

PERFECT POINTS OF ABELIAN VARIETIES

EMILIANO AMBROSI

ABSTRACT. Let k be an algebraic extension of \mathbb{F}_p and K/k a regular extension of fields (e.g. $\mathbb{F}_p(T)/\mathbb{F}_p$). Let A be a K -abelian variety such that all the isogeny factors are neither isotrivial nor of p -rank zero. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for the finite generation of $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ in terms of the action of $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ on the p -divisible group $A[p^\infty]$ of A . In particular we prove that if $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ is a division algebra then $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is finitely generated. This implies the “full” Mordell-Lang conjecture for these abelian varieties. In addition we prove that all the infinitely p -divisible elements in $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ are torsion. These reprove and extend previous results to the non ordinary case. One of the main technical intermediate result is an overconvergence theorem for the Dieudonné module of certain semiabelian schemes over smooth varieties.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
Part 1. Perfect points and p-adic cohomology		
2.	p -adic Abel-Jacobi and p -adic Étale Abel-Jacobi maps	6
3.	On the injectivity of the étale Abel-Jacobi map	11
Part 2. Overconvergence of the Dieudonné-crystal of a semiabelian scheme		
4.	Preliminaries on semi-abelian schemes	16
5.	Overconvergence of the Dieudonné-crystal of a semiabelian scheme	24
	References	36

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime number and k a finite field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let K/k be a regular extension of fields, fix an algebraic closure $\overline{K} \subseteq \overline{K}$ and write $K \subseteq K^{\text{perf}}$ for the perfect closure of K , i.e. the smallest perfect field containing K (or, equivalently, the field obtained adding to K all the p^n -roots of its elements). Let A be a K -abelian variety of dimension $g(A)$.

1.1. Motivation. In this paper, we study the structure of $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ using p -adic cohomology. The interest in $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is mainly motivated by its relation with the “full” Mordell-Lang conjecture (see e.g. [GM06, Conjecture 1.2]), which we now recall. Fix an irreducible \overline{K} -subvariety $X \subseteq A_{\overline{K}}$ and a **finite rank** subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq A(\overline{K})$ (i.e. $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ has finite dimension over \mathbb{Q}).

Conjecture 1.1.1 (ML(Γ, X)). Assume $X(\overline{K}) \cap \Gamma$ is Zariski dense in X . Then there exists an abelian variety A_0 over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \subseteq \overline{K}$, an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -subvariety $X_0 \subseteq A_0$, a surjective morphism $h : A \rightarrow A_0 \times_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} K$ and an $x \in A(\overline{K})$ such that $X = x + h^{-1}(X_0)$.

We say that ML holds for A if ML(Γ, X) is true for all X and Γ . Conjecture ML(Γ, X) is proved in [Hru96] in the important case in which $\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}_p -module. However the case of arbitrary subgroups of finite ranks has proven to be more elusive and few results are known. Trying to approach the finite rank case and solving the conjecture in the isotrivial case, Ghioca and Moosa proved the following result.

Fact 1.1.2 ([GM06]). *If ML(Γ, X) holds for all X and all subgroups Γ of the form $\Gamma \subseteq A(L^{\text{perf}})$, where $K \subseteq L$ is a finite field extension, then ML holds for A .*

1.2. Perfect points. Since we already know that $\text{ML}(X, \Gamma)$ holds when Γ is finitely generated by [Hru96], these considerations rise the following question.

Question 1.2.1. When is $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ finitely generated? What is the structure of $A(K^{\text{perf}})$?

While $A(K)$ is finitely generated by the Lang-Néron theorem ([LN59]), it is well known that, in general, $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated.

For example, let $A \rightarrow \text{Tr}(A) := \text{Tr}_{K/k}(A)$ be the K/k -trace of A (i.e. the biggest isotrivial up to isogeny quotient of A). If $\text{Tr}(A) \neq 0$ it is easy to show that $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated if $\text{Tr}(A)(K)$ contains a non-torsion point.

However, even assuming that $\text{Tr}(A) = 0$, one can not expect $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ to be finitely generated in general. Let $0 \leq p(A) \leq g(A)$ be the p -rank of A (i.e. the \mathbb{F}_p -dimension of $A(\overline{K})[p]$) and A_1, \dots, A_n be the simple isogeny factors of A . Suppose that there exists an isogeny factor A_i of A with $p(A_i) = 0$. Then the multiplication by $p : A_i(K^{\text{perf}}) \rightarrow A_i(K^{\text{perf}})$ is surjective, hence every element in $A_i(K^{\text{perf}})$ is infinitely p -divisible, so that $A_i(K^{\text{perf}})$ (hence $A(K^{\text{perf}})$) is not finitely generated if $A_i(K^{\text{perf}})$ contains a non torsion point.

Recently, in [Hel20] an ordinary abelian variety of dimension 4 such that $\text{Tr}(A) = 0$ and $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated has been constructed, so that, even when $p(A)$ is maximal, one can not hope to have, in general, the finite generation of $A(K^{\text{perf}})$.

Despite this, some positive finiteness result on $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ has been proved. Assuming that $\text{Tr}(A) = 0$, then it has been proved¹ that $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is finitely generated if $g = 1$ ([Ghi10]), if $g = 2$ and $p(A) = 2$ or if g is arbitrary, $p(A) = g$ and A has not potential good reduction ([Rö20]). Finally, combining [Rö20, Theorem 1.1.(b)] with [D'A20, Theorem 5.2.2], one gets that $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is finitely generated if $p(A) = g$ and $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ is a division algebra.

Even when $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated, there is some result on its structure. For an abelian group B write B_{tors} for the torsion subgroup, $B_{\text{tf}} := B/B_{\text{tors}}$, B_{p^n} for the set of p^n -divisible points, $B_{p^\infty} := \bigcap_n B_{p^n}$ for the set of infinitely p -divisible points. If C is a ring, we write $B_C := B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} C$. One can then show that $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tors}}$ is finite (see for example [GM06, Page 7] or Section 1.4.2). We also know that the set of indefinitely Verschiebung divisible points $\text{IVD}(A(K^{\text{perf}}))$ (see [Rö20, End of Page 4]) is included in $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tors}}$ (see [Rö20]), which implies in particular that if A is ordinary then $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{p^\infty} \subseteq A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tors}}$.

1.3. Main result. Our main results generalize and extend the previous structural results on $A(K^{\text{perf}})$. The main novelty in our approach is the use of mixed p -divisible groups and mixed (over)convergent F -isocrystals, associated to certain 1-motives, to study the torsion free part of $A(K^{\text{perf}})$.

1.3.1. Finite generation and p -divisible groups. Let us recall that the p -divisible group $A[p^\infty]$ of A fits into a canonical connected-étale exact sequence

$$(1.3.1.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow A[p^\infty]^0 \rightarrow A[p^\infty] \rightarrow A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow 0$$

with $A[p^\infty]^0$ (resp. $A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}}$) a connected (resp. étale) p -divisible group. If $e \in \text{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, we write $e[p^\infty] \in \text{End}(A[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (resp. $e[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}} \in \text{End}(A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$) for the induced morphism. If A_1, \dots, A_n are the simple isogeny factors of A , set $p(A)^{\min}$ (resp. $r(A)^{\min}$) as the minimum of the $p(A_i)$ (resp. $\text{Dim}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_i(K))$). Then we prove:

Theorem 1.3.1.2.

- (1) Assume $r(A)^{\min} > 0$. Then $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated if and only if there exists an idempotent $0 \neq e \in \text{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (i.e. $e^2 = e$) such that $0 = e[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}} \in \text{End}(A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$.
- (2) Assume $p(A)^{\min} > 0$. Then $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{p^\infty} \subseteq A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tors}}$;

Beyond the ordinary case, these seem to be the first general results towards the understanding of $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tf}}$.

Remark 1.3.1.3. Assume (for simplicity) that A is simple and $r(A) > 0$.

¹Actually these results are even true replacing k with \overline{k} , see Section 1.3.2

- (1) If $\text{Tr}(A) \neq 0$, then the sequence (1.3.1.1) splits canonically up to isogeny, since it is defined (up to isogeny), over a finite field. By the p -adic version of the Tate conjecture for abelian varieties ([dJ98]), the splitting is induced from an idempotent $0 \neq e \in \text{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. In particular we recover that $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated.
- (2) If $p(A) = 0$, then one can take $e := \text{Id}_A \in \text{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. In particular we recover that $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated.
- (3) Recall that, by definition, $\text{IVD}(A(K^{\text{perf}})) \subseteq A(K^{\text{perf}})_{p^\infty}$. Hence Theorem 1.3.1.2(2) recovers and strengthens the results on indefinitely Verschiebung divisible points in [Rö20] if $p(A) > 0$.
- (4) If $\text{Dim}(A) \leq 2$ and A is ordinary, one can show that that Theorem 1.3.1.2(2) implies that $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is finitely generated if $\text{Tr}(A) = 0$.
- (5) It should be possible to show that if $p(A) = g$ and $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated, then Theorem 1.3.1.2(2) implies that A has potentially good reduction. Hence one should be able to recover the results on the finite generation of $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ in the ordinary case proved in [Rö20].

In particular Theorem 1.3.1.2 immediately implies the following.

Corollary 1.3.1.4.

- If $p(A)^{\min} > 0$ and $\text{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a simple algebra, then $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is finitely generated
- If $p(A) = 1$ then $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is finitely generated if and only if $\text{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a division algebra.

Thus, from Fact 1.1.2, we get the following.

Corollary 1.3.1.5. *If $p(A)^{\min} > 0$ and $\text{End}(A_{\overline{K}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a simple algebra, then ML holds for A .*

1.3.2. *Geometric variants.* Assume that $\text{Tr}(A) = 0$. Then the results in [Ghi10] and [Rö20] recalled in Section 1.2 remain true replacing k with \overline{k} . Moreover, by [AD19] one has that $A((\overline{K}\overline{k})^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tors}}$ is still finite. A modification of the previous arguments gives us the following geometric variant.

Theorem 1.3.2.1. *Let $k \subseteq k'$ be an algebraic extension and let $L := Kk'$. Then:*

- (1) Assume $r(A)^{\min} > 0$ and $\text{Tr}(A) = 0$. Then $A(L^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated if and only if there exists an idempotent $0 \neq e \in \text{End}(A_L)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $0 = e[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}} \in \text{End}(A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$.
- (2) Assume $p(A)^{\min} > 0$. Then $A(L^{\text{perf}})_{p^\infty} \subseteq A(L^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tors}}$;

1.4. Strategy.

1.4.1. *p -adic setting.* For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ write $A^{(p^i)}$ for the $p^{i^{\text{th}}}$ -Frobenius twist of A and $F^i : A \rightarrow A^{(p^i)}$ (resp. $V^i : A^{(p^i)} \rightarrow A$) for the i^{th} -power of the Frobenius (resp. Verschiebung) morphism of A , so that there is a factorization

$$(1.4.1.1) \quad A^{(p^i)} \xrightarrow{V^i} A \xrightarrow{F^i} A^{(p^i)}.$$

Moreover

$$A(K^{\text{perf}}) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A^{(p^i)}(K),$$

where the union is taken along the injections $F^i : A(K) \hookrightarrow A^{(p^i)}(K)$. In particular one deduces that

$$(1.4.1.2) \quad A(K)[1/p] = A(K^{\text{perf}})[1/p],$$

hence the difference between $A(K)$ and $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ can be understood studying the one between $A(K)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$.

1.4.2. *Finiteness of p -primary torsion.* As already understood in [AD19], while the p -adic properties of A are codified by $A[p^\infty]$, the p -adic properties of $A_{K^{\text{perf}}}$ are codified by $A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}}$. For example, let us recall a proof of the finiteness of $A(K^{\text{perf}})[p^\infty]$, using the language of [AD19]. One first observe that $A(K^{\text{perf}})[p^\infty]$ is finite if and only if $\text{Hom}_{K^{\text{perf}}}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]) = 0$. But since K^{perf} is perfect the sequence (1.3.1.1) splits over K^{perf} , so that $\text{Hom}_{K^{\text{perf}}}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]) = \text{Hom}_{K^{\text{perf}}}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}})$. Since $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}}$ are étale, $\text{Hom}_{K^{\text{perf}}}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}}) = \text{Hom}_K(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}})$. Finally the vanishing of $\text{Hom}_K(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}})$ follows, for example, from a weight argument.

1.4.3. *p*-adic Abel-Jacobi *p*-adic étale Abel-Jacobi maps. To prove Theorem 1.3.1.2, we consider in Section 2.1.3 a *p*-adic Abel-Jacobi map

$$AJ : A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

and its \mathbb{Q}_p -linearization

$$AJ_p : A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Then the injectivity of AJ corresponds to the fact that all the infinitely *p*-divisible points in $A(K)$ are torsion (Lemma 2.2.1.1), while the injectivity of AJ_p follows from the finite generation of $A(K)$ (Lemma 2.2.2.1).

To study the structure of $A(K^{\mathrm{perf}})$ we consider the natural composite map (induced by the quotient map $A[p^\infty] \rightarrow A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t}$)

$$AJ^{\acute{e}t} : A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t})_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

which we call the *p*-adic étale Abel-Jacobi map, and its \mathbb{Q}_p -linearization

$$AJ_p^{\acute{e}t} : A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t})_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Then, the main results of Section 2 are the following two:

- $A(K^{\mathrm{perf}})_{p^\infty} \subseteq A(K^{\mathrm{perf}})_{\mathrm{tors}}$ if and only if $AJ^{\acute{e}t}$ is injective (Lemma 2.2.1.2);
- $A(K^{\mathrm{perf}})_{\mathrm{tf}}$ is finitely generated if and only if $AJ_p^{\acute{e}t}$ is injective (Proposition 2.2.2.3).

So Theorem 1.3.1.2 translates in the following.

Theorem 1.4.3.1.

(1) If $r(A)^{\min} > 0$, the morphism

$$AJ_p^{\acute{e}t} : A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is not injective if and only there exists an idempotent $0 \neq e \in \mathrm{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that the induced morphism $e[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t} \in \mathrm{End}(A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is zero.

(2) If $p(A)^{\min} > 0$, the morphism

$$AJ^{\acute{e}t} : A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is injective.

1.4.4. *Overconvergent F-isocrystals.* For $x \in A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, let

$$(1.4.4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow A[p^\infty] \rightarrow M_x[p^\infty] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

be the exact sequence of *p*-divisible groups representing $AJ_p(x)$ and let

$$(1.4.4.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t} \rightarrow M_x[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0$$

be the one representing $AJ_p^{\acute{e}t}(x)$. Knowing that (1.4.4.1) does not split (since AJ_p is injective), we want to understand when and why (1.4.4.2) splits. So while the information on the *p*-torsion of A is encoded in the “pure” *p*-divisible group $A[p^\infty]$, the information of infinite *p*-divisibility and finite generation are encoded in “mixed” *p*-divisible groups. To prove Theorem 1.4.3.1, we spread out $A \rightarrow K$ to an abelian scheme $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ over some smooth connected *k*-variety X with function field K . Over X one can consider the category $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$ of F-isocrystals and then translate, via the fully faithful contravariant Dieudonné functor ([BBM82])

$$\mathbb{D} : \mathbf{pDiv}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X),$$

the splitting properties of (1.4.4.1) and (1.4.4.2) into analogous splitting properties of an exact sequence of F-isocrystals. As in [AD19], the advantage of doing this is that we can prove that the image via $\mathbb{D} : \mathbf{pDiv}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$, of the sequence (1.4.4.1) lies inside the much better behaved subcategory $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X) \subseteq \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$ of overconvergent F-isocrystals. This is a consequence of the following theorem, which is the main technical result of this paper.

Theorem 1.4.4.3. *Let k be a perfect field and X be a smooth connected k -variety. If $G \rightarrow X$ is smooth X -group scheme which is an extension of an abelian X -scheme by $\mathbb{G}_{m,X}$ then $\mathbb{D}(G[p^\infty])$ is an overconvergent F-isocrystal.*

Since the proof of Theorem 1.4.4.3 is rather involved and it relies on very different techniques, we refer to Section 1.4.6 for the strategy of its proof.

1.4.5. *Abelian varieties and F-isocrystals.* We now explain how to use Theorem 1.4.4.3 to prove Theorem 1.4.3.1. Since in $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X)$ the object $\mathbb{D}(A[p^\infty])$ is semisimple, it's easier to understand subobjects in this category. Building on the recent work [Tsu19] and its improvement done in [D'A20], we show that if (1.4.4.2) splits, then the smallest overconvergent F-isocrystal in $\mathbb{D}(M_x[p^\infty])$ containing the image of a section gives rise (up to isogeny) to a p -divisible group B , to a proper split quotient $A[p^\infty] \twoheadrightarrow B$ such that $B^{\acute{e}t} = A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t}$ and (1.4.4.1) splits already after base change along $A[p^\infty] \twoheadrightarrow B$. Thanks to the p -adic version of the Tate conjecture for abelian varieties (applied to A) proved in [dJ98], then this is possible if and only there exists an idempotent $0 \neq e \in \text{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that the induced morphism $e[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t} \in \text{End}(A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t})$ is trivial and this is enough to conclude the proof of Theorem 1.4.3.1(1).

On the other hand, to prove Theorem 1.4.3.1(2) we need to show that such B can not exist if $x \in A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. The main difficulty is that, even if A is simple, $\text{End}(A[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ may contain lots of non-trivial idempotents. To overcome this, in Proposition 3.3.6.1 we prove that even if $\text{End}(A[p^\infty])$ can be big, $\text{End}(M_x[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p$. This is essential due to the ‘‘1-motivic’’ origin of $M_x[p^\infty]$, which makes $M_x[p^\infty]$ much more rigid.

1.4.6. *Overconvergence.* We now explain the strategy for Theorem 1.4.4.3. The main difficulty is that the natural overconvergent extension candidate for $\mathbb{D}(G[p^\infty])$ should be constructed from some sort of higher direct image $R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{crys}}$ in p -adic cohomology (as it happens for abelian schemes). On the other hand, the crystalline higher direct image can not work, since crystalline cohomology is not even finite dimensional for open varieties. We use instead relative log-crystalline cohomology as developed in [Shi08a, Shi08b, Shi08c, NS08]. The proof decomposes as follows:

- (1) We give a crystalline interpretation of $\mathbb{D}(G)$. More precisely, we show in Proposition 5.1.1, that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{D}(M_x[p^\infty]) \simeq \text{Ker}(R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{crys}} \xrightarrow{\pi_1^* + \pi_2^* - \mu^*} R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{G \times_X G}^{\text{crys}}),$$

where $\mu, \pi_1^*, \pi_2^* : G \times G \rightarrow G$ are the multiplication map, the first and second projection respectively. This is done by a careful analysis of a spectral sequence of Deligne and of the coherent cohomology of the deformations of $G \rightarrow X$, adapting the methods in [BBM82].

- (2) If we endow the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle $\overline{G} \rightarrow A$ associated to the \mathbb{G}_m -bundle $G \rightarrow A$ with its canonical log structure M , we have a natural morphism $R^1 \overline{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{(\overline{G}, M)}^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{crys}}$. We show that the composite map

$$R^1 \overline{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{(\overline{G}, M)}^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{crys}} \xrightarrow{\pi_1^* + \pi_2^* - \mu^*} R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{G \times_X G}^{\text{crys}}$$

is zero. This is done with a careful analysis of the de-Rham cohomology and log-de-Rham cohomology of line bundles on abelian varieties in the relative setting.

- (3) Thanks to points (1) and (2), we get a map $R^1 \overline{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{(\overline{G}, M)}^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(M_x[p^\infty])$ which we show that it is an isomorphism by a dévissage argument.
- (4) Finally, adapting the methods in [Tsu03] to the logarithmic setting, we show that $R^1 \overline{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{(\overline{G}, M)}^{\text{crys}}$ is overconvergent, thereby concluding the proof of Theorem 1.4.1.2.

Remark 1.4.6.1. If $X = \text{Spec}(k)$ for a perfect field k , then the comparison between $\mathbb{D}(G[p^\infty])$ and its logarithmic crystalline cohomology is a particular case of the main result of [ABV05] (in which a different method -via smooth proper hypercovers- is used). In this case, our proof simplifies a lot, since Step (2) can be carried out working with rigid cohomology in place of log-crystalline cohomology. One thing that makes the proof of Step (2) harder in our setting is that we don't have a relative analogue of rigid cohomology that we can compare functorially with relative crystalline cohomology. In particular, the main difficulties working with log-crystalline cohomology is that to define it we need pass to a compactification, where the group operation does not necessarily extend. Since $\mathbb{D}(G[p^\infty])$ is defined in terms of the group structure of G , the comparison we need in Step (2) is more difficult than in the absolute setting.

1.5. **Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank Anna Cadoret, Bruno Kahn, Carlo Gasbarri, Atsushi Shiho for useful discussions and Giuseppe Ancona for suggestions on how to improve the exposition. Part of this work has been done when the author was a guest of the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn. He would like to express its gratitude to the MPIM in Bonn for its hospitality and financial support.

Part 1. Perfect points and p -adic cohomology

2. p -ADIC ABEL-JACOBI AND p -ADIC ÉTALE ABEL-JACOBI MAPS

In this section we collect some preliminary results on 1-motives, p -divisible groups and the p -adic Abel-Jacobi maps. We show in particular how they are related to the infinite p -divisibility of points on abelian schemes and to the finite generation of their group of points.

For every noetherian \mathbb{F}_p -scheme S we write $\mathbf{pDiv}(S)$ for the category of p -divisible group over S and $\mathbf{pDiv}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for its isogeny category. We write $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{fppf}}(S)$ for the category of fppf sheaves in abelian groups on S . If B is an abelian group, we write B_S (or just B if S is clear from the context) for the fppf constant sheaf over S induced by B .

2.1. Abel-Jacobi and étale Abel-Jacobi maps. Let S be a noetherian \mathbb{F}_p -scheme and let $A \rightarrow S$ be an abelian scheme.

2.1.1. p -divisible group associated to a 1-motive. Let $s : S \rightarrow A$ be a section. Since $s : S \rightarrow A$ corresponds to a morphism of fppf S -groups schemes $s : \mathbb{Z}_S \rightarrow A$, we can consider the 1-motive $[s : \mathbb{Z}_S \rightarrow A]$. We now recall how to associate to $[s : \mathbb{Z}_S \rightarrow A]$ a p -divisible group $M_s[p^\infty]$ over S (see for example [ABV05, Section 1.3] for more details). Define

$$M_s[p^n] := \frac{\text{Ker}(s + p^n : \mathbb{Z}_S \times_S A \rightarrow A)}{\text{Im}((p^n, -s) : \mathbb{Z}_S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_S \times_S A)},$$

so that there is an exact sequence

$$(2.1.1.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow A[p^n] \rightarrow M_s[p^n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_S/p^n\mathbb{Z}_S \rightarrow 0$$

of finite flat S -group schemes. Define

$$M_s[p^\infty] = \varinjlim_n M_s[p^n]$$

where the inductive limit is taken under the natural inclusions $M_s[p^n] \subseteq M_s[p^m]$ (if $m \geq n$) induced by the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(s + p^n : \mathbb{Z}_S \times_S A \rightarrow A) &\rightarrow \text{Ker}(s + p^m : \mathbb{Z}_S \times_S A) \\ (t, y) &\mapsto (p^{m-n}t, y). \end{aligned}$$

Then $M_s[p^\infty]$ is a p -divisible group fitting into an exact sequence

$$(2.1.1.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow A[p^\infty] \rightarrow M_s[p^\infty] \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)_S \rightarrow 0.$$

2.1.2. Extensions of p -divisible groups. Let $H[p^\infty]$ and $G[p^\infty]$ be p -divisible groups over S . Write $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(S)}^1(H[p^\infty], G[p^\infty])$ for the set of exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow G[p^\infty] \rightarrow C[p^\infty] \rightarrow H[p^\infty] \rightarrow 0$$

in $\mathbf{pDiv}(S)$ and $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{fppf}}(S)}^1(H[p^\infty], G[p^\infty])$ for the group of exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow G[p^\infty] \rightarrow C \rightarrow H[p^\infty] \rightarrow 0$$

in $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{fppf}}(S)$. Since if $G[p^\infty]$ and $H[p^\infty]$ are p -divisible groups then C is p -divisible group by [Mes72, (2.4.3)], the natural inclusion

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(S)}^1(H[p^\infty], G[p^\infty]) \subseteq \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{fppf}}(S)}^1(H[p^\infty], G[p^\infty])$$

is an isomorphism. In particular

- $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(S)}^1(H[p^\infty], G[p^\infty])$ is a \mathbb{Z}_p -module functorial in $H[p^\infty]$, $G[p^\infty]$ and S ;
- For every short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow H[p^\infty] \rightarrow G[p^\infty] \rightarrow 0$$

in $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{fppf}}(S)$ and every $D[p^\infty]$ in $\mathbf{pDiv}(S)$, there are long exact sequences of \mathbb{Z}_p -modules

$$\begin{array}{c}
 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{fppf}}(S)}(D[p^\infty], E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(S)}(D[p^\infty], H[p^\infty]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(S)}(D[p^\infty], G[p^\infty]) \\
 \searrow \\
 \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{fppf}}(S)}^1(D[p^\infty], E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(S)}^1(D[p^\infty], H[p^\infty]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(S)}^1(D[p^\infty], G[p^\infty]); \\
 \\
 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(S)}(G[p^\infty], D[p^\infty]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(S)}(H[p^\infty], D[p^\infty]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{fppf}}(S)}(E, D[p^\infty]) \\
 \searrow \\
 \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(S)}^1(G[p^\infty], D[p^\infty]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(S)}^1(H[p^\infty], D[p^\infty]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{fppf}}(S)}^1(E, D[p^\infty]).
 \end{array}$$

We will implicitly use these properties in the following sections.

2.1.3. *Abel-Jacobi map and étale-Abel-Jacobi map.* The morphism

$$(2.1.3.1) \quad \mathrm{AJ} : A(S)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(S)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

induced by associating to $x \in A(S)$ the isomorphism class of the exact sequence (2.1.1.2) is a morphism of groups that we call the p -adic Abel-Jacobi map. Since $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(S)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty])$ is a \mathbb{Z}_p -module, we get a \mathbb{Q}_p -linearization

$$(2.1.3.2) \quad \mathrm{AJ}_p : A(S)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(S)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}_p}.$$

Assume from now on that $S = \mathrm{Spec}(K)$ is the spectrum of a field K . Then the étale-connected exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A[p^\infty]^0 \rightarrow A[p^\infty] \rightarrow A[p^\infty]^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}} \rightarrow 0$$

induces a morphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}})$$

and we call the composite

$$(2.1.3.3) \quad \mathrm{AJ}^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}} : A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

the p -adic étale Abel-Jacobi map. Since also $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}})$ is a \mathbb{Z}_p -module we have a \mathbb{Q}_p -linearization

$$(2.1.3.4) \quad \mathrm{AJ}_p^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}} : A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}.$$

Lemma 2.1.3.5. *The map*

$$\mathrm{AJ} : A(K^{\mathrm{perf}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K^{\mathrm{perf}})}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is injective if and only if the map

$$\mathrm{AJ} : A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is injective. The same holds replacing $A(K^{\mathrm{perf}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $A(K^{\mathrm{perf}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1.3.6 below, the natural map

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K^{\mathrm{perf}})}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K^{\mathrm{perf}})}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}})$$

is injective so that

$$A(K^{\mathrm{perf}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is injective if and only if

$$A(K^{\mathrm{perf}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is. The conclusion follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\text{AJ}} & \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
\downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\
A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\text{AJ}} & \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K^{\text{perf}})}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}})_{\mathbb{Q}}
\end{array}$$

in which the right (resp. left) vertical arrows is an isomorphism since $K \subseteq K^{\text{perf}}$ is purely inseparable and $A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}}$ is étale (resp. (1.4.1.2)). The proof replacing $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is completely similar. \square

Lemma 2.1.3.6. *Let L be a perfect field and $B[p^\infty]$ a connected p -divisible group over L . Then*

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(L)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, B[p^\infty]) = 0$$

Proof. Since L is perfect, every extension

$$0 \rightarrow B[p^\infty] \rightarrow C[p^\infty] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0$$

in $\mathbf{pDiv}(L)$ splits canonically, so that $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(L)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, B[p^\infty]) = 0$. \square

2.2. Abel-Jacobi maps and the arithmetic of abelian schemes. Let S be a noetherian \mathbb{F}_p -scheme and let $A \rightarrow S$ be an abelian scheme.

2.2.1. Abel-Jacobi maps and infinitely p -divisible perfect points. The exact sequences (2.1.1.1) and (2.1.1.2) encode the p -divisibility properties of s . This is made precise in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2.1.1. *Let $s : S \rightarrow A$ be a section. Then:*

- (1) (2.1.1.1) splits if and only if $s \in A(S)_{p^n}$;
- (2) (2.1.1.2) splits if and only if $s \in A(S)_{p^\infty}$;
- (3) If s is not torsion (2.1.1.2) splits in $\mathbf{pDiv}(S)$ if and only if it splits in $\mathbf{pDiv}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Proof.

- (1) Assume first that (2.1.1.1) splits and chose a section $\pi : \mathbb{Z}_S/p^n\mathbb{Z}_S \rightarrow M_s[p^n]$ of the surjection $M_s[p^n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_S/p^n\mathbb{Z}_S$. Then we can chose a lift

$$f_n : \mathbb{Z}_S \rightarrow \text{Ker}(s + p^n) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_S \times A$$

of the morphism

$$\mathbb{Z}_S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_S/p^n\mathbb{Z}_S \rightarrow M_s[p^n] = \text{Ker}(s + p^n)/\text{Im}((p^n, -s))$$

in a way that the map

$$\mathbb{Z}_S \rightarrow \text{Ker}(s + p^n) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_S \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_S,$$

obtained composing f_n and the natural projection is the identity map. Taking S points, we get an element $(1, y_n) \in \text{Ker}(s + p^n)(S) \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \times A(S)$, hence an element $y_n \in A(S)$ such that $p^n y_n = -s$.

Assume now that $s \in A(S)_{p^n}$ and chose an $y \in A(S)$ such that $p^n y = s$. Define $\phi_y : \mathbb{Z}_S \rightarrow \text{Ker}(s + p^n)$ via $\phi_y(1) \mapsto (1, -y)$. Then the induced map

$$\mathbb{Z}_S/p^n\mathbb{Z}_S \rightarrow \text{Ker}(s + p^n)/\text{Im}((p^n, -s)) = M_s[p^n]$$

gives a splitting of (2.1.1.1).

- (2) If (2.1.1.2) splits, then (2.1.1.1) splits for every n . Hence, by point (1), $s \in A(S)_{p^n}$ for every n so that $s \in A(S)_{p^\infty}$.

Viceversa, assume that $s \in A(S)_{p^\infty}$. Then, by the axiom of the choice, we can chose a sequence $\{y_n \in A(S)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $p^n y_n = s$ and $p y_{n+1} = y_n^2$. Define then the morphisms

$$f_n : \mathbb{Z}_S \rightarrow \text{Ker}(s + p^n) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_S \times A$$

²Let Δ_n be the set of $y_n \in A(S)$ such that $p^n y_n = s$ and consider $\{\Delta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ as a projective system via the multiplication by p map $\pi : \Delta_n \rightarrow \Delta_{n-1}$. Since, by the axiom of the choice, every inverse limit of non empty finite set is non empty, we conclude noticing that, as $p^n : A \rightarrow A$ is a finite morphism (hence quasi-finite), each Δ_n is finite.

as $f_n(1) = (1, -y_n)$. Since $M[p^n] = \text{Ker}(s + p^n)/\text{Im}((p^n, -s))$ is of p^n -torsion, f_n gives a morphism

$$\bar{f}_n : \mathbb{Z}_S/p^n\mathbb{Z}_S \rightarrow M[p^n]$$

splitting the exact sequence (2.1.1.1). The condition $py_{n+1} = y_n$ guarantees that the collection $\{\bar{f}_n\}_n$ is compatible with the transition morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}_S/p^n\mathbb{Z}_S & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_S/p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}_S \\ \downarrow \bar{f}_n & & \downarrow \bar{f}_{n+1} \\ M_s[p^n] & \hookrightarrow & M_s[p^{n+1}]. \end{array}$$

Hence the collection $\{\bar{f}_n\}_n$ gives a splitting of the exact sequence (2.1.1.2).

(3) Since $\text{AJ} : A(K) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{p}\text{Div}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty])$ is a group homomorphism, we have

$$p^n M_s[p^\infty] = M_{p^n s}[p^\infty] \quad \text{in} \quad \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{p}\text{Div}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]).$$

By point (2) $p^n M_s[p^\infty] = M_{p^n s}[p^\infty] = 0$ in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{p}\text{Div}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty])$ if and only if $p^n s \in A(S)_{p^\infty}$, hence (since s is not torsion) if and only if $s \in A(S)_{p^\infty}$ if and only if $M_s[p^\infty] = 0$ in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{p}\text{Div}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty])$. \square

Assume now that $S = \text{Spec}(K)$ with K a field.

Lemma 2.2.1.2. *The following are equivalent:*

- the map $\text{AJ}^{\text{ét}} : A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{p}\text{Div}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is injective;
- $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{p^\infty} \subseteq A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tors}}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2.1.1(3), $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{p^\infty} \subseteq A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tors}}$ if and only if

$$\text{AJ}^{\text{ét}} : A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{p}\text{Div}(K^{\text{perf}})}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]_{\mathbb{Q}})$$

is injective. But, by Lemma 2.1.3.5, this holds if and only if

$$\text{AJ}^{\text{ét}} : A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{p}\text{Div}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is injective. \square

2.2.2. Abel-Jacobi maps and finite generation. We now move to the study the relation between the Abel-Jacobi maps and the finite generation of $A(K)_{\text{tf}}$.

Lemma 2.2.2.1. *If $A(K)_{\text{tf}}$ is finitely generated, then*

$$\text{AJ}_p : A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{p}\text{Div}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]_{\mathbb{Q}})$$

is injective.

Proof. Since $A(K)_{\text{tf}}$ is finitely generated and torsion free, one has an isomorphism $A(K) \simeq A(K)_{\text{tors}} \oplus A(K)_{\text{tf}}$. Let x_1, \dots, x_r be a basis of $A(K)_{\text{tf}} \subseteq A(K)$. It is enough to show that for every $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, if $\sum_i \lambda_i \text{AJ}(x_i) = 0$ then $\lambda_i = 0$ for every i . Assume by contradiction that $\lambda_i \neq 0$ for some i and, for each i such that $\lambda_i \neq 0$, take a sequence $(n_i(r))_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $n_i(r) \in \mathbb{Z}$ which approximates λ_i . The sequence $\{v_p(n_i(r))\}$ has a maximum m_i and we set h_i for the greatest integer such that p^{h_i} divides x_i in $A(K)$. Write $m = \max_i(m_i, h_i)$, so that p^{m+1} does not divide $x_r := \sum_i n_i(r)x_i$ in $A(K)$ for every $r \geq 0$. The sequence $\sum_i n_i(r)\text{AJ}(x_i)$ tends to zero with r , hence for some $r \gg 0$ one has $\sum_i n_i(r)\text{AJ}(x_i) = p^{m+1}y$ for some $y \in \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{p}\text{Div}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty])$. Consider the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A[p^\infty] \rightarrow M_{x_r}[p^\infty] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0,$$

and observe that, by the exact sequence in Section 2.1.2 and since $x_r = p^{m+1}y$, this sequence splits after base change along the natural inclusion $\mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$. In particular

$$0 \rightarrow A[p^{m+1}] \rightarrow M_{x_r}[p^{m+1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(m+1)\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

splits so that x_r is divisible by p^{m+1} in $A(K)$ by Lemma 2.2.1.1. This contradicts the choice of m . \square

Recall that, if L is a field then there is a natural covariant equivalence of categories

$$(2.2.2.2) \quad T_p : \mathbf{pDiv}(L)^{\acute{e}t} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\text{tf}}(\pi_1(L)),$$

between the category $\mathbf{pDiv}(L)^{\acute{e}t}$ of étale p -divisible group and the category $\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\text{tf}}(\pi_1(L))$ of continuous representations of $\pi_1(L)$ on torsion free \mathbb{Z}_p -modules.

Proposition 2.2.2.3. *Assume that $A(K)_{\text{tf}}$ is finitely generated. Then the following are equivalent:*

- $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tf}}$ is finitely generated;
- $AJ_p^{\acute{e}t} : A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is injective.

Proof. Assume first that $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tf}}$ is finitely generated. Then by Lemmas 2.2.2.1 and 2.1.3.6 the map

$$A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K^{\text{perf}})}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is injective. Hence, by Lemma 2.1.3.5, the map

$$A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is injective.

Viceversa, assume now that

$$A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is injective. By (1.4.1.2), one has that $A(K)_{\text{tf}}[1/p] = A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tf}}[1/p]$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -module, hence it is enough to show that $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tf}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is finitely generated.

With the notation of (2.2.2.2), set $T_p(A) := T_p(A[p^\infty])$. By assumption and Lemma 2.1.3.5, the morphism

$$A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K^{\text{perf}})}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t})_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

is injective. The equivalence (2.2.2.2) induces an isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K^{\text{perf}})}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t}) \simeq H^1(\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}}), T_p(A)^{\acute{e}t}).$$

So we get an injection

$$AJ_p^{\acute{e}t} : A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \hookrightarrow H^1(\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}}), T_p(A))_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

hence an injection

$$\Phi_p : A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tf}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \hookrightarrow H^1(\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}}), T_p(A))_{\text{tf}}.$$

Since $A(K)_{\text{tf}}$ is finitely generated, one has $A(K) \simeq A(K)_{\text{tf}} \oplus A(K)_{\text{tors}}$. Let $x_1 \dots x_r$ be a basis of $A(K)_{\text{tf}} \subseteq A(K) \subseteq A(K^{\text{perf}})$ and set $T_p(M_{x_i}) := T_p(M_{x_i}[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t})$. Let

$$\Pi \subseteq \text{GL}(T_p(M_{x_1})) \times \dots \times \text{GL}(T_p(M_{x_r}))$$

be the image of $\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}})$ acting on $T_p(M_{x_1}) \times \dots \times T_p(M_{x_r})$ and write $K^{\text{perf}} \subseteq L$ for the Galois extension corresponding to the closed subgroup $\text{Ker}(\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}}) \twoheadrightarrow \Pi)$. Since $\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}}) \twoheadrightarrow \Pi$ is surjective, we get injections

$$H^1(\Pi, T_p(A)) \hookrightarrow H^1(\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}}), T_p(A)) \quad \text{and} \quad H^1(\Pi, T_p(A))_{\text{tf}} \hookrightarrow H^1(\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}}), T_p(A))_{\text{tf}}.$$

Since $\pi_1(L)$ acts trivially on $T_p(M_{x_i})$, it acts trivially $T_p(A)$, so that

$$H^1(\pi_1(L), T_p(A)) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(L), \mathbb{Z}_p^{p(A)})$$

is torsion free. Hence the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence induces a commutative diagram with exact rows

(2.2.2.4)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\Pi, T_p(A)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}}), T_p(A)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\pi_1(L), T_p(A)) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\Pi, T_p(A))_{\text{tf}} & \longrightarrow & H^1(\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}}), T_p(A))_{\text{tf}} & \longrightarrow & H^1(\pi_1(L), T_p(A))_{\text{tf}} = H^1(\pi_1(L), T_p(A)). \end{array}$$

Since Π is a closed subgroup of $\text{GL}(T_p(A))$, it is a compact p -adic Lie group by [DdSMS91, Corollary 9.36]. In particular, by [Ser64, Prop. 9], $H^1(\Pi, T_p(A))$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}_p -module, hence the same holds for $H^1(\Pi, T_p(A))_{\text{tf}}$. So the proof is concluded if we can prove the following Lemma 2.2.2.5.

Lemma 2.2.2.5. *The image of*

$$(A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\mathbb{Z}_p})_{\text{tf}} \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}}), T_p(A))_{\text{tf}}$$

lies inside $H^1(\Pi, T_p(A))_{\text{tf}} \subseteq H^1(\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}}), T_p(A))_{\text{tf}}$

Proof. Since the image of $(A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\mathbb{Z}_p})_{\text{tf}} \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}}), T_p(A))_{\text{tf}}$ is the \mathbb{Z}_p -module generated by the image of

$$A(K^{\text{perf}}) \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}}), T_p(A))_{\text{tf}}$$

and $H^1(\Pi, T_p(A))_{\text{tf}} \subseteq H^1(\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}}), T_p(A))_{\text{tf}}$ is a \mathbb{Z}_p -submodule, it is enough to show that the image of $\Phi : A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tf}} \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}}), T_p(A))_{\text{tf}}$ lies inside $H^1(\Pi, T_p(A))_{\text{tf}}$. The commutative diagram (2.2.2.4) shows that it is enough to prove that

$$\Phi : A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tf}} \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}}), T_p(A))_{\text{tf}} \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(L), T_p(A))_{\text{tf}}$$

is the zero map.

We first note that all the elements of $A(K)_{\text{tf}}$ becomes infinitely p -divisible in L . Indeed, as L is perfect, $x \in A(L)$ is infinitely p -divisible if and only if the exact sequence

$$(2.2.2.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow M_x[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0$$

splits. But by construction (2.2.2.6) corresponds via the equivalence (2.2.2.2) to an exact sequence of trivial $\pi_1(L)$ -representations hence it splits over L for all the $x_i \in A(K)_{\text{tf}} \subseteq A(L)_{\text{tf}}$. Since they generate $A(K)_{\text{tf}}$, all the elements of $A(K)_{\text{tf}}$ become infinitely p -divisible in L .

Take a $x \in A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tf}}$. Since $A(K)_{\text{tf}}[1/p] = A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tf}}[1/p]$, there exists an integer $n \geq 0$ such that $p^n x$ is in $A(K)_{\text{tf}}$. Hence, by the previous paragraph, $p^n x$ is infinitely p -divisible in $A(L)$, so that (since x is not torsion) x is infinitely p -divisible in $A(L)$. But this is equivalent to say that the class of $M_x[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}}$ is zero in $H^1(\pi_1(L), T_p(A))$ and we conclude the proof. \square

3. ON THE INJECTIVITY OF THE ÉTALE ABEL-JACOBI MAP

3.1. Statement. Let k be a finite field and K/k a regular extension of fields. Let A be a K -abelian variety. We recall the statement of Theorem 1.4.3.1.

Theorem 1.4.3.1.

(1) *If $r(A)^{\min} > 0$, the morphism*

$$AJ_p^{\text{ét}} : A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is not injective if and only there exists an idempotent $0 \neq e \in \text{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $0 = e[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}} \in \text{End}(A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}})$.

(2) *If $p(A)^{\min} > 0$, the morphism*

$$AJ^{\text{ét}} : A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is injective.

Combining Theorem 1.4.3.1 with Lemma 2.2.1.2 and Proposition 2.2.2 we get Theorem 1.3.1.2. In Section 3.2, after some preliminary reduction, we prove the if part of Theorem 1.4.3.1(1). In Section 3.3 we prove the rest of Theorem 1.4.3.1. In Section 3.4 we deduce Theorem 1.3.2.1.

3.2. Preliminaries and the first implication.

3.2.1. Reduction to A simple. Since the assumptions and the conclusions are stable by products and isogenies of abelian varieties, we can assume that A is simple and that $p(A) > 0$. We will use the simplicity of A via the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2.1.1. *Let A be a simple k -abelian variety and $x \in A(K)$ a non torsion point. Then the map*

$$\psi_x : \text{End}(A) \rightarrow A(K)$$

sending f to $f(x)$ is injective.

Proof. Take any morphism $f : A \rightarrow A$ such that $f(x) = 0$. If $f : A \rightarrow A$ is not the zero map then, since A is simple, $\text{Ker}(f)$ is finite. On the other hand x is in $\text{Ker}(f)$ which is a contradiction with the fact that x is not torsion. \square

3.2.2. *First implication.* We first prove the if part of Theorem 1.4.3.1(1). Assume that there exists an idempotent $0 \neq e \in \text{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $e[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}} = 0$ in $\text{End}(A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Chose an n such that $p^n e =: u \in \text{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Since $e[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}} = 0$ and $\text{End}(A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}})$ is torsion free, also $u[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}} = 0$. Take a non torsion $x \in A(K)$ (which exists by assumption). By Lemma 3.2.1.1, the map

$$\psi_x \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} : \text{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$$

is injective, hence $e(x) \neq 0$ so that $u(x) \neq 0$. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} & \xrightarrow{\text{AJ}_p^{\text{ét}}} & \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ \downarrow u & & \downarrow u=0 \\ A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} & \xrightarrow{\text{AJ}_p^{\text{ét}}} & \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \end{array}$$

shows that $u(x)$ goes to zero in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$. This concludes the proof of the if part of Theorem 1.4.3.1(2).

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.4.3.1.** In this section we prove the only if part of Theorem 1.4.3.1(1) and 1.4.3.1(2). Since most of the proofs of (1) and (2) (corresponding to Sections 3.3.1, 3.3.2, 3.3.3 and 3.3.4) are very similar, we prove them together. The differences will appear in Section 3.3.5 (in which we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.4.3.1(1)) and in Sections 3.3.6 (in which we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.4.3.1(2)).

3.3.1. *Spreading out.* Take any $x \in A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. To prove Theorem 1.4.3.1 we can replace x with $p^n x$ hence we may and do assume that $x \in A(K)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and it is not torsion. Let

$$(3.3.1.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow A[p^\infty] \rightarrow M_x[p^\infty] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$(3.3.1.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow M_x[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0$$

be the extensions associated to $\text{AJ}_p(x)$ and $\text{AJ}_p^{\text{ét}}(x)$ respectively. Assume from now on that $\text{AJ}_p^{\text{ét}}(x) = 0$, i.e. that (3.3.1.2) splits. Let X be an affine smooth geometrically connected k -variety with function field K . Replacing X with a dense open subset, we can assume that A extends to an abelian scheme $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ with constant newton polygon and that, since $A(K)$ is finitely generated, the natural map $\mathcal{A}(X)_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \rightarrow A(K)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is an isomorphism. In particular, x extends to a non-torsion element $t \in \mathcal{A}(X)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. By [dJ95], the natural functor

$$\mathbf{pDiv}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{pDiv}(K)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is fully faithful, so that our assumption is equivalent to the fact that the sequence

$$(3.3.1.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[p^\infty]_X^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_t[p^\infty]_X^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0$$

splits in $\mathbf{pDiv}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and we know (by Lemma 2.2.2.1) that the exact sequence

$$(3.3.1.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[p^\infty]_X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_t[p^\infty]_X \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0$$

does not splits.

3.3.2. *F-isocrystals.* Let $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$ be the category of F-isocrystals over X (as defined for example in [Mor19, Section A.1]) and $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)^{\text{cst}} \subseteq \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$ be the full subcategory of F-isocrystals with constant Newton polygon. By [Ked18, Corollary 4.2], every \mathcal{E} in $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)^{\text{cst}}$ admits a filtration

$$0 = \mathcal{E}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{E}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{E}_2 \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{r-1} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_r = \mathcal{E}$$

with $\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i+1}$ isoclinic of slopes s_i with $s_i < s_{i+1}$. By [BBM82], there is a full faithful contravariant functor $\mathbb{D} : \mathbf{pDiv}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)^{\text{cst}}$ such that:

- $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{A}[p^\infty]) = R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{crys}} := \mathcal{E}$;
- $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{A}[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}}) = \mathcal{E}_1$;
- $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{crys}}$;
- The exact sequence (3.3.1.3) is sent to an exact sequence

$$(3.3.2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{M}_t[p^\infty]_X)_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow 0;$$

- The exact sequence (3.3.1.4) is sent to an exact sequence

$$(3.3.2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{M}_t[p^\infty]_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0.$$

So our assumption is equivalent to the fact that the sequence (3.3.2.1) splits and (3.3.2.2) does not split. Write $\mathcal{E}_t := \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{M}_t[p^\infty]_X)$.

3.3.3. Overconvergence. Let $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X)$ be the category of overconvergent F-isocrystals over X (see for example [Ber96, Definition 2.3.6]) and let $\Phi : \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$ be the natural functor (obtained identifying $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$ with the category of convergent F-isocrystals via [Ber96, Theorem 2.4.2]). Recall that, by [Ked04], $\Phi : \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$ is fully faithful, so that we can identify $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X)$ with a full subcategory of $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$. If \mathcal{G} in $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$ is in the essential image of $\Phi : \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$ we say that it is overconvergent and we write \mathcal{G}^\dagger for its (unique) overconvergent extension. By [Éte02], \mathcal{E} is overconvergent. In Section 5 we prove Theorem 1.4.4.3 which easily implies following.

Corollary 3.3.3.1. \mathcal{E}_t is overconvergent.

Proof. Since inside $H^1(X, \mathcal{E})$ the object \mathcal{E}_t is a \mathbb{Z}_p -linear combination of objects \mathcal{E}_v with $v \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ and the morphism $H^1(X, \mathcal{E}^\dagger) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{E})$ is \mathbb{Z}_p -linear, we can assume that $t \in \mathcal{A}(X)$. It is then enough to show that $\mathcal{E}_t^\vee(1)$ (where $(-)^{\vee}$ is the dual F-isocrystals and $(-)(1)$ is the Tate twist) is overconvergent. Observe that the dual of the 1-motive $[\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A]$ is a semiabelian scheme

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_t \rightarrow A^\vee \rightarrow 0,$$

and the p -divisible group $\mathcal{G}_t[p^\infty]$ of \mathcal{G}_t is the Cartier dual $\mathcal{M}_t[p^\infty]^\vee$ of $\mathcal{M}_t[p^\infty]$ (see for example [ABV05, Section 1.3]). So, by [BBM82, (5.3.3.1)], we get $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{G}_t[p^\infty]) \simeq \mathcal{E}_t^\vee(1)$. Hence Corollary 3.3.3.1 follows from Theorem 1.4.4.3. \square

Since \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}_t are overconvergent and the functor $\Phi : \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$ is fully faithful, the non split exact sequence (3.3.2.2), lifts to a non split exact sequence

$$(3.3.3.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_t^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\dagger \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand, the exact sequence (3.3.2.1) is obtained by (3.3.3.2) first applying Φ and then pulling back along $\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$.

3.3.4. Minimal slope conjecture. Chose a splitting $s : \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{t,1}$ of the sequence (3.3.2.1). Consider the smallest overconvergent object $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ contained in \mathcal{E}_t and containing $s(\mathcal{E}_1)$. Since $p(A) > 0$, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \neq 0$. By the recent work [Tsu19] and its improvement done in [D'A20, Theorem 4.1.3], $s(\mathcal{E}_1) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1$ so that $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \cap \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{crys}} = 0$. Hence the natural composite map

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

is injective and we can identify $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ with a subobject of \mathcal{E} . Then $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is overconvergent and the sequence (3.3.3.2) splits by construction after base change along $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger \subseteq \mathcal{E}^\dagger$.

3.3.5. End of the proof of (1). We now conclude the proof of (1). Since the p -adic Abel-Jacobi map is injective the sequence (3.3.3.2) does not split, hence $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger \neq \mathcal{E}^\dagger$. Since \mathcal{E}^\dagger is semisimple in $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X)$, the morphism $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger \subseteq \mathcal{E}^\dagger$ splits, hence $\text{End}_{\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X)}(\mathcal{E}^\dagger)$ admits a non-zero idempotent acting trivially on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ contains \mathcal{E}_1 , this idempotent acts as zero on \mathcal{E}_1 . But the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(A[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(X)}(A[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{End}_{\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)}(\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{End}_{\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X)}(\mathcal{E}^\dagger) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t})_{\mathbb{Q}_p} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(X)}(A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t})_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{End}_{\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)}(\mathcal{E}_1), & & \end{array}$$

where all the horizontal arrows are isomorphisms, shows that $\text{End}(A[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ admits a non zero idempotent acting as zero on $A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t}$. By the p -adic version of the Tate conjecture for abelian variety proved in [dJ98, Theorem 2.6],

$$\text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(A[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \text{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}.$$

So that $\text{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ admits a non-trivial idempotent acting as zero on $A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}}$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.4.3.1(1).

3.3.6. *End of the proof of (2).* We now conclude the proof of (2), using that A is simple. Assume from now on that $x \in A(K)$. Since \mathcal{E}^\dagger is semisimple, the inclusion $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger \subseteq \mathcal{E}^\dagger$ admits a splitting $u : \mathcal{E}^\dagger \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger$. Then the composite map

$$\mathcal{E}_t^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\dagger \xrightarrow{s} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger$$

is a retraction of the inclusion $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger \subseteq \mathcal{E}_t^\dagger$ so that $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger$ is a direct factor of \mathcal{E}_t^\dagger . In particular $\text{End}_{\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X)}(\mathcal{E}_t^\dagger)$ contains an idempotent $\neq 0, 1$. The isomorphisms

$$\text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(M_x[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(X)}(\mathcal{M}_t[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \text{End}_{\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)}(\mathcal{E}_t) \simeq \text{End}_{\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X)}(\mathcal{E}_t^\dagger)$$

show then that also $\text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(M_x[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}}$ contains an idempotent $\neq 0, 1$. This contradicts the following Lemma 3.3.6.1 since A is simple and x is not torsion.

Lemma 3.3.6.1. *If A is simple and $x \in A(K)$ is not torsion, then $\text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(M_x[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p$.*

Proof. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(M_x[p^\infty], -)$ to the exact sequence (2.1.1.2) we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(M_x[p^\infty], A[p^\infty]) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(M_x[p^\infty]) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(M_x[p^\infty], \mathbb{Q}_p).$$

Since $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(A[p^\infty], \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$, applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(-, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ to (2.1.1.2) one sees that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(M_x[p^\infty], \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$. Hence it is enough to prove that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(M_x[p^\infty], A[p^\infty]) = 0.$$

Since $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]) = 0$, applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(-, A[p^\infty])$ to the exact sequence (2.1.1.2) we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(M[p^\infty], A[p^\infty]) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(A[p^\infty]) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]).$$

Hence we are left to show that the natural map

$$\text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(A[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is injective.

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} & \xleftarrow{\psi_x \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} & A(K)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \text{AJ}_p \\ \text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(A[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty])_{\mathbb{Q}} \end{array}$$

where $\psi_x \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is induced by the map $\psi_x : \text{End}(A) \rightarrow A(K)$ sending a morphism f to $f(x)$. By Lemma 2.2.2.1 AJ_p is injective and left vertical map is an isomorphism by the p -adic version of the Tate conjecture for abelian varieties. So, since A is simple, we conclude by Lemma 3.2.1.1 which gives the injectivity of the upper horizontal map. \square

Remark 3.3.6.2. Proposition 3.3.6.1 is a particular case of the Tate conjecture for 1-motives, i.e. of the fact that the natural functor from the category of 1-motives to the category of p -divisible groups is fully faithful. It should be possible to prove this via a long and elaborated diagram chasing (in the spirit of [Jos09, Section 6]). Since we only need this particular case (which has a quicker proof), we do not elaborate on this.

3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.3.2.1. Retain the notation and the assumption of Theorem 1.3.2.1. Let $k \subseteq k'$ an infinite algebraic extension and set $L := k'K$. Since $A(L)$ is of finite rank by the Lang-Néron theorem and the action of $\pi_1(K)$ on $\text{End}(A)$ factors through a finite quotient, there exists a finite extension $K \subseteq K' \subseteq L$ such that $A(K')_{\mathbb{Q}} = A(L)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\text{End}(A_{K'}) = \text{End}(A_L)$. We consider the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(K')_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{p}\text{Div}(K')}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^{\infty}]^{\text{ét}})_{\mathbb{Q}} & & A(K')_{\mathbb{Q}_p} & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{p}\text{Div}(K')}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^{\infty}]^{\text{ét}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \phi \\ A(L)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{p}\text{Div}(L)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^{\infty}]^{\text{ét}})_{\mathbb{Q}} & & A(L)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{p}\text{Div}(L)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^{\infty}]^{\text{ét}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \end{array}$$

Since if $\text{Tr}(A) = 0$ then $A(L^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tors}}$ is finite by [AD19], by Lemmas 2.2.2.1 and 2.2.1.1 it is enough to show that ϕ is injective.

Setting $T_p(A) := T_p(A[p^{\infty}]^{\text{ét}})$, for the equivalence (2.2.2.2) induces, for $\Delta \in \{L, K'\}$, an isomorphism

$$H^1(\Delta, T_p(A))_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{p}\text{Div}(\Delta)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^{\infty}]^{\text{ét}})_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Hence it is enough to show that

$$H^1(\pi_1(K'), T_p(A))_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(L), T_p(A))_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is injective. Since $\pi_1(L) \subseteq \pi_1(K')$ is a normal subgroup, the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence gives us an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(K')/\pi_1(L), T_p(A)^{\pi_1(L)})_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(K'), T_p(A))_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(L), T_p(A))_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Since $\pi_1(K')/\pi_1(L)$ is pro-cyclic, one has

$$H^1(\pi_1(K')/\pi_1(L), T_p(A)^{\pi_1(L)})_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq (T_p(A)_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\pi_1(L)}_{\pi_1(K')/\pi_1(L)}$$

where the last term are the coinvariants. But since $A(K^{\text{perf}})[p^{\infty}]$ is finite, one has

$$(T_p(A)_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\pi_1(L)}_{\pi_1(K')/\pi_1(L)} = (T_p(A)_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\pi_1(K')} = 0 = (T_p(A)_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\pi_1(L)}_{\pi_1(K')/\pi_1(L)},$$

and this concludes the proof \square

Part 2. Overconvergence of the Dieudonné-crystal of a semiabelian scheme

Let S be a noetherian scheme and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{m,S} & \xrightarrow{i} & G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & A \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow f & & \swarrow g \\ & & & & S & & \end{array}$$

an extension of an abelian scheme $g : A \rightarrow S$ of dimension d by $\mathbb{G}_{m,S}$. The groups of such extensions

$$(A) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,S} \rightarrow G \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

is canonically isomorphic to the group of morphisms $s : \mathbb{Z}_S \rightarrow A^{\vee}$ (see for exemple [ABV05, Section 1.2]).

We write G^n for the n^{th} -product, $f^n : G \times_S \cdots \times_S G \rightarrow S$ for the natural map and similarly for $h^n : \mathbb{G}_{m,S}^n \rightarrow S$, $g^n : A^n \rightarrow S$, $\pi^n : G^n \rightarrow A^n$, $i^n : \mathbb{G}_{m,S}^n \rightarrow G^n$.

If H is a smooth fppf group scheme over S we write $\omega_{H/S}$ for the S -module of invariant differentials, $\mu_H : H \times_S H \rightarrow H$ for the multiplication map and $p_{1,H}, p_{2,H} : H \times_S H \rightarrow H$ for the projection maps. If there is no danger of confusion we often drop the subscript H from the notation.

4. PRELIMINARIES ON SEMI-ABELIAN SCHEMES

This section is devoted to some preliminary result on the geometry of G . Since the relative crystalline cohomology of $f : G \rightarrow S$ is controlled by the de-Rham cohomology of its deformation, in this section we study them. We suggest to the reader, to skip this section at a first reading and to come back to these results when needed. In Section 4.1 we study the deformation theory of $f : G \rightarrow S$, in Section 4.2.1 we study its coherent cohomology. In Section 4.2.2 we consider a nice relative compactification $\bar{f} : \bar{G} \rightarrow S$ of $f : G \rightarrow S$ and in Section 4.2.3 we study its log-de-Rham cohomology.

4.1. Lifting of semi-abelian schemes. We start recalling some property of the deformation of semi-abelian schemes.

Lemma 4.1.1. *Assume that S is affine.*

- (1) *If $S \rightarrow S'$ a nilpotent immersion then (A) lifts to an exact sequence of smooth group schemes over S' .*
- (2) *Assume that S is smooth geometrically connected over a perfect field k and that $A \rightarrow S$ admits a principal polarization. Then upon replacing S with a finite étale cover, there exists a dense open subset of $U \subseteq S$, a smooth lift U_W of U over $W(k)$ and an extension of smooth U_W -group schemes lifting (A).*

Proof.

- (1) By a result of Grothendieck (see e.g. [Ill85, Théorème A 1.1]) we can lift A and A^\vee to abelian schemes $A_{S'}$ and $A_{S'}^\vee$ over S' . Since (A) corresponds to an element of $A^\vee(S)$, it is enough to show that the natural map $A_{S'}^\vee(S') \rightarrow A^\vee(S)$ is surjective. This follows from the fact that $A_{S'}^\vee \rightarrow S'$ is smooth (hence formally smooth).
- (2) For every scheme \mathcal{Y} over $W(k)$, write \mathcal{Y}_1 for the special fibre. Replacing S with a finite étale cover we can assume that there exists an $n \geq 3$, coprime with p such that there are isomorphisms $A[n] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2d}$ and $A^\vee[n] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2d}$. Since the moduli stack $A_{d,n}$ of principally polarized abelian varieties with level n structure is smooth over $W(k)$, upon shrinking S again, we can find (see for example [Ove18]) liftings $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{A}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ of $A \rightarrow S$ and $A^\vee \rightarrow S$. We are left to show that, upon replacing \mathcal{S} with a dense open sub-scheme smooth and of finite type over $W(k)$, we can lift the element $s \in A^\vee(S)$ corresponding to the exact sequence (A) to a section $\tilde{s} \in \mathcal{A}^\vee(\mathcal{S})$. In order to apply the approximation results in [Ray72], we need to reduce to a situation in which all the morphisms we are interested in factorise through affine schemes.

Let $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}$ be an affine open cover of \mathcal{A} , so that $\{\mathcal{A}_{i,1}\}$ is an affine open cover of A . Since S is affine and A is separated, the morphism $s : S \rightarrow A$ is affine and, in particular, the pullback $\{S_i\}$ of $\{\mathcal{A}_{i,1}\}$ along $s : S \rightarrow A$ is an affine open cover of S . Chose any not empty $S_{i,1}$ and a standard open subset $D(f) \subseteq S_{i,1}$ for some $f \in H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$. Since \mathcal{S} is affine, the natural map $H^0(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}) \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ is surjective and we chose a lift $\tilde{f} \in H^0(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}})$ of f . By construction, the image of $D(\tilde{f})_1 = D(f) \subseteq S_i \subseteq S \rightarrow A$ is contained in the affine scheme $\mathcal{A}_{i,1}$. So replacing \mathcal{S} with $D(\tilde{f})$ (and hence S with $D(f)$), we can assume that the image of $s : S \rightarrow A$ is contained in \mathcal{U}_1 , for some affine open subset $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ be the henselianization of \mathcal{S} with respect to $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}$ and let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$) be the base change of $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ (resp. $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$) along $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. Recall ([Sta20, Tag 0A02]) that $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ is the direct limit along the étale affine morphisms $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ inducing an isomorphism $\mathcal{Y}_1 \rightarrow S$. Since $(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}, p\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}})$ is henselian and \mathcal{U} is affine and smooth over $W(k)$, by [Ray72, Théorème 2] the natural map $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_1(S)$ is surjective

Since $s : S \rightarrow A$ factors through $s : S \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_1$, the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\vee(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{U}_1(S) & \longrightarrow & A^\vee(S) \end{array}$$

shows that $s : S \rightarrow A$ can be lifted to a $\tilde{s} : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\vee$. Since $s : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\vee$ is a finite type morphism, there exists an étale morphism $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ inducing an isomorphism modulo p , such that $\tilde{s} : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\vee$ descends to a morphism $\tilde{s} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\mathcal{Y}^\vee$. Hence the proof is concluded, replacing S with \mathcal{Y} . \square

4.2. Coherent and (log)-de Rham cohomology of semiabelian schemes. In this section we study the coherent and the (log)-de Rham cohomology of semiabelian schemes.

4.2.1. Global section of semi-abelian schemes. We start studying $f_*\mathcal{O}_G$. The following lemma, inspired from the proof of [EvdGM17, Theorem 9.1] essentially reduces the study the morphism $f_*\mathcal{O}_G \xrightarrow{\mu} f_*^2\mathcal{O}_{G^2}$ induced by multiplication map, to the case in which $G = \mathbb{G}_{m,S}$. If $r := (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we write $\underline{x}^r = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i^{r_i} \in R[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$.

Lemma 4.2.1.1. *Assume that $S = \text{Spec}(R)$ is the spectrum of a local ring with residue field k . For each $r := (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ let I_r be the smallest ideal on which the composite $r_i s : \mathbb{Z}_S \rightarrow A^\vee(R) \rightarrow A^\vee(R/I_r)$ is trivial for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, the natural morphism*

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_{G^n} \rightarrow h_*\mathbb{G}_{m,R}^n \simeq \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^n} R\underline{x}^r = R[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$$

is injective and there is an isomorphism

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_{G^n} \simeq \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \text{Ann}(I_r).$$

In particular $f_*\mathcal{O}_G$ identifies with

$$\left\{ \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_r \underline{x}^r \in R[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}] \text{ such that } a_r \in \text{Ann}(I_r) \right\} \subseteq R[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}].$$

Example 4.2.1.2.

- (1) Assume that R is field (or more generally a domain). Then $f_*\mathcal{O}_{G^n} = R$ if and only if s is a non torsion point of $A^\vee(S)$ (see [Bri17, Proposition 5.5.2]). If s is torsion of order $n > 0$, then

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_{G^n} \simeq \left\{ \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_r \underline{x}^r \text{ such that } a_r = 0 \text{ if there exist } i \text{ such that } r_i \notin n\mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

- (2) Assume that $R = k[T]/(T^2)$ with k a field and that s is a non torsion section reducing to the zero section after base change along $\text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow S$. Then

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_G = \left\{ \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} a_r x^r \text{ such that } a_r \in (T) \text{ if } r \neq 0 \right\}.$$

- (3) Assume that $R = k[[T_1, T_2]]/(T_1 T_2)$ with k a field and that s is a non torsion section with remains non torsion after base change along $\text{Spec}(k[[T_1]]) \rightarrow S$ and which is zero after base change along $\text{Spec}(k[[T_2]]) \rightarrow S$. Then

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_G = \left\{ \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} a_r x^r \text{ such that } a_r \in (T_2) \text{ if } r \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{L} be the degree 0 line bundle over A associated to the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor $G \rightarrow A$ and let $\alpha_i : A^n \rightarrow A$ be the projection on the i^{th} factor. Then

$$\pi_*^n \mathcal{O}_{G^n} \simeq \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r_i} \right)$$

We first prove that

$$(4.2.1.3) \quad f_*\mathcal{O}_{G^n} \simeq \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \text{Ann}(I_r).$$

We start observing that

$$f_*^n \mathcal{O}_G^n \simeq \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^n} g_*^n \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r_i} \right).$$

Since the relative canonical bundle of $A \rightarrow S$ is trivial, by Grothendieck duality ([Bri00, Theorem 5.1.2, Pag. 220]) there is a natural isomorphism

$$f_*^n \mathcal{O}_{G^n} \simeq \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^n} g_*^n \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r_i} \right) \simeq \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left(R^{nd} g_*^n \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes (-r_i)} \right) \right)^\vee.$$

Since $(R/I_r)^\vee$ is canonically isomorphic to $\text{Ann}(I_r)$, it is enough to show that

$$R^{nd}g_*^n \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(-r_i)} \right) \simeq R/I_r.$$

By cohomology and base change theorem, the natural base change map

$$\left(R^{nd}g_*^n \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(-r_i)} \right) \right) \otimes k \rightarrow H^{nd}(A_k^n, \bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}_k^{\otimes(-r_i)}) \simeq \bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} H^d(A_k, L_k^{\otimes(-r_i)})$$

is an isomorphism.

Assume first that one of the $\mathcal{L}_k^{\otimes(-r_i)}$ is non trivial, so that $r_i s : \mathbb{Z}_S \rightarrow A^\vee(R) \rightarrow A^\vee(k)$ is not trivial, hence $I_r = A$ and $\text{Ann}(I_r) = 0$. Then since $\mathcal{L}_k^{\otimes(-r_i)}$ is a non trivial degree 0 line bundle on A_k one has $H^g(A_k, \mathcal{L}_k^{\otimes(-r_i)}) = 0$, hence

$$\left(R^{nd}g_*^n \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(-r_i)} \right) \right) \otimes k \simeq \bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} H^d(A_k, \mathcal{L}_k^{\otimes(-r_i)}) = 0$$

so that

$$R^{nd}g_*^n \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(-r_i)} \right) = 0 = \text{Ann}(I_r).$$

Assume now that $\mathcal{L}_k^{\otimes(-r_i)}$ is trivial for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, hence $I_r \neq A$. Since

$$\left(R^{nd}g_*^n \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(-r_i)} \right) \right) \otimes k \simeq \bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} H^d(A_k, \mathcal{L}_k^{\otimes(-r_i)}) \simeq \bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} H^d(A_k, \mathcal{O}_{A_k}) \simeq \bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} k \simeq k$$

by Nakayama lemma there is a surjection

$$R \rightarrow R^{nd}g_*^n \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(-r_i)} \right),$$

hence an isomorphism

$$R^{nd}g_*^n \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(-r_i)} \right) \simeq R/J$$

for some ideal $J \subseteq R$. We are left to show that $J = I_r$.

We first show that $J \subseteq I_r$, using that $\mathcal{L}_{A_R/I_r}^{\otimes(-r_i)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{A_R/I_r}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Again by Nakayama lemma, the base change map

$$R/J \otimes R/I_r \simeq \left(R^{nd}g_*^n \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(-r_i)} \right) \right) \otimes R/I_r \rightarrow R^{nd}g_*^n \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}_{A_R/I_r}^{\otimes(-r_i)} \right)$$

is surjective. Hence, by our assumption, we get a surjection

$$R/(J+I_r) \simeq R/J \otimes R/I_r \rightarrow R^{nd}g_*^n \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}_{A_R/I_r}^{\otimes(-r_i)} \right) \simeq R^{nd}g_*^n \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{O}_{A_R/I_r} \right) \simeq R^{nd}g_*^n \mathcal{O}_{A_R/I_r}^n \simeq R/I_r,$$

hence $J \subseteq I_r$.

We now show that $I_r \subseteq J$. By the minimality of I_r , it is enough to show that $\mathcal{L}_{R/J}^{\otimes(-r_i)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{A_R/J}$ for all i , which is equivalent to say that $\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(-r_i)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{A_{R/J}^n}$. By Grothendieck duality ([Bri00, Corollary 5.1.3, Pag. 223]) there is a canonical commutative diagram whose horizontal arrows are isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{A^n}} \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(-r_i)}, \mathcal{O}_{A_{R/J}^n} \right) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{Hom}_R \left(R^{nd}g_*^n \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}_{A_R/I}^{\otimes(-r_i)} \right), R/J \right) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{Hom}_R(R/J, R/J) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{A^n}} \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(-r_i)}, \mathcal{O}_{A_k^n} \right) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{Hom}_R \left(R^{nd}g_*^n \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}_{A_R/I}^{\otimes(-r_i)} \right), k \right) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{Hom}_R(R/J, R/k). \end{array}$$

Since the right vertical arrow is surjective, all the vertical maps are surjective. Hence the isomorphism

$$\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}_k^{\otimes(-r_i)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{A_k^n} \text{ lifts to an isomorphism } \bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}_{R/J}^{\otimes(-r_i)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{A_{R/J}^n}$$

and this concludes the proof of (4.2.1.3).

We are left to prove that the natural morphism $f_*\mathcal{O}_{G^n} \rightarrow h_*\mathbb{G}_{m,R}^n$ is injective. Let $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{A^n}$ be ideal defining the closed sub-scheme $e : S \rightarrow A^n$, so that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A^n} \rightarrow e_*\mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $i : \mathbb{G}_{m,R}^n \rightarrow G^n$ identifies with the pullback of the zero section $e : S \rightarrow A$ along the flat affine morphism $\pi^n : G^n \rightarrow A^n$, we have $\pi^{n,*}e_*\mathcal{O}_S \simeq i_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_{m,R}^n}$, hence an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_*\pi^{n,*}I \rightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{G^n} \rightarrow \pi_*i_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_{m,R}^n} \rightarrow 0,$$

so that it is enough to show that $g_*\pi_*\pi^{n,*}I = 0$. Since $G^n \rightarrow A^n$ is a \mathbb{G}_m^n -bundle, the natural morphism

$$I \otimes \pi_*\mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow \pi_*\pi^{n,*}I \simeq \pi_*((\pi^{n,*}I) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{G^n})$$

is an isomorphism, hence we are left to prove that

$$g_*(I \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r_i} \right)) = 0$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^n = 0$. Since I is flat over S , by cohomology and base change it is enough to show that

$$H^0(A_k, I_k \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}_k^{\otimes r_i} \right)) = 0.$$

But since $A \rightarrow S$ is smooth, I_k identifies with ideal defining the image of the induced zero section $e_k : \text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow A_k$. Hence we are reduced to the case in which S is a field. In this case the statement follows from (4.2.1.3), see Remark 4.2.1.2(1). \square

4.2.2. Compactification. We construct a functorial compactification of $f : G \rightarrow A$. Regarding $\pi : G \rightarrow A$ as a \mathbb{G}_m -bundle, we can consider the its \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle compactification $\bar{\pi} : \bar{G} \rightarrow A$, fitting into a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{P}_S^1 & \xleftarrow{\bar{i}} & \bar{G} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & \searrow \bar{h} & \uparrow & \searrow \bar{f} & \swarrow g & & \\ & & S & \xrightarrow{f} & S & & \\ & \nearrow h & \downarrow & \nearrow f & \swarrow g & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{m,S} & \xrightarrow{i} & G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & A \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where $\mathbb{P}_S^1 \hookrightarrow \bar{G}$ is the inclusion of the pullback of $\bar{G} \rightarrow S$ along the zero section $e_A : S \rightarrow A$. We endow \bar{G} (resp. \mathbb{P}_S^1) with the log structure M (resp. N) induced by the open immersion $G \subseteq \bar{G}$ (resp. $\mathbb{G}_{m,S} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_S^1$) and we set $\bar{G}^{\log} := (\bar{G}, M)$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}_S^{1,\log} := (\mathbb{P}_S^1, N)$) for the associated log-schemes. Since $\bar{G} \rightarrow A$ is the projective bundle which compactifies $G \rightarrow A$ the complementary of $G \subseteq \bar{G}$ (resp. $\mathbb{G}_{m,S} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_S^1$) is a relative normal crossing divisor. In particular \bar{G}^{\log} (resp. $\mathbb{P}_S^{1,\log}$) is an fs log-schemes and the natural inclusion $\mathbb{P}_S^1 \rightarrow \bar{G}$ extends to a strict morphism of log-schemes $\mathbb{P}_S^{1,\log} \rightarrow \bar{G}^{\log}$. Moreover the morphisms $\bar{\pi} : \bar{G} \rightarrow A$, $\bar{f} : \bar{G} \rightarrow S$ and $\bar{h} : \mathbb{P}_S^1 \rightarrow S$ extends to log-smooth proper morphisms $\bar{\pi}^{\log} : \bar{G}^{\log} \rightarrow A$, $\bar{f}^{\log} : \bar{G}^{\log} \rightarrow S$ and $\bar{h}^{\log} : \mathbb{P}_S^{1,\log} \rightarrow S$, if we consider S and A as endowed with the trivial log-structures.

The construction is functorial in the following sense: if $S \rightarrow S'$ is a morphism of noetherian schemes and we perform the construction for $G_{S'} \rightarrow S'$, then we can obtain the construction over S just base changing along $S \rightarrow S'$ the construction over S' . In particular, from Lemma 4.1.1 we get the following.

Lemma 4.2.2.1. *Assume that S is affine.*

- (1) *If $S \rightarrow S'$ a nilpotent immersion then $\bar{G}^{\log} \rightarrow S$ has a lifting $\bar{G}_{S'}^{\log} \rightarrow S'$ to a proper log-smooth log schemes over S' , which can be chosen to be the natural \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle compactification of a lifting of the $\mathbb{G}_{m,S}$ -bundle obtained in Lemma 4.1.1(1).*
- (2) *Assume that S is smooth geometrically connected over a perfect field k and that $A \rightarrow S$ admits a principal polarization. Then upon replacing S with a finite étale cover, there exists a dense open subset of $U \subseteq S$, a smooth lift U_W of U over $W(k)$ and a lifting $\bar{G}_{U_W}^{\log} \rightarrow U_W$ to a proper log-smooth log schemes over U_W , which can be chosen to be the natural \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle compactification of a lifting of the $\mathbb{G}_{m,S}$ -bundle (A) obtained in Lemma 4.1.1(2).*

4.2.3. *Log de-Rham cohomology of semiabelian schemes.* We now turn to the study of the log-de Rham cohomology $H_{dR}^1(\overline{G}^{\log}/S)$ of $\overline{G}^{\log} \rightarrow S$. For a morphism $(Y, N) \rightarrow (X, M)$ of log-schemes, we write $\Omega_{(Y,N)/(X,M)}^1$ for the modules of log Kähler differentials. Recall that if $f : (Y, N) \rightarrow (X, M)$ is a log-smooth morphism of log-schemes there is a functorial log Hodge to de-Rham spectral sequence:

$$E_1^{a,b} := R^b f_* \Omega_{(Y,N)/(X,M)}^a \Rightarrow H_{dR}^{a+b}((Y, N)/(X, M)).$$

In this section we study the first log-de Rham cohomology of $f^{\log} : \overline{G}^{\log} \rightarrow S$ and we compare it with the group structure of $G \rightarrow S$. The main result is the following (together with Lemma 4.2.3.4).

Proposition 4.2.3.1. *The Hodge to de-Rham spectral sequence induces a canonical commutative exact diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & g_* \Omega_{A/S}^1 & \longrightarrow & H_{dR}^1(A/S) & \longrightarrow & R^1 g_* \mathcal{O}_A \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & f_* \Omega_{\overline{G}^{\log}/S}^1 & \longrightarrow & H_{dR}^1(\overline{G}^{\log}/S) & \longrightarrow & R^1 \overline{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & h_* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_S^{1,\log}}^1 & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & H_{dR}^1(\mathbb{P}_S^{1,\log}/S) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

We start dealing with the right part of the diagram

Lemma 4.2.3.2. *The natural morphism*

$$R^1 g_* \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow R^1 \overline{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Consider the exact sequence of low degree terms induced by the Leray spectral sequence for the composition $\overline{G} \xrightarrow{\overline{\pi}} A \xrightarrow{g} S$

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 g_* \overline{\pi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}} \rightarrow R^1 \overline{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}} \rightarrow g_* R^1 \overline{\pi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}}.$$

Since $\overline{\pi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_A$, it is enough to show that $R^1 \overline{\pi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}} = 0$. This in turn follows from proper base change in coherent cohomology since for every closed point $a \in A$ if \overline{G}_a is the fiber of $\overline{\pi} : \overline{G} \rightarrow A$ at a , one has

$$H^1(\overline{G}_a, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}_a}) = H^1(\mathbb{P}_{k(a)}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{k(a)}^1}) = 0,$$

since $\overline{G} \rightarrow A$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle. □

We then deal with the left part of the diagram.

Lemma 4.2.3.3. *The natural sequence of morphisms*

$$0 \rightarrow g_* \Omega_{A/S}^1 \rightarrow f_* \Omega_{\overline{G}^{\log}/S}^1 \rightarrow h_* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_S^{1,\log}}^1 \rightarrow 0.$$

is an exact sequence of locally free sheaves compatible with base change.

Proof. The conormal exact sequences for the (log)-smooth proper morphisms $\overline{\pi} : \overline{G} \rightarrow A$ and $\overline{\pi}^{\log} : \overline{G}^{\log} \rightarrow A$ ([Ogu18, Theorem 3.2.3, Pag.401]) induces a commutative exact diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{\pi}^* \Omega_{A/S}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\bar{G}/A}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{\pi}^* \Omega_{A/S}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}/S}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}/A}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\bar{G}-G} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathcal{O}_{\bar{G}-G} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0.
 \end{array}$$

Since $\bar{\pi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{G}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_A$ one has $\bar{\pi}_* \bar{\pi}^* \Omega_{A/S}^1 \simeq \Omega_{A/S}^1$. Hence applying \bar{f}_* we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & g_* \Omega_{A/S}^1 & \longrightarrow & \bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1 & \longrightarrow & \bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}/A}^1 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & g_* \Omega_{A/S}^1 & \longrightarrow & \bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}/S}^1 & \longrightarrow & \bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}/A}^1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{G}-G} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \bar{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{G}-G} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & R^1 \bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}/A}^1.
 \end{array}$$

Since $g_* \Omega_{A/S}^1$ is locally free and compatible with base change, it is enough to show that

- (1) $\bar{\pi}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}/A}^1 = 0$ and $\bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}/A}^1 = \mathcal{O}_S$;
- (2) The map $\bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}/S}^1 \rightarrow \bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}/A}^1$ is surjective.
- (3) There is a canonical isomorphism $\bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}/A}^1 \simeq \bar{h}_* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_S^1, \text{log}}^1$;
- (4) The equality $\bar{\pi}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}/A}^1 = 0$ follows from cohomology and base change, since, for every $a \in A$, one has $H^0(\bar{G}_a, \Omega_{\bar{G}_a/k(a)}^1) \simeq H^0(\mathbb{P}_{k(a)}^1, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{k(a)}^1}^1) = 0$. Since $\bar{\pi}_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{G}-G} \simeq \mathcal{O}_A \oplus \mathcal{O}_A$, this implies that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{\pi}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}/A}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_A \oplus \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow R^1 \bar{\pi}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}/A}^1$$

which identifies $\bar{\pi}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}/A}^1$ with the diagonal of $\mathcal{O}_A \oplus \mathcal{O}_A$. Hence $\bar{\pi}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}/A}^1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_A$ and the proof is concluded since $g : A \rightarrow S$ is a smooth proper morphism with geometrically connected fibers.

- (2) We can assume that S is the spectrum of a local ring, with closed point s . Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & g_* \Omega_{A/S}^1 & \longrightarrow & \bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}/S}^1 & \longrightarrow & \bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}/A}^1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & g_* \Omega_{A/S}^1 \otimes k(s) & \longrightarrow & \bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}/S}^1 \otimes k(s) & \longrightarrow & \bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}/A}^1 \otimes k(s) \\
 & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(A_s, \Omega_{A_s/k(s)}^1) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\bar{G}_s, \Omega_{\bar{G}_s^{\text{log}}/k(s)}^1) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\bar{G}_s, \Omega_{\bar{G}_s^{\text{log}}/A_s}^1) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

where the first and the third vertical arrow in the bottom part of the diagram are isomorphism, since $\bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}/A}^1 \simeq g_* \bar{\pi}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}/A}^1 \simeq g_* \mathcal{O}_A$ and $g_* \Omega_{A/S}^1$ are locally free and compatible with base change. Moreover, the first and the last rows are exact. By cohomology and base change, it is then enough to show that

the map

$$\bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1 \rightarrow \bar{H}^0(\bar{G}_s, \Omega_{\bar{G}_s/k(s)}^1)$$

is surjective. By dimension counting, we are left to prove that $\bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1 \otimes k(s) \rightarrow H^0(\bar{G}_s, \Omega_{\bar{G}_s/k(s)}^1)$ has dimension at least $d + 1$ (where d is the relative dimension of $g : A \rightarrow S$). To do this, we use the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1 \rightarrow \bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1 \rightarrow \bar{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{G}-G} \rightarrow R^1 \bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1.$$

Let D_1, D_2 be the two connected components of $\bar{G} - G$, so that the exact sequence becomes

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\bar{G}, \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1) \rightarrow H^0(\bar{G}, \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1) \rightarrow H^0(D_1, \mathcal{O}_{D_1}) \oplus H^0(D_2, \mathcal{O}_{D_2}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^1(\bar{G}, \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1),$$

where α sends the canonical generator c_i of $H^0(D_i, \mathcal{O}_{D_i}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_S(S)$ to the image of the class of D_i via the cycle class map

$$Pic(\bar{G}) \rightarrow H^1(\bar{G}, \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1).$$

Consider now the canonical commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(\bar{G}, \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\bar{G}, \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S(S) \oplus \mathcal{O}_S(S) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\bar{G}, \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & H^0(\bar{G}, \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1) \otimes k(s) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\bar{G}, \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1) \otimes k(s) & \longrightarrow & k(s) \oplus k(s) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\bar{G}, \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1) \otimes k(s) \\ & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(\bar{G}_s, \Omega_{\bar{G}_s/k(s)}^1) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\bar{G}_s, \Omega_{\bar{G}_s/k(s)}^1) & \longrightarrow & k(s) \oplus k(s) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\bar{G}_s, \Omega_{\bar{G}_s/k(s)}^1), \end{array}$$

where the first and the last rows are exact. Since the last row is exact and $c_{1,s} - c_{2,s}$ goes to zero in $H^1(\bar{G}_s, \Omega_{\bar{G}_s/k(s)}^1)$, the image of $H^0(\bar{G}, \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1) \otimes k(s) \rightarrow H^0(\bar{G}_s, \Omega_{\bar{G}_s/k(s)}^1)$ contains at least one element non included in $H^0(\bar{G}_s, \Omega_{\bar{G}_s/k(s)}^1)$, hence it has dimension at least $d + 1$. This concludes the proof of (2).

(3) By the previous point the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow g_* \Omega_{A/S}^1 \longrightarrow \bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1 \longrightarrow \bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}/A}^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Applying \bar{f}_* to the canonical morphism $\Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1 \rightarrow \bar{f}_* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_S^1, \log/S}^1$, we get a morphism $\bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1 \rightarrow \bar{h}_* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_S^1, \log/S}^1$ which is zero on $g_* \Omega_{A/S}^1$ and hence it induces a morphism $\bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}/A}^1 \rightarrow \bar{h}_* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_S^1, \log/S}^1$. Since both are locally free sheaves of rank one compatible with base change, it is enough to show that this morphism is surjective when $S = Spec(k)$. In this case, the statement follows from the fact that the morphism $H^0(\bar{G}, \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}_S^1, \log, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_S^1/S}^1)$ is non trivial. \square

To compare $\mathbb{D}[p^\infty]$ with $H_{\text{dR}}^1(\bar{G}^{\log}/S)$, we need to compare $\bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1$ with the group structure of G . This is essentially done in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2.3.4. *The natural map $\bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{G/S}^1$ induces an isomorphism $\bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1 \simeq \omega_{G/S}$.*

Proof. We can assume that S is a local ring. Recall from [BLR90, Section 4.2] that for a smooth commutative group scheme $p : H \rightarrow S$ over S there is a canonical isomorphism $p_* \Omega_{H/S}^1 \simeq \omega_{H/S} \otimes p_* \mathcal{O}_H$ and that $\omega_{H/S}$ is a locally free \mathcal{O}_S -module compatible with base change. Then there are canonical isomorphisms

$$g_* \Omega_{A/S}^1 \simeq \omega_{A/S}, \quad \bar{h}_* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_S^1, \log/S}^1 \simeq \omega_{\mathbb{G}_m, S/S}$$

and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{A/S} \rightarrow \omega_{G/S} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathbb{G}_m, S/S} \rightarrow 0.$$

We first prove that the natural map $\bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1 \rightarrow \omega_{G/S}$ is injective. Since $f_* \Omega_{G/S}^1 \simeq \omega_{G/S} \otimes f_* \mathcal{O}_G \subseteq \omega_{G/S} \otimes f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m, S}$ by Lemma 4.2.1.1, it is enough to show that $\bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1 \rightarrow \omega_{G/S} \otimes f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_m, S}$ is injective. Since both side are flat and compatible with base change, by [Sta20, Tag 00ME] we can assume that $S = Spec(k)$ with k a field. In this case, G and \bar{G} are reduced and irreducible, hence the statement follows from the fact that $j^* \Omega_{\bar{G}/S}^1 \simeq \Omega_{G/S}^1$.

Next we prove that the inclusion $\omega_{G/S} \subseteq f_*\Omega_{G/S}^1$ induces an inclusion $\omega_{G/S} \subseteq \bar{f}_*\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/S}^1$. Since there is a clear inclusion $\omega_{A/S} \simeq g_*\Omega_{A/S}^1 \subseteq f_*\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/S}^1$, the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{A/S} \rightarrow \omega_{G/S} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathbb{G}_{m,S}/S} \rightarrow 0.$$

shows that it is enough to prove that any global section z of $\omega_{G/S}$ mapping to a generator of $\omega_{\mathbb{G}_{m,S}/S}$ is inside $\bar{f}_*\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/S}^1$. But this is equivalent to ask that z has logarithmic pole around $\bar{G} - G$, hence it can be checked locally on \bar{G} . Then the statement follows since z around the divisor $\bar{G} - G$ is multiple of the natural differential form $1/xdx$.

So we are left to show that the inclusion $\omega_G \subseteq \bar{f}_*\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/S}^1$ is an equality. Since both side are compatible with base change, we may and do assume that $S = \text{Spec}(k)$ with k a field. In this case, $\omega_G \subseteq \bar{f}_*\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/S}^1$ is an inclusion between two vector spaces of dimension $g + 1$ hence an isomorphism. \square

We finally deal with the middle exact sequence of Proposition 4.2.3.1.

Lemma 4.2.3.5. *The Hodge to de-Rham spectral sequence for $\bar{G}^{\log} \rightarrow S$ induces a canonical exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \bar{f}_*\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/S}^1 \longrightarrow H_{dR}^1(\bar{G}^{\log}/S) \longrightarrow R^1\bar{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\bar{G}} \longrightarrow 0$$

Proof. The surjectivity of $H_{dR}^1(\bar{G}^{\log}/S) \rightarrow R^1\bar{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\bar{G}}$ follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{dR}^1(A/S) & \twoheadrightarrow & R^1\bar{g}_*\mathcal{O}_{\bar{A}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ H_{dR}^1(\bar{G}^{\log}/S) & \longrightarrow & R^1\bar{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\bar{G}} \end{array}$$

in which the left vertical arrow is an isomorphism by Lemma 4.2.3.2 and the top horizontal map is surjective, since the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence for $g : A \rightarrow S$ degenerates at the first page (see e.g. [BBM82, 2.5.2 and 2.5.3]). Hence we are left to show that the differential

$$\bar{f}_*\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/S}^1 \xrightarrow{d} \bar{f}_*\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/S}^2$$

is zero. We consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{f}_*\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/S}^1 & \xrightarrow{d} & \bar{f}_*\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/S}^2 \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \\ \omega_G & \xrightarrow{d} & f_*\Omega_{G/S}^2 \end{array}$$

in which the right vertical isomorphism is induced by Lemma 4.2.3.4. Since invariant differentials are closed, it is enough to show that

$$\bar{f}_*\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/S}^2 \rightarrow f_*\Omega_{G/S}^2 \simeq (\wedge^2\omega_G) \otimes f_*\mathcal{O}_G$$

is injective. By Lemma 4.2.1.1, this is equivalent to show that

$$f_*\Omega_{G/S}^2 \rightarrow (\wedge^2\omega_G) \otimes f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_{m,S}}$$

is injective. Since $(\wedge^2\omega_G) \otimes f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}_{m,S}}$ is compatible with base change and $j : G \subseteq \bar{G}$ is an open immersion of schemes smooth over S such that $j^*\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}}^2 \simeq \Omega_{G/S}^2$, this would follow once we knew that $\bar{f}_*\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/S}^2$ is locally free and compatible with base change. Since $\bar{f}_*\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/S}^1$ is locally free and compatible with base change by Lemma 4.2.3.3, it is enough to show that the natural map $\bar{f}_*\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/S}^2 \rightarrow \wedge^2\bar{f}_*\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/S}^1$ is an isomorphism. Since $\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/A}^1$ and $f_*\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/A}^1$ are locally free of rank 1, applying \wedge^2 to the conormal exact sequence for $\bar{G}^{\log} \rightarrow A$ we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^2\bar{\pi}^*\Omega_{A/S}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/S}^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/A}^1 \otimes \bar{\pi}^*\Omega_{A/S}^1 \rightarrow 0,$$

while applying \wedge^2 to the exact sequence of Lemma 4.2.3.3 we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^2g_*\Omega_{A/S}^1 \rightarrow \wedge^2\bar{f}_*\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/S}^1 \rightarrow (f_*\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/A}^1) \otimes g_*\Omega_{A/S}^1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence we get a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \wedge^2 g_* \Omega_{A/S}^1 & \longrightarrow & \wedge^2 \bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/S}^1 & \longrightarrow & (\bar{f}_* \Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/A}^1) \otimes g_* \Omega_{A/S}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{f}_*(\wedge^2 \pi^* \Omega_{A/S}^1) & \longrightarrow & \bar{f}_*(\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/S}^2) & \longrightarrow & \bar{f}_*(\Omega_{\bar{G}^{\log}/A}^1 \otimes \pi^* \Omega_{A/S}^1).
\end{array}$$

Since $\Omega_{A/S}^1 \simeq g^* \omega_A$, by the projection formula the left and the right hand vertical arrows are isomorphisms and we conclude the proof by diagram chasing. \square

We can now prove Proposition 4.2.3.1

Proof of Proposition 4.2.3.1. By Lemma 4.2.3.3, the column on the left is exact. Since the Hodge to de-Rham spectral sequence for the abelian scheme $A \rightarrow S$ degenerates (see e.g. [BBM82, 2.5.2 and 2.5.3]), the first row is exact. Also, the isomorphism of the last row is clear. By Lemma 4.2.3.2, the right column is exact. By Lemma 4.2.3.5 one has the middle exact row. Since the three lines are exact, the left and the right columns are exact and the morphism

$$H_{dR}^1(A/S) \rightarrow H_{dR}^1(\bar{G}^{\log}/S) \rightarrow H_{dR}^1(\mathbb{P}_S^{1,\log}/S)$$

is zero (since it factors through $H_{dR}^1(S/S) = 0$), the proof is concluded by applying the nine lemma. \square

5. OVERCONVERGENCE OF THE DIEUDONNÉ-CRYSTAL OF A SEMIABELIAN SCHEME

From now on, assume that S is a noetherian scheme of characteristic p . In this section we prove Theorem 1.4.4.3. We refer the reader to Section 1.4.6 for the strategy of the proof. We carry out Step (1) in Section 5.1 while in Section 5.2 we carry out Steps (2) and (3). We finish the proof in Section 5.3 completing Step (4). Until Section 5.3, we retain the notation of Section 4, since our proofs works in this general setting. In Section 5.3, we restrict to the case in which $S = X$ is a smooth k -variety over a perfect field k .

5.1. Higher direct image in crystalline cohomology and Dieudonné module of semi-abelian schemes. In this section we carry out Step (1). Let $\mathcal{CRIS}(S)$ be the big crystalline site of S (endowed with the fppf topology) with respect to \mathbb{Z}_p , $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)$ its category of sheaves in abelian group and let $\mathcal{O}_S^{\text{crys}}$ be the structural sheaf. For every \mathcal{F} in $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)$ and every (U, T, γ) in $\mathcal{CRIS}(S)$ let \mathcal{F}_T be the associated sheaf in $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{fppf}}(T)$.

For every \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} in $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)$ let $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ be the first Ext-sheaf of \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} in $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)$. Let

$$i_* : \mathbf{SH}_{\text{fppf}}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)$$

be the natural left exact functor ([BBM82, 1.1.4.2, Pag. 14]) and, if H in $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{fppf}}(S)$ is a representable functor, write $\underline{H} := i_* H$. We often keep the same notation also if H is a p -divisible group. Recall that ([BBM82, Theorem 3.3.3, Page 141]) the Dieudonné crystal of $G[p^\infty]$ is the defined as the Ext-sheaf (in $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)$)

$$\mathbb{D}(G[p^\infty]) := \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)}^1(i_* G[p^\infty], \mathcal{O}_S^{\text{crys}}).$$

By [BBM82], it is a locally free F-isocrystals of rank $2d + 1$.

In this section we give the following other crystalline-theoretic interpretation of $\mathbb{D}(G[p^\infty])$.

Proposition 5.1.1. *In $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)$, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$j_G : \mathbb{D}(G[p^\infty]) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Ker}(R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{crys}} \xrightarrow{p_1^* + p_2^* - \mu^*} R^1 f_{\text{crys},*}^2 \mathcal{O}_{G^2}^{\text{crys}}).$$

Remark 5.1.2. By [BBM82, Theorem 2.5.6, Pag. 104] and its proof, if $G = A$ is an abelian variety then

$$R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_A^{\text{crys}} \xrightarrow{p_1^* + p_2^* - \mu^*} R^1 f_{\text{crys},*}^2 \mathcal{O}_{A^2}^{\text{crys}}$$

is the zero map, so that j_A induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{D}(A[p^\infty]) \simeq R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_A^{\text{crys}}$.

Proof of Proposition 5.1.1. By Lemma 5.1.5 below, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)}^1(i_* G[p^\infty], \mathcal{O}_S^{\text{crys}}) \simeq \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)}^1(\underline{G}, \mathcal{O}_S^{\text{crys}}).$$

Hence it is enough to prove that

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)}^1(\underline{G}, \mathcal{O}_S^{\text{crys}}) \simeq \text{Ker}(R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{crys}} \xrightarrow{p_1^* + p_2^* - \mu^*} R^1 f_{\text{crys},*}^2 \mathcal{O}_{G^2}^{\text{crys}}).$$

For an abelian group object H in $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)$, set $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ in $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)$ for the free group generated by H . As in [BBM82, 2.1.5, Pag. 55], we consider the complex

$$C_\bullet^{(3)}(\underline{G}) := \mathbb{Z}[\underline{G}^4] \times \mathbb{Z}[\underline{G}^3] \times \mathbb{Z}[\underline{G}^3] \times \mathbb{Z}[\underline{G}^2] \times \mathbb{Z}[\underline{G}] \xrightarrow{\delta_3} \mathbb{Z}[\underline{G}^3] \times \mathbb{Z}[\underline{G}^2] \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \mathbb{Z}[\underline{G}^2] \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \mathbb{Z}[\underline{G}]$$

with $\mathbb{Z}[\underline{G}]$ in degree zero and where the differential are defined as follows:

- $\delta_1[x, y] = -[y] + [x + y] - [x]$,

- $-\delta_2[x, y, z] = -[y, z] + [x + y, z] - [x, y + z] + [x, y],$
- $-\delta_2[x, y] = [x, y] - [y, x],$
- $-\delta_3[x, y, z, w] = -[y, z, w] + [x + y, z, w] - [x, y + z, w] + [x, y, z + w] - [x, y, z],$
- $-\delta_3[x, y, z] = -[y, z] + [x + y, z] - [x, z] - [x, y, z] + [x, z, y] - [z, x, y]$ for the first $\mathbb{Z}[\underline{G}^3]$ factor,
- $-\delta_3[x, y, z] = -[x, z] + [x, y + z] - [x, y] + [x, y, z] - [y, x, z] - [y, z, x]$ for the second $\mathbb{Z}[\underline{G}^3]$ factor,
- $-\delta_3[x, y] = [x, y] + [y, x],$
- $-\delta_3[x] = [x, x].$

By [BBM82, Proposition 2.1.4, Pag. 55], there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)}^1(\underline{G}, \mathcal{O}_S^{\text{crys}}) \simeq \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)}^1(C_{\bullet}^{(3)}(\underline{G}), \mathcal{O}_S^{\text{crys}}).$$

The naive truncation filtration on the complex of sheaves $C_{\bullet}^{(n)}(\underline{G})$ induces a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{a,b} := \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)}^b(C_a^{(3)}(\underline{G}), \mathcal{O}_S^{\text{crys}}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)}^{a+b}(C_{\bullet}^{(3)}(\underline{G}), \mathcal{O}_S^{\text{crys}}).$$

On the other hand, by [BBM82, Proposition 1.3.4., Pag 40], for every $n \geq 1$ there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(5.1.3) \quad \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)}^i(\mathbb{Z}[\underline{G}^n], \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{crys}}) \simeq R^i f_{\text{crys},*}^n \mathcal{O}_{G^n}^{\text{crys}}.$$

Hence we get a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{a,b} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)}^{a+b}(\underline{G}, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{crys}})$$

with first terms³

$$R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_G \xrightarrow{\delta_1^*} R^1 f^2 \mathcal{O}_{G^2}$$

$$f_* \mathcal{O}_G \xrightarrow{\delta_1^*} f_*^2 \mathcal{O}_{G^2} \xrightarrow{\delta_2^*} f_*^2 \mathcal{O}_{G^2} \oplus f_*^3 \mathcal{O}_{G^3} \xrightarrow{\delta_3^*} f_*^4 \mathcal{O}_{G^4} \oplus f_*^3 \mathcal{O}_{G^3} \oplus f_*^3 \mathcal{O}_{G^4} \oplus f_*^2 \mathcal{O}_{G^2} \oplus f_* \mathcal{O}_G,$$

where the differential are induced (contravariantly) by the δ_i via the isomorphism (5.1.3). The low-degrees exact sequence is then

$$0 \rightarrow E_2^{1,0} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)}^1(\underline{G}, \mathcal{O}_S^{\text{crys}}) \rightarrow E_2^{0,1} \rightarrow E_2^{2,0}.$$

Since $\delta_1[x, y] = -[y] + [x + y] - [x]$, one sees that

$$\delta_1^* : R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow R^1 f_{\text{crys},*}^2 \mathcal{O}_{G^2}^{\text{crys}}$$

identifies with

$$R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{crys}} \xrightarrow{p_1^* + p_2^* - \mu^*} R^1 f_{\text{crys},*}^2 \mathcal{O}_{G^2}^{\text{crys}}.$$

In particular

$$E_2^{0,1} \simeq \text{Ker}(R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{crys}} \xrightarrow{p_1^* + p_2^* - \mu^*} R^1 f_{\text{crys},*}^2 \mathcal{O}_{G^2}^{\text{crys}}),$$

so that it is enough to show that $E_2^{1,0} = 0 = E_2^{2,0}$, which is equivalent to say that³

$$f_* \mathcal{O}_G \xrightarrow{\delta_1^*} f_*^2 \mathcal{O}_{G^2} \xrightarrow{\delta_2^*} f_*^2 \mathcal{O}_{G^2} \oplus f_*^3 \mathcal{O}_{G^3} \xrightarrow{\delta_3^*} f_*^4 \mathcal{O}_{G^4} \oplus f_*^3 \mathcal{O}_{G^3} \oplus f_*^3 \mathcal{O}_{G^4} \oplus f_*^2 \mathcal{O}_{G^2} \oplus f_* \mathcal{O}_G$$

is exact .

To prove this, we use Lemma 4.2.1.1. Let (U, T, δ) be in $\mathcal{CRJS}(S)$, so that $U \rightarrow X$ is a flat morphism and $U \rightarrow T$ is a nilpotent immersion.

It is then enough to show that the sequence

$$(f_* \mathcal{O}_G)_T \xrightarrow{\delta_1^*} (f_*^2 \mathcal{O}_{G^2})_T \xrightarrow{\delta_2^*} (f_*^2 \mathcal{O}_{G^2} \oplus f_*^3 \mathcal{O}_{G^3})_T \xrightarrow{\delta_3^*} (f_*^4 \mathcal{O}_{G^4} \oplus f_*^3 \mathcal{O}_{G^3} \oplus f_*^3 \mathcal{O}_{G^4} \oplus f_*^2 \mathcal{O}_{G^2} \oplus f_* \mathcal{O}_G)_T$$

is exact. For this we can assume that U and T are affine. Then, since $U \rightarrow T$ a nilpotent immersion, by Lemma 4.1.1 G_U lifts to a smooth group scheme $f_T : G_T \rightarrow T$ which is an extension of an abelian T -scheme by $\mathbb{G}_{m,T}$. By [BBM82, (1.1.16.4), Pag. 26] and the comparison between de-Rham and crystalline cohomology, there is a natural isomorphism

$$(f_{\text{crys},*}^n \mathcal{O}_{G^n}^{\text{crys}})_T \simeq H_{\text{dR}}^0(G_T/T) := \text{Ker}(f_*^n \mathcal{O}_{G_T^n} \xrightarrow{d} f_*^n \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{G_T^n}}^1)$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{G_T^n} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{G_T^n}}^1$ is the natural differential and $f_T^n : G_T^n \rightarrow T$ is the structural morphism. Then we may and do assume that $T = \text{Spec}(R)$ is local. As in Lemma 4.2.1.1, for $r := (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we let $I_r \subseteq R$ be the smallest ideal on which the composite $r_i s : \mathbb{Z}_S \rightarrow A^\vee(R) \rightarrow A^\vee(R/I_r)$ is trivial for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then by Lemma 4.2.1.1, there is a natural isomorphism

$$f_{T,*} \mathcal{O}_{G_T^n} \simeq \left\{ \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_r \underline{x}^r \text{ such that } a_r \in \text{Ann}(I_r) \right\} \subseteq R[x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1}],$$

³We write f_* and \mathcal{O}_G instead of $f_{\text{crys},*}$ and $\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{crys}}$ in the diagram below to ease the notation

hence we get

$$H_{\text{dR}}^0(G_T^n/T) := \left\{ \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_r \underline{x}^r \text{ such that } a_r \in \text{Ann}(I_r) \text{ and for all } 0 \leq j \leq n, r_j a_r = 0 \right\}.$$

So we are left to check that the sequences

$$H_{\text{dR}}^0(G_T/T) \xrightarrow{\delta_1^*} H_{\text{dR}}^0(G_T^2/T) \xrightarrow{\delta_2^*} H_{\text{dR}}^0(G_T^2/T) \oplus H_{\text{dR}}^0(G_T^3/T) \quad \text{and}$$

$$H_{\text{dR}}^0(G_T^2/T) \xrightarrow{\delta_2^*} H_{\text{dR}}^0(G_T^2/T) \oplus H_{\text{dR}}^0(G_T^3/T) \xrightarrow{\delta_3^*} H_{\text{dR}}^0(G_T/T) \oplus H_{\text{dR}}^0(G_T^2/T) \oplus H_{\text{dR}}^0(G_T^3/T) \oplus H_{\text{dR}}^0(G_T^3/T) \oplus H_{\text{dR}}^0(G_T^4/T)$$

are exact, where the morphisms are explicitly defined by

- $\delta_1^*(f(x_1)) = f(x_1) + f(x_2) - f(x_1x_2)$
- $\delta_2^*(f(x_1, x_2)) = \left(f(x_1, x_2) - f(x_2, x_1), -f(x_2, x_3) + f(x_1x_2, x_3) - f(x_1, x_2x_3) + f(x_1, x_2) \right)$
- $\delta_3^*(f(x_1, x_2), g(x_1, x_2, x_3)) =$

$$\left(f(x_1, x_1), f(x_1, x_2) + f(x_2, x_1), \right.$$

$$-f(x_1, x_3) + f(x_1, x_2x_3) - f(x_1, x_2) + g(x_1, x_2, x_3) - g(x_2, x_1, x_3) + g(x_2, x_3, x_1),$$

$$-f(x_2, x_3) + f(x_1x_2, x_3) - f(x_1, x_3) - g(x_1, x_2, x_3) + g(x_1, x_3, x_2) - g(x_3, x_1, x_2),$$

$$\left. -g(x_2, x_3, x_4) + g(x_1x_2, x_3, x_4) - g(x_1, x_2x_3, x_4) + g(x_1, x_2, x_3x_4) - g(x_1, x_2, x_3) \right).$$

Since we already know that $\delta_i^* \circ \delta_{i-1}^* = 0$ for $i = 2, 3$, it is enough to show that

- (1) $\text{Ker}(\delta_2^*) \subseteq \text{Im}(\delta_1^*)$
- (2) $\text{Ker}(\delta_3^*) \subseteq \text{Im}(\delta_2^*)$

We carry out this easy, but tedious, computation.

(1) Let

$$f(x_1, x_2) := \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} a_{i,j} x_1^i x_2^j \in \text{Ker}(\delta_2^*)$$

so that

$$a_{i,j} \in \text{Ann}(I_{(i,j)}) \text{ and } ia_{i,j} = ja_{i,j} = 0$$

and

- (a) $f(x_1, x_2) = f(x_2, x_1)$,
- (b) $-f(x_2, x_3) + f(x_1x_2, x_3) - f(x_1, x_2x_3) + f(x_1, x_2) = 0$.

Observing that $a_{i,0} \in \text{Ann}(I_{(i,0)}) = \text{Ann}(I_i)$ and $ia_{i,0} = 0$, we define $g(x_1) := -\sum_i a_{i,0} x_1^i \in H_{\text{dR}}^0(G_T/T)$ and we claim that $\delta_1^*(g(x_1)) = f(x_1, x_2)$. This amounts to show that $a_{i,j} = 0$ if $0 \neq i \neq j \neq 0$ and $a_{i,0} = -a_{i,i} = a_{0,i}$ for all i .

Looking in (b) at the coefficients of the monomials in which all the three variables appear with non zero exponent, we see that $a_{i,j} = 0$ if $0 \neq i \neq j \neq 0$. For $i > 0$, looking in (b) at the coefficients of the monomials of the form $x_2^i x_3^i$ we see that $-a_{i,i} - a_{0,i} = 0$. Since (a) is equivalent to $a_{i,j} = a_{j,i}$, this implies that $a_{i,i} = -a_{i,0} = -a_{0,i}$.

(2) Let

$$\left(f(x_1, x_2) := \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} a_{i,j} x_1^i x_2^j, \quad g(x_1, x_2, x_3) := \sum_{(i,j,k) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} b_{i,j,k} x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k \right) \in \text{Ker}(\delta_3^*)$$

so that

$$a_{i,j} \in \text{Ann}(I_{(i,j)}), \quad ia_{i,j} = ja_{i,j} = 0; \quad b_{i,j,k} \in \text{Ann}(I_{(i,j,k)}), \quad ib_{i,j,k} = jb_{i,j,k} = kb_{i,j,k} = 0;$$

and

- (a) $f(x_1, x_1) = 0$,
- (b) $f(x_1, x_2) = -f(x_2, x_1)$
- (c) $-f(x_1, x_3) + f(x_1, x_2x_3) - f(x_1, x_2) + g(x_1, x_2, x_3) - g(x_2, x_1, x_3) + g(x_2, x_3, x_1) = 0$,
- (d) $-f(x_2, x_3) + f(x_1x_2, x_3) - f(x_1, x_3) - g(x_1, x_2, x_3) + g(x_1, x_3, x_2) - g(x_3, x_1, x_2) = 0$,
- (e) $-g(x_2, x_3, x_4) + g(x_1x_2, x_3, x_4) - g(x_1, x_2x_3, x_4) + g(x_1, x_2, x_3x_4) - g(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0$.

Observing that $b_{i,j,0} \in \text{Ann}(I_{(i,j,0)}) = \text{Ann}(I_{(i,j)})$, $ib_{i,j,0} = jb_{i,i,0} = 0$, $b_{0,i,i} \in \text{Ann}(I_{(0,i,i)}) = \text{Ann}(I_{(i,i)}) \ni b_{i,i,0}$ and $ib_{i,i,0} = 0 = ib_{0,i,i}$, we define $h(x_1, x_2) := \sum c_{i,j} x_1^i x_2^j \in H_{\text{dR}}^0(G_T^2/T)$ via

$$(5.1.4) \quad c_{i,j} = \begin{cases} b_{ij0} & \text{if } 0 \neq i \neq j \neq 0 \\ b_{ii0} & \text{if } i \neq j = 0 \\ -b_{0jj} & \text{if } j \neq i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

We claim that $\delta_2^*(h(x_1, x_2)) = (f(x_1, x_2), g(x_1, x_2, x_3))$. This amounts to show that

- $c_{i,j} - c_{j,i} = a_{i,j}$;
- $b_{i,j,k} = b_{i,0,j} = b_{i,0,0} = b_{0,0,i} = b_{i,i,i} = b_{0,0,0} = b_{i,j,i} = b_{i,0,i} = 0$, if i, j, k are pairwise different and all different from zero;
- $b_{0,i,j} = -c_{i,j}$, $b_{0,i,0} = -c_{i,0} + c_{0,i}$, $b_{0,i,i} = -c_{i,i} - c_{0,i}$, $b_{i,i,0} = c_{i,i} + c_{i,0}$, $b_{i,i,j} = c_{i,j}$, $b_{i,j,j} = -c_{i,j}$, $b_{i,j,0} = c_{i,j}$ if $0 \neq i \neq j \neq 0$.

Equalities (a) and (b) together are equivalent to $a_{i,i} = 0$ and $a_{i,j} = -a_{j,i}$. Let i, j, k be three integers pairwise different and all different from 0. Looking at the equation (e) we find that

- $b_{i,j,k} = 0$ (looking at the monomials with all the exponents of x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 are non-zero);
- $b_{0,0,0} = b_{i,i,i} = 0$ (looking at the monomials with all the exponents of x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 equal between them);
- $b_{i,j,i} = 0$ (looking at the coefficients of the monomial $x_2^i x_3^j x_4^i$);
- $b_{i,0,j} = 0$ (looking at the coefficients of the monomial $x_2^i x_4^j$);
- $b_{i,j,j} = b_{0,i,j}$ (looking at the coefficients of the monomial $x_2^i x_3^j x_4^j$);
- $b_{0,i,j} = -b_{i,j,0}$ (looking at the coefficients of the monomial $x_2^i x_3^j$);
- $b_{0,i,j} = -b_{i,i,j}$ (looking at the coefficients of the monomial $x_2^i x_3^i x_4^j$);
- $b_{i,0,0} = 0 = b_{0,0,i}$ (looking at the coefficients of the monomials x_2^i and x_3^i);
- $b_{0,i,0} = -b_{0,i,i} - b_{i,i,0}$ (looking at the coefficients of the monomials $x_2^i x_3^i$);
- $b_{i,0,i} = 0$ (looking at the coefficients of the monomials $x_2^i x_4^i$).

If $0 \neq i \neq j \neq 0$, looking at the coefficients of the monomial $x_1^i x_2^j x_3^j$ in (c), we see that $a_{i,j} = -b_{i,j,j} + b_{j,i,j} - b_{j,j,i}$, hence that $a_{i,j} = -b_{0,i,j} + b_{0,j,i} = b_{i,j,0} - b_{j,i,0}$. Looking at the coefficients of the monomial x_2^i in (d) we get $a_{i,0} = -b_{0,i,0}$, hence $a_{i,0} = +b_{0,i,i} + b_{i,i,0}$ and $a_{0,i} = -a_{i,0} = -b_{i,i,0} - b_{0,i,i}$. This shows that $\delta_2^*(h(x_1, x_2)) = (f(x_1, x_2), g(x_1, x_2, x_3))$.

Lemma 5.1.5. *The natural inclusion $G[p^\infty] \subseteq G$ induces a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}^1(S)}^1(i_* G[p^\infty], \mathcal{O}_S^{\text{crys}}) \simeq \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}^1(S)}^1(\underline{G}, \mathcal{O}_S^{\text{crys}})$$

Proof. This is similar to [BBM82, Proposition 3.3.7, Pag. 144]. Let $H := G/G[p^\infty]$ be the quotient sheaf in $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{fppf}}(X)$ and consider the commutative exact diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G[p] & \xlongequal{\quad} & G[p] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G[p^\infty] & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & H \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow p \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G[p^\infty] & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & H \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0. \end{array}$$

By [BBM82, Proposition 1.1.7 and Corollaire 1.1.8, Pag. 17] we have $R^1 i_* G[p^\infty] = 0$ and $R^1 i_* G[p] = 0$ so that we get a commutative exact diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{G[p]} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \underline{G[p]} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & i_*G[p^\infty] & \longrightarrow & \underline{G} & \longrightarrow & i_*H \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow p & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow p \\
0 & \longrightarrow & i_*G[p^\infty] & \longrightarrow & \underline{G} & \longrightarrow & i_*H \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0.
\end{array}$$

In particular there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow i_*G[p^\infty] \rightarrow \underline{G} \rightarrow i_*H \rightarrow 0$$

of sheaves in $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)$, so that it is enough to show that $\mathcal{E}xt^i_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)}(i_*H, \mathcal{O}_S^{\text{crys}}) = 0$ for every $i \geq 0$. Since the multiplication by $p : H \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism, also the multiplication by $p : i_*H \rightarrow i_*H$ is an isomorphism. Since $\mathcal{O}_S^{\text{crys}}$ is killed, locally in the crystalline site, by a power of p , one has $\mathcal{E}xt^i_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)}(i_*H, \mathcal{O}_S^{\text{crys}}) = 0$ for every $i \geq 0$. \square

5.2. Comparison between Dieudonné module and relative log-crystalline cohomology. In this section we compare $\mathbb{D}(G[p^\infty])$ with the log crystalline cohomology of $\bar{f}^{\text{log}} : \bar{G}^{\text{log}} \rightarrow S$, implementing Steps (2) and (3) in the proof of Theorem 1.4.4.3.

5.2.1. Log-crystalline cohomology of semi-abelian schemes. We start translating the results of Section 4.2.3 in the language of log-crystalline cohomology.

For every fine saturated log \mathbb{F}_p -scheme (Y, N) , let $\mathcal{CRIS}((Y, N))$ be the log-crystalline site of (Y, S) with respect to \mathbb{Z}_p , $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}((Y, N))$ its category of sheaves on abelian group and $\mathcal{O}_{(Y, N)}^{\text{crys}}$ be the structural sheaf. If T is a log-scheme with the trivial log-structure, for every morphism $t^{\text{log}} : (Y, N) \rightarrow T$, there is a natural functor

$$t_{\text{crys},*} : \mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}((Y, N)) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(T)$$

and its higher direct image functors $R^i t_{\text{crys},*}$.

Corollary 5.2.1. *There is a canonical exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 g_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_A^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow R^1 \bar{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}}^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow R^1 \bar{h}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1, \log}^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow 0$$

of sheaves in $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)$. In particular $R^1 \bar{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}}^{\text{crys}}$ is a locally free crystal compatible with base change.

Proof. Let (U, T, δ) be in $\mathcal{CRIS}(X)$ so that $U \rightarrow X$ is flat and $U \rightarrow T$ is a nilpotent immersion. We can assume that U and T are affine.

By Lemma 4.2.2.1 we can lift $\bar{f}_U^{\text{log}} : \bar{G}_U^{\text{log}} \rightarrow U$ to a proper log-smooth morphism $\bar{G}_T^{\text{log}} \rightarrow T$, which can be chosen to be the natural \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle compactification of a lifting of $f_U : G \rightarrow U$ given by lemma 4.1.1(1). Then, by the comparison between log-crystalline and log-de-Rham cohomology, the conclusion follows from Lemma 4.2.3.1. \square

We prove the following.

Proposition 5.2.2. *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$R^1 \bar{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}}^{\text{crys}} \simeq \mathbb{D}(G)$$

in $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)$.

Proof. By Proposition 5.1.1, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(G) \rightarrow R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{crys}} \xrightarrow{p_1^* + p_2^* - \mu^*} R^1 f_{\text{crys},*}^2 \mathcal{O}_{G^2}^{\text{crys}}$$

in $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)$. The inclusion of log-schemes $j : G \subseteq \overline{G}^{\text{log}}$ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(\overline{G}^{\text{log}}) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & \mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(G) \\ & \searrow \overline{f}_*^{\text{log}} & \swarrow f_* \\ & \mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S) & \end{array}$$

hence a natural map

$$R^1 \overline{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}^{\text{log}}}^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{crys}}.$$

We will prove that $R^1 \overline{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}^{\text{log}}}^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{crys}} \xrightarrow{p_1^* + p_2^* - \mu^*} R^1 f_{\text{crys},*}^2 \mathcal{O}_{G^2}^{\text{crys}}$ is zero and it induces an isomorphism

$$R^1 \overline{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}^{\text{log}}}^{\text{crys}} \simeq \mathbb{D}(G).$$

We claim that it is enough to show that $R^1 \overline{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}^{\text{log}}}^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{crys}} \xrightarrow{p_1^* + p_2^* - \mu^*} R^1 f_{\text{crys},*}^2 \mathcal{O}_{G^2}^{\text{crys}}$ is zero and that it induces an isomorphism if $A = 0$.

Assume this is the case, so that, in particular, there is a natural morphism $\psi_G : R^1 \overline{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}^{\text{log}}}^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(G)$. Since the p -divisible group $G[p^\infty]$ of G , fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,S}[p^\infty] \rightarrow G[p^\infty] \rightarrow A[p^\infty] \rightarrow 0,$$

by [BBM82, Proposition 1.1.7, Pag.16] we also have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{G}_{m,S}[p^\infty]} \rightarrow \underline{G[p^\infty]} \rightarrow \underline{A[p^\infty]} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(S)}^0(\underline{\mathbb{G}_{m,S}[p^\infty]}, \mathcal{O}_S^{\text{crys}}) = 0$ by [BBM82, Théorème 3.3.3(i), Pag. 141], by Lemma 5.2.1 we get a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & R^1 \overline{g}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_A^{\text{crys}} & \longrightarrow & R^1 \overline{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}^{\text{log}}}^{\text{crys}} & \longrightarrow & R^1 \overline{h}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S^{1,\text{log}}}^{\text{crys}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \psi_A & & \downarrow \psi_G & & \downarrow \psi_{\mathbb{G}_{m,S}} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{D}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{D}(G) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{G}_{m,S}) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

By Remark 5.1.2, the left vertical arrow is an isomorphism and the right vertical arrow is an isomorphism by our claim. Hence the middle arrow is an isomorphism by the snake lemma. So we are left to show the claim.

5.2.2. Translation into de-Rham cohomology. Let (U, T, δ) be in $\mathcal{CRIS}(X)$ so that $U \rightarrow X$ is flat and $U \rightarrow T$ is a nilpotent immersion. We can assume that U and T are affine, so that, by Lemmas 4.1.1 and 4.2.2.1, G lifts to a smooth group scheme $f_T : G_T \rightarrow T$ fitting into an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,T} \rightarrow G_T \xrightarrow{\pi_T} A_T \rightarrow 0$$

of smooth group schemes over T and there is a diagram of smooth log-schemes over T

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{P}_T^1 & \longleftarrow & \overline{G}_T^{\text{log}} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\pi}_T^{\text{log}}} & A_T & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & \searrow \overline{h}_T^{\text{log}} & \uparrow j & \searrow \overline{f}_T^{\text{log}} & \swarrow g_T & & \\ & & T & \xrightarrow{f_T} & T & & \\ & \nearrow h_T & \downarrow & \nearrow f_T & \swarrow g_T & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{m,T} & \longrightarrow & G_T & \xrightarrow{\pi_T} & A_T \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

such that $\overline{G}_T \rightarrow T$ is the natural \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle compactification of $G_T \rightarrow T$. By the comparison between (log) de-Rham and (log) crystalline cohomology, what we need to show is that

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(\overline{G}_T^{\text{log}}/T) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T/T) \xrightarrow{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T^2/T)$$

is zero and that it induces an isomorphism

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(\overline{G}_T^{\text{log}}/T) \simeq \text{Ker}(H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T/T) \xrightarrow{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T^2/T))$$

if $A = 0$.

5.2.3. *The case of a torus.* We begin by showing that if $A = 0$ then

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(\overline{G}_T^{\text{log}}/T) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T/T) \xrightarrow{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T^2/T)$$

induces an isomorphism

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(\overline{G}_T^{\text{log}}/T) \simeq \text{Ker}(H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T/T) \xrightarrow{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T^2/T))$$

This follows directly from the fact that

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathbb{P}_T^{\text{log}}/T) \simeq 1/x dx \mathcal{O}_T \simeq \text{Ker}(H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathbb{G}_{m,T}/T) \xrightarrow{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathbb{G}_{m,T}^2/T))$$

by a direct computation.

5.2.4. *Nullity of the morphism.* So we are left to show that

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(\overline{G}_T^{\text{log}}/T) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T/T) \xrightarrow{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T^2/T)$$

is zero. Recall the (log)-Hodge to de-Rham spectral sequences

$$\overline{E}_1^{a,b} := R^b \overline{f}_{T,*} \Omega_{\overline{G}_T^{\text{log}}/T}^a \Rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^{a+b}(\overline{G}_T^{\text{log}}/T),$$

$$E_1^{a,b} := R^b f_{T,*} \Omega_{G_T/T}^a \Rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^{a+b}(G_T/T) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{E}_1^{a,b} := R^b f_{T,*}^2 \Omega_{G_T^2/T}^a \Rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^{a+b}(G_T^2/T).$$

They induce a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \overline{E}_\infty^{1,0} & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{dR}}^1(\overline{G}_T^{\text{log}}/T) & \longrightarrow & \overline{E}_\infty^{0,1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E_\infty^{1,0} & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T/T) & \longrightarrow & E_\infty^{0,1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow^{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} & & \downarrow^{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} & & \downarrow^{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{E}_\infty^{1,0} & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T^2/T) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{E}_\infty^{0,1} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

We first deal with the left part of the diagram and then with the right part.

5.2.5. $E^{1,0}$. We show that

$$\overline{E}_\infty^{1,0} \rightarrow E_\infty^{1,0} \xrightarrow{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} \tilde{E}_\infty^{1,0}$$

is zero. By Lemma 4.2.3.1, there is a natural commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \overline{f}_{T,*} \Omega_{\overline{G}_T^{\text{log}}/T}^1 & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \overline{E}_\infty^{1,0} & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(f_{T,*} \mathcal{O}_{G_T} \xrightarrow{d} f_{T,*} \Omega_{G_T/T}^1) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(f_{T,*} \Omega_{G_T/T}^1 \xrightarrow{d} f_{T,*} \Omega_{G_T/T}^2) & \longrightarrow & E_\infty^{1,0} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow^{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} & & \downarrow^{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} & & \downarrow^{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(f_{T,*}^2 \mathcal{O}_{G_T^2/T} \xrightarrow{d} f_{T,*}^2 \Omega_{G_T^2/T}^1) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(f_{T,*}^2 \Omega_{G_T^2/T}^1 \xrightarrow{d} f_{T,*}^2 \Omega_{G_T^2/T}^2) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{E}_\infty^{1,0} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Hence it is enough to show that

$$\bar{f}_{T,*}\Omega_{\bar{G}_T^{\log}/T}^1 \rightarrow f_{T,*}\Omega_{G_T/T}^1 \xrightarrow{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} f_{T,*}\Omega_{G_T^2/T}^1$$

is zero. This follows from Lemma 4.2.3.4 which identifies $\bar{f}_{T,*}\Omega_{\bar{G}_T^{\log}/T}^1$ with $\omega_{G_T/T}$ via $\bar{f}_*\Omega_{\bar{G}_T^{\log}/T}^1 \rightarrow f_*\Omega_{G_T/T}^1$.

5.2.6. $E^{0,1}$. By the previous section, the map

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(\bar{G}_T^{\log}/T) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T/T) \xrightarrow{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T^2/T).$$

factors through to quotient

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(\bar{G}_T^{\log}/T) \rightarrow \bar{E}_{\infty}^{0,1}$$

giving rise to a map

$$\bar{E}_{\infty}^{0,1} \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T/T) \xrightarrow{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T^2/T).$$

Now consider the natural morphism

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(A_T/T) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(\bar{G}_T^{\log}/T)$$

which gives, by Lemma 4.2.3.1, a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \omega_{A_T/T} & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{dR}}^1(A_T/T) & \longrightarrow & R^1g_{T,*}\mathcal{O}_{A_T} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{E}_{\infty}^{1,0} & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{dR}}^1(\bar{G}_T^{\log}/T) & \longrightarrow & \bar{E}_{\infty}^{0,1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E_{\infty}^{1,0} & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T/T) & \longrightarrow & E_{\infty}^{0,1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow^{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} & & \downarrow^{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{E}_{\infty}^{1,0} & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T^2/T) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{E}_{\infty}^{0,1} & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

By Lemma 4.2.3.1,

$$R^1g_{T,*}\mathcal{O}_{A_T} \rightarrow \bar{E}_{\infty}^{0,1} \simeq R^1\bar{f}_{T,*}\mathcal{O}_{\bar{G}_T}$$

is an isomorphism. Hence it is enough to show that

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(A_T/T) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T^2/T)$$

is zero. This follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{dR}}^1(A_T/T) & \xrightarrow{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} & H_{\text{dR}}^1(A_T^2/T) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T/T) & \xrightarrow{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} & H_{\text{dR}}^1(G_T^2/T) \end{array}$$

and the fact that the morphism $H_{\text{dR}}^1(A_T/T) \xrightarrow{p_1^*+p_2^*-\mu^*} H_{\text{dR}}^1(A_T^2/T)$ is zero (see Remark 5.1.2). \square

5.3. Overconvergence of the relative log crystalline cohomology. From now on retain the notation and the assumption of Theorem 1.4.4.3. We are now ready to implement Step (3) in the proof of Theorem 1.4.4.3, thereby concluding its proof.

5.3.1. *Frobenius structure.* We start upgrading the exact sequence of Lemma 5.2.1 to an exact sequence of F -crystals over X . If (S, M) is a log-scheme over \mathbb{F}_p , then the absolute Frobenius $F_{(S,M)} : (S, M) \rightarrow (S, M)$ is given by the absolute Frobenius of S and the multiplication by $p : M \rightarrow M$ morphism. For every log X -scheme $w : (S, M) \rightarrow X$ we consider the commutative cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & F_{(S,M)} & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 (S, M) & \xrightarrow{F_{(S,M)/X}} & (S', M') & \longrightarrow & (S, M) \\
 & \searrow w & \downarrow w' & \square & \downarrow w \\
 & & X & \xrightarrow{F_X} & X,
 \end{array}$$

where $F_{(S,M)/X} : (S, M) \rightarrow (S', M')$ is the relative Frobenius. If we write $\overline{G}^{\log, '} := (\overline{G}', M')$, the diagram induces morphisms

$$\phi_{\overline{G}^{\log}} : F_X^* R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}^{\log}}^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow R^1 f'_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}'^{\log}}^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow R^1 f_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}^{\log}}^{\text{crys}}$$

in which the first arrow is the base change morphism and the second is induced by $F_{(S,M)/X} : (S, M) \rightarrow (S', M')$.

Lemma 5.3.1.1. $\phi_{\overline{G}^{\log}}$ is an isogeny of F -crystals.

Proof. By Lemma 5.2.1 there is an exact sequence of locally free crystals

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 g_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_A^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow R^1 \overline{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}^{\log}}^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow R^1 \overline{h}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_X^{1,\log}}^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence it is enough to show that the analogous morphisms for $g : A \rightarrow X$ and $\overline{h}^{\log} : \mathbb{P}_X^{1,\log} \rightarrow X$ are isogenies. The fact that

$$\phi_A : F_X^* R^1 g_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_A^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow R^1 g'_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_A^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow R^1 g_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_A^{\text{crys}}$$

is an isogeny follows from [Mor19, Appendix], while the fact that

$$\phi_{\mathbb{P}_X^{1,\log}} : F_X^* R^1 \overline{h}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_X^{1,\log}}^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow R^1 \overline{h}'_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_X^{1,\log}}^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow R^1 \overline{h}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_X^{1,\log}}^{\text{crys}}$$

follows from the identification $R^1 \overline{h}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_X^{1,\log}}^{\text{crys}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{crys}}(1)$. \square

In particular we get the following.

Corollary 5.3.1.2. $R^1 \overline{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}^{\log}}^{\text{crys}}$ is a locally free F -isocrystals of rank $2d + 1$ compatible with base change.

5.3.2. *Statement.* In this section we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 5.3.2.1. $R^1 \overline{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}^{\log}}^{\text{crys}}$ is overconvergent.

Before starting the proof let us recall how we deduce Theorem 1.4.4.3 from it.

Proof of Theorem 1.4.4.3. By Proposition 5.2.2, there is a canonical isomorphism in $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$

$$R^1 \overline{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}^{\log}}^{\text{crys}} \simeq \mathbb{D}(G)$$

and it is enough to show that $R^1 \overline{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}^{\log}}^{\text{crys}}$ is overconvergent. This is the content of Proposition 5.3.2.1. \square

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 5.3.2.1.

5.3.3. *Preliminary reductions.* Since $R^1\bar{f}_{\text{crys},*}\mathcal{O}_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}}$ is an F-isocrystal compatible with base change, by [Ber96, 2.4.2] and [Ked07, Proposition 5.3.7] $R^1\bar{f}_{\text{crys},*}\mathcal{O}_{\bar{G}^{\text{log}}}$ is overconvergent if and only if it is overconvergent when restricted to an open dense subset of X . So during the proof of Proposition 5.3.2.1, we can freely replace X with an open dense subset. Moreover, by étale descent for F-isocrystals ([Ogu84, Theorem 4.5]) and overconvergent F-isocrystals ([Éte02]), we can also replace X with a finite étale cover. Hence, replacing X with a finite étale cover and A with an isogenous abelian scheme, we can assume that A is principally polarizable.

In particular, using Lemma 4.2.2.1, we can assume that X lifts to a smooth $W(k)$ -scheme \mathcal{X} endowed with a lift of the Frobenius, and we can choose a diagram of smooth- $W(k)$ -schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{X}}^1 & \xleftarrow{\bar{i}} & \bar{\mathcal{G}} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} & \mathcal{A} \\
 & & \uparrow \bar{h} & & \uparrow \bar{f} & & \uparrow g \\
 W(k) & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{X} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{X} & & \mathcal{X} \\
 & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{X}} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow 0,
 \end{array}$$

with $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ an extension of an abelian variety \mathcal{A} by $\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{X}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ the $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{X}}^1$ -bundle which compactifies it, of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \mathbb{P}_X^1 & \xleftarrow{\bar{i}} & \bar{G} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} & A \\
 & & \uparrow \bar{h} & & \uparrow \bar{f} & & \uparrow g \\
 k & \longleftarrow & X & \xlongequal{\quad} & X & & X \\
 & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{m,X} & \xrightarrow{i} & G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & A \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array}$$

By Nagata compactification, we can choose $W(k)$ -compactification $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{X}^{\text{cmp}}$, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\text{cmp}}$, $\bar{\mathcal{G}} \subseteq \mathcal{G}^{\text{cmp}}$, $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{X}}^1 \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\text{cmp}}$ fitting into a commutative diagram of $W(k)$ -schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{X}}^1 & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\text{cmp}} \\
 \downarrow i & & \downarrow \bar{i}^{\text{cmp}} \\
 \bar{\mathcal{G}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{G}^{\text{cmp}} \\
 \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi^{\text{cmp}} \\
 \mathcal{A} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{A}^{\text{cmp}} \longrightarrow W(k) \\
 \downarrow g & & \downarrow g^{\text{cmp}} \\
 \mathcal{X} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{X}^{\text{cmp}}
 \end{array}$$

Since $\bar{f} : \bar{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, $\bar{g} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and $\bar{h} : \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{X}}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ are proper morphisms, there are natural isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\text{cmp}} (:= \mathcal{G}^{\text{cmp}} \times_{\mathcal{X}^{\text{cmp}}} \mathcal{X}) \simeq \bar{\mathcal{G}}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\text{cmp}} (:= \mathcal{A}^{\text{cmp}} \times_{\mathcal{X}^{\text{cmp}}} \mathcal{X}) \simeq \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\text{cmp}} (:= \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\text{cmp}} \times_{\mathcal{X}^{\text{cmp}}} \mathcal{X}) \simeq \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{X}}^1.$$

5.3.4. *Notation and preliminaries.* Since the proof relies on the study of some rigid analytic space, before going on with the proof, we collect some notation and result. Gothic letters ($\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}, \dots$) denote separated p -adic formal schemes topologically of finite type over W . If \mathcal{X} is a $W(k)$ -scheme, we write \mathfrak{X} for its p -adic completion. Write \mathfrak{X}_1 for the special fibre of \mathfrak{X} , \mathfrak{X}_K for its rigid analytic generic fibre and $\text{sp} : \mathfrak{X}_K \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_1$ for the specialization map. There is an equivalence between the isogeny category $\mathbf{Coh}(\mathfrak{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ of the category $\mathbf{Coh}(\mathfrak{X})$ of coherent sheaves on \mathfrak{X} and the category $\mathbf{Coh}(\mathfrak{X}_K)$ of coherent sheaves on \mathfrak{X}_K (see [Ogu84, Remark 1.5]).

If $f : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_1$ is a closed immersion, one can consider the open tube $]X[_{\mathfrak{X}} := \text{sp}^{-1}(X)$ and the closed tube of radius $|p|$, $[X]_{\mathfrak{X},|p|}$ of X in \mathfrak{X} (see [Ber96, Definition 1.1.2, Section 1.1.8]). They are admissible open subsets of \mathfrak{X}_K and there is an inclusion $[X]_{\mathfrak{X},|p|} \subseteq]X[_{\mathfrak{X}}$. If \mathfrak{X} is formally smooth over $W(k)$, then

there exists an universal p -adic enlargement $(\mathfrak{Z}, z_{\mathfrak{Z}})$ of X in \mathfrak{X} : it is a formally smooth $W(k)$ -scheme \mathfrak{Z} endowed with a map $\mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ and a map $z_{\mathfrak{Z}} : \mathfrak{Z}_1 \rightarrow X$ that make the obvious diagram commute. Moreover, the map $\mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ induces an isomorphisms $\mathfrak{Z}_K \simeq [X]_{\mathfrak{X}, |p|}$ ([Ogu84, Remark 2.4.2]).

If \mathfrak{X} admits a lifting of the Frobenius, one can consider the category $\mathbf{F-MIC}([X]_{\mathfrak{X}, |p|})$ of integrable connections endowed with a Frobenius structure. Then there exists a canonical fully faithful functor $\rho : \mathbf{F-Isoc}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{F-MIC}([X]_{\mathfrak{X}, |p|})$ constructed as follows (see [Ogu84, Example 2.7.3, Remark 2.6.3]). Let $z_T^* : \mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}_{\text{crys}}(\mathfrak{Z}_1)$ be the pullback functor induced by z_T and observe that for every n , $\mathfrak{Z}_n := \mathfrak{Z}/p^n$ is a PD-thickening of \mathfrak{Z}_1 . So, if $H \in \mathbf{Isoc}(X)$ we can consider $(z_{\mathfrak{Z}}^* H)_{(\mathfrak{Z}_1, \mathfrak{Z}_n)}$ and then take the limit $\tilde{H} := \varprojlim_n (z_{\mathfrak{Z}}^* H)_{(\mathfrak{Z}_1, \mathfrak{Z}_n)}$ to get a coherent module over \mathfrak{Z} . The base change isomorphism in the definition of a crystal induces then an integrable connection of \tilde{H} and taking the rigid generic fiber we get an element $\rho(H)$ in $\mathbf{MIC}([X]_{\mathfrak{X}, |p|})$. If H is an F-isocrystals, then the functoriality of the construction gives a Frobenius structure on $\rho(H)$. The fact that $\rho : \mathbf{F-Isoc}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{F-MIC}([X]_{\mathfrak{X}, |p|})$ is fully faithful follows from [Ber96, Théorème 2.4.2] and [Ogu84, Proposition 2.18].

A couple (X, \overline{X}) is an open immersion of k -varieties $X \rightarrow \overline{X}$ and a frame $(X, \overline{X}, \mathfrak{X})$ is a couple (X, \overline{X}) together with a closed immersion of \overline{X} into a p -adic formal scheme \mathfrak{X} . A frame is smooth if \mathfrak{X} is smooth in a neighbourhood of X . Morphisms of couples and frames are defined in the obvious way. A couple (Y, \overline{Y}) over a frame $(X, \overline{X}, \mathfrak{X})$ is a morphism of couples $(Y, \overline{Y}) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X})$ and a frame $(X, \overline{X}, \mathfrak{X})$ over a couple (Y, \overline{Y}) is a morphism of couples $(X, \overline{X}) \rightarrow (Y, \overline{Y})$. If $(X, \overline{X}, \mathfrak{X})$ is a frame, for any sheaf \mathcal{F} over $] \overline{X}[_{\mathfrak{X}}$ one writes

$$j_X^\dagger \mathcal{F} := \varinjlim_V j_{V*} j_V^* \mathcal{F}$$

where the limit runs over all the strict neighbourhood V of X in \overline{X} (see [Ber96, Definition 1.2.1]) and $j_V : V \rightarrow] \overline{X}[_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is the inclusion map. Let $\mathbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})$ be category of F-isocrystals over X overconvergent along $\overline{X} - X$ ([Ber96, (2.3.2)] so that $\mathbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(X)$ if \overline{X} is proper). Recall that if $(X, \overline{X}, \mathfrak{X})$ is a smooth frame, then there a fully faithful functor

$$(-)_{\mathfrak{X}} : \mathbf{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{MIC}(j_X^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{] \overline{X}[_{\mathfrak{X}}}),$$

where $\mathbf{MIC}(j_X^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{] \overline{X}[_{\mathfrak{X}}})$ is the category of coherent $j_X^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{] \overline{X}[_{\mathfrak{X}}}$ -modules endowed with an integrable connection.

Given a morphism of frames $f : (Y, \overline{Y}, \mathfrak{Y}) \rightarrow (X, \overline{X}, \mathfrak{X})$ there is an induced functor

$$f_* : \mathbf{Mod}(j_Y^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{] \overline{Y}[_{\mathfrak{Y}}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(j_X^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{] \overline{X}[_{\mathfrak{X}}}).$$

For any $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(Y, \overline{Y})$, we consider the relative rigid cohomology $\mathbb{R}^i f_{\text{rig}, * \mathfrak{X}} \mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{Mod}(j_X^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{] \overline{X}[_{\mathfrak{X}}})$ as defined in [Tsu03]. It is endowed with a Gauss-Manin connection. As in [Tsu03], we say that $\mathbb{R}^i f_{\text{rig}, * \mathfrak{X}} \mathcal{E}$ is the realization of on overconvergent F-isocrystals if there exists a $R^i f_{\text{rig}, * \mathfrak{X}} \mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})$ and isomorphism $(R^i f_{\text{rig}, * \mathfrak{X}} \mathcal{E})_{\mathfrak{X}} \simeq \mathbb{R}^i f_{\text{rig}, * \mathfrak{X}} \mathcal{E}$ compatible with the connections and the Frobenius.

5.3.5. From F-isocrystals to rigid space. Coming back to our setting, let $(\mathfrak{Z}, z_{\mathfrak{Z}})$ be the universal p -adic enlargement of X in \mathfrak{X} . It might be helpful to keep in mind the following commutative cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathfrak{Z}_1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathfrak{Z}} & & & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow & & & \\
\mathfrak{G}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{G}_{\mathfrak{Z}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathfrak{X}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{X}}^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}^{\text{cmp}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{Z}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{G} & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathfrak{G}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{G}^{\text{cmp}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathfrak{Z}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{A} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathfrak{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{A}^{\text{cmp}} \\
& & \searrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & X = \mathfrak{X}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{X} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathfrak{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{X}^{\text{cmp}}
\end{array}$$

and the following commutative cartesian of morphisms of frames

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (G_{3_1}, \overline{G}_{3_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{G}}_3) & & \\
 \searrow^{\cong} & \nearrow & \\
 (G_{3_1}, G_{3_1}^{\text{cmp}}, \mathfrak{G}_3^{\text{cmp}}) & \longrightarrow & (G_1, \mathfrak{G}_1^{\text{cmp}}, \mathfrak{G}^{\text{cmp}}) \\
 \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\
 (3_1, 3_1, 3) & \longrightarrow & (X, \mathfrak{X}_1^{\text{cmp}}, \mathfrak{X}^{\text{cmp}}),
 \end{array}$$

in which the morphism

$$(G_{3_1}, \overline{G}_{3_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{G}}_3) \rightarrow (G_{3_1}, G_{3_1}^{\text{cmp}}, \mathfrak{G}_3^{\text{cmp}})$$

is an isomorphism of frames.

Since we have a formal lifting $f : \overline{\mathfrak{G}}^{\log} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ of $f : \overline{G}^{\log} \rightarrow X$, we get

$$\rho(R^1 \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}^{\log}}) \simeq (\varprojlim (z_3^* R^1 \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}^{\log}})_{(3_1, 3_n)})_K \simeq (\varprojlim H_{\text{dr}}^1(\overline{\mathfrak{G}}_{3_n}^{\log}/3_n))_K \simeq H_{\text{dr}}^1(\overline{\mathfrak{G}}_3^{\log}/3)_K \simeq H_{\text{dr}}^1(\overline{\mathfrak{G}}_{3_K}^{\log}/3_K),$$

and the connection is induced by the Gauss-Manin connection on log de-Rham cohomology.

5.3.6. *Strategy.* To prove Proposition 5.3.2.1 we prove the following

- (1) $\mathbb{R}^i f_{\text{rig},*} \mathcal{O}_{(G, \mathfrak{G}_1^{\text{cmp}})}^\dagger$ is a coherent $j_X^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}[\mathfrak{X}]}$ -module and we deduce from [Tsu03] that it is the incarnation of an overconvergent F-isocrystals $R^i f_{\text{rig},*} \mathcal{O}_G^\dagger$,
- (2) We prove that $R^i f_{\text{rig},*} \mathcal{O}_G^\dagger$ is a overconvergent extension of $R^1 \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}^{\log}}$.

5.3.7. *Proof of (1).* We claim that, for every morphism of frames $(T, T^{\text{cmp}}, \mathfrak{T}) \rightarrow (A, \mathfrak{A}^{\text{cmp}}, \mathfrak{A}^{\text{cmp}})$ and every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{R}^i \pi_{\text{rig},*} \mathcal{O}_{(G_T, \mathfrak{G}_{1,T}^{\text{cmp}})}^\dagger$ is a coherent $j_T^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\text{cmp}}[\mathfrak{T}]}$ -module. Assume this claim. Then by [Tsu03, Theorem 3.3.3] $\mathbb{R}^i \pi_{\text{rig},*} \mathcal{O}_{(G_T, \mathfrak{G}_{1,T}^{\text{cmp}})}^\dagger$ is the realization of an overconvergent F-isocrystals $R^i \pi_{\text{rig},*} \mathcal{O}_G^\dagger$ over (A, A^{cmp}) . Since $g : A \rightarrow X$ is smooth, proper and liftable to characteristic zero, we are under the assumption of [Tsu03, Theorem 4.4.1], which implies that, for every $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, the $j_T^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\text{cmp}}[\mathfrak{T}]}$ -module $R^a g_{\text{rig},*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\text{cmp}}}^\dagger (R^b \pi_{\text{rig},*} \mathcal{O}_G^\dagger)$ is coherent. Moreover, by [Tsu03, Theorem 3.4.1], there exists a Leray spectral sequence

$$E_2^{a,b} := \mathbb{R}^a g_{\text{rig},*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\text{cmp}}}^\dagger (R^b \pi_{\text{rig},*} \mathcal{O}_G^\dagger) \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{a+b} f_{\text{rig},*} \mathcal{O}_{(G_T, \mathfrak{G}_{1,T}^{\text{cmp}})}^\dagger$$

of $j_T^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\text{cmp}}[\mathfrak{T}]}$ -module. Hence $\mathbb{R}^{a+b} f_{\text{rig},*} \mathcal{O}_{(G_T, \mathfrak{G}_{1,T}^{\text{cmp}})}^\dagger$ is a coherent $j_T^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\text{cmp}}[\mathfrak{T}]}$ -module, so we can apply again [Tsu03, Theorem 3.3.3] to deduce that $\mathbb{R}^i f_{\text{rig},*} \mathcal{O}_{(G, \mathfrak{G}_1^{\text{cmp}})}^\dagger$ is the realization of an overconvergent F-isocrystals $R^i f_{\text{rig},*} \mathcal{O}_G^\dagger$ over $(X, \mathfrak{X}_1^{\text{cmp}})$.

We are left to prove the claim. To do it, we first observe that it is local on \mathfrak{A} (see for example [Tsu03, Proposition 3.1.1]), so that we may and do assume that $\mathfrak{T} = \text{Spf}(R)$ is formal affine and $\mathfrak{G}_\mathfrak{T} = \mathfrak{T} \times_{\mathfrak{T}} \mathbb{G}_m$. In this case $R^i \pi_{\text{rig},*} \mathcal{O}_{(G_T, \mathfrak{G}_{1,T}^{\text{cmp}})}^\dagger$ identifies with the cohomology of the complex

$$d : R\{x, x^{-1}\}^\dagger \rightarrow R\{x, x^{-1}\}^\dagger dx,$$

where $R\{x, x^{-1}\}^\dagger$ is the quotient of

$$R\{x, y\}^\dagger := \left\{ \sum a_{i,j} x^i y^j \in R[[x, y]] \text{ such that there exists } C > 1, 0 < \rho < 1 \text{ such that } |a_{i,j}| \leq C \rho^{i+j} \text{ for all } i, j \right\}$$

by the ideal generated by $1 - xy$ and $d : R\{x, x^{-1}\}^\dagger \rightarrow R\{x, x^{-1}\}^\dagger dx$ is the natural differential. This complex is R in degree 0 and 1 and zero otherwise.

5.3.8. *Proof of (3).* For every strict neighborhood $]X[_{\mathfrak{X}^{\text{cmp}}} \subseteq V \subseteq]\overline{X}[_{\mathfrak{X}^{\text{cmp}}}$ of $]X[_{\mathfrak{X}^{\text{cmp}}}$ in $]\overline{X}[_{\mathfrak{X}^{\text{cmp}}}$ we set $u : [X]_{\mathfrak{X},|p|} \subseteq]X[_{\mathfrak{X}} \subseteq V$ for the canonical inclusion. This induces a commutative diagram of functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X) & \xrightarrow{(-)_{\mathfrak{X}}} & \mathbf{F}\text{-MIC}(j_X^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{]X[_{\mathfrak{X}}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow u^* \\ \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathbf{F}\text{-MIC}([X]_{\mathfrak{X},|p|}) = \mathbf{MIC}(\mathfrak{Z}_K) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal functors are fully faithful. To prove that $R^i f_{\text{rig},*} \mathcal{O}_G^\dagger$ is a overconvergent extension of $R^1 \overline{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}^{\text{log}}}^{\text{crys}}$, it is then enough to show that there exists an isomorphism

$$\rho(R^1 \overline{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}^{\text{log}}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} u^*((R^1 f_{\text{rig},*} \mathcal{O}_G^\dagger)_{\mathfrak{X}^{\text{cmp}}})$$

compatible with the connections and the Frobenius structures. Observe that there are isomorphisms compatible with the connections and the Frobenius structures

$$u^*((R^1 f_{\text{rig},*} \mathcal{O}_G^\dagger)_{\mathfrak{X}^{\text{cmp}}}) \simeq u^*(\mathbb{R}^i f_{\text{rig},*} \mathcal{O}_{(G, \mathfrak{G}_1^{\text{cmp}})}^\dagger) \simeq \mathbb{R}^1 f_{\text{rig},*} \mathfrak{Z}_K \mathcal{O}_{(G_{\mathfrak{Z}_1}, \mathfrak{G}_{1,\mathfrak{Z}_1}^{\text{cmp}})}^\dagger \simeq \mathbb{R}^1 f_{\text{rig},*} \mathfrak{Z}_K \mathcal{O}_{(G_{\mathfrak{Z}_1}, \overline{G}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1})}^\dagger,$$

where the first follows by construction, the second from [Tsu03, Propositions 2.3.1 and 3.1.1] and the third one from the isomorphism of frames $(G_{\mathfrak{Z}_1}, \overline{G}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1}, \overline{\mathfrak{G}}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1}) \rightarrow (G_{\mathfrak{Z}_1}, G_{\mathfrak{Z}_1}^{\text{cmp}}, \mathfrak{G}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1}^{\text{cmp}})$.

Since

$$(R^1 \overline{f}_{\text{crys},*} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{G}^{\text{log}}})_{\mathfrak{Z}_K} \simeq H_{\text{dR}}^1(\overline{\mathfrak{G}}_{\mathfrak{Z}_K}^{\text{log}}/\mathfrak{Z}_K),$$

we are left to show that there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(\overline{\mathfrak{G}}_{\mathfrak{Z}_K}^{\text{log}}/\mathfrak{Z}_K) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{R}^1 f_{\text{rig},*} \mathfrak{Z}_K \mathcal{O}_{(G_{\mathfrak{Z}_1}, \overline{G}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1})}^\dagger.$$

As in the proof of [Shi08a, Theorem 5.13], the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{O}_{]G_{\mathfrak{Z}_K}[_{\overline{\mathfrak{G}}_{\mathfrak{Z}_K}}}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{f}_*} & \mathbf{Mod}(\mathfrak{Z}_K) \\ \downarrow u^* & \nearrow f_* & \\ \mathbf{Mod}(j_{G_{\mathfrak{Z}_K}}^\dagger \mathcal{O}_{]G_{\mathfrak{Z}_K}[_{G_{\mathfrak{Z}_K}}}) & & \end{array}$$

induces a morphism

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(\overline{\mathfrak{G}}_{\mathfrak{Z}_K}^{\text{log}}/\mathfrak{Z}_K) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_{\text{rig},*} \mathfrak{Z}_K \mathcal{O}_{(G_{\mathfrak{Z}_1}, \overline{G}_{\mathfrak{Z}_1})}^\dagger$$

which is compatible with the connection and the Frobenius.

Since it is a morphism between coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Z}_K}$ -module endowed with an integrable connection, it is enough to show that it is an isomorphism for every closed point of \mathfrak{Z}_K . Since both sides are compatible with base change, this follows from the comparison between rigid and log-crystalline cohomology proved in [Shi02].

REFERENCES

- [ABV05] F. Andreatta and L. Barbieri-Viale. Crystalline realizations of 1-motives. *Mathematische Annalen*, 331:111–172, 2005.
- [AD19] E. Ambrosi and M. D’Addezio. Maximal tori of monodromy groups of F -isocrystals and an application to abelian varieties. Preprint, 2019.
- [BBM82] P. Berthelot, L. Breen, and W. Messing. *Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline II*, volume 930 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [Ber96] P. Berthelot. Cohomologie rigide et cohomologie rigide à supports propres (première partie). Preprint, 1996.
- [BLR90] S. Bosch, W. Lutkebohmert, and M. Raynaud. *Néron Models*, volume 21 of *A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [Bri00] C. Brian. *Grothendieck Duality and Base Change*, volume 1750 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [Bri17] M. Brion. Some structure theorems for algebraic groups. In *Algebraic Groups: Structure and Actions*, volume 94, pages 53–126. Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, 2017.
- [D’A20] M. D’Addezio. Parabolicity conjecture of F -isocrystals. Preprint, 2020.

- [DdSMS91] J. D. Dixon, M. P. F. du Sautoy, A. Mann, and D. Segal. *Analytic pro- p groups*, volume 157 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [dJ95] A. J. de Jong. Crystalline dieudonné module theory via formal and rigid geometry. *Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S.*, 82:5–96, 1995.
- [dJ98] A. J. de Jong. Homomorphisms of barsotti-tate groups and crystals in positive characteristic. *Inv. Math.*, 134:301–333, 1998.
- [Éte02] J. Y. Étesse. Descente étale des F -isocristaux surconvergentes et rationalité des fonctions l de schémas abéliens. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, 35:575–603, 2002.
- [EvdGM17] B. Edixhoven, G. v. d. Geer, and B. Moonen. *Abelian varieties*. 2017. In prepration.
- [Ghi10] D. Ghioca. Elliptic curves over the perfect closure of a function field. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 53:87–94, 2010.
- [GM06] D. Ghioca and R. Moosa. Division points on subvarieties of isotrivial semi-abelian varieties. *I.M.R.N.*, 2006.
- [Hel20] D. Helm. An ordinary abelian variety with an étale self-isogeny of p -power degree and no isotrivial factors. Preprint, 2020.
- [Hru96] E. Hrushovski. The mordell-lang conjecture for function fields. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9:667–690, 1996.
- [Ill85] L. Illusie. Déformations de groupes de barsotti-tate. *Astérisque*, 127:151–198, 1985.
- [Jos09] P. Jossen. *On the arithmetic of l -motives*. PhD thesis, Central European University, 2009.
- [Ked04] K. S. Kedlaya. Full faithfulness for overconvergent F -isocrystals. In *Geometric Aspects of Dwork Theory*, volume II, pages 819–883. Adolphson et al, 2004.
- [Ked07] K. Kedlaya. Semistable reduction for overconvergent f -isocrystals, i: Unipotence and logarithmic extensions. *Composition Math.*, 143:1164–1212, 2007.
- [Ked18] K. Kedlaya. Notes on isocrystals. Preprint, 2018.
- [LN59] S. Lang and A. Néron. Rational points of abelian varieties over function fields. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 81:95–118, 1959.
- [Mes72] W. Messing. *The Crystals Associated to Barsotti-Tate Groups: with Applications to Abelian Schemes*, volume 264 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [Mor19] M. Morrow. A variational tate conjecture in crystalline cohomology. *J. Euro. Math. Soc.*, 21:3467–3511, 2019.
- [NS08] Y. Nakkajima and A. Shiho. *Weight Filtrations on Log Crystalline Cohomologies of Families of Open Smooth Varieties*, volume 1959 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 2008.
- [Ogu84] A. Ogus. F -isocrystals and de rham cohomology II - convergent isocrystals. *Duke Math. J.*, 51:765–850, 1984.
- [Ogu18] A. Ogus. *Lectures on Logarithmic Algebraic Geometry*, volume 178 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- [Ove18] Math Overflow. Answer of Jason Starr. Available at <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/316432/lifting-of-families-of-curves-to-characteristic-0>, 2018.
- [Ray72] M. Raynaud. Anneaux henséliens et approximations. *Publications des séminaires de mathématiques et informatique de Rennes*, 4:1–9, 1972.
- [Rö20] D. RöSSLer. On the group of purely inseparable points of an abelian variety defined over a function field of positive characteristic II. *Algebra and Number Theory*, 14:1123–1173, 2020.
- [Ser64] J.P. Serre. Sur les groupes de congruence des variétés abéliennes. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 28:3–20, 1964.
- [Shi02] A. Shiho. Crystalline fundamental groups II — log convergent cohomology and rigid cohomology. *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 9:1–163, 2002.
- [Shi08a] A. Shiho. Relative log convergent cohomology and relative rigid cohomology I. Preprint, 2008.
- [Shi08b] A. Shiho. Relative log convergent cohomology and relative rigid cohomology II. Preprint, 2008.
- [Shi08c] A. Shiho. Relative log convergent cohomology and relative rigid cohomology III. Preprint, 2008.
- [Sta20] The Stacks project authors. The stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2020.
- [Tsu03] N. Tsuzuki. On base change theorem and coherence in rigid cohomology. *Documenta Mathematica*, Kazuya Kato's Fiftieth Birthday:891–918, 2003.
- [Tsu19] N. Tsuzuki. Minimal slope conjecture of F -isocrystals. Preprint, 2019.

eambrosi@unistra.fr

Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée, Université de Strasbourg