

Diamantine Picard functors of rigid spaces

Ben Heuer

October 11, 2021

Abstract

For a connected smooth proper rigid space X over a perfectoid field extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , we show that the Picard functor of X^\diamond defined on perfectoid test objects is the diamondification of the rigid analytic Picard functor. In particular, it is represented by a rigid group variety if and only if the rigid analytic Picard functor is.

As an application, we determine which line bundles are trivialized by pro-finite-étale covers, and prove unconditionally that the associated “topological torsion Picard functor” is represented by a divisible analytic group. We use this to generalize and geometrize a construction of Deninger–Werner in the p -adic Simpson correspondence: There is an isomorphism of rigid analytic group varieties between the moduli space of continuous characters of $\pi_1(X, x)$ and that of pro-finite-étale Higgs line bundles on X .

This article is part II of a series about line bundles on rigid spaces as diamonds.

1 Introduction

Line bundles are ubiquitous in rigid analytic geometry, and Picard groups of rigid spaces have therefore been the subject of extensive studies, e.g. [Ger77] [BL84] [HL00] [Con06] [Lüt16] [KST20] [Li20]. It is natural to ask how much of this carries over in some form to larger categories like the pro-étale site of [Sch13] or the category of diamonds [Sch18]. However, so far, little is known about line bundles on diamonds outside the affinoid perfectoid case.

One important question with a long history in rigid geometry is about representability of the Picard functor which parametrises isomorphism classes of line bundles:

Let p be a prime, let K be a non-archimedean field extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and let

$$\pi : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa}(K)$$

be a smooth proper rigid space over K , considered as an adic space. The rigid analytic Picard functor can be defined as the sheaf

$$\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} := R^1 \pi_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}*} \mathbb{G}_m : \mathrm{SmRig}_{K, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$$

where $\mathrm{SmRig}_{K, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$ is the site of smooth rigid analytic spaces over K with the étale topology, and where $\pi_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}*}$ is the pushforward of big étale sites from X to $\mathrm{Spa}(K)$. It is believed that $\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$ should always be representable by a rigid group variety whose identity component is a semi-abeloid variety, i.e. an extension of an abeloid variety (a connected smooth proper rigid group) by a rigid analytic torus. Many instances of this are known:

- Bosch–Lütkebohmert [BL84, §1] proved using rigid GAGA that if X is a curve or an abelian variety, and K is algebraically closed, then $\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$ is represented by the analytification of the Jacobian, respectively the dual of X , which is an abelian variety.
- In [BL91, §6], Bosch–Lütkebohmert treated the case that X is an abeloid variety.

- Hartl–Lütkebohmert [HL00] proved that if X admits a strict semi-stable formal model over a discrete valuation ring, then $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}$ is represented by a rigid group whose connected component of the identity is semi-abeloid.
- Conrad [Con06, §4.3] has shown that the relative Picard functor for $X \rightarrow S$ which are “relatively projective” (more precisely, admit an S -ample line bundle) is representable.
- Warner has announced in his thesis [War17] a proof that $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}$ is always representable, but does not make any claims about what the representing object looks like.

Our goal in this article is to study Picard functors defined on perfectoid instead of rigid test objects, and to use these to show that the p -adic Simpson correspondence of rank one from [Heu20a] can be upgraded to an isomorphism of rigid analytic moduli spaces.

1.1 Diamantine Picard functors

In the setting of diamonds, there are two genuinely different diamantine Picard functors one can consider, using different topologies: Assume from now on that K is perfectoid, and let Perf_K be the category of perfectoid spaces over K . We can equip this with the étale topology, or with the finer v -topology of [Sch18, §8]. Associated to X , we have the diamondification [Sch18, §15]

$$\pi^\diamond : X^\diamond \rightarrow \text{Spd}(K).$$

We consider the diamantine Picard functor defined on perfectoid test objects

$$\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}^\diamond := R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \mathbb{G}_m : \text{Perf}_{K,\text{ét}} \rightarrow \text{Ab},$$

using the étale topology on Perf_K . Second, we can also consider

$$\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,v}^\diamond := R^1 \pi_{v*}^\diamond \mathbb{G}_m : \text{Perf}_{K,v} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$$

using the v -topology. This change of topology is more than just a technicality: Indeed, by the main result of [Heu20a], it has a non-trivial effect on the notion of line bundles. If K is algebraically closed, then on K -points the difference can be described using the “Hodge–Tate logarithm” which defines a short exact sequence [Heu20a, Theorem 1.3.2a]

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}(K) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,v}(K) \xrightarrow{\text{HT log}} H^0(X, \Omega^1)(-1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Our first main result is that both diamantine Picard functors can be fully described in terms of the rigid Picard functor $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}$, even without the assumption that the latter is represented by a rigid group variety: To make this precise, we use the morphism of sites

$$\delta : \text{LSD}_{K,\text{ét}} \rightarrow \text{SmRig}_{K,\text{ét}}, \quad Z^\diamond \leftarrow Z$$

where $\text{LSD}_{K,\text{ét}}$ are the locally spatial diamonds over $\text{Spa}(K)$, and Z^\diamond is the diamondification. Pullback along δ and restriction to the full subcategory $\text{Perf}_{K,\text{ét}}$ defines a functor from sheaves on $\text{SmRig}_{K,\text{ét}}$ to sheaves on $\text{Perf}_{K,\text{ét}}$ that we also denote by $-\diamond$.

Theorem 1.1 (Diamantine Picard Comparison). *Let K be a perfectoid field extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and let $\pi : X \rightarrow \text{Spa } K$ be a proper smooth rigid space. Then:*

1. The natural map $(\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}})^\diamond \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}^\diamond$ is an isomorphism of sheaves on $\text{Perf}_{K,\text{ét}}$.
2. If moreover K is algebraically closed, then the v -Picard functor $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,v}^\diamond$ fits into a split exact sequence of abelian sheaves on $\text{Perf}_{K,\text{ét}}$

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}^\diamond \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,v}^\diamond \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega^1)(-1) \otimes_K \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow 0.$$

Remark 1.2. • The first part roughly says that for every perfectoid space T and any line bundle L on X_T , one can locally on T find a rigid space T' such that L is pulled back from $X_{T'}$. The second part gives a geometric version of [Heu20a, Theorem 1.3.2a].

- The (-1) in the second part is a twist by the dual of $\mathbb{Z}_p(1) = \varprojlim \mu_{p^n}(K)$ and could be ignored after the choice of a compatible system of unit roots. In particular, since we are in the algebraically closed situation, this is not a statement about Galois actions.
- While it may be possible to relax the smoothness condition in part 1, the properness of X is certainly required: The statement also holds in the affinoid case but is typically false outside of these cases. Indeed, we crucially use that $R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O})$ is perfect.
- It follows from part 2 that $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \acute{e}t}^\diamond$ is always a v -sheaf. This is automatic if $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \acute{e}t}$ is represented by a rigid group variety by [Sch18, Proposition 11.9, Lemma 15.6], but Theorem 1.1 implies that we know this a priori. In fact, one can extend this to show:

Corollary 1.3. *If the rigid Picard functor $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \acute{e}t}$ is represented by a rigid group G , then G^\diamond represents $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \acute{e}t}^\diamond$. Conversely, if there is a smooth rigid group G for which G^\diamond represents $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \acute{e}t}^\diamond$, then G represents the rigid Picard functor $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \acute{e}t}$.*

Combined with the results below, this might be useful since some of the known methods for proving representability (e.g. [HL00][Lüt90, §2]) rely on good properties of formal models, and \mathcal{O}^+ -cohomology is far easier to control in the perfectoid than in the rigid setting.

1.2 Pro-finite-étale trivialisation

The second goal of this article is to use Theorem 1.1 to provide a conceptual explanation for a phenomenon observed in [Heu20a, §4]: Line bundles on X that are “ p -adically close” are trivialised by pro-finite-étale covers of X in the pro-étale site $X_{\text{pro}\acute{e}t}$ of [Sch13].

Assume that K is algebraically closed. Let X be a connected smooth proper rigid space over K . Given a base point $x \in X(K)$, we have a canonical universal pro-finite-étale cover

$$\tilde{X} \rightarrow X,$$

a pro-finite-étale torsor under the étale fundamental group $\pi_1(X, x)$. The following Theorem describes which line bundles are trivialised by pro-finite-étale covers, or equivalently by \tilde{X} :

Theorem 1.4. *There is a short exact sequence of étale sheaves on Perf_K*

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \acute{e}t}^{\diamond \text{tt}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \acute{e}t}^\diamond \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{\tilde{X}, \acute{e}t}^\diamond,$$

and similarly for the v -topology.

Here $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \acute{e}t}^{\diamond \text{tt}} \subseteq \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \acute{e}t}^\diamond$ is the “topological torsion” subsheaf, defined as the image of the specialisation map

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \acute{e}t}^\diamond) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \acute{e}t}^\diamond,$$

where $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} := \varprojlim_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ on Perf_K . Note that to make sense of the domain of this map, we crucially use that we extended the Picard functor to diamonds. On K -points, we obtain:

Corollary 1.5. *Assume that the rigid Picard functor $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \acute{e}t}$ is represented by a rigid group variety, this makes $\text{Pic}(X)$ into a topological group. Then there is a left-exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)^{\text{tt}} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}),$$

where $\text{Pic}(X)^{\text{tt}} \subseteq \text{Pic}(X)$ is the open subgroup of points satisfying $x^{n!} \rightarrow 1$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

1.3 Geometrisation of p -adic Simpson correspondence in rank one

We are interested in this description because understanding which vector bundles on X are trivialised by pro-finite-étale covers is important in the p -adic Simpson correspondence:

The Corlette–Simpson correspondence on a complex Kähler manifold X is an equivalence of categories between finite dimensional representations of the topological fundamental group of X and semi-stable Higgs bundles with vanishing Chern classes on X . The p -adic Simpson correspondence seeks to find a similar correspondence for connected smooth proper rigid spaces X , relating the category of finite-dimensional K -linear representations of $\pi(X, x)$ with a subcategory of Higgs bundles on X . To this end, Faltings [Fal05] has introduced the notion of “generalised representations”, and proved that in the case of curves, there is an equivalence of categories between generalised representations and Higgs bundles. Such an equivalence is thought to exist in general, and Faltings constructs this under additional “smallness” assumptions. However, it is a fundamental open question which Higgs bundles on X would correspond to actual representations of $\pi(X, x)$ under such a correspondence.

In the case of vanishing Higgs field, this question has been studied by Deninger–Werner [DW20] and Würthen [Wü20, §3], whose results suggest that in the special case of vanishing Higgs field, vector bundles that are trivialised by pro-finite-étale covers give the correct subcategory of Higgs bundles. We argued in [Heu20a, §4] that it is also the right condition in the case of rank one, and used it to construct the Simpson correspondence for line bundles. Corollary 1.5 adds to this a better description of the category of line bundles in question.

Second, as an application of the diamantine Picard functor, we get a generalisation of constructions of Deninger–Werner [DW05a] and Song [Son20] in the context of the p -adic Simpson correspondence for line bundles: Let X be a smooth projective curve over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ with good reduction and fix a base-point $x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$. In [DW05b], Deninger–Werner construct a functor from a certain category of vector bundles on X to finite dimensional \mathbb{C}_p -linear representations of the étale fundamental group of X . In [DW05a], they investigate this functor for line bundles, where it induces an injective continuous homomorphism

$$\alpha : \underline{\text{Pic}}_X^0(\mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x), \mathbb{C}_p^\times)$$

that they show is closely related to the Weil pairing of the Jacobian. They also construct such a map for smooth proper varieties over \mathbb{Q}_p satisfying a good reduction assumption.

In analogy to the complex Simpson correspondence, which can be upgraded to a homeomorphism of moduli spaces [Sim92, Proposition 1.5][Sim94, Theorem 7.18], it has recently been shown by Song [Son20] that the above morphism of Deninger–Werner for curves can in fact be interpreted as the K -points of a natural morphism of rigid analytic varieties.

As an application of the diamantine Picard functor and of Theorem 1.4, we generalise both of these works to proper smooth rigid spaces of arbitrary dimension without good reduction assumptions over general complete algebraically closed extensions K of \mathbb{Q}_p :

Theorem 1.6. *Let X be a connected smooth proper rigid space over K and fix $x \in X(K)$. Then there is a natural short exact sequence of rigid group varieties*

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \text{ét}}^{\text{tt}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\pi_1(X, x), \mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\text{HT log}} H^0(X, \Omega^1)(-1) \otimes_K \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\pi_1(X, x)$ is considered as a profinite adic group. Any choice of an exponential function on K and a Hodge–Tate splitting for X induces a splitting of this sequence.

The morphism on the left induces a natural non-degenerate pairing

$$\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \text{ét}}^{\text{tt}} \times \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m.$$

If $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \text{ét}}^0$ is an abeloid variety, this is a canonical analytic continuation of the Weil pairing.

We note that this is a stronger result than the analogous one in the complex Simpson correspondence, where one only obtains a homeomorphism of the analogous moduli spaces.

1.4 The topological torsion Picard functor is representable

Returning to the topic of Picard functors, we can deduce from Theorem 1.6 that a topological torsion version of the Picard functor is always representable:

Let $\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{tt}} \subseteq \mathbb{G}_m$ be the subgroup of topologically torsion units. Explicitly, this is given by

$$\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{tt}} = \mu \cdot \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m$$

where μ is the sheaf of unit roots and $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ is the open disc at 1 of radius 1. We can use this to define a topological torsion Picard functor that represents \mathbb{G}_m^{tt} -torsors relatively over X :

$$\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}^{\text{tt}} = R^1\pi_{\text{ét}*}\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{tt}} : \text{SmRig}_{K,\text{ét}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}.$$

We prove that this is always representable, without prior knowledge that $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}$ is:

Theorem 1.7. *In the setting of Theorem 1.6, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}^{\text{tt}} = R^1\pi_{\text{ét}*}\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{tt}}.$$

This is represented by a rigid group that is a finite disjoint union of analytic divisible groups.

Here an “analytic divisible group” is an extension of Fargues’ notion of rigid analytic p -divisible groups that also allows for torsion away from p . The finite disjoint union can be attributed to torsion in the Néron–Severi group, which is detected by the character variety.

This produces some evidence towards the conjecture that $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}$ is always representable by a rigid group whose connected component is a semi-abeloid variety: More precisely, it imposes restrictions on what kind of rigid groups can appear as Picard varieties. In an appendix, we show that these are consistent with $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}$ being semi-abeloid by explicitly describing E^{tt} for semi-abeloid E in terms of the associated p -divisible group over \mathcal{O}_K .

Notation

Throughout we denote by K a complete non-archimedean field of residue characteristic p . Let \mathcal{O}_K be the ring of integers, \mathfrak{m} the maximal ideal, and Γ the value group. We fix a pseudo-uniformiser $\varpi \in \mathfrak{m}$.

By a rigid space over K , we mean an adic space of topologically finite type over (K, \mathcal{O}_K) . We denote by SmRig_K the category of smooth rigid spaces over K , by Perf_K the category of perfectoid spaces over (K, \mathcal{O}_K) , and by LSD_K the category of locally spatial diamonds over $\text{Spd}(K, \mathcal{O}_K)$. More generally, for any rigid space X we denote by SmRig_X the slice category of rigid spaces over X . In accordance with this notation, for any two rigid spaces X and Y over K , we also write $X_Y := X \times_K Y$. Similarly for the other categories.

By a rigid group we mean a group object in the category of rigid spaces. By convention, we will always assume that this is commutative. Similarly for adic groups.

We denote by \mathbb{B}^d the rigid unit polydisc of dimension d over K .

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Johannes Anschütz, Lucas Mann, Peter Scholze and Annette Werner for very useful conversations.

This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany’s Excellence Strategy– EXC-2047/1 – 390685813. The author was supported by the DFG via the Leibniz-Preis of Peter Scholze.

2 The topological torsion subsheaf

The goal of this section is to introduce the notion of topological torsion v -sheaves, and the topological torsion subgroup of a v -sheaf.

We start by recalling the definition of locally constant sheaves and collect some easy lemmas. For this it is beneficial to work in greater generality than in the introduction:

Let (K, K^+) be any non-archimedean field with pseudo-uniformiser $\varpi \in K^+$. We begin by generalising [Sch18, Example 11.12] to the setting over (K, K^+) .

Definition 2.1. Let X be an adic space over $\mathrm{Spa}(K, K^+)$. Then we have a natural injective map $X(K, K^+) \hookrightarrow |X|$ sending a morphism $x : \mathrm{Spa}(K, K^+) \rightarrow X$ to the image of the unique closed point under x . We use this to endow $X(K, K^+)$ with the subspace topology.

In Appendix A, we give a more detailed discussion of $X(K, K^+)$ as a topological space.

Definition 2.2. 1. Let G be a discrete topological space. Then we associate to G the locally constant sheaf \underline{G} on $\mathrm{Perf}_{K,v}$ that is the sheafification of the presheaf $X \mapsto G$. This is represented by the perfectoid space $\sqcup_G \mathrm{Spa}(K, K^+)$.

2. Let $G = \varprojlim_{i \in I} G_i$ be a profinite set where the G_i are finite sets considered as discrete topological spaces. Then we associate to G the sheaf of sets

$$\underline{G} := \varprojlim_{i \in I} \underline{G}_i.$$

By [SW13, Proposition 2.4.5], this is represented by the affinoid perfectoid space

$$\underline{G} = \mathrm{Spa}(\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{cts}}(G, K), \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{cts}}(G, K^+)) \sim \varprojlim \underline{G}_i.$$

3. In both the profinite and the discrete case, we have a natural homeomorphism $|\underline{G}| = |\mathrm{Spa}(K, K^+)| \times G$ by Lemma 2.3 below. Simultaneously generalising both 1 and 2, we can therefore extend the definition to locally profinite sets G by glueing. We note that \underline{G} is in general no longer affinoid, for example if G is not quasi-compact.

If G is a locally profinite group, then \underline{G} inherits the structure of a perfectoid group.

The following lemma was used in the definition:

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a locally profinite set, then we have natural homeomorphisms*

$$|\underline{G}| = |\mathrm{Spa}(K, K^+)| \times G \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{G}(K, K^+) = G.$$

Proof. We can reduce to profinite sets $G = \varprojlim G_i$, for which we have

$$|\underline{G}| = \varprojlim |G_i| \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{G}(K, K^+) = \varprojlim G_i(K, K^+).$$

This reduces us to the case of finite sets, where the statements are clear. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let H be a locally profinite set, and let G be any adic space over (K, K^+) . Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Mor}(\underline{H}, G) = \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{cts}}(H, G(K, K^+))$$

naturally in H and G . If H is a locally profinite group and G is an adic group for which $G(K, K^+)$ is a topological group, this induces

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\underline{H}, G) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(H, G(K, K^+)).$$

Proof. The second part of the lemma will follow from the first by functoriality, and using that $\underline{H} \times \underline{H} = \underline{H} \times \underline{H}$ because products commute with limits.

For the first part, evaluation on (K, K^+) -points defines by Lemma 2.3 a morphism

$$\text{Map}(\underline{H}, G) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(H, G(K, K^+)).$$

Here continuity is clear as $G(K, K^+)$ has the subspace topology of $|G|$, and H that of $|\underline{H}|$.

The map is clearly natural in H and G . To see that it is an isomorphism, we can therefore by glueing reduce to the case that H is profinite and G is affinoid.

Given a continuous map $\varphi : H \rightarrow G(K, K^+)$, there is an associated map of K^+ -algebras

$$\mathcal{O}^+(G) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(H, K^+)$$

that interprets $f \in \mathcal{O}^+(G)$ as a morphism $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{K^+} := \text{Spa}(K\langle X \rangle, K^+\langle X \rangle)$ and sends it to

$$H \xrightarrow{\varphi} G(K, K^+) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{B}_{K^+}(K, K^+) = K^+.$$

This is clearly natural in H and G . We have thus defined natural morphisms

$$\text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(H, G(K)) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\underline{H}, G) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(H, G(K)).$$

These compose to the identity: By functoriality, we can reduce to the case that $H = \{x\}$ is a point $x \in G(K, K^+)$. The map $\mathcal{O}^+(G) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(\{x\}, K^+)$ defined above is then identified with the map $\mathcal{O}^+(G) \rightarrow K^+$ that evaluates $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{K^+}$ at x . This is precisely what defines the point $x : \text{Spa}(K, K^+) \rightarrow G$.

It remains to prove that the second map in the above composition is injective. For this, consider the topological space H' whose underlying set is that of H , but endowed with the discrete topology. There is by functoriality a natural map $\underline{H}' \rightarrow \underline{H}$, which on global sections

$$\mathcal{O}^+(\underline{H}) = \text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(H, K^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^+(\underline{H}') = \text{Map}(H', K^+)$$

is injective. Consequently, so is the pullback $\text{Map}(\underline{H}, G) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\underline{H}', G)$ for affinoid G . We are therefore reduced to the case of discrete H , where the lemma is clear. \square

2.1 Topological torsion sheaves

From now on, let K be a perfectoid field. We work in the category of v -sheaves on Perf_K . For a locally profinite set G , we will from now on for simplicity denote by G the pro-constant v -sheaf \underline{G} from Definition 2.2 when this is clear from the context.

Definition 2.5. Let F be an abelian v -sheaf on Perf_K .

1. Consider the internal Hom sheaf $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, F)$ where $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} := \varprojlim_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ is the profinite sheaf from Definition 2.2. There is a natural evaluation map at $1 \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(K)$:

$$e_F : \underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, F) \rightarrow F.$$

We define the **topological torsion subsheaf** of F to be the abelian subsheaf

$$F^{\text{tt}} = \text{im}(e_F) \subseteq F.$$

2. We say that a v -sheaf F is **topological torsion** if the map $F^{\text{tt}} \rightarrow F$ is an isomorphism.
3. We say that F is **strongly topological torsion** if e_F is already an isomorphism.

4. We say that F is **topologically torsionfree** if $F^{\text{tt}} = 0$.

Definition 2.6. We make analogous definitions for the **topologically p -torsion subsheaf**

$$F\langle p^\infty \rangle := \text{im}(\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_p, F) \rightarrow F) \subseteq F$$

by replacing $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ with \mathbb{Z}_p .

Remark 2.7. The construction of $F\langle p^\infty \rangle$ may be seen as an analogue for v -sheaves of a related construction by Fargues [Far19, §1.6, §2.4] for rigid groups in characteristic 0 which gives rise to analytic p -divisible groups, cf §2.2 below. Hence our notation.

Via the natural projection $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$, we see that we have

$$F\langle p^\infty \rangle \subseteq F^{\text{tt}} \subseteq F.$$

In particular, if F is topologically p -torsion, it is automatically topologically torsion.

Lemma 2.8. *For any abelian v -sheaf F , the sheaf F^{tt} is itself topologically torsion. Sending $F \mapsto F^{\text{tt}}$ thus defines a right-adjoint to the forgetful functor from topological torsion abelian v -sheaves to abelian v -sheaves. Analogously for the topological p -torsion subsheaf $F\langle p^\infty \rangle$.*

Remark 2.9. We can of course make the same definitions for any other topology on Perf_K , and will then arrive at the analogous version of the Lemma. However, we note that the notion of being topologically torsion with respect to the étale topology is in general stronger than that for the v -topology, while the notion of being *strictly* topologically torsion agrees.

Proof. It suffices to prove that the natural map of v -sheaves $(F^{\text{tt}})^{\text{tt}} \rightarrow F^{\text{tt}}$ is an isomorphism, defining the unit of the adjunction. It is then formal that together with the co-unit $F^{\text{tt}} \rightarrow F$ this satisfies all desired properties.

It suffices to see that the map is surjective, for which it suffices to prove that the map $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, F^{\text{tt}}) \rightarrow F^{\text{tt}}$ is surjective. For this it suffices to prove that the top map in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, F)) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, F) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, F^{\text{tt}}) & \longrightarrow & F^{\text{tt}} \end{array}$$

is surjective. Evaluated at any perfectoid Y , this map is given by the specialisation at $1 \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$

$$\text{Bi-Hom}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \times Y, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \times Y, F),$$

where the left hand side are morphisms that are linear in each factor of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. This map is indeed surjective already on the level of presheaves since any $\varphi : \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \times Y \rightarrow F$ can be lifted using the bilinear map of v -sheaves

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad a, b \mapsto ab.$$

Thus F^{tt} is topologically torsion. The case of $F\langle p^\infty \rangle$ is identical. \square

Example 2.10. The reason why we need both the notion of topological torsion and strongly topological torsion sheaves is that each has its advantages and pathologies:

1. To see an example where $-^{\text{tt}}$ and $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_p, -)$ disagree, consider the v -sheaf $F = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathbb{Z}$ in the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

One can show that $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, F) = \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ (see [Heu20b, §2.3]), but nevertheless $F^{\text{tt}} = F$.

2. This also shows that the category of topologically torsion sheaves is not abelian, that subsheaves of topological torsion sheaves need not be topological torsion, and that in contrast to $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_p, -)$, the functor $-^{\text{tt}}$ is neither left-exact nor right-exact.
3. On the other hand, the analogue of Lemma 2.8 does not hold for $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_p, -)$, namely

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, -)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, -)$$

is not an equivalence: Indeed, by the Yoneda Lemma and the tensor-hom adjunction this follows from the fact that $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is not an isomorphism of v -sheaves.

We will see below that such pathologies cannot occur in the rigid situation. However, before we turn to the rigid case, we prove some useful lemmas that hold in greater generality:

Lemma 2.11. 1. *The cokernel of $F^{\text{tt}} \rightarrow F$ is torsionfree.*

2. *The cokernel of $F\langle p^\infty \rangle \rightarrow F$ is p -torsionfree.*

Proof. The v -sheaf $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathbb{Z}$ is uniquely divisible by a Snake-Lemma argument. Thus also $\underline{\text{Ext}}^i(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathbb{Z}, F)$ is uniquely divisible. The statement follows since we have an exact sequence

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, F) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}, F) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Ext}}^1(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathbb{Z}, F).$$

Similarly for the v -sheaf \mathbb{Z}_p/\mathbb{Z} , which is uniquely p -divisible. \square

Lemma 2.12. *The functors $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, -)$ and $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_p, -)$ preserve injectives in the category of abelian v -sheaves.*

Proof. Let $F \rightarrow G$ be any injection of abelian v -sheaves and let Q be injective. Then the map $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is still injective since $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a torsionfree v -sheaf and thus flat over \mathbb{Z} . It follows that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(G, \underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, Q)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(F, \underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, Q)) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \text{Hom}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G, Q) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F, Q) \end{array}$$

is surjective since Q is injective. \square

Lemma 2.13. *Assume that F is strongly topologically (p -)torsion. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \text{Spa}(K)$ be a spatial diamond. Then $R^1\pi_{*\tau}F$ is topologically (p -)torsion for τ the étale or v -topology.*

Proof. There is a natural equivalence of functors on v -sheaves

$$\pi_*\underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, -) = \underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \pi_*-).$$

The resulting Grothendieck spectral sequences (which we may form by the last lemma) yield a natural morphism

$$R^1\pi_*F = R^1\pi_*\underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, F) \rightarrow R^1(\pi_*\underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, -))(F) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, R^1\pi_*-).$$

Since the above equivalence is also natural in the first entry, it is compatible with the evaluation map, which means that the above map is a splitting of the evaluation map

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, R^1\pi_*F) \rightarrow R^1\pi_*F.$$

In particular, the latter map is surjective. The same argument works for p -torsion. \square

2.2 Topologically torsion subgroups of rigid groups

In the rigid case, $G\langle p^\infty \rangle$ may often be identified with an analytic p -divisible subgroup in the sense of Fargues [Far19], as we shall now discuss. We first recall Fargues' perspective:

Theorem 2.14 ([Far19, §1.6 Théorème 1.2]). *Let G be a rigid group over K of characteristic 0. Then there is an open subgroup $U \subseteq G$ whose associated Berkovich space is*

$$|U|_{Ber} = \{g \in |G|_{Ber} \mid [p^n](x) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0\}.$$

It fits into an exact sequence of rigid groups

$$0 \rightarrow G[p^\infty] \rightarrow G\langle p^\infty \rangle \xrightarrow{\log} \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{an}} \otimes \text{Lie}G.$$

The following Proposition says that our notion of the topological p -torsion subsheaf generalises Fargues' construction to more general adic groups:

Proposition 2.15. *1. Let G be a rigid group variety over K of characteristic 0. Then*

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_p, G) = G\langle p^\infty \rangle \subseteq G$$

is a strongly topologically torsion open subgroup that agrees with the rigid group U defined in Theorem 2.14. Indeed, for any extension $C = (C, C^+)$ of (K, K^+) , we have

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_p, G)(C) = \{x \in G(C) \mid p^n \cdot x \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0\}.$$

2. More generally, let G be any adic group over (K, K^+) satisfying Hypothesis A.3, e.g. if G is rigid or perfectoid. Then for any $C = (C, C^+)$ over (K, K^+) ,

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_p, G)(C) = G\langle p^\infty \rangle(C) = \{x \in G(C) \mid p^n \mathbb{Z} \cdot x \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0\} \subseteq G(C),$$

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, G)(C) = G^{\text{tt}}(C) = \{x \in G(C) \mid n! \mathbb{Z} \cdot x \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0\} \subseteq G(C).$$

Here the condition on the right is that for every open neighbourhood $1 \in U \subseteq G(C, C^+)$, there is n such that $p^n a \cdot x \in U$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 2.16. Outside of the rigid case, it is not true in general that $G\langle p^\infty \rangle$ is open in G , as the example of $G = \mathbb{Z}_l$ for $l \neq p$ shows.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, G)(C, C^+) = \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, G(C, C^+)).$$

Let $\varphi : \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow G(C, C^+)$ be any continuous homomorphism. This is uniquely determined by the image $x = \varphi(1)$ of 1. By continuity, since $(n!\mathbb{Z})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a basis of neighbourhoods of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$, we have $n!\mathbb{Z} \cdot x \rightarrow 0$ in $G(C, C^+)$.

Conversely, let $x \in G(C, C^+)$ be such that $n!\mathbb{Z} \cdot x \rightarrow 0$. Let $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G(C, C^+)$ be the homomorphism defined by $f(1) = x$. By assumption, this is continuous for the subspace topology on $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. We now use that by Proposition A.6 in the appendix, the hypothesis guarantees that $G(C, C^+)$ is a complete topological group. By the universal property of the completion, f thus extends uniquely and linearly to the completion $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

The case of topological p -torsion is analogous. □

While the logarithm can only be defined in characteristic 0, we will now discuss that one can still describe $G\langle p^\infty \rangle$ in general characteristics, at least for a class of rigid groups which contains all semi-abeloid varieties as well as all bounded groups. For this we introduce:

Definition 2.17. 1. Recall that a (commutative) rigid group variety G is called bounded if it is smooth, connected and quasi-compact.

2. We call a rigid group E **semi-bounded** if it is an analytic extension

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

of a bounded rigid group G by a rigid torus T .

In Appendix B below, we show that Lütkebohmert's structure theory of bounded groups [Lüt95] can be extended to the semi-bounded case. More precisely, we prove:

Proposition 2.18. *Let K be algebraically closed and let E be a semi-bounded group variety over (K, \mathcal{O}_K) . Then*

1. *There is a maximal open subgroup $\overline{E} \subseteq E$ that has a connected smooth formal model.*
2. *Let $E_0 := E^+ \cdot E[p^\infty](K) \subseteq E$ be the union of all translates of E^+ by p -torsion points. Then E_0 still admits a smooth formal group model \mathfrak{E} and satisfies*

$$[p]_E^{-1}(E_0) \subseteq E_0. \quad (1)$$

E^+ is the identity component of E_0 and E^+/E_0 is an étale p -divisible group .

Let $\mathfrak{E}[p^\infty]$ be the sheaf on the site of \mathcal{O}_K -algebras on which ϖ is nilpotent defined by

$$\mathfrak{E}[p^\infty](R) = \varinjlim \mathfrak{E}[p^n](R).$$

We denote by $\mathfrak{E}[p^\infty]_\eta^{\text{ad}} \subseteq E$ its adic generic fibre in the sense of [SW13, §2.2], this is an open subgroup. Using the above structure result, we can now prove:

Proposition 2.19. *Assume that K is algebraically closed. Let E be a semi-bounded group variety over $\text{Spa}(K, \mathcal{O}_K)$, e.g. a semi-abeloid variety.*

1. *For the smooth model \mathfrak{E} of Lemma B.4.2, we have*

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_p, E) = E\langle p^\infty \rangle = \mathfrak{E}[p^\infty]_\eta^{\text{ad}} \subseteq E.$$

In particular, $E\langle p^\infty \rangle$ is represented by an open subgroup of E . If $[p] : E \rightarrow E$ is finite, this is the maximal analytic p -divisible subgroup in the sense of Fargues.

2. *We also have $E^{\text{tt}} = \underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, E)$, and this is represented by an open subgroup of E , which can be described as the disjoint union of translates*

$$E^{\text{tt}} = E\langle p^\infty \rangle \cdot \bigcup_{(N,p)=1} E[N](K) \subseteq E.$$

In light of Theorem 2.14 and Proposition 2.19, one can thus use the topological p -torsion subsheaf to define the notion of “analytic p -divisible group” in the sense of Fargues [Far19, §2 Définition 2]:

Definition 2.20. We call a rigid group variety X a p -divisible analytic group if

1. X is topologically p -torsion, i.e. $X^{\text{tt}} = X$.
2. The map $[p] : X \rightarrow X$ is surjective.

Using instead the topological torsion subsheaf, we arrive at the following generalisation of the notion of Fargues' analytic p -divisible group, which also includes prime-to- p -torsion and thus is suited to describe the sheaf E^{tt} from Proposition 2.19:

Definition 2.21. We call a rigid group variety X an analytic divisible group if

1. X is topologically torsion, i.e. $X^{\text{tt}} = X$.
2. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the map $[n] : X \rightarrow X$ is surjective.

Examples include \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{G}_a and the groups E^{tt} associated to semi-bounded groups for which $[n] : E \rightarrow E$ is finite, e.g. for abeloid varieties.

Remark 2.22. More generally, the proof of the Proposition works for any rigid group E with an open subgroup $E_0 \subseteq E$ that is the generic fibre of a flat formal group scheme \mathfrak{E} locally of topologically finite type over \mathcal{O}_K and such that (1) holds, i.e. $[p]_E^{-1}(E_0) \subseteq E_0$.

Example 2.23. 1. If \mathfrak{E} is any quasi-compact smooth formal group scheme with generic fibre E , we can apply the Proposition and see that

$$E\langle p^\infty \rangle = \mathfrak{E}[p^\infty]_n^{\text{ad}}.$$

In this case, one can then describe $E\langle p^\infty \rangle(K) \subseteq E(K) = \mathfrak{E}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and $E^{\text{tt}}(K)$ as those points that reduce to a (p -)torsion point on the special fibre. This is Fargues' point of view on the p -divisible group of E , see [Far19, §0].

2. If A is a semi-abeloid variety, then \mathfrak{E} can be described using the Raynaud uniformisation $A = E/M$: Let \mathfrak{E}^+ be the formal Raynaud extension associated to A , then \mathfrak{E} is the product of \mathfrak{E}^+ with $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$.
3. Any torus is semi-bounded. For example, for $E = \mathbb{G}_m$, the formal group is $\mathfrak{E} = \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathcal{O}_K}$ with its generic fibre $E_0 = \mathbb{G}_m^+ = \text{Spa}(K\langle X^\pm \rangle, \mathcal{O}_K\langle X^\pm \rangle)$. This shows that $\mathbb{G}_m\langle p^\infty \rangle = \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m \subseteq \mathbb{G}_m$ is the open disc of radius 1 around the origin. In particular, by part 2,

$$\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{tt}} = \mu(K)\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m,$$

justifying our ad hoc definition from §1.4 for the sheaf of topologically torsion units.

4. If $E = \mathbb{G}_a$, then an example of an open subgroup is given by the generic fibre $E_0 = \mathbb{G}_a^+$ of $\mathfrak{E} = \mathbb{G}_{a, \mathcal{O}_K}$, for which $\mathbb{G}_a^+(K, \mathcal{O}_K) = \mathcal{O}_K$. But this clearly violates the last condition in the Remark, namely $E_0 \subsetneq [p]^{-1}(E_0)$. In fact, the only open subgroup $E_0 \subseteq \mathbb{G}_a$ such that $[p]^{-1}(E_0) = E_0$ is \mathbb{G}_a itself, which is not the generic fibre of a formal group \mathfrak{E} . So the lemma does not apply. This is consistent with the fact that $\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathcal{O}_K}[p^n]$ does not form a p -divisible group. Instead, in this case we have $\mathbb{G}_a\langle p^\infty \rangle = \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{tt}} = \mathbb{G}_a$.
5. The restriction to the rigid case is necessary as shown by the example of

$$\mathfrak{E} = \varprojlim_{[p]} \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathcal{O}_K}.$$

Indeed, \mathfrak{E} is uniquely p -divisible, and in this case the generic fibre is related to the universal cover of $\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathcal{O}_K}[p^\infty]$ in the sense of [SW13, §3.1].

Proof. Let $T = \text{Spa}(S, S^+)$ be affinoid perfectoid over (K, \mathcal{O}_K) and let $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_p \times T \rightarrow E \times T$ be any homomorphism over T . Then the preimage of $E_0 \times T$ contains some neighbourhood

$p^n \mathbb{Z}_p \times T$ of the identity, e.g. by Lemma A.8. Since $[p]^{-1}(E_0) \subseteq E_0$, this implies that φ factors through E_0 .

Consider now the open subgroup $\mathfrak{E}[p^\infty]_\eta^{\text{ad}} \subseteq E$. For any extension (C, C^+) of (K, \mathcal{O}_K) , its points can be described as

$$\mathfrak{E}[p^\infty]_\eta^{\text{ad}}(C, C^+) = \{x \in \mathfrak{E}(C^+) \mid x \in \mathfrak{E}[p^n](C^+/\varpi^m) \text{ for some } n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

In particular, we also have $[p]^{-1}(\mathfrak{E}[p^\infty]_\eta^{\text{ad}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{E}[p^\infty]_\eta^{\text{ad}}$.

In order to prove that φ factors through $\mathfrak{E}[p^\infty]_\eta^{\text{ad}}$ we may therefore without loss of generality pass to an open subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p . We can moreover pass from T to an analytic cover. We may therefore assume without loss of generality that φ has a formal model, i.e. comes from a group homomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_p \times \text{Spf}(S^+) \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$$

that factors through an affine open subspace $\mathfrak{U} = \text{Spf}(R) \subseteq \mathfrak{E}$ where R is of topologically finite type over \mathcal{O}_K . Modulo p^n , this is given by a morphism

$$R \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(\mathbb{Z}_p, S^+/\varpi^n) = \varinjlim_m \text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m, S^+/\varpi^n).$$

Since R is of topologically finite type, this factors through

$$R \rightarrow \text{Map}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m, S^+/\varpi^n)$$

for some m , which means that the reduction of φ to \mathcal{O}_K/ϖ^n factors through a homomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^m \times \text{Spf}(S^+/\varpi^n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{O}_K/p^n}[p^m].$$

By definition of $E[p^\infty]^{\text{ad}}$, this shows that φ factors through

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \times \text{Spf}(S^+) \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}[p^\infty]^{\text{ad}},$$

which gives the desired morphism on the generic fibre.

Conversely, given any point in $\mathfrak{E}[p^\infty]_\eta^{\text{ad}}(S, S^+)$, we can replace S by an affinoid cover on which we have formal models $\text{Spf}(S^+) \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}[p^\infty]^{\text{ad}}$, defining compatible homomorphisms

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \times \text{Spf}(S^+/p^n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{O}_K/p^n}[p^\infty]$$

for all n . Taking the limit over n and passing to the generic fibre, this gives the desired map

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \times T \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}[p^\infty]_\eta^{\text{ad}}.$$

This proves the first part. For the second part, write $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p)}$ where $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p)} = \varprojlim_{(N,p)=1} \mathbb{Z}/N$. It then suffices to prove:

Claim 2.24. *Let $H = \varprojlim H_i$ be any profinite group for which the order of each H_i is coprime to p , and E as above. Then we have*

$$\text{Hom}(H, E) = \varinjlim_i \text{Hom}(H_i, E(K)).$$

Proof. Let $f : H \rightarrow E$ be any homomorphism of adic groups. We need to prove that an open subgroup of H gets sent to the identity. After passing from H to an open subgroup, we can without loss of generality assume that H factors through $E\langle p^\infty \rangle \subseteq E$, which is open by

the first part. After restricting further, we can assume that f has a formal model $H \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$. We then have

$$\mathrm{Hom}(H, \mathfrak{E}) = \varprojlim_n \mathrm{Hom}(H, \mathfrak{E}(\mathcal{O}_K/p^n)).$$

By the assumption that f factors through $E\langle p^\infty \rangle = \mathfrak{E}[p^\infty]_\eta^{\mathrm{ad}}$, we know that more precisely, f corresponds to an inverse system of morphisms

$$H \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}(\mathcal{O}_K/p^n)[p^m].$$

Since the right hand side is discrete, this factors through H_i for some i . But since H_i is of order coprime to p , any such morphism is trivial. Thus f is trivial. \square

This finishes the proof of the Proposition. \square

Remark 2.25. We emphasize that in part 2 of Proposition 2.19, the union of open subspaces is to be taken in the category of adic spaces. This is important because in the language of classical rigid spaces, the $xE\langle p^\infty \rangle$ for $x \in E[N](K)$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, may form a set-theoretic cover of E which is not admissible. In other words, in the setting of adic spaces, it misses points of rank 2 in E which are not included in E^{tt} .

3 Diamantine Picard functors

Let K be a perfectoid field extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa}(K)$ be a smooth proper rigid space over K . In this section, we study Picard functors defined on perfectoid test objects.

3.1 Diamondification of the rigid Picard functor

Recall that the rigid analytic Picard functor can be defined as the abelian sheaf

$$\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} := R^1 \pi_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}*} \mathbb{G}_m : \mathrm{SmRig}_{K, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$$

where $\mathrm{SmRig}_{K, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$ is the site of smooth rigid analytic spaces over K with the étale topology, and where $\pi_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}*}$ is the pushforward of big étale sites from X to K . Conjecturally, this is always represented by a smooth rigid group variety, and as described in the introduction, this is known in many cases, but currently not in full generality.

Our goal in this section is to study a “diamantine” variant of the Picard functor defined on perfectoid test objects, and to compare this to the diamondification of $\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$.

Recall from [Sch18, §15] that there is a fully faithful diamondification functor

$$\mathrm{SmRig}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{LSD}_K, \quad X \mapsto X^\diamond$$

sending a smooth rigid space X to its associated locally spatial diamond over $\mathrm{Spd}(K)$. We sometimes drop $-\diamond$ from notation when this is clear from the context, for example we simply write \mathbb{G}_m for the diamond whose underlying v -sheaf sends a perfectoid $\mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ to R^\times . We write δ for the morphism of étale sites associated to the above functor:

$$\delta : \mathrm{LSD}_{K, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} \rightarrow \mathrm{SmRig}_{K, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}.$$

For the diamondification

$$\pi^\diamond : X^\diamond \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(K),$$

consider the étale diamantine Picard functor

$$\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^\diamond := R^1 \pi_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}*}^\diamond \mathbb{G}_m : \mathrm{Perf}_{K, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}.$$

In this setting, there is a second Picard functor defined using the finer v -topology:

$$\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X,v}^\diamond := R^1 \pi_{v*}^\diamond \mathbb{G}_m : \mathrm{Perf}_{K,v} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}.$$

These two functors are related to the rigid analytic Picard functor via a base-change map of topoi: Namely, consider the diagram of morphisms of big étale sites

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{LSD}_{X^\diamond, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} & \xrightarrow{\delta_X} & \mathrm{SmRig}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} \\ \downarrow \pi^\diamond & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathrm{LSD}_{K, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} & \xrightarrow{\delta_K} & \mathrm{SmRig}_{K, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}. \end{array}$$

To formulate our main result, we wish to extend the diamondification functor from smooth rigid spaces to sheaves on $\mathrm{SmRig}_{K, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$: For this we use the restriction morphism of sites

$$\iota : \mathrm{LSD}_{K, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Perf}_{K, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}.$$

For any sheaf \mathcal{F} on $\mathrm{Perf}_{K, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$, let

$$\mathcal{F}^\diamond := \iota_* \delta_K^{-1} \mathcal{F}.$$

This is only a mild abuse of notation since \mathcal{F}^\diamond agrees with X^\diamond if \mathcal{F} is represented by a rigid space X , and because ι_* is exact. In particular, the base change map for the above diagram induces for any $n \geq 0$ a natural morphism of sheaves on $\mathrm{Perf}_{K, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$:

$$(R^n \pi_* \mathcal{F})^\diamond \rightarrow R^n \pi_*^\diamond \mathcal{F}^\diamond. \quad (2)$$

Applying this to $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{G}_m$ and $n = 1$, we learn that there is a natural morphism

$$(\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}})^\diamond \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^\diamond.$$

Using the morphism of sites $\nu : \mathrm{Perf}_{K,v} \rightarrow \mathrm{Perf}_{K, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$, we see that we also have a natural map

$$\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^\diamond \rightarrow \nu_* \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X,v}^\diamond.$$

Since both sites have the same underlying categories, we shall in the following drop ν_* from notation, which amounts to forgetting that $\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X,v}^\diamond$ is already a sheaf for the v -topology.

3.2 The Diamantine Picard Comparison Theorem

We can now formulate the main result of this section:

Theorem 3.1 (Diamantine Picard Comparison Theorem). *Let K be a perfectoid field extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and let X be any proper smooth rigid space over K . Then:*

1. *The natural map $(\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}})^\diamond \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^\diamond$ is an isomorphism.*
2. *If moreover K is algebraically closed, then the v -Picard functor $\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X,v}^\diamond$ fits into a split exact sequence of abelian sheaves on $\mathrm{Perf}_{K, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$, functorial in $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa}(K)$,*

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^\diamond \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X,v}^\diamond \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega^1)(-1) \otimes_K \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow 0.$$

The first part of the Theorem makes precise the idea that in order to study line bundles on X_Y where Y is a perfectoid space, it suffices to understand the situation for rigid Y , and vice versa. The second part is a geometric upgrade of [Heu20a, Theorem 1.3.2] in the proper case, and could be described as a statement about the “ p -adic Hodge theory of \mathbb{G}_m ”.

Before we begin with the proof, we note a few consequences for algebraically closed K :

Corollary 3.2. $(\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}})^\diamond$ is already a v -sheaf on Perf_K . In fact, it also satisfies the sheaf property for v -covers $Y' \rightarrow Y$ where Y is a smooth rigid space and Y' is perfectoid.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1.2, it is the kernel of a morphism of v -sheaves on Perf_K . We postpone the proof of the second part until the end of the section. \square

Remark 3.3. This is similar in spirit to the statement that vector bundles on the Fargues–Fontaine curve satisfy v -descent ([FS21, Proposition II.2.1] [SW20, Proposition 19.5.3]).

We deduce from Corollary 3.2 that the questions about representability are equivalent:

Corollary 3.4. If the rigid Picard functor $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}$ is represented by a rigid group A , then A^\diamond represents $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}^\diamond$. Conversely, if there is a smooth rigid group A for which A^\diamond represents $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}^\diamond$, then A represents $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}$.

Remark 3.5. This might open up new strategies to proving that the rigid analytic Picard functor is always representable by a rigid group variety whose identity component is semi-abeloid, cf Theorem 5.1 below.

Finally, and as usual, Theorem 3.1 in fact yields a precise description of the Picard group:

Corollary 3.6. Let Y be a perfectoid space over K and assume that the rigid Picard functor $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}$ is represented by an adic space A . Then any $x \in X(K)$ defines an isomorphism

$$\text{Pic}(X \times Y) = \text{Pic}(Y) \times A(Y).$$

Proof. This follows from the Theorem and Lemma 3.41 below. \square

We now begin with the proof of Theorem 3.1, which will occupy us for the entire section.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We start with an outline: Our strategy is to study step-by-step the following two cohomological diagrams of sheaves on $\text{Perf}_{K,\text{ét}}$:

Following the notation in [Heu20a], let us write \mathcal{O}^\times for the v -sheaf of units on $\text{SmRig}_{K,\text{ét}}$ that is represented by \mathbb{G}_m . Let $\mathcal{O}_1^\times := 1 + \mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{O}^\times$ be the open disc of radius 1 around the origin. Let

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times := \mathcal{O}^\times / \mathcal{O}_1^\times$$

denote the quotient sheaf. As before, we shall identify these sheaves with their diamondifications, so that we obtain a short exact sequence on $\text{SmRig}_{K,\text{ét}}$ as well as on $\text{Perf}_{K,\text{ét}}$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_1^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times \rightarrow 0.$$

Finally, by [Heu20a, Lemma 2.17], we see that $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times$ is in fact already a v -sheaf on Perf_K .

We now apply to the above sequence the base-change transformations

$$(R^n \pi_{\text{ét}*} -)^\diamond \rightarrow R^n \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond(-^\diamond) \rightarrow R^n \pi_{v*}^\diamond(-^\diamond).$$

This results in a large commutative diagram of sheaves on $\text{Perf}_{K,\text{ét}}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \pi_{v*}^\diamond \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_{v*}^\diamond \mathcal{O}_1^\times & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_{v*}^\diamond \mathcal{O}^\times & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_{v*}^\diamond \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times & \longrightarrow & R^2 \pi_{v*}^\diamond \mathcal{O}_1^\times \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \mathcal{O}_1^\times & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \mathcal{O}^\times & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times & \longrightarrow & R^2 \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \mathcal{O}_1^\times \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ (\pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times)^\diamond & \rightarrow & (R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \mathcal{O}_1^\times)^\diamond & \rightarrow & (R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \mathcal{O}^\times)^\diamond & \rightarrow & (R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times)^\diamond & \rightarrow & (R^2 \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \mathcal{O}_1^\times)^\diamond \end{array}$$

in which the bottom two rows are exact with respect to the étale topology and the top row is exact with respect to the v -topology (i.e. we have tacitly applied ν_* to the top row).

We can without loss of generality assume that X is connected. The first step of the proof can then be summarised by saying that we prove the following:

Lemma 3.7. *In the above commutative diagram:*

- (A) *The leftmost horizontal transition maps are 0.*
- (B) *In the fourth column, both maps are isomorphisms.*
- (C) *In the fifth column, the composition of the vertical maps is injective.*

Once this is achieved, it follows formally that the top row is already exact for the étale topology. At this point, the 5-Lemma (applied once to the bottom maps and once to the compositions) reduces us to proving a variant of the Theorem for \mathcal{O}_1^\times instead of \mathbb{G}_m :

Proposition 3.8.

- 1. *The map $(R^1\pi_{\text{ét}*}\mathcal{O}_1^\times)^\diamond \rightarrow R^1\pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond\mathcal{O}_1^\times$ is an isomorphism.*
- 2. *There is a split exact sequence of abelian sheaves on $\text{Perf}_{K,\text{ét}}$*

$$0 \rightarrow R^1\pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond\mathcal{O}_1^\times \rightarrow R^1\pi_{v*}^\diamond\mathcal{O}_1^\times \xrightarrow{\text{HT log}} H^0(X, \Omega^1(-1)) \otimes_K \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0.$$

In order to prove this, we employ the same strategy as above to the logarithm sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_{p^\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_1^\times \xrightarrow{\text{log}} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0,$$

which results in a commutative diagram of sheaves on $\text{Perf}_{K,\text{ét}}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \pi_{v*}^\diamond\mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & R^1\pi_{v*}^\diamond\mu_{p^\infty} & \longrightarrow & R^1\pi_{v*}^\diamond\mathcal{O}_1^\times & \longrightarrow & R^1\pi_{v*}^\diamond\mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & R^2\pi_{v*}^\diamond\mu_{p^\infty} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond\mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & R^1\pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond\mu_{p^\infty} & \longrightarrow & R^1\pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond\mathcal{O}_1^\times & \longrightarrow & R^1\pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond\mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & R^2\pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond\mu_{p^\infty} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ (\pi_{\text{ét}*}\mathcal{O})^\diamond & \rightarrow & (R^1\pi_{\text{ét}*}\mu_{p^\infty})^\diamond & \rightarrow & (R^1\pi_{\text{ét}*}\mathcal{O}_1^\times)^\diamond & \rightarrow & (R^1\pi_{\text{ét}*}\mathcal{O})^\diamond & \rightarrow & (R^2\pi_{\text{ét}*}\mu_{p^\infty})^\diamond \end{array} \quad (3)$$

in which again the bottom two rows are exact with respect to the étale topology and the top row is exact with respect to the v -topology. This reduces us to understanding the base-change morphisms of \mathcal{O} , for which we can use results from Scholze's p -adic Hodge theory [Sch13] to see that the analogue of Proposition 3.8 holds for \mathcal{O} . We use this to prove:

Lemma 3.9. *In the above commutative diagram:*

- (D) *The leftmost horizontal transition maps are 0.*
- (E) *In the second and fifth column, all maps are isomorphisms.*
- (F) *In the fourth column, the bottom map is an isomorphism, in fact of v -sheaves.*
- (G) *Further towards the right, the map $(R^2\pi_{\text{ét}*}\mathcal{O})^\diamond \rightarrow (R^2\pi_{v*}\mathcal{O})^\diamond$ is injective.*

Parts (D), (E), (F) together imply that all sheaves in the above diagram are in fact v -sheaves, so that may regard (3) as a commutative diagram of v -sheaves with exact rows. Part (G) then implies part (C) above. Parts (D)–(F) will be enough to prove part 1 of Proposition 3.8.1, and left-exactness in Proposition 3.8.2. Finally, we will use a relative version of the argument in [Heu20a, §3.5] to prove directly that this is already right-exact, using the universal cover. This will complete the proof of the Theorem.

In fact, our proof for the étale comparison, i.e. part 1 of Theorem 3.1 will work uniformly in all degrees. More precisely, our proof will give the following stronger statement:

Proposition 3.10. *Let F be one of \mathcal{O} , \mathcal{O}^+/p , \mathcal{O}^\times , \mathcal{O}_1^\times , $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times$ or $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ for $N \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$(R^n \pi_{\text{ét}*} F)^\diamond \xrightarrow{\sim} R^n \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond F$$

is an isomorphism for $n \geq 0$, and both of these sheaves on $\text{Perf}_{K,\text{ét}}$ are already v -sheaves.

From all of the above steps, the key step is arguably the proof of (B): This is where we need to study the transition from functors on smooth rigid spaces to functors on perfectoid spaces in great detail. We do this by proving a very general rigid approximation lemma. This will also be handy to complete some of the other steps, although only (B) uses it in its full force. Proving the rigid approximation lemma will thus be our first goal.

3.3 A rigid approximation lemma

In this section, we prove a rigid approximation lemma for $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times$ that we need for step (B). This will be the first technical ingredient for our proof of the Diamantine Comparison Theorem.

Proposition 3.11. *Let K be any perfectoid field over \mathbb{Q}_p and let Y be an affinoid perfectoid space over K . Let $(Y \rightarrow Y_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ be the cofiltered inverse system of maps from Y to affinoid smooth rigid spaces Y_I over K . Let X be a qcqs adic space over K that is either smooth or perfectoid. Let $U_I \rightarrow X \times Y_I$ be a qc étale map. Then for $U_J := U_I \times_{Y_I} Y_J$ and $U := U_I \times_{Y_I} Y$:*

1. $U = \varprojlim_{J \geq I} U_J$ as diamonds.
2. If $U \rightarrow X \times Y$ is an étale cover, then so is $U_J \rightarrow X \times Y_J$ for $J \gg I$.
3. $H_{\text{ét}}^n(U, \mathcal{O}^+/p^k) = \varinjlim_{J \geq I} H_{\text{ét}}^n(U_J, \mathcal{O}^+/p^k)$ for all $n, k \geq 0$.
4. $H_{\text{ét}}^n(U, \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times) = \varinjlim_{J \geq I} H_{\text{ét}}^n(U_J, \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times)$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Remark 3.12. • This is somewhat similar in spirit to [Sch12, Lemma 6.13], but achieves a different goal, and applies in characteristic 0. One difference is that for our purposes, we need to work in the étale site of any $X \times Y$ rather than the analytic site of Y .

- The fibre product $X \times Y_I$ is to be taken in the category of diamonds over $\text{Spd}(K)$. However, since Y_I is smooth, this is represented by a sousperfectoid adic space, namely the fibre product of X and Y_I over $\text{Spa}(K)$ in the category of uniform adic spaces.
- The analogue of 3 and 4 for \mathcal{O}^+ and \mathcal{O}^\times fails already for $i = 0$.
- With a bit of more work, the Proposition also holds in characteristic p : The proof for perfectoid X works without changes. To prove the statement for rigid X , one can then use local sections to descend from the perfection.

Proof. The proof will be completed by a series of lemmas:

We start by noting that 2 is automatic from 1 due to the qcqs assumption: Namely, since $U_J \rightarrow X \times Y_J$ is étale, it is open [Hub96, Proposition 1.7.8], so we can without loss of generality replace U_J by its quasi-compact open image. The statement then follows from

Lemma 3.13 ([Sch12, Lemma 6.13.(iv)]). *Let $T = \varprojlim T_I$ be a cofiltered inverse limit of spectral spaces with spectral transition maps. Let $U \subseteq \overline{T_I}$ be a quasi-compact open such that $T \rightarrow T_I$ factors through U . Then some $T_J \rightarrow T_I$ factors through U .*

Proof. For any $q : T_J \rightarrow T_I$ in the inverse system, set $Z_J := T_J \setminus q^{-1}(U)$. Then the assumptions imply $\varprojlim Z_J = \emptyset$. The desired result now follows from [dJ⁺20, 0A2W]. \square

Next, we explain that in order to prove 3 and 4, we can reduce to the case of $n = 0$. Set $\varpi := p^k$, then the statement of 3 and 4 for $n = 0$ is the following:

Claim 3.14. *For any $U_I \in (X \times Y)_{I,\text{ét}}$ with pullbacks U_J and U , we have*

$$\mathcal{O}^+ / \varpi(U) = \varinjlim_{J \geq I} \mathcal{O}^+ / \varpi(U_J),$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(U) = \varinjlim_{J \geq I} \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(U_J).$$

Suppose that Proposition 3.11.1 and Claim 3.14 are true. Then we can deduce parts 3 and 4 for all $i \geq 0$ from a general lemma on cohomology in inverse limit topoi:

Lemma 3.15. *Let $Z = \varprojlim Z_I$ be a cofiltered inverse limit of spatial diamonds. Let F be an abelian sheaf on the big étale site of spatial diamonds over K . Assume that for all $U_I \in (Z_I)_{\text{ét}}$ with pullbacks $U_J = U_I \times_{Z_I} Z_J$ and $U = U_I \times_{Z_I} Z_\infty$ we have*

$$F(U) = \varinjlim_{J \geq I} F(U_J).$$

Then for all $n \geq 0$ we have

$$H^n(U, F) = \varinjlim_{J \geq I} H^n(U_J, F(Z_J)).$$

Proof. Since the Z_I are spatial, we have by [Sch18, Proposition 11.23] an equivalence of sites $(Z)_{\text{ét-}qcqs} = 2\text{-}\varinjlim_I (Z_I)_{\text{ét-}qcqs}$. Write $\mu_I : Z \rightarrow Z_I$ for the natural projection, then by [dJ⁺20, 09YN] our assumptions imply that

$$F_Z = \varinjlim_{J \geq I} \mu_I^{-1} F_{Z_I},$$

from which the statement follows by [SGA4, VI Théorème 8.7.3] or [dJ⁺20, 09YP]. In fact, we will later only need the case $n = 1$, in which this is a simple Čech argument. \square

We now first prove Claim 3.14 for perfectoid X . In this case, we can reduce the claim to the following weaker statement:

Definition 3.16. For an affinoid adic space Z over K , let $Z_{\text{stdét}} \subseteq Z_{\text{ét}}$ be the full subcategory of objects $Z' \rightarrow Z$ which are compositions of rational open immersions with finite étale maps. By [Sch18, Lemmas 11.31 and 15.6], these form a basis of $Z_{\text{ét}}$.

Claim 3.17. *In the situation of Proposition 3.11, assume that X is perfectoid. Then for any $U_I \in (X \times Y_I)_{\text{stdét}}$ with pullbacks $U_J \in (X \times Y_J)_{\text{stdét}}$ and $U \in (X \times Y)_{\text{stdét}}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}^+(U)/\varpi &= \varinjlim_{J \geq I} \mathcal{O}^+(U_J)/\varpi, \\ \mathcal{O}^\times(U)/\mathcal{O}_1^\times(U) &= \varinjlim_{J \geq I} \mathcal{O}^\times(U_J)/\mathcal{O}_1^\times(U). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, suppose that we know Claim 3.17 and Proposition 3.11.1. Then we also have an equivalence of sites $(X \times Y)_{\text{stdét}} = 2\text{-}\varinjlim_I (X \times Y_I)_{\text{stdét}}$. Upon sheafification, it follows that

$$\mathcal{O}^+/\varpi(U) = \varinjlim_{J \geq I} \mathcal{O}^+/\varpi(U_J), \quad (4)$$

which implies Claim 3.14 for \mathcal{O}^+/ϖ . Similarly for $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times$.

At this point, we have reduced the case of perfectoid X to Proposition 3.11.1 in this case and Claim 3.17. To prove these, we first show a rigid approximation result for Y . For this we use the notion of tilde-limits from [SW13, §2.4], as well as a slight strengthening:

Definition 3.18. For a cofiltered inverse system of adic spaces $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ with qcqs transition maps, and an adic space X_∞ with compatible maps $X_\infty \rightarrow X_i$ for all $i \in I$, we write

$$X_\infty \sim \varprojlim_{i \in I} X_i$$

if $|X_\infty| = \varprojlim |X_i|$ and there is a cover of X_∞ by affinoid opens $U_\infty = \text{Spa}(A, A^+)$ for which

$$\varinjlim_{U \subseteq X_i} \mathcal{O}(U) \rightarrow A$$

has dense image, where $U \subseteq X_i$ runs through all affinoid opens through which $U_\infty \rightarrow X_i$ factors, for all i . We write

$$X_\infty \approx \varprojlim_{i \in I} X_i$$

if moreover $\varinjlim \mathcal{O}(X_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X_\infty)$ has dense image.

Lemma 3.19. *Let K be any perfectoid field over \mathbb{Z}_p and let Y be an affinoid perfectoid space over K . Let $(Y \rightarrow Y_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ be the cofiltered inverse system of morphisms of adic spaces from Y into smooth affinoid rigid spaces Y_I over K . Then we have*

$$Y \approx \varprojlim_{Y \rightarrow Y_I} Y_I.$$

In particular, for any abelian sheaf F on $\text{SmRig}_{K, \text{ét}}$, and any $n \geq 0$, we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^n(Y, F^\diamond) = \varinjlim_I H_{\text{ét}}^n(Y_I, F).$$

Before we prove this, let us explain why we wish to refine our earlier statement by switching to tilde-limits of adic spaces rather than limits of diamonds:

By [SW13, Proposition 2.4.5], the tilde-limit property implies $Y = \varprojlim_{Y \rightarrow Y_I} Y_I^\diamond$, which implies part 1 of Proposition 3.11 because fibre products commute with limits. On the other hand, we have the following Lemma, which we can use to deduce the first instance of Claim 3.17, namely the case $U = Y$ (we will also use the lemma in the proof of Lemma 3.19).

Lemma 3.20. *Let K be any non-archimedean field with a pseudo-uniformiser $\varpi \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Let $(Y_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a cofiltered inverse system of affinoid adic spaces over K with an affinoid tilde-limit $Y \approx \varprojlim Y_I$. Then the maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \varprojlim_I \mathcal{O}^+(Y_I)/\varpi &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}^+(Y)/\varpi, \\ \varprojlim_I \mathcal{O}^\times(Y_I)/\mathcal{O}_1^\times(Y_I) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times(Y)/\mathcal{O}_1^\times(Y) \end{aligned}$$

are isomorphisms.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}^+(Y)$. Then we approximate f by some $f_I \in \mathcal{O}(Y_I)$ whose image in $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ satisfies $|f_I - f| \leq |\varpi|$. In particular, we then have $f_I \in \mathcal{O}^+(Y)$. The condition $|f_I| \leq 1$ defines a quasi-compact open subspace U of Y_I through which $Y \rightarrow Y_I$ factors. We may apply Lemma 3.13 to this situation since any morphism between analytic adic spaces is spectral. Consequently, there is $J \geq I$ such that $Y_J \rightarrow Y_I$ factors through U , which means that the image f_J of f_I in $\mathcal{O}(Y_J)$ is already in $\mathcal{O}^+(Y_J)$. This shows surjectivity.

Injectivity follows by a similar argument: If $f_I \in \mathcal{O}^+(Y_I)$ is such that its image $f \in \mathcal{O}^+(Y)$ is already in $\varpi\mathcal{O}^+(Y)$, then some $Y_J \rightarrow Y_I$ factors through the quasi-compact open defined by $|f_I| \leq |\varpi|$ because $Y \rightarrow Y_I$ does. Thus f_I goes to $0 \in \mathcal{O}^+(Y_J)/\varpi$.

The proof for \mathcal{O}^\times is similar, following the argument surrounding [Heu20a, (5)]: We first prove that $\varprojlim_I \mathcal{O}^\times(Y_I)/\mathcal{O}_1^\times(Y_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times(Y)/\mathcal{O}_1^\times(Y)$ is injective: Let $g_I \in \mathcal{O}^\times(Y_I)$ be in the kernel. Then since Y is quasi-compact, there is $0 < \epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $|g_I - 1| \leq |\varpi|^\epsilon$ on Y . By Lemma 3.13 we thus have $g_I \in \mathcal{O}_1^\times(Y_J)$ for some $J \gg I$.

To see that the map is surjective, let $f \in \mathcal{O}^\times(Y)$. By assumption, we can find approximating sequences $f_I \rightarrow f$ and $f'_I \rightarrow f^{-1}$ with $f_I, f'_I \in \mathcal{O}(Y_I)$. Then by continuity $f_I f'_I \rightarrow 1$, and thus $f_I f'_I \in \mathcal{O}_1^\times(Y)$ for $I \gg 0$. By the above argument, it follows that $f_I f'_I \in \mathcal{O}_1^\times(Y_J)$ for some $J \geq I$. But then $f_I \in \mathcal{O}^\times(U_J)$, which implies that f_I is in the image. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.19. Let $\varpi \in \mathcal{O}_K$ be a pseudo-uniformiser. As the very first step, we consider a different inverse system that is not yet smooth: Write $Y = \text{Spa}(S, S^+)$ and let \mathcal{J} be the partially ordered set of finite subsets of S^+ . For $J \in \mathcal{J}$, let S_J be the image of

$$\phi_J : K\langle X_j | j \in J \rangle \rightarrow S, \quad X_j \mapsto j,$$

and let S_J^+ be the integral closure of the image $S_{J,0}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K\langle X_j | j \in J \rangle$ in S_J . By [BGR84, §6.3.4, Proposition 1], we in fact have $S_J^+ = S_J^\circ$. Then $S_J \subseteq S$ and the $Z_J := \text{Spa}(S_J)$ form a cofiltered inverse system of rigid spaces such that $\varprojlim_{J \in \mathcal{J}} S_J^+ \rightarrow S^+$ is an isomorphism by construction: In fact, already $\varprojlim_{J \in \mathcal{J}} S_{J,0} \rightarrow S^+$ is an isomorphism. We thus have

$$Y \approx \varprojlim_{J \in \mathcal{J}} Z_J$$

by [SW13, Proposition 2.4.2]. Here we use that S^+ has the ϖ -adic topology.

Passing to the inverse system \mathcal{I} in the Lemma, we note that any morphism $X \rightarrow Y_I$ to a smooth affinoid adic space factors through some Z_J . We thus get a well-defined map

$$\varprojlim_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{O}^+(Y_I) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{J \in \mathcal{J}} \mathcal{O}^+(Z_J) \tag{5}$$

which we claim becomes an isomorphism after ϖ -adic completion. By the first part of this proof, this will show the desired result.

To see this, we first note that the map is surjective: this is because any Y_I has by its definition via ϕ_I a closed immersion into a ball $Y_I \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}^I$ which is smooth and thus appears as one of the Z on the left hand side.

To see that it is in fact an isomorphism upon ϖ -adic completion, let $Y \rightarrow Z = \mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ be any morphism into a smooth affinoid rigid space. Let $Z_0 \subseteq Z$ be the closed subspace cut out by the kernel $N \subseteq R$ of the corresponding map $R \rightarrow S$. Then:

Claim 3.21. *We have $Z_0 \sim \varprojlim_{Z_0 \subseteq U \subseteq Z} U$ where U ranges through the rational open neighbourhoods of Z_0 in Z .*

Proof. It suffices to check the condition on topological spaces: Both sides are subspaces of $|Z|$, so the map is necessarily a homeomorphism onto its image. It is also surjective: Let $x \in |Z| \setminus |Z_0|$, then there is $f \in N$ such that $|f(x)| \neq 0$. Since ϖ is topologically nilpotent and Z is quasi-compact, it follows that there is k such that $|f(x)| > |\varpi^k|$ on Z . Thus $|f| \leq |\varpi^k|$ defines a rational open neighbourhood of Z_0 that does not contain x . \square

Lemma 3.20 now implies that $\varinjlim_{Z_0 \subseteq U \subseteq Z} \mathcal{O}^+(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^+(Z_0)$ becomes an isomorphism mod ϖ^k . It follows that both sides of (5) agree mod ϖ^k with

$$\varinjlim_{Y \rightarrow U \subseteq \mathbb{B}^n} \mathcal{O}^+(U)/\varpi^k,$$

where the index category consists of morphisms from Y into rational open subspaces $U \subseteq \mathbb{B}^n$ of rigid polydiscs. This proves the first part of Lemma 3.19.

The second part now follows from Lemma 3.15. Alternatively, we could follow the argument in [Sch18, Proposition 14.9], or in [Sch13, Lemma 3.16, Corollary 3.17]. \square

We have thus completed the proof of Proposition 3.11.1. At this point, we are left to show that Claim 3.17 holds. As an intermediate step, we consider the case $U_I = X \times Y_I$:

Lemma 3.22. *Let Y be an affinoid perfectoid space and let $Y \approx \varprojlim Y_I$ for some smooth affinoid rigid spaces Y_I over K . Then for any affinoid perfectoid space X , the map*

$$\varinjlim \mathcal{O}^+(Y_I \times X)/\varpi \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^+(Y \times X)/\varpi$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, $X \times Y \approx \varprojlim X \times Y_I$.

Proof. Since X and Y are affinoid perfectoid, we have

$$\mathcal{O}^+(X \times Y)/\varpi \stackrel{a}{=} \mathcal{O}^+(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}^+(Y)/\varpi.$$

As mentioned in the remark, the diamond $X \times Y_I$ is represented by an affinoid adic space: This is defined by the Huber pair $(B_I[1/\varpi], B_I)$ given by letting

$$A_I := \mathcal{O}^+(X) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}^+(Y_I)$$

and defining B_I to be the integral closure of the image of A_I in $A_I[1/\varpi]$.

Consider now the composition

$$\varinjlim A_I/\varpi \rightarrow \varinjlim B_I/\varpi \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^+(X \times Y)/\varpi \stackrel{a}{=} \mathcal{O}^+(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}^+(Y)/\varpi.$$

Here the second map is \mathcal{O}^+ evaluated on $X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y_I$. We wish to see that this second map is an almost isomorphism. This will imply that it is an isomorphism by Lemma 3.20.

Also by Lemma 3.20, the assumptions imply that $\mathcal{O}^+(Y)/\varpi = \varinjlim \mathcal{O}^+(Y_I)/\varpi$. Thus the above composition is an almost isomorphism. We claim that the desired statement now follows formally. We make this a lemma since we use the same argument later again:

Lemma 3.23. *Let $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ be morphisms of \mathcal{O}_K^a -modules such that*

- *B is ϖ -torsionfree*
- *$f[1/\varpi]$ and $(g \circ f)/\varpi$ are both isomorphisms.*

Then g/ϖ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $T \subseteq A$ be the ϖ -torsion submodule, then $T/\varpi \hookrightarrow A/\varpi$ is injective. The map f/ϖ is almost injective since $(g \circ f)/\varpi$ is. On the other hand, $T \rightarrow B$ is trivial since B is ϖ -torsionfree. This implies that $T/\varpi = 0$. In particular, we have $(A/T)/\varpi = A/\varpi$. We also have $(A/T)[1/\varpi] = A[1/\varpi]$. We may thus replace A by A/T and wlog assume that A is ϖ -torsionfree. In particular, f is then injective since $f[1/\varpi]$ is.

In this situation, the cokernel of f is both ϖ -torsion (since $f[1/\varpi]$ is an isomorphism) as well as ϖ -torsionfree (since f/ϖ is injective). Consequently, f is an isomorphism, thus f/ϖ is an isomorphism, and hence so is g/ϖ given that $(g \circ f)/\varpi$ is. \square

The lemma applied to the sequence

$$\varinjlim A_I \rightarrow \varinjlim B_I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^+(X \times Y)$$

finishes the proof of Lemma 3.22. \square

Next, we stack an étale map on top of this. Setting $Z_I := X \times Y_I$, we wish to see:

Lemma 3.24. *Let $Z \approx \varinjlim Z_I$ be an affinoid perfectoid tilde-limit of affinoid adic spaces Z_I over K . Let $U_I \rightarrow Z_I$ be an object of $(Z_I)_{\text{stdét}}$, i.e. a composition of rational open immersions and finite étale maps. For $J \geq I$ write $U_J := U_I \times_{Z_I} Z_J$ and $U := U_I \times_{Z_I} Z$. If all of these are adic spaces, then*

$$\varinjlim \mathcal{O}^+(U_J)/\varpi \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^+(U)/\varpi$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We can prove this separately in the cases of finite étale maps and rational localisation, since in each case we will see that we still have $U \sim \varinjlim U_I$.

Case 1: $U_I \rightarrow Z_I$ finite étale. Write $S_J = \mathcal{O}(Z_J)$ and $S := \mathcal{O}(Z)$. Similarly, for any $J \geq I$, let $R_J := \mathcal{O}(U_J)$ and $R := \mathcal{O}(U)$. By [Sch18, Lemma 15.6] and [KL15, Lemma 8.2.17.(i)], the map $S_I \rightarrow R_I$ is finite étale and we have

$$R_J = R_I \otimes_{S_I} S_J, \quad R = R_I \otimes_{S_I} S.$$

It thus follows by pointwise approximation of simple tensors that the map

$$\varinjlim_{J \geq I} R_J \rightarrow R$$

has dense image. By [Hub96, Remark 2.4.3.(ii)], we also have $|U| = \varinjlim |U_J|$. Thus $U \sim \varinjlim U_J$, and Lemma 3.20 gives the desired statement.

Case 2: $U_I \rightarrow Z_I$ a rational open immersion. Since Z is affinoid perfectoid, we have $S^+ = \mathcal{O}^+(U) \stackrel{a}{=} \mathcal{O}^\circ(U) = S^\circ$. It therefore suffices to prove that

$$\varinjlim \mathcal{O}^+(U_J)/\varpi^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\circ(U)/\varpi^n$$

is almost surjective. Second, it ensures that by [Sch12, Lemma 6.4], the rational open $U \subseteq Z$ is of the form $Z(f_1, \dots, f_n/g)$ for some $f_1, \dots, f_n, g \in \sharp(\mathcal{O}^{b+}(Z))$, and can be written as

$$U = \mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+) \quad \text{where} \quad R^+ \stackrel{a}{=} S^\circ \langle (f_1/g)^{1/p^\infty}, \dots, (f_n/g)^{1/p^\infty} \rangle.$$

Let $|\varpi| > \epsilon > 0$ be such that $|g| > \epsilon$ on Z . For every $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let now J_l be large enough such that there are $f_{i,l}, \dots, f_{n,l}, g_l$ in $\mathcal{O}(Z_J)$ such that on Z we have

$$|f_{i,l} - f_i^{1/p^l}| \leq \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |g_l - g^{1/p^l}| \leq \epsilon.$$

Then on Z , the conditions

$$|f_{i,l}| \leq |g_l| \quad \text{and} \quad |f_i^{1/p^l}| \leq |g^{1/p^l}|$$

are equivalent, and thus cut out the same rational open subspace. In particular, we have a natural isomorphism $S^\circ \langle (f_i/g)^{1/p^l} \rangle / \varpi = S^\circ \langle f_{i,l}/g_l \rangle / \varpi$ given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} S^\circ / \varpi [T_1, \dots, T_n] / (T_i g^{1/p^l} - f_i^{1/p^l}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} S^\circ / \varpi [T_1, \dots, T_n] / (T_i g_l - f_{i,l}), \\ T_i &\mapsto \left(1 + \frac{g^{1/p^l} - g_l}{g_l}\right)^{-1} \left(T_i - \frac{f_{i,l} - f_i^{1/p^l}}{g_l}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Under these compatible identifications, in the limit over i , it makes sense to write

$$R^\circ / \varpi \stackrel{a}{=} S^\circ / \varpi \left[\frac{f_{i,l}}{g_l} \mid i = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } l \in \mathbb{N} \right].$$

For fixed l , Lemma 3.13 implies that for $J \gg J_l$ we have $f_{i,l}, g_l \in \mathcal{O}^+(Z_J)$. Let

$$A_{J,l} := \mathcal{O}^+(Z_J) \langle f_{i,l}/g_l \mid i = 1, \dots, n \rangle, \quad B_J := \mathcal{O}^+(U_J).$$

Explicitly, B_J is the integral closure of the image of $A_{J,l}$ in $A_{J,l}[\frac{1}{\varpi}]$. In particular, we have

$$B_J[1/\varpi] = A_{J,l}[1/\varpi].$$

We now observe that by construction, for any fixed l , the map

$$\varinjlim_{I \geq J_l} A_{I,l} / \varpi = \varinjlim_{I \geq J_l} (\mathcal{O}^+(Z_I) / \varpi) [f_{i,l}/g_l] \rightarrow (S^\circ / \varpi) [f_{i,l}/g_l] \stackrel{a}{=} (S^\circ / \varpi) [(f_i/g)^{1/p^l}]$$

is an almost isomorphism since this was true before tensoring with $\mathcal{O}^+(Z_{J_l})[f_{i,l}/g_l]$. Taking the colimit over l , this shows that also

$$\varinjlim_l \varinjlim_{I \geq J_l} A_{I,l} / \varpi \stackrel{a}{=} (S^\circ / \varpi) [(f_i/g)^{1/p^\infty}] \stackrel{a}{=} \mathcal{O}^+(U) / \varpi$$

is an almost isomorphism. The desired statement now follows from Lemma 3.23 applied to the sequence

$$\varinjlim_l \varinjlim_{I \geq J_l} A_{I,l} \rightarrow \varinjlim_I B_I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^+(U) / \varpi.$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.24. \square

We have thus proved Claim 3.17 for perfectoid X , which finishes the proof of Proposition 3.11 for perfectoid X .

In order to prove the Proposition for smooth rigid X , it suffices to prove Claim 3.14 in this case. To this end, we first record the following consequence of the perfectoid case:

Lemma 3.25. *Let X be a smooth rigid space and let Y be affinoid perfectoid over K . Then on $X \times Y$, we have*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{ét}}^+/\varpi \stackrel{a}{=} \nu_*(\mathcal{O}_v^+/\varpi).$$

In particular, this holds on any smooth rigid space. Similarly for $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times$.

Proof. The statement is local on X . We may therefore assume that we can find an affinoid perfectoid pro-finite-étale Galois cover $\tilde{X} = \varprojlim X_i \rightarrow X$ with group $G = \varprojlim G_i$. Let $U \in (X \times Y)_{\text{stdét}}$ be étale and let $\tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times Y$ be the pullback. We can without loss of generality assume that $\tilde{U} = \varinjlim U_i \rightarrow U$ is affinoid perfectoid and pro-finite-étale Galois with group G . Then we have $(\mathcal{O}_{\text{ét}}^+/\varpi)(\tilde{U}) \stackrel{a}{=} (\mathcal{O}_v^+/\varpi)(\tilde{U})$ since \tilde{U} is perfectoid and \mathcal{O}^+ is almost acyclic on affinoid perfectoids for both the étale and the v -topology. Consequently,

$$(\mathcal{O}_v^+/\varpi)(U) = (\mathcal{O}_v^+/\varpi)(\tilde{U})^G \stackrel{a}{=} (\mathcal{O}_{\text{ét}}^+/\varpi)(\tilde{U})^G = \varinjlim_i (\mathcal{O}_{\text{ét}}^+/\varpi)(U_i)^{G_i} = \mathcal{O}_{\text{ét}}^+/\varpi(U),$$

where the third step follows from Lemma 3.24 upon étale sheafification.

The case of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times$ is analogous: Here we use that $(\mathcal{O}_{\text{ét}}^\times/\mathcal{O}_1^\times)(\tilde{U}) = (\mathcal{O}_v^\times/\mathcal{O}_1^\times)(\tilde{U})$ by the exponential sequence since \mathcal{O} is acyclic on affinoid perfectoids in both topologies. \square

It follows that in order to prove Claim 3.14 for rigid X , it suffices to prove the statement for $\mathcal{O}_{\text{ét}}^+/\varpi$ replaced by \mathcal{O}_v^+/ϖ , and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{ét}}^\times$ replaced by $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_v^\times$. But since X is a qcqs smooth rigid space, there is a v -cover of X by a qcqs perfectoid space \tilde{X} such that $\tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X}$ is qcqs perfectoid. Therefore the result now follows from the statement for perfectoid X .

This finishes the proof of Proposition 3.11. \square

Before continuing, we note that combining the lemmas in the proof, we have shown the following statement about more general inverse systems:

Corollary 3.26. *Let $X_\infty \approx \varprojlim X_i$ be an affinoid perfectoid tilde-limit of smooth affinoid rigid spaces. Let Y be affinoid perfectoid. Then for any $n \geq 0$ and F one of \mathcal{O}^+/ϖ or $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times$,*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_\infty \times Y, F) = \varinjlim_i H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_i \times Y, F).$$

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 3.22, 3.24 and 3.15. \square

Our main application is that Proposition 3.11 implies the first part of Lemma 3.7.(B):

Corollary 3.27. *Let Y be a perfectoid space over K and let $\pi : X \rightarrow \text{Spa}(K)$ be a qcqs smooth rigid or perfectoid space over K . Then the morphism of sheaves on $\text{Perf}_{K,\text{ét}}$*

$$(R^n \pi_{\text{ét}*} \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times)^\diamond \xrightarrow{\sim} R^n \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times$$

is an isomorphism for all $n \geq 0$. Similarly for \mathcal{O}^+/ϖ .

Proof. Both sides are the étale sheafifications of the presheaves on Perf_K defined as follows: The left hand side is

$$Y \mapsto \varinjlim_{Y \rightarrow Z} H_{\text{ét}}^n(Z \times X, \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times)$$

where $Y \rightarrow Z$ ranges through all morphisms to affinoid smooth rigid spaces, and where we use that $Y_{\text{ét-qcqs}} = 2\text{-}\varinjlim Z_{\text{ét-qcqs}}$ to see that it suffices to sheafify with respect to Y .

The right hand side is

$$Y \mapsto H_{\text{ét}}^n(Y \times X, \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times).$$

The two presheaves are isomorphic on affinoid perfectoid Y by Proposition 3.11 applied to $U = Y \times X$. Thus they agree after sheafification. \square

We also have the following Corollary, which uses a small part of Proposition 3.11 to complete step (E) of Lemma 3.9.

Corollary 3.28. *For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the natural map from (2) applied to $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$,*

$$(R^n \pi_{\text{ét}*} \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\diamond \rightarrow R^n \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z},$$

is an isomorphism for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Arguing as in the last proof, we see that the first term is the sheafification of

$$Y \mapsto \varinjlim_{Y \rightarrow Z} H_{\text{ét}}^n(Z \times X, \mathbb{Z}/N),$$

whereas the second term the sheafification of

$$Y \mapsto H_{\text{ét}}^n(Y \times X, \mathbb{Z}/N),$$

and the two presheaves agree by [Sch18, Proposition 14.9]. The desired isomorphism follows upon sheafification. \square

We have thus completed the parts of Lemma 3.7.(B) and Lemma 3.9.(E) concerning the bottom row.

3.4 Cohomology of products of rigid with perfectoid spaces

We now move on to the exponential sequence, that is we address Lemma 3.9. For this we will crucially use that $R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O})$ is perfect.

3.4.1 Cohomology of \mathcal{O}

The main goal of this subsection is to prove the relative Hodge–Tate sequence:

Proposition 3.29. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \text{Spa}(K)$ be a proper smooth rigid space.*

1. *For any $n \geq 0$, the natural map $(R^n \pi_{\text{ét}*} \mathcal{O})^\diamond = R^n \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \mathcal{O}$ is an isomorphism.*
2. *If K is algebraically closed, there is a short exact sequence on $\text{Perf}_{K,\text{an}}$*

$$0 \rightarrow (R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*} \mathcal{O})^\diamond \rightarrow R^1 \pi_{v*}^\diamond \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\text{HT}} H^0(X, \Omega^1(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$$

3. *The map $(R^2 \pi_{\text{ét}*} \mathcal{O})^\diamond \rightarrow R^2 \pi_{v*}^\diamond \mathcal{O}$ is injective.*

In particular, part 1 completes step F of Lemma 3.9, and part 3 completes step G.

We begin with a few general lemmas on the cohomology of “mixed tensor products” between rigid and perfectoid spaces:

Lemma 3.30. *Let X be an affinoid rigid space and let Y be an affinoid perfectoid space. Then for $n > 0$, we have*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^n(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}) = 0.$$

Proof. This is true in much greater generality by an application of [KL15, Theorem 8.2.22(c)]. This applies here because étale maps that factor into rational embeddings and finite étale maps form a basis for the topology of $X \times Y$ by Proposition [Sch18, Proposition 11.31]. \square

Proposition 3.31. *Let X be a smooth proper rigid space.*

1. *Let Y be any affinoid rigid space. Then*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^n(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}) = H_{\text{ét}}^n(X, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_K \mathcal{O}(Y).$$

2. *Let Y be affinoid perfectoid over K . Then there are a natural isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & H_{\text{ét}}^n(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}) = H_{\text{ét}}^n(X, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_K \mathcal{O}(Y) \\ (ii) \quad & H_v^n(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}^+) \stackrel{a}{=} H_v^n(X, \mathcal{O}^+) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}^+(Y). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $R^n \pi_{\text{ét}}^{\diamond} \mathcal{O} = H_{\text{ét}}^n(X, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_K \mathcal{O}$ and $R^n \pi_{v*}^{\diamond} \mathcal{O}^+ = H_{\text{ét}}^n(X, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}^+$.*

Remark 3.32. With more work, using [Sch13, Lemmas 4.5 and 5.5], one can prove that 2.(i) and 2.(ii) hold in much greater generality, for example if X is only separated rather than proper. However, 2.(ii) crucially uses that X is proper.

Proof. We start with the second part: Since X is quasi-compact separated, we can choose a finite cover \mathcal{U} of X by affinoids U_i with affinoid intersections that are étale over a torus, and thus admit toric pro-finite-étale covers $\tilde{U}_i \rightarrow U_i$. Then any fibre product of the \tilde{U}_i over X is affinoid perfectoid. Consequently, the cohomology $H_v^n(X, \mathcal{O}^+)$ is almost computed by the Čech complex $\check{C}_v^\bullet(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{O}^+)$ where $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ is the pro-étale cover of X by the \tilde{U}_i .

Since $H_v^n(X, \mathcal{O}^+) \stackrel{a}{=} H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_K$ has bounded p -torsion by the Primitive Comparison Theorem [Sch13, Theorem 5.1], we see that $\check{C}_v^\bullet(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{O}^+)$ is a complex of p -torsionfree modules whose cohomology has bounded p -torsion.

We now add the factor Y : Clearly the $\tilde{U}_i \times Y$ form a cover $\tilde{\mathcal{U}} \times Y$ of $X \times Y$ whose intersections are still affinoid perfectoid. Let $\mathcal{O}^+(Y) = S^+$, then by [Heu19, Lemma A.3.6] the fact that $\check{C}_v^\bullet(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{O}^+)$ has cohomology of bounded torsion implies that

$$\begin{aligned} H_v^n(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}^+) &\stackrel{a}{=} H^n(\check{C}_v^\bullet(\tilde{\mathcal{U}} \times Y, \mathcal{O}^+)) \stackrel{a}{=} H^n(\check{C}_v^\bullet(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{O}^+) \hat{\otimes} S^+) = H^n(\check{C}_v^\bullet(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{O}^+)) \hat{\otimes} S^+ \\ &\stackrel{a}{=} H_v^n(X, \mathcal{O}^+) \otimes S^+. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the desired equality for 2.(ii).

Part 2.(i) follows by a similar argument using instead the cover \mathcal{U} : By Lemma 3.30, the group $\check{H}^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O} \hat{\otimes} S)$ computes $H_{\text{ét}}^n(X \times Y, \mathcal{O})$. By [Heu21a, Lemma 2.8], the \mathcal{O}_K -module $\check{H}^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}^+)$ has bounded p -torsion. We can thus again commute $\hat{\otimes} S$ and cohomology:

$$H_{\text{ét}}^n(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}) = H^n(\check{C}_v^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}^+) \hat{\otimes} S^+) \Big|_{\frac{1}{p}} = \check{H}^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}^+) \hat{\otimes} S^+ \Big|_{\frac{1}{p}} = H_{\text{ét}}^n(X, \mathcal{O}) \otimes S.$$

Part 1 can be seen similarly: By Lemma 3.30, $H^n(\mathcal{U} \times Y, \mathcal{O})$ computes $H^n(X \times Y, \mathcal{O})$. The same argument as above shows

$$\check{H}^n(\mathcal{U} \times Y, \mathcal{O}^+) \stackrel{a}{=} \check{H}^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}^+) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}^+(Y).$$

Upon inverting p , this becomes the desired isomorphism. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.29. The first part follows from comparing Proposition 3.31.1 and 2.

To see the second part, we tensor the Hodge–Tate sequence for X with \mathcal{O} and see from Proposition 3.31.2.(i) and (ii) for $i = 1$ that we obtain identifications

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & R^1\pi_{\acute{e}t*}^{\diamond} \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & R^1\pi_{v*}^{\diamond} \mathcal{O} & & \\ & & \uparrow \wr & & \uparrow \wr & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\acute{e}t}^1(X, \mathcal{O}) \otimes \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & H_v^1(X, \mathcal{O}) \otimes \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, \Omega^1(X)) \otimes \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Part 3 follows from Proposition 3.31.2 for $i = 2$ which identifies the map in question with

$$H_{\text{an}}^2(X, \mathcal{O}) \otimes \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow H_v^2(X, \mathcal{O}) \otimes \mathcal{O}.$$

This is injective because the Hodge–Tate sequence for X degenerates [BMS18, Theorem 1.7.(ii)]. This finishes the proof of Proposition 3.29. \square

From the case of $i = 0$ of Proposition 3.31, we moreover deduce part (D) of Lemma 3.9:

Corollary 3.33. *Suppose that X is connected. Then $(\pi_{\acute{e}t*} \mathcal{O})^{\diamond} = \mathcal{O} = \pi_{\acute{e}t*}^{\diamond} \mathcal{O} = \pi_{v*}^{\diamond} \mathcal{O}$ and similarly for $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ and \mathcal{O}^{\times} and \mathcal{O}^+ / p^k .*

Proof. The first part about \mathcal{O} is a special case of Proposition 3.31.1 and 2.(i). The cases of \mathcal{O}^{\times} and $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ follow as these are subsheaves of \mathcal{O} . The last part is a special case of Corollary 3.34 below. \square

As a third application of Proposition 3.31, we get versions of the Primitive Comparison Theorem relatively over Y :

Corollary 3.34. *Let X be a smooth proper rigid space and let Y be affinoid perfectoid.*

1. *The natural map*

$$H_v^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^k) \otimes \mathcal{O}^+(Y)/p^k \rightarrow H_v^n(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}^+/p^k)$$

is an almost isomorphism for all $n \geq 0$.

2. *The natural map*

$$H_v^n(X, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes \mathcal{O}^{b+}(Y) \rightarrow H_v^n(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}^{b+})$$

is an isomorphism for all $n \geq 0$, compatible with Frobenius actions on both sides.

Proof. The first part follows from the long exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}^+ \xrightarrow{p^k} \mathcal{O}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^+/p^k$, Proposition 3.31.2.(ii) and the Primitive Comparison Theorem, using the 5-Lemma.

The second part follows from $k = 1$ in the inverse limit over Frobenius. \square

Proposition 3.35 (Künnet formula). *Let X be a smooth proper rigid space and let Y be affinoid perfectoid. Then there is a natural isomorphism for all $n \geq 0$*

$$H_v^n(X \times Y, \mathbb{F}_p) = \left(H_v^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes H_v^1(Y, \mathbb{F}_p) \right) \oplus \left(H_v^n(X, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes H_v^0(Y, \mathbb{F}_p) \right).$$

Proof. We consider the v -cohomological long exact sequence for the Artin–Schreier sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^b \xrightarrow{\text{AS}} \mathcal{O}^b \rightarrow 0$$

on $X \times Y$. By Corollary 3.34.2, this yields a long exact sequence

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\text{AS}} H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes \mathcal{O}^{b+}(Y) \rightarrow H_v^n(X \times Y, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H^n(X, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes \mathcal{O}^{b+}(Y) \xrightarrow{\text{AS}} \dots$$

Since $H_v^n(Y, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$, we have $H_v^1(Y, \mathbb{F}_p) = \text{coker}(\text{AS} : \mathcal{O}^b(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^b(Y))$ and $H_v^n(Y, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$ for $n \geq 2$. It follows that we have a natural extension

$$0 \rightarrow H_v^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes H_v^1(Y, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_v^n(X \times Y, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_v^n(X, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes H_v^0(Y, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow 0.$$

Recall that $\pi_0(Y)$ is always a profinite space [dJ+20, Tag 0906]. By comparing to the case that $Y = \pi_0(Y)$ is strictly totally disconnected, in which case $H_v^1(Y, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$, we see that pullback along $\overline{X} \times Y \rightarrow X \times \pi_0(Y)$ defines a natural splitting of the last map. \square

We use this to complete the second part of Lemma 3.7.(E):

Corollary 3.36. *For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 0$, we have natural isomorphisms*

$$R^n \pi_{\acute{e}t*}^\diamond \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} = R^n \pi_{v*}^\diamond \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} = \underline{H_{\acute{e}t}^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})},$$

where the last term is the constant sheaf on K_v associated to $H_{\acute{e}t}^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. For N coprime to p , this follows from general base-change results for the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_v^\diamond & \xrightarrow{\pi_v^\diamond} & K_v \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\ X_{\acute{e}t}^\diamond & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\acute{e}t}^\diamond} & K_{\acute{e}t}. \end{array}$$

By [Sch18, Theorem 16.1.(iii) and Proposition 16.6], the natural base-change morphism

$$\nu^* R^n \pi_{\acute{e}t*}^\diamond \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R^n \pi_{v*}^\diamond \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$$

is an isomorphism, and $\nu_* \nu^* F = F$ for any sheaf on $K_{\acute{e}t}$ by [Sch18, Proposition 14.7]. The last sentence of the Corollary holds in this case since any sheaf on $K_{\acute{e}t}$ is locally constant.

For N a power of p , we can reduce by induction to the case of $N = p$. Then $R^n \pi_{v*}^\diamond \mathbb{F}_p$ is the v -sheafification of

$$Y \mapsto H_v^n(X \times Y, \mathbb{F}_p).$$

By Proposition 3.35, this is the constant sheaf associated to $H_v^n(X, \mathbb{F}_p)$. \square

At this point, we have completed the proof of Lemma 3.9.

3.4.2 Cohomology of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times$

We now move on to proving the remaining parts of Lemma 3.7. We begin with part (A):

Lemma 3.37. *Let X be a smooth proper rigid space over K .*

1. *For Y any reduced rigid space, $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(X \times Y) = \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(Y)$.*
2. *For Y any perfectoid space, $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(X \times Y) = \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(Y)$.*

In particular, we have $(\pi_{\acute{e}t*} \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times)^\diamond = \pi_{\acute{e}t*}^\diamond \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times = \pi_{v*}^\diamond \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times = \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times$, and similarly for $\mathcal{O}^\times / \mathcal{O}^{\times tt}$.

For the proof, we use:

Lemma 3.38. *Let X be a rigid space over K . Then evaluation at points in $X(K)$ induces a unique injective map fitting into the commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}^\times(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(X(K), K^\times) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(X) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\text{lc}}(X(K), K^\times / (1 + \mathfrak{m})). \end{array}$$

Proof. The first arrow is given by interpreting $f \in \mathcal{O}^\times(X)$ as a morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ and evaluating on K -points. By the Maximum Modulus Principle, this sends $f \in \mathcal{O}^\times(X)$ into $\text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(X(K), 1 + \mathfrak{m})$ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{O}_1^\times(X)$. It now suffices to construct the bottom map for affinoid X , for which we have $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(X) = \mathcal{O}^\times[\frac{1}{p}](X) / \mathcal{O}_1^\times(X)$. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.37. It suffices to prove part 1, part 2 then follows from the rigid case by Proposition 3.11.4 in the case of $i = 0$ and $U = X \times Y$. The last sentence of the lemma follows by tensoring with \mathbb{Q} .

We start with the case of $Y = \text{Spa}(K)$. In this case, we compare to the universal pro-étale cover $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$: We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O}^\times[\frac{1}{p}](\tilde{X}) & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(\tilde{X}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}) = 0 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{O}^\times[\frac{1}{p}](X) & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(X) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, \mathcal{O}) \end{array}$$

in which by [Heu20a, Proposition 3.10], the top row can be identified with the sequence

$$K^\times[\frac{1}{p}] \rightarrow K^\times / (1 + \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow 1.$$

In particular, the first vertical arrow is surjective. The second vertical arrow is injective since $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a Galois cover. This shows that $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(X) = K^\times / (1 + \mathfrak{m})$, as desired.

We now move on the case of general Y : We may without loss of generality assume that Y is affinoid and connected. The idea is now to compare the boundary map of the exponential sequence for X and $X \times Y$ via the pullback along $X \rightarrow X \times Y$ for points in $Y(K)$. This results in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(X \times Y, \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times) & \longrightarrow & H_v^1(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Map}_{\text{lc}}(Y(K), \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(X)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(Y(K), H_v^1(X, \mathcal{O})) \end{array}$$

where we use Lemma 3.38 and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(X) = K^\times / (1 + \mathfrak{m})$ to see that the image of the first vertical map lands in the locally constant maps. By Proposition 3.31, we know that

$$H_v^1(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}) = H_{\acute{e}t}^1(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}(Y).$$

This shows that the right vertical map can be identified with $H^1(X, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ tensored with the evaluation map $s : \mathcal{O}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(Y(K), K)$. This is injective since Y is a reduced rigid space, thus the right vertical map is injective.

Observe now that since Y is connected, $f \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$ lands in $\text{Map}_{\text{lc}}(Y(K), K)$ if and only if f is constant, which happens if and only if $f \in K \subseteq \mathcal{O}(Y)$ since Y is reduced.

On the other hand, going through the bottom left, we see that the image of any $x \in H^0(X \times Y, \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times)$ will land in the locally constant maps.

This shows that the image of the top morphism factors through the pullback

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^1(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}),$$

and we are thus reduced to the case of $Y = \text{Spa}(K)$, which we know by the first part. \square

Finally, we turn to the remaining part of Lemma 3.7 (B), about the top morphism:

Lemma 3.39. *If $\pi : X \rightarrow \text{Spa}(K)$ is a proper, smooth space that admits a K -point, then*

$$R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times = R^1 \pi_{v*}^\diamond \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times.$$

The first step of the proof is to compare étale and v -cohomology for products of X with perfectoid spaces. For this we use another approximation lemma, which we formulate in great generality since the proof gives this without much further work, and because we need this in later sections:

Proposition 3.40. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}^{+a}/p$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$; or \mathcal{F} one of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times$ or $\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathcal{O}^{\times\text{tt}}$ and $n \in \{0, 1\}$.*

1. *Let X be a smooth qcqs rigid space and let Y be a qcqs perfectoid space over K . Then*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^n(X \times Y, \mathcal{F}) = H_v^n(X \times Y, \mathcal{F}).$$

2. *Let Y be a spatial diamond over K . Let $\tilde{X} = \varprojlim_{i \in I} X_i$ be a diamond which is a limit of smooth qcqs rigid spaces over K with finite étale transition maps. Then*

$$\varinjlim_i H_v^n(X_i \times Y, \mathcal{F}) = H_v^n(\tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{F}).$$

3. *Let Y be a spatial diamond over K and let S be a locally profinite space. Then*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^n(S \times Y, \mathcal{F}) = \text{Map}_{\text{lc}}(S, H_{\text{ét}}^n(Y, \mathcal{F})).$$

The first part for $n = 1$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times$ is the case we need for the proof of Lemma 3.39.

Proof. Our proof of the three statements is a bit intertwined: As a first easy step, we prove part 3 for perfectoid Y . We can reduce to profinite $S = \varprojlim S_i$. The result then follows from Corollary 3.26 applied to $S \times Y \sim \varprojlim S_i \times Y$, which shows that

$$H_{\text{ét}}^n(S \times Y, \mathcal{F}) = \varinjlim H_{\text{ét}}^n(S_i \times Y, \mathcal{F}) = \varinjlim \text{Map}(S_i, H_{\text{ét}}^n(Y, \mathcal{F})).$$

Our next goal is to prove parts 1 and 2 in the case that Y is perfectoid. For this we show

$$\varinjlim H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_i \times Y, \mathcal{F}) = H_v^n(\tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{F})$$

which proves both parts at once: The first part follows from setting $\tilde{X} = X$.

Choose any element $0 \in I$. By a Čech-argument, it suffices to prove the statement after replacing X_0 by a quasi-compact open U that admits a perfectoid (say, toric) cover $X_{\infty,0} \sim \varprojlim X_{j,0} \rightarrow X_0$ with pro-finite-étale Galois group $G = \varprojlim G_j$.

Let $X_{j,i} := X_{j,0} \times_{X_0} X_i$ and to simplify notation let $Z_{j,i} := X_{j,i} \times Y$. Furthermore, let

$$\tilde{Z}_j := \tilde{X} \times_{X_0} Z_{j,0} = \varprojlim_i Z_{j,i}.$$

In this notation, our space of interest $\tilde{X} \times Y$ is \tilde{Z}_0 . In summary, Summarising, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Z}_\infty & \longrightarrow & Z_{\infty,0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{Z}_0 & \longrightarrow & Z_{0,0} \end{array}$$

in which the left map is a pro-finite-étale G -torsor under a perfectoid space, and the top morphism is a pro-finite-étale morphisms of perfectoid spaces.

Since \tilde{Z}_∞ is perfectoid we have

$$H_v^n(\tilde{Z}_\infty, \mathcal{O}^+/p) \stackrel{a}{=} H_{\text{ét}}^n(\tilde{Z}_\infty, \mathcal{O}^+/p),$$

and similarly for $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\times\text{tt}}$ for $n \in \{0, 1\}$ by the exponential sequence. We endow this with the discrete topology. By the case of part 3 that we have already shown, we then have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^n(\tilde{Z}_\infty \times G^k, \mathcal{O}^+/p) = \text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(G^k, H_{\text{ét}}^n(\tilde{Z}_\infty, \mathcal{O}^+/p)).$$

It follows that the Čech-to-sheaf spectral sequence of $\tilde{Z}_\infty \rightarrow \tilde{Z}_0$ is a Cartan–Leray spectral sequence in the almost category

$$H_{\text{cts}}^n(G, H_{\text{ét}}^m(\tilde{Z}_\infty, \mathcal{O}^+/p)) \Rightarrow H_v^{n+m}(\tilde{Z}_0, \mathcal{O}^+/p).$$

Since $\tilde{Z}_\infty = \varprojlim Z_{\infty,i} \rightarrow Z_{\infty,0}$ is a pro-finite-étale morphism of perfectoid spaces, we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^m(\tilde{Z}_\infty, \mathcal{O}^+/p) = \varinjlim_i H_{\text{ét}}^m(Z_{\infty,i}, \mathcal{O}^+/p) = \varinjlim_i \varinjlim_j H_{\text{ét}}^m(Z_{j,i}, \mathcal{O}^+/p)$$

where the last step holds by Corollary 3.26. We deduce by [NSW08, Proposition 1.2.5] that we now have for any $n, m \geq 0$:

$$H_{\text{cts}}^n(G, H_{\text{ét}}^m(\tilde{Z}_\infty, \mathcal{O}^+/p)) = \varinjlim_i \varinjlim_j H^n(G_j, H_{\text{ét}}^m(Z_{j,i}, \mathcal{O}^+/p)).$$

But these are the terms appearing in the usual étale Cartan–Leray sequence for $Z_{j,i} \rightarrow Z_{0,i}$:

$$H^n(G_j, H_{\text{ét}}^m(Z_{j,i}, \mathcal{O}^+/p)) \Rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{n+m}(Z_{0,i}, \mathcal{O}^+/p).$$

Thus the abutment of the first sequence can be identified with

$$\varinjlim_i H_{\text{ét}}^{n+m}(Z_{0,i}, \mathcal{O}^+/p),$$

as we wanted to see.

The case of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times$ and $\mathcal{O}^\times[\frac{1}{p}]$ is similar, but working instead only in low degrees and using only the 5-term exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{cts}}^1(G, \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(\tilde{Z}_\infty)) \rightarrow H_v^1(\tilde{Z}_0, \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\tilde{Z}_\infty, \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times)^G \rightarrow H_{\text{cts}}^2(G, \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(\tilde{Z}_\infty))$$

which by the above arguments is the colimit over i and j of

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{cts}}^1(G_j, \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(Z_{j,i})) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(Z_{0,i}, \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(Z_{j,i}, \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times)^{G_j} \rightarrow H_{\text{cts}}^2(G_j, \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(Z_{j,i})).$$

The case of $\mathcal{O}^\times/\mathcal{O}^{\times\text{tt}}$ follows by applying $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}$. This proves 1 and 2 for perfectoid Y .

It remains to treat parts 2 and 3 for general Y . Clearly 3 is a special case of 2. For part 2, we use that any spatial diamond admits a cover $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ by an affinoid perfectoid space \tilde{Y} such that all finite products $\tilde{Y} \times_Y \cdots \times_Y \tilde{Y}$ are affinoid perfectoid (e.g use [Sch18, Propositions 11.5, 11.14 and Lemma 7.19]). Comparing the Čech-to-sheaf spectral sequence

$$\check{H}^n(\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y, H_v^m(X_i \times -, \mathcal{F})) \Rightarrow H_v^{n+m}(X_i \times Y, \mathcal{F})$$

to the sequence for X_i replaced by \tilde{X} , we deduce the result from the perfectoid case. \square

Returning to the proof of Lemma 3.39, we now pass to cohomology sheaves: The following lemma says that we can describe these explicitly by a Leray sequence:

Lemma 3.41. *Let X, Y be locally spatial diamonds over K with $X(K) \neq \emptyset$. Let τ be either the étale or the v -topology and let F be a τ -sheaf on LSD_K such that the pullback $F \rightarrow \pi_* F$ of sheaves on Y_τ along $\pi : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism. Then the Leray sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H_\tau^1(Y, \pi_* F) \rightarrow H_\tau^1(X \times Y, F) \rightarrow R^1 \pi_{\tau*} F(Y) \rightarrow 1$$

is a short exact sequence.

Proof. This is a standard argument that we learned from Gabber's simplification of [Gei09, Lemma 5]: The full Leray 5-term exact sequence is of the form

$$0 \rightarrow H_\tau^1(Y, \pi_* F) \rightarrow H_\tau^1(X \times Y, F) \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* F(Y) \rightarrow H_\tau^2(Y, \pi_* F) \rightarrow H_\tau^2(X \times Y, F).$$

By assumption, the last map can be identified with the pullback map

$$\pi^* : H_\tau^2(Y, F) \rightarrow H_\tau^2(X \times Y, F).$$

Any point $x : \text{Spa}(K) \rightarrow X$ now defines a splitting $Y \rightarrow X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ of π^* , showing that the last morphism is injective. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.39. By Lemma 3.41, we have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow H_v^1(Y, \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times) \rightarrow H_v^1(X \times Y, \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times) \rightarrow R^1 \pi_{v*}^\diamond \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(Y) \rightarrow 1.$$

Here the Lemma applies because $X(K) \neq \emptyset$ by assumption and because $\pi_*^\diamond \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times = \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times$ by Lemma 3.37. It also applies in the étale setting, so we also get a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(X \times Y, \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times) \rightarrow R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \overline{\mathcal{O}}^\times(Y) \rightarrow 1.$$

The first two terms of these sequences are isomorphic via the natural maps by Proposition 3.40.1. Thus the third terms are isomorphic. \square

3.5 Proof of the Diamantine Picard Comparison Theorem

At this point we have completed the proof of Lemma 3.9 and of (A)–(B) of Lemma 3.7.

We are left to prove Lemma 3.7.(C) and to explain how to deduce Proposition 3.8, which is not completely formal from the diagram.

Recall that we can assume that X is connected. Fix a base point $x \in X(K)$. We can then define the universal pro-finite-étale cover from [Heu20a, §3.4]: This is the diamond

$$\tilde{X} := \varprojlim_{X' \rightarrow X} X'$$

where the limit ranges over connected finite étale covers $(X', x') \rightarrow (X, x)$ with $x' \in X'(K)$ a choice of lift of the base point x . This is a spatial diamond, and the canonical projection

$$\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$$

is a pro-finite-étale torsor under the étale fundamental group $\pi_1(X, x)$ of X .

We first note that we have an analogue of Corollary 3.33 in the inverse limit:

Lemma 3.42. *We have $\tilde{\pi}_* \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}$ on X_v , and similarly for $1 + \mathfrak{m}$, \mathcal{O}^\times , \mathcal{O}^+ and \mathcal{O}^+ / p^k .*

Proof. We start with the case of \mathcal{O}^+ / p^k : For this we have

$$\mathcal{O}^+ / p^k(\tilde{X} \times Y) = \varprojlim \mathcal{O}^+ / p^k(X' \times Y) = \mathcal{O}^+ / p^k(Y)$$

by Corollaries 3.26 and 3.33. The case of \mathcal{O}^+ follows by taking the limit over k . The case of \mathcal{O} follows by inverting p . The cases of \mathcal{O}^\times and $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ follow since these are subsheaves. \square

We are finally equipped to prove that the Hodge–Tate sequence for \mathcal{O}_1^\times is short exact:

Proof of Proposition 3.8. We consider the morphism of logarithm long exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \pi_*^\diamond \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_{\tau*}^\diamond \mu_{p^\infty} & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_{\tau*}^\diamond \mathcal{O}_1^\times & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_{\tau*}^\diamond \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & R^2 \pi_{\tau*}^\diamond \mu_{p^\infty} \\ \uparrow \wr & & \uparrow \wr & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \wr \\ (\pi_* \mathcal{O})^\diamond & \rightarrow & (R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \mu_{p^\infty})^\diamond & \rightarrow & (R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \mathcal{O}_1^\times)^\diamond & \rightarrow & (R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \mathcal{O})^\diamond & \rightarrow & (R^2 \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \mu_{p^\infty})^\diamond \end{array}$$

for τ one of ét and v. For either topology, the first vertical arrow is an isomorphism by Corollary 3.33. The second and fifth arrow are isomorphisms by Corollaries 3.28 and 3.36.

For the étale topology, also the fourth arrow is an isomorphism by Proposition 3.29.1, and we conclude the first part by the 5-Lemma.

For the v-topology, by splicing diagram (3) into short exact sequences, we can still deduce from Proposition 3.29.2 that there is a left-exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \mathcal{O}_1^\times)^\diamond \rightarrow R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*}^\diamond \mathcal{O}_1^\times \xrightarrow{\text{HT log}} H^0(X, \Omega^1(-1)) \otimes_K \mathcal{O}.$$

We are left to prove right-exactness. For this we argue like in [Heu20a, §3.5]: For any affinoid perfectoid Y , consider the pro-finite-étale Galois cover

$$\tilde{X} \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$$

with group $G := \pi_1(X, x)$.

By Lemma 3.42, we have $H^0(\tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{O}^+) = \mathcal{O}^+(Y)$. The Cartan–Leray sequence thus combines with the logarithm to a morphism of left exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(G, \mathcal{O}_1^\times(Y)) & \longrightarrow & H_v^1(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}_1^\times) & \longrightarrow & H_v^1(\tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{O}_1^\times)^G \\
& & \downarrow \log & & \downarrow \\
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(G, \mathcal{O}(Y)) & \longrightarrow & H_v^1(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}) & \longrightarrow & H_v^1(\tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{O})^G. \\
& \searrow \text{dotted} & \downarrow \mathrm{HT} & & \\
& & H^0(X, \Omega^1(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(Y). & &
\end{array} \tag{6}$$

(We will later see that the right column vanishes, but we don't need this yet.)

We aim to see that the composition of the vertical maps in the middle becomes surjective upon sheafification in Y . To see this, we note that the left morphism becomes surjective since \log is and since the maximal torsionfree abelian pro- p -quotient of $G = \pi_1(X, x)$ is a finite free \mathbb{Z}_p -module [Heu20a, Corollary 3.12]. It thus suffices to prove that the dotted arrow is surjective. We claim that it is even before sheafification: This follows from the fact that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(G, \mathcal{O}(Y)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(G, K) \otimes_K \mathcal{O}(Y)$ and the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(\pi_1(X, x), K) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{HT}} H^0(X, \Omega^1(-1))$$

being a surjection of finite dimensional K -vector spaces by the discussion surrounding [Heu20a, diagram (10)]. This finishes the proof of the short exact sequence. \square

Finally, we need to see that $(R^2\pi_{\acute{e}t*}\mathcal{O}_1^\times)^\diamond \rightarrow R^2\pi_{\acute{e}t*}^\diamond\mathcal{O}_1^\times$ is injective:

Proof of Lemma 3.7.(C). This follows by a similar diagram as in the last proof, in one degree higher: Namely, let

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &:= \mathrm{coker}(\log: R^1\pi_{\acute{e}t*}\mathcal{O}_1^\times \rightarrow R^1\pi_{\acute{e}t*}\mathcal{O})^\diamond, \\
C_2 &:= \mathrm{coker}(\log: R^1\pi_{v*}^\diamond\mathcal{O}_1^\times \rightarrow R^1\pi_{v*}^\diamond\mathcal{O}).
\end{aligned}$$

By Propositions 3.8 and 3.29, these fit into a commutative diagram with short exact columns

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
H^0(X, \Omega^1(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, \Omega^1(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O} & & \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
R^1\pi_{v*}^\diamond\mathcal{O}_1^\times & \longrightarrow & R^1\pi_{v*}^\diamond\mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & C_2 \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
(R^1\pi_{\acute{e}t*}\mathcal{O}_1^\times)^\diamond & \longrightarrow & (R^1\pi_{\acute{e}t*}\mathcal{O})^\diamond & \longrightarrow & C_1,
\end{array}$$

showing that the natural map $C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ is an isomorphism. Continuing diagram (3), these terms fit into a long exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & C_2 & \longrightarrow & R^2\pi_{v*}^\diamond\mu_{p^\infty} & \longrightarrow & R^2\pi_{v*}^\diamond\mathcal{O}_1^\times & \longrightarrow & R^2\pi_{v*}^\diamond\mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & R^3\pi_{v*}^\diamond\mu_{p^\infty} \\
& & \uparrow \wr & & \uparrow \wr & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \wr \\
0 & \rightarrow & C_1 & \rightarrow & (R^2\pi_{\acute{e}t*}\mu_{p^\infty})^\diamond & \rightarrow & (R^2\pi_{\acute{e}t*}\mathcal{O}_1^\times)^\diamond & \rightarrow & (R^2\pi_{\acute{e}t*}\mathcal{O})^\diamond & \rightarrow & (R^3\pi_{\acute{e}t*}\mu_{p^\infty})^\diamond
\end{array}$$

in which the first, second and last vertical arrow are isomorphisms by Corollaries 3.28 and 3.36. The fourth arrow is injective by the third part of Proposition 3.29. It follows that the middle vertical arrow is injective, as we wanted to see. \square

This finishes the proof of Lemmas 3.7 and 3.9, which in turn completes the proof of the Diamantine Picard Comparison Theorem 3.1 \square

It remains to finish the proof of Corollary 3.2, which asserts that $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\acute{e}t}^\diamond$ satisfies the sheaf property for v -covers $Y' \rightarrow Y$ of rigid spaces. This also implies Corollary 1.3.

Proof of Corollaries 1.3 and 3.2. For the proof, let us for simplicity write $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\acute{e}t}^\diamond$ for the Picard functor defined on all of LSD_K , and similarly for the v -topology.

We claim that for rigid Y , the natural sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\acute{e}t}^\diamond(Y) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,v}^\diamond(Y) \xrightarrow{\text{HT log}} H^0(X, \Omega^1(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(Y)$$

is still left-exact. It then follows that $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\acute{e}t}^\diamond$ is still the kernel of HT log on rigid spaces. But HT log is a morphism of v -sheaves, and thus its kernel is a v -sheaf.

To see that the sequence is left-exact, we study the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & H_{\acute{e}t}^1(Y, \mathcal{O}^\times) & \longrightarrow & H_{\acute{e}t}^1(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}^\times) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\acute{e}t}^\diamond(Y) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & H_v^1(Y, \mathcal{O}^\times) & \longrightarrow & H_v^1(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}^\times) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,v}^\diamond(Y) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \text{HT log} & & \downarrow \text{HT log} & & \downarrow \text{HT log} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(Y, \Omega^1(-1)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X \times Y, \Omega^1(-1)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, \Omega^1(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(Y) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Here the first two columns are the left exact Hodge–Tate logarithm sequences [Heu20a, Theorem 1] associated to the rigid spaces Y and $X \times Y$, respectively. The first two rows are the exact sequences from Lemma 3.41. The bottom row is also exact, this follows from

$$\Omega^1(X \times Y) = (\Omega^1(Y) \otimes_K \mathcal{O}(X)) \oplus (\Omega^1(X) \otimes_K \mathcal{O}(Y))$$

using that $\mathcal{O}(X) = K$. It follows that also the third column is exact. \square

4 Pro-finite-étale line bundles

Retaining the setup of the last section, let K be an algebraically closed non-archimedean field extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and let $\pi : X \rightarrow \text{Spa}(K)$ be a connected smooth proper rigid space.

The goal of this section is to answer the following question in the case of line bundles:

Question 4.1. Which vector bundles on X are trivialised by pro-finite-étale covers $X' \rightarrow X$?

This question is of interest in the p -adic Simpson correspondence as pioneered by Deninger–Werner [DW05b] and Faltings [Fal05]: The classical Simpson correspondence on a complex Kähler manifold is a category equivalence between finite dimensional representations of the topological fundamental group and semi-stable Higgs bundles with vanishing Chern classes.

Conjecturally, there should be a p -adic analogue of this using the pro-étale fundamental group on the representation theoretic side. This is a very active area of research, but so far, the correct replacement in the p -adic setting for the subcategory of Higgs bundles has not been identified in general. However, it has recently been shown [Wü20, §3] [MW20][Heu20a, §4] that pro-finite-étale vector bundles play an important role, at least in the cases of vanishing Higgs field as well as of rank one, and should also play a role in the general case.

Our goal in this section is to describe all pro-finite-étale line bundles on X , and use this to generalise some known statements on the p -adic Simpson correspondence in rank one.

4.1 The pro-finite-étale universal cover

We first reformulate the question: Choose a base point $x \in X(K)$. We have already defined in the last section the universal pro-finite-étale cover as the spatial diamond

$$\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{X} := \varprojlim_{X' \rightarrow X} X' \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa}(K)$$

where $X' \rightarrow X$ ranges through connected finite étale covers $(X', x') \rightarrow (X, x)$ with $x' \in X'(K)$ a lift of $x \in X(K)$ [Heu20a, Definition 3.8].

We argued in [Heu20a] that $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ can play a similar role for the p -adic Simpson correspondence as the complex universal cover plays in the complex Simpson correspondence: Namely, using [Sch13, Proposition 3.5], we see that Question 4.1 is equivalent to asking which vector bundles are trivialised by $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. We therefore have an equivalence of categories

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{pro-finite } v\text{-vector bundles on } X\} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Rep}_K(\pi_1(X, x)) \\ V &\mapsto V(\tilde{X}). \end{aligned}$$

Our first goal in this section is to describe the right hand side in the case of line bundles: As for X , we can define a diamantine Picard functor for \tilde{X} by

$$\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\tilde{X}, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} := R^1 \tilde{\pi}_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}*} \mathbb{G}_m : \mathrm{Perf}_{K, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}.$$

Here and in the following, since we are now firmly in the setting of diamonds, we will drop the additional $-\diamond$ from notation which is harmless by Theorem 3.1.1.

Building on the previous sections, we can now describe pro-finite-étale line bundles using the Diamantine Picard Comparison Theorem and the topological torsion subsheaf of §2.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa}(K)$ be a connected smooth proper rigid space.*

1. *There is a short exact sequence of sheaves on $\mathrm{Perf}_{K, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$*

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\tilde{X}, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{tt}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\tilde{X}, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}},$$

in which the first term can equivalently be described as parametrising $\mathcal{O}^{\times \mathrm{tt}}$ -torsors:

$$\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\tilde{X}, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{tt}} = R^1 \pi_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}*}(\mathcal{O}^{\times \mathrm{tt}}).$$

2. *In the v -topology, we similarly have a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\tilde{X}, v}^{\mathrm{tt}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, v} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\tilde{X}, v},$$

in which the first term can equivalently be described as the character variety

$$\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\tilde{X}, v}^{\mathrm{tt}} = R^1 \pi_{v*}(\mathcal{O}^{\times \mathrm{tt}}) = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\pi, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

On K -points, we obtain an answer to Question 4.1 for line bundles:

Corollary 4.3. *Let X be a smooth proper rigid space and assume that the rigid Picard functor is represented by a rigid group A . Then there is a left exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow A(K)^{\mathrm{tt}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(\tilde{X}).$$

where $A(K)^{\mathrm{tt}} \subseteq A(K)$ is the subgroup of elements x such that $x^{n!} \rightarrow 1$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 4.4. 1. If $K = \mathbb{C}_p$ and the identity component A^0 is abeloid, then by Lemma B.5 in the appendix, $A^{\text{tt}}(\mathbb{C}_p) = A^\tau(\mathbb{C}_p)$ is generated by $A^0(\mathbb{C}_p)$ and torsion in the Néron–Severi group. However, this is no longer true over extensions of \mathbb{C}_p .

2. The sequence is not in general right-exact. For example, if X is an abelian variety with good supersingular reduction \overline{X} , then $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X}) = \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ by [Heu21b, Theorem 4.1].

As a more classical application, Theorem 4.2 provides some structural insights that give evidence for the conjecture that the identity component of $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}$ is represented by a semi-abeloid variety. For example, one can use it to recover the statement that

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_a, \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}) = 0$$

where the left hand side are the homomorphism of sheaves on SmRig_K . This can also be seen in a more classical way [HL00, Lemma 3.1], but it is immediate from the Theorem: Since $\mathbb{G}_a = \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{tt}}$, any such map factors through $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}^{\text{tt}} \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(\pi, \mathbb{G}_m)$, and $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_a, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$.

Corollary 4.5. $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{\tilde{X},\text{ét}}$ is not representable by an adic group.

Proof. If it was, then the kernel of $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{\tilde{X},\text{ét}}$ would be closed, which the open subgroup $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}^{\text{tt}} \subseteq \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X,\text{ét}}$ is not. \square

4.2 Relative universal property of the universal cover

The first step for the proof of Theorem 4.2 is to show that $\mathcal{O}^{\times\text{tt}}$ -torsors become trivial on the pro-finite-étale universal cover $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. This is part of the following Proposition, which we regard as an analogue of the statement that the complex universal cover is simply connected. We recall that we write $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \text{Spa}(K)$ for the structure map.

Proposition 4.6. Let \mathcal{F} be any one of the v -sheaves \mathcal{O}^{+a}/p^k , \mathcal{O}^{+a} , \mathcal{O} , \mathcal{O}^{b+a} , \mathcal{O}^b , \mathcal{O}_1^\times , $\mathcal{O}^{\times\text{tt}}$, or $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any affinoid perfectoid Y , we have for $n \in \{0, 1\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_v^n(\tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{F}) &= H_v^n(Y, \mathcal{F}) \\ &\parallel \\ H_{\text{ét}}^n(\tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{F}) &= H_{\text{ét}}^n(Y, \mathcal{F}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have $\pi_{\text{ét}*}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} = \pi_{v*}\mathcal{F}$ and $R^1\tilde{\pi}_{\text{ét}*}\mathcal{F} = 0 = R^1\tilde{\pi}_{v*}\mathcal{F}$ on Perf_K .

Remark 4.7. The restriction to $n \in \{0, 1\}$ is necessary: The Proposition does not hold for $n \geq 2$ for any of the sheaves as the example of $X = \mathbb{P}^1 = \tilde{X}$ and $Y = \text{Spa}(K)$ shows.

Proof. This is a relative version of [Heu20a, Proposition 3.10], and the preparations of the last section enable us to essentially follow the line of argument:

We start with $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}^+/p^k$. For this, we have by Proposition 3.40.2

$$H_v^1(\tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{O}^+/p^k) \stackrel{a}{=} \varinjlim_{X' \rightarrow X} H_{\text{ét}}^1(X' \times Y, \mathcal{O}^+/p^k),$$

where the X' are as in the definition of \tilde{X} . By Corollary 3.34, this equals

$$\dots \stackrel{a}{=} \varinjlim_{X' \rightarrow X} H_{\text{ét}}^1(X', \mathbb{Z}/p^k) \otimes \mathcal{O}^+(Y)/p^k = 0$$

which vanishes because every class in $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X', \mathbb{Z}/p^k)$ is trivialised by a connected finite étale cover of X' and thus of X . This gives the case of $i = 1$. The case of $i = 0$ follows from Proposition 3.40.2 and Corollary 3.33, which says that

$$H^0(X' \times Y, \mathcal{O}^+/p^k) \stackrel{a}{=} H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}^+/p^k) \stackrel{a}{=} \mathcal{O}^+(Y)/p^k.$$

Next, we consider $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}^+$: The last equation implies that

$$R^1 \varprojlim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} H^0(\tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{O}^+/p^k) \stackrel{a}{=} 0,$$

so we deduce from the fact that the v -site is replete and [BS15, Proposition 3.1.10] that

$$H_v^1(\tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{O}^+) \stackrel{a}{=} \varprojlim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} H_v^1(\tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{O}^+/p^k) \stackrel{a}{=} 0.$$

The case of \mathcal{O} follows. A similar limit argument gives the cases of \mathcal{O}^{b+a} and then \mathcal{O}^b . Since we have an injection $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{F}) \hookrightarrow H_v^1(\tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{F})$, the étale case follows for all of these.

For $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, it is clear that étale and v -topology agree. By [Sch18, Proposition 14.9], we have for $n \geq 0$:

$$H_{\text{ét}}^n(\tilde{X} \times Y, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) = \varinjlim H_{\text{ét}}^n(X' \times Y, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}).$$

For $n = 0$, it follows from Corollary 3.36 that $\tilde{\pi}_* \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. To see the case of $n = 1$, it thus suffices by the Leray sequence to prove that $R^1 \tilde{\pi}_{\text{ét}*} \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} = 0$, which follows from Corollary 3.36 in the limit over the X' .

Finally, the case of \mathcal{O}_1^\times follows from that of $\mu_{p^\infty} \cong \varinjlim \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ and that of \mathcal{O} by the étale logarithm sequence. This also shows that étale and v -cohomology agree in this case. \square

Remark 4.8. 1. One can deduce from the case of $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ and a Cartan–Leray sequence that the Künneth formula from Proposition 3.35 holds more generally, namely we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^n(X \times Y, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) = \bigoplus_{n=m+l} H_{\text{ét}}^m(X, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^l(Y, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}).$$

2. A more conceptual proof for the vanishing in the case of $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ would be to show that

$$(\tilde{X} \times Y)_{\text{fét}} = (Y)_{\text{fét}}.$$

One could deduce this from the following rigid analogue of a well-known algebraic statement: Let X be a connected proper rigid space and Y any connected reduced rigid space. Then is the natural map $\pi_1(X \times Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \times \pi_1(Y)$ an isomorphism? We suspect that one could see this like in [SGA1, X Corollaire 1.7], or using [SW20, §16]. One could deduce the desired statement from rigid approximation:

$$(\tilde{X} \times Y)_{\text{fét}} = \varinjlim_{X'} \varinjlim_I (X' \times Y_I)_{\text{fét}} = \varinjlim_I (Y_I)_{\text{fét}} = Y_{\text{fét}}.$$

4.3 Topological torsion line bundles

Next, we wish to see that line bundles that become trivial on \tilde{X} are already $\mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}}$ -torsors. This follows from the following lemma:

Lemma 4.9. *For any perfectoid space Y , there is a natural isomorphism for any $n \geq 0$*

$$H_v^n(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}^\times / \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_v^n(\tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{O}^\times / \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}})_{\pi_1(X, x)}.$$

This agrees with the same cohomology groups for the étale topology if $n \in \{0, 1\}$.

Proof. We form the Čech-to-sheaf sequence for the $\pi_1(X, x)$ -torsor $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. By Proposition 3.40.3 for $n = 0$, this is a Cartan–Leray spectral sequence of the form

$$H_{\text{cts}}^i(\pi_1(X, x), H_v^j(\tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{O}^\times / \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}})) \Rightarrow H_v^{i+j}(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}^\times / \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}}).$$

Since $\pi_1(X, x)$ is profinite and $\mathcal{O}^\times / \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}}$ is a sheaf of \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces, the group on the left vanishes for any $i \geq 1$ by [NSW08, Proposition 1.6.2.c]. Thus the sequence collapses and gives the desired isomorphism.

The étale case follows from Proposition 3.40.1 and 2. \square

We can now complete the first steps of the proof of Theorem 4.2:

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Let τ be either the étale or the v -topology and consider the morphism of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & R^1 \tilde{\pi}_{\tau*} \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}} & \longrightarrow & R^1 \tilde{\pi}_{\tau*} \mathcal{O}^\times & \longrightarrow & R^1 \tilde{\pi}_{\tau*} (\mathcal{O}^\times / \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}}) \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_{\tau*} \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}} & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_{\tau*} \mathcal{O}^\times & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_{\tau*} (\mathcal{O}^\times / \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}}). \end{array}$$

The top left entry vanishes by Proposition 4.6. The right vertical morphism is injective by Lemma 4.9. The bottom left morphism is injective by Lemma 3.37.

This shows that we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 \pi_{\tau*} \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \tau} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{\tilde{X}, \tau} \quad (7)$$

It thus suffices to prove the second part of the statements of Theorem 4.2, namely that

$$R^1 \pi_{\tau*} \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}} = \underline{\text{Pic}}_{\tilde{X}, \tau}^{\text{tt}}$$

as subsheaves of $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \tau}$. This relies on the following key calculation, which is where we crucially use that we extended the Picard functor to perfectoid test objects.

Proposition 4.10. *We have $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \underline{\text{Pic}}_{\tilde{X}, \text{ét}}) = 1$ and thus*

$$\underline{\text{Pic}}_{\tilde{X}, \text{ét}}^{\text{tt}} = 1.$$

Proof. The first statement implies the second by the definition of $-\text{tt}$ in Definition 2.5.

For any affinoid perfectoid Y , the internal Hom sheaf is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \underline{\text{Pic}}_{\tilde{X}, \text{ét}})(Y) &= \text{eq}\left(\underline{\text{Pic}}_{\tilde{X}, \text{ét}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \times Y) \rightrightarrows \underline{\text{Pic}}_{\tilde{X}, \text{ét}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \times Y)\right) \\ &= \text{eq}\left(\underline{\text{Pic}}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \times \tilde{X}, \text{ét}}(Y) \rightrightarrows \underline{\text{Pic}}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \times \tilde{X}, \text{ét}}(Y)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds by Lemma 4.11 below. The right hand side is the sheafification of the presheaf

$$Y \mapsto \text{eq}\left(\text{Pic}_{\text{ét}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \times \tilde{X} \times Y) \rightrightarrows \text{Pic}_{\text{ét}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \times \tilde{X} \times Y)\right)$$

on Perf_K . We claim that this sheaf vanishes: To see this, we use that by Proposition 4.6,

$$\tilde{\pi}_* \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}} = \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}}, \quad R^1 \tilde{\pi}_* \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}} = 0,$$

which by Lemma 4.11 implies that also $R^1 q_* \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}} = 0$ for $q : \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^k \times \tilde{X} \rightarrow \text{Spa}(K)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It therefore suffices to prove that the presheaf

$$Y \mapsto \text{eq}\left(H_{\text{ét}}^1(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \times \tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{O}^\times / \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}}) \rightrightarrows H_{\text{ét}}^1(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \times \tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{O}^\times / \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}})\right)$$

is trivial. For this, we use that by Proposition 3.40.3 we have for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^k \times \tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{O}^\times / \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}}) = \text{Map}_{\text{lc}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^k, H_{\text{ét}}^1(\tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{O}^\times / \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}})),$$

which shows that this equaliser is precisely

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{lc}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, H_{\text{ét}}^1(\tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{O}^\times / \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}})).$$

The right hand side is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space, so this group is trivial, as desired. \square

Lemma 4.11. *For any spatial diamonds X, Y over K and any profinite set S , write*

$$X \times Y \times S \xrightarrow{\pi_1} Y \times S \xrightarrow{\pi_2} Y$$

for the projections. Then for any abelian sheaf F on $\text{Perf}_{K, \text{ét}}$, we have

$$R^1(\pi_2 \circ \pi_1)_* F = \pi_{2*} R^1 \pi_{1*} F.$$

Proof. Any étale cover of $Y \times S$ can be refined by one that is of the form $\mathfrak{Y} \times \mathfrak{U}$ where \mathfrak{U} is a disjoint analytic cover of S and \mathfrak{Y} is an étale cover of Y . In particular, we have $R\pi_{2*} G = \pi_{2*} G$ for any sheaf G on $(Y \times S)_{\text{ét}}$. The desired statement now follows from the Grothendieck spectral sequence. \square

Lemma 4.12. *The natural map $\text{Pic}_{\tilde{X}, \text{ét}} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{\tilde{X}, v}$ is injective.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.6, we can apply Lemma 3.41, giving a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \mathcal{O}^\times) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^1(\tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{O}^\times) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{\tilde{X}, \text{ét}}(Y) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & H_v^1(Y, \mathcal{O}^\times) & \longrightarrow & H_v^1(\tilde{X} \times Y, \mathcal{O}^\times) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{\tilde{X}, v}(Y) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

with short exact rows. As the first column is an isomorphism, the last column is injective. \square

Continuing the proof of Theorem 4.2, we now apply $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, -)$ to (7) and find that

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{Pic}_{X, \text{ét}}) = \underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*}(\mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}}))$$

by Proposition 4.10, which in turn implies that

$$\text{Pic}_{X, \text{ét}}^{\text{tt}} = (R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*}(\mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}}))^{\text{tt}}.$$

But $R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*}(\mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}})$ is topologically torsion by Lemma 2.13, thus the right hand side equals

$$(R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*}(\mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}}))^{\text{tt}} = R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*}(\mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}}).$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 4.2.1.

For the v -topology, we first note that the Cartan–Leray sequence for the $\pi(X, x)$ -torsor $\tilde{X} \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ yields an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{cts}}^1(\pi(X, x), \mathcal{O}^\times(\tilde{X} \times Y)) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_v(X \times Y) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_v(\tilde{X} \times Y).$$

By Proposition 4.6, we have $\mathcal{O}^\times(\tilde{X} \times Y) = \mathcal{O}^\times(Y)$ and thus

$$H_{\text{cts}}^1(\pi(X, x), \mathcal{O}^\times(\tilde{X} \times Y)) = \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(\pi(X, x), \mathcal{O}^\times(Y)).$$

This is precisely the sheaf $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\pi_1(X, x), \mathcal{O}^\times)$ evaluated at Y .

We thus obtain a short exact sequence that together with the sequences from Theorem 4.2.1 and Theorem 3.1.2 fits into a diagram with left-exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_{\text{ét}*} \mathcal{O}^{\times \text{tt}} & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \text{ét}} & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{Pic}}_{\tilde{X}, \text{ét}} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{Hom}}(\pi_1(X, x), \mathcal{O}^\times) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, v} & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{Pic}}_{\tilde{X}, v} \\ & & & \searrow \text{dotted} & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & H^0(X, \Omega^1(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O} & & \end{array}$$

By Lemma 4.12, the morphism in the rightmost column is injective. By the discussion surrounding (6), the dotted arrow is surjective. It follows that the map $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, v} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{\tilde{X}, v}$ admits a factorisation

$$\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, v} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{\tilde{X}, \text{ét}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{\tilde{X}, v}.$$

Applying $-^{\text{tt}}$, we deduce that this morphism becomes trivial, and we can thus argue as in the first part to deduce from the middle exact sequence in the diagram that

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(\pi_1(X, x), \mathcal{O}^\times) = \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, v}^{\text{tt}},$$

as we wanted to see. \square

Remark 4.13. We note that $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, v}^{\text{tt}} = \underline{\text{Hom}}(\pi, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is even strongly topological torsion. It follows that also $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \text{ét}}^{\text{tt}}$ is strongly topological torsion: This is automatic if $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \text{ét}}$ is represented by a semi-abeloid variety by Proposition 2.19, but we also know this a priori using the second part of Theorem 3.1: This implies that $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \text{ét}} \hookrightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, v}$ is injective, and thus $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \text{ét}}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, \text{ét}}$ is injective since $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, v}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}_{X, v}$ is.

5 Application to the p -adic Simpson correspondence

In this subsection, we explain how Theorem 4.2 can be used to give a reinterpretation, a generalisation, and a geometrisation of a construction of Deninger–Werner in the context of the p -adic Simpson correspondence.

Let X be a connected smooth projective curve over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. We fix a base-point $x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$. In [DW05b], Deninger–Werner construct a functor from a certain category of vector bundles on $X_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ to \mathbb{C}_p -linear representations of the étale fundamental group $\pi_1(X, x)$ of X , thus giving a p -adic Simpson functor in the case of vanishing Higgs field, or more precisely a partial analogue of Narasimhan–Seshadri theory. In [DW05a], they go on to study this functor in

the case of line bundles under the additional assumption that X has good reduction: In this case, the functor gives rise to an injective continuous homomorphism

$$\alpha : A(\mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(\pi_1(X, x), \mathbb{C}_p^\times) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(TA^\vee, \mathbb{C}_p^\times),$$

where $A = \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_X^0$ is the Jacobian of X and TA^\vee is the adelic Tate module of its dual, i.e. of the Albanese. Deninger–Werner give an explicit description of α in terms of the Weil pairing of A , and extend their construction to get an injective continuous homomorphism

$$\alpha : \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_X^\tau(\mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(\pi_1(X, x), \mathbb{C}_p^\times)$$

for any connected smooth proper algebraic variety X over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ satisfying a certain good reduction assumption (see [DW05b, §1.5]). Here $\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_X^\tau$ is defined as the open and closed subgroup of the Picard variety whose image in the Néron–Severi group is torsion.

In the case that X is a curve over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ with good reduction, Song [Son20] has recently shown that the morphism α can be “geometrised”, i.e. interpreted as the \mathbb{C}_p -points of a morphism of rigid group varieties. Moreover, he shows that the cokernel of α can be described as $H^0(X, \Omega^1)(-1) \otimes \mathbb{G}_a$, thus incorporating the Higgs field into the picture.

We will now explain how these results can be generalised, using a completely different method building on the previous sections.

5.1 Geometrisation of the Deninger–Werner map

In this subsection, we show that the diamantine Picard functor can be used to generalise the constructions of Deninger–Werner and Song to any smooth proper rigid analytic variety X of arbitrary dimension over more general complete algebraically closed fields K over \mathbb{Q}_p :

Theorem 5.1. *Let X be any smooth proper rigid space over K . Then the topological torsion Picard functor*

$$\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{tt}} = R^1\pi_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}*}(\mathcal{O}^{\times\mathrm{tt}})$$

is always represented by a divisible rigid analytic group. It fits into a natural split exact sequence of rigid group varieties

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{tt}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\pi_1(X, x), \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega^1)(-1) \otimes_K \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, we have a natural non-degenerate pairing

$$\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{tt}} \times \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m.$$

If $\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^\tau$ is an abeloid variety, this is the unique analytic continuation of the Weil pairing.

The first morphism of the exact sequence is our generalised version of the morphism α of Deninger–Werner and Song. Indeed, if $\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^\tau$ is abeloid and $K = \mathbb{C}_p$, then Lemma B.5 in the appendix says that

$$\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{tt}}(\mathbb{C}_p) = \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^\tau(\mathbb{C}_p).$$

But this is no longer true over more general fields, and we need to use the topological torsion subgroup rather than all of $\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^\tau$ to define α . The last part is our analogue of the statement in [DW05a, §4] that α can be interpreted as the analytic Weil pairing in the case of curves.

Remark 5.2. The short exact sequence in Theorem 5.1 is an analogue of the complex analytic statement that the Corlette–Simpson correspondence induces a homeomorphism of

moduli spaces, see [Sim92, Proposition 1.5] and the example thereafter which treats the case of line bundles on a complex curve X : It is given by writing $\mathbb{C}^\times = S^1 \times \mathbb{R}_+^\times$ and sending

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X), \mathbb{C}^\times) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}), S^1) \times \mathrm{Hom}(H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R}_+^\times) \cong \mathrm{Jac}(X) \times H^0(X, \Omega^1)$$

where the last map on the second factor sends $\theta \in H^0(X, \Omega^1)$ to $\gamma \mapsto \exp(-\int_\gamma \theta + \bar{\theta})$.

In particular, in contrast to Theorem 5.1, this homeomorphism of moduli spaces is not complex-analytic. This difference to the p -adic case can be attributed in part to the fact that the pro-étale fundamental group appearing in Theorem 5.1 is a profinite group which ignores the second factor in the analogous decomposition $\mathbb{C}_p^\times = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^\times \times \mathbb{Q}$.

Remark 5.3. We note that in contrast to [Son20, Theorem 1.1], the domain of our morphism is not $\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$ but the open subspace $\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{tt}} \subseteq \underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$. Indeed, we believe that in *loc. cit.*, the morphism should also only be defined on $\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{tt}}$. For curves over \mathbb{C}_p , both spaces have the same \mathbb{C}_p -points, but the latter has more points when considered as an adic space.

Proof. The exact sequence arises from the one in Theorem 3.1.2 by applying $-\mathrm{tt}$. This preserves exactness since $-\mathrm{tt}$ is additive and the sequence is split. It follows that the v -sheaf $\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{tt}}$ is the kernel of a split morphism of smooth rigid group varieties, and is thus itself a smooth rigid group variety. It is divisible because $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\pi_1(X, x), \mathbb{G}_m)$ is divisible and \mathbb{G}_a is uniquely divisible.

The pairing is tautologically associated to the map $\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{tt}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\pi_1(X, x), \mathbb{G}_m)$. It remains to explain why it is an analytic continuation of the Weil pairing if $\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}_{X, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^0$ is abeloid. Via the theory of the rigid Albanese variety developed in [HL20, §4], and using the functoriality of Theorem 3.1.2, we can reduce to the case that X is abeloid. Describing the pairing in terms of the Weil pairing in the abeloid case will be the goal of the next subsection.

5.2 The Weil pairing from a pro-étale perspective

It remains to explain why the pairing from Theorem 5.1 can be identified with the unique analytic continuation of the Weil pairing if X is abeloid. This is a pleasant application of Theorem 4.2 in its own right. We note that it has long been known that such continuations exists: For abelian varieties of good reduction this is constructed in [Tat67, §4], and the general case can be deduced (somewhat artificially) using Raynaud uniformisation. We will now show that this analytic continuation arises very naturally as an application of the first part of Theorem 5.1, thus proving the last part of the Theorem.

Let A be an abeloid variety over a non-archimedean field extension K of \mathbb{Q}_p . Recall that the Weil pairing is the perfect pairing of finite étale (rigid) group schemes

$$e_N : A[N] \times A^\vee[N] \rightarrow \mu_N$$

defined as follows: Let \mathcal{P}_N be the restriction of the Poincaré bundle to $A \times A^\vee[N]$. Then $\mathcal{P}_N^{\otimes N}$ is trivial, and \mathcal{P}_N is thus a μ_N -torsor that becomes trivial after pullback along $[N] : A \rightarrow A$. Consequently, we have a natural commutative diagram of bi-extensions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A[N] \times A^\vee[N] & \longrightarrow & A \times A^\vee[N] & \xrightarrow{[N] \times \mathrm{id}} & A \times A^\vee[N] \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow e_N & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mu_N & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_N & \longrightarrow & A \times A^\vee[N] \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (8)$$

and the Weil pairing is defined as the induced arrow on the left.

Let now K be perfectoid. Using the pro-étale universal cover $\tilde{A} = \varprojlim_{[N]} A$, we can extend the above as follows: We restrict the Poincaré bundle \mathcal{P} to the open subspace $A \times A^{\vee\text{tt}} \subseteq A \times A^\vee$. By Theorem 4.2.1, this is the locus where it becomes an $\mathcal{O}^{\times\text{tt}}$ -torsor. Rephrased in terms of the rigid group \mathbb{G}_m rather than the sheaf \mathcal{O}^\times , this means that \mathcal{P} is a bi-extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{tt}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow A \times A^{\vee\text{tt}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Concretely, this means that it becomes an exact sequence of abelian sheaves on LSD_A and $\text{LSD}_{A^{\vee\text{tt}}}$ respectively when restricted to test objects relatively over either factor.

By the first part of Theorem 4.2, this bi-extension becomes trivial upon pullback to \tilde{A} . In the abeloid setting, \tilde{A} sits in a short exact sequence of adic groups

$$0 \rightarrow TA \rightarrow \tilde{A} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

where $TA = \varprojlim_{N \in \mathbb{N}} A[N]$ is the adelic Tate module of A , which can be identified with $\pi_1(A, 0)$. We thus obtain a pushout diagram of adic groups relatively over $A^{\vee\text{tt}}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & TA \times A^{\vee\text{tt}} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{A} \times A^{\vee\text{tt}} & \longrightarrow & A \times A^{\vee\text{tt}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \mathbf{e} \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{tt}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P} & \longrightarrow & A \times A^{\vee\text{tt}} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

On the left, we thus obtain the desired bilinear pairing

$$\mathbf{e} : TA \times A^{\vee\text{tt}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{tt}}.$$

It is clear from the construction via pullback to \tilde{A} that this is precisely the pairing from Theorem 5.1. On the other hand, it is also clear from comparing to the pullback of (8) to \tilde{A} that this gives an analytic continuation of the adelic Weil pairing.

$$(\mathbf{e}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : TA \times \varprojlim_N A^\vee[N] \rightarrow \mu.$$

It remains to see that this property determines \mathbf{e} uniquely: For this we use that by Proposition 2.19, for each $x \in TA$ the morphism $\mathbf{e}(x, -)$ is uniquely determined by its value on prime-to- p torsion and a morphism on the analytic p -divisible group $A\langle p^\infty \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{G}\langle p^\infty \rangle$. By fully faithfulness of Fargues' functor from p -divisible groups to analytic p -divisible groups [Far19, Théorème 6.1], this is uniquely determined on p -torsion points, as we wanted to see.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 5.1. \square

A The topological group of K -points of an adic group

In §2, we discussed the homomorphism of abelian v -sheaves

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow G$$

where G is an adic group. This prompted the question when the group of (K, K^+) -points of G is a complete topological group. Answering this question is the goal of this appendix.

We first fix the setup: Let (K, K^+) be any non-archimedean field. The valuation associated to K^+ has a unique rank 1 generisation whose absolute value we denote by $|\cdot| : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Choose a pseudo-uniformiser $\varpi \in K^+$. In order to simplify notation, we will in the following sometimes just write K for (K, K^+) when K^+ is clear from the context.

Definition A.1. Let X be an adic space over $\mathrm{Spa}(K, K^+)$. Then we have a natural injective map $X(K, K^+) \hookrightarrow |X|$ sending a map $\mathrm{Spa}(K, K^+) \rightarrow X$ to the image of the closed point. We then endow $X(K, K^+)$ with the subspace topology. One easily checks that this defines a functor from adic spaces over (K, K^+) to topological spaces.

Here for the definition of the map we use the unique closed point $x \in \mathrm{Spa}(K, K^+)$ since for any map $\varphi : \mathrm{Spa}(K, K^+) \rightarrow X$, this has the property that for any open $U \subseteq |X|$ we have $x \in U$ if and only if φ factors through U .

Definition A.2. An adic space $G \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa}(K, K^+)$ is an adic K -group if finite fibre products of G with itself over $\mathrm{Spa}(K, K^+)$ exist and G is a group object in adic spaces over K .

The first goal of this appendix is to work out a mild technical assumption that ensures that $G(K, K^+)$ inherits the structure of a topological group. This is non-trivial since the natural map $|G \times_K G| \rightarrow |G| \times |G|$ is usually not an isomorphism. We therefore impose:

Hypothesis A.3. G admits a basis of uniform affine open subspaces \mathcal{B} such that for each $U = \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$ and $V = \mathrm{Spa}(B, B^+)$ in \mathcal{B} , the fibre product $U \times_K V$ exists and is affinoid with $\mathcal{O}(U \times_K V) = A \hat{\otimes}_K B$ the tensor product of complete normed K -vector spaces. Here we use that A carries a natural K -Banach norm defined by $|x| := \inf\{|a| \mid a \in K \text{ s.t. } x \in aA^\circ\}$.

For example, these assumptions are satisfied if G is a reduced rigid or perfectoid space.

Lemma A.4. *Let G be an adic space over K satisfying Hypothesis A.3. Then for any open subspace $U \subseteq G \times_K G$ and any $x \in U(K)$, there are open neighbourhoods $V \subseteq G$ and $W \subseteq G$ such that $x \in V \times_K W \subseteq U$. In particular, the natural bijection*

$$(G \times_K G)(K) \rightarrow G(K) \times G(K)$$

is a homeomorphism.

Remark A.5. For rigid groups in characteristic 0, this is clear since there is in fact a basis of open neighbourhoods of 1 that are open subgroups, [Far19, §1, Corollaire 4]

Proof. We may without loss of generality replace G by an affinoid open subspace $\mathrm{Spa}(S, S^+)$ in \mathcal{B} that contains x . Then by the hypothesis, $G \times_K G = \mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ where $R = S \hat{\otimes}_K S$.

We can then without loss of generality assume that U is a rational open defined by conditions $|f_1| \leq |g| \neq 0, \dots, |f_n| \leq |g| \neq 0$ for some $f_1, \dots, f_n, g \in R$. We can in fact assume $g = \varpi^k$: Since U is quasi-compact, there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that $|g| > \epsilon$ on U , and such that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ either $f_i(x) = 0$ or $|f_i(x)| > \epsilon$. Then $|f_1 - f_1(y)| \leq \epsilon, \dots, |f_n - f_n(x)| \leq \epsilon, |g - g(x)| \leq \epsilon$ defines a rational open subspace $V \subseteq X$ that is contained in U : Indeed, the assumptions imply that for all $y \in V$, we have $|g(x)| = |g(y)| > \epsilon$ and either $|f_i(x)| = |f_i(y)|$ or $|f_i(y)| \leq \epsilon$, either of which implies $|f_i(y)| \leq |g(y)| \neq 0$. Thus $V \subseteq U$.

Since $S \otimes S \subseteq S \hat{\otimes} S$ is dense, we can now without loss of generality assume that

$$f_i = \sum_j a_{ij} \otimes b_{ij} \in S \otimes S \quad \text{with } |a_{ij}|, |b_{ij}| \leq 1.$$

Write $x_1, x_2 \in G(K, K^+)$ for the projections of x , then the conditions $|a_{ij} - a_{ij}(x_1)| \leq \epsilon$ and $|b_{ij} - b_{ij}(x_2)| \leq \epsilon$ for all i, j define open subspaces $V, W \subseteq G$, respectively. These clearly contain x_1, x_2 , thus $x \in V \times_K W$ and the conditions ensure that $V \times_K W \subseteq U$. \square

Recall that in any topological group, there is a good notion of Cauchy sequences, namely sequences $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that any neighbourhood of 0 contains $x_n - x_m$ for $n, m \gg 0$.

Our second goal is to show that under Hypothesis A.3, the group $G(K, K^+)$ is in fact complete with respect to this uniform structure:

Proposition A.6. *Let G be an adic group over (K, K^+) satisfying Hypothesis A.3. Then $G(K, K^+)$ is a complete Hausdorff topological group.*

Remark A.7. If G is rigid, then there is another notion of completeness: Namely, for any rigid space X , the space $X(K, K^+)$ is in fact a complete metric space. Indeed,

$$d_X(x, y) := \inf\{\epsilon > 0 \mid \forall f \in \mathcal{O}^+(X) : |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \epsilon\}$$

for $x, y \in X(K, K^+)$ defines a canonical ultrametric on $X(K, K^+)$ which turns $X(K, K^+)$ into a complete metric space. This can be seen by embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}^d$ and checking that if $X = \mathbb{B}^d$, then d_X is the usual supremum ultrametric on K^{+d} .

For a general adic space X over (K, K^+) , one can still prove by approximation to the rigid case that d_X makes $X(K, K^+)$ into a complete metric space, but the topology does not in general coincide with the natural one induced from $|X|$: For example, let $X = \prod_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{F}_p$ as a profinite adic space, then d_X is the discrete metric. Indeed, the topology on $X(K) = |\underline{X}|$ cannot be locally metrizable since it is not first-countable (cf Birkhoff–Kakutani’s Theorem).

For the proof of the Proposition, we use Hypothesis A.3 once again, since it allows us to use the epsilon-delta definition for limits in this setting. This relies on the following:

Lemma A.8. *Let G be an adic space over (K, K^+) satisfying Hypothesis A.3. Let $x \in G(K, K^+)$ be a point. Let $V \subseteq G$ be an affinoid subspace and let $U \subseteq V \times V$ be an open subspace such that $x \times V \subseteq U$. Then there is an open $x \in W \subseteq V$ such that $W \times V \subseteq U$.*

Lemma A.9. *There is a cofiltered inverse system $(X_i = \text{Spa}(A_i, A_i^+))_{i \in I}$ where A_i is of finite type over (K, K^+) with compatible maps $X \rightarrow X_i$ that induce a homeomorphism*

$$X(K, K^+) = \varprojlim_{i \in I} X_i(K, K^+).$$

Proof. Let $A^+ = \mathcal{O}^+(X)$ and let I be the set of finite subsets of A^+ . For each $S \in I$, let $A_{S,0}$ be the K^+ -subalgebra of A^+ generated by the elements of S , let $A_S := A_{S,0}[\frac{1}{\sigma}]$, let A_S^+ be the integral closure of $A_{S,0}$ in A_S and let $X_S = \text{Spa}(A_S, A_S^+)$. We then have $A^+ = \varinjlim_{i \in I} A_i^+$. We thus get the desired bijection. \square

Proof of Lemma A.8. Write $V \sim \varprojlim V_i$ for some affinoid rigid spaces V_i . Then the hypothesis implies that we also have $V \times V \sim \varprojlim V_i \times V_i$. We can thus find opens $U_i \subseteq V_i \times V_i$ whose pullbacks cover U . Since $x \times V$ is quasi-compact, we can find finitely many U_i that cover $x \times V$, and since the limit is cofiltered we can thus assume that U is the pullback of some $U_i \subseteq V_i \times V_i$. We claim that for $i \gg 0$, also $x \times V_i \subseteq U_i$: Indeed, this follows from Lemma 3.13 applied to the opens $U_i \cap (x \times V_i) \subseteq x \times V_i$.

We have thus reduced to the rigid case. We can immediately reduce further to $V_i = \mathbb{B}^d$. But then we have $x \times \mathbb{B}^d = \varprojlim_{\epsilon > 0} \mathbb{B}_\epsilon^d \times \mathbb{B}^d$ where \mathbb{B}_ϵ^d is the rigid polydisc of radius ϵ (exactly like in Claim 3.21), and the statement follows again from Lemma 3.13. \square

Proof of Proposition A.6. The homeomorphism $(G \times_K G)(K, K^+) = G(K, K^+) \times G(K, K^+)$ of Lemma A.4 ensures that the structure maps $G \times_K G \rightarrow G$ etc define on (K, K^+) -points the structure of a topological group.

To see that $G(K, K^+)$ is Hausdorff, it suffices to prove this locally. By Lemma A.9, we can reduce to the rigid case, where the statement is clear since we can write $U(K, K^+)$ as a closed subspace of $\mathbb{B}^d(K, K^+) = K^{+d}$ which is a complete metric space.

To see completeness, let $U \subseteq G$ be any affinoid neighbourhood of 0. Then the preimage under the group operation in $G \times_K G$ is open. Thus by Lemma A.4, there is an affinoid open subspace $V \subseteq U$ such that $G \times_K G \rightarrow G$ restricts to $V \times_K V \rightarrow U$.

Let now $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any Cauchy sequence in $G(K, K^+)$. After discarding finitely many terms, we can assume that $x_n - x_m \in V$ for all n, m . Then for all n, m , we have

$$x_n = (x_n - x_m) + x_m \in V + x_m = V + (x_m - x_0) + x_0 \subseteq U + x_0.$$

After translating by x_0 , we can therefore assume that x_n is a sequence in U . In fact, since U was arbitrary, we can assume that all x_n are in V .

Let now $f \in \mathcal{O}^+(U)$ and consider the map

$$V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{B}, \quad x, \delta \mapsto f(x + \delta) - f(x).$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, let $U_\epsilon \subseteq V \times V$ be the pullback of the open ball \mathbb{B}_ϵ of radius ϵ around 0, then by Lemma A.8, there is $0 \in W_\epsilon \subseteq V_\epsilon$ such that $V \times W_\epsilon \subseteq U_\epsilon$. Since $x_m - x_n \in W_\epsilon$ for $n, m \gg 0$, this means

$$|f(x_m) - f(x_n)| \leq \epsilon \quad \text{for } n, m \gg 0.$$

Thus $f(x_m)$ is a Cauchy sequence in K^+ and thus has a limit. It thus makes sense to define

$$x : \mathcal{O}^+(U) \rightarrow K^+, \quad f \mapsto \lim_n f(x_n).$$

One easily checks that this defines the desired limit $x_n \rightarrow x \in U(K, K^+) \subseteq G(K, K^+)$. \square

B The structure of semi-bounded rigid groups

In this appendix, we gather some structural results on semi-abeloid varieties that were used in the text. In fact, we can work in the greater generality of “semi-bounded” groups, introduced below.

In the following, we work in the category of rigid groups over any algebraically closed non-archimedean base field K . By convention, all rigid groups are assumed to be commutative.

- Definition B.1.**
1. An **abeloid** variety is a smooth proper connected rigid group.
 2. A rigid group is called **bounded** if it is smooth, quasi-compact and connected.
 3. A **semi-abeloid** variety is a rigid group E that is an analytic extension

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

of an abeloid variety A by an analytic torus T , i.e. we have $T \cong \mathbb{G}_m^r$ for some r .

4. We call a rigid group variety E **semi-bounded** if it is an analytic extension

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

of a bounded group G by an analytic torus T .

Lütkebohmert’s Structure Theorem for bounded rigid group varieties [Lüt95, Theorem 1] in its variant [Lüt16, Corollary 7.6.2] says that any bounded rigid group G has a maximal open subgroup G^+ that admits a connected smooth formal model \mathfrak{G} . Second, this has a universal mapping property for morphisms from generic fibres of connected smooth formal schemes to G . Third, there is a uniformisation

$$G = E/M$$

where E is a semi-bounded group variety of the form $T \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ for some torus T of rank r and some bounded rigid group B with a smooth formal model, and $M \subseteq E(K)$ is a lattice of rank r . It is not too difficult to extend the Structure Theorem to semi-bounded varieties:

Proposition B.2. *Let $T \rightarrow E \rightarrow G$ be a semi-bounded group variety over K . Then there is a maximal open subgroup $E^+ \subseteq E$ such that E^+ admits a connected smooth formal model \mathfrak{E} . It is an analytic extension $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow 1$ where \mathfrak{T} is the largest formal subtorus of T and \mathfrak{G} is the maximal connected smooth formal model of a subgroup of G .*

Proof. For the bounded group G , this is the Structure Theorem for bounded groups of Lütkebohmert. Let $G^+ \subseteq G$ be the generic fibre of the connected smooth formal model \mathfrak{G} . Let $E^{(+)}$ be the pullback of E along $G^+ \subseteq G$, this is an extension

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow E^{(+)} \rightarrow G^+ \rightarrow 0$$

which defines an extension class in $\text{Ext}_{\text{an}}^1(G^+, T)$. We now use:

Lemma B.3. $\text{Ext}_{\text{an}}^1(G^+, \mathbb{G}_m) = \text{Ext}_{\text{an}}^1(G^+, \mathbb{G}_m^+) = \text{Ext}_{\text{Zar}}^1(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathcal{O}_K})$

Proof. We use the Breen–Deligne sequence [BBM82, §2.1], which yields for any two abelian sheaves A and B on a site a functorial short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{E}^\bullet(A, B)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(A, B) \rightarrow E_2^{01} = \ker(H^1(A, B) \xrightarrow{d_1} H^1(A \times A, B)),$$

where $\mathcal{E}^\bullet(A, B)$ is a certain complex of abelian groups which in low degrees is of the form

$$\mathcal{E}^0 = H^0(A, B) \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathcal{E}^1 = H^0(A^2, B) \xrightarrow{d_2} \mathcal{E}^2 = H^0(A^3, B) \oplus H^0(A^2, B) \rightarrow \dots$$

for some explicit transition maps d_i .

We now apply this to compute the three Ext-groups (on the site of rigid spaces over K , and in formal schemes over \mathcal{O}_K with the Zariski topology, respectively) and compare the results: Since \mathfrak{G} is smooth and connected, we have

$$H_{\text{an}}^1(G^+, \mathbb{G}_m) = H_{\text{Zar}}^1(\mathfrak{G}, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

To compare the first terms in the Breen–Deligne sequence, let $\Gamma = |K|$ be the value group of K . Then for any rigid space X that admits a smooth formal model, we have a split exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathbb{G}_m^+) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Gamma) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since G is connected, we thus have for any n a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow H^0(G^n, \mathbb{G}_m^+) \rightarrow H^0(G^n, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 0,$$

inducing a split exact sequence of complexes

$$\mathcal{E}^\bullet(G, \mathbb{G}_m^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\bullet(G, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\bullet(G, \Gamma).$$

It thus suffices to see that $H^1(\mathcal{E}^\bullet(G, \Gamma)) = 0$. But this term also occurs in the Breen–Deligne sequence for the trivial group $G = \text{Spa}(K, \mathcal{O}_K)$, which of course has vanishing Ext^1 . \square

Lemma B.3 implies that $E^{(+)}$ fits into a pushout of extensions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & E^{(+)} & \longrightarrow & G^+ \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & T^+ & \longrightarrow & E^+ & \longrightarrow & G^+ \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

By the second equality in Lemma B.3, we see that E^+ admits a smooth formal model \mathfrak{E} .

We claim that E^+ is maximal with this property. Let therefore $E' \subseteq E$ be any other subgroup that admits a connected smooth formal model \mathfrak{E}' .

Then \mathfrak{E}' is quasi-compact: Indeed, its special fibre is a connected smooth k -group scheme, hence irreducible, hence quasi-compact [dJ+20, Tag 0B7P].

Second, we observe that the integral structure of $E^+ \subseteq E^{(+)}$ induces a continuous morphism $\lambda : |E^{(+)}| \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ where $r = \text{rk}T$, that is a homomorphism on (C, C^+) -points for any extension of K (cf [Lüt16, before Corollary 7.6.2]).

It follows that the image of E' has to be compact, which forces $E'(C, C^+)$ to be mapped to 0. Thus all of E' gets sent to 0. Since the rigid subgroup E^+ can be characterised as the interior of the fibre over 0, this shows that $E' \subseteq E^+$. \square

Next, we wish to drop the assumption on connectedness for the benefit of getting a slightly larger subgroup that is p -strict:

Lemma B.4. *There is a unique open subgroup $E_0 \subseteq E$ that satisfies all of the following properties: E_0 contains E^+ as its identity component, the following diagram is Cartesian*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_0 & \xrightarrow{[p]} & E_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E^+ & \xrightarrow{[p]} & E^+ \end{array}$$

and E_0/E^+ is an étale p -divisible group. Then $E_0 = E^+ \cdot E[p^\infty](K)$ is the union of all translates of E^+ by p -torsion points, and in particular still admits a smooth formal model.

Proof. We claim that $E_0 := \cup_n [p^n]_E^{-1}(E^+)$ has the desired properties. We first show this in the case that E is bounded: Then there is a uniformisation $G = E/M$ for some semi-bounded $T \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ where B has a connected smooth model. The preimage of G^+ under $E \rightarrow G$ is isomorphic to $G^+ \cdot M$. This surjects onto B and defines a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G^+ \cdot M \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

where $H \subseteq T$ is of the form $T^+ \cdot M$. It follows that we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow [p^n]_T^{-1}(H) \rightarrow [p^n]_E^{-1}(G^+ \cdot M) \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0.$$

Since T is a torus, its K -points are p -divisible, and thus we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow [p^n]_T^{-1}(H) \rightarrow M/p^n \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that $G^+ \cdot M \subseteq [p^n]_E^{-1}(G^+ \cdot M)$ is open and closed with quotient also isomorphic to M/p^n . Projecting down along $E \rightarrow G$, it follows that we also have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G^+ \rightarrow [p^n]_G^{-1}(G^+) \rightarrow M/p^n \rightarrow 0.$$

In the colimit over n , this shows that $G_0 = \cup_n [p^n]_G^{-1}(G^+)$ sits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G^+ \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

This proves the result in the bounded case.

In the general case, since $T(K)$ is p -divisible and $T^+ = \cup_n [p^n]_T^{-1}(T^+)$, we then have short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & T^+ & \longrightarrow & E^+ & \xrightarrow{\pi} & G^+ & \longrightarrow & 1. \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & T^+ & \longrightarrow & [p^n]_E^{-1}E^+ & \longrightarrow & [p^n]_G^{-1}G^+ & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

It follows from this that E^+ is an open and closed subgroup of E_0 , and we have

$$E_0/E^+ = G_0/G^+.$$

This gives the desired result. \square

Lemma B.5. *Let A be an abeloid variety over $K = \mathbb{C}_p$ or \mathbb{C}_p^b . Then*

$$A^{\text{tt}}(K) = A(K).$$

Remark B.6. This does *not* mean that the open immersion $A^{\text{tt}} \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism. Indeed, this is not the case; for example $\mathcal{O}(A) = K$ whereas $\mathcal{O}(A^{\text{tt}})$ is large, being functions on an infinite disjoint union of open discs by Proposition 2.19.

A closely related phenomenon is that the statement of the Lemma becomes false for any non-trivial extension of K , already in the case of Tate curves.

Proof. If A has good reduction \overline{A} , then $A(K)/A\langle p^\infty \rangle(K) = \overline{A}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)[\frac{1}{p}]$, which is a torsion group since \overline{A} is already defined over a finite field. The general case follows from this via the Raynaud uniformisation $A = E/M$ where $T \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ is the associated Raynaud extension: Since $A(K)/A^{\text{tt}}(K)$ is torsionfree, it suffices to prove the following: \square

Lemma B.7. *Let $A = E/M$ be an abeloid over \mathbb{C}_p or \mathbb{C}_p^b . Then*

$$(E\langle p^\infty \rangle(K) \cdot M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

Proof. Since the residue field is $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, the group $B(k)$ is torsion, and thus we have

$$B(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0.$$

Via the short exact sequence $B\langle p^\infty \rangle(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow B(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow B(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, this proves the statement in the case of good reduction, where M is trivial.

In general, since $K = \mathbb{C}_p$ or \mathbb{C}_p^b , the p -adic valuation defines a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (1 + \mathfrak{m}_K) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow K^\times \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently, if M^\vee denotes the character lattice of T , then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T\langle p^\infty \rangle(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow T(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M^\vee, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now since $B\langle p^\infty \rangle(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = B(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, the above extends to an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E\langle p^\infty \rangle(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M^\vee, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the composition

$$M \rightarrow E(K) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M^\vee, \mathbb{Q})$$

is injective by duality theory of abeloids, it becomes an isomorphism after tensoring with \mathbb{Q} . This shows that $E\langle p^\infty \rangle(K)$ and M generate all of $E(K)$ after tensoring with \mathbb{Q} . \square

References

- [BBM82] P. Berthelot, L. Breen, and W. Messing. *Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline. II*, volume 930 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [BGR84] S. Bosch, U. Güntzer, and R. Remmert. *Non-Archimedean analysis*, volume 261 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [BL84] S. Bosch and W. Lütkebohmert. Stable reduction and uniformization of abelian varieties. II. *Invent. Math.*, 78(2):257–297, 1984.
- [BL91] S. Bosch and W. Lütkebohmert. Degenerating abelian varieties. *Topology*, 30(4):653–698, 1991.
- [BMS18] B. Bhatt, M. Morrow, and P. Scholze. Integral p -adic Hodge theory. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 128:219–397, 2018.
- [BS15] B. Bhatt and P. Scholze. The pro-étale topology for schemes. *Astérisque*, (369):99–201, 2015.
- [Con06] B. Conrad. Relative ampleness in rigid geometry. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 56(4):1049–1126, 2006.
- [dJ+20] A. J. de Jong et al. The stacks project. 2020.
- [DW05a] C. Deninger and A. Werner. Line bundles and p -adic characters. In *Number fields and function fields—two parallel worlds*, volume 239 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 101–131. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2005.
- [DW05b] C. Deninger and A. Werner. Vector bundles on p -adic curves and parallel transport. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 38(4):553–597, 2005.
- [DW20] C. Deninger and A. Werner. Parallel transport for vector bundles on p -adic varieties. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 29(1):1–52, 2020.
- [Fal05] G. Faltings. A p -adic Simpson correspondence. *Adv. Math.*, 198(2):847–862, 2005.
- [Far19] L. Fargues. Groupes analytiques rigides p -divisibles. *Math. Ann.*, 374(1-2):723–791, 2019.
- [FS21] L. Fargues and P. Scholze. Geometrization of the local Langlands correspondence, 2021.
- [Gei09] T. Geisser. The affine part of the Picard scheme. *Compos. Math.*, 145(2):415–422, 2009.
- [Ger77] L. Gerritzen. Zerlegungen der Picard-Gruppe nichtarchimedischer holomorpher Räume. *Compositio Math.*, 35(1):23–38, 1977.
- [Heu19] B. Heuer. *Perfectoid geometry of p -adic modular form*. PhD thesis, King’s College London, 2019.
- [Heu20a] B. Heuer. Line bundles on rigid spaces in the v -topology. *Preprint, arXiv:2012.07918*, 2020.
- [Heu20b] B. Heuer. Pro-étale uniformisation of abelian varieties. *In preparation*, 2020.
- [Heu21a] B. Heuer. Line bundles trivialised by perfection. *In preparation*, 2021.

- [Heu21b] B. Heuer. Picard groups in the pro-étale site: good reduction. *In preparation*, 2021.
- [HL00] U. Hartl and W. Lütkebohmert. On rigid-analytic Picard varieties. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 528:101–148, 2000.
- [HL20] D. Hansen and S. Li. Line bundles on rigid varieties and Hodge symmetry. *Math. Z.*, 296(3-4):1777–1786, 2020.
- [Hub96] R. Huber. *Étale cohomology of rigid analytic varieties and adic spaces*. Aspects of Mathematics, E30. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1996.
- [KL15] K. S. Kedlaya and R. Liu. Relative p -adic Hodge theory: Foundations. *Astérisque*, (371):239, 2015.
- [KST20] M. Kerz, S. Saito, and G. Tamme. Towards a non-archimedean analytic analog of the Bass-Quillen conjecture. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 19(6):1931–1946, 2020.
- [Li20] S. Li. On rigid varieties with projective reduction. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 29(4):669–690, 2020.
- [Lüt90] W. Lütkebohmert. Formal-algebraic and rigid-analytic geometry. *Math. Ann.*, 286(1-3):341–371, 1990.
- [Lüt95] W. Lütkebohmert. The structure of proper rigid groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 468:167–219, 1995.
- [Lüt16] W. Lütkebohmert. *Rigid geometry of curves and their Jacobians*, volume 61 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [MW20] L. Mann and A. Werner. Local systems on diamonds and p -adic vector bundles, 2020.
- [NSW08] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt, and K. Wingberg. *Cohomology of number fields*, volume 323 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2008.
- [Sch12] P. Scholze. Perfectoid spaces. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 116:245–313, 2012.
- [Sch13] P. Scholze. p -adic Hodge theory for rigid-analytic varieties. *Forum Math. Pi*, 1:e1, 77, 2013.
- [Sch18] P. Scholze. Étale cohomology of diamonds. *Preprint, arXiv:1709.07343*, 2018.
- [SGA1] *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (SGA 1)*, volume 3 of *Documents Mathématiques (Paris)*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2003. Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie 1960–61.
- [SGA4] *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 3*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 305. Springer-Verlag, 1973. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4).
- [Sim92] C. T. Simpson. Higgs bundles and local systems. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (75):5–95, 1992.

- [Sim94] C. T. Simpson. Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety. II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (80):5–79 (1995), 1994.
- [Son20] Z. Song. Rigid analytic p -adic Simpson correspondence for line bundles, 2020.
- [SW13] P. Scholze and J. Weinstein. Moduli of p -divisible groups. *Camb. J. Math.*, 1(2):145–237, 2013.
- [SW20] P. Scholze and J. Weinstein. *p -adic geometry, UC Berkeley course notes*. Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2020.
- [Tat67] J. T. Tate. p -divisible groups. In *Proc. Conf. Local Fields (Driebergen, 1966)*, pages 158–183. Springer, Berlin, 1967.
- [War17] E. Warner. *Adic moduli spaces*. PhD thesis, Stanford, <https://searchworks.stanford.edu/view/12135003>, 2017.
- [Wü20] M. Würthen. Vector bundles with numerically flat reduction on rigid analytic varieties and p -adic local systems, 2020.