities.

**Theorem 1.4.** *There is a constant  $M > 0$  satisfying the following. Let  $X$  be a projective threefold of general type with canonical singularities. Let  $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X) < \mathrm{Aut}(X)$  be the group of automorphisms acting trivially on  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  (or, equivalently,  $H^i(X, \omega_X)$ ) for  $i \geq 0$ , and let  $\mathrm{Aut}_A(X) < \mathrm{Aut}(X)$  the group of automorphisms preserving each fiber of the Albanese map  $a_X: X \rightarrow A_X$ . If  $X$  satisfies one of the following conditions:*

- (i)  $X$  has maximal Albanese dimension, that is,  $\dim a_X(X) = 3$ ;
- (ii)  $X$  has Albanese dimension two and either  $q(X) \geq 3$  or  $A_X$  is a simple abelian surface;
- (iii)  $X$  has Albanese dimension one and  $q(X) \geq 2$ ;
- (iv)  $X$  has a Gorenstein minimal model;

then  $|\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_A(X)| \leq M$ . In case (i), we have  $|\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_A(X)| \leq 4$ , and if the equality holds then  $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$  and  $q(X) = 3$ .

The third author [Z21] proved the inequality  $|\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)| \leq 6$  for threefolds of general type satisfying the conditions (i) and (iv) simultaneously; the proof was a straightforward application of the Noether–Severi type inequality and the Miyaoka–Yau inequality bounding the volume of  $K_X$  in terms of  $\chi(X, \omega_X)$  from below and from above respectively.

In dealing with the case (iv) of Theorem 1.4, we take a similar approach, but the required Noether type inequality in this more general setting is not readily available. For that purpose, we prove in the appendix of the paper the existence of a Noether type inequality for log canonical pairs with coefficients from a given subset  $\mathcal{C} \subset (0, 1]$  such that  $\mathcal{C} \cup \{1\}$  attains the minimum. This result is of independent interest.

In the case (i) of Theorem 1.4, we use the eventual paracanonical maps [J16, BarPS19] to obtain the effective bound  $|\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_A(X)| \leq 4$ . The point is that the eventual paracanonical map  $\varphi_X: X \dashrightarrow Z$  factors through the quotient map  $\pi: X \rightarrow X/G$ , where  $G = \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_A(X)$ . It follows that  $|G| \leq \deg \varphi_X$ . We can then draw on the explicit description of the cases with  $\deg \varphi_X \geq 2$  by [J16].

In general, there is a factorization of the Albanese map  $a_X: X \xrightarrow{\pi} Y \xrightarrow{a_Y} A_X$ , where  $Y = X/G$  with  $G = \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_A(X)$  and  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  is the quotient map. If  $\dim a_X(X) < 3 \leq q(X)$ , we use the Chen–Jiang decomposition of  $a_{X*}\omega_X$  and  $a_{Y*}\omega_Y$  to bound the difference between the ranks of  $a_{X*}\omega_X$  and  $a_{Y*}\omega_Y$ , which in turn gives the required bound on  $|G|$ . The case with  $q(X) = 2$  and  $A_X$  a simple abelian surface requires a more involved application of the Chen–Jiang decomposition, but the idea is similar.

**Acknowledgements.** Part of this work was done when the first author was visiting the Tianyuan Mathematical Center in Southeast China, located at Xiamen University. We would like to thank the TMCSC and Xiamen University for the support and hospitality. The first author was supported by the NSFC (No. 11871155 and No. 11731004). The second author was supported by the NSFC (No. 11971399 and No. 11771294).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We work over the complex numbers throughout the paper.

In this section we recall the notion of Albanese morphisms for normal projective varieties  $X$  as well as the Chen–Jiang decomposition on abelian varieties, and prove some basic properties of numerically trivial automorphisms in relation to their induced actions on the cohomology groups  $H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ ,  $H^*(X, \omega_X)$  as well as the Albanese variety.

**2.1. The Albanese morphism.** Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety. Then there exists a morphism  $a_X : X \rightarrow A_X$  to an abelian variety  $A_X$  such that any morphism from  $X$  to an abelian variety factors through  $a_X$  ([Ser59, Théorème 5]). This morphism is unique up to translations on  $A_X$ . We call  $A_X$  the Albanese variety of  $X$  and  $a_X : X \rightarrow A_X$  the Albanese morphism.

When  $X$  is a smooth projective variety, it is well known that  $\dim A_X = q(X) := h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and  $A_X$  is dual to the Picard variety  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ . In general, if  $X$  is singular, then the Albanese morphism  $a_{\tilde{X}}$  of  $\tilde{X}$  may not factor through  $\rho$ , where  $\rho : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a desingularization. But when  $X$  has rational singularities, then  $a_{\tilde{X}}$  factors through  $\rho$  and  $A_X \simeq A_{\tilde{X}}$  (see for instance [Kaw85, Lemma 8.1]).

Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety, and  $a_X : X \rightarrow A_X$  the Albanese map with respect to a base point. We call the number  $\dim a_X(X)$  the *Albanese dimension* of  $X$ ; if  $\dim a_X(X) = X$  then  $X$  is said to be of *maximal Albanese dimension*. Let  $X \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{a_S} A_X$  be the Stein factorization of  $a_X$ . By the universal property of the Albanese morphisms, it is easy to check that  $A_S = A_X$ , and the morphism  $a_S : S \rightarrow A_X$  is indeed the Albanese morphism of  $S$ . For any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X)$ , by the universal properties of the Albanese morphisms and of the Stein factorization, there are induced automorphisms  $\sigma_S \in \text{Aut}(S)$  and  $\sigma_A \in \text{Aut}(A)$  such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & S & \xrightarrow{a_S} & A_X \\ \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma_S & & \downarrow \sigma_A \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & S & \xrightarrow{a_S} & A_X \end{array}$$

In this way, we obtain group homomorphisms

$$(2.1) \quad \psi_S : \text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S), \sigma \mapsto \sigma_S, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_A : \text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A_X), \sigma \mapsto \sigma_A.$$

In other words,  $\text{Aut}(X)$  acts on  $S$  and  $A_X$  such that the morphisms  $f$  and  $a_S$  are  $\text{Aut}(X)$ -equivariant.

**2.2. The Chen–Jiang decomposition.** An important ingredient of the proof of the main result on irregular threefolds is the Chen–Jiang decomposition theorem. We briefly recall this result.

Let  $A$  be an abelian variety. For a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $A$ , we define the  $i$ -th cohomological support loci for integer  $i \geq 0$  to be the following closed subset of  $\text{Pic}^0(A)$  with the reduced scheme structure:

$$V^i(\mathcal{F}) := \{P \in \text{Pic}^0(A) \mid H^i(A, \mathcal{F} \otimes P) \neq 0\}.$$

We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is GV if  $\text{codim}_{\text{Pic}^0(A)} V^i(\mathcal{F}) \geq i$  for each  $i \geq 1$  and we say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is M-regular if  $\text{codim}_{\text{Pic}^0(A)} V^i(\mathcal{F}) > i$  for each  $i \geq 1$ . These two definitions were introduced respectively by Hacon [Hac04] and by Pareschi–Popa [PP03]. GV sheaves have certain positivity properties. Hacon proved in [Hac04] that if  $0 \neq \mathcal{F}$  is GV, then  $V^0(\mathcal{F}) \neq \emptyset$ , that is, there exists  $P \in \text{Pic}^0(A)$  such that  $H^0(A, \mathcal{F} \otimes P) \neq 0$ . M-regular sheaves have stronger positivity properties. Pareschi and Popa proved in [PP03] that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is M-regular, then  $V^0(\mathcal{F}) = \text{Pic}^0(A)$  and roughly speaking,  $\mathcal{F}$  can be generated by the twisted global sections.

Given a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow A$  from a smooth projective variety to an abelian variety, the main result of [Hac04] states that  $R^i f_* \omega_X$  is a GV-sheaf for each  $i \geq 0$ . The following improvement of Hacon’s theorem, which was first proved when  $f$  is generically finite in [CJ18] and later proved for  $f$  general in [PPS17], is now called the Chen–Jiang decomposition theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow A$  be a morphism from a smooth projective variety to an abelian variety. For each integer  $k \geq 0$ , there exist finitely many quotient maps  $p_I : A \rightarrow B_I$  between abelian varieties with connected fibers, and, for each index  $I$ , an  $M$ -regular sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_I$  on  $B_I$  and a torsion line bundle  $Q_I \in \text{Pic}^0(A)$  such that*

$$R^k f_* \omega_X \simeq \bigoplus_I p_I^* \mathcal{F}_I \otimes Q_I.$$

We also remark that similar decompositions hold for varieties with klt singularities, see for instance [J20] and [M20].

**2.3. Some basic properties of numerically trivial automorphisms.** Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety, and  $a_X : X \rightarrow A_X$  the Albanese morphism. We are interested in various normal subgroups of the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  of  $X$ , defined as follows:

- the group of *numerically trivial automorphisms*

$$\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) := \{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X) \mid \sigma|_{H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})} = \text{id}_{H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})}\},$$

- the group of  *$\mathcal{O}$ -cohomologically trivial automorphisms*

$$\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X) := \{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X) \mid \sigma|_{H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X)} = \text{id}_{H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X)}\},$$

- the group of  *$A$ -trivial automorphisms*

$$\text{Aut}_A(X) := \{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X) \mid \sigma|_{A_X} = \text{id}_{A_X}\},$$

where  $\sigma|_{H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})}$ ,  $\sigma|_{H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X)}$  and  $\sigma|_{A_X}$  denote the induced actions of  $\sigma$  on  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) := \bigoplus_i H^i(X, \mathbb{Q})$ ,  $H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X) := \bigoplus_i H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and  $A_X$  respectively.

If  $X$  is Cohen–Macaulay, then by Serre duality,  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$  acts trivially on  $H^i(X, \omega_X)$  for each  $i \geq 0$ . This is the case when  $X$  has rational singularities. The following Lemma 2.2 implies that  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) < \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ , provided that there is an effective  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor  $\Delta$  such that  $(X, \Delta)$  is a log canonical pair. We will see in Proposition 2.5 that  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) < \text{Aut}_A(X)$  if  $X$  is a smooth projective threefold of general type.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety.*

- (i) *If there is an effective  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor  $\Delta$  on  $X$  such that  $(X, \Delta)$  is log canonical, then  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  acts trivially on  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .*
- (ii) *If  $X$  is smooth, then  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  acts trivially on  $H^i(X, \Omega_X^j)$  for all  $0 \leq i, j \leq \dim X$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  acts trivially on  $H^*(X, \mathbb{C}) = H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ . If  $(X, \Delta)$  is log canonical then the homomorphism  $H^i(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  induced by the inclusion of sheaves  $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$  is surjective ([KK10]). It follows that  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  acts trivially on  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . If  $X$  is smooth,  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  acts trivially on its direct summands  $H^i(X, \Omega_X^j)$  of  $H^{i+j}(X, \mathbb{C})$  in the Hodge decomposition.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety with rational singularities. Suppose that  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X)$  induces the trivial action on  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Then the following holds.*

- (i) *The induced automorphism  $\sigma_A \in \text{Aut}(A_X)$  is a translation.*
- (ii) *If  $\sigma$  is of finite order and  $\sigma_A \neq \text{id}_{A_X}$ , then  $\chi(X, \omega_X) = (-1)^{\dim X} \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  and  $e(X) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X)$  induces the trivial action on  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Since  $a_X^* : H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is an isomorphism satisfying

$$\sigma^* \circ a_X^* = a_X^* \circ \sigma_A^* : H^1(A_X, \mathcal{O}_{A_X}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

and  $\sigma^*$  is the identity map of  $H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$ , we infer that  $\sigma_A^*$  is the identity map of  $H^1(A_X, \mathcal{O}_{A_X})$ . It follows that  $\sigma_A$  is a translation of  $A_X$ .

(ii) If  $\sigma_A \neq \text{id}_{A_X}$ , then it is a nontrivial translation by (i) and hence has no fixed points. It follows that  $\sigma$  does not fix any point either. We then conclude by the topological Lefschetz fixed point theorem that  $e(X) = 0$ .

For the vanishing of  $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ , we take a  $\sigma$ -equivariant resolution  $\rho: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  ([EV00]). Since  $X$  has rational singularities, we have  $a_X \circ \rho = a_X$  and  $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \chi(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$ . Let  $\tilde{\sigma} \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{X})$  be the lift of  $\sigma$ . Then  $\tilde{\sigma}$  has no fixed points either. By the holomorphic Lefschetz fixed point theorem, applied to  $\tilde{\sigma}$ , we obtain  $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \chi(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$ .  $\square$

We refer to [KM98] for the notions appearing in Lemma 2.4.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be a log canonical pair, and  $\varphi_R: X \rightarrow Y$  the contraction with respect to a  $(K_X + \Delta)$ -negative extremal ray  $R$  of the Kleiman–Mori cone of  $(X, \Delta)$ . Then any numerically trivial automorphism  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  induces an automorphism  $\sigma_Y \in \text{Aut}(Y)$ . Moreover, the following holds.*

- (i) *If  $Y$  has rational singularities, then  $\sigma_Y$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -cohomologically trivial, that is,  $\sigma_Y \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(Y)$ .*
- (ii) *If  $X$  and  $Y$  are smooth, then  $\sigma_Y$  is numerically trivial, that is,  $\sigma_Y \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Y)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma$  is numerically trivial, it preserves the extremal ray  $R$ . By the uniqueness of extremal contractions, there exists an automorphism  $\sigma_Y \in \text{Aut}(Y)$  such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & X \\ \varphi_R \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_R \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\sigma_Y} & Y \end{array}$$

(i) If  $Y$  has rational singularities, then we have isomorphisms  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  for each  $i \geq 0$  which is compatible with induced actions of  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_Y$  on  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and  $H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  respectively. Since  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ , we infer that  $\sigma_Y \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(Y)$ .

(ii) By the decomposition theorem for resolutions ([dCM09]),  $\varphi_R^*: H^*(Y, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$  is injective. Thus the numerical triviality of  $\sigma$  implies that of  $\sigma_Y$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective threefold of general type. Then  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  induces the trivial action on  $A_X$ .*

*Proof.* The statement is trivial when  $q(X) = 0$ . We can thus assume that  $q(X) > 0$ .

Take  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  and let  $\sigma_A \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_X)$  be the induced automorphism of  $A_X$ . Then  $\sigma_A$  is a translation of  $A_X$  by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. If  $X$  has a smooth minimal model, then the Miyaoka–Yau inequality,  $\text{vol}(K_X) \leq 72\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$  implies that  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) > 0$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $\sigma_A$  is trivial.

Now we assume that the minimal models of  $X$  are singular. In order to show that  $\sigma_A = \text{id}_{A_X}$ , it suffices to show that  $\sigma_A$  fixes a point. Consider a minimal model program

$$X = X_0 \xrightarrow{\rho_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{\rho_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{\rho_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\rho_{n-1}} X_n$$

where for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $X_i$  has terminal singularities,  $\rho_i$  are either divisorial extremal contractions or flips, and  $K_{X_n}$  is nef. As we have explained in last section, the induced maps  $a_i: X_i \dashrightarrow A_X$  are indeed the corresponding Albanese morphisms of  $X_i$  and the above minimal model program is over  $A_X$ .

By assumption,  $X_0 = X$  is smooth and  $X_n$  is singular, so we there is an index  $i_0$  such that  $X_{i_0}$  is smooth and  $X_{i_0+1}$  is singular. By the classification of extremal contractions in dimension three ([Mo82]; see also [KM98, Theorem1.32]), the birational maps  $\rho_i: X_i \dashrightarrow X_{i+1}$  are divisorial contractions for  $i \leq i_0$  and, moreover,  $\rho_{i_0}: X_{i_0} \rightarrow X_{i_0+1}$  is a morphism contracting a divisor  $E \subset X_{i_0}$  to a point  $p \in X_{i_0+1}$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $\sigma$  descends to a numerically trivial automorphism  $\sigma_{i_0} \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_{i_0})$ . In particular,  $\sigma_{i_0}$  preserves the exceptional locus  $\text{Exc}(\rho_{i_0})$ , and this implies that the induced automorphism  $\sigma_A$  fixes the point  $a_{i_0}(E) = a_{i_0+1}(p) \in A_X$ .  $\square$

## 3. IRREGULAR THREEFOLDS OF GENERAL TYPE

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.4, cases (i)-(iii). Let  $X$  be an irregular projective threefold of general type with canonical singularities and  $a_X: X \rightarrow A_X$  its the Albanese map with respect to a base point.

We proceed according to the Albanese dimension  $\dim a_X(X)$ .

**3.1. The case  $\dim a_X(X) = 3$ .** In this subsection we assume that  $\dim a_X(X) = 3$ , so  $X$  is of maximal Albanese dimension.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a projective threefold of general type with canonical singularities and of maximal Albanese dimension. Then  $|\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X) \cap \text{Aut}_A(X)| \leq 4$ , and if the equality holds then  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X) \cap \text{Aut}_A(X) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$  and  $q(X) = 3$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G := \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X) \cap \text{Aut}_A(X)$ . Then the Albanese morphism  $a_X$  factors through the quotient morphism  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y := X/G$ .

If  $\chi(X, \omega_X) = 0$ , then  $a_X$  is birationally an  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ -cover by [CDJ14]. Thus  $G$  is an (abelian) subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ .

If  $\chi(X, \omega_X) > 0$ , then  $\chi(X, \omega_X) = \chi(Y, \omega_Y) > 0$ . By [J16], the eventual paracanonical map  $\varphi_X: X \dashrightarrow Z$  factors through the quotient map as  $\varphi_X: X \xrightarrow{\pi} Y \dashrightarrow Z$ , where  $Y \dashrightarrow Z$  is the eventual paracanonical map  $\varphi_Y$  of  $Y$  ([J16, Proposition 1.5]). Note that,  $\deg \varphi_X \leq 8$  by [J16, Theorem 1.7], and hence

$$(3.1) \quad |G| = \deg \pi = \deg \varphi_X / \deg \varphi_Y \leq \frac{8}{\deg \varphi_Y}.$$

If  $\deg \varphi_Y \geq 2$ , then either  $|G| \leq 3$  or  $|G| = 4$  and  $\deg \varphi_X = 8$ . In the latter case,  $\varphi_X$  is birationally a  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ -cover by [J16, Theorem 1.7]. If  $\deg \varphi_Y = 1$ , then  $\varphi_Y$  is birational and hence

$$\chi(Z, \omega_Z) = \chi(Y, \omega_Y) = \chi(X, \omega_X) > 0.$$

By [J16, Theorem 1.7] again, one has  $\varphi_X$  induces a Galois field extension  $\mathbb{C}(X)/\mathbb{C}(Z)$  with Galois group  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , or  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ . In conclusion, we have  $|G| \leq 4$ , and if the equality holds then  $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ .

In the case  $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ , we will show that  $q(X) = 3$ . When  $\chi(X, \omega_X) = 0$ , we automatically have  $q(X) = 3$  by [CDJ14]. We can thus assume that  $\chi(X, \omega_X) = \chi(Y, \omega_Y) > 0$ . If  $q(X) > 3$ , we are in the situation of [J16, Subsection 4.1, Case 1]. By [J16, Proposition 4.4], the quotient map  $\pi$  is birationally equivalent to the eventually paracanonical map of  $X$ . Let  $V := a_X(X)$  be the Albanese image of  $X$  with the reduced scheme structure. Since  $\dim A_X > 3$ ,  $V$  is fibred by an abelian subvariety  $K$  such that any desingularization of the quotient  $V/K$  is of general type. Let  $B := A/K$  and  $V_B := V/K$ . We have the following picture:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Y & \longrightarrow & V & \hookrightarrow & A_X \\ & \searrow f & & \searrow h & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & V_B & \hookrightarrow & B. \end{array}$$

Let  $t \in V_B$  be a general point and let  $X_t$  and  $Y_t$  be the fibers of  $f$  and  $h$  over  $t$  respectively. Note that  $\pi_t: X_t \rightarrow Y_t$  is again of degree 4. Then by the same argument as in the proof of [J16, Proposition 4.4], which is an application of the Chen–Jiang decomposition, we see that  $\chi(X_t, \omega_{X_t}) = \chi(Y_t, \omega_{Y_t})$ . The case  $\dim X_t = 1$  is impossible by Riemann-Roch. The remaining case  $\dim X_t = 2$  is impossible either by [J16, Theorem 1.6].  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be any smooth projective threefold of general type with maximal Albanese dimension. Then  $|\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)| \leq 4$ , and if the equality holds then  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$  and  $q(X) = 3$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.5, we know that  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  is contained in the group  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X) \cap \text{Aut}_A(X)$  of Theorem 3.1. The same examples as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 realize the four groups for  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ .  $\square$

Note that the case  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$  is realized by an unbounded series of threefolds by [Z21, Example 6.1].

### 3.2. The case $\dim a_X(X) < 3$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *There is a positive constant  $M_1$  such that the following holds. Let  $f: X \rightarrow B$  be a fibration from a smooth projective threefold of general type onto a smooth projective curve  $B$  with  $g(B) \geq 2$ . Then  $|\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)| \leq M_1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f^*: H^1(B, \mathcal{O}_B) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is injective and  $G$  acts trivially on  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong H^2(X, \omega_X)^*$ ,  $G$  induces a trivial action on  $H^1(B, \mathcal{O}_B)$ . Since  $g(B) \geq 2$ ,  $G$  acts trivially on  $B$ , that is,  $G \subset \text{Aut}_B(X)$ , the group of automorphisms preserving each fiber of  $f$ .

Let  $F$  be a general fiber of  $f$ . Then  $G$  acts on  $F$  via the injective homomorphism  $G \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(F)$ ,  $\sigma \mapsto \sigma|_F$ . Let  $\pi_F: F \rightarrow F' := F/G$  be the corresponding quotient morphism. Since  $B$  is of general type, by Theorem 2.1 or [JLT14, Lemma 2.1], both  $a_{X*}\omega_X$  and  $a_{Y*}\omega_Y$  are M-regular. Moreover,  $p_g(X) = p_g(Y)$ , thus  $a_{Y*}\omega_Y = a_{X*}\omega_X$ . Hence  $p_g(F) = p_g(F')$ .

By [Bea79, Propositions 2.1 and 4.1], if  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_F) \geq 31$ , then the canonical map  $\varphi_F$  of  $F$  is either of degree  $\leq 9$  or is a fibration such that the genus  $g$  of a general fiber is  $\leq 5$ . Thus in this case we obtain

$$|G| \leq \begin{cases} \deg \varphi_F \leq 9 & \text{if } \varphi_F \text{ is generically finite} \\ 84(g-1) \leq 336 & \text{if } \varphi_F \text{ is composed with a pencil} \end{cases}$$

where the inequality in second case follows from the Hurwitz bound of the full automorphism group of a curve with genus  $g \geq 2$ . On the other hand, surfaces  $F$  with  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_F) < 31$  form a birationally bounded family and thus the order of their automorphism groups are bounded by a constant. As a conclusion, there exists a constant  $M_1$  such that  $|G| \leq M_1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *The inequality  $|\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)| \leq M_1$  holds for any smooth projective threefold  $X$  of general type with  $q(X) \geq 2$  and  $\dim a_X(X) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $q(X) \geq 2$  and  $\dim a_X(X) = 1$ , we infer that the Albanese image of  $X$  factors as  $a_X: X \xrightarrow{f} C \hookrightarrow A_X$ , where  $g(C) = q(X)$  and  $f$  is a fibration. Now apply Lemma 3.3.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *There is a positive constant  $M'_2$  such that the following holds. Let  $X$  is a smooth threefold of general type with  $q(X) \geq 3$  and  $\dim a_X(X) = 2$ . Then  $|\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)| \leq M'_2$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $a_X$  is not surjective, the image  $Z$  of the Albanese morphism (or rather its desingularization) has Kodaira dimension  $\geq 1$ .

Let  $H$  be the image of  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$  in  $\text{Aut}(A_X)$ ; see (2.1). By Lemma 2.3,  $H$  acts on  $A_X$  by translations. Thus the quotient morphism  $A_X \rightarrow A_X/H$  is étale.

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z & \longrightarrow & Z/H \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_X & \longrightarrow & A_X/H \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} a_X \\ \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{c} X \\ Z \\ A_X \end{array}} \right\} \\ a_Y \end{array}$$

where  $Y = X/G$  and  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  is the quotient map. Since the morphism  $Z \rightarrow Z/H$  is étale, the Kodaira dimension of the desingularization of  $Z/H$  is the same as the Kodaira dimension of the desingularization of  $Z$ .

If  $Z$  is of general type, then so is  $Z/H$ . Hence  $a_{Y*}\omega_Y$  and  $a_{Y*}\pi_*\omega_X$  are M-regular sheaves supported on  $Z/H$  by Theorem 2.1. By the trace map, we write  $\pi_*\omega_X = \omega_Y \oplus \mathcal{M}$ . Suppose that  $G$  is non-trivial. Then, since the general fibers of  $a_Y$  are curves, the rank of  $a_{Y*}\pi_*\omega_X$  is strictly



larger than that of  $a_{Y*}\omega_Y$ . Hence  $a_{Y*}\mathcal{M}$  is non-zero and, being M-regular, has a non-zero global section. But then  $p_g(X) = h^0(a_{Y*}\pi_*\omega_X) > h^0(a_{Y*}\omega_Y) = p_g(Y)$ , which is a contradiction.

If  $Z$  has Kodaira dimension 1, then it is an elliptic fiber bundle over a curve  $C$  with genus  $g(C) \geq 2$ , by [Ue75, Theorem 10.9]. Therefore, the Stein factorization  $X \rightarrow \tilde{C}$  of the natural map  $X \rightarrow C$  is a fibration with  $g(\tilde{C}) \geq 2$ . It follows that  $|G| \leq M_1$ , where  $M_1$  is as in Lemma 3.3.  $\square$

Using the same argument for Corollary 3.2, we obtain

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective threefold of general type with  $\dim a_X(X) = 2$  and  $q(X) \geq 3$ . Then  $|\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)| \leq M'_2$ , where  $M'_2$  is the constant appearing in Proposition 3.5.*

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of the following

**Proposition 3.7.** *There is a positive constant  $M''_2$  such that the following holds. Let  $X$  be a smooth threefold of general type with  $q(X) = \dim a_X(X) = 2$  such that its Albanese variety  $A_X$  is a simple abelian surface. Then  $|\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)| \leq M''_2$ .*

We need some preparation for the proof.

Assume that  $X$  is as in Proposition 3.7. Since  $X$  is of general type,  $V^0(a_{X*}\omega_X)$  generates  $\mathrm{Pic}^0(A_X)$  by [JS15]. By the simplicity of  $\mathrm{Pic}^0(A_X)$ , we conclude that

$$(3.2) \quad V^0(a_{X*}\omega_X) = \mathrm{Pic}^0(A_X)$$

In particular,  $p_g(X) > 0$ .

Let  $f: X \rightarrow S$  be the Stein factorization of  $a_X: X \rightarrow A_X$ . Consider  $G := \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$  and its images  $\psi_S(G) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(S)$  and  $\psi_A(G) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(A_X)$ . Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & a_X & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & S & \xrightarrow{a_S} & A_X \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_S & & \downarrow \pi_A \\ Y & \xrightarrow{h} & T & \xrightarrow{a_T} & B \\ & & \curvearrowleft & & \\ & & a_Y & & \end{array}$$

where  $Y = X/G$ ,  $T = S/\psi_S(G)$ ,  $B = A/\psi_A(G)$  are the quotient varieties, and  $\pi, \pi_S, \pi_A$  are the quotient maps. One can check that the morphism  $Y \rightarrow B$  is indeed the Albanese morphism of  $Y$ .

**Lemma 3.8.**  *$\psi_A: G \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(A)$  is trivial, so  $B = A$  and the quotient map  $\pi_A$  is the identity.*

*Proof.* Assume on the contrary that  $\psi_A(G)$  is nontrivial. Note that  $\psi_A(G)$  consists of translations of  $A$ , so  $\pi: A \rightarrow B = A/\psi_A(G)$  is étale. Let  $Y' \cong X/\ker(\psi_A)$ . Then  $Y = Y'/\bar{G}$ , where  $\bar{G} = G/\ker(\psi_A)$ , and we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & Y' & \xrightarrow{\pi''} & Y \\ a_X \downarrow & & a_{Y'} \downarrow & & \downarrow a_Y \\ A_X & \xlongequal{\quad} & A_X & \xrightarrow{\pi_A} & B. \end{array}$$

where  $\pi': X \rightarrow Y'$  and  $\pi'': Y' \rightarrow Y$  are the quotient maps. By the universal property of fiber products, one sees easily that  $Y' = Y \times_B A$ , and  $\pi': Y' \rightarrow Y$  and  $a_{Y'}: Y' \rightarrow A$  are the projections under this identification. Since  $\pi_{A*}\mathcal{O}_A = \bigoplus_{P \in \ker \pi_A^*} P$ , where  $\pi_A^*: \mathrm{Pic}^0(B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^0(A)$  is the pull-

back, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \pi''_*\omega_{Y'} = \bigoplus_{P \in \ker \pi_A^*} (\omega_Y \otimes P).$$

Since  $G$  acts trivially on  $H^0(X, \omega_X)$ , we have  $H^0(X, \omega_X) \cong H^0(Y', \omega_{Y'}) \cong H^0(Y, \omega_Y)$ . Thus this implies that for  $P$  non-trivial in (3.4),  $H^0(Y, \omega_Y \otimes P) = 0$ . Thus  $V^0(a_{Y*}\omega_Y)$  is a proper subset of  $\text{Pic}^0(B)$ . Since  $A$  and hence  $B$  is simple, so are  $\text{Pic}^0(A)$  and  $\text{Pic}^0(B)$ . Thus  $V^0(a_{Y*}\omega_Y)$  consists of finitely many points. By Theorem 2.1,

$$(3.5) \quad a_{Y*}\omega_Y = \bigoplus_i Q_i,$$

where  $Q_i \in \text{Pic}^0(B)$  are torsion line bundles on  $B$ .

On the other hand, as a consequence of (3.2), we have  $p_g(Y) = p_g(X) > 0$ . It follows that some  $Q_i$  in the decomposition (3.5) must be  $\mathcal{O}_B$ . By the Leray spectral sequence,  $q(Y) - q(B)$  is the number of trivial line bundles in (3.5), and hence

$$q(Y) = q(B) + (q(Y) - q(B)) > 2$$

which contradicts the assumption that  $q(Y) = q(X) = 2$ .  $\square$

By Lemma 3.8, the commutative diagram simplifies to

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & a_X \\ & & & & \curvearrowright \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & S & & \\ \pi \downarrow & & \pi_S \downarrow & \searrow a_S & \\ Y & \xrightarrow{h} & T & \xrightarrow{a_T} & A_X \\ & & & & \curvearrowleft a_Y \end{array}$$

Note that every variety in (3.6) have  $A_X$  as its Albanese variety.

**Lemma 3.9.** *The morphism  $\pi_S: S \rightarrow T = S/\psi_S(G)$  in (3.6) is an isomorphism, or equivalently, the homomorphism  $\psi_S: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S)$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* If  $\kappa(S) = 0$ , then  $S$  is an abelian surface and  $S \rightarrow A$  is an isomorphism by the universal property of the Stein factorization. As a consequence,  $S = T$ .

If  $\kappa(S) = 1$  then there is a pencil of (possibly singular) elliptic pencil on  $S$ , so that the image of the elliptic curves on  $A$  are elliptic, contradicting the simplicity of  $A$ .

If  $\kappa(S) = 2$ , then  $\chi(S', \omega_{S'}) = \chi(T', \omega_{T'}) > 0$ , where  $S'$  and  $T'$  are respectively smooth models of  $S$  and  $T$  and the equality comes from Kollár's splitting ([Ko86b, Theorem 3.1]) and the fact that  $h^i(X, \omega_X) = h^i(Y, \omega_Y)$  for each  $i \geq 0$ . Since the eventual paracanonical map of  $S$  factors through  $S \rightarrow T$  by [J16, Proposition 1.5], we can apply [J16, Theorem 1.6] to conclude that  $\pi_S: S \rightarrow T$  is birational. Since  $\pi_S$  is finite, it must be an isomorphism.  $\square$

By Lemma 3.9, the commutative diagram (3.6) further simplifies to

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X & & & & a_X \\ & & & & \curvearrowright \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & S & & \\ \pi \downarrow & & & & \\ Y & \xrightarrow{h} & S & \xrightarrow{a_S} & A_X \\ & & & & \curvearrowleft a_Y \end{array}$$

**Lemma 3.10.** *Let  $\pi_*\omega_X = \omega_Y \oplus \mathcal{M}$ . Then the following holds.*

- (i)  $H^i(Y, \mathcal{M}) = 0$  and  $H^i(A, R^j a_{Y*}\mathcal{M}) = 0$  for all  $i, j \geq 0$ .
- (ii)  $R^j a_{Y*}\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_k Q_k^j$ , where  $Q_k^j \in \text{Pic}^0(A_X)$  are nontrivial torsion line bundles on  $A$  for  $j \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $G$  acts trivially on  $H^i(X, \omega_X)$ , we have  $H^i(X, \omega_X) \cong H^i(Y, \omega_Y)$ , hence  $H^i(Y, \mathcal{M}) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . Pushing the decomposition  $\pi_*\omega_X = \omega_Y \oplus \mathcal{M}$  forward to  $A_X$  by  $a_{Y*}$ , we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad a_{X*}\omega_X = a_{Y*}\omega_Y \oplus a_{Y*}\mathcal{M}.$$

Note that  $H^0(A, a_{Y*}\mathcal{M}) = H^0(Y, \mathcal{M}) = 0$ . By Theorem 2.1, taking the simplicity of  $A_X$  into account, we infer that

$$a_{Y*}\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_k Q_k$$

where  $Q_i \in \text{Pic}^0(A)$  are nontrivial torsion line bundles on  $A_X$ . This implies that ([Hac04, Corollary 3.2])

$$H^i(A_X, a_{Y*}\mathcal{M}) = \bigoplus_k H^i(A_X, Q_k) = 0 \text{ for all } i \geq 0.$$

Now it follows from the Leray spectral sequences for  $H^i(Y, \mathcal{M})$  that  $H^i(A_X, R^1 a_{Y*}\mathcal{M}) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . Using the Chen–Jiang decomposition of  $R^1 a_{Y*}\mathcal{M}$  and the simplicity of  $A_X$ , we obtain

$$R^1 a_{Y*}\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_k Q_k^1$$

where  $Q_k^1$  are nontrivial torsion line bundles on  $A_X$  for  $j \geq 0$ .

Finally, we remark that  $R^j a_{Y*}\mathcal{M} \subset R^j a_{Y*}\omega_Y = 0$  for  $j \geq 2$  by [Ko86a, Theorem 2.1].  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 3.7.* In the diagram (3.7), the general fiber  $C$  of  $f$  is preserved by the action of  $G$ . Let  $D = \pi(C)$  be the fiber of  $h$  over the point  $f(C) \in S$ . Then  $D \cong C/G$  and  $\pi|_C: C \rightarrow D$  is the quotient map under the action of  $G$ . By the Riemann–Hurwitz formula, we have

$$(3.9) \quad 2g(C) - 2 = |G|(2g(D) - 2) + \delta$$

where  $\delta$  is the degree of the ramification divisor of  $\pi|_C: C \rightarrow D$ . Also, we have

$$\text{rk}(a_{Y*}\mathcal{M}) = \text{rk}(a_{S*}h_*\mathcal{M}) = (\deg a_S) \cdot \text{rk}(h_*\mathcal{M}) = (\deg a_S)(g(C) - g(D)).$$

One has  $p_g(Y) = p_g(X) > 0$  by (3.2) and hence  $g(D) \geq 1$ .

By Lemma 3.10, there is an abelian étale cover  $\mu: \tilde{A}_X \rightarrow A_X$  such that  $\mu^*(R^1 a_{Y*}\mathcal{M})$  is a direct sum of trivial line bundles. Consider the base change of  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow S \rightarrow A$  by  $\mu: \tilde{A}_X \rightarrow A_X$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \tilde{f} & & & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & & & \\ \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} & \tilde{S} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{a}_S} & \tilde{A}_X \\ \downarrow \mu_X & & \downarrow \mu_Y & & \downarrow \mu_S & & \downarrow \mu \\ X & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Y & \xrightarrow{h} & S & \xrightarrow{a_S} & A_X \\ & & \curvearrowleft & & & & \\ & & f & & & & \end{array}$$

where  $\tilde{X} = X \times_A \tilde{A}$ ,  $\tilde{Y} = Y \times_A \tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{S} = S \times_A \tilde{A}$ , and the squares are those of fiber products.

By [Ko86b, Corollary 3.2], we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(\tilde{X}, \omega_{\tilde{X}}) &\cong H^1(\tilde{S}, R^1 \tilde{f}_* \omega_{\tilde{X}}) \oplus H^2(\tilde{S}, \tilde{f}_* \omega_{\tilde{X}}) \\ &\cong H^1(\tilde{S}, \omega_{\tilde{S}}) \oplus H^2(\tilde{A}, \mu^*(a_{X*}\omega_X)), \end{aligned}$$

so  $q_{\tilde{f}} := q(\tilde{X}) - q(\tilde{S}) = h^2(\tilde{A}, \mu^*(a_{X*}\omega_X))$ . Since  $a_{Y*}\mathcal{M}$  is a direct summand of  $a_{X*}\omega_X$ ,  $\mu^*(a_{Y*}\mathcal{M})$  is a direct sum of  $\mu^*(a_{X*}\omega_X)$  and we conclude that

$$h^2(\tilde{A}, \mu^*(a_{X*}\omega_X)) \geq h^2(\tilde{A}, \mu^*(a_{Y*}\mathcal{M})) = \text{rk}(a_{Y*}\mathcal{M}) = (\deg a_S)(g(C) - g(D)),$$

where the second equality is because  $\mu^*(a_{Y*}\mathcal{M})$  is a direct sum of copies of  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{A}}$  by construction.

Let  $B$  be a general hyperplane section of  $\tilde{S}$  and  $\tilde{X}_B = \tilde{f}^{-1}(B)$ . Let  $\tilde{f}_B: \tilde{X}_B \rightarrow B$  be the restriction of  $\tilde{f}$  over  $B$ .

**Claim 3.11.**  $h^0(B, R^1 \tilde{f}_{B*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_B}) = h^0(\tilde{S}, R^1 \tilde{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$

*Proof of the claim.* Applying  $\tilde{f}_*$  to the short exact sequence of sheaves on  $\tilde{X}$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-\tilde{f}^* B) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_B} \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain an exact sequence of sheaves on  $\tilde{S}$ :

$$f_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_B} \rightarrow (R^1 \tilde{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})(-B) \rightarrow R^1 \tilde{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow R^1 \tilde{f}_{B*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_B} \rightarrow (R^2 \tilde{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})(-B)$$

For a general hyperplane  $B \subset \tilde{S}$ , one deduces

$$0 \rightarrow (R^1 \tilde{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})(-B) \rightarrow R^1 \tilde{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow R^1 \tilde{f}_{B*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_B} \rightarrow 0$$

Since we have  $H^0(\tilde{S}, (R^1 \tilde{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})(-B)) = 0$  and  $H^1(\tilde{S}, (R^1 \tilde{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})(-B)) = 0$  for sufficiently ample hypersurface  $B$  on  $\tilde{S}$ , the induced exact sequence of cohomology groups gives the desired isomorphism:

$$H^0(\tilde{S}, R^1 \tilde{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) \cong H^0(B, R^1 \tilde{f}_{B*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_B}).$$

□

By Claim 3.11, we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad q_{\tilde{f}_B} = h^0(B, R^1 \tilde{f}_{B*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_B}) = h^0(\tilde{S}, R^1 \tilde{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = q_{\tilde{f}} \geq (\deg a_S)(g(C) - g(D)).$$

Suppose that  $g(D) = 1$ . If  $g(C) \geq 8$  then  $q_{\tilde{f}_B} \geq g(C) - 1 \geq \frac{5g(C)+1}{6}$ , and hence  $\tilde{f}_B$  is birationally trivial by Xiao's result [X87]. Since  $B \subset \tilde{S}$  is a general hypersurface,  $\pi_1(B) \rightarrow \pi_1(\tilde{S})$  is surjective and the birational triviality of  $\tilde{f}_B: \tilde{X}_B \rightarrow B$  implies that of  $\tilde{f}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{X}$  is birational to  $\tilde{S} \times C$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  is birational to  $\tilde{S} \times D$ . By the fact that

$$\chi(\tilde{X}, \omega_{\tilde{X}}) = (\deg \mu) \chi(X, \omega_X) = (\deg \mu) \chi(Y, \omega_Y) = \chi(\tilde{Y}, \omega_{\tilde{Y}}),$$

we infer that  $C = D$ , which is absurd. Thus in this case  $g(C) \leq 7$  and hence  $|G| \leq |\text{Aut}(C)| \leq 84 \times (7-1) = 504$ , where the second inequality is Hurwitz's bound of the full automorphism group of a curve.

If  $g(D) \geq 2$ , then by (3.9) and (3.10)

$$q_{\tilde{f}_B} \geq (\deg a_S)(g(C) - g(D)) = (\deg a_S) \left( \frac{\delta}{2} + (|G| - 1)(g(D) - 1) \right).$$

where  $\delta$  is as in (3.9). By a simple calculation, if  $g(C) \geq 8$  and  $|G| \geq 13$ , then  $q_{\tilde{f}_B} > \frac{5g(C)+1}{6}$  and by Xiao's result [X87],  $\tilde{f}_B$  and hence  $\tilde{f}$  is birationally trivial, and we draw a contradiction by the same argument as in the last paragraph. Thus either  $|G| \leq 12$  or  $g(C) \leq 7$ , in which case  $|G| \leq \frac{g(C)-1}{g(D)-1} \leq 6$ . □

#### 4. THREEFOLDS OF GENERAL TYPE WITH GORENSTEIN MINIMAL MODELS

**Theorem 4.1.** *There is a constant  $M$  such that the following holds. Let  $X$  be a projective threefold of general type with canonical singularities such that its minimal models are Gorenstein. Then  $|\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)| < M$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  has a Gorenstein minimal model, the Miyaoka–Yau inequality gives

$$(4.1) \quad \text{vol}(X, K_X) \leq 72\chi(X, \omega_X) = 72(p_g(X) - h^1(X, \omega_X) + q(X) - 1) \leq 72(p_g(X) + q(X) - 1)$$

In particular,  $\chi(X, \omega_X) > 0$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X) = \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X) \cap \text{Aut}_A(X)$ . If  $q(X) \geq 3$  then  $|\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)|$  is uniformly bounded by Theorem 3.1.

In the following we can assume that  $q(X) \leq 2$ . Let  $Y = X/\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$  be the quotient variety and  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  the quotient morphism. Then there is an effective divisor  $B$ , which is supported on the branched divisor of  $\pi$  and with coefficients from the set  $\mathcal{C}_2 := \{1 - \frac{1}{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}$ , such that

$K_X = \pi^*(K_Y + \Delta)$ . Obviously,  $K_Y + \Delta$  is big and, by [KM98, Proposition 5.20], the pair  $(Y, \Delta)$  is klt. Since  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$  acts trivially on  $H^0(X, K_X)$ , one has  $p_g(X) = p_g(Y, \Delta)$ .

By Theorem A.1, there are some positive constants  $a$  and  $b$  such that

$$(4.2) \quad \text{vol}(X, K_X) = |\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)| \text{vol}(Y, K_Y + \Delta) \geq |\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)|(ap_g(X) - b).$$

Combining the inequalities (4.1) and (4.2), we obtain

$$|\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)|(ap_g(X) - b) \leq 72(p_g(X) + 1).$$

Now one sees easily that, there is a sufficiently large integer  $N$  such that  $|\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)| \leq \lceil \frac{72}{a} + 1 \rceil$  holds if  $p_g(X) > N$ . On the other hand, if  $p_g(X) \leq N$  then by (4.1), we have  $\text{vol}(X, K_X) \leq 72(N + 1)$ , which implies that this class of threefolds of general type are bounded. It follows that there is a constant  $M' > 0$  such that  $|\text{Aut}(X)| \leq M'$ , provided  $p_g(X) \leq N$ .

Then  $M = \max\{\frac{72}{a} + 1, M'\}$  is the bound we wanted.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a projective threefold of general type with canonical singularities such that its minimal models are Gorenstein. Then  $|\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)| \leq M$ , where  $M$  is as in Theorem 4.1.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.2,  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$  is contained in the group  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ .  $\square$

Recall that a smooth projective variety is isogenous to a product of curves if it admits the product of smooth projective curves as an étale cover.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $X$  be a projective threefold of general type isogenous to a product of curves. Then  $|\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)| \leq M$ , where  $M$  is as in Theorem 4.1.*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is isogenous to a product of curves, it is smooth with ample  $K_X$ . Now apply Corollary 4.2.  $\square$

#### APPENDIX A. NOETHER TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR LOG CANONICAL PAIRS OF GENERAL TYPE

Let  $\mathcal{C} \subset (0, 1]$  be a subset, possibly empty. We define the following set of log canonical pairs  $\mathfrak{P}_n(\mathcal{C}) := \{(X, \Delta) \mid (X, \Delta) \text{ is an } n\text{-dimensional log canonical pair with } \mathcal{C}_{\Delta} \subset \mathcal{C} \text{ and } K_X + \Delta \text{ big}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_{\Delta}$  denotes the set of nonzero coefficients appearing in  $\Delta$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the descending chain condition, then the set

$$\mathfrak{V}_n(\mathcal{C}) := \{\text{vol}(K_X + \Delta) \mid (X, \Delta) \in \mathfrak{P}_n(\mathcal{C})\}$$

also satisfies the descending chain condition ([HMX14, Theorem 1.3]). Set

$$\mathfrak{P}_n^+(\mathcal{C}) := \{(X, \Delta) \in \mathfrak{P}_n(\mathcal{C}) \mid p_g(X, \Delta) > 0\},$$

where  $p_g(X, \Delta) := h^0(X, K_X + \lfloor \Delta \rfloor)$ , and

$$\mathfrak{V}_n^+(\mathcal{C}) := \{\text{vol}(K_X + \Delta) \mid (X, \Delta) \in \mathfrak{P}_n^+(\mathcal{C})\} \text{ and } v_n^+(\mathcal{C}) := \min \mathfrak{V}_n(\mathcal{C}).$$

Now we state the main result of the appendix.

**Theorem A.1.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer and  $\mathcal{C} \subset (0, 1]$  a subset such that  $\mathcal{C} \cup \{1\}$  attains the minimum, denoted by  $c$ . Then there exist positive numbers  $a_n(\mathcal{C})$  and  $b_n(\mathcal{C})$ , depending on  $n$  and  $c$ , such that for any  $(Y, \Delta_Y) \in \mathfrak{P}_n(\mathcal{C})$  the following inequality holds*

$$\text{vol}(K_Y + \Delta_Y) \geq a_n(\mathcal{C})p_g(Y, \Delta_Y) - b_n(\mathcal{C})$$

For the proof of Theorem A.1, we need a lemma on the extension of sections of klt pairs:

**Lemma A.2.** *Let  $(Y, \Delta)$  be a klt pair with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients such that  $Y$  is smooth, and  $H$  a smooth divisor on  $Y$  such that  $H$  is not contained the support of  $\Delta$ . Suppose that there are an ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $A$  and an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $B$  such that  $K_Y + \Delta + H \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A + B$  and  $H$  is not contained in the support of  $B$ . Let  $\Delta_H$  be restriction of  $\Delta$  to  $H$ . Then the natural restriction map*

$$H^0(Y, m(K_Y + \Delta + H + L)) \rightarrow H^0(H, m(K_H + \Delta_H + L|_H))$$

*is surjective for any nef divisor  $L$  on  $Y$  and for any positive integer  $m \geq 2$  such that  $m\Delta$  is integral.*

*Proof.* The proof is the same as the one in [CJ17, Remark 2.5].  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem A.1.* By ensuring that  $b_n(\mathcal{C}) \geq a_n(\mathcal{C})$ , the inequality of the theorem is trivially true in the case  $p_g(Y, \Delta_Y) \leq 1$ . We can thus assume that  $p_g(Y, \Delta_Y) \geq 2$ .

We proceed by induction on the dimension  $n$ .

If  $n = 1$  then  $Y$  is a smooth projective curve, and, using the Riemann–Roch theorem

$$(A.1) \quad \text{vol}(K_Y + \Delta_Y) = \text{deg}(K_Y + \Delta_Y) \geq \text{deg}(K_Y + \lfloor \Delta_Y \rfloor) \geq h^0(Y, K_Y + \lfloor \Delta_Y \rfloor) - 1$$

where the last inequality is an equality if and only if  $g(Y) = 0$ . Thus we can take  $a_1(\mathcal{C}) = b_1(\mathcal{C}) = 1$  for any  $\mathcal{C} \subset (0, 1]$ .

From now on, we assume that  $n \geq 2$  and that the theorem has been proven in lower dimensions. Let  $(Y, \Delta_Y)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional lc pair of general type with coefficients of  $\Delta_Y$  belonging to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

First we assume that  $1 \in \mathcal{C}$ . Let  $\rho: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  be a log resolution of  $(Y, \Delta_Y)$  such that the movable part  $\text{Mov}|K_{\tilde{Y}} + \lfloor \Delta_{\tilde{Y}}^{\geq 0} \rfloor|$  of the linear system  $|K_{\tilde{Y}} + \lfloor \Delta_{\tilde{Y}}^{\geq 0} \rfloor|$  is base point free, where  $K_{\tilde{Y}} + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}} = \rho^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y)$ . Replacing  $(Y, \Delta_Y)$  with  $(\tilde{Y}, \Delta'_{\tilde{Y}})$ , where  $\Delta'_{\tilde{Y}}$  is obtained from  $\Delta_{\tilde{Y}}^{\geq 0}$  by raising the coefficients not in  $\mathcal{C}$  to 1 and then decreasing those coefficients  $\mathcal{C} \cap (0, 1)$  (if any) to  $c = \min(\mathcal{C} \cup \{1\})$ . Then  $(\tilde{Y}, \Delta'_{\tilde{Y}}) \in \mathfrak{F}_n(\{c, 1\})$ , and we have

$$0 < \text{vol}(K_{\tilde{Y}} + \Delta'_{\tilde{Y}}) \leq \text{vol}(K_Y + \Delta_Y) \text{ and } p_g(\tilde{Y}, \Delta'_{\tilde{Y}}) = p_g(Y, \Delta_Y).$$

Replacing  $(Y, \Delta_Y)$  with  $(\tilde{Y}, \Delta'_{\tilde{Y}})$ , we can assume that  $Y$  is smooth,  $\Delta_Y$  is a simple normal crossing divisor with coefficients lying in  $\{c, 1\}$ , and  $\text{Mov}|K_Y + \lfloor \Delta_Y \rfloor|$  is base point free.

Let  $H \in \text{Mov}|K_Y + \lfloor \Delta_Y \rfloor|$  be a general member. Then  $H$  is smooth and  $H + \Delta_Y$  is simple normal crossing by the Bertini theorem.

**Claim A.3.**  $\text{vol}(K_Y + \Delta_Y) \geq 2^{1-n} \text{vol}(K_H + \Delta_H)$ .

*Proof of Claim A.3.* For any given number  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can decrease the coefficient 1 (if any) of  $\Delta_Y$  to obtain a divisor  $\Delta_Y^\epsilon$  such that

- (1)  $(K_Y, \Delta_Y^\epsilon)$  is a klt pair of general type with coefficients of  $\Delta_Y^\epsilon$  contained in  $(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ ,
- (2)  $K_Y + \Delta_Y^\epsilon - (1 - \epsilon)H$  is effective, and
- (3)  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Delta_Y^\epsilon = \Delta_Y$ .

By [BCHM10], the pair  $(Y, \Delta_Y^\epsilon)$  has a canonical model  $\pi_\epsilon: (Y, \Delta_Y^\epsilon) \dashrightarrow (Y_\epsilon, \Delta_{Y_\epsilon})$ , where  $\Delta_{Y_\epsilon} = \pi_{\epsilon*} \Delta_Y^\epsilon$ . Resolving the indeterminacy of  $\pi_\epsilon$ , we obtain the following commutative diagram of birational maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{Y}_\epsilon & \\ p_\epsilon \swarrow & & \searrow q_\epsilon \\ Y & \overset{\pi_\epsilon}{\dashrightarrow} & Y_\epsilon \end{array}$$

where  $p_\epsilon$  and  $q_\epsilon$  are log resolutions of  $(Y, \Delta_Y)$  and  $(Y_\epsilon, \Delta_{Y_\epsilon})$  respectively.

Write  $p_\epsilon^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y^\epsilon) = K_{\tilde{Y}_\epsilon} + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}_\epsilon}$ . Let  $\tilde{H}_\epsilon \subset \tilde{Y}_\epsilon$  be the strict transform of  $H \subset Y$ , and let  $\Delta_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon}$  be the restriction of  $\Delta_{\tilde{Y}_\epsilon}^{\geq 0}$  to  $\tilde{H}_\epsilon$ .

We claim that  $\text{vol}(K_H + \Delta_H^\epsilon) = \text{vol}(K_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon} + \Delta_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon})$ . In fact, since  $p_{\epsilon*} \Delta_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon} = \Delta_H^\epsilon$ , we have  $\text{vol}(K_H + \Delta_H^\epsilon) \geq \text{vol}(K_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon} + \Delta_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon})$ . On the other hand,

$$p_\epsilon^*(K_H + \Delta_H^\epsilon) = p_\epsilon^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y)|_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon} = (K_{\tilde{Y}_\epsilon} + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}_\epsilon})|_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon} \leq (K_{\tilde{Y}_\epsilon} + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}_\epsilon}^{\geq 0})|_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon} = K_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon} + \Delta_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon}$$

and thus  $\text{vol}(K_H + \Delta_H^\epsilon) = \text{vol}(p_\epsilon^*(K_H + \Delta_H^\epsilon)) \leq \text{vol}(K_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon} + \Delta_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon})$ .

By Lemma A.2, we have

$$|2m(q_\epsilon^*(K_{Y_\epsilon} + \Delta_{Y_\epsilon}))|_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon} = \left| 2m(\tilde{Y}_\epsilon + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}_\epsilon}^{\geq 0}) \right|_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon} \supset \left| m(\tilde{Y}_\epsilon + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}_\epsilon}^{\geq 0} + \tilde{H}_\epsilon) \right|_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon} = \left| m(K_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon} + \Delta_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon}) \right|$$

for sufficiently large and divisible integer  $m$ , where  $|\cdot|_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon}$  denotes the restriction of a linear system on  $\tilde{Y}_\epsilon$  to  $\tilde{H}_\epsilon$ . It follows that

$$(2q_\epsilon^*(K_{Y_\epsilon} + \Delta_{Y_\epsilon}))^{n-1} \cdot \tilde{H}_\epsilon = \text{vol}(2q_\epsilon^*(K_{Y_\epsilon} + \Delta_{Y_\epsilon})|_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon}) \geq \text{vol}(K_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon} + \Delta_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon})$$

Since  $q_\epsilon^*(K_{Y_\epsilon} + \Delta_{Y_\epsilon})$  is the largest nef sub-divisor of  $\tilde{Y}_\epsilon + \Delta_{\tilde{Y}_\epsilon}^{\geq 0}$  while  $(1-\epsilon)p_\epsilon^*H$  is a nef sub-divisor of  $K_Y + \Delta_Y^\epsilon$ , one has  $q_\epsilon^*(K_{Y_\epsilon} + \Delta_{Y_\epsilon}) \geq (1-\epsilon)p_\epsilon^*H \geq (1-\epsilon)\tilde{H}_\epsilon$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(K_Y + \Delta_Y) &= \text{vol}(q_\epsilon^*(K_{Y_\epsilon} + \Delta_{Y_\epsilon})) \\ &= (q_\epsilon^*(K_{Y_\epsilon} + \Delta_{Y_\epsilon}))^n \\ &\geq (q_\epsilon^*(K_{Y_\epsilon} + \Delta_{Y_\epsilon}))^{n-1} \cdot (1-\epsilon)\tilde{H}_\epsilon \\ &\geq 2^{1-n}(1-\epsilon) \text{vol}(K_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon} + \Delta_{\tilde{H}_\epsilon}) \\ &= 2^{1-n}(1-\epsilon) \text{vol}(K_H + \Delta_H) \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\epsilon$  go to 0, we obtain  $\text{vol}(K_Y + \Delta_Y) \geq 2^{1-n} \text{vol}(K_H + \Delta_H)$ .  $\square$

Let  $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{p_g-1}$  denote the morphism defined by  $|K_Y + \lfloor \Delta \rfloor|$ , where  $p_g = p_g(Y, \Delta)$ . In order to bound  $\text{vol}(K_H + \Delta_H)$  from below in terms of  $p_g(Y, \Delta_Y)$ , we have to distinguish two cases depending on  $\dim f(Y)$ .

**Case  $\dim f(Y) \geq 2$ .** In this case,  $H$  is connected. Then  $(H, \Delta_H) \in \mathfrak{P}_{n-1}(\{c, 1\}) \subset \mathfrak{P}_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})$ , and hence by induction there are constants  $a_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $b_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})$  such that

$$(A.2) \quad \text{vol}(K_H + \Delta_H) \geq a_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})p_g(H, \Delta_H) - b_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})$$

Since  $p_g(H, \Delta_H) \geq p_g(Y, \Delta_Y) - 1$ , we have by Claim A.3 and (A.2):

$$(A.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{vol}(K_Y + \Delta_Y) &\geq 2^{1-n} (a_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})(p_g(Y, \Delta_Y) - 1) - b_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})) \\ &= 2^{1-n} a_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})p_g(Y, \Delta_Y) - 2^{1-n} (a_{n-1}(\mathcal{C}) + b_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})) \end{aligned}$$

**Case  $\dim f(Y) = 1$ .** In this case, the number  $k$  of connected components of  $H$  is  $\deg f(Y) \geq p_g(Y, \Delta_Y) - 1$ . Let  $H_1, \dots, H_k$  be the connected components of  $H$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , let  $\Delta_{H_i}$  be the restriction of  $\Delta_H$  to  $H_i$ . Then  $(H_i, \Delta_{H_i}) \in \mathfrak{P}_{n-1}^+(\{c, 1\})$ , and  $\text{vol}(K_{H_i} + \Delta_{H_i})$  is independent of  $i$ . We have thus

$$(A.4) \quad \text{vol}(K_H + \Delta_H) = k \text{vol}(K_{H_i} + \Delta_{H_i}) \geq v_{n-1}^+(\{c, 1\})(p_g(Y, \Delta_Y) - 1).$$

Combining this with Claim A.3, we obtain

$$(A.5) \quad \text{vol}(K_Y + \Delta_Y) \geq 2^{1-n} v_{n-1}^+(\{c, 1\})(p_g(Y, \Delta_Y) - 1).$$

By (A.3) and (A.5), if we set

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(\mathcal{C}) &= 2^{1-n} \min\{a_{n-1}(\mathcal{C}), v_{n-1}^+(\{c, 1\})\}, \\ b_n(\mathcal{C}) &= 2^{1-n} \max\{a_{n-1}(\mathcal{C}) + b_{n-1}(\mathcal{C}), v_{n-1}^+(\{c, 1\})\}. \end{aligned}$$

then the inequality  $\text{vol}(K_Y + \Delta_Y) \geq a_n(\mathcal{C})p_g(Y, \Delta_Y) - b_n(\mathcal{C})$  is valid in both cases.

In general, if  $1 \notin \mathcal{C}$ , since  $\mathfrak{P}_n(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathfrak{P}_n(\mathcal{C} \cup \{1\})$ , we can simply set  $a_n(\mathcal{C}) := a_n(\mathcal{C} \cup \{1\})$  and  $b_n(\mathcal{C}) := b_n(\mathcal{C} \cup \{1\})$ .  $\square$

**Remark A.4.** The choices of  $a_n(\mathcal{C})$  and  $b_n(\mathcal{C})$  in the proof of Theorem A.1 are not optimal, but are computable. If the following Question A.5 admits a positive answer, then one can see by induction that, for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$a_n(\mathcal{C}) = 2^{1-n} v_{n-1}^+(\{c, 1\}) \text{ and } b_n = a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} + \dots + a_1 + b_1 = 2 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \frac{1}{2^{i-1}} v_{i-1}^+(\{c, 1\}).$$

**Question A.5.** For  $n \geq 2$  and  $c \in (0, 1]$ , is it true that  $v_n^+(\{c, 1\}) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} v_{n-1}^+(\{c, 1\})$ ?

## REFERENCES

- [BarPS19] Miguel Ángel Barja, Rita Pardini and Lidia Stoppino, The eventual paracanonical map of a variety of maximal Albanese dimension. *Algebr. Geom.* 6 (2019), no. 3, 302–311.
- [BarPe83] Wolf Barth and Chris A. M. Peters, Automorphisms of Enriques surfaces. *Invent. Math.* 73 (1983), no. 3, 383–411.
- [Bea79] Arnaud Beauville, L’application canonique pour les surfaces de type général, *Invent. Math.* 55 (1979), 121–140.
- [BCHM10] Caucher Birkar, Paulo Cascini, Christopher D. Hacon and James McKernan, Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* no. 2, 405–468.
- [BuR75] Dan Jr. Burns and Michael Rapoport, On the Torelli problem for kählerian K3 surfaces. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* 8 (1975), no. 2, 235–273.
- [Cai04] Jin-Xing Cai, Automorphisms of a surface of general type acting trivially in cohomology, *Tohoku Math. J.* 56 (2004), 341–355.
- [Cai06] Jin-Xing Cai, Automorphisms of fiber surfaces of genus 2, inducing the identity in cohomology. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 358 (2006), no. 3, 1187–1201.
- [Cai12a] Jin-Xing Cai, Automorphisms of an irregular surface of general type acting trivially in cohomology. *J. Algebra* 367 (2012), 95–104.
- [Cai12b] Jin-Xing Cai, Automorphisms of an irregular surface of general type acting trivially in cohomology, II. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* 64 (2012), no. 4, 593–605.
- [CL18] Jin-Xing Cai and Wenfei Liu, Automorphisms of surfaces of general type with  $q = 1$  acting trivially in cohomology. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* 18 (2018), no. 4, 1311–1348
- [CLZ13] Jin-Xing Cai, Wenfei Liu and Lei Zhang, Automorphisms of surfaces of general type with  $q \geq 2$  acting trivially in cohomology. *Compos. Math.* 149 (2013), no. 10, 1667–1684.
- [CatLiu20] Fabrizio Catanese and Wenfei Liu, On topologically trivial automorphisms of compact Kähler manifolds and algebraic surfaces, arXiv:2012.11272, 2020, to appear in *Rendiconti Lincei Matematica e Applicazioni*.
- [CDJ14] Jungkai Alfred Chen, Olivier Debarre and Zhi Jiang, Varieties with vanishing holomorphic Euler characteristic. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 691 (2014), 203–227.
- [CJ17] Meng Chen and Zhi Jiang, A reduction of canonical  $\text{staBirLity}$  index of 4 and 5 dimensional projective varieties with large volume. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 67 (2017), no. 5, 2043–2082.
- [CJ18] Jungkai Alfred Chen and Zhi Jiang, Positivity in varieties of maximal Albanese dimension. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 736 (2018), 225–253.
- [CPZ17] Chen Xi, Xuanyu Pan and Dingxin Zhang, Automorphism and cohomology II: complete intersections, arXiv:1511.07906v6, 2017.
- [dCM09] Mark de Cataldo and Luca Migliorini, The Decomposition Theorem, Perverse Sheaves, and the Topology of Algebraic Maps, *Bulletin of the AMS*, 2009.
- [D84] Igor Dolgachev, On automorphisms of Enriques surfaces. *Invent. Math.* 76 (1984), no. 1, 163–177.
- [D13] Igor Dolgachev, Numerical trivial automorphisms of Enriques surfaces in arbitrary characteristic. *Arithmetic and geometry of K3 surfaces and Calabi–Yau threefolds*, 267–283, *Fields Inst. Commun.*, 67, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [DM19] Igor Dolgachev and Gebhard Martin, Numerically trivial automorphisms of Enriques surfaces in characteristic 2. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 71 (2019), no. 4, 1181–1200.
- [DM21] Igor Dolgachev and Gebhard Martin, Automorphism groups of rational elliptic and quasi-elliptic surfaces in all characteristics, arXiv:2101.05246.
- [EV00] S. Encinas and O. Villamayor, A course on constructive desingularization and equivariance, in *Resolution of Singularities*, edited by H. Hauser, J. Lipman, F. Oort, A. Quirós, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [Hac04] Christopher D. Hacon, A derived category approach to generic vanishing. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 575 (2004), 173–187.
- [HMX14] Christopher D. Hacon, James McKernan, James and Chenyang Xu, ACC for log canonical thresholds. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 180 (2014), no. 2, 523–571.
- [JLT14] Zhi Jiang, Martí Lahoz and Sofia Tirabassi, Characterization of products of theta divisors. *Compos. Math.* 150 (2014), no. 8, 1384–1412.
- [J16] Zhi Jiang, Some results on the eventual paracanonical maps, arXiv:1611.07141, 2016.
- [J20] Zhi Jiang, M-regular decompositions for pushforwards of pluricanonical bundles of pairs to abelian varieties, to appear in *IMRN*, <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnaa366>.
- [JS15] Zhi Jiang and Hao Sun, Cohomological support loci of varieties of Albanese fiber dimension one. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 367 (2015), no. 1, 103–119.
- [Kaw85] Yujiro Kawamata, Minimal models and the Kodaira dimension of algebraic fiber spaces. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 363 (1985). 1–46.
- [Ke16] JongHae Keum, Orders of automorphisms of K3 surfaces. *Adv. Math.* 303 (2016), 39–87.
- [Ko86a] János Kollár, Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 123 (1986), no. 1, 11–42.



- [Ko86b] János Kollár, Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves. II. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 124 (1986), no. 1, 171–202.
- [KK10] János Kollár and Sándor Kovács, Log canonical singularities are Du Bois. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 23 (2010), no. 3, 791–813.
- [KM98] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 134. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. viii+254 pp.
- [Lie78] David I. Lieberman, Compactness of the Chow scheme: applications to automorphisms and deformations of Kähler manifolds. *Fonctions de plusieurs variables complexes, III (Sém. François Norguet, 1975–1977)*, pp. 140–186, Lecture Notes in Math., 670, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [Liu18] Wenfei Liu, Surfaces of general type with  $q = 2$  are rigidified. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 20 (2018), no. 7, 1750084, 12 pp.
- [M20] Fanjun Meng, Pushforwards of klt pairs under morphisms to abelian varieties, arXiv:2005.13761.
- [Mo82] Shigefumi Mori, Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 116 (1982), no. 1, 133–176.
- [MN84] Shigeru Mukai and Yukihiko Namikawa, Automorphisms of Enriques surfaces which act trivially on the cohomology groups. *Invent. Math.* 77 (1984), no. 3, 383–397.
- [O20] Keiji Oguiso, No cohomologically trivial nontrivial automorphism of generalized Kummer manifolds. *Nagoya Math. J.* 239 (2020), 110–122.
- [Pan20] Xuanyu Pan, Automorphism and cohomology I: Fano varieties of lines and cubics. *Algebr. Geom.* 7 (2020), no. 1, 1–29.
- [PP03] Giuseppe Pareschi and Mihnea Popa, Regularity on abelian varieties. I. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 16 (2003), no. 2, 285–302.
- [PPS17] Giuseppe Pareschi, Mihnea Popa and Christian Schnell, Hodge modules on complex tori and generic vanishing for compact Kähler manifolds. *Geom. Topol.* 21 (2017), no. 4, 2419–2460
- [Pe79] Chris A. M. Peters, Holomorphic automorphisms of compact Kähler surfaces and their induced actions in cohomology. *Invent. Math.* 52 (1979), no. 2, 143–148.
- [Pe80] Chris A. M. Peters, *On automorphisms of compact Kähler surfaces*. Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d’Angers, Juillet 1979/Algebraic Geometry, Angers, 1979, pp. 249–267, Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn-Germantown, Md., 1980.
- [PS71] Ilya I. Pjateckiĭ-Šapiro and Igor R. Šafarevč, Torelli’s theorem for algebraic surfaces of type K3, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 35 (1971), 530–572.
- [Ser59] Jean-Pierre Serre, Morphismes universels et variété d’Albanese, *Séminaire Claude Chevalley*, tome 4 (1958–1959), exp. no. 10, p. 1–22.
- [Ue75] Kenji Ueno, Classification theory of algebraic varieties and compact complex spaces. Notes written in collaboration with P. Cherenack. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 439. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975. xix+278 pp.
- [X87] Gang Xiao, Fibered algebraic surfaces with low slope, *Math. Ann.* 276(1987), no.3, 449–466.
- [Z21] Hang Zhao, Automorphisms of threefolds of general type acting trivially in cohomology. *Geom. Dedicata* (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10711-021-00619-w>

ZHI JIANG, SHANGHAI CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHINA  
*Email address:* zhijiang@fudan.edu.cn

WENFEI LIU, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, XIAMEN UNIVERSITY, SIMING SOUTH ROAD 422, XIAMEN, FUJIAN 361005, CHINA  
*Email address:* wliu@xmu.edu.cn

HANG ZHAO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, NO 1088, XUEYUAN RD., XILI, NANSHAN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG, CHINA  
*Email address:* zhaoh3@sustech.edu.cn