

Hamiltonian and exclusion statistics approach to discrete forward-moving paths

Stéphane Ouvry* and Alexios P. Polychronakos†

November 8, 2021

Abstract

We use a Hamiltonian (transition matrix) description of height-restricted Dyck paths on the plane in which generating functions for the paths arise as matrix elements of the propagator to evaluate the length and area generating function for paths with arbitrary starting and ending points, expressing it as a rational combination of determinants. Exploiting a connection between random walks and quantum exclusion statistics that we previously established, we express this generating function in terms of grand partition functions for exclusion particles in a finite harmonic spectrum and present an alternative, simpler form for its logarithm that makes its polynomial structure explicit.

* LPTMS, CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay,
91405 Orsay Cedex, France; *stephane.ovvry@u-psud.fr*

† Department of Physics, the City College & The Graduate Center of CUNY,
New York, NY 10031, USA; *apolychronakos@ccny.cuny.edu*

1 Introduction

Random walks of given length and area on planar lattices are of inherent mathematical and physical interest. In mathematics, their statistics and generating functions are the subject of intense study. In physics, they arise either in actual diffusion processes or in the quantum mechanics of particles moving in a periodic two-dimensional potential. The Hofstadter problem is the canonical example of the latter, leading to the famous “butterfly” energy spectrum [1].

In physical contexts, random walks are generated through the action of a Hamiltonian on the Hilbert space of the system. This connection was used to study the enumeration of closed walks of given length and (algebraic) area on the square lattice. Such walks are generated by the Hofstadter Hamiltonian, and their properties can be derived from the study of the secular determinant of the Hamiltonian. The area enumeration generating function for walks of given length was derived in [2] in terms of a set of factors (the so-called Kreft coefficients [3]) extracted from the secular determinant, leading to explicit albeit complicated expressions.

A remarkable connection was made in [4] between a general class of two-dimensional walks and quantum mechanical particles obeying generalized *exclusion statistics* with exclusion parameter g ($g = 0$ for bosons, $g = 1$ for fermions, and higher g means a stronger exclusion beyond Fermi) depending on the type of walks. Exclusion statistics was proposed by Haldane [5] as a distillation of the statistical mechanical properties of Calogero-like spin systems. The relevance of generalized quantum statistics to Calogero particles was first pointed out in [6]. Exclusion statistics also emerges in the context of anyons projected on the lowest Landau level of a strong magnetic field [7], and has been extended to more general systems [8]. Remarkably, the algebraic area considerations of a class of lattice walks directly map to the statistical mechanics of particular many-body systems with exclusion statistics.

In the present work we make use of both the Hamiltonian description of random walks and the exclusion statistics connection to study the generating function of a family of walks usually referred to as Dyck paths and their height-restricted generalizations [9]–[15]¹. These are walks that propagate one step in the horizontal direction (“time”) and one step either up or down in the vertical direction (“height”) but without dipping below a “floor” at height zero nor exceeding a “ceiling” of maximal height. Paths that start and end at the floor are usually termed “excursions”, while more general paths are “meanders”. The Hamiltonian method for forward-moving paths is equivalent to the transition matrix formulation, which has been used in previous work to calculate the length generating function for such walks. In the present work we extend these results to length *and* area generating functions for meanders with arbitrary starting and ending points. Although the results for the length generating function for Dyck meanders, and the length and area generating function for Dyck excursions have been obtained before,

¹The literature on Dyck and related Lukasiewicz paths is quite extensive. For a comprehensive list of papers we refer the reader to T. Prellberg’s site <http://www.maths.qmul.ac.uk/~tp/>

our expression of the length and area generating function for arbitrary meanders is, to the best of our knowledge, a new result.

Further, using the connection to exclusion statistics that we established earlier allows us to express the generating functions in terms of statistical mechanical properties of known and relatively simple systems, and to derive alternative forms for their logarithms in terms of sums over compositions (i.e., ordered partitions) of the integer length of the path that make explicit their polynomial structure. Again, to the best of our knowledge, this connection and corresponding expressions are original.

In the next section we set up the Hamiltonian description of walks and establish the fundamental expression of their generating functions in terms of matrix elements of the propagator, while in section 3 we derive the basic determinant formula for the generating functions and examine several special cases. In section 4 we review the exclusion statistics connection and use it to express the basic building block of the generating functions, the secular determinant, in terms of grand partition functions, while in section 5 we apply the method to derive explicit expressions for the generating functions and their logarithms. In section 6 we complete the analysis by extending it to generating functions counting also the “touchdowns” of the paths. We conclude with some remarks on a connection of the walks in the present work with the group theoretical problem of the composition of a large number of spins and q -extensions of Schur functions, and present some directions for future work.

2 Walk generating Hamiltonian

Consider a Hamiltonian acting on a $k + 1$ -dimensional Hilbert space of the form

$$H_k = V_k^\dagger U_k + U_k V_k \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$U_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Q^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & Q^{k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & Q^k \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.2)$$

U_k and V_k are similar to the usual “clock” and “shift” matrices of the “quantum torus” algebra, with the differences that the parameter Q need not be a root of unity, and V_k is missing the lower left element that would make it cyclic and invertible. They obey the relations

$$V_k U_k = Q U_k V_k, \quad V_k V_k^\dagger = 1 - |k\rangle\langle k|, \quad V_k^\dagger V_k = 1 - |0\rangle\langle 0| \quad (2.3)$$




Figure 1: A typical path [solid (purple) meander] for $k = 4$, starting at $m = 1$ and ending at $n = 2$, of length $l = 13$ steps. The area under it is 27.5 plaquettes and subtracting the area of the triangular ‘sierra’ at the bottom $l/2 = 6.5$ we obtain $A = 21$. Relevant to the conventions after section 4.1, its length can also be expressed as $l = 6.5$ double-steps and $A = 21/2 = 10.5$ ‘diamonds’ formed by the dashed lines (there is a half-diamond at the last step of the path).

where $|n\rangle\langle n|$ is the projector on the n -th element of the space ($n = 0, 1, \dots, k$). The Hamiltonian has the explicit off-diagonal form

$$H_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & Q & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q & 0 & Q^2 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & Q^{k-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & Q^{k-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.4)$$

and is symmetric. It is also Hermitian for real Q , although this is of no import for our considerations.

We will view the Hamiltonian H_k as generating random walks on a square lattice on the first quadrangle of the plane consisting of points (i, j) , $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$, while also keeping track of the area between the walk and the horizontal axis (see fig.1). The Hamiltonian represents the transition matrix of the corresponding walk. Specifically, the action of H_k on $|j\rangle$ produces the superposition $Q^j |j+1\rangle + Q^{j-1} |j-1\rangle$. Mapping the vertical position j to the Hilbert space element $|j\rangle$, we can interpret the action of H_k as producing a unit vertical step either up to $|j+1\rangle$, or down to $|j-1\rangle$. We consider a single application of H_k to correspond to a unit horizontal step $i \rightarrow i+1$. The vertical area under such a step $(i, j) \rightarrow (i+1, j \pm 1)$ measured in units of lattice plaquettes is $a = (j + (j \pm 1))/2 = j \pm \frac{1}{2}$ for an up or down step, respectively, and therefore the weighting factors Q^j and Q^{j-1} are $Q^{a-1/2}$. The repeated application of H_k , then, produces a collection of paths moving either up or down by one unit in each of the l horizontal

steps, each path weighted by a factor arising from the products of the above coefficients in each step; that is, by a factor $Q^{a-l/2}$ where a is the total area under the path. We will call $A = a - l/2$ the “area” of the walk (in a slight abuse of the term). It can be visualized as the area vertically under the walk reduced by the area of the “sierra” at the bottom (see figure 1).

States $|0\rangle$ and $|k\rangle$ (corresponding to lattice points $(i, 0)$ and (i, k)) constitute a ‘floor’ and a ‘ceiling’. Walks starting and ending at the floor state $|0\rangle$ are called height-restricted Dyck paths and have been studied extensively in the mathematics literature (the restriction refers to the existence of the ceiling $|k\rangle$). An object of special interest is the generating function of walks of l steps and area A , starting at fixed height m and ending at fixed height n ; that is,

$$G_{k,mn}(Z, Q) = \sum_{A,l=0}^{\infty} Z^l Q^A N_{k,mn;l,A} \quad (2.5)$$

with $N_{k,mn;l,A}$ the number of walks with the given parameters. The correspondence of such walks with the action of H_k^l , described above, makes it clear that the m, n matrix element of H_k^l reproduces the sum of walks weighted by their area:

$$\langle m | H_k^l | n \rangle = \sum_{A=0}^{\infty} Q^A N_{k,mn;l,A} \quad (2.6)$$

and the full generating function becomes a matrix element of the propagator

$$G_{k,mn}(Z, Q) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} Z^l \langle m | H_k^l | n \rangle = \langle m | (1 - ZH_k)^{-1} | n \rangle \quad (2.7)$$

(we assumed small enough $|Z|$ and $|Q|$ for convergence of the sum). It is clear from this form that the generating function satisfies the convolution property

$$G_{k,mn}(Z, Q) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} G_{k,ml}(Z, Q) G_{k,ln}(Z, Q) \quad (2.8)$$

Further, by the symmetry of H_k , $G_{k,mn}(Z, Q) = G_{k,nm}(Z, Q)$.

In the sequel we will demonstrate that the above generating function can be expressed in terms of a ratio of determinants, evaluate these determinants, and make a connection between such walks and generalized quantum exclusion statistics of order 2. This connection leads to the derivation of an alternative, explicit form of the generating function, and opens the path to further generalizations.

3 Determinant formulae

Our goal is the evaluation of the matrix elements of the ‘propagator’ matrix $(1 - ZH_k)^{-1}$ that appear in the generating function.

3.1 Basic result

Define the secular matrix

$$D_k(Z, Q) = 1 - ZH_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -Z & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -Z & 1 & -ZQ & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -ZQ & 1 & -ZQ^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -ZQ^{k-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -ZQ^{k-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.1)$$

and its determinant and matrix elements of its inverse (generating function)

$$F_k(Z, Q) = \det D_k(Z, Q) , \quad G_{k,mn}(Z, Q) = \langle m | D_k(Z, Q)^{-1} | n \rangle \quad (3.2)$$

Clearly $F_0(Z, Q) = 1$. For later convenience we also define $F_{-1}(Z, Q) = 1$, and will adopt the convention that indices ∞ and 00 are omitted; that is,

$$F_\infty = F , \quad G_{\infty,mn} = G_{mn} , \quad G_{k,00} = G_k , \quad G_{\infty,00} = G \quad (3.3)$$

To calculate $G_{k,mn}$ we use the standard formula giving the elements of the inverse of a matrix in terms of its complements. Applied to matrix $D_k(Z, Q)$ it yields

$$\langle m | D_k(Z, Q)^{-1} | n \rangle = (-1)^{m-n} \frac{\det D_k(Z, Q)_{(nm)}}{\det D_k(Z, Q)} \quad (3.4)$$

where the complement $D_k(Z, Q)_{(nm)}$ is the matrix $D_k(Z, Q)$ with the n^{th} row and m^{th} column removed. The denominator in the right-hand side is $F_k(Z, Q)$. So it remains to calculate the determinant of $D_k(Z, Q)_{(nm)}$.

This determinant can be related to simple secular determinants. First, observe that the secular matrix with its first n rows and columns truncated, denoted $D_k(Z, Q)_{[n]}$, is related to the secular matrix for a reduced k . Specifically,

$$D_k(Z, Q)_{[n]} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -ZQ^n & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -ZQ^n & 1 & -ZQ^{n+1} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -ZQ^{n+1} & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -ZQ^{k-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -ZQ^{k-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = D_{k-n}(ZQ^n, Q) \quad (3.5)$$

Assuming $m \leq n$ (which is adequate, by the symmetry of $G_{k,mn}(Z, Q)$), the complement $D_k(Z, Q)_{(nm)}$ becomes block-triangular (we leave it to the reader to visualize this) of the form

$$D_k(Z, Q)_{(nm)} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{m-1}(Z, Q) & 0 & 0 \\ A & Q & 0 \\ B & C & D_k(Z, Q)_{[n+1]} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.6)$$

and therefore

$$\det D_k(Z, Q)_{(nm)} = \det D_{m-1}(Z, Q) \det Q \det D_k(Z, Q)_{[n+1]} \quad (3.7)$$

The form of A, B, C is unimportant (B mostly vanishes). Q , on the other hand, is a lower-triangular matrix with diagonal elements $-ZQ^m, -ZQ^{m+1}, \dots, -ZQ^{n-1}$. Therefore,

$$\det Q = (-Z)^{n-m} Q^{\frac{(n-m)(n+m-1)}{2}} \quad (3.8)$$

Putting everything together, and using (3.5), we finally obtain

$$G_{k,mn}(Z, Q) = Z^{n-m} Q^{\frac{(n-m)(n+m-1)}{2}} \frac{F_{m-1}(Z, Q) F_{k-n-1}(ZQ^{n+1}, Q)}{F_k(Z, Q)}, \quad n \geq m \quad (3.9)$$

This is our main result. Its basic building block is the determinant of the secular matrix. We point out that expressions of the length generating function ($Q = 1$) in terms of ratios of determinants of the transition matrix have appeared before in the literature [10, 13], but our expression for the length and area generating function for arbitrary meanders as a rational expression of subdeterminants is, to the best of our knowledge, new.

We also note that “weighted” generating functions assigning different weights to different kinds of steps have been considered. In our case, this amounts to a trivial modification: a walk with N_u up-steps and N_d down-steps satisfies

$$N_u + N_d = l, \quad N_u - N_d = n - m \quad (3.10)$$

Therefore, the generating function weighing up-steps and down-steps with factors Z_u and Z_d , respectively, is simply

$$G_{k,mn}(Z_u, Z_d; Q) = (Z_u Z_d^{-1})^{\frac{n-m}{2}} G_{k,mn}((Z_u Z_d)^{1/2}, Q) \quad (3.11)$$

In the next section we will connect the secular determinant to quantum exclusion statistics, which will also provide a method for calculating it and finding a more explicit form.

3.2 Special cases

It is useful to write this result for a few interesting special cases. For “diagonal” height-restricted paths, starting and ending at the same height $m = n$, we have

$$G_{k,nn}(Z, Q) = \frac{F_{n-1}(Z, Q) F_{k-n-1}(ZQ^{n+1}, Q)}{F_k(Z, Q)} \quad (3.12)$$

In particular, for excursions starting and ending at $n = 0$, $G_{k,00}(Z, Q) = G_k(Z, Q)$ is

$$G_k(Z, Q) = \frac{F_{k-1}(ZQ, Q)}{F_k(Z, Q)} \quad (3.13)$$

Expressions similar to the above for the length generating function of excursions ($Q=1$) have been derived in [10] and for the length and area generating function in [11]. For the ‘dual’ paths starting and ending at the ceiling we obtain

$$G_{k,kk}(Z, Q) = \frac{F_{k-1}(Z, Q)}{F_k(Z, Q)} \quad (3.14)$$

Finally, for unrestricted Dyck excursions, starting and ending at $n = 0$, we have

$$G(Z, Q) = \frac{F(ZQ, Q)}{F(Z, Q)} \quad (3.15)$$

3.3 Duality and recursion relations

Walks are obviously symmetric under vertical reflection around the median line at $k/2$, leading to the mapping $|n\rangle \rightarrow |k-n\rangle$. A consequence of this symmetry is that the secular matrix and determinant satisfy the duality relation

$$D_k(ZQ^{k-1}, Q^{-1}) = \sigma D_k(Z, Q) \sigma, \quad F_k(ZQ^{k-1}, Q^{-1}) = F_k(Z, Q) \quad (3.16)$$

where $\sigma_{mn} = \delta_{m+n,k}$ is the reflection matrix. This leads to the corresponding duality relation for generating functions

$$G_{k,mn}(Z, Q) = G_{k;k-m,k-n}(ZQ^{k-1}, Q^{-1}) \quad (3.17)$$

Several generating function recursion relations can be deduced directly from the form itself of (3.9). For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{k,mn}(Z, Q) &= ZQ^{n-1} G_{k;m,n-1}(Z, Q) G_{k-n}(ZQ^n, Q) & (m < n) \\ &= ZQ^l G_{k;l+1,n}(Z, Q) G_{l;m,l}(Z, Q) & (m \leq l < n) \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Further recursion relations can be derived by expanding $\det D_k(Z, Q)$ in terms of its top row. We obtain

$$F_k(Z, Q) = F_{k-1}(ZQ, Q) - Z^2 F_{k-2}(ZQ^2, Q) \quad (3.19)$$

which leads to corresponding relations for $G_{k,nm}(Z, Q)$. Several such relations can be written, but we will only present two: for generic paths, applying (3.19) to the term $F_{k-n-1}(ZQ^{n+1}, Q)$ in (3.9) yields

$$G_{k,mn}(Z, Q) = ZQ^{n-1} G_{k;m,n-1}(Z, Q) + ZQ^n G_{k;m,n+1}(Z, Q) \quad (m < n < k) \quad (3.20)$$

and for paths starting and ending at $n = 0$, dividing (3.19) by $F_k(Z, Q)$ yields

$$G_k(Z, Q) = 1 + Z^2 G_{k-1}(ZQ, Q) G_k(Z, Q) \quad (3.21)$$

All the above recursion relations have clear geometric interpretations in terms of constructing (or deconstructing) paths in terms of their parts (see, e.g., figure 2).




Figure 2: A geometric interpretation of recursion relation (3.21) as a “first passage” equation. Any excursion (one of length 24 pictured above) can be decomposed into a first-passage path returning to height $j = 0$ for the *first time* [first (blue & orange) part of path] and the remaining (purple) arbitrary excursion. For paths of length at least two, the first-passage path has a first and a last step [lower (blue) links]. The remaining upper (orange) part never dips below $j = 1$ and can be interpreted as an excursion, but with a ceiling reduced by 1 and an area increased by its length (shaded plaquettes). Summing over all paths gives the full generating function $G_k(Z, Q)$ as the product of itself [second (purple) excursion] times $G_{k-1}(ZQ, Q)$ [first (orange) excursion], the shift $Z \rightarrow ZQ$ accounting for the increase in the area, times Z^2 contributed by the two lower (blue) links. The trivial path of zero length and area cannot be decomposed and contributes the term 1 in (3.21). Relations (3.18) also admit a similar first-passage interpretation.

4 Exclusion statistics connection

In [4] a connection was pointed out between two-diagonal matrices and quantum exclusion statistics (for a review of exclusions statistics see [16]). Specifically, the secular determinant of a matrix with two nonzero off-diagonals, one (with elements f_n) just above the (vanishing) diagonal and the other (with elements g_n) $g - 1$ steps below the diagonal, is given by the *grand partition function* of noninteracting particles of exclusion statistics g with single-particle Boltzmann factors $s(n) = e^{-\beta \varepsilon_n}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ($1/\beta = k_B T$ as usual) and fugacity parameter $x = e^\mu$ given by

$$s(n) = g_n f_n f_{n+1} \cdots f_{n+g-2}, \quad x = -Z^g \quad (4.1)$$

$s(n)$, called the spectral function in [4], encodes the single-particle energy spectrum.

The matrix H_k in (2.4) is of the above form, with $g = 2$ and $f_n = g_n = Q^n$, for a spectral function and fugacity

$$s(n) = Q^{2n} = e^{-2n \ln Q^{-1}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, k-1; \quad x = -Z^2 \quad (4.2)$$

These are the Boltzmann factors for energy levels $\varepsilon_n = n\beta^{-1} \ln(Q^{-2})$, that is, a finite equidistant spectrum, which we will call a truncated harmonic oscillator spectrum (levels range from ε_0 to ε_{k-1}). The determinant $F_k(Z, Q)$ is the grand partition function of noninteracting exclusion-2 particles in this spectrum, obeying the exclusion principle that no two particles can be in the same *or neighboring* levels.

4.1 A change in conventions

This is an opportune moment to make a change of conventions for the variables Q and Z dual to the area and length of the walks. The spectrum and fugacity parameter in (4.2)

suggest the use of parameters

$$z = Z^2, \quad q = Q^2 \quad \text{and thus} \quad s(n) = q^n, \quad x = -z \quad (4.3)$$

as more natural. Adopting them in the previous sections would have made intermediate expressions rather awkward, involving square roots, but they become a better choice in the sequel. This change amounts to measuring the area in terms of diamonds, of area double that of an elementary plaquette, and the length in terms of double steps. Paths starting and ending at different heights $m \neq n$ can have *half*-integer area and length in the new conventions, but this is not a serious drawback.

Renaming $F_k(z^2, q^2)$ and $G_{k,mn}(z^2, q^2)$ simply $F_k(z, q)$ and $G_{k,mn}(z, q)$, all the basic formulae of the previous sections remain essentially unchanged, modified only when explicit factors of Z or Q are involved. We list here three main formulae:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{k,mn}(z, q) &= z^{\frac{n-m}{2}} q^{\frac{(n-m)(n+m-1)}{4}} \frac{F_{m-1}(z, q) F_{k-n-1}(zq^{n+1}, q)}{F_k(z, q)}, \quad n \geq m \\ F_k(z, q) &= F_{k-1}(zq, q) - zF_{k-2}(zq^2, q) \\ G_k(z, q) &= 1 + zG_{k-1}(zq, q)G_k(z, q) \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

4.2 Grand partition functions

The determinant $F_k(z, q)$ is expressed as the grand partition function of noninteracting exclusion-2 particles in the single-particle spectrum $\varepsilon_n = n\beta^{-1} \ln(q^{-1}) = \varepsilon n$:

$$F_k(z, q) = \sum_{N=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor} (-z)^N Z_{k,N}^{(2)}(q) \quad (4.5)$$

with N the number of particles and $Z_{k,N}^{(2)}$ the partition function of N exclusion-2 particles in the above spectrum. The N -particle ground state consists of levels $n = 0, 2, 4, \dots, 2N-2$ occupied by a single particle, with Boltzmann factor $q^{N(N-1)}$. Excited states correspond to N occupied levels with at least one empty level between them.

For an equidistant energy spectrum a standard bosonization argument allows to write N -body partition functions in terms of bosonic partition functions. The standard case of bosonization is for relativistic massless fermions on the periodic line, for which the energy spectrum is also equidistant, but it applies to our exclusion statistics particles as well. The basic bosonization trick is to reduce the gap between successive occupied levels by g , which then ‘‘collapses’’ the state into a bosonic state but also adds a constant to the energy. Specifically, the energy of a state of N particles of exclusion g can be expressed in terms of the successive occupied level ℓ_i as

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon \ell_i, \quad 0 \leq \ell_i + 2 \leq \ell_{i+1} \leq k-1 \quad (4.6)$$

The condition $l_i + 2 \leq l_{i+1}$ enforces $g = 2$ exclusion, guaranteeing that neighboring levels cannot both be occupied. Redefining $\ell_i = l_i - 2(i - 1)$ the energy becomes

$$E = \varepsilon N(N - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon \ell_i, \quad 0 \leq l_i \leq l_{i+1} \leq k - 1 - 2(N - 1) \quad (4.7)$$

That is, the energy of noninteracting bosons at levels l_i with a zero-point energy $\varepsilon N(N - 1)$ and a reduced number of levels $k - 2(N - 1)$. This allows us to rewrite the grand partition function (4.5) in terms of *bosonic* partition functions

$$F_k(z, q) = \sum_{N=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor} (-z)^N q^{N(N-1)} Z_{k-2(N-1), N}^B(q) \quad (4.8)$$

For finite k the above is a finite sum, truncating at $N = \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor$. The bosonic partition function of N particles in k oscillator states can be expressed as

$$Z_{k, N}^B(q) = \sum_{\{n_i=0\}}^{\infty} q^{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} i n_i} \delta\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} n_i - N\right) \quad (4.9a)$$

$$= \sum_{\{m_j=0\}}^{\infty} q^{\sum_{j=0}^N j m_j} \delta\left(\sum_{j=0}^N m_j - k + 1\right) \quad (4.9b)$$

$$= \frac{[k + N - 1]!_q}{[N]!_q [k - 1]!_q} = \binom{k + N - 1}{N}_q \quad (4.9c)$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1 - q^{j+k-1}}{1 - q^j} = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1 - q^{j+N}}{1 - q^j} \quad (4.9d)$$

We deliberately gave several alternatives: (4.9a) is an expansion in terms of the *occupation* numbers n_i of single-particle states $i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$, while (4.9b) is an expansion in terms of the *excitation* numbers m_j of the top j particles (m_0 being simply the number of empty levels above the top particle). These are related to the bosonic levels l_i as

$$m_i = l_{N-i+1} - l_{N-i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (4.10a)$$

$$n_i = \sum_{j=1}^N \delta(l_j - i), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1 \quad (4.10b)$$

$$\Rightarrow n_i = \sum_{j=1}^N \delta\left(\sum_{s=0}^{j-1} m_{N-s} - i\right) \quad (4.10c)$$

From the above we deduce

$$E = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} i n_i = \sum_{j=1}^N j m_j; \quad \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} n_i = N, \quad \sum_{j=1}^N m_j \leq k - 1 \quad (4.11)$$

which, upon defining $m_0 = -\sum_{j=1}^N m_j + k - 1 \geq 0$ leads to (4.9a) and (4.9b). Evaluation of the sums leads to the other two expressions for the grand partition function: (4.9c) expresses it as a q -deformation of the degeneracy of N bosons in k states, while (4.9d) gives the most explicit formula. The q -deformed factorial (and corresponding q -choose symbol) in (4.9c) is defined as

$$[n]!_q = \prod_{j=1}^n [j]_q = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1 - q^j}{1 - q} \quad (4.12)$$

It is clear that $Z_{k,N}^B(q)$ obeys the duality $N \leftrightarrow k - 1$

$$Z_{k,N}^B(q) = Z_{N+1,k-1}^B(q) \quad (4.13)$$

valid specifically for the equidistant spectrum. Using the second expression in (4.9d), the grand partition function $F_k(z, q)$ (4.8) becomes

$$F_k(z, q) = \sum_{N=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor} (-z)^N q^{N(N-1)} \binom{k - N + 1}{N}_q = \sum_{N=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor} \prod_{j=1}^N (-z) \frac{q^{N-1} - q^{j+k-N}}{1 - q^j} \quad (4.14)$$

which expresses $F_k(z, q)$ as a q -deformed Fibonacci polynomial in $-z$. For $k = 0$ only the $N = 0$ term survives and we obtain $F_0(z, q) = 1$.

The $F_k(z, q)$ for various values of $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ can be repackaged into a height-generating function $H(w, z, q) = \sum_k w^k F_k(z, q)$. Using (4.9b) we obtain

$$H(w, z, q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w^k F_k(z, q) = -\frac{1}{w} + \frac{1}{w} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} (-w^2 z)^N \frac{q^{N(N-1)}}{(w; q)_{N+1}} \quad (4.15)$$

with the q -Pochhammer symbol $(a; q)_n$ defined as

$$(a; q)_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^j) \quad (4.16)$$

Finally, in order to make the exclusion statistics connection more relevant and intuitive, we point out that the recursion relation (4.4) admits a clear statistical interpretation: $F_k(z, q)$ in the left-hand side is the grand partition function for levels $1, 2, \dots, k$. Focusing our attention on the first level $k = 1$, it could be either empty or filled. If it is empty, the grand partition function is the one for levels $2, \dots, k$, which is $F_{k-1}(zq, q)$; if it is full, it contributes a Boltzmann factor $-z$ times the grand partition function for levels $3, \dots, k$, since level 2 by the exclusion principle cannot be filled, which is $F_{k-2}(zq^2, q)$. Summing the two types of configurations gives the right-hand side.

5 Application to walks

In the previous section we obtained relatively explicit formulae for $F_k(z, q)$, and therefore for $G_{k,mn}(z, q)$. Their form, however, in terms of sums of products, makes them rather unwieldy. In this section we will provide simpler formulae for the logarithm of the generating function $G_{k,mn}$ by making further use of the exclusion statistics connection. To make the analysis more accessible, we will first treat the case of regular Dyck paths, focusing on the generating function $G(z, q)$ of unrestricted excursions (floor-to-floor paths), and subsequently we will generalize to height-restricted paths and arbitrary $G_{k,mn}$.

5.1 Dyck excursions, $k = \infty$

For the case of unrestricted Dyck paths, assuming $|q| < 1$, (4.9d) simplifies to

$$Z_N^B(q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^N [N]!_q} = \frac{1}{(q; q)_N} \quad (5.1)$$

leading to the grand partition function

$$F(z, q) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} (-z)^N Z_N^{(2)}(q) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} (-z)^N \frac{q^{N(N-1)}}{(q; q)_N} \quad (5.2)$$

Focusing on the floor-to-floor generating function $G(z, q)$, its expression becomes

$$G(z, q) = \frac{F(zq, q)}{F(z, q)} = \frac{\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} (-z)^N \frac{q^{N^2}}{(q; q)_N}}{\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} (-z)^N \frac{q^{N(N-1)}}{(q; q)_N}} \quad (5.3)$$

The ratio of the two partition functions appearing in (5.3) can be further reduced by using the cluster decomposition of the grand potential of the exclusion system. Cluster coefficients b_a , $a = 1, 2, \dots$, are defined in terms of the expansion of the grand potential $\ln Z(x)$ in terms of the fugacity x

$$\ln Z(x) = \ln \left(\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} x^N Z_N \right) = \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} x^a b_a \quad (5.4)$$

or, in our case,

$$\ln F(z, q) = \ln \left(\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} (-z)^N Z_N^{(2)}(q) \right) = \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} (-z)^a b_a \quad (5.5)$$

In [2, 4] the general expression of these cluster coefficients was derived. For exclusion-2 statistics with spectral parameter $s(n)$ it reads

$$b_a = (-1)^{a-1} \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j \\ \text{compositions of } a}} c_2(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^j s^{l_i}(m+i-1) \quad (5.6)$$

(Compositions are partitions where the order of terms also matters.) The combinatorial coefficients $c_2(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j)$ for exclusion-2 statistics are

$$c_2(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j) = \frac{1}{l_1} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \binom{l_i + l_{i+1} - 1}{l_{i+1}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} (l_i + l_{i+1} - 1)!}{\prod_{i=2}^{j-1} (l_i - 1)! \prod_{i=1}^j l_i!} \quad (5.7)$$

Application of formulae (5.5,5.6) for the specific spectral function (4.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \ln F(z, q) &= \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} -z^a \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j \\ \text{compositions of } a}} c_2(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} q^{\sum_{i=1}^j (m+i-1)l_i} \\ \left(\text{using } \sum_{i=1}^j l_i = a \right) &= \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} -z^a \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j \\ \text{compositions of } a}} c_2(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} q^{ma} q^{\sum_{i=1}^j (i-1)l_i} \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} -\frac{z^a}{1-q^a} \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j \\ \text{compositions of } a}} c_2(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j) q^{\sum_{i=1}^j (i-1)l_i} \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \ln G(z, q) &= \ln F(zq, q) - \ln F(z, q) \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} z^a \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j \\ \text{compositions of } a}} c_2(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j) q^{\sum_{i=1}^j (i-1)l_i} \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} z^a p_a(q) \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

$p_a(q)$ is a polynomial in q of degree $a(a-1)/2$ given by

$$p_a(q) = \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j \\ \text{compositions of } a}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} (l_i + l_{i+1} - 1)!}{\prod_{i=2}^{j-1} (l_i - 1)! \prod_{i=1}^j l_i!} q^{\sum_{i=1}^j (i-1)l_i} \quad (5.10)$$

The above manifests that the order z^a term of $\ln G(z, q)$ is a polynomial in q , in spite of the infinite sums in (5.3). Therefore, since $a(a-1)$ is a convex function of a , the order z^a term in $G(z, q)$ is also a polynomial $P_a(q)$ of the same degree $a(a-1)/2$. This is consistent with its interpretation as the generating function of Dyck paths with $l = 2a$ steps: the maximal area is that of a triangular “roof” path with total area $a^2/2$, and subtracting half the length $a/2$ we end up with $A = a(a-1)/2$.

5.2 Rise-restricted meanders: finite k , general m, n

For finite k the explicit form of $F_k(z, q)$ is given by (4.14), a finite but unwieldy sum. The calculation of $G_{k, mn}(z, q)$, however, through cluster coefficients is tractable and proceeds

along similar lines as for $k = \infty$. (5.5) becomes

$$\ln F_k(z, q) = \ln \left(\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} (-z)^N Z_{k,N}^{(2)}(q) \right) = \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} (-z)^a b_{k,a} \quad (5.11)$$

The cluster coefficients $b_{k,a}$ are now given by

$$b_{k,a} = (-1)^{a-1} \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j; j \leq k \\ \text{compositions of } a}} c_2(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j) \sum_{m=0}^{k-j} \prod_{i=1}^j q^{l_i(m+i-1)} \quad (5.12)$$

with the same coefficients $c_2(l_1, \dots, l_j)$ as in (5.7). Note that, now, only compositions with up to k terms are included in the summation, and the m -summation is truncated.

A similar calculation as in (5.8) for $F_k(z, q)$ leads to

$$\ln F_k(z, q) = \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} -\frac{z^a}{1-q^a} \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j; j \leq k \\ \text{compositions of } a}} c_2(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j) [1 - q^{(k-j+1)a}] q^{\sum_{i=1}^j (i-1)l_i} \quad (5.13)$$

The calculation of $\ln G_{k,mn}(q, z)$, using (3.9), proceeds along similar lines as in the $k = \infty$, $m = n = 0$ case. Using the fact that the range of j in the summation in (5.13) can be extended to $k+1$, since the corresponding term vanishes, terms can be collected to express the final result as

$$\begin{aligned} \ln G_{k,mn}(z, q) &= \ln \left(z^{\frac{n-m}{2}} q^{\frac{(n-m)(n+m-1)}{4}} \right) + \ln F_{m-1}(z, q) + \ln F_{k-n-1}(zq^{n+1}, q) - \ln F_k(z, q) \\ &= \frac{n-m}{2} \ln z + \frac{(n-m)(n+m-1)}{4} \ln q + \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} z^a p_{k,mn;a}(q) \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

$$\text{with } p_{k,mn;a} = \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j; j \leq k \\ \text{compositions of } a}} c_2(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j) q^{\sum_{i=1}^j (i-1)l_i} \sum_{r=\max(m-j, 0)}^{\min(k-j, n)} q^{ra} \quad (5.15)$$

$p_{k,mn;a}(q)$ is a polynomial of degree

$$\text{degree } p_{k,mn;a}(q) = \begin{cases} \frac{a(a-1)}{2} + an & , \quad a \leq k-n \\ \frac{(k-n-1)(2a-k+n)}{2} + an & , \quad a > k-n \end{cases} \quad (5.16)$$

$G_{k,mn}(z, q)$ is the exponential of (5.14). Therefore, the term of order $z^{a+(n-m)/2}$ is a polynomial in q of the same degree as $p_{k,mn;a}(q)$ times a prefactor $q^{(n-m)(n+m-1)/4}$. This is consistent with its interpretation as the generating function of height-restricted Dyck paths: for paths with $2a+n-m$ steps and $a \leq k-n$, the area is that of unrestricted paths, as paths of such length cannot exceed the ceiling. For $n > k$, however, the path of maximal area is a ‘‘skew trapezoid tiled roof’’ ascending from m , reaching the level k ,




Figure 3: A ‘tiled roof’ path of maximal area for $k = 4$, starting at $m = 1$ and ending at $n = 2$, of length $l = 2a + n - m = 13$, so for $a = 6$, zigzagging $a - k + n = 4$ times at the ceiling. The total area in diamonds is given by the second term in (5.16) and the $\ln q$ coefficient in (5.14) as $(k - n - 1)(2a - k + n)/2 + an + (n - m)(n + m - 1)/4 = 17.5$, agreeing with the number of diamonds in the figure.

and zigzagging $a - k + n$ times between k and $k - 1$ before descending to n (see fig.3). It can be checked that such a path has an area consistent with the second entry in (5.16).

We conclude by noting that for $m = n = 0$ (excursions) the expression for $G_{k,0,0} = G_k$ simplifies to

$$\ln G_k(z, q) = \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} z^a \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j; j \leq k \\ \text{compositions of } a}} c_2(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j) q^{\sum_{i=1}^j (i-1)l_i} \quad (5.17)$$

an expression identical to the one for unrestricted walks (5.9) but now with compositions restricted to those with up to k terms.

6 Keeping track of touchdowns

A generalization of the generating function considered in the literature is one that also keeps track of the times a path returns to the floor $n = 0$ (a ‘touchdown’). E.g., the path of fig.1 has one touchdown. Weighing each such touchdown with a factor of t , the generating function for paths of length l and area A with s touchdowns becomes

$$\hat{G}_{k,mn}(t, Z, Q) = \sum_{A, l, s=0}^{\infty} t^s Z^l Q^A N_{k,mn;l,A,s} \quad (6.1)$$

(we temporarily reverted to Z and Q). Clearly $\hat{G}_{k,mn}(1, Z, Q) = G_{k,mn}(Z, Q)$.

This extension can be naturally and effortlessly implemented in our Hamiltonian framework. (In fact, we can equally effortlessly incorporate arbitrary ‘markers’ to any number of levels, registering the number of passages of the walk through each of these levels, but we will not pursue this.) Consider the Hamiltonian \hat{H}_k

$$\hat{H}_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & Q & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q & 0 & Q^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & Q^{k-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & Q^{k-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.2)$$

\hat{H}_k is the same as H_k with the exception of the $|0\rangle\langle 1|$ element which is multiplied by t . It should be obvious that \hat{H}_k^l counts area-weighted paths of length l , as before, but also multiplies by a factor of t for each touchdown. Therefore,

$$\hat{G}_{k,nn}(t, Z, Q) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} Z^l \langle n | \hat{H}_k^l | n \rangle = \langle n | (1 - Z\hat{H}_k)^{-1} | n \rangle \quad (6.3)$$

as before. Denoting

$$\hat{F}_k(t, Z, Q) = \det(1 - Z\hat{H}_k) \quad (6.4)$$

a calculation entirely analogous to the $t = 1$ case yields

$$\hat{G}_{k,mn}(t, Z, Q) = Z^{n-m} Q^{\frac{(n-m)(n+m-1)}{2}} \frac{\hat{F}_{m-1}(t, Z, Q) F_{k-n-1}(ZQ^{n+1}, Q)}{\hat{F}_k(t, Z, Q)}, \quad n \geq m \quad (6.5)$$

An expansion of \hat{F}_k in terms of its top row gives

$$\hat{F}_k(t, Z, Q) = F_{k-1}(ZQ, Q) - tZ^2 F_{k-2}(ZQ^2, Q) \quad (6.6)$$

which, together with (3.19), implies

$$\hat{F}_k(t, Z, Q) = tF_k(Z, Q) + (1-t)F_{k-1}(ZQ, Q) \quad (6.7)$$

Equations (6.5) and (6.7) are equally valid in terms of variables $z = Z^2$ and $q = Q^2$. The known expressions for $F_k(z, q)$ allow for the full determination of $\hat{G}_{k,mn}(t, z, q)$. Direct use of (6.5,6.7) leads to the relation

$$\hat{G}_{k,mn}(t, z, q) = G_{k,mn}(z, q) \frac{t + (1-t)G_{m-1}(z, q)}{t + (1-t)G_k(z, q)} \quad (6.8)$$

fully solving the problem. For $m = n = 0$, in particular,

$$\hat{G}_k(t, z, q) = \frac{G_k(z, q)}{t + (1-t)G_k(z, q)} \quad (6.9)$$

Finally, if we wish *not* to count the final touchdown at the end of the path, the corresponding generating function $\hat{G}_k(t, z, q)$ becomes

$$\hat{G}_k(t, z, q) = 1 + \frac{1}{t} \left(\hat{G}_k(t, z, q) - 1 \right) \quad (6.10)$$

and from (6.9)

$$\hat{G}_k(t, z, q) = 1 + \frac{G_k(z, q) - 1}{t + (1-t)G_k(z, q)} \quad (6.11)$$

7 Conclusions

We presented an intuitive and efficient Hamiltonian framework for representing height-restricted Dyck paths and evaluating generating functions. These paths were shown to be intimately related to quantum statistics of exclusion $g = 2$, a connection that allowed for the derivation of alternative expressions for the logarithm of the generating functions.

The use of Z, Q in the determinant calculation (Section 3) and of z, q in the exclusion statistics part (Section 4), related by (4.3), may appear somewhat unjustified. We stress, however, that it was simply done for the convenience of not carrying \sqrt{z} and \sqrt{q} in the initial determinant calculation. In fact, the basic building block $F_k(z, q)$ can be expressed as a determinant of an alternative matrix

$$F_k(z, q) = \det \tilde{D}_k(z, q), \quad \tilde{D}_k(z, q) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -z & 1 & -1 & 0 \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -zq & 1 & -1 \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \cdots & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \cdots & -zq^{k-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (7.1)$$

involving no square roots. This is guaranteed by the fact that $\tilde{D}_k(z, q)$ above is a similarity transformation of $D_k(z, q)$ in (3.1)

$$\tilde{D}_k(z, q) = S D_k(Z, Q) S^{-1} \quad (7.2)$$

with a diagonal matrix S with diagonal elements $ZQ^{n(n-1)/2}$. The entire analysis of section 3 can be done in terms of \tilde{D}_k . In fact, using this matrix instead of D_k would also eliminate the Z - and Q -dependent prefactor in (3.9). Using this matrix, however, amounts to counting only *down* steps of the walk and ignoring up steps, assigning them neither length nor area. This is fine in balanced walks with $m = n$, since for each up step there is an identical down step that accounts for both, but rather unnatural for unbalanced ones. It also spoils the symmetry $G_{k,mn} = G_{k,nm}$, making the prefactor reappear (squared) when $n < m$. Altogether, we preferred to keep the more intuitive symmetric Hamiltonian and secular matrix and change conventions when appropriate.

The recursion relation (4.4) for $G_k(z, q)$ can be iterated, and with the final condition $G_0(z, q) = 1$ we obtain

$$G_k(z, q) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{z}{1 - \frac{zq}{1 - \frac{zq^{k-1}}{\dots \frac{zq^{k-1}}{1 - zq^k}}}}} \quad (7.3)$$

This is a truncated version of the continued fraction related to Ramanujan's identity. The relation of continued fractions and Dyck walks is known in the mathematics literature, and it is an interesting topic to investigate the significance of similar truncated fractions for other $G_{k,mn}(z, q)$.

The expressions of length generating functions ($q = 1$) for walks with a more general set of up and down steps, and arbitrary weights associated to each kind of step, have been related to skew-Schur functions [15]. Including the area counting variable q would generalize these generating functions to q -deformed versions of skew-Schur functions. This is an interesting topic for further study.

Dyck walks are intimately related to compositions of a large number of $SU(2)$ spins. In [18], the combinatorics and statistics of such compositions were studied using generating function and partition function techniques. The existence of a ceiling at $n = k$ corresponds to deforming the spin group to the 'quantum group' $SU(2)_Q$ with $Q = \exp[2\pi i/(k + 1)]$. Although the concept of weighting the paths (spin compositions) with an exponential factor proportional to the area was not relevant there, which corresponds to taking $q = 1$, nevertheless a rich statistical mechanics structure and a corresponding large- N phase transition were identified. Compositions of spins of arbitrary size s , as well as symmetric and antisymmetric spin compositions were also studied in [18], leading to novel statistical properties. The connection and interplay between the two systems and approaches is an interesting topic that deserves further exploration.

Finally, there are several generalizations of Dyck and Lukasiewicz paths that have been studied in the literature, and some that have not, which can be treated in a natural way in the Hamiltonian framework. The simplest one would be Motzkin paths, i.e. walks for which in each step the vertical increment is $+1$, -1 or 0 . This would amount to adding a nonzero diagonal to H_k , a relatively benign modification that can be treated within the present formalism. Generalizations involving larger increments would add further off-diagonals to H_k . Remarkably, these generalizations are also related to quantum exclusion statistics, but for exclusion higher than 2 and more general one-body spectra. The statistical mechanics of general- g exclusion systems with an arbitrary discrete energy spectrum have recently been derived using techniques closely related to the ones in the present work [17]. Using these techniques the generating functions and statistics of generalized paths could be studied. We defer treating these cases to a future publication.

Acknowledgments

We thank Thomas Prellberg for comments on our manuscript and for pointing out the possible connection to q -deformed skew-Schur functions, and the anonymous referees for useful suggestions. A.P. acknowledges the hospitality of LPTMS at Université Paris-Saclay, where this work was initiated.

References

- [1] D.R. Hofstadter, “Energy levels and wave functions of Bloch electrons in rational and irrational magnetic fields”, *Phys. Rev. B* **14** (1976) 2239.
- [2] S. Ouvry and S. Wu, “The algebraic area of closed lattice random walks”, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, Volume **52**, Number 25 (2019).
- [3] C. Kreft, “Explicit Computation of the Discriminant for the Harper Equation with Rational Flux”, SFB 288 Preprint No. 89 (1993).
- [4] S. Ouvry and A. P. Polychronakos, “Exclusion statistics and lattice random walks”, *NPB[FS]* **948** (2019) 114731; “Lattice walk area combinatorics, some remarkable trigonometric sums and Apéry-like numbers”, *NPB[FS]* **960** (2020) 115174.
- [5] F.D.M. Haldane, “Fractional statistics in arbitrary dimensions: A generalization of the Pauli principle”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** (1991) 937–940; see also Y.S. Wu, “Statistical distribution for generalized ideal gas of fractional-statistics particles”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** (1994) 922.
- [6] A.P. Polychronakos, “Nonrelativistic Bosonization and Fractional Statistics,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **324** (1989) 597.
- [7] A. Dasnières de Veigy and S. Ouvry, “Equation of State of an Anyon gas in a Strong Magnetic Field”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72** (1994) 600; “One-dimensional Statistical Mechanics for Identical Particles: the Calogero and Anyon Cases”, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **10** (1995) 1; *Mod. Phys. Lett. B* **9** (1995) 271.
- [8] A.P. Polychronakos, “Probabilities and path-integral realization of exclusion statistics,” *Phys. Lett. B* **365** (1996) 202.
- [9] R.P. Stanley and S. Fomin, “Enumerative Combinatorics,” *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*’ Vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, 1999 [doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511609589].
- [10] M. Bousquet-Mélou, “Discrete excursions,” *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire*, [electronic only] **B 57** (2006) 23 [<http://eudml.org/doc/228520>]
- [11] R. Brak, G.K. Iliev and T. Prellberg, “An Infinite Family of Adsorption Models and Restricted Lukasiewicz Paths,” *J. Stat. Phys.* **145** (2011) 669
- [12] A.L. Owczarek and T. Prellberg, “Enumeration of area-weighted Dyck paths with restricted height,” *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* Vol. **54** (2012) 13
- [13] A. Bacher, “Generalized Dyck paths of bounded height,” arXiv e-print (March 2013) [[arXiv:1303.2724](https://arxiv.org/abs/1303.2724)].
- [14] K. Bulycheva, A. Gorsky and S. Nechaev, “Critical behavior in topological ensembles,” *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 105006.

- [15] A. Khalid and T. Prellberg, “Skew Schur Function Representation of Directed Paths in a Slit,” *Journal of Combinatorics* (in press) [arXiv:1907.09842].
- [16] For relevant reviews of the anyon model, exclusion statistics and related topics see A.P. Polychronakos, “Generalized statistics in one dimension,” *Les Houches LXIX Summer School “Topological aspects of low dimensional systems”* (1998) 415–472 [arXiv:hep-th/9902157]; S. Ouvry, “Anyons and lowest Landau level Anyons,” *Séminaire Poincaré “Le Spin!”* (2007), Birkhauser Verlag AG [arXiv:0712.2174].
- [17] S. Ouvry and A.P. Polychronakos, “Exclusion statistics for particles with a discrete spectrum,” [arXiv:2105.14042].
- [18] A.P. Polychronakos and K. Sfetsos, “Composition of many spins, random walks and statistics,” *Nucl. Phys. B***913** (2016) 664.