

Composite dynamical symmetry of M-branes

Jens Hoppe

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the previously noticed internal dynamical $SO(D-1)$ symmetry [1] for relativistic M-branes moving in D -dimensional space-time is naturally realized in the (extended by powers of $\frac{1}{p_+}$) enveloping algebra of the Poincaré algebra.

In the common light-cone derivation of the critical dimension for bosonic strings it is hidden in the calculation that the identification of terms in M_{i-} *not* involving zero-modes does not only require subtracting $X_i P_- - P_i X_-$ but also terms that are linear (!) in the transverse total momenta, implicit in the longitudinal oscillators (see e.g. [2][3]). The purely internal parts of (P_+ times) the longitudinal Lorentz-generators M_{i-} , and the M_{ij} (generators of $SO(D-2)$), satisfy

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \{\mathbb{M}_{jk}, \mathbb{M}_{i-}\} &= -\delta_{ik} \mathbb{M}_{j-} + \delta_{ij} \mathbb{M}_{k-} \\ \{\mathbb{M}_{i-}, \mathbb{M}_{j-}\} &= \mathbb{M}^2 \cdot \mathbb{M}_{ij} \\ \{\mathbb{M}_{ij}, \mathbb{M}_{kl}\} &= -\delta_{jk} \mathbb{M}_{il} \pm 3 \text{ more} \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathbb{M}^2 = 2P_+ P_- - \vec{P}^2$ the internal (Mass)², very similar to the dynamical symmetry of the hydrogen atom – which gives hope [1] that it may be possible to obtain purely algebraically the spectrum of \mathbb{M}^2 (when quantized), with the dimension and the topology of the extended object being encoded in the dimensions and multiplicities of the occurring irreducible finite dimensional representations of $SO(D-1)$ given by (1) via $\mathbb{L}_{i-} := \frac{\mathbb{M}_{i-}}{\sqrt{\mathbb{M}^2}}$ and $\mathbb{L}_{ij} := \mathbb{M}_{ij}$.

Attempts to quantize (1), using the constrained phase-space of transverse internal degrees of freedom are hindered by the constraints (that are reflecting residual invariance of the theory under volume-preserving diffeomorphism, resp. solvability for the longitudinal degrees of freedom in terms of the transverse ones) – making even classical calculations, like the proof [4] of Poisson commutativity of the M_{i-} formidable. In [5], on the other hand, it was noticed that in the codimension one case (to which we intermediately restrict) relativistic M(em)-branes can be described as an isentropic inviscid irrotational gas. Taking

proper care of (cp.[7])

$$(2) \quad P_+ := \int \sqrt{\frac{g}{2\dot{\zeta} - \dot{\vec{x}}^2}} d^M \varphi = \eta \int \rho d^M \varphi = \eta = \int q d^M x$$

when performing the hodograph–transformation

$$(3) \quad \varphi^\alpha = (\tau, \varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^M) \rightarrow x^\alpha = (\tau, x^1(\tau, \varphi), \dots, x^M(\tau, \varphi))$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial \varphi^\beta} \right| = \left| \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \varphi^b} \right| = \rho \{x_1, \dots, x_M\} =: \frac{\eta \rho}{q(\vec{x}, \tau)}$$

$$1 = \int \rho d^M \varphi = \int \frac{\rho}{\left| \frac{\partial x}{\partial \varphi} \right|} d^M x = \frac{1}{\eta} \int q d^M x$$

$$\int f(\varphi^\alpha) \rho d^M \varphi = \frac{1}{\eta} \int \hat{f}(x^\alpha) q d^M x$$

$$\frac{\vec{p}}{\eta \rho}(\varphi^\alpha) = (\vec{\nabla} p)_{(x(\varphi))},$$

$$X_- = \zeta_0 = \int \zeta \rho d^M \varphi \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{1}{\eta} \int p q d^M x = -\frac{L_{+-}}{P_+} + \tau \frac{P_-}{P_+}$$

$$X_i = \int x_i \rho d^M \varphi = \frac{1}{\eta} \int x_i q = \frac{L_{i+}}{P_+} + \tau \frac{P_i}{P_+}$$

$$P_- = \frac{1}{2\eta} \int \left(\frac{\vec{P}^2}{\rho^2} + \{, \dots, \}^2 \right) \rho d^M \varphi = \frac{1}{2} \int \left((\vec{\nabla} p)^2 + \frac{1}{q^2} \right) q d^M x$$

one obtains the hydrodynamic M–brane Poincaré–generators ([5][6][7])

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} P_- &= \frac{1}{2} \int \left(q(\nabla p)^2 + \frac{1}{q} \right), & P_+ &= \int q, & \vec{P} &= \int q \vec{\nabla} p \\ L_{ab} &= \int q (x_a \partial_b p - x_b \partial_a p), & L_{a+} &= \int q x_a - \tau P_a \\ L_{a-} &= \frac{1}{2} \int \left(x_a (q(\nabla p)^2 + \frac{1}{q}) - q \partial_a (p^2) \right) \\ L_{+-} &= - \int q p d^M x + \tau P_- \end{aligned}$$

satisfying

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \{L_{a\pm}, L_{+-}\} &= \mp L_{a\pm}, & \{L_{a-}, L_{b-}\} &= 0 \\ \{L_{a\pm}, P_\mp\} &= P_a, & \{L_{+-}, P_\pm\} &= \pm P_\pm \\ \{L_{ab}, L_{cd}\} &= -\delta_{bc} L_{ad} \pm 3 \text{ more} \\ \{L_{a+}, L_{b-}\} &= \delta_{ab} L_{+-} - L_{ab}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the zero-modes being ratios¹ of $SO(D-1, 1)$ generators,

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} X_i &= \frac{L_{i+}}{P_+} + \tau \frac{P_i}{P_+}, \\ X_- = \zeta_0 &= -\frac{L_{+-}}{P_+} + \tau \frac{P_-}{P_+} \end{aligned}$$

one may write the internal ($SO(D-1)$) generators occurring in (1) as composite operators, solely as rational² expression in the generators of the original Poincaré algebra:

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}_{ij} &= L_{ij} - \frac{1}{P_+} (L_{i+}P_j - L_{j+}P_i) = L_{ij} - L'_{ij} \\ \mathbb{M}_{i-} &= P_+L_{i-} - \underbrace{(L_{i+}P_- + L_{+-}P_i)}_{=P_+L'_{i-}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{M}_{ik}P_k}_{=P_+\tilde{L}'_{i-}} \\ &=: P_+L_{i-} - P_+\tilde{L}_{i-}; \end{aligned}$$

and a tedious, but straightforward calculation, resp.

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \{L'_{ij}, L'_{kl}\} &= -\delta_{jk}L'_{il} \pm 3 \text{ more}, \quad \{L_{ij}, L'_{kl}\} = -\delta_{jk}L'_{il} \pm 3 \text{ more}, \\ \{L'_{i-}, L'_{j-}\} &= 0, \\ \{\tilde{L}'_{i-}, \tilde{L}'_{j-}\} &= \frac{1}{P_+^2} (\vec{P}^2 \mathbb{M}_{ij} + P_i \mathbb{M}_{jk} P_k - P_j \mathbb{M}_{il} P_l) \\ \{L'_{i-}, \tilde{L}'_{j-}\} - (i \leftrightarrow j) &= -2 \frac{P_-}{P_+} \mathbb{M}_{ij} - \frac{1}{P_+^2} (P_i \mathbb{M}_{jk} P_k - P_j \mathbb{M}_{il} P_l) \\ \{\tilde{L}_{i-}, \tilde{L}_{j-}\} &= -\frac{\mathbb{M}^2}{P_+^2} \mathbb{M}_{ij}, \quad \left\{ \frac{\mathbb{M}_{i-}}{P_+}, \tilde{L}_{j-} \right\} - (i \leftrightarrow j) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

note also

$$(9) \quad \{\mathbb{M}_{ik}, P_j\} = 0, \quad \{\mathbb{M}_{ik}, L_{+-}\} = 0, \quad \{P_+, \mathbb{M}_{i-}\} = 0,$$

gives that (7) indeed satisfies (1).

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank A. Jevicki for discussions.

¹up to terms proportional to τ (which drop out in (7))

²were it not for the $\frac{1}{P_+}$ in the subtraction to M_{ij} , and eventual appearance of (commuting) factors of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{M^2}}$, *polynomial*, i.e. elements of the enveloping algebra

REFERENCES

- [1] J.Hoppe, *Fundamental structures of M(brane) theory*, Phys.Lett.B 695 (2011) 384,
 J.Hoppe, *Matrix models and Lorentz invariance*, J.Phys.A 44 (2011) 055402,
 J.De Woul, J.Hoppe, D.Lundholm, M.Sundin, *A dynamical symmetry for supermembranes*, JHEP 134 (2011),
 J.Hoppe, *M-brane dynamical symmetry and quantization*, arXiv:1101.4334 (2011)
- [2] P.Goddard, J.Goldstone, C.Rebbi, C.Thorn *Quantum Dynamics of a Massless Relativistic String*, Nucl.Phys.B 56 (1973) 109
- [3] G.Arutyunov *Lectures on String Theory*, Utrecht University 2009,
 J.Hoppe, M.Hynek, S.Fredenhagen *The Lorentz Anomaly via Operator Product Expansion*, J.Math.Phys. 56 (2015) 10
- [4] J.Goldstone, unpublished notes (1985),
 K.Ezawa, Y.Matsuo, K.Murakami, *Lorentz Symmetry of Supermembrane in Light Cone Gauge Formulation*, Progress of Theoretical Physics 98 #2 (1997) 485
- [5] M.Bordemann, J.Hoppe *The dynamics of relativistic membranes I: reduction to two-dimensional hydrodynamics*, Phys.Lett.B 317 (1993) 315,
 J.Hoppe *Supermembranes in 4 dimensions*, hep-th/9311059 (1993),
 The earliest reference to a relation between minimal surfaces and hydrodynamics seems to be S.A.Chaplygin, “*On gas streams*” (in Russian), Sci. Mem. Imp. Univ. Moscow Math. Phys. Sect.21 (1902) 1
- [6] A.Jevicki *Light-front partons and dimensional reduction in relativistic field-theory*, Phys.Rev.D 57 (1998) 5955
- [7] J.Hoppe *Relativistic Membranes*, J.Phys.A 46 (2013) 023001

BRAUNSCHWEIG UNIVERSITY, GERMANY
Email address: jens.r.hoppe@gmail.com