

Generic instability of the dynamics underlying the Belinski-Khalatnikov-Lifshitz scenario

Piotr Goldstein^{1,*} and Włodzimierz Piechocki^{1,†}

*¹Department of Fundamental Research,
National Centre for Nuclear Research,
Pasteura 7, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland*

(Dated: June 29, 2021)

Abstract

An exact solution of the equations underlying the Belinski-Khalatnikov-Lifshitz (BKL) scenario is derived and tested for the stability against small perturbations. The regularity of the dynamics is examined using dynamical systems method. An instability and strong non-linearity of the dynamics occur in the vicinity of the gravitational singularity. Our results present the properties of spacetime near the generic gravitational singularity, consistent with the BKL conjecture.

* piotr.goldstein@ncbj.gov.pl

† wlodzimierz.piechocki@ncbj.gov.pl

CONTENTS

I. Introduction	2
II. Derivation of the solution	3
A. Special exact solution	4
B. Stability analysis	8
III. Dynamical systems analysis	11
IV. Conclusions	14
Acknowledgments	16
References	16
Appendix	17

I. INTRODUCTION

By the BKL scenario one means the scenario proposed by Belinski, Khalatnikov, and Lifshitz to describe the evolution of the universe towards the cosmological singularity. This scenario, derived within general relativity, leads to the conclusion that the Einstein equations imply the existence of the generic solution with gravitational singularity [1, 2]. By generic solution it is meant, roughly speaking, that it corresponds to the non-zero measure subset of all initial data and depends on the proper number of arbitrary functions.

The derivation of this scenario is based on the examination of the evolution of the general (non-diagonal) Bianchi VIII and IX models of spacetime towards the singularity. That evolution can be simplified by assuming that some stress-energy tensor components can be ignored, some Ricci tensor components have negligible influence on the dynamics, and anisotropy of space may grow without bounds. These assumptions lead to enormous simplification of the mathematical form of the dynamics. It can be well approximated by the following system of equations [3–5]:

$$\frac{d^2 \ln a}{dt^2} = \frac{b}{a} - a^2, \quad \frac{d^2 \ln b}{dt^2} = a^2 - \frac{b}{a} + \frac{c}{b}, \quad \frac{d^2 \ln c}{dt^2} = a^2 - \frac{c}{b}, \quad (1)$$

subject to the constraint

$$\frac{d \ln a}{dt} \frac{d \ln b}{dt} + \frac{d \ln a}{dt} \frac{d \ln c}{dt} + \frac{d \ln b}{dt} \frac{d \ln c}{dt} = a^2 + \frac{b}{a} + \frac{c}{b}, \quad (2)$$

where $a = a(t)$, $b = b(t)$ and $c = c(t)$ are the so-called directional scale factors.

These scale factors include contributions from standard matter fields, e.g., the perfect fluid with equation of state $p = k\varepsilon$, $0 \leq k < 1$, where p and ε denote, the pressure and energy density of the fluid, respectively. The case $k = 1$ (describing, e.g., massless scalar field) is excluded as it does not lead to oscillatory dynamics inherent in the Bianchi models. It is likely that other gravity sources may lead to the asymptotic form (1)–(2) as well. For instance, it may include an electromagnetic field or the Yang-Mills fields, but further examination is required to confirm that expectation (see, Sec. 4 of [5] for discussion of this issue).

The system of equations (1)–(2) has never been solved analytically in spite of its importance in the context of the BKL conjecture. The aim of this article is finding an explicit solution to this dynamics, analyzing its stability, regularity, and physical interpretation.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section II the exact solution is derived and its stability is examined. The regularity analysis of the dynamics is carried out in Sec. III within the dynamical systems method. The last section presents the conclusions. The Appendix concerns the issue of the monotonicity of the space volume.

II. DERIVATION OF THE SOLUTION

The equations (1) in the polynomial form read

$$a \ddot{a} - \dot{a}^2 - a b + a^4 = 0 \quad (3a)$$

$$a b \ddot{b} - a \dot{b}^2 - a^3 b^2 + b^3 - a b c = 0 \quad (3b)$$

$$b c \ddot{c} - b \dot{c}^2 - a^2 b c^2 + c^3 = 0, \quad (3c)$$

while the constraint (2) takes the form

$$c \dot{a} \dot{b} + b \dot{a} \dot{c} + a \dot{b} \dot{c} - a^3 b c - b^2 c - a c^2 = 0, \quad (3d)$$

where “dot” over a symbol denotes d/dt .

A. Special exact solution

Eqs. (1)–(2) have been derived from the general dynamics of the Bianchi VIII and IX models under the condition that near the singularity one has [3]):

$$a \gg b \gg c > 0. \quad (4)$$

Therefore, the physically relevant part of the special solution to (1)–(2) should satisfy that condition as well.

To find the solution, we are going to use singularity analysis. Similar work was performed for the vacuum Bianchi IX matter (mixmaster universe) in [10]; one of their results was regaining Taub’s special solutions [11].

The constant coefficients of the system (3a)–(3d) are obviously free of singular points. Hence the positions of the possible singularities of the solution are determined by the initial conditions (“movable singularities” [6, 7]). To find a solution with a singularity, which is a pole and thus does not introduce branching (i.e. have the Painlevé property), we proceed in a similar way to that used in the classical Painlevé test [8, 9]. Since our system is overdetermined, we need a more involved analysis.

First, we look for a solution in the form of the Laurent series about the assumed pole t_0 so that we have

$$a(t) = (t - t_0)^p \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (t - t_0)^n, \quad (5a)$$

$$b(t) = (t - t_0)^q \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n (t - t_0)^n, \quad (5b)$$

$$c(t) = (t - t_0)^s \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (t - t_0)^n. \quad (5c)$$

Substituting series (5) to the system (3a)–(3d), we obtain, in the zero order, conditions of balance of the dominant terms. These conditions may be satisfied in all equations (3a)–(3d) provided that the exponents are $p = -1$, $q = -3$, $s = -5$ (the other family of solutions with $p = -1$, $q = 2$, $s = 0$, does not comply with (4)).

A search for the initial exponents (only) in the Laurent expansion was performed in [12]. However, to our best knowledge, the Painlevé analysis for the BKL equations (3a)–(3d) or other models including matter, has never been done.

The coefficients at the dominant terms, obtained from the balance equations prove to be

$$a_0 = 3, \quad b_0 = 30, \quad c_0 = 120. \quad (6)$$

In the Painlevé test, the higher order coefficients are obtained from algebraic linear recurrence relations, which yield the coefficients a_n, b_n, c_n as functions of the lower order coefficients and t_0 . If the general solution were of the type (5), then the number of arbitrary constants should be five, as the system consists of three 2nd order ordinary differential equations (ODE), (3a)–(3c), with one constraint (3d). Bearing in mind that one of the arbitrary constants is t_0 , we see that the recurrence equations should provide 4 more constants.

The arbitrary constants occur at the recurrence relation determining those terms of the series (5) if two conditions are satisfied together: (i) the rank of the coefficient matrix is lower than the number of unknown coefficients and (ii) the system is compatible, i.e. extending the coefficient matrix with the right-hand sides of the algebraic equations does not increase its rank.

In the Painlevé test, the indices of these terms are referred to as “resonances” [8] or simply “indices” [6] (the latter by analogy with the Fuchsian theory of linear differential equations with singularities). For the first three equations (3a)–(3c) there is one positive “resonant index” (a compromise between these two names) $r_1 = 2$, such that the determinant of the algebraic recurrence system vanishes at $n = r_1 = 2$. However if we also require satisfaction of the constraint (3d), then the rank of the coefficient matrix of the linear system is always 3, i.e. there are 3×3 submatrices of the coefficient matrix in (3a)–(3d) whose determinants are nonzero. The requirement that the 3×3 determinants vanish, has more solutions: a resonant index at $r_2 = -1$, which corresponds to the arbitrariness of t_0 , and four complex values:

$$r_{3,4} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm i\sqrt{95 - 24\sqrt{6}} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad (7a)$$

$$r_{5,6} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm i\sqrt{95 + 24\sqrt{6}} \right). \quad (7b)$$

Due to the fact that we get these complex “indices” instead of the actual positive integer resonant indices, the recurrence relations do not generate arbitrary constants. Hence, a possible solution with the pole may only be a special one. The general solution does not have the form of a Laurent series (the equations are non-Painlevé, i.e. contain branch points or essential singularities which introduce branching [7]). The first 3 equations are compatible with the 4th one. Hence, the system of the recurrence relations has exactly one solution at each order.

It is easy to check that

$$a(t) = 3|t - t_0|^{-1}, \quad b(t) = 30|t - t_0|^{-3}, \quad c(t) = 120|t - t_0|^{-5} \quad (8)$$

is a solution of the system (1) and satisfies the constraint (2) both for $t > t_0$ and $t < t_0$. This result is compatible with the recurrence relations: they yield

$a_n = 0$, $b_n = 0$, and $c_n = 0$, for all $n > 0$ (again, provided that we require satisfaction of the constraint (2)).

A similar expansion can be performed in the neighbourhood of $t = \infty$. It results in the conclusion that there are no solutions which tend to infinity while $t \rightarrow \infty$. The dominant terms for a , b , c prove to be $\pm 3t^{-1}$, $\pm 30t^{-3}$ and $\pm 120t^{-5}$, respectively, corresponding to those of the special solution (8). These are the only possible coefficients if $t = \infty$ is a regular point or a pole. There are no indices which are resonant for the whole 4-equation system, i.e. the rank of the 4×3 matrix of the coefficients is never less than 3. The equations following from the requirement that the determinants of all 3×3 submatrices of the coefficients vanish, yield solutions which cannot be the indices of the expansion, namely $r = -1$ and the same irrational complex r as in (7). This way, the large- t expansion provides the same special solution (8) as the expansion about a hypothetic movable pole t_0 .

An expansion in an arbitrary function $\Phi(t)$ instead of $t - t_0$, shows that the special solution (8) is the only solution of (1) which has the Painlevé property and satisfies constraint (2). Another family of solutions of (1) having the Painlevé property exists, which are proportional to powers of $\operatorname{cosec}(C(t - t_0))$, instead of $(t - t_0)^{-1}$, but they are incompatible with constraint (2). The situation is similar to that obtained in [10], although the model is different.

The Painlevé property is a usual companion to integrability and regular behavior, which includes lack of bifurcations (or multifurcations) at unknown moments, but the connection is not 1:1. However this somewhat vague statement may be made stronger in our case, because our system is autonomous and its physically relevant solutions depend on t through $t - t_0$ with $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence also $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ may be poles for this class of solutions. This means that a physical non-Painlevé solution *has to* encounter the branching singularity in its evolution towards t_0 or stem from the singularity in its evolution from t_0 .

It is shown in [13] that equations (1) can be derived from the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \dot{x}_1 \dot{x}_2 + \dot{x}_2 \dot{x}_3 + \dot{x}_3 \dot{x}_1 + \exp(2x_1) + \exp(x_2 - x_1) + \exp(x_3 - x_2), \quad (9)$$

where

$$x_1 = \ln a, \quad x_2 = \ln b, \quad x_3 = \ln c. \quad (10)$$

The dynamical constraint (2) corresponds to the condition that the “energy”

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_i} \dot{x}_i - \mathcal{L} = \dot{x}_1 \dot{x}_2 + \dot{x}_2 \dot{x}_3 + \dot{x}_3 \dot{x}_1 - \exp(2x_1) - \exp(x_2 - x_1) - \exp(x_3 - x_2) \quad (11)$$

vanishes [13].




Figure 1. The lower half-cone with $3\dot{u}_1^2 - \dot{u}_2^2 - 3\dot{u}_3^2 > 0$. The dynamics of the system takes place inside the cone. The exact solution (8) is shown as the line (arrow indicates the direction of evolution), which lies in the axial plane $\dot{u}_3 = 0$ and satisfies $\dot{u}_2 = \frac{2}{3}\dot{u}_1$. For $t \rightarrow \infty$, the line tends to the vertex of the cone. The other end of the line extends to the infinity (which is beyond the scope of the BKL scenario).

An orthogonalization of the “kinetic” part of the energy by a linear transformation

$$x_1 = u_1 - u_2 - u_3, \quad x_2 = u_1 + 2u_3, \quad x_3 = u_1 + u_2 - u_3, \quad (12)$$

yields the Lagrangian in the form

$$\mathcal{L} = 3\dot{u}_1^2 - \dot{u}_2^2 - 3\dot{u}_3^2 + \exp(2(u_1 - u_2 - u_3)) + \exp(u_2 - 3u_3) + \exp(u_2 + 3u_3), \quad (13)$$

and an analogous expression for the “energy” \mathcal{H} , which differs from the Lagrangian \mathcal{L} by having the opposite signs of the terms with exponential functions. Since the “energy” is zero, the whole dynamics of the system takes place in the inner part of the cone, which means for the case

$$3\dot{u}_1^2 - \dot{u}_2^2 - 3\dot{u}_3^2 > 0, \quad (14)$$

as shown in Figure 1.

Expression (14) is zero on the conical surface. Together with the constraint $\mathcal{H} = 0$, it means that the exponential terms in (13) turn to zero, which is possible only for all u 's tending to $-\infty$. The latter may happen only for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

The exact solution (8) corresponds to

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{3} \ln \frac{10800}{|t - t_0|^9}, \quad u_2 = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{40}{|t - t_0|^4}, \quad u_3 = \frac{1}{6} \ln \frac{5}{2}, \quad (15)$$

which means that the exact solution (8) describes the dynamics within a planar coaxial section $\dot{u}_3 = 0$ of the cone (14), along the line $\dot{u}_2 = \frac{2}{3}\dot{u}_1$, which lies inside the cone (see Figure 1). For $t \rightarrow \infty$, the solution (15) tends to the vertex of the cone as $|t - t_0|^{-1}$. The line escapes to infinity, at some finite t_0 , also as $|t - t_0|^{-1}$, however this region lies beyond the range of applicability of the BKL scenario. The condition $u_3 = \text{const.}$ of (15) in terms of the original variables a, b, c is the requirement that b is proportional to the geometric mean of a, c , i.e. $b = k\sqrt{ac}$ with the constant coefficient of proportionality $k = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{10}$. This property is easy to notice in (8). A closer insight shows that solution (8) is the only one satisfying the geometric-mean condition and the constraint (2).

One can conclude, a posteriori, that (8) is a special solution to the dynamics (1)–(2) corresponding to the choice of the following initial data:

$$\begin{aligned} a(0) &= 3 t_0^{-1}, & \dot{a}(0) &= 3 t_0^{-2}, \\ b(0) &= 30 t_0^{-3}, & \dot{b}(0) &= 90 t_0^{-4}, \\ c(0) &= 120 t_0^{-5}, & \dot{c}(0) &= 600 t_0^{-6}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where t_0 may have an arbitrary finite value.

B. Stability analysis

In what follows we consider a linear approximation to the general solution in terms of a small perturbation of the solution (8).

To check how the small perturbation to the solution (8) develops in time, we substitute the following functions into (1)–(2)

$$a(t) = 3(t - t_0)^{-1} + \varepsilon\alpha(t), \quad (17a)$$

$$b(t) = 30(t - t_0)^{-3} + \varepsilon\beta(t), \quad (17b)$$

$$c(t) = 120(t - t_0)^{-5} + \varepsilon\gamma(t). \quad (17c)$$

In the 1st order in ε , after cancellation of common factors, we obtain

$$\ddot{\alpha} + \frac{2\dot{\alpha}}{t-t_0} + \frac{28\alpha}{(t-t_0)^2} - \beta = 0, \quad (18a)$$

$$\ddot{\beta} + \frac{6\dot{\beta}}{t-t_0} + \frac{20\beta}{(t-t_0)^2} - \frac{280\alpha}{(t-t_0)^4} - \gamma = 0, \quad (18b)$$

$$\ddot{\gamma} + \frac{10\dot{\gamma}}{t-t_0} + \frac{24\gamma}{(t-t_0)^4} - \frac{16\beta}{(t-t_0)^4} - \frac{720\alpha}{(t-t_0)^6} = 0, \quad (18c)$$

with the constraint

$$\dot{\gamma} + \frac{6\gamma}{t-t_0} + \frac{6\dot{\beta}}{(t-t_0)^2} + \frac{24\beta}{(t-t_0)^3} + \frac{80\dot{\alpha}}{(t-t_0)^4} + \frac{160\alpha}{(t-t_0)^5} = 0. \quad (18d)$$

(The last equation may easily be integrated after multiplication of both sides by $(t-t_0)^6$).

The system of equations (18) is linear and homogeneous. Its general solution is a combination of $(t-t_0)^p$, with the possible p equal to $p_1 = -1$, $p_2 = 1$, $p_{3,4} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-1 \pm i\sqrt{95-24\sqrt{6}} \right)$ and $p_{5,6} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-1 \pm i\sqrt{95+24\sqrt{6}} \right)$.

Pairing the complex conjugate solutions and replacing the complex exponents by trigonometric functions of real arguments, we obtain the general solution of (18a-c) with 6 arbitrary constants: K_1, \dots, K_4 and φ_1, φ_2 .

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t) = & (t-t_0)^{-1/2} \{ K_1 \cos [\omega_1 \ln(t-t_0) + \varphi_1] + K_2 \cos [\omega_2 \ln(t-t_0) + \varphi_2] \} \\ & + \frac{K_3}{(t-t_0)^2} + K_4(t-t_0), \end{aligned} \quad (19a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(t) = & (t-t_0)^{-5/2} \left\{ (4+6\sqrt{6}) K_1 \cos [\omega_1 \ln(t-t_0) + \varphi_1] \right. \\ & \left. + (4-6\sqrt{6}) K_2 \cos [\omega_2 \ln(t-t_0) + \varphi_2] \right\} + \frac{30K_3}{(t-t_0)^4} + \frac{30K_4}{t-t_0}, \end{aligned} \quad (19b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(t) = & 4(t-t_0)^{-9/2} \left\{ - (26+9\sqrt{6}) K_1 \cos [\omega_1 \ln(t-t_0) + \varphi_1] \right. \\ & \left. + (-26+9\sqrt{6}) K_2 \cos [\omega_2 \ln(t-t_0) + \varphi_2] \right\} + \frac{200K_3}{(t-t_0)^6} + \frac{200K_4}{(t-t_0)^3}, \end{aligned} \quad (19c)$$

where $\omega_1 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{95-24\sqrt{6}}$, $\omega_2 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{95+24\sqrt{6}}$.

For $t < t_0$ the solution is the same, except for $t_0 - t$ instead of $t - t_0$. Thus the general solution, including both sides of the singularity point t_0 would simply have $|t-t_0|$ wherever $t-t_0$ occurs in the solution (19).

To comply with equation (2), we have to impose the constraint (18d) which leads to

$$K_4 = 0. \quad (19d)$$

The solution may be simply written in terms of the rescaled evolution (time) parameter $\theta = \ln|t - t_0|$ as follows

$$\alpha = \exp(-\theta/2) [K_1 \cos(\omega_1\theta + \varphi_1) + K_2 \cos(\omega_2\theta + \varphi_2)] + K_3 \exp(-2\theta), \quad (20a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta = \exp(-5\theta/2) & \left[\left(4 + 6\sqrt{6}\right) K_1 \cos(\omega_1\theta + \varphi_1) \right. \\ & \left. + \left(4 - 6\sqrt{6}\right) K_2 \cos(\omega_2\theta + \varphi_2) \right] + 30K_3 \exp(-4\theta), \end{aligned} \quad (20b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma = -4 \exp(-9\theta/2) & \left[\left(26 + 9\sqrt{6}\right) K_1 \cos(\omega_1\theta + \varphi_1) \right. \\ & \left. + \left(26 - 9\sqrt{6}\right) K_2 \cos(\omega_2\theta + \varphi_2) \right] + 200K_3 \exp(-6\theta). \end{aligned} \quad (20c)$$

The result (20) shows that for $t \rightarrow t_0$ ($\theta \rightarrow -\infty$), the oscillating part of the perturbation to the solution (8) remains small with respect to the respective components of the solution, although its frequency increases in the time parameter t . On the other hand, the most important asymptotic $t \rightarrow \infty$ ($\theta \rightarrow \infty$), corresponding to an approach to the singularity, is unstable in the sense that the perturbations oscillate with an amplitude greater by a factor proportional to $(t - t_0)^{1/2} = \exp(\theta/2)$ compared to the perturbed components. In terms of θ , the perturbations oscillate with two characteristic frequencies ω_1 and ω_2 .

The incommensurability of the frequencies ω_1 and ω_2 results in the ergodic character of the evolution which starts from the solution (8) perturbed as in (17). Namely, the phase spaces of $(\alpha, \dot{\alpha})$, $(\beta, \dot{\beta})$, and $(\gamma, \dot{\gamma})$ are densely covered with the trajectories of the perturbed solution for almost all initial conditions (the exceptions are of measure zero). Obviously, the 6-dimensional phase space of all three variables and their time derivatives, even though reduced to five dimensions by constraint (2), cannot be densely covered by the two-frequency sinusoidal oscillations.

Eq. (20) presents the general solution of (18). It depends on three arbitrary real constants $\{K_1, K_2, K_3\}$ (expected to be small to comply with the linear approximation), and any two real constants $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$ from the interval $[0, 2\pi[$. The manifold \mathcal{M} defined by $\{K_1, K_2, K_3, \varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$ is a submanifold of \mathbb{R}^5 . The solution defined by (17) and (20) corresponds to the choice of the set of the initial data \mathcal{N} that is a small neighborhood of the initial data (16). \mathcal{N} is a submanifold of \mathbb{R}^5 as (16) defines five independent constants due to the constraint (2). Therefore, it is clear that (20) presents a generic solution to (18), as the measures of both \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are nonzero (whereas the exact solution (8) is of zero-measure in the space of all possible solutions of (1)–(2)).

The above considerations make implicit use of the classical theorem of ordinary differential equations (see, e.g. [14]), which states that the expansion of equation (in a small parameter) corresponds to the expansion (in that parameter) of its solution,

provided that the initial data are nonsingular (e.g., $t_0 \neq 0$ in (16)).

For the development of the singularity, an important quantity is the evolution of the space volume $V = abc$. The perturbed volume corresponding to solutions (8) and (20), up to first-order terms in the perturbations $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$, reads

$$\begin{aligned} V &= (a + \alpha)(b + \beta)(c + \gamma) \simeq abc + ab\gamma + bc\alpha + ca\beta \\ &= 10800 \exp(-9\theta) \{1 + [Q_1 \cos(\omega_1\theta + \varphi_1) + Q_2 \cos(\omega_2\theta + \varphi_2)] \exp(\theta/2) + Q_3 \exp(-\theta)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where

$$Q_1 = -(2/5) (4 + \sqrt{6}) K_1, \quad Q_2 = -(2/5) (4 - \sqrt{6}) K_2, \quad Q_3 = 3K_3. \quad (22)$$

As seen from (21), the volume tends to zero for $\theta \rightarrow \infty$. However it apparently oscillates with the same two characteristic frequencies ω_1 and ω_2 as a , b and c . The ratio of the perturbation to the zero order term grows as $\exp(\theta/2)$, as that in the evolution in each direction (20). Fig. 2 presents the instability of the space volume without showing the accompanying uniform expansion (i.e. for $K_3 = 0$). The apparent oscillations are unphysical because the oscillations correspond to bounces of the space, which contradicts the gravitational singularity inherent in the BKL scenario. However it turns out that one can get rid of the bouncing if one restricts the manifold \mathcal{N} to some submanifold \mathcal{K} that can still describe the generic dynamics, as it is of nonzero measure. Namely, these volume oscillations (21) do not affect the actual monotonicity of $V(\theta)$, provided that constant K_3 is sufficiently high. The Appendix presents a proof of this desired feature of our solution (20). The evolution of the system towards the singularity manifests monotonical decrease of the volume, whereas the evolution away from the singularity is described by its monotonic increase. Therefore, the linear perturbation of the volume has the same properties as the unperturbed volume $V = abc$ corresponding to the solution (8).

III. DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS

In this section we examine the stability of the dynamics by using the dynamical systems method [15, 16]. First, we determine the critical points of the dynamics (3a)–(3d), which characterizes the local geometry of the space of solutions. For this purpose, we rewrite the system (3a)–(3c) in the form suitable for the analyses:




Figure 2. Linear instability of the special solution (8) for $K_1 = K_2 = 0.01$, $K_3 = 0$, $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = 0$. The graph shows the oscillations of the relative space volume perturbation $V^{(1)}/V^{(0)}$ as a function of $\theta = \ln|t - t_0|$, without taking into account the accompanying uniform expansion. The amplitudes of the two-frequency oscillations systematically increase as $\exp(\theta/2) = |t - t_0|^{1/2}$ in the evolution towards the gravitational singularity ($t \rightarrow \infty$). The time interval shown in the graph corresponds to the range of applicability of the linear approximation, where α , β , γ and $V^{(1)}$ remain small fractions of a , b , c and $V^{(0)}$, respectively. The unphysical bouncing of the volume may be overcome by introducing nonzero, sufficiently high K_3

$$\dot{x} = x^2/a + b - a^3, \quad (23)$$

$$\dot{y} = y^2/b + a^2b - b^2/a + c, \quad (24)$$

$$\dot{z} = z^2/c + a^2c - c^2/b, \quad (25)$$

$$\dot{a} = x, \quad (26)$$

$$\dot{b} = y, \quad (27)$$

$$\dot{c} = z, \quad (28)$$

and the constraint (3d) reads

$$cxy + bxz + ayz - a^3bc - b^2c - ac^2 = 0. \quad (29)$$

The equations (1)–(2), and consequently (23)–(25), make sense if

$$a > 0, \quad b > 0, \quad c > 0. \quad (30)$$

Inserting $\dot{x} = 0 = \dot{y} = \dot{z} = \dot{a} = \dot{b} = \dot{c}$ into the left-hand-sides of (23)–(28) leads to the set of equations

$$0 = x^2/a + b - a^3, \quad (31)$$

$$0 = y^2/b + a^2b - b^2/a + c, \quad (32)$$

$$0 = z^2/c + a^2c - c^2/b, \quad (33)$$

$$0 = x, \quad (34)$$

$$0 = y, \quad (35)$$

$$0 = z. \quad (36)$$

For $x = 0 = y = z$ and $t < \infty$ the solution to (31)–(33) does not exist if we insist on (30) to be satisfied. Quite separate treatment is required for the case $t \rightarrow \infty$:

Applying the substitution $t = 1/\tau$ to the system (3a)–(3d) enables examination of that dynamics in the limit $\tau \rightarrow 0$ instead of $t \rightarrow \infty$, in the context of the gravitational singularity. However, that substitution turns (3a)–(3d) into the system dependent explicitly on τ . The latter prevents making use of the dynamical systems analysis. However, another method is available. Namely, it results from [3] and our Eq. (8) that as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (for $t \gg t_0$) we have $a \gg b \gg c > 0$. Introducing $\varepsilon_1 = b/a$ and $\varepsilon_2 = c/b$ turns (31)–(33), for $x = 0 = y = z$, into the system

$$0 = a(\varepsilon_1 - a^2), \quad (37)$$

$$0 = a^3(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_1^2) + a \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2, \quad (38)$$

$$0 = a^3 \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - a \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2^2, \quad (39)$$

which implies that $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 =: \varepsilon$ and $a \sim \varepsilon^{1/2}$, so that $b \sim \varepsilon^{3/2}$ and $c \sim \varepsilon^2$. Making use of these estimates in (31)–(33) leads to the conclusion that in the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ equation (31) is satisfied identically whereas (32)–(33) can be neglected. Therefore, for $t \rightarrow \infty$ we can stay only with Eq. (31), which leads to $b = a^3$. The latter is consistent with the constraint (29).

Finally, the space of the critical points, S , turns out to be

$$S = \{(a, b, c, x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^6 \mid (x = 0 = y = z) \wedge (b = a^3)\}. \quad (40)$$

Now, let us examine the type of the criticality of the elements of the space S . Following the method of [15, 16], we first determine the Jacobian, J , corresponding to

the rhs of (23)–(28). It can be found to be

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & 1 & 0 & 2x/a & 0 & 0 \\ J_{21} & J_{22} & 1 & 0 & 2y/b & 0 \\ 2ac & c^2/b^2 & J_{33} & 0 & 0 & 2zc \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (41)$$

where

$J_{11} = -x^2/a^2 - 3a^2$, $J_{21} = 2ab + b^2/a^2$, $J_{22} = -y^2/b^2 + a^2 - 2b/a$, and where $J_{33} = -z^2/c^2 + a^2 - 2c/b$.

The Jacobian evaluated at any point of S and of order ε turns out to be

$$J_S = \begin{pmatrix} -3a^2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a^2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (42)$$

Thus, the characteristic polynomial, $P(\lambda)$, associated with J_S reads

$$P(\lambda) = (-3a^2 - \lambda)(-a^2 - \lambda)^2(1 - \lambda)^3. \quad (43)$$

It is clear that all the eigenvalues of J_S are real numbers, as $a \in \mathbb{R}$, so that the space S consists of the hyperbolic critical points. However, in the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ the three eigenvalues are vanishing. Therefore, in the latter case the points of S are the nonhyperbolic critical points¹.

The dynamical systems analysis shows that the exact form of the dynamics (1)–(2) includes, near the singularity, the instabilities connected with the space of the nonhyperbolic critical points. The dynamics near these points bifurcates [15, 16].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The best prototype for the BKL scenario was derived by Belinski, Khalatnikov, and Ryan [3] in the context of the BKL conjecture. It is defined by Eqs. (1)–(2) and the initial data satisfying the condition $a \gg b \gg c > 0$ (see [3–5] for more details).

¹ A critical point is called a hyperbolic critical point if all eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix of the linearized equations at this point have nonzero real parts. Otherwise, it is called a nonhyperbolic critical point [15, 16].

In this paper we present an analytical solution to that prototype, to our best knowledge, unknown before. The evolution presented in the two recent papers [17, 18] are just numerical simulations of the related dynamics in the Bianchi IX model case.

Our special monotonic solution (8) is generically unstable against perturbations of the initial data (16).

We can see that the evolution of our system has two interesting time intervals: (i) far away from the singularity, where the special solution (8) and the uniform correction proportional to K_3 play the dominant role; the other one (ii) is the region close to the singularity, where the oscillating correction (20) becomes dominant compared to the monotonically decreasing solution (8). These are perturbations of the solution to the dynamics derived from the asymptotic dynamics of the *nondiagonal* Bianchi VIII and BIX models, which underly the BKL scenario.

Our two-region scenario is similar to, but much more general than the two stage evolution of the *diagonal* Bianchi IX model considered by Grishchuk et al. [19]. It is based on the decomposition of the Bianchi IX metric into the Friedmann background (among other results) and the terms representing gravitational waves.

Exact solitonic gravitational perturbations on the Friedmann background have been recently considered by Belinski et al. (see [20] and references therein). They have shown that the solitonic perturbations decay into gravitational waves during the evolution away from the singularity.

An interpretation of the perturbations (20) in terms of gravitational waves will be published elsewhere [21].

Making use of the dynamical systems techniques, we show that the evolution of the system (1)–(2) is regular (can be locally linearized) for any finite value of the evolution parameter t . The dynamics approaches the space of nonhyperbolic critical points in the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$, which means that locally the dynamics cannot be linearized near those points. In that limit the directional scale factors go to zero so that the space volume V goes to zero as well. The latter means (see [17, 18] for more details) that the system approaches the gravitational singularity.

It results from sections II and III that, as the system approaches the singularity, its dynamics becomes sensitive to the choice of the initial data, which means that the dynamics becomes chaotic. The latter is consistent with the BKL scenario.

The existence of the generic singular solution means that general relativity is not a complete theory of gravitation. It is commonly expected that quantum gravity, to be constructed, would be free from the singularities. The quantization of the BKL scenario carried out recently [22, 23] gives support to such expectation. However, this result should be confirmed by quantizing that scenario possibly within completely different approach to verify its robustness. As commonly known, quantization of a gravitational system is an ambiguous procedure.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Vladimir Belinski for the valuable discussions.

- [1] V. A. Belinskii, I. M. Khalatnikov, and E. M. Lifshitz, “Oscillatory approach to a singular point in the relativistic cosmology”, *Adv. Phys.* **19**, 525 (1970). [I](#)
- [2] V. A. Belinskii, I. M. Khalatnikov, and E. M. Lifshitz, “A general solution of the Einstein equations with a time singularity”, *Adv. Phys.* **31**, 639 (1982). [I](#)
- [3] V. A. Belinskii, I. M. Khalatnikov, and M. P. Ryan, “The oscillatory regime near the singularity in Bianchi-type IX universes”, Preprint **469** (1971), Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Moscow (unpublished); the work due to V. A. Belinskii and I. M. Khalatnikov is published as sections 1 and 2 in M. P. Ryan, *Ann. Phys.* **70**, 301 (1971). [I](#), [II A](#), [III](#), [IV](#)
- [4] V. A. Belinski, “On the cosmological singularity,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **23**, 1430016 (2014).
- [5] V. Belinski and M. Henneaux, *The Cosmological Singularity* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017). [I](#), [I](#), [IV](#)
- [6] R. M. Conte and M. Musette (2020), *The Painlevé Handbook*, ch. 2, Springer (Dordrecht). [II A](#), [II A](#)
- [7] R. M. Conte (1999) *The Painlevé approach to nonlinear ordinary differential equations*, chapter 3, 77–180 in *The Painlevé Property One Century Later*, ed. R. Conte, Springer, New York. [II A](#), [II A](#)
- [8] M. J. Ablowitz, A. Ramani and H. Segur, A connection between nonlinear evolution equations and ordinary differential equations of P-type. I, *J. Math. Phys.* **21**, 715 (1980). [II A](#), [II A](#)
- [9] M. J. Ablowitz, A. Ramani and H. Segur, A connection between nonlinear evolution equations and ordinary differential equations of P-type. II, *J. Math. Phys.* **21**, 1006 (1980). [II A](#)
- [10] A. Latifi, M. Musette and R. Conte, The Bianchi IX (mixmaster) cosmological model is not integrable, *Physics Letters A* **194**, 83–92 (1994). [II A](#), [II A](#)
- [11] A. H. Taub, Empty Space-Times Admitting a Three Parameter Group of Motions, *Ann. Math.* **53**, 472–490 (1951). [II A](#)
- [12] M. Szydlowski and M. Biesiada, Kovalevski Exponents and Integrability Properties in Class A Homogeneous Cosmological Models, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **9**, 1–10 (2002). [II A](#)

- [13] E. Czuchry and W. Piechocki, Bianchi IX model: Reducing phase space, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 084021 (2013). [II A](#), [II A](#)
- [14] H. Poincaré, *The Three-Body Problem and the Equations of Dynamics. Poincaré's Foundational Work on Dynamical Systems Theory*. Astrophysics and Space Science Library, Springer 2017, Ch. 1. [II B](#)
- [15] L. Perko, *Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems* (Springer, New York, 2001), 3rd ed. [III](#), [III](#), [III](#), [1](#)
- [16] S. Wiggins, *Introduction to Applied Nonlinear Dynamical Systems and Chaos* (Springer Science, [III](#), [III](#), [III](#), [1](#)
- [17] C. Kiefer, N. Kwidzinski, and W. Piechocki, “On the dynamics of the general Bianchi IX spacetime near the singularity”, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 691 (2018). [IV](#)
- [18] N. Kwidzinski and W. Piechocki, “Curvature invariants for the Bianchi IX spacetime filled with tilted dust”, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 199 (2019). [IV](#)
- [19] L. P. Grishchuk, A. G. Doroshkevich, and V. M. Yudin, “Long gravitational waves in a closed universe”, Zh. Eks. Teor. Fiz. **69**, 1857 (1975). [IV](#)
- [20] V. A. Belinski and G. V. Vereshchagin, “On the cosmological gravitational waves and cosmological distances”, Physics Letters B **778**, 332 (2018). [IV](#)
- [21] P. Goldstein and W. Piechocki, “Seeds of generic primordial gravitational waves”, to be submitted for publication. [IV](#)
- [22] A. Gózdź, W. Piechocki, and G. Plewa, “Quantum Belinski-Khalatnikov-Lifshitz scenario”, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 45 (2019). [IV](#)
- [23] A. Gózdź and W. Piechocki, “Robustnes of the BKL scenario”, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 142 (2020). [IV](#)

APPENDIX

This Appendix contains discussion of monotonicity of the volume. We first discuss how the volume is affected by the linear evolution of a small perturbation α , β , γ of (respectively) a , b , c given by solution (8). Then, we add a short discussion of the general case. In the first part we bear in mind that the linear approximation is valid in a limited interval of time as the system is linearly unstable.

The volume $V = abc$ given by (21) should be a decreasing function of time t or $\theta = \ln(t - t_0)$, $t > t_0$ (which also means increasing in the backward evolution). In

the linear approximation, the θ -derivative of V may be written as

$$V'(\theta) = -2160e^{-17\theta/2} \left[150K_3e^{-3\theta/2} + 45e^{-\theta/2} + \sqrt{3} \left(\sqrt{152 + 53\sqrt{6}} K_1 \cos(\omega_1\theta + \psi_1) + \sqrt{152 - 53\sqrt{6}} K_2 \cos(\omega_2\theta + \psi_2) \right) \right], \quad (44)$$

where ψ_1 and ψ_2 are phases, which may be expressed in terms of the constants $K_1, K_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2$ (through the classical replacements of expressions like $a \cos \alpha + b \sin \alpha$ by $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \cos [\alpha \pm \arctan \frac{b}{a}]$).

The infimum of (44) V'_{inf} corresponds to the two cosines equal to $\text{sign}(K_1)$ and $\text{sign}(K_2)$ respectively, its supremum V'_{sup} to the respective $-\text{sign}(K_1)$ and $-\text{sign}(K_2)$. Due to incommensurability of ω_1 and ω_2 , V' may get arbitrarily close to its infimum or supremum if we wait sufficiently long. The sufficient condition for $V' < 0$ for all θ requires that (for all possible θ) both $V'_{inf} < 0$ and $V'_{sup} < 0$. The latter is greater, hence it is sufficient to examine V'_{sup} , as the second inequality is more demanding. It may be written in the variable $u = e^{-\theta/2}$ as

$$V'_{sup} = -2160u^{17} (150K_3u^3 + 45u - r), \quad \text{where} \\ r = \sqrt{3} \left(\sqrt{152 + 53\sqrt{6}} |K_1| + \sqrt{152 - 53\sqrt{6}} |K_2| \right) > 0. \quad (45)$$

Real values of θ correspond to $u > 0$, where $u \rightarrow 0^+$ corresponds to $\theta \rightarrow \infty$, while $u \rightarrow \infty$ corresponds to $\theta \rightarrow -\infty$. For $u > 0$, the sign of V'_{sup} follows from the well known properties of third-degree algebraic equations. Namely

- For $K_3 = 0$ (non-generic) $V'_{sup} < 0$ iff $u > r/45$, i.e. $\theta < -2 \ln(r/45)$.
- For $K_3 > 0$, V'_{sup} has one real zero $u_1 > 0$ (given by the Cardano formula). As in the previous case, $V' < 0$ iff $u > u_1$, i.e. $\theta < -2 \ln(u_1)$.
- For $K_3 < 0$, V'_{sup} may have one positive real zero (for $K_3 \leq -900/r^2$) or two positive real zeros (for $-900/r^2 < K_3 < 0$). However in this case $V'_{sup} < 0$ requires that θ be *above* some value, which affects the initial stage of the evolution with non-physical oscillations of the volume.

To summarize, there is no range of the considered constants which ensure negative sign of V' for all θ . However, if $K_3 > 0$, a right-bounded interval of time (or θ) exists, in which $V' < 0$. This is sufficient for ensuring non-oscillatory behavior of

the volume, provided that the right endpoint of this interval lies beyond the range of applicability for the linear approximation.

If we consider the evolution of V' without making the linear approximation, then the summation of the BKL equations yields

$$\frac{V'(t)}{V(t)} = \frac{d \ln(abc)}{dt} = \frac{V'(t_{ini})}{V(t_{ini})} + \int_{t_{ini}}^t dt' a^2. \quad (46)$$

If at the initial time t_{ini} , the derivative $V'(t_{ini}) > 0$, then the volume is an increasing function of t (or θ) for all $t > t_{ini}$. On the other hand, if we start from a state of decreasing V , i.e. $V'(t_{ini}) < 0$, then V would further decrease down to a minimum attained at the point where $a^2 = (\ln V)'' = 0$. Hence, if $V'(t_{ini}) < 0$, then V' is decreasing down to the point of the total collapse $a = 0$, $b = 0$, $c = 0$. Thus, V has to be a monotonic function of time if all orders of the perturbation are taken into account.

