

The second stable homotopy groups of motivic spheres

Oliver Röndigs, Markus Spitzweck, Paul Arne Østvær

April 1, 2021

Abstract

We compute the 2-line of stable homotopy groups of motivic spheres over fields of characteristic not two in terms of motivic cohomology and hermitian K -groups.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Very effective hermitian K-theory	5
3	The slice filtration on the sphere spectrum	20
4	The 1-line	34
5	The 2-line	35
A	Modules over Milnor K-Theory	40

1 Introduction

One of the fundamental problems in motivic homotopy theory over a field F is to calculate the homotopy groups $\pi_{t,w}\mathbf{1}$ of the motivic sphere spectrum $\mathbf{1}$. Here the integers $t, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ refer to the topological degree and the weight, respectively. In a precise sense, these groups are the universal motivic invariants because the motivic sphere is the unit for the tensor product on motivic spectra. All the relations witnessed in $\pi_{*,*}\mathbf{1}$ hold in every other theory representable in the stable motivic homotopy category, such as algebraic cobordism, algebraic and hermitian K -theory, motivic cohomology, and higher Witt theory. By work of Morel [Mor06], the group $\pi_{s,t}\mathbf{1} = 0$ if $s < t$ and the so-called 0-line $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \pi_{n,n}\mathbf{1}$ is the Milnor-Witt K -theory $\mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}(F)$ of F , which incorporates a great deal of arithmetic information about the base field. It turns out that the Grothendieck-Witt group of isomorphism classes of symmetric bilinear forms features very naturally in this calculation; a surprising fact since the construction of motivic homotopy theory does not involve quadratic forms. Over the complex numbers, the Betti realization functor witnesses all the classical stable homotopy groups as the weight zero part of the corresponding groups of the motivic sphere [Lev14]. The key inputs enabling the connection between motivic homotopy groups and quadratic forms are the resolutions of the Bloch-Kato, and Milnor conjectures on Galois cohomology and quadratic forms in [Voe03], [OVV07], and [Voe11].

In [RSØ19] we extended these calculations to the 1-line $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \pi_{n+1,n}\mathbf{1}$ of the stable motivic homotopy groups, for every field F of characteristic unequal to two. Our approach makes a systematic analysis of the slice spectral sequences for the sphere and hermitian K -theory [Voe02a], [RØ16]. To "turn the pages" in the slice spectral sequence for the sphere, we calculate sufficiently many differentials to deduce that it collapses at its E^2 -page, at least as far as the 1-line is concerned. A case-by-case analysis achieves this, which combines Voevodsky's description of the motivic Steenrod algebra [Voe03], [HKØ17], with the observation that the slice differentials induce graded Milnor K -theory $\mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(F)$ -module maps [Mil70].

This paper deepens our understanding of the stable motivic homotopy groups by means of an explicit calculation of the 2-line $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \pi_{n+2,n}\mathbf{1}$ over fields of characteristic different from two. To that end, we develop powerful computational techniques taking into account the Milnor-Witt K -theory module structure on successive stages of the slice filtration. Owing to a comparison between the motivic sphere and very effective hermitian K -theory, we also strengthen the main result in [RSØ19]. Moving up from the 1- to the 2-line increases the computational complexity, which is rooted in the problem of controlling mod- n motivic cohomology groups as n increases.

Suppose S is a base scheme and Λ is a localization of \mathbb{Z} such that (S, Λ) is a compatible pair in the sense of [RSØ19, §2.1], e.g., S is smooth over a field or a Dedekind domain of mixed characteristic such that every positive residue characteristic of S is invertible in Λ . Later we will restrict to the case of a field F of exponential characteristic $c \geq 1$ and $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{c}]$. By Theorem 2.12 in [RSØ19] the slices of the Λ -local sphere spectrum are given by a finite wedge product of suspensions of motivic Eilenberg-MacLane spectra

$$s_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \cong \bigvee_{p \geq 0} \Sigma^{2q-p,q} \mathbf{M}(\Lambda \otimes \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{MU}_* \mathbf{MU}}^{p,2q}(\mathbf{MU}_*, \mathbf{MU}_*)). \quad (1.1)$$

Here, the extension groups are calculated in comodules over the Hopf algebroid for the cobordism spectrum \mathbf{MU} ; these form the E^2 -page of the classical Adams-Novikov spectral sequence [Rav86]. Voevodsky's slice spectral sequence [Voe02a] takes (1.1) as its input

$$E_{t,q,w}^1 = \pi_{t,w} s_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \implies \pi_{t,w} \mathbf{1}_\Lambda. \quad (1.2)$$

To formulate our results, we compare the motivic sphere spectrum with hermitian K -theory \mathbf{KQ} , or rather its very effective cover \mathbf{kq} . Over a perfect field, \mathbf{kq} coincides with the effective cover $f_0(\mathbf{KQ}_{\geq 0})$ with respect to the slice filtration by \mathbf{kq} . Here $\mathbf{KQ}_{\geq 0}$ is the connective cover of \mathbf{KQ} with respect to the homotopy t -structure on the stable motivic homotopy category. For a compatible pair (S, Λ) as above, the slices of \mathbf{kq} have the following form.

$$s_q(\mathbf{kq}_\Lambda) \cong \begin{cases} \Sigma^{2q,q} \mathbf{M}\Lambda \vee \bigvee_{0 \leq i < \frac{q}{2}} \Sigma^{2i+q,q} \mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 & 0 \leq q \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \bigvee_{0 \leq i < \frac{q+1}{2}} \Sigma^{2i+q,q} \mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 & 0 \leq q \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ * & q < 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

The canonical map $\mathbf{kq}_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda$ induces an inclusion on all slices. The infinite wedge sum in the identification of $s_q(\mathbf{KQ}_\Lambda)$ in [RØ16, Theorem 4.18], [RSØ19, Theorem 2.15] explains to some extent why we prefer to use \mathbf{kq}_Λ in our comparison with $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$.

A refinement of [RSØ19, Theorem 5.6], see Section 4, yields the following calculation.

Theorem 1.1: *Let F be a field of characteristic zero. For every integer n , the unit map $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{kq}$ induces a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{2-n}^{\mathbf{M}}(F)/24 \rightarrow \pi_{n+1,n} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_{n+1,n} \mathbf{kq} \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, this proves Morel's π_1 -conjecture, i.e., there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_2^{\mathbf{M}}(F)/24 \rightarrow \pi_{1,0} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow F^\times/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.4)$$

With reference to the $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{MW}}(F)$ -module structure, the second motivic Hopf map $\nu \in \pi_{3,2} \mathbf{1}$ generates $\mathbf{K}_2^{\mathbf{M}}(F)/24$ and the topological Hopf map $\eta_{\text{top}} \in \pi_{1,0} \mathbf{1}$ generates $F^\times/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$. These generators are subject to the relations $2\eta_{\text{top}} = 0$ and $\eta^2 \eta_{\text{top}} = 6(1 - \epsilon)\nu = 12\nu$, implying $24\nu = 0$ (as predicted by a motivic analogue of the Adams conjecture in this degree). Here $\eta \in \pi_{1,1} \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{h} = 1 - \epsilon \in \pi_{0,0} \mathbf{1}$ are the first and zeroth motivic Hopf maps. By specializing to the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} , we can distinguish between the elements $\rho^2 \eta_{\text{top}}$ and $\rho^4 \nu$ in the integral group $\pi_{-1,-2} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}}$, which cannot be witnessed after 2-completion according to [DI17]. On the other hand we have $\rho^3 \eta_{\text{top}} = \rho^5 \nu$ in $\pi_{-2,-3} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Theorem 1.1 holds more generally over any field F of exponential characteristic $c \neq 2$. For $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{c}]$ there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{2-n}^{\mathbf{M}}(F) \otimes \Lambda/24 \rightarrow \pi_{n+1,n} \mathbf{1}_\Lambda \rightarrow \pi_{n+1,n} \mathbf{kq}_\Lambda \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.5)$$

It is unclear whether the restriction on c is necessary. To begin with, an identification of the motivic Steenrod algebra at the characteristic, extending [Voe03] and [HKØ17], may be required for answering this question.

In this paper we continue the work in [RSØ19] by calculating the 2-line.

Theorem 1.2: *Let F be a field of characteristic zero. For every integer n , the unit map $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{kq}$ induces a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H^{1-n, 2-n}(F)/24 \oplus \mathbf{K}_{4-n}^{\mathbf{M}}(F)/2 \rightarrow \pi_{n+2, n} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_{n+2, n} \mathbf{kq}.$$

In particular, $\pi_{n+2, n} \mathbf{1} = 0$ for $n \geq 5$ and $\pi_{6, 4} \mathbf{1} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$.

Here $H^{1-n, 2-n}(F)$ refers to integral motivic cohomology. Its mod-24 reduction maps canonically to $\pi_{n+2, n} \mathbf{1}$, which contains a quotient of $h_{24}^{1-n, 2-n}$. Theorem 1.2 holds more generally for fields with $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{c}]$ -coefficients, $c \neq 2$, as in (1.5). The $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{MW}}(F)$ -module $\mathbf{K}_{4-n}^{\mathbf{M}}/2$ is generated by the motivic Hopf map ν^2 . On the 2-line, we find the relation

$$\rho^2 \nu^2 = \eta_{\text{top}} \nu \in \pi_{4, 2} \mathbf{1}.$$

For $n = 2$, the rightmost map in Theorem 1.2 is not surjective since $\pi_{4, 2} \mathbf{1}$ is torsion and $\pi_{4, 2} \mathbf{kq}$ is isomorphic to the zeroth symplectic Grothendieck group $\mathbf{K}_0^{\text{Sp}}(F) \cong 2\mathbb{Z}$ of even integers. For $n = 1$, there is a split short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_3^{\mathbf{M}}(F)/2 \rightarrow \pi_{3, 1} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mu_{24}(F) \rightarrow 0.$$

Here $\pi_{3, 1} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_{3, 1} \mathbf{kq} = H^{1, 1}$ is given by the inclusion of the 24-th roots of unity into F^\times . Since $\pi_{0, -1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}} = 0$ and $\pi_{0, 1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}} = \pi_{2, 1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{Z}/2$, the product map from the 1-line to the 2-line is not surjective over the complex numbers, contrary to the topological situation.

We carry out our calculations of stable motivic stems on F -points, but the results hold on the level of motivic homotopy sheaves since these are strictly \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant and hence unramified sheaves on \mathbf{Sm}_F , see [Mor05, Theorem 6.2.7], [Mor12, Theorem 2.11].

Throughout the paper, we employ the following notation.

F, S	field, finite dimensional separated Noetherian scheme
\mathbf{Sm}_S	smooth schemes of finite type over S
$S^{s,t} = S^{s-t+(t)}$	motivic $(s, t) = s - t + (t)$ -sphere
$\Sigma^{s,t} = \Sigma^{s-t+(t)}$	suspension with $S^{s,t} = S^{s-t+(t)}$
$\mathbf{SH}(S)$	motivic stable homotopy category over S
$\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{1} = S^{0,0}$	generic motivic spectrum, the motivic sphere spectrum
R, \mathbf{MR}	ring, motivic Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum of R
$\mathbf{MGL}, \mathbf{MU}, \mathbf{BP}$	algebraic and complex cobordism, Brown-Peterson spectrum
$\mathbf{KGL}, \mathbf{KQ}, \mathbf{KW}$	algebraic and hermitian K -theory, Witt-theory
f_q, s_q	q th effective cover and slice functors
$\mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}, \mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}, \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}} = \mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}/2$	Milnor-Witt K -theory, Milnor K -theory (modulo 2)
$H^{*,*}, h^{*,*}, h_n^{*,*}$	integral, mod-2, mod- n motivic cohomology groups
$\partial_b^a: h_a^{s,t} \rightarrow h_b^{s+1,t}$	connecting homomorphism, $a, b \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, $h_\infty = H$
$\text{inc}_b^a, \text{pr}_b^a: h_a^{s,t} \rightarrow h_b^{s,t}$	inclusion, projection homomorphism, $a, b \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, $h_\infty = H$.

The ring R is commutative and unital. For legibility we suppress $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{c}]$ -coefficients in our notation. Our standard conventions are $\mathbf{P}^1 \simeq \mathbf{T} \simeq S^{2,1}$ and $\mathbf{A}^1 \setminus \{0\} \simeq S^{1,1}$ for the Tate object $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{A}^1/\mathbf{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$. Let S be a scheme. For a motivic spectrum $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbf{SH}(S)$ and integers $s, w \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\pi_{s,w}\mathbf{E}$ denote the abelian group $[\Sigma^{s,w}\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{E}]$, where \mathbf{E} is a motivic spectrum and $\mathbf{1}_F = \mathbf{1}$ is the motivic sphere spectrum. The grading conventions are such that the suspension functor $\Sigma^{2,1} = \Sigma^{1+(1)}$ is suspension with \mathbf{P}^1 , and $\Sigma^{1,0} = \Sigma^{1+(0)} = \Sigma^1 = \Sigma$ is suspension with the simplicial circle. Set $\pi_{s+(w)}\mathbf{E} := \pi_{s+w,w}\mathbf{E}$, and let

$$\pi_{s+(\star)}\mathbf{E} := \bigoplus_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} \pi_{s+w,w}\mathbf{E}$$

denote the direct sum, considered as a \mathbb{Z} -graded module over the \mathbb{Z} -graded ring $\pi_{0+(\star)}\mathbf{1}$. The notation $\pi_{s-(\star)}\mathbf{E} := \pi_{s+(-\star)}\mathbf{E}$ will be used frequently, as well as the abbreviation $\pi_s\mathbf{E} := \pi_{s+(\star)}\mathbf{E}$. Note that $\pi_s\mathbf{E}$ is canonically a (left) $\pi_0\mathbf{1}$ -module for every $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Over a field F , the graded ring $\pi_0\mathbf{1}_F \cong \mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}(F)$ is the Milnor-Witt K -theory of F by Morel's theorem [Mor04]. Hence $\pi_s\mathbf{E}$ is naturally a \mathbf{K}^{MW} -module for every $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. The strictly \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant sheaf obtained as the associated Nisnevich sheaf of $U \mapsto \pi_{s,w}\mathbf{E}_U$ for $U \in \mathbf{Sm}_F$ is denoted $\underline{\pi}_{s,w}\mathbf{E}$, which gives rise to the homotopy module $\underline{\pi}_{s+(\star)}\mathbf{E}$. As mentioned already, our main results can be suitably reinterpreted as statements regarding homotopy modules. We write $d_1^{\mathbf{E}}(q): s_q(\mathbf{E}) \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0}s_{q+1}(\mathbf{E})$ or simply $d_1^{\mathbf{E}}$ for the first slice differential of \mathbf{E} [RØ16, §2], [Voe02a, §7]. For $r \geq 1$, we write $d_{p,q,w}^r(\mathbf{E}): E_{p,q,w}^r(\mathbf{E}) \rightarrow E_{p-1,q+r,w}^r(\mathbf{E})$ or simply $d^r(\mathbf{E})$ for the r th differential in the weight w slice spectral sequence.

2 Very effective hermitian K -theory

The path to computations for the sphere spectrum proceeds via a comparison with the very effective cover $\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \mathbf{KQ}$ of the motivic ring spectrum representing hermitian K -theory that is classifying vector bundles equipped with quadratic forms. Over perfect

fields of characteristic not two, it can be identified as $\mathbf{kq} = f_0(\mathbf{KQ}_{\geq 0})$, the effective cover of the connective cover of \mathbf{KQ} [Bac17], although it can be defined formally over any base scheme for which \mathbf{KQ} is defined [SØ12].¹ Since $\mathbf{1}$ is very effective, the unit map for \mathbf{KQ} factors uniquely over \mathbf{kq} . The computations for \mathbf{kq} require an analysis of the slice spectral sequence for \mathbf{kq} extending the results in [ARØ20]. Its convergence will be necessary for applying these slice spectral sequence computations and hence is discussed first. When the base scheme S has no points of residue field characteristic two, let τ denote the generator of $h^{0,1} \cong \mu_2(\mathcal{O}_S)$ and ρ denote the class of -1 in $h^{1,1} \cong \mathcal{O}_S^\times/2$. We denote motivic Steenrod operations by \mathbf{Sq}^i [Voe03, §9]. Let $\mathbf{kgl} := f_0\mathbf{KGL}$ be the (very) effective cover of the motivic ring spectrum \mathbf{KGL} classifying vector bundles. See [ARØ20] for a proof of the next result.

Theorem 2.1: *Multiplication with the Hopf map η yields a homotopy cofiber sequence*

$$\Sigma^{1,1}\mathbf{kq} \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathbf{kq} \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} \mathbf{kgl} \xrightarrow{\text{hyper}} \Sigma^{2,1}\mathbf{kq}.$$

Corollary 2.2: *There is a canonical equivalence between the η -completion of \mathbf{kq} and its slice completion*

$$\mathbf{kq}_\eta^\wedge \simeq \text{sc}(\mathbf{kq}).$$

Proof. By [RSØ19, Lemma 3.13] and Theorem 2.1 it suffices to prove that \mathbf{kgl} is slice complete. This follows via $\text{holim}_q f_q \mathbf{kgl} \simeq \text{holim}_q f_q \mathbf{KGL} \simeq *$ since \mathbf{KGL} is slice complete by [Voe02b]. \square

The Ph.D. thesis [LÁ17] provides an E_∞ -ring structure on \mathbf{KQ} , which by [GRSØ12] lifts to \mathbf{kq} for formal reasons. The graded slices of \mathbf{kq} then admit the multiplicative description

$$s_*(\mathbf{kq}) \cong \mathbf{MZ}[\eta, \sqrt{\alpha}]/(2\eta = 0, \eta^2 \xrightarrow{\delta} \sqrt{\alpha}). \quad (2.1)$$

Here $|\eta| = (1, 1)$ and $|\sqrt{\alpha}| = (4, 2)$. Figure 2.1 displays the slice d^1 -differential for \mathbf{kq} . This follows from (1.3) and the analogous result for \mathbf{KQ} .

Definition 2.3: Let $\mathbf{kW} := \mathbf{kq}[\frac{1}{\eta}]$ be shorthand for the η -inversion of \mathbf{kq} .

Figure 2.2 displays the slices together with the slice d^1 -differentials for \mathbf{kW} .

Proposition 2.4: *The canonical map $\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \mathbf{KQ} \rightarrow \mathbf{KQ}[\frac{1}{\eta}] = \mathbf{KW}$ yields an identification $\mathbf{kW} \simeq \mathbf{KW}_{\geq 0}$ between \mathbf{kW} and the connective cover of \mathbf{KW} .*

Proof. There is an induced map $\mathbf{kW} \rightarrow \mathbf{KW}_{\geq 0}$ since \mathbf{kW} is a homotopy colimit of connective motivic spectra (\mathbf{kq} is connective by definition). We show that it is a $\underline{\pi}_{t,w}$ -isomorphism. The homotopy sheaves are trivial for $t < w$. When $t \geq w$, then $\underline{\pi}_{t,w} \mathbf{kW}$ is isomorphic to

$$\text{colim}_n \underline{\pi}_{t+n, w+n} \mathbf{kq} \cong \text{colim}_n \underline{\pi}_{t+n, w+n} \mathbf{KQ} \cong \underline{\pi}_{t,w} \mathbf{KW}$$

via the canonical map, which concludes the proof. \square

¹The Ph.D. thesis [Kum20] provides a motivic spectrum over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$, and hence any base scheme, which pulls back to \mathbf{KQ} over any scheme in which 2 is invertible.




Figure 2.1: The first slice differential for $k\mathbf{q}$.




Figure 2.2: The first slice differential for $\mathbf{k}w$.

As a consequence, the following proposition provides that the slice spectral sequence for \mathbf{kq} computes the correct data in suitable degrees.

Proposition 2.5: *The canonical map $\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \mathbf{sc}(\mathbf{kq})$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\pi_k \mathbf{kq} \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_k \mathbf{sc}(\mathbf{kq})$$

of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \not\equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{k}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{kq}[\frac{1}{\eta}]$, η acts invertibly on $\mathbf{s}_*(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{w})$ and the columns in the slice spectral sequences for \mathbf{kq} and $\mathbf{k}\mathbf{w}$ agree outside a finite range. The calculation of the $E^2 = E^\infty$ -page for \mathbf{KW} in [RØ16, Theorem 6.3] implies that $\pi_k \mathbf{sc}(\mathbf{kq})[\frac{1}{\eta}] = 0$ if $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Corollary 2.2 shows $\mathbf{sc}(\mathbf{kq}) \simeq \mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge$. It remains to apply the η -arithmetic square for \mathbf{kq} and recall that $\mathbf{kq}[\frac{1}{\eta}] = \mathbf{k}\mathbf{w}$ is the connective cover of \mathbf{KW} , see Proposition 2.4. The result follows since $\pi_k \mathbf{k}\mathbf{w} = 0$ for $k < 0$ or $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. \square

Having clarified the range in which the slice spectral sequence for \mathbf{kq} actually computes \mathbf{kq} , it is now time to present these computations, starting with the following summary.

Theorem 2.6: *The nontrivial rows in the 1st and 2nd columns of the E^2 -page of the $-n$ th slice spectral sequence for \mathbf{kq} are given as:*

q	$E_{-n+1,q,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq})$	$E_{-n+2,q,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq})$
3	0	$h^{n+1,n+3}/(\mathbf{Sq}^2 h^{n-1,n+2} + \tau \text{pr}_2^\infty H^{n+1,n+2})$
2	$h^{n+1,n+2}/\mathbf{Sq}^2 h^{n-1,n+1}$	$2H^{n+2,n+2} \oplus (h^{n,n+2}/\mathbf{Sq}^2 h^{n-2,n+1})$
1	$h^{n,n+1}/\mathbf{Sq}^2 \text{pr}_2^\infty H^{n-2,n}$	$\ker(h^{n-1,n+1} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Sq}^2} h^{n+1,n+2})$
0	$H^{n-1,n}$	$\ker(H^{n-2,n} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Sq}^2 \text{pr}} h^{n,n+1})$

The proof of Theorem 2.6 is based on the identification of the slices of \mathbf{kq} in (1.3). Various arguments are required to conclude $E^2 = E^\infty$ for all of these tridegrees. First, we need to record how $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{kq}$ affects slices.

Lemma 2.7: *On 0-slices, the unit map $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{kq}$ induces the identity*

$$\mathbf{s}_0(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{MZ} \xrightarrow{1} \mathbf{MZ} = \mathbf{s}_0(\mathbf{kq}).$$

Proof. The zero slice functor preserves the ring structure; see also [RSØ19, Lemma 2.29]. \square

Lemma 2.8: *On 1-slices, the unit map $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{kq}$ induces the identity*

$$\mathbf{s}_1(\mathbf{1}) = \Sigma^{1,1} \mathbf{MZ}/2\{\alpha_1\} \xrightarrow{1} \Sigma^{1,1} \mathbf{MZ}/2 = \mathbf{s}_1(\mathbf{kq}).$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.7 and the multiplicative structure since the graded slice functor preserves the ring structure, see also [RSØ19, Lemma 2.29]. \square

Lemma 2.9: *On 2-slices the unit map $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{kq}$ induces*

$$s_2(\mathbf{1}) = \Sigma^{2,2}\mathbf{MZ}/2\{\alpha_1^2\} \vee \Sigma^{3,2}\mathbf{MZ}/12\{\alpha_{2/2}\} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_\infty^{12} \end{pmatrix}} \Sigma^{2,2}\mathbf{MZ}/2 \vee \Sigma^{4,2}\mathbf{MZ} = s_2(\mathbf{kq}).$$

Proof. The first column follows from the multiplicative structure or [RSØ19, Lemma 2.29]. By [RSØ19, Lemma 2.30] the second diagonal entry has the form $n \cdot \partial_\infty^{12}$ for n an odd integer. We show that n is not divisible by 3. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{MGL} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{kq} & \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} & \mathbf{kgl} \end{array}$$

of maps of motivic ring spectra induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s_2\mathbf{1} & \longrightarrow & s_2\mathbf{MGL} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ s_2\mathbf{kq} & \xrightarrow{s_2\text{forget}} & s_2\mathbf{kgl} \end{array}$$

on slices. The Wood cofiber sequence from Theorem 2.1 implies that $s_2\text{forget}$ is the canonical projection, as is the map $s_2\mathbf{MGL} \rightarrow s_2\mathbf{kgl}$. Hence n can be identified from the map on 2-slices induced by the unit map $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{MGL}$. This computation follows from the proof of (1.1), and shows that n is not divisible by 3. Thus n is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$. The result follows by applying a suitable isomorphism to $s_2(\mathbf{1})$. \square

Lemma 2.10: *For $q \geq 3$ the induced map $s_q(\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{kq})$ is the identity on the summands generated by α_1^q and $\alpha_3\alpha_1^{q-3}$, i.e., there is a commutative diagram with vertical inclusions*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^{q,q}\mathbf{MZ}/2 \vee \Sigma^{q+2,q}\mathbf{MZ}/2 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \Sigma^{q,q}\mathbf{MZ}/2 \vee \Sigma^{q+2,q}\mathbf{MZ}/2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ s_q(\mathbf{1}) & \longrightarrow & s_q(\mathbf{kq}). \end{array}$$

In particular, the unit map $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{kq}$ induces the identity on s_3 .

Proof. The argument in [RSØ19, Lemma 2.30] for $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{KQ}$ applies also to $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{kq}$. \square

Corollary 2.11: *For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the unit map $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{kq}$ induces a surjection on $E_{-n+1,2,-n}^1$ and $E_{-n+2,4,-n}^1$, and for all $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ an isomorphism on:*

$$\begin{array}{ll} E_{-n,m,-n}^1 & \\ E_{-n+1,m,-n}^1 & m \neq 2 \\ E_{-n+2,m,-n}^1 & m \neq 2, 4 \\ E_{-n+k,m,-n}^1 & m \leq 1. \end{array}$$

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 2.7, 2.8, 2.9, and 2.10. \square

Lemma 2.12: *The groups $E_{-n,m,-n}^1(\mathbf{kq})$ and $E_{-n+1,m,-n}^1(\mathbf{kq})$ consist of permanent cycles.*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 2.11 and the corresponding result for $\mathbf{1}$, or alternatively from the computation of the $E^2 = E^\infty$ page for $\mathbf{kW} \simeq \mathbf{KW}_{\geq 0}$ and the fact that $\pi_{-n,-n}\mathbf{kq} \cong \pi_{-n,-n}\mathbf{kW} \cong \mathbf{W}(F)$ is the Witt ring for $n < 0$. \square

Lemma 2.13: *The group $E_{-n+1,m,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq})$ is trivial for $m \geq 3$.*

Proof. We show the d^1 -differential entering $E_{-n+1,m,-n}^1(\mathbf{kq}) = h^{n+m-1,n+m}$ is surjective. For $m \geq 4$ the differential is given by

$$E_{-n+2,m-1,-n}^1(\mathbf{kq}) = h^{n+m-3,n+m-1} \oplus h^{n+m-1,n+m-1} \rightarrow h^{n+m-1,n+m} = E_{-n+1,m,-n}^1(\mathbf{kq})$$

$$(b_2, b_0) \mapsto \mathbf{Sq}^2 b_2 + \tau b_0.$$

The claim follows since $\tau: h^{n+m-1,n+m-1} \rightarrow h^{n+m-1,n+m}$ is surjective. For $m = 3$,

$$E_{-n+2,2,-n}^1(\mathbf{kq}) = h^{n,n+2} \oplus H^{n+2,n+2} \rightarrow h^{n+2,n+3} = E_{-n+1,3,-n}^1(\mathbf{kq})$$

$$(b_2, B_0) \mapsto \mathbf{Sq}^2 b_2 + \tau \text{pr}_2^\infty B_0$$

is surjective, since τ and $\text{pr}_2^\infty: H^{n+2,n+2} \rightarrow h^{n+2,n+2}$ are both surjective maps. \square

Lemma 2.14: *The possibly nontrivial groups in the first column $E_{-n+1,m,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq})$ are:*

$$E_{-n+1,0,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq}) \cong H^{n-1,n}$$

$$E_{-n+1,1,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq}) \cong h^{n,n+1}/\mathbf{Sq}^2 \text{pr}_2^\infty H^{n-2,n}$$

$$E_{-n+1,2,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq}) \cong h^{n+1,n+2}/\mathbf{Sq}^2 h^{n-1,n+1}$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.13 and the determination of the slice d^1 -differential. \square

Lemma 2.15: *The group $E_{-n+2,m,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq})$ is trivial for $m \geq 4$.*

Proof. The argument is analogous to the part of the proof of Lemma 2.13 for $m \geq 4$. \square

Lemma 2.16: *The group $E_{-n+2,3,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq})$ is isomorphic to*

$$h^{n+1,n+3}/\tau^2 \rho^2 h^{n-1,n-1} + \tau \text{pr}_2^\infty H^{n+1,n+2}$$

generated by α_1^3 .

Proof. The kernel of the d^1 -differential

$$h^{n+1,n+3} \oplus h^{n+3,n+3} \rightarrow h^{n+3,n+4}, \quad (b_2, b_0) \mapsto \mathbf{Sq}^2 b_2 + \tau b_0$$

is isomorphic to $h^{n+1,n+3}$ via the map $c_2 \mapsto (c_2, \tau^{-1} \mathbf{Sq}^2 c_2)$. The image of the d^1 -differential

$$h^{n-1,n+2} \oplus H^{n+1,n+2} \rightarrow h^{n+1,n+3} \oplus h^{n+3,n+3}, \quad (a_3, A_1) \mapsto (\mathbf{Sq}^2 a_3 + \tau \text{pr}_2^\infty A_1, \mathbf{Sq}^3 \mathbf{Sq}^1 a_3)$$

is generated by $\tau^2 \rho^2 h^{n-1,n-1}$ and $\tau \text{pr}_2^\infty H^{n+1,n+2} = \tau \ker(\partial_\infty^2: h^{n+1,n+2} \rightarrow H^{n+2,n+2})$. \square

Lemma 2.17: *The group $E_{-n+2,2,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq})$ is isomorphic to the direct sum*

$$h^{n,n+2}/\mathbf{Sq}^2 h^{n-2,n+1} \oplus 2H^{n+2,n+2}.$$

Proof. The kernel of d^1 maps to $2H^{n+2,n+2}$ via the surjection

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n : \{(b_2, b_0) \in h^{n,n+2} \oplus H^{n+2,n+2} : \mathbf{Sq}^2 b_2 = \tau \text{pr}_2^\infty b_0\} &\rightarrow \ker(H^{n+2,n+2} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2^\infty} h^{n+2,n+2}) \\ (b_2, b_0) &\mapsto \partial_\infty^2(\tau^{-1}b_2) \cdot \{-1\} + b_0. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\psi_n(0, b_0) = b_0$ for all $b_0 \in \ker(H^{n+2,n+2} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2^\infty} h^{n+2,n+2})$, and ψ_n is well-defined since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}_2^\infty(\partial_\infty^2(\tau^{-1}b_2) \cdot \{-1\} + b_0) &= \text{pr}_2^\infty(\partial_\infty^2(\tau^{-1}b_2) \cdot \text{pr}_2^\infty(\{-1\}) + \text{pr}_2^\infty b_0) \\ &= \mathbf{Sq}^1(\tau^{-1}b_2) \cdot \rho + \text{pr}_2^\infty b_0 \\ &= \tau^{-1}\mathbf{Sq}^2 b_2 + \text{pr}_2^\infty b_0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We can identify $\ker(\psi_n)$ with $h^{n,n+2}$ via the split injection $a_2 \mapsto (a_2, \partial_\infty^2(\tau^{-1}a_2) \cdot \{-1\})$. Hence the kernel of the exiting d^1 is isomorphic to the direct sum

$$h^{n,n+2} \oplus \ker(H^{n+2,n+2} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2^\infty} h^{n+2,n+2}).$$

The entering d^1 has image generated by $\mathbf{Sq}^2 h^{n-2,n+1}$ in $\ker(\psi_n)$, while its image in the target of ψ_n is trivial since

$$\psi_n \circ (\mathbf{Sq}^2, \partial_\infty^2 \mathbf{Sq}^2 \mathbf{Sq}^1)(h^{n-2,n+1}) = 0.$$

This follows from the calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n(\mathbf{Sq}^2(\tau^3 c_0), \partial_\infty^2 \mathbf{Sq}^2 \mathbf{Sq}^1(\tau^3 c_0)) &= \psi_n(\tau^2 \rho^2 c_0, \partial_\infty^2 \tau \rho^3 c_0) \\ &= \partial_\infty^2(\tau \rho^2 c_0) \cdot \{-1\} + \partial_\infty^2 \tau \rho^3 c_0 \\ &= C_0 \cdot \{-1\}^4 + C_0 \cdot \{-1\}^4 \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_0 \in H^{n-2,n-2}$ satisfies $\text{pr}_2^\infty C_0 = c_0$. Hence there is a split short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow h^{n,n+2}/\mathbf{Sq}^2 h^{n-2,n+1} \rightarrow E_{-n+2,2,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq}) \rightarrow 2H^{n+2,n+2} \rightarrow 0,$$

which concludes the proof. □

Lemma 2.18: *The group $E_{-n+2,1,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq})$ coincides with the kernel of $\mathbf{Sq}^2: h^{n-1,n+1} \rightarrow h^{n+1,n+2}$.*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 2.20. □

Lemma 2.19: *The composition $\mathbf{Sq}^2 \text{pr}_2^4: h_4^{n-3,n} \rightarrow h^{n-1,n+1}$ is zero.*

Proof. An element $x \in h_4^{n-3,n}$ maps via pr_2^4 to an element $\tau^3 y$, where $y \in h^{n-3,n-3}$. Since $\text{Sq}^1 \text{pr}_2^4 = 0$, the equality

$$0 = \text{Sq}^1(\text{pr}_2^4(x)) = \text{Sq}^1(\tau^3 y) = \tau^2 \rho y$$

follows, whence $\text{Sq}^2(\text{pr}_2^4(x)) = \text{Sq}^2(\tau^3 y) = \tau^2 \rho^2 y = \rho \cdot \tau^2 \rho y = \rho \cdot 0 = 0$. \square

Corollary 2.20: *The composition $\text{Sq}^2 \text{pr}_2^\infty : H^{n-3,n} \rightarrow h^{n-1,n+1}$ is zero.*

Proof. This follows, because $\text{pr}_2^\infty = \text{pr}_2^4 \text{pr}_4^\infty$ and already $\text{Sq}^2 \text{pr}_2^4$ is zero by Lemma 2.19. \square

Lemma 2.21: *The group $E_{-n+2,0,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq})$ coincides with the kernel of $\text{Sq}^2 \text{pr}_2^\infty : H^{n-2,n} \rightarrow h^{n,n+1}$.*

Proof. Use the description of the slice d^1 -differential. \square

Lemma 2.22: *The group $E_{-n+3,m,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq})$ is trivial for $m \geq 4$.*

Proof. The argument is analogous to the part of the proof of Lemma 2.13 for $m \geq 4$. \square

Lemma 2.23: *The group $E_{-n+3,3,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq})$ is isomorphic to*

$$h^{n,n+2}/\text{pr}_2^\infty H^{n,n+2} \cong {}_2H^{n+1,n+2}$$

generated by α_1^3 .

Proof. The kernel of the d^1 -differential

$$h^{n,n+3} \oplus h^{n+2,n+3} \rightarrow h^{n+2,n+4}, \quad (b_3, b_1) \mapsto \text{Sq}^2 b_3 + \tau b_1$$

is isomorphic to $h^{n,n+3}$ via the map $c_3 \mapsto (c_3, \tau^{-1} \text{Sq}^2 c_3)$. The image of the d^1 -differential

$$\begin{aligned} h^{n-2,n+2} \oplus H^{n,n+2} &\longrightarrow h^{n,n+3} \oplus h^{n+2,n+3}, \\ (a_4, A_2) &\mapsto (\text{Sq}^2 a_4 + \tau \text{pr}_2^\infty A_2, \text{Sq}^3 \text{Sq}^1 a_4) = (\tau \text{pr}_2^\infty A_2, 0) \end{aligned}$$

is generated by $\tau \text{pr}_2^\infty H^{n,n+2}$. The result follows, where the last isomorphism in the statement is induced by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^{n,n+2}/2H^{n,n+2} \rightarrow h^{n,n+2} \rightarrow {}_2H^{n+1,n+2} \rightarrow 0.$$

\square

Lemma 2.24: *The group $E_{-n+3,2,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq})$ is isomorphic to the kernel of*

$$d^1 : h^{n-1,n+2} \oplus H^{n+1,n+2}, \quad (b_3, B_1) \mapsto (\tau \text{pr}_2^\infty B_1 + \text{Sq}^2 b_3, \text{Sq}^3 \text{Sq}^1 b_3).$$

Proof. This follows from the description of the slice d^1 -differential since the incoming differential is zero. \square

Lemma 2.25: *The group $E_{-n+3,1,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq})$ is isomorphic to the kernel of $\mathrm{Sq}^2: h^{n-2,n+1} \rightarrow h^{n,n+2}$.*

Proof. This follows from the description of the slice d^1 -differential since the incoming differential is zero. \square

Lemma 2.26: *The group $E_{-n+3,0,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq})$ is equal to $H^{n-3,n}$.*

Proof. This follows from the description of the slice d^1 -differential and Corollary 2.20. \square

The above concludes our identification of the first four nontrivial columns of the second page of the slice spectral sequence for \mathbf{kq} . To determine the first three nontrivial columns on the third page, it remains to identify the second slice differentials in the relevant range.

Lemma 2.27: *Every element in $E_{-n+1,m,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq})$ is a permanent cycle.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.12. \square

Lemma 2.27 shows that $E_{-n,m,-n}^1(\mathbf{kq}) = E_{-n,m,-n}^\infty(\mathbf{kq})$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence the first potentially nonzero second slice differential for \mathbf{kq} is:

$$d^2: \ker(\mathrm{Sq}^2 \mathrm{pr}_2^\infty: H^{n-2,n} \rightarrow h^{n,n+1}) \rightarrow h^{n+1,n+2}/\mathrm{Sq}^2 h^{n-1,n+1} \quad (2.2)$$

Instead of referring to [RSØ19, Lemma 4.15], which implies that the differential (2.2) is zero, an alternative argument based on [Rön20] will be employed. The advantage is the identification of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -module structures. For this purpose, consider the slice filtration

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \mathbf{f}_1 \mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \mathbf{f}_0 \mathbf{kq} = \mathbf{kq}$$

and the induced filtration

$$\cdots \subset \mathbf{f}_2 \pi_m \mathbf{kq} \subset \mathbf{f}_1 \pi_m \mathbf{kq} \subset \pi_m \mathbf{kq}$$

on \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules; here $\mathbf{f}_n \pi_m \mathbf{kq}$ is the image of $\pi_m \mathbf{f}_n \mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_m \mathbf{kq}$. Proceed now to the first stage of the identification of the \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules $\pi_1 \mathbf{kq}$ and $\pi_2 \mathbf{kq}$. If A is a graded \mathbf{K}^{MW} -module and n, d are integers, then $A(n)$ is the graded \mathbf{K}^{MW} -module with $A(n)_d = A_{n+d}$.

Lemma 2.28: *There are isomorphisms $\pi_1 \mathbf{f}_3 \mathbf{kq} \cong \mathbf{k}^{\mathrm{M}}(2)$ generated in $\pi_{1+(2)} \mathbf{f}_3 \mathbf{kq} \cong \mathbf{k}_0^{\mathrm{M}}$ and $\pi_2 \mathbf{f}_3 \mathbf{kq} \cong \mathbf{k}^{\mathrm{M}}(1)$ generated in $\pi_{2+(1)} \mathbf{f}_3 \mathbf{kq} \cong \mathbf{k}_0^{\mathrm{M}}$.*

Proof. Slice convergence for \mathbf{kq} implies slice convergence for $\mathbf{f}_3 \mathbf{kq}$, as in Corollary 2.2. Similar to Lemma 2.13 and 2.22, the columns of the second page of the slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{f}_3 \mathbf{kq}$ corresponding to π_1 and π_2 are concentrated in the lowest possible slice, namely 3. There is no room for higher differentials, whence $\pi_1 \mathbf{f}_3 \mathbf{kq} \cong \pi_1 \mathbf{s}_3 \mathbf{kq} \cong h^{\star+2, \star+3}$. The computation of the slice d^1 differential $\pi_2 \mathbf{s}_3 \mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_1 \mathbf{s}_4 \mathbf{kq}$ provides as kernel $\pi_2 \mathbf{f}_3 \mathbf{kq} \cong h^{\star+1, \star+3}$. \square

Lemma 2.29: *There is an isomorphism $\pi_1 \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{kq} \cong \mathbf{k}^{\mathrm{M}}(1)$ generated in $\pi_{1+(1)} \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{kq} \cong \mathbf{k}_0^{\mathrm{M}}$. The map $\pi_1 \mathbf{f}_3 \mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_1 \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{kq}$ is zero.*

Proof. Slice convergence for \mathbf{kq} implies slice convergence for $\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq}$, as in Corollary 2.2. The column of the second page of the slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq}$ corresponding to π_1 is concentrated in the lowest possible slice, namely 2. There is no room for higher differentials, whence $\pi_1\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq} \cong \pi_1\mathbf{s}_2\mathbf{kq} \cong h^{\star+1, \star+2}$. The long exact sequence on homotopy modules induced by the cofiber sequence

$$\mathbf{f}_3\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \mathbf{s}_2\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathbf{f}_3\mathbf{kq}$$

provides the last statement. \square

The following statement is essentially [Rön20, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 2.30: *There is an isomorphism $\pi_1\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{kq} \cong \mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}/(2, \eta^2)$ generated by the image of the topological Hopf map η_{top} in $\pi_{1+(0)}\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{kq}$. The image of $\pi_1\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_1\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{kq}$ is the submodule generated by $\eta\eta_{\text{top}}$, which is isomorphic to $\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(1)/\rho^2\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(-1)$.*

Proof. Slice convergence for \mathbf{kq} implies slice convergence for $\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{kq}$, as in Corollary 2.2. The column of the second page of the slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{kq}$ corresponding to π_1 is concentrated in slices 1 and 2. The slice d^1 differential $\mathbf{Sq}^2: \mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathbf{s}_2\mathbf{kq}$ induces $\mathbf{Sq}^2: \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(-1) \cong \pi_2\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_1\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq} \cong \pi_1\mathbf{s}_2\mathbf{kq} \cong \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(1)$, whence the image in $\pi_1\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq}$ coincides with $\rho^2\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(-1)$. There is no room for higher differentials, Thus the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_1\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_1\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_1\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow 0$$

induced by the cofiber sequence

$$\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq}$$

induces a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(1)/\rho^2\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(-1) \rightarrow \pi_1\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_1\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{kq} \cong \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.3)$$

of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules. The target of the extension (2.3) is generated in $\pi_{1+(0)}\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{kq}$ by the image of the topological Hopf map η_{top} , as (étale or complex) realization implies. The multiplicative structure of the slices implies that the image of $\eta\eta_{\text{top}}$ in $\pi_{1+(1)}\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{kq}$ is non-trivial, hence coincides with the (unique) generator of $\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(1)/\rho^2\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(-1)$. In particular, the map $\mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}} \rightarrow \pi_1\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{kq}$ sending 1 to the image of η_{top} is surjective. As in the proof of [Rön20, Lemma 2.3], one concludes the desired isomorphisms. \square

Under the isomorphism given in Lemma 2.30, the short exact sequence (2.3) corresponds to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \eta\mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}/(2, \eta^2) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}/(2, \eta^2) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}/(2, \eta) = \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}} \rightarrow 0$$

of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules. Hence $\eta\mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}/(2, \eta^2) \cong \mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}/(2, \eta, [-1]^2) \cong \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(1)/\rho^2\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(-1)$. This furnishes an identification

$$\eta(\mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}/(2, \eta^2)) \cong \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(1)/\rho^2\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(-1) \oplus \rho^2(\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(-2)) \quad (2.4)$$

of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules. The summands are generated by $\eta\eta_{\text{top}}$ and $[-1]^2\eta_{\text{top}}$, respectively. This will be used in the proof of the following statement.

Lemma 2.31: *The slice d^2 differential $E_{-n+2,0,-n}^2(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}) \rightarrow E_{-n+1,2,-n}^2(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q})$ is zero.*

Proof. The cofiber sequence

$$\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{s}_0\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}$$

induces a long exact sequence of homotopy modules containing the portion

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{s}_0\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \pi_1\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \pi_1\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \pi_1\mathbf{s}_0\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathbf{s}_0\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q} \cong \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}$, on which η acts as zero, the homotopy module $\pi_2\mathbf{s}_0\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}$ is a $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}$ -module. Hence the $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{MW}}$ -module homomorphism $\pi_2\mathbf{s}_0\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \pi_1\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}$ factors as

$$\pi_2\mathbf{s}_0\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \eta(\pi_1\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}) \rightarrow \pi_1\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}$$

where the first map is a $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{M}}$ -homomorphism. The image of the $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{MW}}$ -module $\eta(\pi_1\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}) \cong \mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{M}}(1)/\rho^2\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{M}}(-1) \oplus \rho^2(\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{M}}(-2))$ in $\pi_1\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}$ coincides with $\rho^2(\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{M}}(-2))$ generated by the element $[-1]^2\eta_{\text{top}}$, because $\eta\eta_{\text{top}}$ maps trivially to $\pi_{1+(1)}\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}$. The image of the map $\pi_2\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \pi_1\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}$ given by the first slice differential $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{q}^2\text{pr}_2^\infty: \mathbf{s}_0\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \Sigma\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}$ is (strictly) contained in $\rho^2(\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{M}}(-2))$. It remains to observe that the map $\pi_2\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{M}}(1)/\rho^2\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{M}}(-1)$ to the first summand of (2.4) is zero. Since the target is 2-torsion, ψ factors over $(\pi_2\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z})/2$. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\pi_2\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z})/2 \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow {}_2\pi_1\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

of $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{MW}}$ -modules, where the first map is induced by $\text{pr}_2^\infty: \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/ \rightarrow \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2$. This map coincides with $\mathbf{s}_0(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q} \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} \mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}/2)$ up to equivalence. Since $\pi_1\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}$ is 2-torsion, the map $\pi_1\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}/2$ is injective. As a consequence of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_2\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & (\pi_2\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z})/2 & \longrightarrow & \pi_2\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \pi_1\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q} & \longrightarrow & \pi_1\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}/2 \end{array}$$

it suffices to prove that the map $\pi_2\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \cong \mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{M}}(-2) \rightarrow \pi_1\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}/2$ hits the summand $\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{M}}(1)/\rho^2\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{M}}(-1)$ trivially. The latter map is determined by the image of the (unique) $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{MW}}$ -module generator $g = g_F \in \pi_{2+(-2)}\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2$, which is decorated with notation for the base field, because a base change argument is about to happen. This generator g_F is the image of the generator $g_{F_0} \in \pi_{2+(-2)}\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2$, where $F_0 \subset F$ is the prime field of F . The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_{2+(-2)}(\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2)_{F_0} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \pi_{2+(-2)}(\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2)_F \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{k}_3^{\mathbf{M}}(F_0)/\rho^2\mathbf{k}_1^{\mathbf{M}}(F_0) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{k}_3^{\mathbf{M}}(F)/\rho^2\mathbf{k}_1^{\mathbf{M}}(F) \end{array}$$

implies that the vertical map on the right hand side is zero, because $\mathbf{k}_3^{\mathbf{M}}(F_0)/\rho^2\mathbf{k}_1^{\mathbf{M}}(F_0)$ is zero [Mil70, Example 1.5, Appendix]. \square

A consequence of Lemma 2.31 is that the \mathbf{K}^{MW} -module $\pi_1 \mathbf{kq}$ is given by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_1 \mathbf{f}_1 \mathbf{kq} / \text{Sq}^2 \text{pr}_2^\infty \pi_2 \mathbf{MZ} \rightarrow \pi_1 \mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_1 \mathbf{MZ} \rightarrow 0$$

which does not split in general, neither as an extension of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules, nor degreewise as an extension of abelian groups.

Theorem 2.32: *The canonical map $\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \mathbf{KQ}$ induces an isomorphism $\pi_{1-(w)} \mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_{1-(w)} \mathbf{KQ}$ for all integers $w < 4$. In particular, there result isomorphisms*

$$\pi_{1-(w)} \mathbf{KQ} \cong (\mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}} \eta_{\text{top}} / (2, \eta^2))_w$$

for $w < 2$ (including a vanishing for $w < -1$), and short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_3^{\text{M}} / \rho^2 \mathbf{k}_1^{\text{M}} \oplus \mathbf{k}_2^{\text{M}} &\rightarrow \pi_{1-(2)} \mathbf{KQ} \rightarrow H^{1,2} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_4^{\text{M}} / \rho^2 \mathbf{k}_2^{\text{M}} \oplus \mathbf{k}_3^{\text{M}} / \tau^{-1} \text{Sq}^2 \text{pr}_2^\infty H^{1,3} &\rightarrow \pi_{1-(3)} \mathbf{KQ} \rightarrow H^{2,3} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first statement holds by definition for w nonpositive and follows in the remaining cases by inspection of the slice spectral sequence for \mathbf{KQ} [RØ16]. The remaining assertions follow from Lemma 2.31 and Lemma 2.30. \square

Having determined $\pi_1 \mathbf{kq}$, the next challenge is $\pi_2 \mathbf{kq}$.

Lemma 2.33: *There is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(2) / \{-1\}^3 \mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(-1) \rightarrow \pi_2 \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}} \rightarrow 0$$

of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules, where \mathbf{k}^{M} is generated in $\pi_{2+(0)} \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{kq}$ by the image of η_{top}^2 , and \mathbf{K}^{M} is generated in $\pi_{2+(2)} \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{kq}$ by the unit in symplectic K -theory. The extension is uniquely determined by the fact that $\eta \eta_{\text{top}}^2 = \{-1\} \in \mathbf{K}_1^{\text{M}} \cong \pi_{1+(1)} \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{kq}$. The image of the map $\pi_2 \mathbf{f}_3 \mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_2 \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{kq}$ coincides with ${}_2 \mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(2) / \{-1\}^3 \mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(-1)$.

Proof. Lemma 2.28 provides $\pi_2 \mathbf{f}_3 \mathbf{kq} \cong \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(1)$, a sub- \mathbf{K}^{MW} -module of $\pi_2 \mathbf{s}_3 \mathbf{kq}$, generated in $\pi_{2+(1)} \mathbf{f}_3 \mathbf{kq}$. The slice d^1 differential $\pi_3 \mathbf{s}_2 \mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_2 \mathbf{s}_3 \mathbf{kq}$ – see for comparison Lemma 2.16 – and the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\pi_1 \mathbf{MZ}) / 2 \rightarrow \pi_1 \mathbf{MZ} / 2 \rightarrow {}_2 \pi_0 \mathbf{MZ} \rightarrow 0$$

imply that the image of $\pi_2 \mathbf{f}_3 \mathbf{kq}$ in $\pi_2 \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{kq}$ coincides with ${}_2 \mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(2) / \{-1\}^3 \mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(-1)$. The proof of Lemma 2.17 shows that the kernel of the slice d^1 differential $\pi_2 \mathbf{s}_2 \mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_1 \mathbf{s}_3 \mathbf{kq}$ is isomorphic to $2\mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(2) \oplus \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}$. There results a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow {}_2 \mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(2) / \{-1\}^3 \mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(-1) \rightarrow \pi_2 \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{kq} \rightarrow 2\mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(2) \oplus \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.5)$$

of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules. The image of η_{top}^2 in $\pi_{2+(0)} \mathbf{kq}$ lifts to a unique element $\eta_{\text{top}}^2 \in \pi_{2+(0)} \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{kq}$ generating \mathbf{k}^{M} in the extension (2.5). The multiplicative structure of the slices, or rather, its behaviour with respect to the Hopf map, implies that $\eta \eta_{\text{top}}^2$ is the unique nonzero element $\{-1\} \in {}_2 \mathbf{K}_1^{\text{M}}$. Alternatively, one may use (étale or complex) realization to conclude

this fact. To specify the extension (2.5) with respect to the summand $2\mathbf{K}^M(2)$, observe that

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{MW}}}(2\mathbf{K}^M, {}_2\mathbf{K}^M/\{-1\}^3\mathbf{K}^M) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{MW}}}(2\mathbf{K}^M, {}_2\mathbf{K}^M/\{-1\}^3\mathbf{K}^M)$$

has two elements by [Rön, Theorem A.1 and Lemma A.3], namely the zero map and the projection. These correspond to the trivial and a unique nontrivial extension. The Wood cofiber sequence from Theorem 2.1 supplies a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_{3+(2)}\mathbf{kq} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{forget}} \pi_{3+(2)}\mathbf{kgl} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{hyper}} \pi_{2+(1)}\mathbf{kq} \xrightarrow{\eta} \pi_{2+(2)}\mathbf{kq} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{forget}} \pi_{2+(2)}\mathbf{kgl} \rightarrow \cdots$$

in which $\pi_{3+(2)}\mathbf{kq} \cong \pi_{3+(2)}\mathbf{KQ} \cong \mathbf{K}_1^{\mathrm{Sp}} \cong 0$ (also deducible from the slice spectral sequence), whence $\pi_{3+(2)}\mathbf{kgl} \cong \pi_{3+(2)}\mathbf{KGL} \cong \mathbf{K}_1^M \xrightarrow{\mathrm{hyper}} \pi_{2+(1)}\mathbf{kq}$ is injective. Indeed the latter map is bijective, because $\mathbf{K}_0^{\mathrm{Sp}} \cong \pi_{2+(2)}\mathbf{kq} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{forget}} \pi_{2+(2)}\mathbf{kgl} \cong \mathbf{K}_0^M$ is the inclusion of the even integers, thus injective. Hence the extension in question is nontrivial, which concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.34: *The slice d^2 differentials $E_{-n+3,j,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq}) \rightarrow E_{-n+2,j+2,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq})$ are zero for all j .*

Proof. The statement is true for $j > 1$ by Lemma 2.15. Consider first the canonical map $\pi_3\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq}$. Its source is isomorphic to $\mathbf{k}^M(-2)$ generated by a unique element in $\pi_{3-(2)}\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{kq}$, because $\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{kq} \simeq \Sigma^{(1)}\mathbf{MZ}/2$. Lemma 2.33 identifies its target. However, since the source is a (free) \mathbf{k}^M -module, its image is contained in ${}_{2,\eta}\pi_2\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq}$. Hence the map $\pi_3\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq}$ is determined by sending the generator $g = \tau^3 \in \pi_{3-(2)}\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{kq}$ to an element in ${}_{2,\eta}\pi_{2-(2)}\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq}$. The generator g is already defined over the prime field $F_0 \subset F$ of F , whence its image has to lie in (the image of) ${}_{2,\eta}\pi_{2-(2)}\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq}_{F_0}$. Lemma 2.33 provides in particular that the kernel of the canonical map $\pi_2\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{s}_2\mathbf{kq}$ is ${}_2\mathbf{K}^M(2)/\{-1\}^3\mathbf{K}^M(-2)$. In the specific degree $2 - (2)$, this kernel is ${}_2\mathbf{K}_4^M/\{-1\}^3\mathbf{K}_3^M$, which is the zero group for prime fields, as [Mil70] implies. Hence the map $\pi_3\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq}$ is determined by the slice d^1 differential $\pi_3\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{s}_2\mathbf{kq}$. In other words, the slice d^2 differential $E_{-n+3,1,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq}) \rightarrow E_{-n+2,3,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq})$ is zero. The statement for the slice d^2 differential $E_{-n+3,0,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq}) \rightarrow E_{-n+2,2,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq})$ follows from Corollary 3.23, the corresponding statement for the slice d^2 differential $E_{-n+3,0,-n}^2(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow E_{-n+2,2,-n}^2(\mathbf{1})$, because of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{-n+3,0,-n}^2(\mathbf{1}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & E_{-n+3,0,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_{-n+2,2,-n}^2(\mathbf{1}) & \longrightarrow & E_{-n+2,2,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq}) \end{array}$$

in which the top horizontal map is the identity. \square

Lemma 2.35: *The slice d^3 differentials $E_{-n+3,j,-n}^3(\mathbf{kq}) \rightarrow E_{-n+2,j+3,-n}^3(\mathbf{kq})$ are zero for all j .*

Proof. The statement is true for $j > 0$ by Lemma 2.15. The statement for the slice d^3 differential $E_{-n+3,0,-n}^3(\mathbf{kq}) \rightarrow E_{-n+2,3,-n}^3(\mathbf{kq})$ follows from Corollary 3.23, the corresponding statement for the slice d^3 differential $E_{-n+3,0,-n}^3(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow E_{-n+2,3,-n}^3(\mathbf{1})$, because of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{-n+3,0,-n}^3(\mathbf{1}) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & E_{-n+3,0,-n}^3(\mathbf{kq}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_{-n+2,3,-n}^3(\mathbf{1}) & \longrightarrow & E_{-n+2,3,-n}^3(\mathbf{kq}) \end{array}$$

in which the top horizontal map is the identity. \square

Lemma 2.36: *The image of $\pi_3 s_1 \mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_2 f_2 \mathbf{kq}$ coincides with $\rho^2 \mathbf{k}^M$. The kernel of $\pi_2 s_1 \mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_1 f_2 \mathbf{kq}$ coincides with $\rho^2 \mathbf{k}^M$. There results a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_2 f_2 \mathbf{kq} / \rho^2 \mathbf{k}^M \rightarrow \pi_2 f_1 \mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \rho^2 \mathbf{k}^M(-1) \rightarrow 0$$

of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules.

Proof. This follows from the identification of the slice d^1 differential, Lemma 2.34 and Lemma 2.35. \square

Lemma 2.37: *The map $\pi_3 s_0 \mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_2 f_1 \mathbf{kq}$ is the zero map. Hence there is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_2 f_1 \mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_2 \mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \ker(\text{Sq}^2 \text{pr}_2^\infty : \pi_2 \mathbf{MZ} \rightarrow \pi_1 \Sigma^{(1)} \mathbf{MZ} / 2) \rightarrow 0$$

of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules, where η operates on $\ker(\text{Sq}^2 \text{pr}_2^\infty : \pi_2 \mathbf{MZ} \rightarrow \pi_1 \Sigma^{(1)} \mathbf{MZ} / 2)$ via the projection pr_2^∞ to $\rho^2 \mathbf{k}^M$.

Proof. The first statement follows from Lemma 3.22. The second statement is then a consequence of the slice d^1 differential on $\pi_2 s_0 \mathbf{kq}$, Lemma 2.34 and Lemma 2.35. The last statement follows from the multiplicative structure on the slices of \mathbf{kq} . \square

Theorem 2.38: *The canonical map $\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \mathbf{KQ}$ induces an isomorphism $\pi_{2-(w)} \mathbf{kq} \rightarrow \pi_{2-(w)} \mathbf{KQ}$ for all integers $w < 4$. In particular, there results a vanishing $\pi_{2-(w)} \mathbf{KQ} \cong 0$ for $w < -2$, isomorphisms $\pi_{2+(2)} \mathbf{KQ} \cong \mathbf{K}_0^M$, $\pi_{2+(1)} \mathbf{KQ} \cong \mathbf{K}_1^M$, $\pi_{2+(0)} \mathbf{KQ} \cong \mathbf{K}_2^M \oplus \mathbf{k}_0^M$ of abelian groups, and short exact sequences*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \mathbf{K}_3^M / \{-1\}^3 \mathbf{K}_0^M \oplus \mathbf{k}_1^M \rightarrow \pi_{2-(1)} \mathbf{KQ} \rightarrow \rho^2 \mathbf{k}_0^M \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow \mathbf{K}_4^M / \{-1\}^3 \mathbf{K}_1^M \oplus \mathbf{k}_2^M / \rho^2 \mathbf{k}_0^M \rightarrow \pi_{2-(2)} \mathbf{KQ} \rightarrow \rho^2 \mathbf{k}_1^M \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow \mathbf{K}_5^M / \{-1\}^3 \mathbf{K}_2^M \oplus \mathbf{k}_3^M / \rho^2 \mathbf{k}_1^M \rightarrow \pi_{2-(3)} \mathbf{KQ} \rightarrow \rho^2 \mathbf{k}_2^M \rtimes \ker(\text{Sq}^2 \text{pr}_2^\infty : H^{1,3} \rightarrow h^{3,4}) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

of abelian groups.

Proof. The first statement holds by definition for w nonpositive and follows in the remaining cases by inspection of the slice spectral sequence for \mathbf{KQ} [RØ16]. The remaining assertions follow from Lemma 2.33, Lemma 2.36 and Lemma 2.37. \square

Remark 2.39: Theorem 2.38 contains in particular a "symbolic" description of the fourth symplectic K -group $\mathbf{K}_4^{\text{Sp}}(F) \cong \pi_{2-(2)}\mathbf{KQ}_F$ of a field of characteristic not 2, in the sense that only symbols in Milnor K -theory are involved. It relies on the vanishing $H^{0,2} = 0$, which can be deduced from [Voe11, Theorem 6.18] and [Mer16, Equation (4.2)].

3 The slice filtration on the sphere spectrum

The group $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{MU}_*\mathbf{MU}}^{1,2i}(\mathbf{MU}_*, \mathbf{MU}_*)$ in the slices of $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$, see (1.1), is finite cyclic of order a_{2i} ; let \bar{a}_i denote the generator of its p -primary component $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{BP}_*\mathbf{BP}}^{1,2i}(\mathbf{BP}_*, \mathbf{BP}_*)$ [Rav86]. Still τ denotes the unique nonzero element in $h^{0,1} \cong \mu_2$ and ρ denote the class of -1 in $h^{1,1}$. We denote by $\partial_2^{a_{2q}}$ the unique nontrivial map from $\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q}$ to $\Sigma^{1,0}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ in \mathbf{SH} . For reference we recall [RSØ19, Theorem 4.1].

Lemma 3.1: *In slice degrees $0 \leq q \leq 3$, $d_1^{1\Lambda}(q) : \mathfrak{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda) \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0}\mathfrak{s}_{q+1}(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ is given by:*

$$\begin{aligned} d_1^{1\Lambda}(0) &= \text{Sq}^2 \text{pr} : \mathbf{M}\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \rightarrow \Sigma^{2,1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \\ d_1^{1\Lambda}(1) &= (\text{Sq}^2, \text{inc}_2 \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1) : \Sigma^{1,1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \rightarrow \Sigma^{3,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{4,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/12 \\ d_1^{1\Lambda}(2) &= \begin{pmatrix} \text{Sq}^2 & \tau \partial_2^{12} \\ \text{Sq}^3 \text{Sq}^1 & \text{Sq}^2 \partial_2^{12} \end{pmatrix} : \Sigma^{2,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{3,2}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/12 \rightarrow \Sigma^{4,3}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{6,3}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \\ d_1^{1\Lambda}(3) &= \begin{pmatrix} \text{Sq}^2 & \tau \\ \text{Sq}^3 \text{Sq}^1 & \text{Sq}^2 + \rho \text{Sq}^1 \end{pmatrix} : \Sigma^{3,3}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{5,3}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \rightarrow \Sigma^{5,4}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{7,4}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \end{aligned}$$

For $q \geq 4$, $d_1^{1\Lambda}(q)$ restricts to the direct summand $\Sigma^{q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{q+2,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ of $\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$ by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Sq}^2 & \tau \\ \text{Sq}^3 \text{Sq}^1 & \text{Sq}^2 + \rho \text{Sq}^1 \end{pmatrix} : \Sigma^{q,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{q+2,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \rightarrow \Sigma^{q+2,q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \vee \Sigma^{q+4,q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2.$$

Here $\Sigma^{q+2,q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ is generated by $\alpha_1^{q-3}\alpha_3 \in \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{BP}_*\mathbf{BP}}^{q-2,2q}(\mathbf{BP}_*, \mathbf{BP}_*)$.

Moreover, $d_1^{1\Lambda}(q)$ restricts as follows on direct summands of $\mathfrak{s}_q(\mathbf{1}_\Lambda)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{inc}_{2q} \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 &: \Sigma^{4q-3,2q-1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \rightarrow \Sigma^{4q,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q} \\ \text{Sq}^2 \partial_2^{a_{2q}} &: \Sigma^{4q-1,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q} \rightarrow \Sigma^{4q+2,2q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 \\ \tau \partial_2^{a_{2q}} &: \Sigma^{4q-1,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q} \rightarrow \Sigma^{4q,2q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 & q \text{ odd} \\ 0 &: \Sigma^{4q-1,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q} \rightarrow \Sigma^{4q,2q+1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2 & q \text{ even} \end{aligned}$$

Here $\Sigma^{4q-3,2q-1}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2$ and $\Sigma^{4q-1,2q}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/a_{2q}$ are generated by α_{2q-1} and $\alpha_{q/n}$, respectively.

Figure 3.1 summarizes these calculations by pairing the slices of $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ with their corresponding generators. Each direct summand of a fixed slice is labeled by the difference between the simplicial suspension degree and the slice degree. The colors of the d^1 -differentials correspond to elements of the motivic Steenrod algebra, here ordered by simplicial degree. An open square refers to integral (or rather Λ -) coefficients and solid dots to $\Lambda/2$ -coefficients. The open circle in the second slice indicates $\Lambda/12$ -coefficients, and




Figure 3.1: The first slice differential for $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$.

similarly for $\Lambda/240$ -, $\Lambda/6$ -, and $\Lambda/504$ -coefficients. Lemma 3.3 discusses the d^1 -differentials exiting and entering the direct summand $\Sigma^{6,4}\mathbf{M}\Lambda/2\{\beta_{2/2}\}$ corresponding to the generator $\beta_{2/2} = \alpha_2^2$.

Fix a compatible pair $(F, \Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{c}])$, where F is a field of exponential characteristic $c \neq 2$. For legibility we leave Λ out of the notation, and write $h_{2,2}^{*,*}$ for motivic cohomology groups with $(\Lambda/2 \times \Lambda/2)$ -coefficients. Figure 3.2 displays the E^1 -page of the weight $w = -n$ th slice spectral sequence, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here $E_{p,q,-n}^1(\mathbf{1}) = 0$ for $p < -n$ or $q < 0$, and $H^{p,n} = 0$ for $n < 0$.

Next we show vanishing in a certain range of the terms contributing to the 2-line.

Lemma 3.2: *The group $E_{-n+2,m,-n}^2(\mathbf{1})$ is trivial for $m \geq 5$.*

Proof. For $m \geq 5$ the kernel of the differential

$$\begin{aligned} E_{-n+2,m,-n}^1(\mathbf{1}) &= h^{n+m-2,n+m} \oplus h^{n+m,n+m} \rightarrow h^{n+m,n+m+1} = E_{-n+1,m+1,-n}^1(\mathbf{1}) \\ &(b_2, b_0) \mapsto \mathbf{Sq}^2 b_2 + \tau b_0 \end{aligned}$$

is isomorphic to $h^{n+m-2,n+m}$ via the map sending c_2 to $(c_2, \tau^{-1}\mathbf{Sq}^2 c_2)$. The image of the differential

$$E_{-n+3,m-1,-n}^1(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow E_{-n+2,m,-n}^1(\mathbf{1}) = h^{n+m-2,n+m} \oplus h^{n+m,n+m}$$

hits this group, since it restricts to multiplication with τ on the summand $h^{n+m-2,n+m-1}$ generated by α_1^{m-1} . \square

Lemma 3.3: *The group $E_{-n+2,4,-n}^2(\mathbf{1})$ is isomorphic to $h^{n+4,n+4}$ generated by $\beta_{2/2}$.*

Proof. The d^1 -differential on $h^{n+4,n+4}\{\beta_{2/2}\}$ is not τ by comparison with complex points; the corresponding d^3 -differential in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence on $\beta_{2/2}$ vanishes. Hence the d^1 -differential restricted to $\Sigma^{6,4}\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2\{\beta_{2/2}\}$ maps trivially to $\Sigma^{6,5}\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/\{\alpha_1^5\}$. This implies that on \mathfrak{s}_4 the unit map $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}\mathbf{Q}$ restricts trivially on $\Sigma^{6,4}\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2\{\beta_{2/2}\}$. In turn, the d^1 -differential restricted to $\Sigma^{6,4}\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2\{\beta_{2/2}\}$ maps trivially to $\Sigma^{8,5}\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/\{\alpha_1^2\alpha_3\}$ as well. Since there is no other possible d^1 -differential on $h^{n+4,n+4}\{\beta_{2/2}\}$ for weight reasons, this group survives to the E^2 -page.

The d^1 -differentials on the other two direct summands are the same as in the proof of Lemma 3.2; whence the kernel of

$$E_{-n+2,4,-n}^1(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow E_{-n+1,5,-n}^1(\mathbf{1})$$

is isomorphic to $h^{n+2,n+4} \oplus h^{n+4,n+4}$. The entering d^1 -differential has the form

$$\begin{aligned} h^{n+2,n+3} \oplus h^{n,n+3} &= E_{-n+3,3,-n}^1(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow h^{n+4,n+4}\{\beta_{2/2}\} \oplus h^{n+4,n+4} \oplus h^{n+2,n+4} \\ &(a_1, a_3) \mapsto (\phi\mathbf{Sq}^1(a_1) + b\mathbf{Sq}^3\mathbf{Sq}^1(a_3), \rho\mathbf{Sq}^1(a_1) + \mathbf{Sq}^3\mathbf{Sq}^1(a_3), \tau(a_1) + \mathbf{Sq}^2(a_3)), \end{aligned}$$

for some $\phi \in h^{1,1}$ and $b \in h^{0,0}$. By naturality, $\phi \in h^{1,1}(F_0)$ and $b \in h^{0,0}(F_0)$, where F_0 is the prime field of F . The homomorphism $a_1 = \tau a_0 \mapsto \phi\mathbf{Sq}^1(\tau a_0) = \phi\tau a_0$ thus




Figure 3.2: The E^1 -page for $\mathbf{1}$.

amounts to multiplication by $\phi\rho \in h^{2,2}(F_0)$ on $h^{n+2,n+3}(F)$. If $c > 2$, it is thus trivial, since $\phi\rho \in h^{2,2}(F_0) = 0$ for F_0 a finite field. If $c = 1$, the square class ϕ can be determined via comparison with $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$; the slice computation for 2-primary torsion summands holds for that base scheme, as well as the identification of the motivic Steenrod algebra at 2. Hence we can conclude $\phi \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2\}$. Considering the real numbers rules out the cases $\phi < 0$. To this end, observe that real realization sends ν to η_{top} and ρ to 1. Hence $[-1]^{-n}\nu^2 \in \pi_{n+6,n+4}\mathbf{1}$ is a nontrivial element for every subfield of \mathbb{R} . Setting $n = -2$ implies that $\phi = 1$ over \mathbb{R} . To exclude the case $\phi = 2$ observe that the symbol $\{-1, 2\}$ is the zero element in K_2^{M} . In particular, the homomorphism given by multiplication by $\phi\rho$ is the zero homomorphism.

Similarly, but easier, the homomorphism $a_3 = \tau^3 a_0 \mapsto b\text{Sq}^3\text{Sq}^1(a_3) = b\rho^4 a_0$ amounts to multiplication by $b\rho^4$. It is thus trivial over fields of odd characteristic. To conclude for fields of characteristic zero we consider the real numbers. Recall that $[-1]^{-n}\nu^2 \in \pi_{n+6,n+4}\mathbf{1}$ is a nontrivial element for every subfield of \mathbb{R} . Setting $n = -4$ implies $b = 0$ over \mathbb{R} , and hence over any field of characteristic zero. Comparison with $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ implies $b = 0$ over any field with $c \neq 2$. Hence the entering d^1 -differential has the form

$$\begin{aligned} h^{n+2,n+3} \oplus h^{n,n+3} &= E_{-n+3,3,-n}^1(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow h^{n+4,n+4}\{\beta_{2/2}\} \oplus h^{n+4,n+4} \oplus h^{n+2,n+4} \\ (a_1, a_3) &\mapsto (0, \rho\text{Sq}^1(a_1) + \text{Sq}^3\text{Sq}^1(a_3), \tau(a_1) + \text{Sq}^2(a_3)). \end{aligned}$$

We may identify this map's image with the direct summand $h^{n+2,n+4}$. □

Corollary 3.4: *The d^1 -differential $\mathfrak{s}_3(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0}\mathfrak{s}_4(\mathbf{1})$ maps trivially to the direct summand $\Sigma^{6,4}\mathbf{MZ}/2$ generated by $\beta_{2/2}$.*

Proof. The proof of Lemma 3.3 shows the map $\Sigma^{3,3}\mathbf{MZ}/2 \rightarrow \Sigma^{7,4}\mathbf{MZ}/2$ (given by $b\text{Sq}^3\text{Sq}^1$) is zero, and that $\Sigma^{5,3}\mathbf{MZ}/2 \rightarrow \Sigma^{7,4}\mathbf{MZ}/2$ (given by $a\text{Sq}^2 + \phi\text{Sq}^1$, where $a \in h^{0,0}$, $\phi \in h^{1,1}$) is of the form $a\text{Sq}^2 + \phi\text{Sq}^1$, where $a \in h^{0,0}$, $\phi \in \{1, 2\}$. The composite of d^1 -differentials

$$\mathfrak{s}_2(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0}\mathfrak{s}_3(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow \Sigma^{2,0}\mathfrak{s}_4(\mathbf{1})$$

is trivial. Since $a\text{Sq}^5\text{Sq}^1 = \phi\text{Sq}^1\text{Sq}^2\partial_2^{12} = 0$, it follows that $a = 0$ and $\phi = 1$. □

Lemma 3.5: *The group $E_{-n+2,3,-n}^2(\mathbf{1})$ is isomorphic to*

$$h^{n+1,n+3}/\tau^2\rho^2h^{n-1,n-1}$$

generated by α_1^3 .

Proof. As before, the kernel of the d^1 -differential

$$h^{n+1,n+3} \oplus h^{n+3,n+3} \rightarrow h^{n+3,n+4}, \quad (b_2, b_0) \mapsto \text{Sq}^2b_2 + \tau b_0$$

is isomorphic to $h^{n+1,n+3}$ via the map $c_2 \mapsto (c_2, \tau^{-1}\text{Sq}^2c_2)$. The image of the d^1 -differential $h^{n-1,n+2} \oplus h_{12}^{n,n+2} \rightarrow h^{n+1,n+3} \oplus h^{n+3,n+3}$, $(a_3, a_2) \mapsto (\text{Sq}^2a_3 + \tau\partial_2^{12}a_2, \text{Sq}^3\text{Sq}^1a_3 + \text{Sq}^2\partial_2^{12}a_2)$ is the subgroup $\text{Sq}^2h^{n-1,n+2}$ by Corollary A.6. It can be identified with $\tau^2\rho^2h^{n-1,n-1}$. □

Lemma 3.6: *The group $E_{-n+2,2,-n}^2(\mathbf{1})$ is isomorphic to the direct sum*

$$(h^{n,n+2}/\mathrm{Sq}^2 h^{n-2,n+1}) \oplus \mathrm{im}(h_{24}^{n+1,n+2} \rightarrow h_{12}^{n+1,n+2})$$

generated by α_1^2 and $\alpha_{2/2}$, respectively.

Proof. If $\rho^2 = 0$, the kernel of the d^1 -differential

$$h^{n,n+2} \oplus h_{12}^{n+1,n+2} \rightarrow h^{n+2,n+3}, \quad (b_2, b_1) \mapsto \mathrm{Sq}^2 b_2 + \tau \partial_2^{12} b_1$$

is isomorphic to $h^{n,n+2} \oplus \ker(h_{12}^{n+1,n+2} \xrightarrow{\partial_2^{12}} h^{n+2,n+2}) = h^{n,n+2} \oplus \mathrm{im}(h_{24}^{n+1,n+2} \rightarrow h_{12}^{n+1,n+2})$, and the image of

$$h^{n-2,n+1} \rightarrow h^{n,n+2} \oplus h_{12}^{n+1,n+2}, \quad a_3 \mapsto (\mathrm{Sq}^2 a_3, \mathrm{incSq}^2 \mathrm{Sq}^1 a_3)$$

is trivial. In general, consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n: \{(b_2, b_1) \in h^{n,n+2} \oplus h_{12}^{n+1,n+2} : \mathrm{Sq}^2 b_2 = \tau \partial_2^{12} b_1\} &\rightarrow \ker(h_{12}^{n+1,n+2} \xrightarrow{\partial_2^{12}} h^{n+2,n+2}) \\ (b_2, b_1) &\mapsto \partial_2^2(\tau^{-1} b_2) \cdot \tau_{12} + b_1, \end{aligned}$$

where τ_{12} denotes $-1 \in F$ viewed as an element in $h_{12}^{0,1}$ (i.e., the kernel of $H^{1,1} \xrightarrow{12} H^{1,1}$). Observe that $\partial_\infty^{12}(\tau_{12}) = -1$ and $\mathrm{inc}_{12}^2(\tau) = \tau_{12}$. Moreover, $\partial_{12}^2: h^{n,n+1} \rightarrow h_{12}^{n+2,n+2}$ is the connecting map for the short exact coefficient sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/12 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/24 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0$$

and \cdot denotes the product in $h_{12}^{*,*}$. Note that ϕ_n is well-defined because

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_2^{12}(\partial_{12}^2(\tau^{-1} b_2) \cdot \tau_{12} + b_1) &= \partial_2^{12}(\partial_{12}^2(\tau^{-1} b_2) \cdot \tau_{12}) + \partial_2^{12} b_1 \\ &= \rho^2 \tau^{-1} b_2 + \partial_2^{12} b_1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is surjective since $\phi(0, c_1) = c_1$ for every $c_1 \in \ker(\partial_2^{12}: h_{12}^{n+1,n+2} \rightarrow h^{n+2,n+2})$. The kernel of ϕ_n is precisely $h^{n,n+2}$, mapping via the split injection $a_2 \mapsto (a_2, \partial_{12}^2(\tau^{-1} a_2) \cdot \tau_{12})$. The image of the d^1 -differential in $\ker(\phi_n)$ coincides with $\mathrm{Sq}^2(h^{n-2,n+1})$, which can be identified with $\tau^2 \rho^2 h^{n-2,n-2}$. The image of the d^1 -differential in the target of ϕ_n is trivial since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(\mathrm{Sq}^2(\tau^3 \mathrm{pr}_2^\infty C_0), \mathrm{inc}_{12}^2 \mathrm{Sq}^2 \mathrm{Sq}^1(\tau^3 \mathrm{pr}_2^\infty C_0)) &= \phi_n(\tau^2 \rho^2 \mathrm{pr}_2^\infty C_0, \mathrm{inc}_{12}^2(\tau \rho^3 \mathrm{pr}_2^\infty C_0)) \\ &= \partial_{12}^2(\tau \rho^2 \mathrm{pr}_2^\infty C_0) \cdot \tau_{12} + \mathrm{inc}_{12}^2(\tau \rho^3 \mathrm{pr}_2^\infty C_0) \\ &= \rho_{12}^3 \mathrm{pr}_{12}^\infty C_0 \cdot \tau_{12} + \rho_{12}^3 \mathrm{pr}_{12}^\infty C_0 \cdot \tau_{12} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\rho_{12} = \mathrm{pr}_{12}^\infty(\{-1\})$, which implies that $\mathrm{pr}_2^{12}(\rho_{12}) = \rho$. From the above we obtain a split short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow h^{n,n+2}/\mathrm{Sq}^2(h^{n-2,n+1}) \rightarrow E_{-n+2,2,-n}^2(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow \mathrm{im}(h_{24}^{n+1,n+2} \rightarrow h_{12}^{n+1,n+2}) \rightarrow 0,$$

which completes the argument. \square

Lemma 3.7: *The group $E_{-n+2,1,-n}^2(\mathbf{1})$ is isomorphic to*

$$\{a \in h^{n-1,n+1} : \mathrm{Sq}^2 a = 0\} \cong_{\rho^2} h^{n-1,n-1}$$

generated by α_1 .

Proof. This follows from the description of the d^1 -differential and Lemma 2.19 below, since $\mathrm{Sq}^2 \mathrm{pr}_2^\infty = \mathrm{Sq}^2 \mathrm{pr}_4^4 \mathrm{pr}_4^\infty$. \square

Lemma 3.8: *The group $E_{-n+2,0,-n}^2(\mathbf{1})$ is isomorphic to*

$$\ker(H^{n-2,n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Sq}^2 \mathrm{pr}} h^{n,n+1})$$

generated by $\alpha_1^0 = 1$.

Proof. This follows directly from the description of the d^1 -differential. \square

We summarize our calculations by displaying the groups contributing to the 1- and 2-line:

Theorem 3.9: *The nontrivial rows in the 1st and 2nd columns of the E^2 -page of the $-n$ th slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}$ are given as:*

q	$E_{-n+1,q,-n}^2(\mathbf{1})$	$E_{-n+2,q,-n}^2(\mathbf{1})$
4	0	$h^{n+4,n+4}$
3	$h^{n+2,n+3}/\tau \partial_2^{12} h_{12}^{n+1,n+2}$	$h^{n+1,n+3}/\mathrm{Sq}^2 h^{n-1,n+2}$
2	$h_{12}^{n+2,n+2} \oplus h^{n+1,n+2}/\mathrm{Sq}^2 h^{n-1,n+1}$	$\ker(h_{12}^{n+1,n+2} \xrightarrow{\partial_2^{12}} h^{n+2,n+2}) \oplus h^{n,n+2}/\mathrm{Sq}^2 h^{n-2,n+1}$
1	$h^{n,n+1}/\mathrm{Sq}^2 \mathrm{pr} H^{n-2,n}$	$\ker(h^{n-1,n+1} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Sq}^2} h^{n+1,n+2})$
0	$H^{n-1,n}$	$\ker(H^{n-2,n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Sq}^2 \mathrm{pr}} h^{n,n+1})$

Proof. For the first column, see the table in the proof of [RSØ19, Theorem 5.5]. Note that $E_{-n+1,3,-n}^2(\mathbf{1})$ coincides with the group $h^{n+2,n+3}/\tau \partial_2^{12} h_{12}^{n+1,n+2}$, because of the inclusion $\mathrm{Sq}^2(h^{n,n+2}) \subset \tau \partial_2^{12}(h_{12}^{n+1,n+2})$. In fact, the first subgroup can be identified with $\tau \rho^2 h^{n,n}$. Since $\partial_2^{12} \circ \mathrm{inc}_{12}^2 = \mathrm{Sq}^1$, the second subgroup contains $\tau \mathrm{Sq}^1(h^{n+1,n+2}) = \tau \rho h^{n+1,n+1}$. The second column follows from Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, and 3.8. \square

We claim the higher slice differentials entering the second column vanish. Due to Lemma 3.2, it suffices to identify three terms on the second page of $\mathbf{1}$'s slice spectral sequence.

Lemma 3.10: *The group $E_{-n+3,2,-n}^2(\mathbf{1})$ coincides with the direct sum*

$$h_{12}^{n,n+2} \oplus \ker(\mathrm{Sq}^2 : h^{n-1,n+2} \rightarrow h^{n+1,n+3}).$$

Proof. The incoming differential $\mathrm{Sq}^2: h^{n-3,n+1} \rightarrow h_{12}^{n,n+2} \oplus h^{n-1,n+2}$ is zero. The connecting map $\partial_2^{12}: h_{12}^{n,n+2} \rightarrow h^{n+1,n+2}$ is zero as, well, by Corollary A.6, which implies the statement. \square

Lemma 3.11: *The group $E_{-n+3,1,-n}^2(\mathbf{1})$ coincides with $\ker(\mathrm{Sq}^2: h^{n-2,n+1} \rightarrow h^{n,n+2})$.*

Proof. The incoming differential $\mathrm{Sq}^2 \mathrm{pr}_2^\infty: H^{n-4,n} \rightarrow h^{n-2,n+1}$ is zero. The statement then follows, because the kernel of $\mathrm{Sq}^2: h^{n-2,n+1} \rightarrow h^{n,n+2}$ is contained in the kernel of $\mathrm{Sq}^2 \mathrm{Sq}^1: h^{n-2,n+1} \rightarrow h^{n+1,n+2}$. \square

Lemma 3.12: *The group $E_{-n+3,0,-n}^2(\mathbf{1})$ coincides with $H^{n-3,n}$.*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 2.20. \square

Lemma 3.13: *The second differential*

$$E_{-n+3,2,-n}^2(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow E_{-n+2,4,-n}^2(\mathbf{1})$$

in the $-n$ th slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}$ is trivial.

Proof. The target is computed as $E_{-n+2,4,-n}^2(\mathbf{1}) \cong h^{n+4,n+4}\{\alpha_{2/2}^2\}$ in Lemma 3.3. For $n = -4$, the element $1 \cdot \{\alpha_{2/2}^2\} \in h^{0,0}$ detects $\nu^2 \in \pi_{6,4}\mathbf{1}$. If F is a subfield of the real numbers, the complex realization of ν^2 is $\nu_{\mathrm{top}}^2 \neq 0$, and the real realization of ν^2 is $\eta_{\mathrm{top}}^2 \neq 0$. Lemma 3.10 determines the source of this differential as

$$\begin{aligned} E_{-n+3,2,-n}^2(\mathbf{1}) &= \{(a_2, a_3) \in h_{12}^{n,n+2} \oplus h^{n-1,n+2}: \tau \partial_2^{12}(a_2) = \mathrm{Sq}^2(a_3)\} \\ &= h_{12}^{n,n+2} \oplus \{a_3 \in h^{n-1,n+2}: \mathrm{Sq}^2(a_3) = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

because of Corollary A.6. Since the target of this differential is 2-torsion, it will be trivial on the direct summand $h_3^{n,n+2}$ of $E_{-n+3,2,-n}^2(\mathbf{1})$. In order to prove that it is trivial on the direct summand $h_4^{n,n+2}$ of $E_{-n+3,2,-n}^2(\mathbf{1})$, it suffices to prove that a generator $g \in h_4^{0,2}$ provided by Lemma A.4 is mapped to zero. As remarked already in Lemma A.4, a generator of $h_4^{0,2}$ already exists over the prime field. For prime fields of odd characteristic, the target of the differential is the trivial group $h^{4,4}$. For the prime field \mathbb{Q} , the unique nontrivial element in $h^{4,4}$ indexed by $\beta_{2,2}$ is ρ^4 . Since the real realization of $\rho^4 \nu^2$ is the nontrivial element $1^4 \eta_{\mathrm{top}}^2$, the element ρ^4 cannot be hit by any differential.

It remains to prove that the differential is zero on the direct summand

$$\{a_3 \in h^{n-1,n+2}: \mathrm{Sq}^2(a_3) = 0\} \subset E_{-n+3,2,-n}^2(\mathbf{1}).$$

Considering the direct sum over all n , one obtains a graded module over Milnor K -theory by Lemma A.1, which is isomorphic to $\{a \in h^{n-1,n-1}: \rho^2 a = 0\}$. Hence it is generated by elements in degree at most 1 (corresponding to $1 \leq n \leq 2$) by [OVV07, Theorem 3.3]. Consider these cases.

$n = 2$ In this case, a generator $(0, \bar{a})$ is represented by a (square class of a) unit $a \in F$ such that $\rho^2 \bar{a} = 0 \in h^{3,3}(F)$. By [OVV07, Theorem 3.2] such an element is in the image of the sum of finitely many transfer maps for quadratic field extensions $F \subset L_i$, each of them satisfying $\rho^2 = 0 \in h^{2,2}(L_i)$. By naturality of slice differentials with respect to transfer maps, it suffices to consider such fields, bringing us to the case

$n = 1$ Here a generator can only have the form $(0, \tau^3)$, which is possible if and only if $\rho^2 = 0$ in $h^{2,2}(F)$. On the other hand $\rho^2 = 0 \in h^{2,2}(F)$ if and only if there exist two elements $a, b \in F$ such that $-1 = a^2 + b^2$ [EL72, Corollary 3.5]. Then $(0, \tau^3)$ is the image of $(0, \tau^3)$ over the field $F_0(a, b)$, where $F_0 \subset F$ is the prime field of F . This field has 2-cohomological dimension at most 3. In that case, the target of the second differential under consideration, $h^{5,5}$, vanishes.

This case by case analysis shows that also the last direct summand of $E_{-n+3,2,-n}^2(\mathbf{1})$ maps trivially under this differential, implying that the whole differential is zero. \square

Similar to the treatment of very effective hermitian K -theory \mathbf{kq} , the homotopy groups of the successive slice filtrations $\mathbf{f}_q \mathbf{1}$ will be discussed as \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules, starting with $\pi_1 \mathbf{f}_4 \mathbf{1}$ whose image in $\pi_1 \mathbf{1}$ will be zero. The treatment for $\pi_1 \mathbf{1}$ given here can also be found in [Rön20].

Lemma 3.14: *There is an isomorphism of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules $\pi_1 \mathbf{f}_4 \mathbf{1} \cong \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(3)$ generated by $\eta^3 \eta_{\text{top}} \in \pi_{1+(3)} \mathbf{f}_4 \mathbf{1}$.*

Proof. Since $\eta_{\text{top}} \in \pi_{1+(0)} \mathbf{1}$ lifts uniquely to $\eta_{\text{top}} \in \pi_{1+(0)} \mathbf{f}_1 \mathbf{1}$, the multiplicativity of the slice filtration (see [GRSØ12]) provides that $\eta^3 \eta_{\text{top}} \in \pi_{1+(3)} \mathbf{f}_4 \mathbf{1}$. The multiplicative structure on the slices (with respect to multiplication with α_1 only) shows that the image of $\eta^3 \eta_{\text{top}}$ in $\pi_{1+(3)} \mathbf{s}_4 \mathbf{1} \cong h^{0,1} \cong \mathbf{k}_0^{\text{M}}$ is the unique nonzero element. Since the map $\pi_1 \mathbf{s}_4 \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_0 \mathbf{f}_5 \mathbf{1}$ is zero, and the map $\pi_2 \mathbf{s}_4 \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_1 \mathbf{f}_5 \mathbf{1}$ is surjective, there results an identification $\pi_1 \mathbf{f}_4 \mathbf{1} \cong \pi_1 \mathbf{s}_4 \mathbf{1} \cong \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(3)$. \square

Lemma 3.15: *There is an isomorphism of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules $\pi_1 \mathbf{f}_3 \mathbf{1} \cong \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(2)$ generated by $\eta^2 \eta_{\text{top}} \in \pi_{1+(2)} \mathbf{f}_3 \mathbf{1}$. The image of the canonical map $\pi_1 \mathbf{f}_4 \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_1 \mathbf{f}_3 \mathbf{1}$ is zero.*

Proof. A proof follows by inspection of the slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{f}_3 \mathbf{1}$, similar to the argument in Lemma 3.14. \square

Lemma 3.16: *There is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(2)/24 \rightarrow \pi_1 \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(1) \rightarrow 0$$

of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules generated by $\nu \in \pi_{1+(2)} \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{1}$ and $\eta \eta_{\text{top}} \in \pi_{1+(1)} \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{1}$, respectively. It is uniquely determined by the fact that $\eta^2 \eta_{\text{top}} = 12\nu$. The image of the canonical map $\pi_1 \mathbf{f}_3 \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_1 \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{1}$ coincides with $\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(2)$ generated by $12\nu \in \pi_{1+(2)} \mathbf{1}$.

Proof. The crucial part is to identify the extension as a \mathbf{K}^{MW} -module. As [Rön, Lemma A.3] implies, a unique nontrivial extension with the property that $\eta^2 \eta_{\text{top}} = 12\nu$ exists. See, for example, the proof of [RSØ19, Theorem 5.5] for this identification. \square

For the discussion of higher slice differentials, considering the second slice filtration suffices. Therefore the presentation

$$\frac{\mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}(2)\{\nu\} \oplus \mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}\{\eta_{\text{top}}\}}{(2\eta_{\text{top}}, \eta\nu, \eta^2\eta_{\text{top}} - 12\nu)} \cong \pi_1\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{1} \quad (3.1)$$

given in [Rön20, Theorem 2.5] will not be directly relevant. Instead the path to $\pi_2\mathbf{1}$ will be followed, starting with $\pi_2\mathbf{f}_4\mathbf{1}$, as higher filtrations do not contribute – see Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.17: *There is an isomorphism of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules $\pi_2\mathbf{f}_4\mathbf{1} \cong \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(4) \oplus \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(2)$ generated by $\nu^2 \in \pi_{2+(4)}\mathbf{f}_4\mathbf{1}$ and $\eta^2\eta_{\text{top}}^2 \in \pi_{2+(2)}\mathbf{f}_4\mathbf{1}$, respectively.*

Proof. Since $\nu \in \pi_{1+(2)}\mathbf{1}$ lifts (uniquely) to $\pi_{1+(2)}\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{1}$, the multiplicativity of the slice filtration provides that $\nu^2 \in \pi_{2+(4)}\mathbf{f}_4\mathbf{1}$. Lemma 5.1 shows that $2\nu^2 = 0$. The multiplicative structure on the slices implies that ν^2 maps to the unique nonzero element in the group $E_{6,4,4}^2(\mathbf{1})$ computed in Lemma 3.3. Similarly, the element $\eta^2\eta_{\text{top}}^2 \in \pi_{2+(2)}\mathbf{f}_4\mathbf{1}$ hits the element $(\tau^2, \rho^2) \in h^{0,2} \oplus h^{2,2}$ of the kernel of the slice d^1 differential. There results an identification as stated. \square

Lemma 3.18: *There is an isomorphism of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules $\pi_2\mathbf{f}_3\mathbf{1} \cong \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(4) \oplus \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(1)$ generated by $\nu^2 \in \pi_{2+(4)}\mathbf{f}_3\mathbf{1}$ and $\eta\eta_{\text{top}}^2 \in \pi_{2+(2)}\mathbf{f}_3\mathbf{1}$, respectively. The image of the canonical map $\pi_2\mathbf{f}_4\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{f}_3\mathbf{1}$ coincides with $\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(4)$ generated by $\nu^2 \in \pi_{2+(4)}\mathbf{1}$.*

Proof. The slice filtration provides a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(4) \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{f}_3\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(1) \rightarrow 0$$

where $\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(4)$ is the cokernel of $\pi_3\mathbf{s}_3\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{f}_4\mathbf{1}$. The element $\eta\eta_{\text{top}}^2 \in \pi_{2+(2)}\mathbf{f}_3\mathbf{1}$ lifts the unique generator of $\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(1)$. The equation $\eta^2\eta_{\text{top}} = 12\nu$ implies that $\eta^2\eta_{\text{top}}^2 = 12\nu\eta_{\text{top}} = 0$, since already $2\eta_{\text{top}} = 0$. It follows that η operates as zero on $\pi_2\mathbf{f}_3\mathbf{1}$, whence it is in fact a \mathbf{K}^{M} -module. The equation $2\eta\eta_{\text{top}}^2 = 0$ implies that $\pi_2\mathbf{f}_3\mathbf{1}$ splits as described. \square

Lemma 3.19: *There is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(4) \oplus (\pi_1\Sigma^{(2)}\mathbf{MZ}/24)/\text{inc}_{24}^2\rho^2\tau\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}} \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}} \rightarrow 0$$

of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules, where \mathbf{k}^{M} is generated by $\eta_{\text{top}}^2 \in \pi_{2+(0)}\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{1}$. This extension is uniquely determined by the fact that $\eta\eta_{\text{top}}^2 = -1 \in h_{24}^{0,1} \cong \pi_{1+(1)}\Sigma^{(2)}\mathbf{MZ}/24$. The image of the canonical map $\pi_2\mathbf{f}_3\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{1}$ coincides with $\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(4) \oplus \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(1)/\rho^2\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(-1)$ generated by $\nu^2 \in \pi_{2+(4)}\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{1}$ and $\eta\eta_{\text{top}}^2 \in \pi_{2+(1)}\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{1}$, respectively.

Proof. The slice filtration provides a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(4) \oplus \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(1)/\rho^2\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(-1) \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{d} \oplus \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}} \rightarrow 0$$

of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules, where \mathbf{d} is the \mathbf{K}^{M} -module with $\mathbf{d}_n = \ker(\partial_2^{12}: h_{12}^{n+1, n+2} \rightarrow h^{n+2, n+2})$. Moreover, the \mathbf{K}^{MW} -module $\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(1)/\rho^2\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(-1)$ coming from $\pi_2\mathbf{f}_3\mathbf{1}$ is generated by $\eta\eta_{\text{top}}^2$,

and the \mathbf{K}^{MW} -module \mathbf{k}^{M} is generated by (the image of) η_{top}^2 . Already $2\eta_{\text{top}} = 0$, whence the extension with respect to \mathbf{k}^{M} is uniquely determined. It remains to determine the extension with respect to \mathbf{d} . For this purpose, consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(4) \oplus \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(1)/\rho^2\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(-1) & \longrightarrow & \pi_2\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{d} \oplus \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
{}_2\mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(2)/\{-1\}^3\mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(-1) & \longrightarrow & \pi_2\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq} & \longrightarrow & 2\mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(2) \oplus \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}
\end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

induced by the unit map $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{kq}$ on the slice filtration. Lemma 2.10 implies that the vertical map on the left hand side in diagram (3.2) projects away from $\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(4)$ and is induced by the boundary map

$$\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(1) \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} h^{n, n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_\infty^2} \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H^{n+1, n+1} \cong {}_2\mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(2);$$

in particular it is surjective. The vertical map on the right hand side in diagram (3.2) is the identity on the summand \mathbf{k}^{M} and induced by the boundary $\partial_\infty^{12}: \mathbf{MZ}/12 \rightarrow \Sigma\mathbf{MZ}$ by Lemma 2.9; in particular, it is injective. As the proof of Lemma 2.33 shows, there is a unique nontrivial extension

$$0 \rightarrow {}_2\mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(2)/\{-1\}^3\mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(-1) \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow 2\mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(2) \rightarrow 0$$

corresponding to the unique nonzero element in

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}}({}_2\mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(2)/\{-1\}^3\mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}), {}_2\mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(2)/\{-1\}^3\mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}}(\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(1)/\rho^2\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}, \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(1)/\rho^2\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}).$$

Hence there is a unique nontrivial extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(1)/\rho^2\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(1) \rightarrow (\pi_1\Sigma^{(1)}\mathbf{MZ}/24)/\text{inc}_{24}^2\rho^2\tau\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}} \rightarrow \mathbf{d} \rightarrow 0$$

mapping to the extension

$$0 \rightarrow {}_2\mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(2)/\{-1\}^3\mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(-1) \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{kq} \rightarrow 2\mathbf{K}^{\text{M}}(2) \rightarrow 0$$

as prescribed by the diagram (3.2). \square

As a consequence of Lemma 3.19, every element of $\pi_2\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{1}$ is 24-torsion, as well as 12h-torsion.

Lemma 3.20: *The second differential*

$$E_{-n+3, 1, -n}^2 \rightarrow E_{-n+2, 3, -n}^2$$

in the $-n$ th slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}$ is trivial.

Proof. The cofiber sequence

$$f_2\mathbf{1} \rightarrow f_1\mathbf{1} \rightarrow s_1\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \Sigma f_2\mathbf{1}$$

induces a long exact sequence on \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules containing the portion

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_3 s_1\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 f_2\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 f_1\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 s_1\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \cdots .$$

The map $\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(-2) \cong \pi_3 s_1\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 f_1\mathbf{1}$ has as source a \mathbf{k}^{M} -module on a (unique) generator g in degree $\pi_{3-(2)}s_1\mathbf{1}$, which comes from the prime field $F_0 \subset F$. Hence the map is determined by the image of g in ${}_{2,\eta}\pi_{2-(2)}f_2\mathbf{1}_{F_0}$. Lemma 3.19 provides that this group is zero in odd characteristic. For $F_0 = \mathbb{Q}$, the group ${}_2(h_{24}^{3,4}/\text{inc}_{24}^2\rho^2 h^{1,2})(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ is zero, and the group $\mathbf{k}_6^{\text{M}}(\mathbb{Q})$ contains a unique nonzero element $\rho^6\nu^2$, which is mapped to η_{top}^2 under real realization. It follows that the image of g is given by $\rho^2\eta_{\text{top}}^2$, as determined by the slice d^1 differential. The result follows. \square

Lemma 3.21: *The slice d^3 differential*

$$E_{-n+3,1,-n}^3(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow E_{-n+2,4,-n}^3(\mathbf{1})$$

in the $-n$ th slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}$ is trivial.

Proof. The proof of Lemma 3.20 verifies this claim. \square

Lemma 3.22: *The canonical map $\pi_3 s_0\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 f_1\mathbf{1}$ is the zero map.*

Proof. The composition $\pi_3 s_0\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 f_1\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 s_1\mathbf{1}$ is the slice d^1 differential, and zero by Corollary 2.20. Hence the map $\pi_3 s_0\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 f_1\mathbf{1}$ lifts to a map $\pi_3 s_0\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 f_2\mathbf{1}/\pi_3 s_1\mathbf{1}$, where the target denotes the cokernel of the (not necessarily injective) canonical map $\pi_3 s_1\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 f_2\mathbf{1}$. The slice d^1 differential $\pi_3 s_1\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 f_2\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 s_2\mathbf{1}$ sends the (unique) generator $g \in \pi_{3-(2)}s_1\mathbf{1} \cong \mathbf{k}_0^{\text{M}}$ to $(\tau^2\rho^2, \text{inc}_{12}^2(\tau\rho^3)) \in h^{2,4} \oplus h_{12}^{3,4}$. Lemma 3.19 then provides a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(4) \oplus (\pi_1 \Sigma^{(2)}\mathbf{MZ}/24)/\text{inc}_{24}^2\rho^2\tau\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}} \rightarrow \pi_1 f_2\mathbf{1}/\pi_3 s_1\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}/\rho^2\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(-2) \rightarrow 0$$

of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules which is determined by the equality

$$\eta\eta_{\text{top}}^2 = -1 \in h_{24}^{0,1} \cong \pi_{1+(1)}\Sigma^{(2)}\mathbf{MZ}/24.$$

Since every element in the \mathbf{K}^{MW} -module $\pi_2 f_2\mathbf{1}/\pi_3 s_1\mathbf{1}$ is 24-torsion,² the map $\pi_3 s_0\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 f_2\mathbf{1}/\pi_3 s_1\mathbf{1}$ factors as

$$\pi_3 s_0\mathbf{1} \rightarrow (\pi_3 \mathbf{MZ})/24 \rightarrow \pi_2 f_2\mathbf{1}/\pi_3 s_1\mathbf{1}.$$

The second map fits into a commutative diagram

²The \mathbf{K}^{M} -module $\pi_3 \mathbf{MZ}/24$ is more accessible than the quite mysterious \mathbf{K}^{M} -module $\pi_3 \mathbf{MZ}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\pi_3 \mathbf{s}_0 \mathbf{1} & \longrightarrow & \pi_2 \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{1} / \pi_3 \mathbf{s}_1 \mathbf{1} & \longrightarrow & \pi_2 \mathbf{f}_1 \mathbf{1} \\
\downarrow \text{pr}_{24}^\infty & & \nearrow & & \downarrow \\
(\pi_3 \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}) / 24 & & & & \\
\downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
\pi_3 \mathbf{s}_0 \mathbf{1} / 12\mathbf{h} & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow & \pi_2 \mathbf{f}_1 \mathbf{1} / 12\mathbf{h}
\end{array}$$

in which the vertical maps are induced by the canonical map $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}/12\mathbf{h}$ of motivic spectra, factored as a surjection followed by an injection on the left hand side. By Lemma 3.19 and Lemma 3.16, every element in $\pi_2 \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{1}$ and in $\pi_1 \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{1}$ is $12\mathbf{h}$ -torsion. There results a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\pi_2 \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{1}) / 12\mathbf{h} = \pi_2 \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{1} / 12\mathbf{h} \rightarrow {}_{12\mathbf{h}}\pi_1 \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{1} = \pi_1 \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{1} \rightarrow 0$$

of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules. Hence it suffices to show that the image of $\pi_3 \mathbf{s}_0 \mathbf{1} / 12\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \pi_2 \mathbf{f}_1 \mathbf{1} / 12\mathbf{h}$ and of $\pi_2 \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 \mathbf{f}_1 \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 \mathbf{f}_1 \mathbf{1} / 12\mathbf{h}$ intersect in $\{0\}$. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_3 \mathbf{s}_0 \mathbf{1} / 6\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \pi_3 \mathbf{s}_0 \mathbf{1} / 12\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{d} \rightarrow 0$$

of \mathbf{K}^{M} -modules, where $\mathbf{d}_n := \ker(\partial_{12}^2 : h^{n-3,n} \rightarrow h_{12}^{n-2,n})$ is isomorphic to the \mathbf{K}^{M} -module bearing the same notation used already in the proof of Lemma 3.19. First the image of $\pi_3 \mathbf{s}_0 \mathbf{1} / 6\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \pi_3 \mathbf{s}_0 \mathbf{1} / 12\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \pi_2 \mathbf{f}_1 \mathbf{1} / 12\mathbf{h}$ will be shown to intersect the image of $\pi_2 \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 \mathbf{f}_1 \mathbf{1} / 12\mathbf{h}$ in $\{0\}$.

The \mathbf{K}^{M} -module $\pi_3 \mathbf{s}_0 \mathbf{1} / 6\mathbf{h} \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} h_{12}^{n-3,n}$ splits into a 3-torsion part and a 2-primary torsion part. Consider the 3-torsion first. If the base field F in question contains a primitive third root of unity $\zeta_3 \in h_3^{0,1}$, the \mathbf{K}^{M} -module $h_3^{*-3,*}$ is generated in bidegree $h_3^{0,3}$ by the third power of ζ_3 . Since the generator exists over the field $F_0(\zeta_3)$ of 3-cohomological dimension at most one, it maps trivially, by naturality of the slice differentials for field extensions. Suppose now that F does not contain a primitive third root of unity. The degree two field extension $F \hookrightarrow F(\zeta_3)$ induces an injection on the 3-components of the groups occurring in the differential in question. Thus the 3-component maps trivially. Consider now the 2-primary torsion part $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} h_4^{n-3,n}$. As a \mathbf{K}^{M} -module, it is generated by elements in $h_4^{0,3}$ and $h_4^{1,4}$. If $\sqrt{-1} \notin F$, then $\text{inc}_4^2 : h^{0,3} = h_4^{0,3} \cong \{1, -1\}$. If $\sqrt{-1} \in F$, then $h_4^{0,3} \cong \{1, -1, \sqrt{-1}, -\sqrt{-1}\}$. In any case, an element in $h_4^{0,3}(F)$ already lives over a subfield E of F which is at most a quadratic extension of the prime field F_0 . In this case, the group

$$\pi_{2-(3)} \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{1} / \pi_{3-(3)} \mathbf{s}_1 \mathbf{1}(E) \cong \mathbf{k}_7^{\text{M}} \oplus h_{24}^{4,5} / \text{inc}_{24}^2 \rho^2 h^{2,3} \oplus \mathbf{k}_3^{\text{M}} / \rho^2 \mathbf{k}_1^{\text{M}} \cong \mathbf{k}_7^{\text{M}}(E)$$

simplifies to a group with either one element, or the two elements $\{0, \rho^7 \nu^2\}$. In the latter case, real realization provides that the element $\rho^7 \nu^2$, realizing to η_{top}^2 , cannot be hit. An element in $h_4^{1,4}(F)$ already lives over a subfield $F_0(u)$, where u is a unit in F . In particular, $F_0(u)$ has virtual cohomological dimension at the prime 2 strictly less than four. The relevant target group

$$\pi_{2-(4)} \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{1} / \pi_{3-(4)} \mathbf{s}_1 \mathbf{1}(F_0(u)) \cong \mathbf{k}_8^{\text{M}} \oplus h_{24}^{5,6} / \text{inc}_{24}^2 \rho^2 h^{3,4} \mathbf{k}_4^{\text{M}} / \rho^2 \mathbf{k}_2^{\text{M}} \cong \mathbf{k}_8^{\text{M}}(F_0(u))$$

again simplifies. If $F_0(u)$ admits a real embedding, real realization provides that the single nonzero element $\rho^8\nu^2$, which realizes to η_{top}^2 , cannot be hit. If $F_0(u)$ does not admit a real embedding, then already $\mathbf{k}_8^{\mathbf{M}}(F_0(u)) = 0$. It follows that the image of $\pi_3\mathbf{s}_0\mathbf{1}/6\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \pi_3\mathbf{s}_0\mathbf{1}2\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{1}/12\mathbf{h}$ intersects the image of $\pi_2\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{1}/12\mathbf{h}$ in $\{0\}$. Consider now an element

$$x \in \text{Im}(\pi_3\mathbf{s}_0\mathbf{1}2\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{1}/12\mathbf{h}) \cap \text{Im}(\pi_2\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{1}/12\mathbf{h}).$$

By the preceding argument, $2x = 0$. Lemma 3.19 implies that the 2-torsion in $\pi_2\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{1}/\pi_3\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{1}$, which injects into the 2-torsion in $\pi_2\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{1}/12\mathbf{h}$, is generated by ν^2 and η_{top}^2 as a \mathbf{K}^{MW} -module. In order to show that $x = 0$, let y be its image in $\pi_2\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{1}/2$ under the canonical map $\mathbf{1}/12\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}/2$. It has the property that the composition $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}/12\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}/2$ of canonical maps is the canonical map. This canonical map induces an injection on the sub- \mathbf{K}^{MW} -module of $\pi_2\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{1}/\pi_3\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{1}$ generated by ν^2 and η_{top}^2 , because these elements are 2-torsion. Hence it suffices to prove that $y = 0 \in \pi_2\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{1}/2$. The canonical maps $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}/12\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}/2$ induce a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_3\mathbf{s}_0\mathbf{1} & \xrightarrow{\text{Pr}_{24}^\infty} & \pi_3\mathbf{s}_0\mathbf{1}/12\mathbf{h} & \xrightarrow{\text{Pr}_2^{24}} & \pi_3\mathbf{s}_0\mathbf{1}/2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_2\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{1} & \longrightarrow & \pi_2\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{1}/12\mathbf{h} & \longrightarrow & \pi_2\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{1}/2. \end{array}$$

Naturality implies that y is in the image of $\pi_3\mathbf{s}_0\mathbf{1}/2 \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{1}/2$, the vertical map on the right hand side. It is determined by the image of the (unique) generator in $\pi_{3-(3)}\mathbf{MZ}/2$, which already lives over the prime field $F_0 \subset F$. In this degree, $\pi_{2-(3)}\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{1}/\pi_{3-(3)}\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{1}(F_0) \cong \mathbf{k}_7^{\mathbf{M}}(F_0) = \{0, \rho^7\nu^2\}$, as mentioned before. Since $2\nu^2 = 0$, the element $\rho^7\nu^2$ maps to a nonzero element in $\pi_{2-(3)}\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}/2$; real realization may be invoked as well to see this. As a consequence, $y = 0$. It follows that x is already zero. This concludes the proof that

$$\text{Im}(\pi_3\mathbf{s}_0\mathbf{1}2\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{1}/12\mathbf{h}) \cap \text{Im}(\pi_2\mathbf{f}_2\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{1}/12\mathbf{h}) = \{0\}.$$

In particular, the map $\pi_3\mathbf{s}_0\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{1}$ is zero. □

Corollary 3.23: *The slice d^j differential*

$$E_{-n+3,0,-n}^j(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow E_{-n+2,j,-n}^j(\mathbf{1})$$

in the $-n$ th slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}$ is zero.

Proof. This follows from Corollary 2.20 and Lemma 3.22. □

As a consequence of Corollary 3.23, the remaining statements of this section address actually the E^∞ -page of the slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}$.

Corollary 3.24: *The kernel of $E_{-n+2,4,-n}^2(\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{kq})$ is $h^{n+4,n+4}$ generated by $\beta_{2/2}$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 3.3 and 2.15. □

Corollary 3.25: *The kernel of the induced map $E_{-n+2,3,-n}^2(\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{kq})$ is isomorphic to the image of $\mathrm{pr}_2^\infty H^{n+1,n+2} = H^{n+1,n+2}/2$ in $h^{n+1,n+2}/\mathrm{Sq}^2 h^{n-1,n+1}$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 3.5, 2.10, and 2.16. □

Corollary 3.26: *The kernel of $E_{-n+2,2,-n}^2(\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{kq})$ is isomorphic to $H^{n+1,n+2}/12$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 3.6, 2.9, 2.17, and 3.27. □

Lemma 3.27: *The kernel $H^{n+1,n+2}/12$ of $\partial_\infty^{12}: h_{12}^{n+1,n+2} \rightarrow H^{n+2,n+2}$ is contained in the kernel of $\partial_2^{12}: h_{12}^{n+1,n+2} \rightarrow h^{n+2,n+2}$.*

Proof. If $x \in h_{12}^{n+1,n+2}$ satisfies $\partial_\infty^{12}x = 0$ then $\partial_2^{12}x = \mathrm{pr}_2^\infty \partial_\infty^{12}x = 0$. □

Lemma 3.28: *For $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the unit map $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{kq}$ induces an isomorphism on*

$$\begin{array}{ll} E_{-n,m,-n}^2 & \\ E_{-n+1,m,-n}^2 & m \neq 2, 3 \\ E_{-n+2,m,-n}^2 & m \neq 2, 3, 4 \\ E_{-n+k,m,-n}^2 & m \leq 1, \end{array}$$

and a surjection on $E_{-n+1,m,-n}^2$ for $2 \leq m \leq 3$.

Proof. This follows by inspection of d^1 in Figure 2.1 and Corollary 2.11, with the exception of $E_{-n+k,1,-n}^2$ for $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. In the latter case, if an element of $h^{n+1-k,n+1}$ is in $\ker(\mathrm{Sq}^2)$ then it is also in $\ker(\mathrm{Sq}^2\mathrm{Sq}^1)$. The result for the direct summand $\Sigma^{2,2}\mathbf{MZ}/2$ of $\mathbf{s}_2(\mathbf{1})$ in Lemma 2.9 implies now the isomorphism on $E_{-n+k,1,-n}^2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

4 The 1-line

Let F is a field of exponential characteristic $c \neq 2$, and set $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{c}]$. Then the slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}_F$ converges conditionally to the homotopy groups of the η -completion $\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge$ [RSØ19, Theorem 3.50]. The calculation of the E^2 -page given in Theorem 3.9 shows that $\pi_{1+(n)}\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge = 0$ for $n \geq 3$, and $\pi_{2+(n)}\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge = 0$ for $n \geq 5$. As a consequence,

$$\pi_1\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge[\frac{1}{\eta}] = \pi_2\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge[\frac{1}{\eta}] = 0.$$

The vanishing $\pi_1\mathbf{1}[\frac{1}{\eta}] = \pi_2\mathbf{1}[\frac{1}{\eta}] = 0$ from [Rön18, Theorem 8.3] and the η -arithmetic square imply there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_0\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_0\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge \oplus \pi_0\mathbf{1}[\frac{1}{\eta}] \rightarrow \pi_0\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge[\frac{1}{\eta}] \rightarrow 0,$$

an isomorphism

$$\pi_1\mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_1\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge,$$

and an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_3 \mathbf{1} \wedge_{\eta} \left[\frac{1}{\eta} \right] \rightarrow \pi_2 \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 \mathbf{1} \wedge_{\eta} \rightarrow 0$$

of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules.

Theorem 4.1: *Let F be a field of exponential characteristic $c \neq 2$. The unit map $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{kq}$ induces an isomorphism $\pi_{0+(\star)} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_{0+(\star)} \mathbf{kq}$, and a surjection $\pi_{1+(\star)} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_{1+(\star)} \mathbf{kq}$ whose kernel coincides with $\mathbf{K}_{2-\star}^{\text{M}}/24$ after inverting c . In particular, since $\pi_{1+(n)} \mathbf{kq} = 0$ for $n \geq 2$, $\pi_{1+(2)} \mathbf{1}_{\Lambda} \cong \Lambda/24$ and $\pi_{1+(n)} \mathbf{1}_{\Lambda} = 0$ for $n \geq 3$.*

Proof. Surjectivity of $\pi_1 \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_1 \mathbf{kq}$ follows from Lemma 3.28 for the π_1 -column of the $E^2 = E^{\infty}$ -page; see Lemma 2.31 for $E_{-n+1,j,-n}^2(\mathbf{kq}) = E_{-n+1,h,-n}^{\infty}(\mathbf{kq})$ and [RSØ19, Lemma 4.16 and 4.17] for $E_{-n+1,j,-n}^2(\mathbf{1}) = E_{-n+1,h,-n}^{\infty}(\mathbf{1})$. The statement on the kernel of $\pi_{1+(n)} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_{1+(n)} \mathbf{kq}$ follows from [RSØ19, Theorem 5.5], which applies to $\mathfrak{f}_0 \mathbf{KQ}$ instead of \mathbf{kq} . Finally, for $n > 1$, $\pi_{1+(n)} \mathbf{kq} = \pi_{1+(n)} \mathbf{KQ} = W^{2n-1}(F) = 0$, although it follows as well from the presentation of $\pi_1 \mathfrak{f}_1 \mathbf{kq}$ given in Lemma 2.30. \square

At least after inverting the exponential characteristic c of the base field, the kernel of $\pi_{1+(\star)} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_{1+(\star)} \mathbf{kq}$ is generated as a \mathbf{K}^{MW} -module by the element $\nu \in \pi_{1+(2)} \mathbf{1}$. An unstable representative of ν , already defined over the integers, is obtained as the Hopf construction on $\text{SL}_2 \simeq \mathbf{A}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. Another description of this representative is the attaching map $\nu: S^{7,4} \simeq \mathbf{A}^4 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{HP}^1 \simeq S^{4,2}$ for the quaternionic plane, again defined over any base scheme. The equation $\eta\nu = 0$ from [DI13, Theorem 1.4] (which follows from Theorem 4.1 as well) implies that the kernel of $\pi_{1+(\star)} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_{1+(\star)} \mathbf{kq}$ is in fact a \mathbf{K}^{M} -module.

5 The 2-line

The obvious three elements in $\pi_2 \mathbf{1}$ are

$$\nu^2 \in \pi_{2+(4)} \mathbf{1}, \quad \nu\eta_{\text{top}} \in \pi_{2+(2)} \mathbf{1}, \quad \eta_{\text{top}}^2 \in \pi_{2+(0)} \mathbf{1}.$$

Even the first one is 2-torsion by the following statement.

Lemma 5.1: *Over any base scheme we have $(1 - \varepsilon)\nu^2 = 2\nu^2 = 0$.*

Proof. The first equality follows by $\varepsilon\nu = -\nu$ [DI13, Corollary 1.5]. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{7,4} \wedge S^{7,4} & \xrightarrow{\text{twist}=(-1)^3 \varepsilon^4 = -1} & S^{7,4} \wedge S^{7,4} \\ \downarrow \nu \wedge \nu & & \downarrow \nu \wedge \nu \\ S^{4,2} \wedge S^{4,2} & \xrightarrow{\text{twist}=(-1)^2 \varepsilon^4 = 1} & S^{4,2} \wedge S^{4,2} \end{array}$$

shows that $\nu^2 = -\nu^2$, which implies the second equation. \square

The passage to $\pi_2\mathbf{1}$ via the slice spectral sequence requires a discussion of the exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_3\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge[\frac{1}{\eta}] \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge \rightarrow 0$$

of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules given in Section 4. The equality $\pi_2\mathbf{1} = \pi_2\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge$ is equivalent to the surjectivity of the map $\pi_3\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge \oplus \pi_3\mathbf{1}[\frac{1}{\eta}] \rightarrow \pi_3\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge[\frac{1}{\eta}]$ and the latter \mathbf{K}^{MW} -module can be understood completely via the slice spectral sequence.

Lemma 5.2: *For $n \geq 5$, multiplication with the first Hopf map η induces a surjection $\pi_{3+(n)}\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge \rightarrow \pi_{3+(n+1)}\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge$. It is an isomorphism when $n \geq 7$.*

Proof. The slice spectral sequence, the weak equivalence $\text{sc}(\mathbf{1}) \simeq \mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge$, and the data in [Zah72, Proposition 6.1] and [Rav86, Tables A.3.3, A.3.4] to identify the relevant slices, imply this result. Here are the details.

Recall that $\mathfrak{s}_0(\mathbf{1}) \cong \mathbf{MZ}$ is generated by α_1^0 . For $q \geq 1$, the q -dimensional part of $s_q(\mathbf{1})$ is $\Sigma^{q,q}\mathbf{MZ}/2$ on the generator α_1^q . For $q \geq 0$ and $q \neq 2$, the $q+1$ -dimensional part of $s_q(\mathbf{1})$ is trivial. We note that $\mathfrak{s}_2(\mathbf{1})$ contains $\Sigma^{3,2}\mathbf{MZ}/12$ as a direct summand. For $q \geq 3$ and $q \neq 4$, the $q+2$ -dimensional part of $s_q(\mathbf{1})$ is $\Sigma^{q+2,q}\mathbf{MZ}/2$ generated by $\alpha_1^{q-3}\alpha_3$. We note that $\mathfrak{s}_4(\mathbf{1})$ has an additional direct summand of dimension $4+2$; it is $\Sigma^{6,4}\mathbf{MZ}/2$ generated by $\beta_{2/2}$. For $q \geq 7$, the $q+3$ -dimensional part of $s_q(\mathbf{1})$ is $\Sigma^{q+3,q}\mathbf{MZ}/2$ generated by $\alpha_1^{q-4}\alpha_4$. The 7-dimensional part $\Sigma^{7,4}\mathbf{MZ}/240$ of $\mathfrak{s}_4(\mathbf{1})$ is generated by $\alpha_1^0\alpha_4$. The 8-dimensional part of $\mathfrak{s}_5(\mathbf{1})$ is $\Sigma^{8,5}\mathbf{MZ}/2\{\alpha_1^1\alpha_4\} \vee \Sigma^{8,5}\mathbf{MZ}/2\{\beta_2\}$. The 9-dimensional part of $\mathfrak{s}_6(\mathbf{1})$ is $\Sigma^{9,6}\mathbf{MZ}/2\{\alpha_1^2\alpha_4\} \vee \Sigma^{9,6}\mathbf{MZ}/2\{\alpha_1\beta_2\}$. For $q \geq 5$ and $q \neq 6$, the $q+4$ -dimensional part of $s_q(\mathbf{1})$ is $\Sigma^{q+4,q}\mathbf{MZ}/2$ generated by $\alpha_1^{q-5}\alpha_5$. Finally, the 10-dimensional part of $\mathfrak{s}_6(\mathbf{1})$ is $\Sigma^{10,6}\mathbf{MZ}/2\{\alpha_1^1\alpha_5\} \vee \Sigma^{10,6}\mathbf{MZ}/3\{\beta_1\}$.

For $q \geq 5$, the second column of the E^2 -page of the q -th slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}$ contains only zeros. Hence all elements in the third column of the corresponding E^2 -page are permanent cycles. The description of the generators of the slice summands shows that multiplication by η – which is represented by α_1 – induces an isomorphism between the third column of the q -th and the $q+1$ -th slice spectral sequence, provided $q \geq 7$. For $q \in \{5, 6\}$ it is surjective. Since the same is true for the fourth column, and since the differentials are η -linear, there results in an isomorphism resp. surjection between the third column of the infinite terms in the q -th and the $q+1$ -th slice spectral sequences. \square

Lemma 5.3: *If $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$ then $\pi_{3+(n)}\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge \rightarrow \pi_{3+(n)}\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge[\frac{1}{\eta}]$ is surjective for $n \geq 4$.*

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 5.2 for $n \geq 5$. Although multiplication with η is not surjective as a map $\pi_{3+(4)}\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge \rightarrow \pi_{3+(5)}\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge$, an inspection of the slices described in detail in the proof of Lemma 5.2 shows that multiplication with η^3 induces a surjection

$$\pi_{3+(4)}\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge \rightarrow \pi_{3+(7)}\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge.$$

\square

The following statement, which generalizes Lemma 5.3, uses the fundamental ideal $\mathbf{I} \subset \mathbf{W}$ in the Witt ring of F , and its powers $\mathbf{I}^\ell \subset \mathbf{W}$ which by definition coincide with \mathbf{W} for $\ell \leq 0$.

Lemma 5.4: For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the cokernel of

$$\pi_{3+(n)}\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge \rightarrow \pi_{3+(n)}\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge[\frac{1}{\eta}]$$

is a quotient of $\mathbf{W}/\mathbf{I}^{-(n-4)}$.

Proof. If $n \geq 7$, then the column on the E^2 -page of the n -th slice spectral sequence computing $\pi_3\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge$ is independent of n by the proof of Lemma 5.2. It consists of the groups $h^{0,0}, h^{1,1}, \dots$, where $h^{q,q}$ is generated by $\alpha_1^{q+n}\alpha_3$. By Milnor's conjecture on quadratic forms these groups form the associated graded of the \mathbf{I} -adic filtration on \mathbf{W} . The multiplicative structure on the generators imply that for $n \geq 7$, $\pi_{3+(n)}\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge$ is a quotient of the \mathbf{I} -adic completion $\mathbf{W}_\mathbf{I}^\wedge$. The same holds thus for $\pi_{3+(n)}\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge[\frac{1}{\eta}]$, which is independent of $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, by Lemma 5.2. This quotient is the zero quotient for $n \geq 4$ by Lemma 5.3.

If $n \leq 4$ there are no motivic cohomology groups of weight $< 4 - n$ in the column on the E^2 -page of the $n + 4$ -th slice spectral sequence computing $\pi_3\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge$. That is, by the proof of Lemma 5.2, multiplication with α_1^{3-n} hits $h^{-n+4, -n+4}, h^{-n+5, -n+5}, \dots$, but not $h^{0,0}, h^{1,1}, \dots, h^{-n+3, -n+3}$. Thus the cokernel of

$$\pi_{3+(n)}\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge \xrightarrow{\eta^{7-n}} \pi_{3+(7)}\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge \cong \pi_{3+(n)}\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge[\frac{1}{\eta}]$$

is a quotient of $\mathbf{W}/\mathbf{I}^{-(n-4)}$. □

Lemma 5.4 implies that the cokernel of

$$\pi_3\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge \rightarrow \pi_3\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge[\frac{1}{\eta}]$$

is generated, as a \mathbf{K}^{MW} -module (in fact even a $\mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}[\eta^{-1}] = \mathbf{W}[\eta, \eta^{-1}]$ -module), by a single element. What is it?

Lemma 5.5: The image of the third Hopf map $\sigma \in \pi_{3+(4)}\mathbf{1}$ generates the cokernel of

$$\pi_3\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge \rightarrow \pi_3\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge[\frac{1}{\eta}]$$

as a \mathbf{K}^{MW} -module.

Proof. The third Hopf map $\sigma \in \pi_{3+(4)}\mathbf{1}$ lifts (uniquely) to $\pi_{3+(4)}\mathbf{f}_4\mathbf{1}$ for weight reasons. This lift maps to a generator in the cyclic group $\pi_{3+(4)}\mathbf{s}_4\mathbf{1}$ and hence by constitutes by Lemma 5.3 a generator of $\pi_3\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge[\frac{1}{\eta}]$, hence also of its cokernel. □

As a consequence we can improve on Lemma 5.3.

Lemma 5.6: The canonical map

$$\pi_3\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge \oplus \pi_3\mathbf{1}[\frac{1}{\eta}] \rightarrow \pi_3\mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge[\frac{1}{\eta}]$$

is surjective for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Lemma 5.5 says that the cokernel of the map $\pi_3 \mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge \rightarrow \pi_3 \mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge[\frac{1}{\eta}]$ is generated by the image of σ , which in turn is in the image of $\pi_3 \mathbf{1}[\frac{1}{\eta}] \rightarrow \pi_3 \mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge[\frac{1}{\eta}]$. \square

Theorem 5.7: *If $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$ the canonical map*

$$\pi_{n+2,n} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_{n+2,n} \mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge$$

is an isomorphism for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Lemma 5.6 implies the map $\pi_{n+2,n} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_{n+2,n} \mathbf{1}_\eta^\wedge \oplus \pi_{n+2,n} \mathbf{1}[\frac{1}{\eta}]$ from the η -arithmetic square is injective. The group $\pi_{n+2,n} \mathbf{1}[\frac{1}{\eta}]$ is trivial by [Rön18, Theorem 8.3]. \square

The Twoline Convergence Theorem 5.7 provides that the slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}$ computes the 2-line of $\mathbf{1}$. Proposition 2.5 implies the same for \mathbf{kq} . Hence the kernel of $\pi_{2+(n)} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_{2+(n)} \mathbf{kq}$ can be read off, up to extensions, from the kernel of the map

$$E_{n+2,\star,n}^2(\mathbf{1}) = E_{n+2,\star,n}^\infty(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow E_{n+2,\star,n}^\infty(\mathbf{kq}) = E_{n+2,\star,n}^2(\mathbf{kq}),$$

described in Corollaries 3.24 ($\star = 4$), 3.25 ($\star = 3$) and 3.26 ($\star = 2$). It remains to clarify extensions, which a priori are \mathbf{K}^{MW} -module extensions by varying n . The crucial contributions to the kernel of $\pi_{2+(n)} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_{2+(n)} \mathbf{kq}$ occur in the fourth, third and second filtration, as Lemma 3.28 already indicates.

Theorem 5.8: *If F is a field of exponential characteristic $c \neq 2$, the kernel of*

$$\pi_{2+(\star)} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_{2+(\star)} \mathbf{kq}$$

is isomorphic to the \mathbf{K}^{M} -module $\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(4) \oplus (\pi_1 \Sigma^{(2)} \mathbf{MZ})/24$ after inverting c . The (unique) generator for $\mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(4)$ is $\nu^2 \in \pi_{2+(4)} \mathbf{1}$.

Proof. Lemma 2.33 and Lemma 3.19 describe the \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules $\pi_2 f_2 \mathbf{kq}$ and $\pi_2 f_2 \mathbf{1}$, respectively. The behaviour of the unit map on slices, as given in Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 2.10, provides the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(4) \oplus (\pi_1 \Sigma^{(2)} \mathbf{MZ}/24) / \text{inc}_{24}^2 \rho^2 \tau \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}} & \longrightarrow & \pi_2 f_2 \mathbf{1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (\pi_0 \Sigma^{(2)} \mathbf{MZ}) / \partial_\infty^2 \rho^2 \tau \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}} & \longrightarrow & \pi_2 f_2 \mathbf{kq} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the map δ is the composition

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}(4) \oplus (\pi_1 \Sigma^{(2)} \mathbf{MZ}/24) / \text{inc}_{24}^2 \rho^2 \tau \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}} \\ \downarrow \text{pr} \\ (\pi_1 \Sigma^{(2)} \mathbf{MZ}/24) / \text{inc}_{24}^2 \rho^2 \tau \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}} \\ \downarrow \partial_\infty^2 \\ (\pi_0 \Sigma^{(2)} \mathbf{MZ}) / \partial_\infty^2 \rho^2 \tau \mathbf{k}^{\text{M}}. \end{array}$$

The snake lemma implies that the kernel of $\pi_2 f_2 \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 f_2 \mathbf{kq}$ coincides with the kernel of δ . Since the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^{n+1, n+2}/24 \rightarrow h_{24}^{n+1, n+2} \rightarrow H^{n+2, n+2}$$

is exact, another application of the snake lemma shows that the kernel of δ is thus the \mathbf{K}^M -module $\mathbf{k}^M(4) \oplus (\pi_1 \Sigma^{(2)} \mathbf{MZ})/24$. The kernel of $\pi_2 f_2 \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 f_2 \mathbf{kq}$ coincides with the kernel of $\pi_2 \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \pi_2 \mathbf{kq}$ because of Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8. \square

It is worthwhile to revisit the three obvious elements listed at the beginning of this section. The element $\nu^2 \in \pi_{2+(4)} \mathbf{1}$ figured prominently in Theorem 5.8 already. The element $\eta_{\text{top}} \nu \in \pi_{2+(2)} \mathbf{1}$ lifts by construction to $\pi_{2+(2)} f_3 \mathbf{1} \cong \mathbf{k}_2^M$, whence there exists a unique element $\varphi \in \mathbf{k}_2^M$ with $\eta_{\text{top}} \nu = \varphi \nu^2$. Since these elements are defined over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$, base change implies that $\varphi = \rho^2$, giving us the equation

$$\eta_{\text{top}} \nu = \rho^2 \nu^2 \in \pi_{2+(2)} \mathbf{1} \tag{5.1}$$

over every field of characteristic not two. The element $\eta_{\text{top}}^2 \in \pi_{2+(0)} \mathbf{1}$ lifts to an element in $\pi_{2+(0)} f_2 \mathbf{1}$, as described in Lemma 3.19, by construction. This element has the property that $\eta_{\text{top}}^2 \in \pi_{2+(1)} \mathbf{1}$ is the unique nonzero element of order 2 in $h_{24}^{0,1}$. Moreover, $\eta^2 \eta_{\text{top}}^2 = 0$. The group $h_{24}^{0,1} = \mu_{24}$ of 24-th roots of unity contributing to $\pi_{2+(1)} \mathbf{1}$ has the following property.

Lemma 5.9: *Complex realization induces an isomorphism $\pi_{2+(1)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_3 \mathbb{S}$.*

Proof. By [Lev13] the slice spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbf{1}$ is strongly convergent. The nontrivial groups on the E^1 -page contributing to $\pi_{2+(1)} \mathbf{1}$ are $\pi_{2+(1)} \mathbf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}) = h_{12}^{0,1}$ and $\pi_{2+(1)} \mathbf{s}_3(\mathbf{1}) = h^{0,2} = \pi_{2+(1)} f_3(\mathbf{1})$ generated by $\eta \eta_{\text{top}}^2$. Since $\pi_{3+(1)} \mathbf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}) = \pi_{1+(1)} f_3(\mathbf{1}) = 0$, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_{2+(1)} f_3(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow \pi_{2+(1)} f_2(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow \pi_{2+(1)} \mathbf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

The main result in [Lev15] shows its complex Betti realization is the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \pi_3 \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/12 \rightarrow 0$$

obtained from the Adams-Novikov filtration. The map $\pi_{2+(1)} f_3(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ is an isomorphism since the complex Betti realization of $\eta \eta_{\text{top}}^2$ is η_{top}^3 . It remains to show that $\pi_{2+(1)} \mathbf{s}_2(\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/12$ is an isomorphism. This follows from Lemma 5.11 below. \square

Remark 5.10: More generally than Lemma 5.9, the complex Betti realization induces an isomorphism $\pi_{2+(n)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{2+(n)} \mathbb{S}$ for $-1 \leq n \leq 4$. For $n = 4$, the generator ν^2 maps to ν_{top}^2 . The groups $\pi_{2+(3)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\pi_{2+(2)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}}$ are trivial by Theorem 1.2, the vanishing of $\pi_{2+(3)} \mathbf{kq} = \pi_{2+(3)} \mathbf{KQ}$, and since $\pi_{2+(2)} \mathbf{kq} = \pi_{2+(2)} \mathbf{KQ}$ is the group of even integers. For $n = 0$, $\pi_{2+(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \pi_{2+(0)} \mathbf{kq}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is injective (both $H^{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$ and $K_4^M(\mathbb{C})$ are divisible), and the image is $h^{0,2}$ generated by η_{top}^2 . The case $n = -1$ is quite interesting. The slice

spectral sequence for $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}}$ contains a single nonzero element η_{top}^2/η on the column of the E^1 -page contributing to $\pi_{2-(1)}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}}$. It comes from $\pi_{2-(1)}\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{1} \cong h^{0,2}$, which is isomorphic to $\pi_{2-(1)}\mathbf{s}_1\mathbf{kq}$. Since $\rho = 0$, and in particular $\rho^2 = 0$, the element η_{top}^2/η is a permanent cycle, both for $\mathbf{1}$ and \mathbf{kq} . The multiplicative structure on the slices of $\mathbf{1}$ or \mathbf{kq} shows that $\eta \cdot \eta_{\text{top}}^2/\eta$ coincides with the unique element in $\pi_{2+(0)}\mathbf{s}_2\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}}$ detecting η_{top}^2 , whence the awkward name for this element. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
\cdots & \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} & \pi_{3-(0)}\mathbf{kgl}_{\mathbb{C}} & \xrightarrow{\text{hyper}} & \pi_{2-(1)}\mathbf{kq}_{\mathbb{C}} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \pi_{2-(0)}\mathbf{kq}_{\mathbb{C}} & \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} & \pi_{2-(0)}\mathbf{kgl}_{\mathbb{C}} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
& & \downarrow b_4 & & \downarrow b_3 & & \downarrow b_2 & & \downarrow b_1 & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \pi_3\mathbf{ku} & \longrightarrow & \pi_1\mathbf{ko} & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\text{top}}} & \pi_2\mathbf{ko} & \longrightarrow & \pi_2\mathbf{ku} & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array} \tag{5.2}$$

of long exact sequences, where Theorem 2.1 provides the top sequence, and the vertical maps are given by complex Betti realization. The map labelled “ η_{top} ” is an isomorphism. The map $b_2: \pi_{2-(0)}\mathbf{kq}_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbf{K}_2^{\mathbb{M}}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbf{k}_0^{\mathbb{M}} \rightarrow \pi_2\mathbf{ko}$ is surjective, with the nonzero element in $\mathbf{k}_0^{\mathbb{M}}$ given by the image of η_{top}^2 realizing to η_{top}^2 . Since this element is mapped to $0 \in \pi_{2+(0)}\mathbf{kgl}_{\mathbb{C}}$ via the forgetful map, it is in the image of multiplication with η . Hence also the map labelled “ b_3 ” is surjective, implying the same for $\pi_{2-(1)}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \pi_1\mathbb{S} \cong \pi_1\mathbf{ko}$. Complex Betti realization $\pi_{2-(2)}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \pi_0\mathbb{S}$ is the zero map.

Lemma 5.11: *Complex Betti realization induces an isomorphism*

$$\pi_{1-(1)}\mathbf{MZ}/n \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_0\mathbf{HZ}/n \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$$

of groups.

Proof. The complex Betti realization of \mathbf{MZ}/n is \mathbf{HZ}/n by [Lev14, Proposition 5.10]. A primitive n -th root of unity $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ provides a stable map $\xi: S^{1-(-1)} \rightarrow \mathbf{MZ}/n$ or alternatively an unstable map $\xi: \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})_+ \rightarrow \mu_n$, with target the fiber of multiplication by n on $B\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbf{P}^{\infty}$ (the first space in the \mathbf{P}^1 -spectrum \mathbf{MZ}). The complex Betti realization of $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})_+ \rightarrow \mu_n$ is the choice of a generator of \mathbb{Z}/n . Hence it sends $\xi: S^{1+(-1)} \rightarrow \mathbf{MZ}/n$ to the unit map $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{HZ}/n$. The result follows now from [Lev15, Corollary 5.12] after multiplication with ξ . \square

A Modules over Milnor K -Theory

The following result from [RSØ19] permeates the differential calculations.

Lemma A.1: *The r th slice differentials induce a $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbb{M}} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H^{n,n}$ -module map*

$$\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} d_{p+n,q,n}^r(\mathbf{E}): \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{p+n,q,n}^r(\mathbf{E}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{p-1+n,q+r,n}^r(\mathbf{E}).$$

The triviality of the higher slice differentials for the relevant column follows from arguing with $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbb{M}}$ -module generators. An important example is the $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbb{M}}$ -module of mod-2

Milnor K -theory

$$\mathbf{k}^M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h^{n,n}.$$

It is generated by the nontrivial element $1 \in h^{0,0}$. More generally, Voevodsky's solution of the Milnor conjecture implies that for a fixed integer $k \geq 0$, the \mathbf{K}^M -module

$$\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h^{n-k,n}$$

is generated by the unique nontrivial element $\tau^k \in h^{0,k}$ in bidegree $(0, k)$. Other examples of generators for \mathbf{K}^M -modules are given by [OVV07, Theorem 3.3] for fields of characteristic zero and [MS10, Theorem 2.1] for fields of odd characteristic. A central example is the kernel of $\mathrm{Sq}^2: h^{n-2,n} \rightarrow h^{n,n+1}$, since it corresponds to multiplication with the pure symbol $\{-1, -1\}$.

Lemma A.2: *Let $\alpha \in \pi_{s+(w)} \mathbf{1}$, and E a motivic spectrum. The cofiber sequence*

$$\Sigma^{s+(w)} E \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \rightarrow E/\alpha \rightarrow \Sigma^{s+1+(w)} E$$

provides a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\pi_{m+(\star)} E)/\alpha \rightarrow \pi_{m+(\star)} E/\alpha \rightarrow {}_{\alpha} \pi_{m-s-1+(\star)} E$$

of \mathbf{K}^{MW} -modules for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. This claim follows directly from the definition of E/α . □

Lemma A.3: *Let $n > 0$ be a natural number. The canonical maps induce a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow (\pi_{1+(\star)} \mathbf{MZ})/2^n \rightarrow \pi_{1+(\star)} \mathbf{MZ}/2^n \rightarrow {}_{2^n} \mathbf{K}^M \rightarrow 0$$

of \mathbf{K}^M -modules.

Proof. This result is a particular case of Lemma A.2. □

Specializing even further, let us consider mod-4 coefficients. Let F_0 denote the prime field of F .

Lemma A.4: *For $k \geq 0$ a fixed natural number, consider the \mathbf{K}^M -module*

$$\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_4^{n-k,n}.$$

If $k = 2n$ is even, it is generated by a single element $\theta^n \in h_4^{0,k} = \mathbb{Z}/4$ where $\theta \in h_4^{0,2}$. The element θ is defined over F_0 . If k is odd, it is generated by elements in bidegrees $(0, k)$ and $(1, k+1)$; every generator in bidegree $(0, k)$ is defined over F_0 or $F_0[\sqrt{-1}]$, whereas for every generator $\bar{g} \in h_4^{1,k+1}(F)$ there exists a unit $g \in F^\times$ such that \bar{g} is defined over the field $F_0(g)$.

Proof. The boundary map in motivic cohomology for the change of coefficients exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0$$

is the motivic Steenrod operation $\mathrm{Sq}^1: h^{n-k,n} \rightarrow h^{n-k+1,n}$. This map is trivial if k is even, and if k is odd it exchanges one factor of τ for ρ .

Assuming k is even there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow h^{n-k,n}/\mathrm{Sq}^1 h^{n-k-1,n} \rightarrow h_4^{n-k,n} \rightarrow h^{n-k,n} \rightarrow 0.$$

Both of the outer modules are generated by a single element in bidegree $(0, k)$, and there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 = h^{0,k} \rightarrow h_4^{0,k} \rightarrow h^{0,k} = \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Comparison with the case $k = 2$ shows this is a non-split extension over any field. The above proves the statement for k even.

Assuming k is odd, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow h^{n-k,n} \rightarrow h_4^{n-k,n} \rightarrow \ker(h^{n-k,n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Sq}^1} h^{n-k+1,n}) \rightarrow 0.$$

As modules, $h^{n-k,n}$ is generated in bidegree $(0, k)$ and $\ker(h^{n-k,n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Sq}^1} h^{n-k+1,n})$ is generated in $(0, k)$ or in $(1, k+1)$. More precisely, if $\rho = 0$ over F , it is generated in bidegree $(0, k)$, and the generator already lives over the smallest subfield of F containing $\sqrt{-1}$. If $\rho \neq 0$ over F , there exists a set of units $G \subset F$ such that for $g \in G$, $\mathrm{Sq}^1(\tau^k \bar{g}) = \tau^{k-1} \rho \bar{g} = 0$ and every element in $\ker(\mathrm{Sq}^1: h^{n-k,n} \rightarrow h^{n-k+1,n})$ is a finite \mathbf{K}^M -linear combination of elements $\tau^k \bar{g}$, for $g \in G$. Finally, in the \mathbf{k}^M -theory long exact sequence for the field extension $F \subset F[\sqrt{-1}]$ every \bar{g} is the image of the transfer map of some \bar{h} , $h \in F[\sqrt{-1}]$. The field $F_0(g')$, where g' is the transfer of h , has the property that $\tau^k \bar{g} = \tau^k \bar{g}'$ over F and $\mathrm{Sq}^1(\tau^k \bar{g}) = 0$. \square

Next, we consider mod-8 coefficients. Let F_0 denote the prime field of F .

Lemma A.5: *For $k \geq 0$ a fixed natural number, consider the \mathbf{K}^M -module*

$$\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_8^{n-k,n}.$$

If $k = 2n$ is even, it is generated by a single element $\omega^n \in h_8^{0,k} = \mathbb{Z}/8$, where $\omega \in h_8^{0,2}$ is defined over F_0 .

Proof. The change of coefficients exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/8 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0$$

induces a long exact sequence of motivic cohomology groups

$$0 \rightarrow h_4^{0,k} \rightarrow h_8^{0,k} \rightarrow h^{0,k} \rightarrow h_4^{1,k} \rightarrow \dots$$

Assuming k is even, Lemma A.4 implies $h_4^{0,k} \cong \mathbb{Z}/4$. Hence $h_8^{0,k}$ has order at most 8, and order precisely 8 if $h^{0,k} \rightarrow h_4^{1,k}$ is trivial. It suffices to prove this map is trivial over F_0 . For $k = 2$ note that $h_8^{0,2}$, the kernel of multiplication by 8 on $H^{1,2}$, is $\mathbb{Z}/8$ when $\text{char}(F_0) \neq 2$. The group $h_4^{0,2}$, i.e., the kernel of multiplication by 4 on $H^{1,2}$, is $\mathbb{Z}/4$ when $\text{char}(F_0) \neq 2$. The projection map $h_8^{0,2} \rightarrow h_4^{0,2}$ is induced by multiplication by 2 on $H^{1,2}$, and hence surjective over prime fields. For $k > 2$ even, using the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_8^{0,2} \times h_8^{0,k-2} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_4^8} & h_4^{0,2} \times h_4^{0,k-2} \\ \downarrow \text{mult} & & \downarrow \text{mult} \\ h_8^{0,k} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_4^8} & h_4^{0,2} \end{array}$$

we conclude the claimed surjection by induction and Lemma A.4. It follows that $\partial_4^2 h^{0,k} = \{0\}$. To prove $\partial_4^2 h^{n-k,n} = \{0\}$ for all $n > k$, let $\tau^k x \in h^{n-k,n}$, with $x \in h^{n-k,n-k}$. We have

$$\partial_4^2(\tau^k x) = \partial_4^2(\tau^k) \cdot x = 0 \cdot x = 0,$$

since ∂_4^2 is a \mathbf{K}^M -module map. Thus there is a short exact sequence of \mathbf{K}^M -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_4^{n-k,n} / \partial_4^2 h^{n-k-1,n} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_8^{n-k,n} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h^{n-k,n} \rightarrow 0$$

with outer terms generated in bidegree $(0, k)$. Hence so is the middle term, concluding the case where k is even. \square

Corollary A.6: *Let k be an even natural number. Then $\partial_2^4: h_4^{n-k,n} \rightarrow h^{n-k+1,n}$ is the zero map.*

Proof. This follows as in the proof of Lemma A.5, using a generator in $h_4^{0,k}$ from A.4. \square

Lemma A.7: *Multiplication with a generator $\omega \in h_8^{0,2}$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_8^{n-k,n} \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_8^{n-k,n+2}$$

of \mathbf{K}^M -modules for every natural number k .

Proof. First, we show that multiplication with a generator $\theta \in h_4^{0,2}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_4^{n-k,n} \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_4^{n-k,n+2}.$$

In effect, for $\tau^2 \in h^{0,2}$ and $\theta \in h_4^{0,2}$, consider the diagram of Bockstein sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{\text{Sq}^1} & h^{m,n+1} & \longrightarrow & h_4^{m,n+1} & \longrightarrow & h^{m,n+1} \xrightarrow{\text{Sq}^1} h^{m+1,n+1} \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow \tau^2 & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \tau^2 \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{\text{Sq}^1} & h^{m,n+3} & \longrightarrow & h_4^{m,n+3} & \longrightarrow & h^{m,n+3} \xrightarrow{\text{Sq}^1} h^{m+1,n+3} \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

Recall $\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/4 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2$ is a map of motivic ring spectra, and the image of θ is τ^2 . Whence the middle square commutes. Moreover, for $\mathrm{Sq}^1: h^{n-k,n} \rightarrow h^{n-k+1,n}$ we have

$$\mathrm{Sq}^1(\tau^k x) = \begin{cases} \tau^{k-1} \rho x & k \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 0 & k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \end{cases}$$

which implies the right-hand square commutes. For commutativity of the left-hand square, we use that $\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/4$ is a $\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}/4$ -module map. Since multiplication with τ^2 is an isomorphism, so is multiplication with θ according to the five lemma.

The above feeds into the case of $\mathbb{Z}/8$ -coefficients via the diagram of Bockstein sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial_4^2} & h_4^{m,n+1} & \longrightarrow & h_8^{m,n+1} & \longrightarrow & h_2^{m,n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_4^2} h_4^{m+1,n+1} \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \omega & & \downarrow \tau^2 & & \downarrow \theta \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial_4^2} & h_4^{m,n+3} & \longrightarrow & h_8^{m,n+3} & \longrightarrow & h_2^{m,n+3} \xrightarrow{\partial_4^2} h_4^{m+1,n+3} \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

Commutativity of this diagram follows for the same reasons as above since the \mathbf{K}^M -module map $\partial_4^2: h^{n-k,n} \rightarrow h_4^{n-k+1,n}$ satisfies

$$\theta \cdot \partial_4^2(\tau^k x) = \partial_4^2(\tau^{k+2} x).$$

Using the five lemma we conclude that multiplication with $\theta \in h_4^{0,2}$ is an isomorphism. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors gratefully acknowledge support by the DFG priority program 1786 "Homotopy theory and algebraic geometry" and the RCN Frontier Research Group Project no. 250399 "Motivic Hopf Equations." Work on this paper took place at the Institut Mittag-Leffler in Djursholm, the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics in Bonn; we thank both institutions for providing excellent working conditions, hospitality, and support. We also acknowledge the support of the Centre for Advanced Study at the Norwegian Academy of Science and Letters in Oslo, which funded and hosted our research project "Motivic Geometry" during the 2020/21 academic year. The third author acknowledges support from the Humboldt Foundation and the Radboud Excellence Initiative.

References

- [ARØ20] A. Ananyevskiy, O. Röndigs, and P. A. Østvær. On very effective hermitian K -theory. *Math. Z.*, 294(3-4):1021–1034, 2020.
- [Bac17] T. Bachmann. The generalized slices of Hermitian K -theory. *J. Topol.*, 10(4):1124–1144, 2017.
- [DI13] D. Dugger and D. C. Isaksen. Motivic Hopf elements and relations. *New York J. Math.*, 19:823–871, 2013.
- [DI17] D. Dugger and D. C. Isaksen. Low-dimensional Milnor-Witt stems over \mathbb{R} . *Ann. K-Theory*, 2(2):175–210, 2017.

- [EL72] R. Elman and T. Y. Lam. Pfister forms and K -theory of fields. *J. Algebra*, 23:181–213, 1972.
- [GRSØ12] J. J. Gutiérrez, O. Röndigs, M. Spitzweck, and P. A. Østvær. Motivic slices and coloured operads. *J. Topol.*, 5(3):727–755, 2012.
- [HKØ17] M. Hoyois, S. Kelly, and P. A. Østvær. The motivic Steenrod algebra in positive characteristic. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 19(12):3813–3849, 2017.
- [Kum20] A. K. Kumar. On the motivic spectrum \mathbf{BO} and hermitian K -theory. Ph.D. thesis, Universität Osnabrück, 2020.
- [LÁ17] A. López-Ávila. E_∞ -ring structures in motivic hermitian K -theory. Ph.D. thesis, Universität Osnabrück, <https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:gbv:700-2018030216685>, 2017.
- [Lev13] M. Levine. Convergence of Voevodsky’s slice tower. *Doc. Math.*, 18:907–941, 2013.
- [Lev14] M. Levine. A comparison of motivic and classical stable homotopy theories. *J. Topol.*, 7(2):327–362, 2014.
- [Lev15] M. Levine. The Adams-Novikov spectral sequence and Voevodsky’s slice tower. *Geom. Topol.*, 19(5):2691–2740, 2015.
- [Mer16] A. Merkurjev. Weight two motivic cohomology of classifying spaces for semisimple groups. *Amer. J. Math.*, 138(3):763–792, 2016.
- [Mil70] J. Milnor. Algebraic K -theory and quadratic forms. *Invent. Math.*, 9:318–344, 1969/1970.
- [Mor04] F. Morel. On the motivic π_0 of the sphere spectrum. In *Axiomatic, enriched and motivic homotopy theory*, volume 131 of *NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem.*, pages 219–260. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2004.
- [Mor05] F. Morel. The stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity theorems. *K-Theory*, 35(1-2):1–68, 2005.
- [Mor06] F. Morel. \mathbb{A}^1 -algebraic topology. In *International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II*, pages 1035–1059. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.
- [Mor12] F. Morel. *\mathbb{A}^1 -algebraic topology over a field*, volume 2052 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [MS10] A. S. Merkurjev and A. A. Suslin. Motivic cohomology of the simplicial motive of a Rost variety. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 214(11):2017–2026, 2010.
- [OVV07] D. Orlov, A. Vishik, and V. Voevodsky. An exact sequence for $K_*^M/2$ with applications to quadratic forms. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 165(1):1–13, 2007.
- [Rav86] D. C. Ravenel. *Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres*, volume 121 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1986.
- [RØ16] O. Röndigs and P. A. Østvær. Slices of hermitian K -theory and Milnor’s conjecture on quadratic forms. *Geom. Topol.*, 20(2):1157–1212, 2016.
- [Rön] O. Röndigs. Endomorphisms of the projective plane. Preprint, [arXiv:2103.11831](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.11831).
- [Rön18] O. Röndigs. On the η -inverted sphere. In *K-Theory*, volume 19 of *Tata Inst. Fundam. Res. Stud. Math.*, pages 41–64. Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2018.

- [Rön20] O. Röndigs. Remarks on motivic Moore spectra. In *Motivic homotopy theory and refined enumerative geometry*, volume 745 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 199–215. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, [2020] ©2020.
- [RSØ19] O. Röndigs, M. Spitzweck, and P. A. Østvær. The first stable homotopy groups of motivic spheres. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 189(1):1–74, 2019.
- [SØ12] M. Spitzweck and P. A. Østvær. Motivic twisted K -theory. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 12(1):565–599, 2012.
- [Voe02a] V. Voevodsky. Open problems in the motivic stable homotopy theory. I. In *Motives, polylogarithms and Hodge theory, Part I (Irvine, CA, 1998)*, volume 3 of *Int. Press Lect. Ser.*, pages 3–34. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2002.
- [Voe02b] V. Voevodsky. A possible new approach to the motivic spectral sequence for algebraic K -theory. In *Recent progress in homotopy theory (Baltimore, MD, 2000)*, volume 293 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 371–379. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [Voe03] V. Voevodsky. Reduced power operations in motivic cohomology. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (98):1–57, 2003.
- [Voe11] V. Voevodsky. On motivic cohomology with \mathbf{Z}/l -coefficients. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 174(1):401–438, 2011.
- [Zah72] R. Zahler. The Adams-Novikov spectral sequence for the spheres. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 96:480–504, 1972.

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Osnabrück, Germany.
e-mail: oliver.roendigs@uni-osnabrueck.de

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Osnabrück, Germany.
e-mail: markus.spitzweck@uni-osnabrueck.de

Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, Norway.
e-mail: paularne@math.uio.no