

# ON ENDOMORPHISM OF ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES

NILKANTHA DAS

ABSTRACT. We prove that a quasi-finite endomorphism of an algebraic variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, that is injective on the complement of a closed subvariety, is an automorphism. We also prove that an endomorphism of complex algebraic variety that is injective on the complement of a closed subvariety of codimension at least 2, is an automorphism.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Reduction to normal case	2
3. Proof of the Theorems	2
References	6

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Under what conditions an endomorphism of an algebraic variety over  $k$  will be an automorphism is an active area of research. For example, an étale endomorphism of an affine space is an automorphism is an open question which is known as so-called the *Jacobian conjecture*. The following remarkable result along this line is due to Ax [Ax69]:

**Theorem (Ax).** *Let  $X$  be an algebraic variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and  $\phi : X \rightarrow X$  be an endomorphism of  $X$ . The endomorphism  $\phi$  is injective if and only if it is an automorphism.*

This theorem has been proved later in several different approaches, for example [Bor69], [Iit77]. In 2005, M. Miyanishi [Miy05] proposed the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow X$  be an endomorphism of an algebraic variety  $X$  over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and, let  $Y$  be a proper closed subvariety of  $X$  such that the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $X \setminus Y$  is injective. Suppose furthermore that either  $\phi$  is quasi-finite or  $Y$  has codimension at least 2 in  $X$ . Then  $\phi$  is an automorphism.*

This conjecture can be thought as a generalization of the theorem of Ax. We refer to this conjecture as Miyanishi conjecture. In the case of  $\text{codim}_X Y \geq 2$ , if  $X$  is either affine or complete, an affirmative answer to this conjecture is known by Kaliman [Kal05] (‘ $\text{codim}_X Y$ ’ means the codimension of  $Y$  in  $X$ ). Also, an example is given there to assert the fact that the conjecture in the case of  $\text{codim}_X Y \geq 2$ , can’t be extended to schemes unlike the theorem of Ax. In this article, we will show that the conjecture is true in general. More precisely, we prove the following theorems:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow X$  be an endomorphism of an algebraic variety  $X$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic zero and, let  $Y$  be a proper closed subvariety of  $X$  such that the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $X \setminus Y$  is injective. If  $\phi$  is quasi-finite, then it is an automorphism.*

In the case of  $\text{codim}_X Y \geq 2$ , we consider the case when the field is  $\mathbb{C}$ , the field of complex numbers.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow X$  be an endomorphism of a complex algebraic variety  $X$  and, let  $Y$  be a proper closed subvariety of  $X$  such that the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $X \setminus Y$  is injective. If  $Y$  has codimension at least 2 in  $X$ , then  $\phi$  is an automorphism.*

In Theorem 3 we restrict ourselves to the case when the underlying field is  $\mathbb{C}$ . The main reason is that we use the tools from theory of analytic spaces to prove it.

**Notation:** The field  $k$  is always assumed to be algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, unless otherwise specified. By algebraic variety over  $k$ , we mean an integral separated scheme of finite type over  $k$  which we denote by  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . In the case of  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , we need to consider the corresponding analytic space to  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  which we denote by  $(X^{\text{an}}, \mathcal{H}_X)$ . The open sets in Zariski topology and analytic topology will be denoted by Z-open and open respectively in order to distinguish the topologies as well. For any subset  $A$  of an algebraic variety  $X$ , the closure of  $A$  in the analytic topology will be denoted by  $\overline{A}$ . For a sheaf of ring  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$ , we denote the associated ring over an open subset  $U$  of  $X$  by  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$ . Also, the stalk at each point  $x \in X$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{F}_x$ .

**Acknowledgement:** I would like to thank Chitrabhanu Chaudhuri for several fruitful discussions. Discussions with Shulim Kaliman over email were very productive. I would also like to thank Ritwik Mukherjee for several suggestions.

## 2. REDUCTION TO NORMAL CASE

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow X$  be an endomorphism of an algebraic variety  $X$  over  $k$  and, let  $Y$  be a proper closed subvariety of  $X$  such that the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $X \setminus Y$  is injective. Then  $\phi$  is birational.*

*Proof.* Note that the morphism  $\phi$  restricted to  $X \setminus Y$ ,

$$\tilde{\phi} : X \setminus Y \rightarrow X$$

is injective and  $X \setminus Y$  is Z-open in  $X$  together imply that  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a dominant morphism. Hence, the induced field extension  $k(X) \rightarrow k(X \setminus Y)$  between the function fields is algebraic. If  $[k(X) : k(X \setminus Y)] = n$ , then there exists a non-empty Z-open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that the inverse image of every point of  $U$  is made up of exactly  $n$  points of  $X \setminus Y$ . Since  $\tilde{\phi}$  is injective, this shows that  $n = 1$ . Hence, we conclude that  $\tilde{\phi}$  is birational. This shows that  $\phi : X \rightarrow X$  is also birational.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *Conjecture 1 is true provided it is true for normal algebraic varieties.*

*Proof.* Assume  $\nu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a normalization of  $X$ . The endomorphism  $\phi$  as in Conjecture 1 induces an endomorphism  $\rho : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ . By Lemma 4,  $\phi$  is birational, so is  $\rho$ . In any case,  $\rho$  restricted to  $\tilde{X} \setminus \nu^{-1}(Y)$  is quasi-finite. Hence, by the Zariski Main Theorem [Mum99, Section 9, chapter III],  $\rho$  restricted to  $\tilde{X} \setminus \nu^{-1}(Y)$  is injective, and hence  $\rho : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  is an automorphism by the hypothesis. We get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\rho} & \tilde{X} \\ \nu \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\ X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X \end{array}$$

The rest of the proof is essentially due to Kaliman [Kal05, Proof of Lemma 2]. We prove it for the sake of completeness. Let  $n(x)$  be the number of points of the fibre  $\nu^{-1}(x)$  for  $x \in X$ ,  $k = \max_{x \in X} n(x)$ , and  $X_k$  be the subvariety of  $X$  consists of all points  $x \in X$  such that  $n(x) = k$ . As  $\rho(\nu^{-1}(x)) \subseteq \nu^{-1}(\phi(x))$  for all  $x \in X$ , and  $\rho$  is bijective,  $n(\phi(x)) \geq n(x)$ . Hence  $\phi(x) \in X_k$  for each  $x \in X_k$ . Hence,  $\phi$  restricted to  $X_k$  is an endomorphism. By the same reasoning, we conclude that  $\phi : X_k \rightarrow X_k$  is injective, and hence surjective by the theorem of Ax. On the other hand,  $\phi : X \setminus X_k \rightarrow X \setminus X_k$  is an endomorphism and we replace  $X$  by  $X \setminus X_k$  in the above argument to conclude that  $\phi(X_{k-1}) \subseteq X_{k-1}$  and the restriction map  $\phi : X_{k-1} \rightarrow X_{k-1}$  is injective. Inductively we conclude that  $\phi : X \rightarrow X$  is injective, and hence an automorphism by the theorem of Ax.  $\square$

## 3. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

Without loss of generality, we assume  $X$  is normal from now onwards. Theorem 2 is now easy to prove. *Proof of Theorem 2 :* Note that  $\phi$  is birational, quasi-finite and  $X$  is normal; by the Zariski Main Theorem,  $\phi$  is injective, and hence an automorphism by the theorem of Ax.  $\square$

**Remark.** Theorem 2 can be extended from varieties to those schemes for which the theorem of Ax hold.

From now onwards, we focus on the case where  $\text{codim}_X Y \geq 2$  and  $k = \mathbb{C}$ . The following lemma, due to Kaliman, will be useful for our purpose.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $\phi$  be an endomorphism of an algebraic variety  $X$  over  $k$ . Also assume that  $Y$  be a closed subvariety of  $X$  of codimension at least 2 such that the restriction map  $\phi : X \setminus Y \rightarrow X$  is injective. Let  $Z = X \setminus \phi(X \setminus Y)$ . Then  $Z$  is a closed subvariety of  $X$  and  $\dim Y = \dim Z$ .*

*Proof.* [Kal05, Lemma 3]. □

Note that the map  $\phi : X \setminus Y \rightarrow X$  is a injective birational map and hence, by the Zariski Main Theorem, it is an embedding. Therefore  $\phi(X \setminus Y)$  is  $Z$ -open in  $X$  and  $Z$  is  $Z$ -closed. Hence, we get that the morphism

$$\phi : X \rightarrow X \tag{3.1}$$

with the property that the restriction morphism  $\phi : X \setminus Y \rightarrow X \setminus Z$  is an isomorphism. Let us denote the analytic spaces  $X^{\text{an}} \setminus Y^{\text{an}}$  and  $X^{\text{an}} \setminus Z^{\text{an}}$  by  $(U, \mathcal{H}_U)$  and  $(V, \mathcal{H}_V)$  respectively. Also, denote the inclusion of  $U \hookrightarrow X^{\text{an}}$  and  $V \hookrightarrow X^{\text{an}}$  by  $i_U$  and  $i_V$  respectively. Hence, we will get the following diagram of morphism of locally ringed spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (U, \mathcal{H}_U) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & (V, \mathcal{H}_V) \\ i_U \downarrow & & \downarrow i_V \\ (X^{\text{an}}, \mathcal{H}_X) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & (X^{\text{an}}, \mathcal{H}_X) \end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

On the level of structures, we will get the following commutative diagram of morphism of sheaf of rings over  $X^{\text{an}}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}_X & \longrightarrow & \phi_* \mathcal{H}_X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ i_{V*} \mathcal{H}_V & \longrightarrow & i_{V*} \phi_* \mathcal{H}_U \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

Observe that, both  $U$  and  $V$  are open subsets of  $X^{\text{an}}$  with the property that  $X^{\text{an}} \setminus U$  and  $X^{\text{an}} \setminus V$  both are closed subvarieties of  $X^{\text{an}}$  of codimension at least 2. The variety  $X$  being normal in Zariski topology is irreducible. Therefore, both  $U$  and  $V$  are open and dense in Zariski topology of  $X$ , so they are dense in the analytic topology of  $X$  as well [Ser56, Proposition 5]. Therefore, both  $U$  and  $V$  always have non-empty intersection individually with any non-empty open subset of  $X^{\text{an}}$ . Again,  $X^{\text{an}}$  is normal follows from normality of the algebraic variety  $X$ . Let  $W$  be a non-empty open subset of  $X^{\text{an}}$ ; then  $W$  is normal as well. Also, both  $W \cap U \neq \emptyset$  and  $W \cap V \neq \emptyset$ ; both  $W \cap Y^{\text{an}}$  and  $W \cap Z^{\text{an}}$  are closed analytic subset of  $W$  of codimension at least 2. This is because analytically open subsets of an algebraic variety  $X$  is analytic of dimension  $\dim X$ ; in particular,  $\dim W \cap Y^{\text{an}} = \dim Y^{\text{an}}$ ,  $\dim W \cap Z^{\text{an}} = \dim Z^{\text{an}}$  and  $\dim W = \dim X^{\text{an}}$ . According to Riemann's 2nd removable singularity theorem [Nar66, Proposition 4, Chapter VI], both the natural ring maps  $\Gamma(W, \mathcal{H}_X) \rightarrow \Gamma(W \cap U, \mathcal{H}_X)$  and  $\Gamma(W, \mathcal{H}_X) \rightarrow \Gamma(W \cap V, \mathcal{H}_X)$  are isomorphism. Hence, we get both the natural morphisms of sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{H}_X \rightarrow i_{U*} \mathcal{H}_U$  and  $\mathcal{H}_X \rightarrow i_{V*} \mathcal{H}_V$  over  $X^{\text{an}}$  are isomorphism. On the other hand, the isomorphism  $\phi : (U, \mathcal{H}_U) \rightarrow (V, \mathcal{H}_V)$  produces the natural morphism of sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{H}_V \rightarrow \phi_* \mathcal{H}_U$  over  $X^{\text{an}}$  is an isomorphism. Therefore, we get all the arrow in the diagram (3.3) except the horizontal one on the top are isomorphism. Hence the morphism of sheaf of rings over  $X^{\text{an}}$

$$\mathcal{H}_X \longrightarrow \phi_* \mathcal{H}_X \tag{3.4}$$

is an isomorphism.

The next proposition plays a central role to prove Theorem 3.

**Proposition 7.** *Consider  $X, \phi$  as in eq. (3.1). Then  $\phi(A \cap U) = \phi(A) \cap V$  for any open set  $A$  in  $X^{\text{an}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be an open subset of  $X^{\text{an}}$ . Also assume  $p \in A$ ; we will first show that  $\phi(p) \in \overline{\phi(A \cap U)}$ . If this is not the case, then there exist open set  $B$  of  $X^{\text{an}}$  such that  $\phi(p) \in B$  and  $B \cap \phi(A \cap U) = \emptyset$ . But  $p \in \phi^{-1}(B) \cap A$ , therefore  $\phi^{-1}(B) \cap (A \cap U) \neq \emptyset$  by the density property of  $U$ , i.e.  $\phi^{-1}(B) \cap \phi^{-1} \phi(A \cap U) \neq \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore we conclude that  $\phi(p) \in \overline{\phi(A \cap U)}$  for every open set  $A$  of  $X^{\text{an}}$  containing

$p$ .

Next, we will show that  $\phi(A) \cap V \subseteq \phi(A \cap U)$ . If  $p \in A$  with  $\phi(p) \in \phi(A) \cap V$ , choose an open set  $B$  of  $X^{\text{an}}$  containing  $p$  such that  $\overline{B} \subseteq A$ . This can be possible because  $X^{\text{an}}$  is Hausdorff and locally compact space. Hence,  $\overline{B \cap U} \cap U \subseteq A \cap U$ . As  $\phi$  restricted to  $U$  is a homeomorphism,  $\overline{\phi(B \cap U)} \cap V \subseteq \phi(A \cap U)$ . Since  $\phi(p) \in \overline{\phi(B \cap U)}$  according to the discussion above and we assumed  $\phi(p) \in V$ , so  $\phi(p) \in \phi(A \cap U)$ . This proves the fact  $\phi(A) \cap V \subseteq \phi(A \cap U)$ . Again,  $\phi(A \cap U) \subseteq \phi(A) \cap V$  is obvious. Therefore  $\phi(A \cap U) = \phi(A) \cap V$ .  $\square$

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Proposition 7.

**Corollary 8.** *Consider  $X, \phi$  as in eq. (3.1). Then  $\phi^{-1}(V) = U$  where both  $U$  and  $V$  are defined as above.*

*Proof.* We will first show that for each point  $p$  of  $\phi^{-1}(V)$ , the analytic stalk map

$$\phi_p^{\text{an}} : \mathcal{H}_{X, \phi(p)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{X, p}$$

is surjective. Indeed, if  $A$  is an open subset of  $X^{\text{an}}$ , then it follows from the isomorphism  $\mathcal{H}_X \simeq i_{U*} \mathcal{H}_U$  that  $\Gamma(A, \mathcal{H}_X) \simeq \Gamma(A \cap U, \mathcal{H}_X)$  and from  $\phi^{-1} \mathcal{H}_V \simeq \mathcal{H}_U$  that  $\Gamma(A \cap U, \mathcal{H}_X) \simeq \Gamma(\phi(A \cap U), \mathcal{H}_X) \simeq \Gamma(\phi(A) \cap V, \mathcal{H}_X)$  by Proposition 7. Observe that  $\phi(A) \cap V$  is an open subset of  $X^{\text{an}}$  containing the  $\phi(p)$ . The surjectivity of  $\phi_p^{\text{an}}$  follows.

Now apply [Nar66, Proposition 1, Chapter IV] to conclude that  $p$  is an isolated point of  $\phi^{-1}(\phi(p))$ . Therefore for each point  $q \in V$ , the fiber  $\phi^{-1}(q)$  is a discrete set. Now considering  $\phi^{-1}(q)$  as an algebraic fibre, we conclude that it is finite. Therefore the restriction morphism  $\phi : \phi^{-1}(V) \longrightarrow V$  is quasi-finite.

Note that we can assume  $V$  as an algebraic variety over  $\mathbb{C}$  and hence,  $\phi$  restricted to  $\phi^{-1}(V)$  as an algebraic morphism. Also note that the restriction morphism is birational. By the Zariski Main Theorem,  $\phi : \phi^{-1}(V) \longrightarrow V$  is injective. Again  $\phi : U \longrightarrow V$  is injective and  $U \subseteq \phi^{-1}(V)$ . It follows that  $\phi^{-1}(V) = U$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $X$  be an algebraic variety and  $Y$  be a closed subvariety of  $X$ . If  $A$  be a non-empty open subset of  $X^{\text{an}} \setminus Y^{\text{an}}$ , then  $\overline{A}$ , the closure of  $A$  in the analytic topology of  $X^{\text{an}}$ , is analytic of pure dimension  $\dim X$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $X^{\text{an}} \setminus Y^{\text{an}}$  is open in  $X^{\text{an}}$ ; so  $A$  is open in  $X^{\text{an}}$  as well. Therefore  $A$  is an analytic subset of  $X^{\text{an}}$ . By [Nar66, Proposition 4', Chapter IV],  $\overline{A}$  is analytic.

Let  $B$  denote the set of all regular points of the algebraic variety  $X$ . Then  $B$  is  $Z$ -open and  $Z$ -dense in  $X$ , and hence, by [Ser56, Proposition 5],  $B$  is dense in  $X^{\text{an}}$  as well. Therefore  $A \cap B$  is a non-empty dense open subset of  $A$ . Hence  $\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A}$ . Because of the density of  $A \cap B$  in  $A$ , all the irreducible component of  $\overline{A}$  must intersect with  $A \cap B$ . So,  $\overline{A}$  is of pure dimension  $\dim A \cap B$ . It is easy to check that  $\dim A \cap B = \dim X$ .  $\square$

The next proposition plays a central role to prove Theorem 3.

**Proposition 10.** *Consider  $X, \phi$  as in eq. (3.1). Then for each point  $p \in X$ , the corresponding morphism of analytic stalk*

$$\phi_p^{\text{an}} : \mathcal{H}_{X, \phi(p)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{X, p}$$

*is an integral extension.*

*Proof.* We will first show that  $\phi_p^{\text{an}}$  is injective. Let  $A$  be an open subset of  $X^{\text{an}}$  containing  $\phi(p)$  and  $f$  be holomorphic function which defines a germ at  $\phi(p)$  such that  $f \circ \phi \equiv 0$  for some open set  $B \subseteq \phi^{-1}(A)$  containing  $p$ . Therefore  $f \circ \phi \equiv 0$  on  $B \cap U$ . As  $\phi : U \longrightarrow V$  is a homeomorphism, using Proposition 7, we conclude that  $f \equiv 0$  on  $\phi(B) \cap V$ . Since  $\phi(B) \cap V$  is dense in  $\phi(B)$ , i.e.  $\overline{\phi(B) \cap V} = \overline{\phi(B)}$ , apply Lemma 9 to conclude that  $f \equiv 0$  on the analytic space  $\overline{\phi(B)} \cap A$ . Therefore by [Nar66, Definition 4, Chapter III], we conclude that there exist an open subset  $D$  of  $X^{\text{an}}$  containing  $\overline{\phi(B)} \cap A$  such that  $f \equiv 0$  on  $D$ . Since  $p \in D$ ,  $\phi_p^{\text{an}}$  is injective.

Let  $C$  be an open subset of  $X^{\text{an}}$  containing  $p$  and  $f \in \Gamma(C, \mathcal{H}_X)$  which defines a germ of holomorphic function at  $p$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $C$  is irreducible open analytic subset of  $X^{\text{an}}$  as  $X^{\text{an}}$  being normal, is locally irreducible. Now,  $f|_U$ , the restriction of  $f$  to  $U$ , is an element of  $\Gamma(C \cap U, \mathcal{H}_X)$ . From the isomorphism  $\phi^{-1} \mathcal{H}_V \simeq \mathcal{H}_U$ , it follows that  $f|_U$  induces an unique holomorphic map  $g \in \Gamma(\phi(C \cap U), \mathcal{H}_X)$ , i.e.  $g$  is a holomorphic function defined on  $\phi(C) \cap V$  by Proposition 7.

Now we will show that  $g$  can be extended to a weakly holomorphic function on  $\overline{\phi(C)}$ . Note that  $\overline{\phi(C)}$  is analytic subset of  $X^{\text{an}}$  of pure dimension  $\dim X$  by Lemma 9. Also note that the analytic space  $Z^{\text{an}}$  was

defined to be the complement of  $V$  in  $X^{\text{an}}$  and its codimension is at least 2. Therefore  $Z^{\text{an}} \cap \overline{\phi(C)}$  has codimension at least 2 in  $\overline{\phi(C)}$ . According to [Nar66, Proposition 12, Chapter III],  $g$  can be extended to a unique holomorphic function  $h$  on  $\overline{\phi(C)}_{\text{reg}}$ , the set of all regular points of the analytic space  $\overline{\phi(C)}$ . We may assume  $h$  is holomorphic on  $(\phi(C) \cap V) \cup \overline{\phi(C)}_{\text{reg}}$ . The analytic space of all singular points of  $\overline{\phi(C)}$  which lies in  $Z^{\text{an}} \cap \overline{\phi(C)}$  is of codimension at least 2. According to [Dem12, Proposition 6.1, Chapter 2],  $h$  is a weakly holomorphic function on  $\overline{\phi(C)}$ .

We denote the germ of weakly holomorphic functions at  $\phi(p) \in \overline{\phi(C)}$  by  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\overline{\phi(C)}, \phi(p)}$  in this sequel. Then  $h$  as an element of  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\overline{\phi(C)}, \phi(p)}$ , is integral over  $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\phi(C)}, \phi(p)}$ , the germ of holomorphic functions at  $\phi(p) \in \overline{\phi(C)}$ . On the other hand, note that the morphism  $\mathcal{H}_{X, \phi(p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\phi(C)}, \phi(p)}$  is surjective, and hence,  $h$  satisfies a monic polynomial  $\Phi[z]$  with the coefficients in  $\mathcal{H}_{X, \phi(p)}$ . Therefore, there exist an open subset  $D$  of  $X^{\text{an}}$  such that  $\Phi(h) \equiv 0$  on  $D$ . Also note that  $D \cap (\phi(C) \cap V) \neq \emptyset$  as  $\phi(C) \cap V$  is dense in  $\overline{\phi(C)}$ .

On the other hand, note that  $h \circ \phi = f$  on  $C \cap U$ . Hence,  $\Phi(f) \equiv 0$  on some non-empty open subset of  $C$ , namely  $\phi^{-1}(D) \cap (C \cap U)$ . Note that the set  $\phi^{-1}(D) \cap (C \cap U)$  is non-empty because  $\phi$  restricted to  $U$  is bijective to  $V$  and  $D \cap (\phi(C) \cap V) \neq \emptyset$ . Since we assumed  $C$  to be an irreducible analytic space, by [Dem12, Theorem 6.2, Chapter 2], we conclude that  $\Phi(f)$  is identically zero on  $C$ . Hence, we can say that the germ defined by the holomorphic function  $f$  at  $p$  satisfies a monic polynomial with the coefficients in  $\mathcal{H}_{X, \phi(p)}$  via  $\phi_p^{\text{an}}$ . Therefore it is integral over  $\mathcal{H}_{X, \phi(p)}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following corollary plays a crucial role to prove Theorem 3.

**Corollary 11.** *Let  $\phi$  be an endomorphism of a complex algebraic variety  $X$  and, let  $Y$  be a proper closed subvariety of  $X$  such that the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $X \setminus Y$  is injective. If  $\text{codim}_Y X \geq 2$ , then for each point  $p \in X$ , the corresponding morphism of algebraic stalk*

$$\phi_p : \mathcal{O}_{X, \phi(p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, p}$$

is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Consider the following commutative diagram of stalks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{X, \phi(p)} & \xrightarrow{\phi_p} & \mathcal{O}_{X, p} \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\ \mathcal{H}_{X, \phi(p)} & \xrightarrow{\phi_p^{\text{an}}} & \mathcal{H}_{X, p} \end{array} \quad (3.5)$$

where all but  $\phi_p$  are injective. The arguments of injectivity of  $\phi_p^{\text{an}}$  is given in the proof of Proposition 10. So,  $\phi_p$  is injective. Throughout this proof, we will use the notations introduced in the diagram above for the maps respectively. We need to prove  $\phi_p$  is surjective. Note that all the rings in the diagram are normal domain. Also  $X$  is normal and  $\phi$  is birational; the field of fractions of  $\mathcal{O}_{X, p}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{X, \phi(p)}$  are the function field  $k(X)$  of  $X$  and the natural induced map between the field of fractions is an isomorphism. If we denote the field of fractions of  $\mathcal{H}_{X, p}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{X, \phi(p)}$  by  $\mathcal{M}_p$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\phi(p)}$  respectively, then the field of fraction of each ring will produce the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k(X) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\tilde{\phi}_p} & k(X) \\ \tilde{\alpha} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\beta} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\phi(p)} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}_p^{\text{an}}} & \mathcal{M}_p \end{array}$$

Now let  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X, p}$ . We can assume  $f \in k(X)$ , the field of fraction of  $\mathcal{O}_{X, p}$ ; there exist an unique element  $g$  of  $k(X)$ , the field of fraction of  $\mathcal{O}_{X, \phi(p)}$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}_p(g) = f$ . We will map abuse of notation by denoting  $\tilde{\alpha}(g)$  by  $g$ . Note that  $\tilde{\phi}_p^{\text{an}}(g) = \beta(f)$ , where  $\beta(f)$  is thought as an element of  $\mathcal{M}_p$ . Since  $\beta(f)$  is integral over  $\mathcal{H}_{X, \phi(p)}$  by Proposition 10,  $g$  is integral over  $\mathcal{H}_{X, \phi(p)}$  as well, and hence,  $g \in \mathcal{H}_{X, \phi(p)}$ . Finally, we get  $g \in k(X) \cap \mathcal{H}_{X, \phi(p)} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\phi(p)}$ . If we write  $g = \frac{a}{b}$  for some  $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_{X, \phi(p)}$ , then  $a = b \cdot g$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{X, \phi(p)}$ ; and hence,  $a = b \cdot g$  in the completion ring of  $\mathcal{H}_{X, \phi(p)}$ . As the completions of both  $\mathcal{H}_{X, \phi(p)}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{X, \phi(p)}$  with respect to

their maximal ideals respectively, are isomorphic [Ser56],  $b|a$ <sup>1</sup> in the completion ring of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,\phi(p)}$ . Now by [Mum76, Lemma 1.29, Chapter 1],  $b|a$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{X,\phi(p)}$ . Hence  $g \in \mathcal{O}_{X,\phi(p)}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Now it is easy to prove Theorem 3.

*Proof of Theorem 3* : It is immediate from Corollary 11 that  $\phi : X \rightarrow X$  is flat. According to Corollary 8, all points of  $V$  has zero-dimensional fibre,  $\dim \phi^{-1}(y) = 0$  for every  $y \in X$  as the fibers are of constant dimension under flat morphism. Therefore,  $\phi$  is quasi-finite. Since  $X$  is normal and  $\phi$  is birational, by the Zariski Main Theorem,  $\phi$  is injective and hence, an automorphism by the theorem of Ax.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [Ax69] James Ax. Injective endomorphisms of varieties and schemes. *Pacific J. Math.*, 31:1–7, 1969.
- [Bor69] A. Borel. Injective endomorphisms of algebraic varieties. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 20:531–537, 1969.
- [Dem12] Jean-Pierre Demailly. *Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry*. 2012. Université de Grenoble I, Institut Fourier. Available at <https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/manuscripts/agbook.pdf>.
- [Iit77] S. Iitaka. On logarithmic Kodaira dimension of algebraic varieties. In *Complex analysis and algebraic geometry*, pages 175–189. 1977.
- [Kal05] Shulim Kaliman. On a theorem of Ax. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 133(4):975–977, 2005.
- [Miy05] M. Miyanishi. Open problems. In *Affine algebraic geometry*, volume 369 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 10–12. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [Mum76] David Mumford. *Algebraic geometry. I*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976. Complex projective varieties, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, No. 221.
- [Mum99] David Mumford. *The red book of varieties and schemes*, volume 1358 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, expanded edition, 1999. Includes the Michigan lectures (1974) on curves and their Jacobians, With contributions by Enrico Arbarello.
- [Nar66] Raghavan Narasimhan. *Introduction to the theory of analytic spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 25. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1966.
- [Ser56] Jean-Pierre Serre. Géométrie algébrique et géométrie analytique. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 6:1–42, 1955/56.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, BHUBANESWAR, HBNI, ODISHA 752050, INDIA

*Email address:* nilkantha.das@niser.ac.in

---

<sup>1</sup> $b|a$  means  $b$  divides  $a$