

Pandemic Dark Matter

Torsten Bringmann,^{1,*} Paul Frederik Depta,^{2,†} Marco Hufnagel,^{3,‡}
Joshua T. Ruderman,^{4,2,5,§} and Kai Schmidt-Hoberg^{2,¶}

¹*Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Box 1048, N-0316 Oslo, Norway*

²*Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestraße 85, D-22607 Hamburg, Germany*

³*Service de Physique Théorique, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Boulevard du Triomphe, CP225, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium*

⁴*Center for Cosmology and Particle Physics, Department of Physics, New York University, New York, NY 10003, USA*

⁵*Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA*

(Dated: April 1st, 2021)

We propose a novel thermal production mechanism for dark matter based on the idea that dark matter particles χ can transform (‘infect’) heat bath particles ψ : $\chi\psi \rightarrow \chi\chi$. For a small initial abundance of χ this induces an exponential growth in the dark matter number density, closely resembling the epidemic curves of a spreading pathogen after an initial outbreak. To quantify this relation we present a sharp duality between the Boltzmann equation for the dark matter number density and epidemiological models for the spread of infectious diseases. Finally we demonstrate that the exponential growth naturally stops before χ thermalizes with the heat bath, corresponding to a triumphant ‘flattening of the curve’ that matches the observed dark matter abundance.

Introduction.— While the identity and underlying properties of the dark matter (DM) in our Universe remain mysterious, its energy density has been precisely inferred by a series of satellite missions studying the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB). Any theoretical description of DM must therefore include a *DM production mechanism* which leads to the observed DM relic abundance of $\Omega_{\text{DM}}h^2 \simeq 0.12$ [1].

A particularly appealing framework for the genesis of DM, minimizing the dependence on initial conditions, is its creation out of a thermal bath. The most commonly adopted paradigm falling into this category is thermal freeze-out from the primordial plasma of Standard Model (SM, cf. Ref. [2]) particles in the early Universe [3]. However, given the increasingly strong constraints on this setup, as well as the natural productivity of DM phenomenologists, a plethora of alternate production scenarios with DM initially in thermal equilibrium have recently been proposed, including *hidden sector freeze-out* [4–10], *Forbidden DM* [11, 12], *Cannibal DM* [13, 14], *Coscaterring DM* [15], *Zombie DM* [16], *Elder DM* [17], *Kinder DM* [18], and *SIMP DM* [19–21].

Another possibility is that DM never entered thermal equilibrium at all, in which case it can be produced via a ‘leakage’ out of a thermal bath, often referred to as *freeze-in* [22, 23]. While a large number of variants of the freeze-out paradigm have been suggested, less model building has been performed around the freeze-in idea (see however Refs. [24–31]).

In this letter we propose a novel and generic DM production scenario between these two polarities which we refer to as *Pandemic DM*. The name derives from the observation that a DM particle χ can ‘infect’ a heat bath particle ψ to convert it into another χ , cf. Fig. 1. We will refer to this type of interaction process as *transmis-*




FIG. 1. The transmission process leading to exponential production of DM (χ) from the heat bath (ψ). This illustration of the effective operator was created at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [32].

sion. For a small initial abundance n_χ it results in an exponential growth of the DM abundance and therefore strongly resembles the epidemic curves (epi curves) encountered in the case of a spreading pathogen. To be in accord with observations this mechanism requires the exponential growth to shut off before the DM particle χ is fully thermalized, so one can also think of this mechanism as a ‘failed thermalization’. Interestingly, the exponential growth of n_χ comes to an end naturally, e.g. due to the Boltzmann suppression of the heat bath particle ψ , so that the observed DM relic abundance is readily obtained in our framework.

Transmission as a novel DM production mechanism.— Quantitatively, the evolution of the DM number density n_χ , due to the interaction depicted in Fig. 1, is governed by the Boltzmann equation

$$\dot{n}_\chi + 3Hn_\chi = \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{tr}} \left(n_\chi n_\psi^{\text{eq}} - n_\chi^2 \right). \quad (1)$$

Here, n_ψ^{eq} is the number density of ψ , H is the Hubble rate, and $\langle\sigma v\rangle_{\text{tr}}$ is the thermally averaged cross section for the process $\chi\psi \rightarrow \chi\chi$. We assume that ψ is in equilibrium with the heat bath; this equilibrium can be maintained for example by rapid annihilations of $\psi\psi$ to other SM states. We note that the zombie collisions of Refs. [16, 33] involve a similar process to Fig. 1, but the roles of the DM and bath particles are reversed.

As long as $n_\psi^{\text{eq}} \gg n_\chi$, we can neglect the second term on the r.h.s. of the above equation. Introducing $x_\psi \equiv m_\psi/T$ and $Y_\chi \equiv n_\chi/s$, with s being the total entropy density of the heat bath, the solution of the Boltzmann equation is then given by

$$Y_\chi(x_\psi) \simeq Y_\chi^0 \exp \left[\int_{x_\psi^0}^{x_\psi} \frac{dx}{x} P(x) \right], \quad (2)$$

where

$$P(x) = \tilde{H}^{-1} n_\psi^{\text{eq}} \langle\sigma v\rangle_{\text{tr}}. \quad (3)$$

Here Y_χ^0 denotes the DM abundance at some initial ‘time’ x_ψ^0 , and we have defined $\tilde{H} \equiv H/[1 + (1/3)d(\log g_{\text{eff}}^s)/d(\log T)]$, where g_{eff}^s encodes the entropy degrees of freedom.

Eq. (2) describes exponential growth of the DM abundance, with growth rate P , as long as $P(x) > 0$. For highly relativistic heat bath particles (with $n_\psi^{\text{eq}} \propto x_\psi^{-3}$) this is automatically achieved for $\langle\sigma v\rangle_{\text{tr}} = (\sigma v)_{\text{tr}}^0 x_\psi^k$ with $(\sigma v)_{\text{tr}}^0 \simeq \text{const.}$ and $k > 1$, i.e. infrared (IR) dominated transmission,¹ since $H \propto x_\psi^{-2}$. Later, once the heat bath particles become non-relativistic, exponential growth will inevitably come to an end for any value of k due to the Boltzmann suppression of n_ψ^{eq} , leading to an asymptotically flat $Y_\chi(x_\psi)$. Parametrically, the final DM abundance is thus given by

$$Y_\chi^{\text{final}} \sim Y_\chi^0 \exp \left[\frac{\lambda_*}{k-1} x_{\psi,\text{NR}}^{k-1} \right], \quad (4)$$

where $x_{\psi,\text{NR}} \sim 3$ and $\lambda_* \sim 6 \times 10^{-2} g_\psi g_{\text{eff}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} m_\psi m_{\text{Pl}} (\sigma v)_{\text{tr}}^0$ with g_{eff} the energy degrees of freedom and m_{Pl} the Planck mass.

We confirm this expectation in Fig. 2 where we show (with solid lines) the full solution of Eq. (1), adopting for illustration a constant amplitude $|\mathcal{M}_{\text{tr}}|^2 = \lambda_{\text{tr}}^2$ (from which we calculate the thermal average in the standard [35] way,² leading to $\langle\sigma v\rangle_{\text{tr}} \propto T^{-2}$ for $T \gg$




FIG. 2. *Top.* Number density of χ relative to the total entropy density of the heat bath (solid lines) for different values of the transmission coupling λ_{tr} . For each value of λ_{tr} , we fix the initial abundance of χ (indicated by dashed lines) such that the final abundance corresponds to the observed DM density. All epi curves shown here assume, as indicated, a constant matrix element for the transmission process, $m_\chi = 10$ GeV, and $m_\psi = 20$ GeV. *Bottom.* R -value corresponding to the abundance evolution shown above, resulting from V -duality. *Charm.* Please contact the authors for further *strange* details.

m_χ, m_ψ). Starting from an initial value of the DM abundance (indicated by the dashed lines), the onset of exponential growth is clearly visible as P becomes larger than ~ 0.2 for $x_\psi \gtrsim 0.01$ – until it stalls because P is heavily suppressed again for $x_\psi \gtrsim 5$. The figure also illustrates an attractive feature of exponential growth from a phenomenological point of view: the coupling strength required to match the observed DM relic abundance is only *logarithmically* sensitive to the initial abundance. In the examples shown here, e.g., decreasing the initial abundance by four orders of magnitude (from the green to the red line) is compensated by a mere increase of about 22% in the transmission coupling strength.

V-duality.— It is intriguing how closely the evolution of the DM abundance in Fig. 2 mimics epidemic curves (epi curves) that describe the progression of an illness after an initial outbreak. In fact, we can formalize this analogy by introducing the SIR compartmental model [36]. The model groups individuals in a population into three *compartments*: Susceptible, Infected, and Recovered. The number of infected individuals, I , evolves according to

$$\dot{I} = \beta SI - \gamma I, \quad (5)$$

where S is the number of susceptible individuals and β and γ are the infection and recovery rates, respectively.

¹ As with many viruses circulating on Earth, transmission is thus enhanced for small temperatures, a phenomenon often encountered in the context of the ‘seasonality of viral infections’ [34].

² We thus assume, for simplicity, a Maxwell-Boltzmann phase space distribution for χ , and leave a determination of its precise phase space distribution for future work.

Remarkably, we observe an exact correspondence between Eq. (5) and the Boltzmann equation for Pandemic DM in the limit $n_\chi \ll n_\psi$, Eq. (1), if we identify: $I \leftrightarrow n_\chi$, $S \leftrightarrow n_\psi^{\text{eq}}$, $\beta \leftrightarrow \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{tr}}$, and $\gamma \leftrightarrow 3H$. We refer to this correspondence between Pandemic DM and the SIR model as *V-duality*. The process $\chi\psi \rightarrow \chi\chi$ is dual to transmission of an infectious disease, while the Hubble expansion rate is dual to the rate that DM recovers from this disease. Pandemic DM consists of an epoch where transmission dominates, followed by an epoch where transmission slows and recovery dominates. We note that Fig. 2 does not show the depletion in the infected number at late times, when Hubble expansion takes over, because the comoving number density is shown (*i.e.* this is really a comoving epi curve).

The rate of spread of an epidemic is characterized by the reproduction number, or ‘*R*-value’,

$$R \equiv \frac{\beta S}{\gamma} = \frac{n_\psi \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{tr}}}{3H} = \frac{\tilde{H}}{3H} P, \quad (6)$$

where the final equality follows from Eq. (3). In the SIR model, R corresponds to the average number of people that an infected individual themselves infects, before they recover. In the dual DM theory, R measures the number of transmission processes, $\chi\psi \rightarrow \chi\chi$, that each DM particle undergoes per Hubble time. The final DM abundance depends on the reproduction number through Eqs. (2), (3), and (6).

Initial outbreak.— In the above discussion we have deliberately remained agnostic about the origin of Y_χ^0 , and simply treated this quantity as a free input parameter. We now outline various physical mechanisms that could generate such an initial DM abundance, a process which we will refer to as the *initial outbreak*.³

The first class of initial outbreak scenarios encompasses DM production mechanisms that take place much earlier than the typically rather short period where transmission dominates. This includes well-studied examples such as UV-dominated freeze-in [37, 38] or direct production from the decay of the inflaton or other heavy particles [39] – but could also be related to more exotic examples like local pockets (‘wet markets’) of false vacua after a phase transition in the dark sector [40, 41] or by the evaporation of black holes (themselves perhaps produced by ‘lab accidents’ [42–44]). Common to all these scenarios is that the final DM abundance is independent of how exactly the initial outbreak proceeded: the only phenomenologically relevant input is the DM abundance at the onset of the era of exponential growth, thus providing a direct map to the generic situation discussed above (cf. Fig. 2).




FIG. 3. *Top.* As in Fig. 2, but now with a vanishing initial DM abundance and, on top of the transmission interaction, freeze-in production based on a constant matrix element. The coupling for freeze-in production is chosen such that the final abundance of χ corresponds to the observed DM density (solid lines, including the transmission phase). Dashed lines show, for comparison, the would-be abundance from freeze-in alone (when setting $\lambda_{\text{tr}} = 0$). *Bottom.* R -value corresponding to the abundance evolution shown above, resulting from *V*-duality.

In the second class of relevant scenarios, DM production during the outbreak and the transmission is, at least initially, intertwined. This is phenomenologically particularly relevant for IR dominated freeze-in rates [22] that are too small to explain the observed DM abundance without a subsequent phase of exponential growth. In general, the Boltzmann equation including $2 \rightarrow 2$ freeze-in processes becomes

$$\dot{n}_\chi + 3Hn_\chi \simeq \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{tr}} n_\chi n_\psi + \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{fi}} n_\psi^2, \quad (7)$$

where $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{fi}}$ is the total cross section for $\psi\psi \rightarrow \chi\chi$ and $\psi\psi \rightarrow \chi\psi$. Since $n_\psi \gg n_\chi$, a necessary condition for transmission to be non-negligible compared to traditional freeze-in is thus $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{fi}} \ll \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{tr}}$. Once the two terms on the r.h.s. of the above equation are of a similar size, on the other hand, transmission will very quickly take over due to the exponential growth of n_χ .

We show epi curves for this scenario in Fig. 3, assuming for simplicity that the amplitudes for both types of processes are constant. We also choose the same masses and transmission couplings as in Fig. 2, to facilitate comparison. Instead of fixing the initial abundance, however, we now fix the freeze-in coupling to result in the correct relic abundance (thus taking a vanishing DM abundance as the initial condition). The above discussed three phases – sneeze-in, transmission, and the final flattening of the epi curve – are clearly visible in the figure. We stress

³ We thank Pat Iento for stimulating discussions on this point.




FIG. 4. Diagrams for Bhabha-scattering-like transmission (top row) and freeze-in (bottom row) processes for a simple virus-mediator model with fermion mass mixing, scaling with the mixing angle as θ and θ^2 , respectively. Also Møller-scattering-like processes (involving only fermions, not anti-fermions) contribute to the production of χ through both transmission and freeze-in, at the same orders in θ .

that this brings a new perspective to the widely studied freeze-in mechanism, which can trigger a subsequent transmission phase in a rather natural way (see also below for concrete model building). It therefore becomes possible to satisfy the relic density constraint with significantly smaller couplings λ_{fi} than generally assumed,⁴ opening up new parameter space where freeze-in is relevant for setting the DM energy density. Again, the transmission couplings, λ_{tr} , needed for this purpose are only logarithmically dependent on the freeze-in couplings.

Vector transmission model.— In the simple toy example shown in Fig. 3 we treated freeze-in and transmission as completely independent processes, adjusting their relative strength by hand to meet the necessary condition for an IR-dominated initial outbreak, $\langle\sigma v\rangle_{\text{fi}} \ll \langle\sigma v\rangle_{\text{tr}}$. We will now provide an explicit model where this condition is automatically satisfied in a simple and straightforward way. Concretely, we consider both ψ and χ to be Dirac fermions, with a tiny mass mixing δm . Additionally, we assume that χ (but not ψ) is charged under a broken $U(1)'$, mediated by a massive vector V (the ‘virus’).⁵ The relevant parts of the Lagrangian are thus given by $\mathcal{L} \supset -\delta m (\bar{\psi}\chi + \bar{\chi}\psi) - g\bar{\chi}\not{V}\chi$. After diagonalizing the Lagrangian to new mass eigenstates, which for ease of notation we again simply denote with ψ and χ , the relevant interaction terms are

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -g[\bar{\chi}\not{V}\chi + \theta(\bar{\psi}\not{V}\chi + \bar{\chi}\not{V}\psi) + \theta^2\bar{\psi}\not{V}\psi], \quad (8)$$

⁴ Pure freeze-in without transmission ($\lambda_{\text{tr}} = 0$) would produce the observed DM abundance if $\lambda_{\text{fi}} \approx 6.39 \times 10^{-11}$ for the masses used in Fig. 3.

⁵ In fact transmission over some distance (air) can be at least as effective as infection via a contact interaction, as made painfully clear in the current Covid-19 pandemic.

with $\theta \simeq \delta m/(m_\psi - m_\chi) \ll 1$ being the mixing angle. Here we do not speculate further about the origin of δm , but note in passing that it could be generated by a Yukawa coupling to the same scalar whose vacuum expectation value is responsible for breaking the $U(1)'$.

As illustrated in Fig. 4, this simple setup leads to both transmission and freeze-in processes, with relative strengths given by $\langle\sigma v\rangle_{\text{fi}}/\langle\sigma v\rangle_{\text{tr}} \sim \theta^2 \ll 1$ as required. We note that Møller-like scattering processes also need to be taken into account for both transmission ($\psi\chi \rightarrow \chi\chi$) and freeze-in ($\psi\psi \rightarrow \chi\chi$) in this model, contributing at the same order in θ as the Bhabha-scattering-like processes explicitly shown in the figure. Other potential DM production processes, such as $\psi\psi \rightarrow \psi\chi$, can be safely neglected as they are suppressed by a further factor of θ^2 . On the other hand, light vector mediators, with $m_V < 2m_\chi$, would allow for unsuppressed DM annihilation and inverse decay processes. While this would somewhat complicate the description of the epi curves, requiring to take into account additional terms in the Boltzmann equation, this is a model building option that allows for a rich phenomenology connected to late-time DM observables.

Discussion.— The above example illustrates that transmission is by no means restricted to specific model realizations, but a general mechanism of DM production that essentially interpolates between the traditionally considered freeze-in and freeze-out regimes. At first glance it may seem worrisome that the dark matter energy density depends exponentially on the transmission cross section, implying that the cross section must be carefully chosen to match observation. But this can be turned around: in fact it implies that this mechanism is highly predictive, as manifested by the logarithmic sensitivity of the necessary cross section to the size of the initial outbreak. We also note that exponential sensitivity is quite common in nature (beyond epidemics) and for example is an implication of renormalization group flows, where IR parameters can be exponentially sensitive to UV parameters: the proton mass, *e.g.*, depends exponentially on the size of the strong coupling at high energies [45].

Let us finally stress that the mechanism proposed here works for a large range of different particle masses, not just the specific choice displayed in the epi curve examples, $m_\chi = 10 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_\psi = 20 \text{ GeV}$. We note that primordial nucleosynthesis and the CMB constrain an additional particle ψ in the SM heat bath to satisfy $m_\psi \gtrsim 10 \text{ MeV}$ [46, 47]; this could be lowered by considering the possibility of ψ being a SM particle. Even a reverted mass hierarchy is possible, $m_\chi > m_\psi$, in which case it would be m_χ rather than m_ψ that determines when the epi curves start to flatten. For $m_\chi > 3m_\psi$, DM in general becomes unstable – but not necessarily on cosmological time-scales (if only the contact interaction shown in Fig. 1 is present, *e.g.*, this decay would only oc-

cur at the two-loop level). Such highly suppressed decays may potentially be visible in late-time observables related to cosmological structure formation or cosmic rays, making corresponding scenarios even more attractive. We leave a detailed exploration of phenomenological consequences of Pandemic DM for future work.

Conclusions.— Although the particle origin of DM remains a mystery, the study of its phenomenology is a rich and interdisciplinary subject that increasingly draws from fields beyond particle physics. Diverse probes of DM come from cosmology [48–50] and astronomy [51–54]. Emerging proposals for direct detection utilize condensed matter physics [55–58], atomic physics [59, 60], quantum sensors [61, 62], or even biotechnology [63, 64]. Here we have shown, for the first time, that there is also a sharp connection between DM and epidemiology.

We have introduced Pandemic DM, where an initial outbreak seeds a small density of DM particles, whose abundance is enhanced due to a transmission process where DM particles convert bath particles into more DM particles. The DM abundance grows exponentially with time, like the epi curve describing the spread of a pathogen [65, 66], and in stark contrast to models of freeze-in where the abundance grows only as a power law. Indeed, we have established V -duality, which relates the Boltzmann equation for the DM number density to the SIR model for the transmission of pathogens. V -duality has the surprising implication that studies of epidemiology may help us to understand the origin of DM, and *vice versa*. Judging from the large range of concrete applications that resulted from a thorough study of other well-known dualities in physics [67–69], this emerging interface of DM and epidemiology clearly warrants further exploration.

Acknowledgements.— This work is supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under Germany’s Excellence Strategy – EXC 2121 ‘Quantum Universe’ – 390833306, the F.R.S. – FNRS under the Excellence of Science (EoS) project No. 30820817 – be.h ‘The H boson gateway to physics beyond the Standard Model,’ and the National Science Foundation under Grant No. NSF PHY-1748958. JTR is further supported by the NSF CAREER grant PHY-1554858, NSF grant PHY-1915409, and by an award from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. We have not yet received support from the NIH, but maybe will soon.

* torsten.bringmann@fys.uio.no

† frederik.depta@desy.de

‡ marco.hufnagel@ulb.ac.be

§ ruderman@nyu.edu

¶ kai.schmidt-hoberg@desy.de

- [1] N. Aghanim *et al.* (Planck), Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters, (2018), arXiv:1807.06209 [astro-ph.CO].
- [2] P. J. Fox, D. E. Kaplan, E. Katz, E. Poppitz, V. Sanz, M. Schmaltz, M. D. Schwartz, and N. Weiner, Supersplit supersymmetry, (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0503249.
- [3] B. W. Lee and S. Weinberg, Cosmological Lower Bound on Heavy Neutrino Masses, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **39**, 165 (1977).
- [4] D. P. Finkbeiner and N. Weiner, Exciting Dark Matter and the INTEGRAL/SPI 511 keV signal, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 083519 (2007), arXiv:astro-ph/0702587.
- [5] M. Pospelov, A. Ritz, and M. B. Voloshin, Secluded WIMP Dark Matter, *Phys. Lett. B* **662**, 53 (2008), arXiv:0711.4866 [hep-ph].
- [6] J. L. Feng, H. Tu, and H.-B. Yu, Thermal Relics in Hidden Sectors, *JCAP* **10**, 043, arXiv:0808.2318 [hep-ph].
- [7] M. Pospelov, Secluded U(1) below the weak scale, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 095002 (2009), arXiv:0811.1030 [hep-ph].
- [8] K. Sigurdson, Hidden Hot Dark Matter as Cold Dark Matter, (2009), arXiv:0912.2346 [astro-ph.CO].
- [9] C. Cheung, G. Elor, L. J. Hall, and P. Kumar, Origins of Hidden Sector Dark Matter I: Cosmology, *JHEP* **03**, 042, arXiv:1010.0022 [hep-ph].
- [10] T. Bringmann, P. F. Depta, M. Hufnagel, and K. Schmidt-Hoberg, Precise dark matter relic abundance in decoupled sectors, (2020), arXiv:2007.03696 [hep-ph].
- [11] R. T. D’Agnolo and J. T. Ruderman, Light Dark Matter from Forbidden Channels, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 061301 (2015), arXiv:1505.07107 [hep-ph].
- [12] R. T. D’Agnolo, D. Liu, J. T. Ruderman, and P.-J. Wang, Forbidden Dark Matter Annihilations into Standard Model Particles, (2020), arXiv:2012.11766 [hep-ph].
- [13] D. Pappadopulo, J. T. Ruderman, and G. Trevisan, Dark matter freeze-out in a nonrelativistic sector, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 035005 (2016), arXiv:1602.04219 [hep-ph].
- [14] M. Farina, D. Pappadopulo, J. T. Ruderman, and G. Trevisan, Phases of Cannibal Dark Matter, *JHEP* **12**, 039, arXiv:1607.03108 [hep-ph].
- [15] R. T. D’Agnolo, D. Pappadopulo, J. T. Ruderman, and P.-J. Wang, Thermal Relic Targets with Exponentially Small Couplings, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 151801 (2020), arXiv:1906.09269 [hep-ph].
- [16] E. D. Kramer, E. Kuflik, N. Levi, N. J. Outmezguine, and J. T. Ruderman, Heavy Thermal Dark Matter from a New Collision Mechanism, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 081802 (2021), arXiv:2003.04900 [hep-ph].
- [17] E. Kuflik, M. Perelstein, N. R.-L. Lorier, and Y.-D. Tsai, Elastically Decoupling Dark Matter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 221302 (2016), arXiv:1512.04545 [hep-ph].
- [18] P. J. Fitzpatrick, H. Liu, T. R. Slatyer, and Y.-D. Tsai, New Pathways to the Relic Abundance of Vector-Portal Dark Matter, (2020), arXiv:2011.01240 [hep-ph].
- [19] E. D. Carlson, M. E. Machacek, and L. J. Hall, Self-interacting dark matter, *Astrophys. J.* **398**, 43 (1992).

- [20] Y. Hochberg, E. Kuflik, T. Volansky, and J. G. Wacker, Mechanism for Thermal Relic Dark Matter of Strongly Interacting Massive Particles, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 171301 (2014), arXiv:1402.5143 [hep-ph].
- [21] J. Smirnov and J. F. Beacom, New Freezeout Mechanism for Strongly Interacting Dark Matter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 131301 (2020), arXiv:2002.04038 [hep-ph].
- [22] L. J. Hall, K. Jedamzik, J. March-Russell, and S. M. West, Freeze-In Production of FIMP Dark Matter, *JHEP* **03**, 080, arXiv:0911.1120 [hep-ph].
- [23] X. Chu, T. Hambye, and M. H. G. Tytgat, The Four Basic Ways of Creating Dark Matter Through a Portal, *JCAP* **05**, 034, arXiv:1112.0493 [hep-ph].
- [24] X. Chu, Y. Mambrini, J. Quevillon, and B. Zaldivar, Thermal and non-thermal production of dark matter via Z' -portal(s), *JCAP* **01**, 034, arXiv:1306.4677 [hep-ph].
- [25] A. Falkowski, E. Kuflik, N. Levi, and T. Volansky, Light Dark Matter from Leptogenesis, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 015022 (2019), arXiv:1712.07652 [hep-ph].
- [26] H. An, R. Huo, and W. Liu, KeV Scale Frozen-in Self-Interacting Fermionic Dark Matter, (2018), arXiv:1812.05699 [hep-ph].
- [27] T. Hambye, M. H. G. Tytgat, J. Vandecasteele, and L. Vanderheyden, Dark matter from dark photons: a taxonomy of dark matter production, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 095018 (2019), arXiv:1908.09864 [hep-ph].
- [28] C. Mondino, M. Pospelov, J. T. Ruderman, and O. Slone, Dark Higgs Dark Matter, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 035027 (2021), arXiv:2005.02397 [hep-ph].
- [29] G. Bélanger, C. Delaunay, A. Pukhov, and B. Zaldivar, Dark matter abundance from the sequential freeze-in mechanism, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 035017 (2020), arXiv:2005.06294 [hep-ph].
- [30] N. Bernal, Boosting Freeze-in through Thermalization, *JCAP* **10**, 006, arXiv:2005.08988 [hep-ph].
- [31] J. March-Russell, H. Tillim, and S. M. West, Reproductive freeze-in of self-interacting dark matter, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 083018 (2020), arXiv:2007.14688 [astro-ph.CO].
- [32] A. Eckert and D. Higgins, High energy stereoscopic system, <https://phil.cdc.gov/Details.aspx?pid=23312> (2020).
- [33] A. Berlin, WIMPs with GUTs: Dark Matter Coannihilation with a Lighter Species, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 121801 (2017), arXiv:1704.08256 [hep-ph].
- [34] D. Fisman, Seasonality of viral infections: mechanisms and unknowns, *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* **18**, 946 (2012).
- [35] J. Edsjö and P. Gondolo, Neutralino relic density including coannihilations, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 1879 (1997), arXiv:hep-ph/9704361.
- [36] W. O. Kermack and A. G. McKendrick, A Contribution to the Mathematical Theory of Epidemics, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.* **A 115**, 700 (1927).
- [37] T. Moroi, H. Murayama, and M. Yamaguchi, Cosmological constraints on the light stable gravitino, *Phys. Lett. B* **303**, 289 (1993).
- [38] M. Bolz, A. Brandenburg, and W. Buchmuller, Thermal production of gravitinos, *Nucl. Phys. B* **606**, 518 (2001), [Erratum: *Nucl.Phys.B* 790, 336-337 (2008)], arXiv:hep-ph/0012052.
- [39] F. Takahashi, Gravitino dark matter from inflaton decay, *Phys. Lett. B* **660**, 100 (2008), arXiv:0705.0579 [hep-ph].
- [40] E. Witten, Cosmic Separation of Phases, *Phys. Rev. D* **30**, 272 (1984).
- [41] P. Asadi, E. D. Kramer, E. Kuflik, G. W. Ridgway, T. R. Slatyer, and J. Smirnov, Thermal Squeezeout of Dark Matter, (2021), arXiv:2103.09827 [hep-ph].
- [42] A. Dar, A. De Rujula, and U. W. Heinz, Will relativistic heavy ion colliders destroy our planet?, *Phys. Lett. B* **470**, 142 (1999), arXiv:hep-ph/9910471.
- [43] J. P. Blaizot, J. Iliopoulos, J. Madsen, G. G. Ross, P. Sonderegger, and H. J. Specht, Study of potentially dangerous events during heavy-ion collisions at the LHC: Report of the LHC safety study group 10.5170/CERN-2003-001 (2003).
- [44] J. R. Ellis, G. Giudice, M. L. Mangano, I. Tkachev, and U. Wiedemann, Review of the Safety of LHC Collisions, *J. Phys. G* **35**, 115004 (2008), arXiv:0806.3414 [hep-ph].
- [45] G. W. Anderson and D. J. Castano, Measures of fine tuning, *Phys. Lett. B* **347**, 300 (1995), arXiv:hep-ph/9409419.
- [46] P. F. Depta, M. Hufnagel, K. Schmidt-Hoberg, and S. Wild, BBN constraints on the annihilation of MeV-scale dark matter, *JCAP* **04**, 029, arXiv:1901.06944 [hep-ph].
- [47] N. Sabti, J. Alvey, M. Escudero, M. Fairbairn, and D. Blas, Refined Bounds on MeV-scale Thermal Dark Sectors from BBN and the CMB, *JCAP* **01**, 004, arXiv:1910.01649 [hep-ph].
- [48] M. Viel, J. Lesgourgues, M. G. Haehnelt, S. Matarrese, and A. Riotto, Constraining warm dark matter candidates including sterile neutrinos and light gravitinos with WMAP and the Lyman-alpha forest, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 063534 (2005), arXiv:astro-ph/0501562.
- [49] T. R. Slatyer, Indirect dark matter signatures in the cosmic dark ages. I. Generalizing the bound on s-wave dark matter annihilation from Planck results, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 023527 (2016), arXiv:1506.03811 [hep-ph].
- [50] V. Gluscevic and K. K. Boddy, Constraints on Scattering of keV–TeV Dark Matter with Protons in the Early Universe, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 081301 (2018), arXiv:1712.07133 [astro-ph.CO].
- [51] S. Tulin and H.-B. Yu, Dark Matter Self-interactions and Small Scale Structure, *Phys. Rept.* **730**, 1 (2018), arXiv:1705.02358 [hep-ph].
- [52] N. Bar, D. Blas, K. Blum, and S. Sibiryakov, Galactic rotation curves versus ultralight dark matter: Implications of the soliton-host halo relation, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 083027 (2018), arXiv:1805.00122 [astro-ph.CO].
- [53] L. Necib, M. Lisanti, and V. Belokurov, Inferred Evidence For Dark Matter Kinematic Substructure with SDSS-Gaia 10.3847/1538-4357/ab095b (2018), arXiv:1807.02519 [astro-ph.GA].
- [54] C. Mondino, A.-M. Taki, K. Van Tilburg, and N. Weiner, First Results on Dark Matter Substructure from Astrometric Weak Lensing, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 111101 (2020), arXiv:2002.01938 [astro-ph.CO].
- [55] R. Essig, M. Fernandez-Serra, J. Mardon, A. Soto, T. Volansky, and T.-T. Yu, Direct Detection of sub-GeV Dark Matter with Semiconductor Targets, *JHEP* **05**, 046, arXiv:1509.01598 [hep-ph].
- [56] R. Budnik, O. Chesnovsky, O. Slone, and T. Volansky, Direct Detection of Light Dark Matter and Solar Neutrinos via Color Center Production in Crystals, *Phys. Lett. B* **782**, 242 (2018), arXiv:1705.03016 [hep-ph].
- [57] Y. Hochberg, Y. Kahn, M. Lisanti, K. M. Zurek, A. G. Grushin, R. Ilan, S. M. Griffin, Z.-F. Liu, S. F. Weber, and J. B. Neaton, Detection of sub-MeV Dark Matter

- with Three-Dimensional Dirac Materials, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 015004 (2018), arXiv:1708.08929 [hep-ph].
- [58] S. Griffin, S. Knapen, T. Lin, and K. M. Zurek, Directional Detection of Light Dark Matter with Polar Materials, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 115034 (2018), arXiv:1807.10291 [hep-ph].
- [59] K. Van Tilburg, N. Leefer, L. Bougas, and D. Budker, Search for ultralight scalar dark matter with atomic spectroscopy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 011802 (2015), arXiv:1503.06886 [physics.atom-ph].
- [60] J. C. Berengut *et al.*, Probing New Long-Range Interactions by Isotope Shift Spectroscopy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 091801 (2018), arXiv:1704.05068 [hep-ph].
- [61] D. Carney, A. Hook, Z. Liu, J. M. Taylor, and Y. Zhao, Ultralight dark matter detection with mechanical quantum sensors, *New J. Phys.* **23**, 023041 (2021), arXiv:1908.04797 [hep-ph].
- [62] D. Carney *et al.*, Mechanical Quantum Sensing in the Search for Dark Matter, *Quantum Sci. Technol.* **6**, 024002 (2021), arXiv:2008.06074 [physics.ins-det].
- [63] A. Drukier, K. Freese, A. Lopez, D. Spergel, C. Cantor, G. Church, and T. Sano, New Dark Matter Detectors using DNA or RNA for Nanometer Tracking, (2012), arXiv:1206.6809 [astro-ph.IM].
- [64] A. K. Drukier, C. Cantor, M. Chonofsky, G. M. Church, R. L. Fagaly, K. Freese, A. Lopez, T. Sano, C. Savage, and W. P. Wong, New class of biological detectors for WIMPs, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **29**, 1443007 (2014), arXiv:1403.8154 [astro-ph.IM].
- [65] N. A. Alwan, R. A. Burgess, S. Ashworth, R. Beale, N. Bhadelia, D. Bogaert, J. Dowd, I. Eckerle, L. R. Goldman, T. Greenhalgh, D. Gurdasani, A. Hamdy, W. P. Hanage, E. B. Hodcroft, Z. Hyde, P. Kellam, M. Kelly-Irving, F. Krammer, M. Lipsitch, A. McNally, M. McKee, A. Nouri, D. Pimenta, V. Priesemann, H. Rutter, J. Silver, D. Sridhar, C. Swanton, R. P. Walensky, G. Yamey, and H. Ziauddeen, Scientific consensus on the covid-19 pandemic: we need to act now, *The Lancet* **396**, e71 (2020).
- [66] M. J. Kühn, D. Abele, T. Mitra, W. Koslow, M. Abedi, K. Rack, M. Siggel, S. Khailaie, M. Klitz, S. Binder, L. Spataro, J. Gilg, J. Kleinert, M. Häberle, L. Plötzke, C. D. Spinner, M. Stecher, X. X. Zhu, A. Basermann, and M. Meyer-Hermann, Assessment of effective mitigation and prediction of the spread of sars-cov-2 in germany using demographic information and spatial resolution, medRxiv 10.1101/2020.12.18.20248509 (2020).
- [67] C. Vafa and E. Witten, A Strong coupling test of S duality, *Nucl. Phys. B* **431**, 3 (1994), arXiv:hep-th/9408074.
- [68] E. Alvarez, L. Alvarez-Gaume, and Y. Lozano, An Introduction to T duality in string theory, *Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl.* **41**, 1 (1995), arXiv:hep-th/9410237.
- [69] N. A. Obers and B. Pioline, U duality and M theory, *Phys. Rept.* **318**, 113 (1999), arXiv:hep-th/9809039.