

FANO 4-FOLDS HAVING A PRIME DIVISOR OF PICARD NUMBER 1

SAVERIO ANDREA SECCI

1. INTRODUCTION

Fano varieties (over \mathbb{C}) have been thoroughly classified up to dimension 3, and are widely studied also in higher dimensions. They act an important role in the Minimal Model Program, since they appear as fibres of fibre-type contractions arising from Mori Programs. Although many results have been achieved, there is still no complete classification in dimension ≥ 4 .

Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n . We denote by $\mathcal{N}_1(X)$ the real vector space of one-cycles with real coefficients, modulo numerical equivalence. Its dimension is the Picard number ρ_X . Recall that X is Fano if its anticanonical divisor $-K_X$ is ample.

In this paper, we concentrate on Fano varieties of dimension $n \geq 3$, admitting a prime divisor D such that $\rho_D = 1$: in [Tsu, Prop. 5], Tsukioka shows that if this condition is satisfied, then the Picard number of X is at most 3. Later on, these varieties have been studied also by Casagrande and Druel in [CD]. To be more precise, for any prime divisor $i: D \hookrightarrow X$, we denote by $\mathcal{N}_1(D, X)$ the image of the push-forward $i_*: \mathcal{N}_1(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1(X)$ induced by the inclusion, which is generated by the classes in $\mathcal{N}_1(X)$ of curves contained in D . Note that $0 < \dim \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \leq \rho_D$. Let us assume that there exists a prime divisor D such that $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = 1$: then, in [CD, Lemma 3.1], the authors prove that either $\rho_X = 1$, or $2 \leq \rho_X \leq 3$ and X has some contraction onto a smooth Fano variety of lower Picard number. Furthermore, in [CD, Theorem 3.8], they give a general characterisation of X when $\rho_X = 3$ (see Remark 2.1).

Our objective is to classify such varieties when $n = 4$. Specifically, we determine all families of Fano 4-folds with $\rho_X = 3$ containing a prime divisor D with $\rho_D = 1$, or more generally with $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = 1$. We also compute the main numerical invariants of X , study the base locus of the linear system $| -K_X |$, and give a comment on rationality.

Theorem 1.1. *There are 28 families of smooth Fano 4-folds X with $\rho_X = 3$ having a prime divisor D such that $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = 1$. They have index $i_X = 1$.*

Among them, 22 families consist of rational varieties, and the very general variety of 4 other families is not rational.

Furthermore, the linear system $| -K_X |$ is free, with the exception of two families where the base locus consists of either 1 or 2 points. In all cases, a general element of $| -K_X |$ is smooth.

The families with their numerical invariants and properties are described in Table 2.

We also study their deformations in order to compute the dimension of the cohomology groups $H^0(\mathcal{T}_X)$ and $H^1(\mathcal{T}_X)$ of the tangent sheaf \mathcal{T}_X of X . They correspond, respectively, to the dimension of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(X)$ and the dimension of the base of the Kuranishi family of a general member.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we recall some results from [CD, Section 3], and we use them to identify the list of 28 families of Fano 4-folds of Theorem 1.1. In Section 3 we compute the Hodge numbers, the anticanonical degree K_X^4 and the dimension of the space of global sections $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-K_X))$. In Section 4 we study the deformations of the varieties of Theorem 1.1 in order to estimate $h^0(\mathcal{T}_X)$ and $h^1(\mathcal{T}_X)$. In Section 5 we study the base locus of the linear system

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J45, 14J35, 14E30.

$|-K_X|$, while in Section 6 we determine whether the Fano 4-folds of our interest are rational or not (when possible). In Section 7 we display the final tables with all the results of the paper.

Related results appear in [Bat] and [Tsu]: in the former it is given a complete classification of toric Fano 4-folds, while in the latter the author classifies Fano varieties of dimension ≥ 3 which admit a negative divisor isomorphic to the projective space. See Section 7 for the relations with these classifications.

Finally, we fix some notations. Let X be a smooth, complex projective variety: we denote by

- $\mathcal{N}^1(X)$ the real vector space of divisors with real coefficients, modulo numerical equivalence. It is dual to $\mathcal{N}_1(X)$;
- $\text{NE}(X) \subset \mathcal{N}_1(X)$ the cone of effective 1-cycles;
- $\text{Nef}(X) \subset \mathcal{N}^1(X)$ the cone of nef divisors. It is dual to $\overline{\text{NE}(X)}$.

Recall that, for a smooth Fano variety, linear and numerical equivalence of divisors coincide, and we denote the latter by \equiv .

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Cinzia Casagrande for the continuous support received, and Angelo F. Lopez, Stéphane Druel, Eleonora A. Romano for their many comments and useful suggestions.

2. THE 28 FAMILIES OF FANO 4-FOLDS

In this section we recall the needed results from [CD, Section 3], and use them to determine the 28 families of Fano 4-folds that appear in Theorem 1.1.

Remark 2.1. The following is a summary of [CD, Ex. 3.4 - Thm. 3.8], when $n = 4$.

Given a smooth Fano 3-fold Z , we begin by constructing from Z a Fano 4-fold X with $\rho_X = 3$, and containing a divisor with $\rho = 1$. Then, by [CD, Theorem 3.8] we will see that all the varieties in Theorem 1.1 are constructed in this way.

Let Z be a smooth Fano 3-fold with Picard number $\rho_Z = 1$ and index i_Z , which is the greatest positive integer r such that the anticanonical divisor $-K_Z$ is numerically equivalent to rD for some ample divisor D in $\text{Pic}(Z)$. Also, let $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ be the ample generator of $\text{Pic}(Z)$, whose linear system $|\mathcal{O}_Z(1)|$ is non-empty by applying the Riemann-Roch and Kodaira vanishing theorems. Then, take an effective divisor $H \in |\mathcal{O}_Z(1)|$, so that $-K_Z \equiv i_Z H$. Moreover, fix integers $a \geq 0$ and $d \geq 1$, and assume that the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_Z(d)|$ contains smooth surfaces. Then, fix such a smooth surface $A \in |\mathcal{O}_Z(d)|$.

Let $Y := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_Z \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z(a))$ be the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle with projection $\pi: Y \rightarrow Z$. Take a section G_Y with normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{G_Y/Y} \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(-a)$, corresponding to a surjection $\mathcal{O}_Z \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z(a) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z$. If $a = 0$, then $Y \cong Z \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and take another section \widehat{G}_Y disjoint from G_Y ; if $a > 0$, take a section \widehat{G}_Y with normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{\widehat{G}_Y/Y} \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(a)$, which is also disjoint from G_Y , corresponding to a surjection $\mathcal{O}_Z \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z(a) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z(a)$.

Set $S := \widehat{G}_Y \cap \pi^{-1}(A)$, and let $\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$ be the blow-up with centre S . Let us denote by E the exceptional divisor and, by G and \widehat{G} , the transforms of G_Y and \widehat{G}_Y . Note that $G \cap \widehat{G} = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{N}_{G/X} \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(-a)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\widehat{G}/X} \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(-(d-a))$.

Then $\varphi := \pi \circ \sigma$ is a conic bundle, that is a fibre-type contraction whose generic fibre is a plane conic, and φ admits another factorisation $\varphi := \widehat{\pi} \circ \widehat{\sigma}$, where

$$\widehat{\pi}: \widehat{Y} := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_Z \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z(d-a)) \rightarrow Z$$

is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle, and $\widehat{\sigma}: X \rightarrow \widehat{Y}$ is the blow-up with centre $\widehat{S} := \widehat{\sigma}(G) \cap \widehat{\pi}^{-1}(A)$. Denote by \widehat{E} the exceptional divisor. Also, $\widehat{\sigma}(G)$ and $\widehat{\sigma}(\widehat{G})$ are disjoint sections of $\widehat{\pi}$, $\mathcal{N}_{\widehat{\sigma}(G)/\widehat{Y}} \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(d-a)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\widehat{\sigma}(\widehat{G})/\widehat{Y}} \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(a-d)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & Y \\
 \hat{\sigma} \downarrow & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \pi \\
 \hat{Y} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}} & Z
 \end{array}$$

Now let C_Z be an irreducible curve in Z such that $\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \cdot C_Z$ is minimal, $C_G \subset G$ and $C_{\hat{G}} \subset \hat{G}$ be curves corresponding to C_Z , $F \subset E$ and $\hat{F} \subset \hat{E}$ be fibres of, respectively, σ and $\hat{\sigma}$. Then the cone of effective one-cycles $\text{NE}(X)$ is closed and polyhedral, and is generated by the classes of:

- F, \hat{F} and $C_{\hat{G}}$, if $a = 0$;
- F, \hat{F}, C_G and $C_{\hat{G}}$, if $0 < a < d$;
- F, \hat{F} and C_G , if $a \geq d$.

All the relevant intersection numbers are collected in [CD, Table 1], and one can see that

$$dG + a\hat{E} \equiv d\hat{G} + (d - a)E. \quad (2.1)$$

Furthermore, by [CD, Remark 3.6], X is a Fano 4-fold if and only if

$$a \leq i_Z - 1, \quad d - a \leq i_Z - 1.$$

Moreover, by [CD, Remark 3.7], the pairs (a, d) and $(d - a, d)$ give rise to isomorphic 4-folds when $d \geq a$, so we can assume that $a > d$ or $0 \leq a \leq \frac{d}{2}$.

Finally, by [CD, Theorem 3.8], any Fano 4-fold X of Picard number $\rho_X = 3$ which admits a prime divisor D with $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = 1$ is isomorphic to one of the varieties X constructed above. Any such X , then, is given by a triple (Z, a, d) , where Z is a smooth Fano 3-fold with $\rho_Z = 1$ and:

$$\begin{aligned}
 d &\geq 1, & a &> d \text{ or } 0 \leq a \leq \frac{d}{2}, \\
 a &\leq i_Z - 1, & d - a &\leq i_Z - 1;
 \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

moreover $|dH|$ contains a smooth surface. The inequalities (2.2) imply that the index of the Fano 3-fold Z cannot equal to 1. Notice that the prime divisors G and \hat{G} are isomorphic to Z , $\rho_G = \rho_{\hat{G}} = 1$, hence $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(G, X) = \dim \mathcal{N}_1(\hat{G}, X) = 1$.

Remark 2.2. Further observations:

- (i) Since $-K_X \cdot F = 1$, we have that the index of X is $i_X = 1$.
- (ii) The classes of φ^*H, \hat{G}, E , and the classes of φ^*H, G, \hat{E} are both a basis of $\mathcal{N}^1(X)$. Moreover,


$$\alpha\varphi^*H + \beta\hat{G} + (\beta + \gamma)E \equiv (\alpha + a\beta + d\gamma)\varphi^*H + \beta G - \gamma\hat{E}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, and


$$-K_X \equiv (i_Z - a)\varphi^*H + 2\hat{G} + E \equiv (i_Z + a - d)\varphi^*H + 2G + \hat{E}.$$

- (iii) Under the conditions (2.2), Y and \hat{Y} are also Fano 4-folds by [Deb, Ex. 3.3(2)]. The cone $\text{Nef}(Y)$ is generated by the classes of π^*H and \hat{G}_Y , while $\text{Nef}(\hat{Y})$ is generated by the classes of $\hat{\pi}^*H$ and $\hat{\sigma}(G)$. Note that the tautological line bundles $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{Y}}(1)$ are isomorphic, respectively, to the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_Y(\hat{G}_Y)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{Y}}(\hat{\sigma}(G))$.


Remark 2.3. For any irreducible curve $C \subset X$, we set $C^\perp := \{D \in \mathcal{N}^1(X) \mid D \cdot C = 0\}$. As X is smooth and Fano, the nef cone $\text{Nef}(X)$ is closed and polyhedral, and is generated by finitely many extremal rays. A section of $\text{Nef}(X)$ is:



$$R_1 = \mathbb{R}^+[\varphi^*H], R_2 = \mathbb{R}^+[G], \text{ and } R_3 = \mathbb{R}^+[G + \widehat{E}], \text{ if } a = 0;$$



$$R_1 = \mathbb{R}^+[\varphi^*H], R_2 = \mathbb{R}^+[a\varphi^*H + G], \text{ and } R_3 = \mathbb{R}^+[G + \widehat{E}], \\ R_4 = \mathbb{R}^+[dG + a\widehat{E}], \text{ if } 0 < a < d;$$



$$R_1 = \mathbb{R}^+[\varphi^*H], R_2 = \mathbb{R}^+[a\varphi^*H + G], \text{ and } R_3 = \mathbb{R}^+[\widehat{G}], \text{ if } a \geq d.$$

Moreover, $\tau = F^\perp \cap \text{Nef}(X)$, $\widehat{\tau} = \widehat{F}^\perp \cap \text{Nef}(X)$, $\eta = C_G^\perp \cap \text{Nef}(X)$, and $\widehat{\eta} = C_{\widehat{G}}^\perp \cap \text{Nef}(X)$. One can check that all the contractions are divisorial, apart from the contraction given by the ray R_1 , which is of fibre type and coincides with the conic bundle $\varphi: X \rightarrow Z$; the contractions of τ and $\widehat{\tau}$ are $\sigma: X \rightarrow Y$ and $\widehat{\sigma}: X \rightarrow \widehat{Y}$, while the contractions of η and $\widehat{\eta}$ send a divisor to a point, respectively G and \widehat{G} .

In [IP, Table §12.1] we find the list of all smooth Fano 3-folds with Picard number $\rho_Z = 1$. We are interested in those with index $i_Z \geq 2$, and we collect them here for the reader's convenience. The last two columns display, respectively, the base locus of the linear system $|H|$, and whether these varieties are rational (+) or not (-). We also include the dimension of the cohomology groups $H^0(\mathcal{T}_Z)$ and $H^1(\mathcal{T}_Z)$ of the tangent sheaf \mathcal{T}_Z . The references for $h^0(\mathcal{T}_Z)$ are [PS, Theorem 1.3] for Z_1 , [LP, Theorem 3.4] for Z_2 , [PV, Theorem 7.5] for Z_5 , and [CPZ, Thm. 1.1, Thm. 1.3] for Z_3 , Z_4 and Z_6 (see also [Ben, Theorem 3.1]). As for computing $h^1(\mathcal{T}_Z)$, by applying the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem [Har, Appendix A, Thm. 4.1] to the sheaves \mathcal{T}_Z and Ω_Z^1 , and the Nakano vanishing theorem [Laz, Theorem 4.2.3], we get

$$\chi(\mathcal{T}_Z) = h^0(\mathcal{T}_Z) - h^1(\mathcal{T}_Z) = -\frac{1}{2}K_Z^3 - h^{1,2}(Z) - 17.$$

Table 1 - Fano 3-folds with $i_Z \geq 2$

Z	i_Z	H^3	$-K_Z^3$	$h^{1,2}$	$h^0(\mathcal{T}_Z)$	$h^1(\mathcal{T}_Z)$	Bs $ H $	R
$Z_1 =$ a hypersurface of degree 6 in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2, 3)$	2	1	8	21	0	34	$\{P_0\}$	-
$Z_2 =$ a cyclic cover of degree 2 of \mathbb{P}^3 ramified along a smooth surface of degree 4	2	2	$8 \cdot 2$	10	0	19	\emptyset	-
$Z_3 =$ a smooth cubic in \mathbb{P}^4	2	3	$8 \cdot 3$	5	0	10	\emptyset	-
$Z_4 =$ a smooth intersection of two quadrics in \mathbb{P}^5	2	4	$8 \cdot 4$	2	0	3	\emptyset	+
$Z_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^6$, a section of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(2, 5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ by a subspace of codimension 3	2	5	$8 \cdot 5$	0	3	0	\emptyset	+

Z	i_Z	H^3	$-K_Z^3$	$h^{1,2}$	$h^0(\mathcal{T}_Z)$	$h^1(\mathcal{T}_Z)$	$\text{Bs} H $	R
$Z_6 = \text{a smooth quadric in } \mathbb{P}^4$	3	2	$27 \cdot 2$	0	10	0	\emptyset	+
$Z_7 = \mathbb{P}^3$	4	1	64	0	15	0	\emptyset	+

Lemma 2.4. *For $i = 2, \dots, 7$, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{Z_i}(d)$ is globally generated for every $d \geq 1$, and $\mathcal{O}_{Z_1}(d)$ is globally generated for every positive even integer d . Moreover, a general surface $A \in |\mathcal{O}_{Z_1}(1)|$ is smooth.*

Proof. Observe that, when $i \neq 1, 2$, the ample generator $\mathcal{O}_{Z_i}(1)$ of $\text{Pic}(Z_i)$ corresponds to a hyperplane section in some projective space \mathbb{P} , thus it is very ample. Furthermore, $\mathcal{O}_{Z_2}(1)$ is globally generated since it is the pull-back of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$. Therefore, any positive multiple of the ample generator is globally generated.

As for Z_1 , by [IP, Prop. 2.4.1, Thm. 2.4.5] we have that $\mathcal{O}_{Z_1}(2)$ is globally generated, while $\mathcal{O}_{Z_1}(1)$ has a unique simple base point. Nonetheless, by [IP, Prop. 2.3.1], a general surface A in the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{Z_1}(1)|$ is smooth. \square

Corollary 2.5. *For every Z_i , $i = 1, \dots, 7$, and for every choice of integers a, d satisfying (2.2), there exists a smooth Fano 4-fold $X_{a,d}^i$ of Picard number 3 constructed as in Remark 2.1.*

Conversely, if X is a smooth Fano 4-fold with $\rho_X = 3$ and containing a prime divisor D with $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = 1$, then X is isomorphic to $X_{a,d}^i$ for some (Z_i, a, d) as above. This gives rise to 28 families, see Table 2.

Proof. Assume that the integers a, d satisfy (2.2). This yields $d \leq 2i_Z - 2$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.4, a general surface $A \in |\mathcal{O}_{Z_i}(d)|$ is smooth for every $i = 1, \dots, 7$, and so, given the triple (Z_i, a, d) , we can construct a Fano 4-fold $X := X_{a,d}^i$ of Picard number 3. Notice that $X_{a,d}^i$ contains a prime divisor G such that $\rho_G = 1$, hence $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(G, X) = 1$ (see Remark 2.1).

Conversely, by [CD, Theorem 3.8], any Fano 4-fold X of Picard number $\rho_X = 3$ which admits a prime divisor D with $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = 1$ is isomorphic to one of the $X_{a,d}^i$. \square

Note that Corollary 2.5 acts as the first step towards the proof of Theorem 1.1, as it shows that there are 28 choices of integers a, d satisfying (2.2), each of which determines a family of smooth Fano varieties, and that every smooth Fano 4-fold of Picard number 3 containing a prime divisor of Picard number 1 appears in one of these families. We will later see in Section 4 that the members of each family are all deformation equivalent, and that distinct families do not have common members (see Corollary 4.3).

If not specified otherwise, the varieties Z, Y, X , the corresponding maps and divisors will be the one described in Remark 2.1. When needed, we will use the notation Z_i for the varieties in Table 1, and $X_{a,d}^i$ for the variety given by the triple (Z_i, a, d) .

3. NUMERICAL INVARIANTS

In this section we compute the numerical invariants of the Fano 4-folds in Corollary 2.5; these invariants are listed in Table 2.

Our first aim is to compute the Hodge numbers. To do so, we will use the Hodge polynomial of a smooth variety W

$$e(W) = e(W)(u, v) := \sum_{p,q} h^{p,q}(W) u^p v^q$$

and its properties with respect to \mathbb{P}^n -bundles and blow-ups. Namely:

Lemma 3.1. *Let W be a smooth variety, $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ a \mathbb{P}^n -bundle over W and \widetilde{W} the blow-up of W along a subvariety V of codimension c . Then:*

$$e(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) = e(W) \cdot e(\mathbb{P}^n)$$

and

$$e(\widetilde{W}) = e(W) + e(V) \cdot [e(\mathbb{P}^{c-1}) - 1].$$

Proof. By [Che, Introduction 0.1] and quick computations. \square

Since Y and X are Fano varieties of Picard number, respectively, 2 and 3, the only unknown Hodge numbers are $h^{1,2}$, $h^{1,3}$, and $h^{2,2}$. We begin by computing the Hodge numbers of A , which is the smooth surface in $|\mathcal{O}_Z(d)|$ fixed in Remark 2.1. Recall that (2.2) yields $d \leq 2i_Z - 2$.

By the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem, we have $h^{0,1}(A) = 0$. Furthermore, notice that by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z(-d) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow 0$$

we have $H^2(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong H^3(\mathcal{O}_Z(-d))$. Moreover, $h^3(\mathcal{O}_Z(-d)) = 0$ for $d < i_Z$, and $h^3(\mathcal{O}_Z(-d)) = 1$ for $d = i_Z$ by Kodaira vanishing. This concludes the case $i_Z = 2$. For $i_Z = 3$ we have $1 \leq d \leq 4$ and $h^3(\mathcal{O}_Z(-4)) = h^4(\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-6)) = 5$; for $i_Z = 4$ we have $1 \leq d \leq 6$ and $h^3(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-d)) = \binom{d-1}{3}$.

To compute $h^{1,1}(A)$ it suffices to apply Noether's formula $\chi_{top}(A) = 12\chi(\mathcal{O}_A) - K_A^2$ [Bea, Remark I.14] to get

$$h^{1,1}(A) = 10 + 10h^{0,2}(A) - d(d - i_Z)^2\delta$$

where $\delta = H^3$. Finally, by Lemma 3.1 we get $e(Y) = e(Z) \cdot e(\mathbb{P}^1)$ and $e(X) = e(Y) + e(A) \cdot (e(\mathbb{P}^1) - 1)$, thus:

$$h^{p,q}(Y) = \begin{cases} 2 & (p, q) = (2, 2) \\ h^{1,2}(Z) & (p, q) = (1, 2) \\ 0 & (p, q) = (1, 3) \end{cases}$$

and

$$h^{p,q}(X) = \begin{cases} h^{1,2}(Z) & (p, q) = (1, 2) \\ h^{0,2}(A) & (p, q) = (1, 3) \\ 2 + h^{1,1}(A) & (p, q) = (2, 2) \end{cases}.$$

Notice that all the Hodge numbers of X only depend on d and Z , and not on a .

Our next goal is to compute the anticanonical degree K_X^4 and the dimension of the space of global sections $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-K_X))$. We can do it simultaneously: by the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem [Har, Appendix A, Thm. 4.1] applied to the anticanonical sheaf, we have for a smooth variety W of dimension 4:

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_W(-K_W)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_W) + \frac{1}{12} \left(2K_W^4 + K_W^2 \cdot c_2(W) \right) \quad (3.1)$$

and since X is Fano, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X(-K_X)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-K_X))$.

The following two standard computations provide the necessary tools:

Proposition 3.2 ([CR], Lemma 3.2). *Let W be a smooth projective variety, $\dim W = 4$, and let $\alpha: \widetilde{W} \rightarrow W$ be the blow-up of W along a smooth irreducible surface V . Then:*

- (i) $K_{\widetilde{W}}^4 = K_W^4 - 3(K_{W|V})^2 - 2K_V \cdot K_{W|V} + c_2(\mathcal{N}_{V|W}) - K_V^2$;
- (ii) $K_{\widetilde{W}}^2 \cdot c_2(\widetilde{W}) = K_W^2 \cdot c_2(W) - 12\chi(\mathcal{O}_V) + 2K_V^2 - 2K_V \cdot K_{W|V} - 2c_2(\mathcal{N}_{V|W})$;
- (iii) $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{W}}(-K_{\widetilde{W}})) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_W(-K_W)) - \chi(\mathcal{O}_V) - \frac{1}{2} \left((K_{W|V})^2 + K_V \cdot K_{W|V} \right)$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let W be a smooth projective variety of dimension 3, and \mathcal{E} a rank 2 vector bundle on W . Let $\beta: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow W$ be a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over W . Then:*

- (i) $K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}^4 = -8K_W \cdot c_1(\mathcal{E})^2 + 32K_W \cdot c_2(\mathcal{E}) - 8K_W^3$;
- (ii) $K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}^2 \cdot c_2(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) = -2K_W \cdot c_1(\mathcal{E})^2 + 8K_W \cdot c_2(\mathcal{E}) - 2K_W^3 - 4K_W \cdot c_2(W)$;

$$(iii) \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(-K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})})) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}) + 6K_W \cdot c_2(\mathcal{E}) - \frac{1}{2}(3K_W^3 + 3K_W \cdot c_1(\mathcal{E})^2) - \frac{1}{3}K_W \cdot c_2(W).$$

Proof. Let D be the divisor associated to $\det(\mathcal{E})$, and ξ be the divisor associated to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$. We have $\sum_{i=0}^2 (-1)^i \beta^* c_i(\mathcal{E}) \cdot \xi^{(2-1)} = 0$, which yields

$$\xi^2 = \beta^* D \cdot \xi - \beta^* c_2(\mathcal{E}).$$

Then:

- $\beta^*(K_W + D)^4 = 0$;
- $\beta^*(K_W + D)^3 \cdot \xi = (K_W + D)^3$;
- $\beta^*(K_W + D)^2 \cdot \xi^2 = \beta^*(K_W + D)^2 \cdot (\beta^* D \cdot \xi - \beta^* c_2(\mathcal{E})) = (K_W + D)^2 \cdot D$;
- $\beta^*(K_W + D) \cdot \xi^3 = \beta^*(K_W + D) \cdot \xi \cdot (\beta^* D \cdot \xi - \beta^* c_2(\mathcal{E})) = (K_W + D) \cdot D^2 - (K_W + D) \cdot c_2(\mathcal{E})$;
- $\xi^4 = (\beta^* D \cdot \xi - \beta^* c_2(\mathcal{E}))^2 = D^3 - 2D \cdot c_2(\mathcal{E})$.

We recall that $K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})} = \beta^*(K_W + D) - 2\xi$, therefore

$$K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}^4 = \sum_{i=0}^4 \binom{4}{i} \beta^*(K_W + D)^i \cdot (-2\xi)^{(4-i)},$$

and we obtain (i).

To prove (ii), we need to compute $c_2(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}))$. By [Ful, Example 3.2.11], we get

$$c_2(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) = \beta^* K_W \cdot (\beta^* D - 2\xi) + \beta^* c_2(W).$$

So, $K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}^2 \cdot c_2(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) = (\beta^*(K_W + D) - 2\xi)^2 \cdot (\beta^* K_W \cdot (\beta^* D - 2\xi) + \beta^* c_2(W))$, which gives (ii).

To get (iii), just apply (3.1). \square

Now, let us go back to the varieties of our interest. Recall that, in this setting, $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_Z \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z(a)$ and so: $\det(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{O}_Z(a)$, $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = aH$ and $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 0$. Since Z is a Fano 3-fold, by the Riemann-Roch Theorem [Har, Appendix A, Ex. 6.7] we get $K_Z \cdot c_2(Z) = -24$. Also, the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{S/\widehat{G}_Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{S/Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\widehat{G}_Y/Y|S} \rightarrow 0$$

yields

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_A(dH|_A) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{S/Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_A(aH|_A) \rightarrow 0,$$

and so

$$(i) \quad c_1(\mathcal{N}_{S/Y}) = (a + d)H|_A, \text{ under the natural isomorphism } S \cong A;$$

$$(ii) \quad c_2(\mathcal{N}_{S/Y}) = ad^2\delta.$$

Furthermore, one can easily see that $-K_{Y|S} = (a + i_Z)H|_A$, $-K_S = (d - i_Z)H|_A$ and hence:

$$K_{Y|S}^2 = d\delta(a + i_Z)^2, \quad K_S^2 = d\delta(d - i_Z)^2,$$

$$K_S \cdot K_{Y|S} = -d\delta(a + i_Z)(d - i_Z).$$

Therefore, Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.3 yield:

$$(i) \quad K_Y^4 = 8\delta i_Z(a^2 + i_Z^2);$$

$$(ii) \quad K_Y^2 \cdot c_2(Y) = 2\delta i_Z(a^2 + i_Z^2) + 96;$$

$$(iii) \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y)) = 9 + \frac{3}{2}\delta i_Z(a^2 + i_Z^2);$$

and

$$(i) \quad K_X^4 = 8\delta i_Z(a^2 + i_Z^2) - 3d\delta(a + i_Z)^2 + 2d\delta(a + i_Z)(d - i_Z) + ad^2\delta - d\delta(d - i_Z)^2;$$

$$(ii) \quad K_X^2 \cdot c_2(X) = 84 + 2\delta i_Z(a^2 + i_Z^2) - 12h^{0,2}(A) + 2d\delta(d - i_Z)(a + d) - 2ad^2\delta;$$

$$(iii) \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(-K_X)) = 8 + \frac{3}{2}\delta i_Z(a^2 + i_Z^2) - h^{0,2}(A) - \frac{1}{2}d\delta(a + i_Z)(a - d + 2i_Z).$$

The explicit invariants for the varieties of Corollary 2.5 are given in Table 2.

4. DEFORMATIONS AND AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS

In this section we show that the varieties of Corollary 2.5 form indeed 28 distinct families of deformations, and we provide some partial results on the dimension of their Kuranishi family by giving an upper bound of $h^1(\mathcal{T}_X)$. This leads also to an upper bound of $h^0(\mathcal{T}_X)$, and precise results for some of the families. Recall that $h^0(\mathcal{T}_X)$ is the dimension of $\text{Aut}(X)$, and note that $h^2(\mathcal{T}_X) = 0$ by the Nakano vanishing theorem, which implies that the base of the Kuranishi family of X is smooth of dimension $h^1(\mathcal{T}_X)$.

By a smooth family of Fano varieties we mean a smooth, projective morphism $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ with connected fibres, and S an irreducible quasi-projective variety, such that the anticanonical divisor $-K_{\mathcal{X}}$ is Cartier and f -ample.

Remark 4.1. The construction of X , as in Remark 2.1, can be also done in families. Given $g: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow B$ a smooth family of Fano 3-folds with Picard number 1 and index $i_Z > 1$, and given $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{H}) \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{Z})$ such that the restriction $\mathcal{O}_{Z_b}(\mathcal{H}|_{Z_b})$ to the fibre Z_b is the generator of $\text{Pic}(Z_b)$ for all $b \in B$, it is possible to construct a smooth family of Fano 4-folds $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$, where \tilde{B} is the open subset of $\mathbb{P}_B((g_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(d\mathcal{H}))^\vee)$ which parametrises the smooth surfaces $A_b \in |d\mathcal{H}|_{Z_b}|$ for all $b \in B$, so that the fibre of f over b is obtained from (Z_b, A_b) as in Remark 2.1.

Let us fix a smooth Fano 3-fold Z_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, 7\}$, and integers a, d satisfying (2.2), so that the varieties $X_{a,d}^i$ of Corollary 2.5 are parametrised by couples (Z, A) , with Z smooth and deformation equivalent to Z_i , and $A \in |\mathcal{O}_Z(d)|$ a smooth surface. In this section we will use the notation $X(Z, A)$ to denote the Fano 4-fold given by the couple (Z, A) .

Our first aim is to prove that if $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ is a smooth family of Fano varieties, and if there exists $s_0 \in S$ such that its fibre X_{s_0} is isomorphic to $X(Z_{s_0}, A_{s_0})$, then all the fibres X_s are isomorphic to $X_{a,d}^i$ for some (Z_s, A_s) , that is $X_s \cong X(Z_s, A_s)$. We are going to prove this by looking at the behaviour of the nef cone $\text{Nef}(X_s)$, and the corresponding contractions, under the deformation f . Wiśniewski [Wiś2, Theorem 1] proved that, in the case of a smooth family of Fano varieties, the nef cone is locally constant. Moreover, generalised results in the setting of singular varieties were proved in [dFH] and [CFST].

Proposition 4.2. *Let $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ be a smooth family of Fano varieties. Assume that there exists $s_0 \in S$ such that the fibre X_{s_0} is isomorphic to $X_{a,d}^i$. Then, any fibre X_s is one of the varieties of Corollary 2.5, and belongs to the same family of X_{s_0} .*

Proof. Step 1. We can assume $\dim S = 1$, and up to pull-back to the normalisation of S , we can assume S smooth. Since the fibres of a smooth family are all diffeomorphic, we have that the second Betti number is constant on the fibres of f ; moreover, for smooth Fano varieties, the second Betti number coincides with the rank of the Picard group. Therefore the fibres X_s are smooth Fano 4-folds of Picard number 3, for all $s \in S$.

Step 2. In the following we adopt an argument in [CFST] to show that the fibres of f have the same type of contractions.

By [CFST, Theorem 2.2], the monodromy action (see [Voi, Section 3]) of $\pi_1(S, s)$ on $H^2(X_s, \mathbb{Q})$ is finite. As in the proof of [CFST, Theorem 2.7], we may take a finite étale cover $g: V \rightarrow S$ and the pull-back $\mathcal{X}_V = \mathcal{X} \times_S V$ of f via g . The resulting family $f_V: \mathcal{X}_V \rightarrow V$, which is still a deformation of X_{s_0} , now has trivial monodromy action on $H^2(X_t, \mathbb{Q})$ for all $t \in V$. By [CFST, Theorem 2.12], the restriction map

$$\mathcal{N}^1(\mathcal{X}_V) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^1(X_t)$$

is surjective for all $t \in V$. As a consequence, the map

$$\mathcal{N}^1(\mathcal{X}_V/V) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^1(X_t)$$

is an isomorphism for all $t \in V$, where $\mathcal{N}^1(\mathcal{X}_V/V)$ is the real vector space of divisor with real coefficients, modulo numerical equivalence on the curves contracted by f_V .

Now, it follows from [Wiś1, Prop. 1.3] that every elementary contraction of a fibre $X_t \rightarrow W_t$ extends to a relative elementary contraction $\mathcal{X}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$, and conversely every relative elementary contraction $\mathcal{X}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ restricts to an elementary contraction $X_t \rightarrow W_t$ on every fibre. Therefore, [dFH, Theorem 4.1] implies that $X_{t_1} \rightarrow W_{t_1}$ is of fibre type (resp. divisorial, small) if and only if $X_{t_2} \rightarrow W_{t_2}$ of fibre type (resp. divisorial, small), for any $t_1, t_2 \in V$. By applying a similar technique as in the proof of [CFST, Theorem 2.7], we see that the contractions on the fibres are deformation equivalent.

Step 3. By Step 2 and Remark 2.3, we get that all the fibres X_t of f_V have an elementary divisorial contraction sending a divisor to a point, which implies that they all contain a divisor G_t (the exceptional divisor of such contraction) with $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(G_t, X_t) = 1$. Then, by [CD, Theorem 3.8], each fibre X_t is one of the varieties of Corollary 2.5, and since the numerical invariants in Table 2 are constant under deformation and are different amongst the families, we can conclude that each X_t is in the same family of X_{s_0} . \square

Corollary 4.3. *The varieties of Corollary 2.5 form 28 families of deformations. They are all distinct, and do not have common members.*

The next step is to understand when the varieties of the same family are isomorphic.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $X = X(Z, A)$ and $X' = X(Z', A')$. Then: $X \cong X'$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism $\psi: Z \rightarrow Z'$ such that $\psi(A) = A'$.*

Proof. Assume first that $X \cong X'$. Let φ and φ' be the conic bundles of Remark 2.1, and let ρ be the composition of φ' with the isomorphism $X \cong X'$. The cones $\text{NE}(\varphi)$ and $\text{NE}(\rho)$, which are the convex cones in $\text{NE}(X)$ generated by the curves contracted by φ and ρ , must coincide, since they are both fibre-type contractions of X , and X admits only one such contraction by Remark 2.3. Therefore, by [Deb, Prop. 1.14(b)], there exists a unique isomorphism $\psi: Z \rightarrow Z'$ which makes the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\cong} & X' \\ \varphi \downarrow & \searrow \rho & \downarrow \varphi' \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Z' \end{array}$$

Since the diagram commutes, the discriminant divisor of φ

$$\Delta := \{z \in Z \mid \varphi^{-1}(z) \text{ is singular}\}$$

maps via ψ onto the discriminant divisor Δ' of φ' . In fact $\Delta = A$ and $\Delta' = A'$, and we get the statement,

Conversely, if there exists an isomorphism $\psi: Z \rightarrow Z'$ such that $\psi(A) = A'$, for smooth surfaces $A, A' \in |\mathcal{O}_Z(d)|$, then ψ lifts as an isomorphism between $X(Z, A)$ and $X(Z', A')$. \square

At this point it is clear, by the previous results, that the dimension of the base of the Kuranishi family of $X_{a,d}^i$ is at most $h^1(\mathcal{T}_{Z_i}) + h^0(\mathcal{O}_{Z_i}(d)) - 1$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $X = X_{a,d}^i$ be a Fano 4-fold of Corollary 2.5. Then:*

$$h^1(\mathcal{T}_X) \leq h^1(\mathcal{T}_{Z_i}) + h^0(\mathcal{O}_{Z_i}(d)) - 1. \quad (4.1)$$

Furthermore:

- (i) *if $i = 1, \dots, 4$, then equality holds;*
- (ii) *if $i = 7$ and $d = 1, 2$, then X is rigid, that is $h^1(\mathcal{T}_X) = 0$.*

Proof. Inequality (4.1) follows by Propositions 4.2 and 4.4. As for (i), just observe that if $i = 1, \dots, 4$ then $h^0(\mathcal{T}_{Z_i}) = 0$ (see Table 1), so that $\text{Aut}(Z_i)$ is finite. Therefore, for a given deformation Z of Z_i there are at most finitely many different choices of A which yield isomorphic Fano 4-folds.

On the other hand, if $i = 7$ and $d = 1, 2$ we are in the case of hyperplanes and smooth quadrics in \mathbb{P}^3 . Since they are all projectively equivalent to each other, it follows that $X_{a,1}^7$ and $X_{a,2}^7$ are rigid. This gives (ii). \square

We note that $\chi(\mathcal{T}_X) = h^0(\mathcal{T}_X) - h^1(\mathcal{T}_X)$ can be computed from the other invariants of X (see Table 2) by the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem, see for instance [CCF, Lemma 6.25], which yields

$$\chi(\mathcal{T}_X) = 27 - 5h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-K_X)) + K_X^4 + 3b_2(X) - h^{1,2}(X) - h^{2,2}(X) + 3h^{1,3}(X).$$

We can then estimate also $h^0(\mathcal{T}_X)$; we give the estimates in Table 3.

5. BASE LOCUS OF $-K_X$

In this section we study the base locus of the linear system $|-K_X|$, and show that it is empty for 26 of the 28 families of Corollary 2.5, while it consists of at most two distinct points for the remaining cases. Moreover, we show that a general element of $|-K_X|$ is smooth. All the base loci are collected in Table 2.

To compute the base locus of $|-K_X|$, we give special effective divisors in the linear system. We already know that $-K_X \equiv (i_Z - a)\varphi^*H + 2\widehat{G} + E \equiv (i_Z + a - d)\varphi^*H + 2G + \widehat{E}$ (see Remark 2.2). Notice that $d\varphi^*H \equiv E + \widehat{E}$, and so, by (2.1), we have:

$$G + a\varphi^*H \equiv \widehat{G} + E; \quad \widehat{G} + (d - a)\varphi^*H \equiv G + \widehat{E}$$

which yields

$$-K_X \equiv i_Z\varphi^*H + G + \widehat{G}. \quad (5.1)$$

Proposition 5.1. *Let X be as in Corollary 2.5. If H is globally generated, then so is $-K_X$. Otherwise, either $X \cong X_{0,1}^1$ and $|-K_X|$ has a unique base point, or $X \cong X_{1,2}^1$ and $|-K_X|$ has two base points. In all cases, a general element of $|-K_X|$ is smooth.*

Proof. Step 1. Assume that H is globally generated. Then, by Remark 2.2(ii) and (5.1), we have

$$\text{Bs}(|-K_X|) \subseteq (G \cup \widehat{G}) \cap (\widehat{G} \cup E) \cap (G \cup \widehat{E}) = \emptyset.$$

Therefore, $|-K_X|$ has no base point and $-K_X$ is globally generated.

Conversely, assume that H is not globally generated. Then $Z = Z_1$, and either $a = 0$ and $d = 1$ or $a = 1$ and $d = 2$. The linear system $|H|$ has a unique simple base point P_0 , while $|2H|$ is free; moreover, recall that in Remark 2.1 we fixed a smooth surface $A \in |dH|$, which exists for $Z = Z_1$ and $d = 1, 2$ (see Table 1 and Lemma 2.4).

Step 2. Let $Z = Z_1$, $a = 0$ and $d = 1$: then, the smooth surface $A \in |H|$ contains P_0 , and the fibre $T := \varphi^{-1}(P_0)$ over P_0 is equal to $F_0 \cup \widehat{F}_0$, with $F_0 \subset E$ and $\widehat{F}_0 \subset \widehat{E}$ irreducible rational curves. Therefore

$$\text{Bs}(|-K_X|) \subseteq (G \cup \widehat{G}) \cap (\widehat{G} \cup E) \cap (T \cup G \cup \widehat{E}) = F_0 \cap \widehat{G},$$

which is the point $Q_0 \in \widehat{G}$ corresponding to P_0 under the isomorphism $\widehat{G} \cong Z$. Now, observe that

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{G}}(-K_{X|\widehat{G}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(H),$$

and that, by computing the intersection number with the generators of $\text{NE}(X)$, $-2K_X - \widehat{G}$ is an ample divisor (see [CD, Table 1]). This implies that, by Kodaira vanishing, $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-K_X - \widehat{G})) = 0$, and the restriction

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-K_X)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{G}}(-K_{X|\widehat{G}}))$$

is surjective. Thus, Q_0 is a base point for $|-K_X|$, and $\text{Bs}(|-K_X|) = \{Q_0\}$. Notice that in the class of $2\varphi^*H + G + \widehat{G}$, which is numerically equivalent to $-K_X$ by (5.1), we can choose an effective divisor so that it is smooth at Q_0 : fix an effective divisor $D \equiv 2H$ so that $P_0 \notin D$, and $\varphi^*D \in |2\varphi^*H|$ does not contain Q_0 . Therefore $\varphi^*D + G + \widehat{G}$ is smooth at Q_0 , thus a general effective divisor in $|-K_X|$ is smooth.

Step 3. The last case to consider is $Z = Z_1$, $a = 1$, $d = 2$. Let T be the fibre $\varphi^{-1}(P_0)$ over P_0 : then, similarly as above,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bs}(|-K_X|) &\subseteq (G \cup \widehat{G}) \cap (T \cup \widehat{G} \cup E) \cap (T \cup G \cup \widehat{E}) = \\ &= (T \cap G) \cup (T \cap \widehat{G}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\{Q_1\} = T \cap G$ and $\{Q_2\} = T \cap \widehat{G}$ are the points corresponding to P_0 in G and \widehat{G} . Again, similarly as above,

$$\mathcal{O}_G(-K_{X|G}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{G}}(-K_{X|\widehat{G}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(H),$$

the divisors $-2K_X - G$ and $-2K_X - \widehat{G}$ are ample, the restrictions

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-K_X)) &\rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_G(-K_{X|G})), \\ H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-K_X)) &\rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{G}}(-K_{X|\widehat{G}})) \end{aligned}$$

are surjective, thus Q_1 and Q_2 are base points for $|-K_X|$, and $\text{Bs}(|-K_X|) = \{Q_1, Q_2\}$.

We now show that a general effective divisor of $|-K_X|$ is smooth. We have two possibilities: either $A \in |2H|$ contains P_0 or it does not. If we assume the latter, then the divisor $\varphi^*A + G + \widehat{G}$ is smooth at Q_1 and Q_2 . If we assume the former, then we can choose a divisor $D \in |2H|$ such that $P_0 \notin D$, which implies that the divisor $\varphi^*D + G + \widehat{G} \in |-K_X|$ is smooth at Q_1 and Q_2 (as in Step 2). Thus the statement holds. \square

6. RATIONALITY

By construction, X is birationally equivalent to $Z \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and so we can study rationality on X by what is known on Z . If $i = 4, 5, 6, 7$, Z_i is rational, while Z_j is not rational for $j = 1, 2, 3$ (see Table 1). So $X_{a,d}^i$ is rational for $i = 4, 5, 6, 7$.

The remaining cases have been studied in [HT] with respect to stable rationality. For $i = 1, 2$, the very general Z_i is not stably rational, which implies that $Z_i \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is not rational. Therefore, the very general $X_{a,d}^i$ is not rational for $i = 1, 2$. As for cubics in \mathbb{P}^4 , i.e. Z_3 , stable rationality is still an open problem, and so we have no information on $X_{a,d}^3$.

7. CONCLUSIONS AND FINAL TABLES

Remark 7.1. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of the results of the previous sections: Corollary 2.5 gives the 28 families, in Section 3 we compute the numerical invariants, Proposition 5.1 provides the results on the base locus of $|-K_X|$, and in Section 6 we discuss rationality.

Toric Fano 4-folds have been classified by Batyrev [Bat], and we observe that the varieties $X_{a,d}^i$ are toric when $Z = Z_7 = \mathbb{P}^3$ and $d = 1$; this gives the three cases $X_{0,1}^7$, $X_{2,1}^7$ and $X_{3,1}^7$. Keeping the notation in [Bat], they correspond, respectively, to the varieties E_3 , E_2 and E_1 .

Furthermore, Tsukioka [Tsu] gave a classification for Fano varieties of dimension ≥ 3 containing a negative divisor E isomorphic to the projective space. When $n = 4$, the varieties in [Tsu, Theorem 1, 2.(b)] are isomorphic to $X_{a,d}^7$ for all the admitted values a, d given by (2.2). Specifically, we can choose $E = \widehat{G}$ when $0 \leq a \leq \frac{d}{2}$, and $E = G$ when $a > d$ (see Remark 2.1 and Corollary 2.5).

Remark 7.2. As a final note, we can ask whether the varieties $X_{a,d}^i$ of Theorem 1.1 appear as fibres of elementary fibre-type contractions, that is if they are "fibre-like". This property has been introduced and studied in [CFST], where the authors give two criteria for fibre-likeness, one necessary and one sufficient. As a consequence of [CFST, Corollary 3.9], by looking at the elementary contractions of $X_{a,d}^i$ (see Remark 2.3) we see that, if $a \neq \frac{d}{2}$, then $X_{a,d}^i$ cannot be fibre-like.

In Table 2 we collect all the numerical invariants computed in Section 3, where $h^0(-K_X) := h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-K_X))$, and in the last two columns we include the base locus of $|-K_X|$ and whether the variety is rational, not rational, toric, or unknown (?).

Table 2 - Fano 4-folds of Picard number 3 with a prime divisor of Picard number 1

$X_{a,d}^i$	K_X^4	$K_X^2 \cdot c_2(X)$	$h^0(-K_X)$	$h^{1,2}$	$h^{1,3}$	$h^{2,2}$	$\text{Bs}(-K_X)$	
$X_{0,1}^1$	47	98	17	21	0	11	$\{Q_0\}$	the very general is not rational
$X_{1,2}^1$	30	84	13	21	1	22	$\{Q_1, Q_2\}$	the very general is not rational
$X_{0,1}^2$	94	112	26	10	0	10	\emptyset	the very general is not rational
$X_{1,2}^2$	60	96	19	10	1	22	\emptyset	the very general is not rational
$X_{0,1}^3$	141	126	35	5	0	9	\emptyset	?
$X_{1,2}^3$	90	108	25	5	1	22	\emptyset	?
$X_{0,1}^4$	188	140	44	2	0	8	\emptyset	rational
$X_{1,2}^4$	120	120	31	2	1	22	\emptyset	rational
$X_{0,1}^5$	235	154	53	0	0	7	\emptyset	rational
$X_{1,2}^5$	150	132	37	0	1	22	\emptyset	rational
$X_{0,1}^6$	346	184	74	0	0	4	\emptyset	rational
$X_{0,2}^6$	296	176	65	0	0	8	\emptyset	rational
$X_{1,2}^6$	260	164	58	0	0	8	\emptyset	rational
$X_{1,3}^6$	210	156	49	0	1	22	\emptyset	rational
$X_{2,1}^6$	430	208	90	0	0	4	\emptyset	rational
$X_{2,4}^6$	160	148	40	0	5	54	\emptyset	rational
$X_{0,1}^7$	431	206	90	0	0	3	\emptyset	toric
$X_{0,2}^7$	376	196	80	0	0	4	\emptyset	rational

$X_{a,d}^i$	K_X^4	$K_X^2 \cdot c_2(X)$	$h^0(-K_X)$	$h^{1,2}$	$h^{1,3}$	$h^{2,2}$	$\text{Bs}(-K_X)$	
$X_{0,3}^7$	341	194	74	0	0	9	\emptyset	rational
$X_{1,2}^7$	350	188	75	0	0	4	\emptyset	rational
$X_{1,3}^7$	295	178	65	0	0	9	\emptyset	rational
$X_{1,4}^7$	260	176	59	0	1	22	\emptyset	rational
$X_{2,1}^7$	489	222	101	0	0	3	\emptyset	toric
$X_{2,4}^7$	240	168	55	0	1	22	\emptyset	rational
$X_{2,5}^7$	205	166	49	0	4	47	\emptyset	rational
$X_{3,1}^7$	605	254	123	0	0	3	\emptyset	toric
$X_{3,2}^7$	454	220	95	0	0	4	\emptyset	rational
$X_{3,6}^7$	170	164	43	0	10	88	\emptyset	rational

In Table 3 we display the explicit invariants of Section 4. To do so, we are left to compute $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z(d))$, as the rest of the ingredients are already in Table 1 and Table 2. The Riemann-Roch and Kodaira vanishing theorems yield

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_Z(d)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z(d)) = 1 + \frac{2d}{i_Z} + \frac{d\delta}{12}(i_Z^2 + 3di_Z + 2d^2).$$

Table 3 - Cohomology groups of the tangent sheaf

$X_{a,d}^i$	$h^0(\mathcal{T}_X)$	$h^1(\mathcal{T}_X)$	$\chi(\mathcal{T}_X)$	$X_{a,d}^i$	$h^0(\mathcal{T}_X)$	$h^1(\mathcal{T}_X)$	$\chi(\mathcal{T}_X)$
$X_{0,1}^1$	2	36	-34	$X_{2,1}^6$	≤ 16	≤ 4	12
$X_{1,2}^1$	1	40	-39	$X_{2,4}^6$	≤ 11	≤ 54	-43
$X_{0,1}^2$	2	22	-20	$X_{0,1}^7$	14	0	14
$X_{1,2}^2$	1	29	-28	$X_{0,2}^7$	8	0	8
$X_{0,1}^3$	2	14	-12	$X_{0,3}^7$	≤ 17	≤ 19	-2
$X_{1,2}^3$	1	24	-23	$X_{1,2}^7$	7	0	7
$X_{0,1}^4$	2	8	-6	$X_{1,3}^7$	≤ 16	≤ 19	-3
$X_{1,2}^4$	1	21	-20	$X_{1,4}^7$	≤ 16	≤ 34	-18
$X_{0,1}^5$	≤ 5	≤ 6	-1	$X_{2,1}^7$	17	0	17
$X_{1,2}^5$	≤ 4	≤ 22	-18	$X_{2,4}^7$	≤ 16	≤ 34	-18
$X_{0,1}^6$	≤ 12	≤ 4	8	$X_{2,5}^7$	≤ 16	≤ 55	-39
$X_{0,2}^6$	≤ 12	≤ 13	-1	$X_{3,1}^7$	23	0	23
$X_{1,2}^6$	≤ 11	≤ 13	-2	$X_{3,2}^7$	11	0	11
$X_{1,3}^6$	≤ 11	≤ 29	-18	$X_{3,6}^7$	≤ 16	≤ 83	-67

REFERENCES

- [Bat] V. V. Batyrev. *On the classification of toric Fano 4-folds*. J. Math. Sci. (New York) 94 (1999), 1021–1050.
- [Bea] A. Beauville. *Complex algebraic surfaces*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, Vol. 34, Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [Ben] O. Benoist. *Séparation et propriété de Deligne-Mumford des champs de modules d'intersections complètes lisses*. J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2), 87(1):138–156, 2013.
- [CCF] C. Casagrande, G. Codogni, A. Fanelli. *The blow-up of \mathbb{P}^4 at 8 points and its Fano model, via vector bundles on a del Pezzo surface*. Rev. Mat. Complut. 32 (2019), 475–529.
- [CD] C. Casagrande, S. Druel. *Locally unsplit families of rational curves of large anticanonical degree on Fano manifolds*. International Mathematics Research Notices, Volume 2015, Issue 21, 2015, Pages 10756–10800.
- [CFST] G. Codogni, A. Fanelli, R. Svaldi, L. Tasin. *Fano varieties in Mori fibre spaces*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2016, no. 7, 2026–2067.
- [Che] J. Cheah. *The Hodge polynomial of the Fulton-Macpherson compactification of configuration spaces*. Amer. J. Math. 118 (1996), no. 5, 963–977.
- [CPZ] X. Chen, X. Pan, D. Zhang. *Automorphism and cohomology II: complete intersections*. Preprint arXiv:1511.07906v6 (2015).
- [CR] C. Casagrande, E. A. Romano. *Classification of Fano 4-folds with Lefschetz defect 3 and Picard number 5*. Preprint arXiv:2007.11229 (2020).
- [Deb] O. Debarre. *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [dFH] T. de Fernex, C. D. Hacon. *Deformations of canonical pairs and Fano varieties*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 651 (2011), 97–126.
- [Ful] W. Fulton. *Intersection theory - Second edition*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, 2. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [Har] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Math., Vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1977.
- [HT] B. Hassett, Y. Tschinkel. *On stable rationality of Fano threefolds and del Pezzo fibrations*. J. reine angew. Math. 765 (2019), 275–287.
- [IP] V. A. Iskovskikh, Yu. G. Prokhorov. *Algebraic geometry V - Fano varieties*. Encyclopaedia Math. Sci. vol. 47, Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [Laz] R. Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry I - Classical setting: line bundles and linear series*. Springer, 2004.
- [LP] R. Lyu, X. Pan. *Remarks on automorphism and cohomology of cyclic coverings*. Doc. Math. 4 (1999), 623–664.
- [PS] V. Przyjalkowski, A. Shramov. *Automorphisms of weighted complete intersections*. Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 307 (2019), Algebra, Teoriya Chisel i Algebraicheskaya Geometriya, 217–229.
- [PV] J. Piontowski, A. Van de Ven. *The automorphism group of linear sections of the Grassmannians $\mathbb{G}(1, N)$* . Preprint arXiv:1705.06618v3 (2017).
- [Tsu] T. Tsukioka. *Classification of Fano manifolds containing a negative divisor isomorphic to projective space*. Geom. Dedicata 123 (2006), 179–186.
- [Voi] C. Voisin. *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry II*. Camb. Stud. Adv. Math. 77, Cambridge Univ. Press 2003.
- [Wiś1] J. A. Wiśniewski. *On deformation of nef values*. Duke Math. J. 64 (1991), 325–332.
- [Wiś2] J. A. Wiśniewski. *Rigidity of the Mori cone for Fano manifolds*. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 41 (2009), no. 5, 779–781.

UNIVERSITÀ DI TORINO, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, VIA CARLO ALBERTO 10, 10123 TORINO - ITALY
 Email address: saverioandrea.secci@unito.it