

# Domain wall dynamics in the spinor Bose-Einstein condensates

Hiroshi Kuratsuji\*

Office of professor emeritus, Ritsumeikan University-BKC, Kusatsu City, Shiga, 525-8577, Japan

(Dated: February 5, 2022)

A dynamical theory is presented for the domain wall in the spinor Bose-Einstein condensate of "effective" one-dimension. The formulation is based on the time-dependent Landau-Ginzburg (LG) theory written in terms of the spinor order parameter. The procedure is carried out in such a way that an adiabatic change of the collective coordinates; the kink position as well as the phase function built in the spinor, is adapted to the canonical term (alias geometric phase) in the LG Lagrangian. Two problems are discussed: The first one is to explore the translational motion of the kink center in the presence of the pinning potential, which results in the quantum potential problem. The other one concerns the *steering* of the domain wall by modulating the externally driven magnetic field assisted with dissipation. The present attempt would shed light on the spinor Bose-Einstein condensates from a novel viewpoint.

PACS numbers:

## I. INTRODUCTION

One of the major topics in condensed matter physics is to study the defects in quantum condensates. Among others, the vortex and the domain wall are typical objects [1, 2]. The domain wall (abbreviated as DW), which was pioneered by Bloch [3], is known to occur in wide class of materials showing up ferromagnetic structure[4]. The topics is still in the limelight from various points of view (see e.g. [5–9]). Furthermore to be mentioned is that it covers even beyond original magnetic material, for example, the occurrence of DW in the superfluid He3A [10] and the nematic liquid crystal [11]. Apart from the ferromagnetic and similar materials, the DW is also expected to occur in the Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) exhibiting the spin structure. Indeed the DW has been recently investigated for the BEC of two components [12]. The domain wall was also studied in the spinor BEC in optical lattice model[13] which was investigated in the general context of the magnetic solitons [14, 15].

In this letter we put forward a theory for the dynamics of DW in the spinor BEC, which is characterized by the spin texture[16, 17]. Specifically, by reducing the problem to the one-dimensional system, we discuss the following two topics: The first topics is to address the dynamics of the DW without external driven force, namely, spontaneous translational motion of the DW leading to the problem as if a particle dynamics. The other is to explore the motion of the center of DW in the presence of the time-modulated external field as well as the dissipative effect; which is described under the concept of *steering* (or control).

The starting point is the Landau-Ginzburg (LG) type Lagrangian which is designed in terms of the spinor order parameter [18, 19]. The crux lies in extracting the motion of the collective coordinates that are incorporated in the kink profile, namely, the center of the kink as well as the phase function built in the spinor order parameter. The procedure is carried out such that the adiabatic change of these collective degrees is adapted to the canonical term [20] in the LG Lagrangian, which is a variant of the geometric phase. Here we note that the essentially different ways are required to manipulate the canonical term for the two topics, as is seen from the discussion below.

## II. PRELIMINARY

We begin with introducing the order parameter for the spinor BEC. Although it is possible to consider the condensate for the general spin, we shall here take up the simplest case, namely, a two-component wave parametrized by angular variables  $(\theta, \phi)$  (see e.g. [21])

$$\Psi = F \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \exp[-i\phi] \end{pmatrix} \equiv F\psi, \quad (1)$$

where  $F$  represents the magnitude of the condensates.

---

\*Electronic address: kra@se.ritsumei.ac.jp

The Lagrangian (density) that governs the order parameter introduced above is given as [22, 23]

$$L = \frac{i\hbar}{2}(\Psi^\dagger \dot{\Psi} - \text{c.c.}) - H(\Psi^\dagger, \Psi) (\equiv L_C - H) \quad (2)$$

(dot means  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ ).  $L_C$  is called the canonical term hereafter. As for the Hamiltonian, it is most natural to choose the simplest form, which may be given by the sum of the kinetic energy and potential energy:

$$H = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla \Psi^\dagger \nabla \Psi + V(\Psi^\dagger, \Psi) \quad (3)$$

with  $m$  being the mass of the constituent atom composing the spinor BEC. In what follows we consider the case that the magnitude of the condensate is fixed to be  $F = F_0$ . This means that the condensation is describe by the Landau type potential:  $V(F) \sim (F^2 - F_0^2)^2$ . Hence the angular field  $(\theta, \phi)$  is allowed to be the dynamical degree of freedom.

In terms of the angular variable, the Lagrangian is written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} L_C &= \frac{\hbar}{2} F_0^2 (1 - \cos \theta) \dot{\phi}, \\ H &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} F_0^2 \{ (\nabla \theta)^2 + \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 \} + V. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The equation of motion for the order parameter for the spinor BEC is derived by applying the variational principle;  $\delta \int L dx dt = 0$ :

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \phi}, \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \theta}.$$

Here for later use, the spin variable is introduced:

$$\begin{aligned} S_x (= \Psi^\dagger \sigma_x \Psi) &= \sin \theta \cos \phi, & S_y (= \Psi^\dagger \sigma_y \Psi) &= \sin \theta \sin \phi, \\ S_z (= \Psi^\dagger \sigma_z \Psi) &= \cos \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

### III. THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE KINK SOLUTION

In what follows the argument is restricted to the one-dimensional system in which the spin vector depends only on the coordinate along  $x$ -direction. The procedure is the same as the model that was discussed in the previous paper [18], namely, the transverse coordinate  $(y, z)$  is integrated over to reduce to the one dimensional system. This procedure is similar to the model that was studied for the current through a narrow channel [24].

As for the potential term  $V$ , it is assumed to consist of the self interaction among the order parameter and the other terms coming from the pinning and externally controlled field, namely

$$V = gF_0^4 (\Psi^\dagger \sigma_z \Psi)^2 + V_{extra} \quad (6)$$

and the Hamiltonian can be written in the form  $H = H_0 + V_{extra}$ :

$$H_0 = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} F_0^2 \left\{ \left( \frac{d\theta}{dx} \right)^2 + \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \left( \frac{d\phi}{dx} \right)^2 \right\} + gF_0^4 \cos^2 \theta. \quad (7)$$

The last term comes from the interaction between the spin of the condensate which is a modified form used in the paper [16], for which the coupling constant  $g$  is chosen to be positive. The second term in the kinetic energy, which is expressed as the gradient of the phase  $\phi$  means the fluid kinetic energy, which plays a key role in determining the kinetic energy of the DW as will be shown below. Now as the first step we construct the specific solution in the static limit; namely, we look for a solution that satisfies the variational equation for  $H_0$ , leading to the coupled equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \theta}{dx^2} - \sin \theta \left( \frac{d\phi}{dx} \right)^2 - \frac{mgF_0^2}{\hbar^2} \sin^2 \theta &= 0, \\ \frac{d}{dx} \left( \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{d\phi}{dx} \right) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

From the second equation one has the "constant of motion"  $\sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{d\phi}{dx} = \text{constant}$ , which can be set to be zero. Hence we have an integral of motion:

$$\left(\frac{d\theta}{dx}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \sin^2 \theta \quad (9)$$

leading to

$$\cos \theta (\equiv S_z) = \tanh\left[\frac{x}{\lambda}\right], \quad \sin \theta = \frac{1}{\cosh \frac{x}{\lambda}}, \quad \phi = \text{constant} \quad (10)$$

with  $1/\lambda^2 = \frac{2mgF_0^2}{\hbar^2}$  (the inverse of the width of the DW). Thus one sees that the spin behaves such that  $S_z(x)$  changes from  $-1$  for  $x \rightarrow -\infty$  to  $+1$  for  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , which shows the width by  $\lambda$  near  $x = 0$  that corresponds to the coherence length. This way, Eq.(10) just represents the kink solution (Fig1). This is the starting point for the dynamical problem that will follow.




FIG. 1: (Color Online). Profile of the kink. The case  $\phi = 0$  is adopted.

#### IV. MOTION OF THE DOMAIN WALL

Now we address the first topic; the behavior of the domain wall under the interaction with a pinning immersed in the condensate. Concretely speaking, we are concerned with the translational motion for the kink center.

To carry out this, we adopt the "adiabatic procedure" to extract the kinetic energy for the kink center. That is, the motion of the kink is incorporated by shifting  $x \rightarrow x - R(t)$  with  $R(t)$  denoting the coordinate of the center of the kink, hence the kink profile is expressed as the form  $\cos \theta(x - R(t))$ . Namely, the profile of the DW keeps the same form as in the static case, which serves as a basis for the adiabatic approximation. One more point is the choice of the phase function  $\phi$ : The immediate form is the form  $\phi(x - R(t))$  that is similar to the DW profile  $\theta(x)$ , which we adopt in what follows. Here note that the evolution of  $\phi(x - R(t))$  has no connection with that of  $\theta(x - R(t))$ , which is a simple consequence that the field variable  $\phi(x)$  and  $\theta(x)$  are independent of each other. This parametrization is the same as the one used in the vortex [20, 23]. However, as is seen below, the procedure is quite different from the vortex case, because the case of vortex deals with two-dimensional defect.

Noting the parametrized form of the profile of the kink, it follows that

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = -\dot{R} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \quad (11)$$

hence the canonical term is written as

$$L_C = -\frac{\hbar F_0^2}{2} \int (1 - \cos \theta) \frac{d\phi}{dx} \dot{R} \equiv P \dot{R}. \quad (12)$$

From this expression,  $P$  appears to be the momentum conjugate to  $R$ , as will be verified below.

We now have the problem of how to control the derivative  $\frac{d\phi}{dx}$ ; to carry out this, we write the fluid kinetic energy, which is denoted as  $K_\phi$ . Taking account of the feature that both  $P$  and  $K_\phi$  are written in terms of the derivative  $\frac{d\phi}{dx}$ ,

we set the following Ansatz for this derivative:

$$\frac{d\phi}{dx} = C\rho(x). \quad (13)$$

The quantity  $C$  represents the unknown parameter together with the *form factor*  $\rho$  which may be chosen appropriately. Thus we have

$$P = -C\frac{\hbar F_0^2}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 - \cos\theta)\rho dx = -\frac{\hbar}{2}F_0^2 CI. \quad (14)$$

On the other hand, as for  $K_\phi$ , by noting the relation (14) it turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned} K_\phi &= \frac{\hbar^2 C^2}{2m} F_0^2 \int \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \left(\frac{d\phi}{dx}\right)^2 dx \\ &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} C^2 F_0^2 J, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

which is expressed in the form of usual kinetic energy:

$$K_\phi = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{J}{4mF_0^2 I^2} \right] P^2 \equiv \frac{P^2}{2M_{eff}}. \quad (16)$$

The two integral  $I, J$  are calculated by choosing the form factor  $\rho(x)$ : For example we choose  $\rho(x) = \{\cosh(x/\lambda)\}^{-1}$ , for which the effective mass is estimated as  $M_{eff} \propto m \times F_0^2 \lambda$ , which is the order of the atomic mass  $m$ .

Hence the effective Lagrangian for the DW is given as

$$L_{eff} = P\dot{R} - H_{eff} \equiv P\dot{R} - \left( \frac{P^2}{2M_{eff}} + V_{extra} \right), \quad (17)$$

which leads to the canonical equation of motion:

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \frac{P}{M_{eff}}, \quad \frac{dP}{dt} = -\frac{\partial V_{extra}}{\partial R}. \quad (18)$$

In this way, we have arrived at the one-dimensional potential problem.

### A. Pinning potential and its implication

Next we evaluate the pinning potential, for which it is plausible to adopt a magnetic origin; namely, let us suppose a model designed by the local magnetic field located at several positions  $x = l_i$ ; for example, which may be fabricated by the inverse-Faraday effect; the induced magnetic field caused by the circular polarized light [25]. Then the pinning potential can be written as the coupling with the magnetic spin possessed by the spinor BEC in the form:  $V_{pin} = \sum_i \mu_i \hat{\sigma}_z \delta(x - l_i)$ , where  $\mu_i$  is the magnetic moment multiplied by a local magnetic field and  $\hat{\sigma}$  denotes the Pauli spin.

We suppose that there are two magnetic origins at  $x = 0, l$ ; that is  $V_{pin} = \mu\{\hat{\sigma}_z \delta(x) - \hat{\sigma}_z \delta(x - l)\}$  which leads to the extra potential

$$\begin{aligned} V_{extra} &= \Psi^\dagger V_{pin} \Psi \\ &= \mu [\tanh \lambda(R) - \tanh \lambda(R - l)]. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

To be noted here is that the potential incorporates the two parameters  $(\mu, l)$ . Specifically we are concerned with the parameter  $\mu$ : This may regarded as the height of the potential (in what follows we consider the case  $\mu > 0$ ) which may be controlled externally. To examine the effect caused by the potential, we shall modify the potential profile  $\tanh$  by replacing a rectangular barrier. By this modification the essential physical content may not be lost and the following procedure may be valid if the parameter  $\lambda$  is regarded as small compared with  $l$ .

Turning to quantum mechanics, we have a problem of evaluating the transmission of the "particle" (the kink center) to the potential barrier: We borrow the well known result: Namely, let us suppose the "particle" coming from the left with the energy  $E$  which satisfies  $E > \mu$  (that means above the barrier), then the transmission rate becomes [21]

$$T = \frac{4E(E - \mu)}{E(E - \mu) + \mu^2 \sin^2 \left[ \sqrt{2M_{eff}(E - \mu)} \frac{l}{\hbar} \right]}.$$

From this expression we see that the transmission becomes maximum at  $\sin \left[ \sqrt{2M_{eff}(E - \mu) \frac{l}{\hbar}} \right] = 0$ , which is called the *resonance* transmission. For the fixed value for the incident energy  $E$ , the resonance position may be tuned by controlling the height parameter  $\mu$  which may be manipulated properly.

*A possible observable effect* : Apart from the quantum mechanical phenomenon mentioned above it is possible to consider the quasi-classical effect that is caused by a peculiar nature of the rectangular shape of the potential: Specifically we take up a single pinning leading to a potential step, which gives rise to an impulsive force to the pinning object. This effect may be utilized for a potential application: for example, a switching device with use of the macro fabrication.

## V. STEERING OF THE DW UNDER THE MODULATED MAGNETIC FIELD

We now turn to the second topic: the steering of the domain wall. The essential point is that we are interested in the effect of the time-dependent external field. Taking into account this feature, we need to adopt a completely different procedure for the canonical term from the one developed in the previous section. Keeping mind in translational motion of the DW, we propose the following form of the phase function  $\phi(x, t)$ :

$$\phi(x, t) = \eta(x - R(t))\Phi(t). \quad (20)$$

Here in contrast to the previous case,  $\Phi(t)$  is a time-dependent dynamical variable, whereas  $\eta$ , which incorporates the translational degree, is given as an Ansatz from outset in such a form that it is reflection symmetric function and with boundary condition  $\eta(\infty) = \eta(-\infty) = 0$  that has the peak at the origin. Furthermore  $\eta$  is a slowly varying function. A typical function is supposed to be  $\eta(x) \propto \exp[-\varepsilon x^2]$ . We note that the form (20) may be regarded a modified form used in the other class of problem, such as soliton quantization[26].

Now let us reduce the canonical term in the action function, which consists of two terms:  $S_C = S_C^1 + S_C^2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} S_C^1 &= \frac{\hbar F_0^2}{2} \left[ - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 - \cos \theta) \frac{d\eta}{dx} \dot{R} \Phi dx dt \right. \\ S_C^2 &= \left. \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 - \cos \theta) \eta \dot{\Phi} dx dt \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

By integrating  $S_C^1$  by part with respect to  $x$ , it follows that

$$-[(1 - \cos \theta)\eta]_{-\infty}^{\infty} + \int \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ 1 - \tanh\left(\frac{x - R}{\lambda}\right) \right\} \eta(x - R) dx,$$

where the first term vanishes owing to the boundary condition for  $\eta$ , and noting that the second term  $\frac{d \tanh x/\lambda}{dx} = \frac{1}{\cosh^2 \frac{x}{\lambda}}$  is sharply peaked at the origin, then  $S_C^1$  can be approximated as

$$S_C^1 \simeq -\frac{\hbar F_0^2}{2} \int \eta(0) \dot{R} \Phi dt. \quad (22)$$

As for the second term  $S_C^2$ , it turns out by using the partial integration for the time integration:

$$\begin{aligned} S_C^2 &\propto \int \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \{ (1 - \cos \theta) dx \} \right] \dot{R} \Phi dt \\ &= [(1 - \cos \theta)\eta]_{-\infty}^{\infty} \times \dot{R} \Phi dt, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

which vanishes owing to the boundary condition for  $\eta$ . Thus we finally obtain for the effective Lagrangian for the canonical term:

$$L_C^{eff} = \frac{\hbar F_0^2}{2} \eta(0) R \dot{\Phi}, \quad (24)$$

where use is made of  $-\dot{R}\Phi \equiv R\dot{\Phi}$  up to the total derivative. As the next problem, we need to evaluate the fluid kinetic energy  $K_\phi$  in the Hamiltonian  $H_0$ . However the argument about this will be put aside and will be discussed briefly later.

Now we treat the effective Hamiltonian. We suppose the coupling of the spin component inherent in the BEC with an external magnetic field, namely,

$$H_{eff} = \int \Psi^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{H}(t) \Psi dx. \quad (25)$$

Here the magnetic field is arranged to be the rotating field in  $(x, y)$  plane:  $\mathbf{H}(t) = (b(t) \sin \alpha(t), b(t) \cos \alpha(t), 0)$  where the amplitude  $b$  is allowed to be modulated in time. The integral of (25) is written as

$$H_{ext} = bF_0^2 \int \sin \theta \cos(\eta\Phi(t) - \alpha(t)) dx. \quad (26)$$

The integral may be carried out approximately; noting that  $\sin \theta = \text{sech}(x/\lambda)$  is a function peaked at  $x = 0$ , it may be regarded as if the delta function and hence the the above integral is approximated to give  $H_{ext} = bF_0^2 \cos(\eta(0)\Phi - \alpha(t))$ . In this way, the effective Lagrangian in the presence of the externally driven magnetic field

$$L_{eff} = AR\dot{\Phi} - k \cos(\Phi - \alpha(t)), \quad (27)$$

where we put  $A \equiv \frac{\hbar F_0^2}{2}$ ,  $k \equiv bF_0^2$  and use the scaling  $\eta(0)\Phi \rightarrow \Phi$ . Note that  $R$  and  $\Phi$  looks like canonical variable each other, but this feature will be discussed briefly at the end of this section.

*Dissipative effect*: In order to manipulate the coupled dynamics for  $(R, \Phi)$  using the geometric term  $L_C$  properly, it may be efficient to take into account the dissipative effect which is described by the dissipation function (e.g. [27, 28]),

$$F = \int f \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right|^2 dx = fF_0^2 \int \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \right)^2 + \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right\} dx. \quad (28)$$

In the second term of (28), only the term proportional to  $\dot{\Phi}^2$  is kept, because the other terms are of higher order in  $(R, \Phi)$  together with its derivatives. However these are irrelevant to the present procedure. Then we write

$$F = \frac{1}{2} (B\dot{R}^2 + D\dot{\Phi}^2), \quad (29)$$

where  $B, D$  are calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}B &\equiv fF_0^2 \int \left( \frac{d\theta}{dx} \right)^2 dx = fF_0^2 \frac{2}{\lambda}, \\ \frac{1}{2}D &\equiv fF_0^2 \int \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \eta^2 dx = fF_0^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \eta^2(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Using this dissipation function the coupled equation of motions for  $R$  and  $\Phi$  is obtained as [27]

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L_{eff}}{\partial \dot{X}} \right) - \frac{\partial L_{eff}}{\partial X} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{X}} \quad (31)$$

for  $X = (R, \Phi)$ . We will analyze this coupled equation for two cases separately.

*Case (I)*: The case that the amplitude  $b$  (and hence  $k$ ) is kept constant, for which we have

$$A\dot{R} - k \sin(\Phi - \alpha(t)) = D\dot{\Phi}, \quad -A\dot{\Phi} = B\dot{R}. \quad (32)$$

By eliminating  $R$ , we have

$$\left( D + \frac{A^2}{B} \right) \dot{\Phi} = -k \sin(\Phi - \alpha(t)), \quad (33)$$

which can be analyzed as follows: by introducing  $\tilde{\Phi} = \Phi - \alpha$ . In the adiabatic scheme, we can put  $\tilde{\Phi} \simeq 0$ , hence we approximate

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\tilde{\Phi}) + \frac{k}{d}\tilde{\Phi} = -\dot{\alpha} \quad (34)$$

by putting  $d = D + \frac{A^2}{B}$ . We find a special solution for  $\Phi$ ,

$$\Phi = \alpha(t) - \exp\left[-\frac{k}{d}t\right] \int \dot{\alpha}(t') \exp\left[-\frac{k}{d}t'\right] dt'. \quad (35)$$

In the limit  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we have a simple expression  $\Phi(t) \simeq \alpha(t)$ , from which one sees that the center of the DW is given by

$$R(t) = \frac{A}{B}\alpha(t) + R_0 \quad (36)$$

with the initial position  $R_0$ . This result shows a quite simple meaning; the motion of the DW can be directly controlled by the modulation of the external magnetic field. That is, the angular modulation just gives rise to the motion of the center of the DW.

This result (36) simply reveals an interplay between the geometric action, which is characterized by the coefficient  $A$ , and the dissipative function that is described by  $B$ . In the asymptotic limit:  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . In the intermediate stage, the other term characterized by the coefficient  $D$  plays a role to disappear asymptotically.

As a special case that there is no dissipation, namely,  $B$  and  $D$  vanish, we see that  $\dot{\Phi} = 0$ ,  $\dot{R} = k \sin(\Phi - \alpha(t)) = 0$ . Here we examine the simple case:  $\alpha = \omega t$ , for which one sees that a sinusoidal oscillation behavior  $R(t) = \frac{1}{\omega} \sin(\Phi_0 - \omega t)$ . This is contrast to the simple linear behavior, which is just caused by the dissipative effect.

In this way the mechanism of the steering of the DW obeys the same mechanism as the *self-propulsion of swimmer* or the so-called "falling cat", which explains the creation of rotation (angular momentum) as a result of the deformation of moving body[29]. This kind of phenomena is an example of classical "holonomy".

**Case (II)** : The amplitude  $b$  is allowed to be time varying. For this purpose it suffices that one restricts the case that the phase  $\alpha$  is constant, actually zero, hence the equation of motion for  $\Phi$  turns out to be

$$(D + \frac{A^2}{B})\dot{\Phi} = -k(t) \sin \Phi, \quad (37)$$

which is solved to be

$$\sin \Phi(t) = \frac{1}{\cosh \tilde{K}(t)}, \quad (38)$$

where  $\tilde{K}(t) = \int^t \tilde{k}(t) dt$  with  $\tilde{k}(t) = k(t)/d$ . By changing the time variable by the relation  $d\tau = \tilde{k}(t) dt$ , we see that the DW center moves according to the relation:

$$\begin{aligned} R(\tau) &= \frac{A}{B} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \frac{d(\sinh \tau)}{\cosh^2 \tau} \\ &= -\frac{A}{B} [\tan^{-1}(\sinh \tau_0) - \tan^{-1}(\sinh \tau)], \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

which tends to the asymptotic value  $\frac{A}{B} [\frac{\pi}{2} - \tan^{-1}(\sinh \tau_0)]$  for  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . This feature is essentially different from the control by the modulation of the phase  $\alpha(t)$  (36).

*Physical observability.* The steering of the kink may be observable by using the induction effect caused by the change of magnetic flux. Namely let us consider a conducting loop which is arranged such that the one-dimensional spinor BEC *perpendicularly* penetrates through the center of the loop. By this geometry, the magnetic flux, denoted by  $\Sigma$ , can be calculated as  $\Sigma \propto S_x \mathcal{A}$  ( $\mathcal{A}$  means the area of the loop). This may be averaged over some interval on the x-axis to give the form  $\Sigma(t) \propto \cos(\eta(0)\Phi(t))$ , according to the procedure given above. Hence it is possible to derive the induced (electric) current due to the Faraday effect, that is  $\dot{\Sigma} \propto \dot{\Phi}$ . By observing this current, one may have an evidence of the steering of the domain wall via the phase  $\Phi$ , together with  $R$ .

**Remark on the kinetic energy:** As is implied from the Lagrangian in (22),  $(R, \Phi)$  forms a pair of canonical variables. About this, we give a brief comment. Our concern is the connection with the fluid kinetic energy  $K_\phi$ . This can be written as

$$K_\phi = \int \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \left( \frac{d\eta}{dx} \right)^2 \Phi^2 dx = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} F_0^2 \Phi^2, \quad (40)$$

which is of the second order  $\epsilon$  compared with the (24) in the adiabatic approximation. This term can be clarified by considering the magnetic field written as  $\mathbf{H} = (\frac{\hbar(t)}{2} x^2, 0, 0)$ , namely, the parabolic form confinement potential with time-modulating coefficient written in the form:

$$\int \Psi^\dagger (\sigma \cdot \mathbf{H} \Psi) dx = \frac{\hbar(t)}{2} \int \sin \theta \cdot x^2 \cos[\eta(x - R(t))\Phi(t)] dx,$$



which yields, by using the localization property of  $\sin \theta$ .

$$V_\phi \simeq \frac{h(t)}{2} \cos[\eta(0)\Phi]R^2. \quad (41)$$

Thus we have the effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = \frac{M}{2}\Phi^2 + \frac{h(t)}{2} \cos \Phi R^2, \quad (42)$$

which surely suggests that the pair  $(R, \Phi)$  gives a canonical pair; namely,  $R$  represents the "coordinate" and  $\Phi$  its conjugate momentum. This feature is very different from the case for the first topic, for which we have no angle variable  $\Phi$ . Specifically the time dependence of the coefficient  $h(t)$ : If this is arranged such that  $h(t) \simeq \sum \delta(t - nT)$  ( $n = \text{integers}$ ), we have a problem that is analogous to the problem *kicked oscillator* [30]. The details may be left for future study.

## VI. SUMMARY

We have studied two problems for the dynamics of the domain wall. The first one concerns the collective dynamics of the center of the DW, which treats purely the translational motion for the kink resulting in the one-dimensional quantum potential model. The second one is rather intricate compared with the first one; we discussed the coupled dynamics for the translational motion of the DW and the angular mode inherent in the spin degree of freedom in the BEC: As a consequence of the interplay between the canonical term and the dissipative effect, the control (steering) for the DW motion can be achieved.

Our starting point is the two-component wave for the spinor BEC, which may be regarded as the simplest case of the spin coherent state [19, 31]. From this point of view, the present formalism is straightforwardly extended to the spinor condensates with higher spin. As other topic for future study, mentioned is the fluctuation besides the dissipation [32], which is a complement as inferred from a fluctuation dissipation theorem and inevitable in dealing with the spinor condensates that incorporate the random impurities. The similar problem dealing with the random effects has been given in the vortex motion in the second kind superconductivity (see, e.g., [33]).

The author would like to thank Dr.S.Tsuchida for preparing the figure.

- 
- [1] Lifshitz E.M and Pitaevskii L. P. , *Statistical Physics , Part 2: Theory of the Condensed State*, Vol. 9 (1st ed.), Butterworth-Heinemann, 1980.
  - [2] Landau L.D, Lifshitz E.M. and Pitaevskii L.P. , *Electrodynamics of Continuous Media*, Vol. 8 (2nd ed.), Butterworth-Heinemann, 1984.
  - [3] Bloch F., *Z.Physik*, **74**(1932) 295.
  - [4] Malozemoff A.P. and Slonczewski J.C., *Magnetic Domain Walls in Bubble Materials*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
  - [5] Muruges S.and Lakshmanan M., *Chaos, Soliton and Fractals*, **41**(2009)2773.
  - [6] Zhu R. and Berakdhar J., *Phys.Rev***B81**(2010)014403.
  - [7] Goussev A. Lund R.G., Robbins JM., Slastikov V. and Sonnenberg C., *Proc.Roy.Soc.* **A469**(2013)0308.
  - [8] Natterman T., *Euro Physics Letters* **105**(2014) 27004.
  - [9] Guruciaga P.C., Caballero N.B., Jeudy V., Curiale J., and Bustingorry S., arXiv, 2019, 1912.09392v1.
  - [10] Maki K. and Kumar P, *Phys.Rev.***B16**(1977) 182.
  - [11] Arodz H., *Nucl.Phys.***509** (1998) 273.
  - [12] Filatrella G., Malomed B.A., and Salerno M., *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, (2014) 043629.
  - [13] Li Z.D., Li Q.Y., He P.B.and Liang J.Q., *Phys.Rev.* **A81**, (2010)015602.
  - [14] Pu H., Zhang W. and Meystre P., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, (2001) 140405.
  - [15] Li Z.D., He P.B., Li L., Liang J.Q.and Liu W.M., *Phys. Rev. A* **71**, (2005)053611; Li L., Li Z., Malomed B.A., Mihalache D. and Liu W.M., *ibid.* **A72**, (2005)033611.
  - [16] Ho T.L., *Phys.Rev.Lett.***81**(1998) 742.
  - [17] Ho T.L. and Shenoy V.B., *Phys.Rev.Lett.***77**(1996)3276.
  - [18] Harada K. and Kuratsuji H., *Eur. Phys. J.* **B 59** (2007)193.
  - [19] Kuratsuji H., *Physica B*, **B284-288** (2000) 15.
  - [20] Kuratsuji H. and Yabu H., *J.Phys.***31** (1998) L61.



- [21] Landau L.D. and Lifshitz E.M., . *Quantum Mechanics: Non-Relativistic Theory*, Vol. 3 (3rd ed.), Pergamon Press, New York, 1977.
- [22] Feynman R., *Statistical Mechanics*, A Set of Lecture Advanced Book Classics (Perseus Books), 1998
- [23] Kuratsuji H., Phys.Rev.Lett.**68**(1992) 1746.
- [24] Langer J.S., Phys.Rev. **164**(1967) 498.
- [25] Pershan P.S. etal. Phys.Rev.**143**(1966) 574.
- [26] Tjon J. and Wright J., Phys.Rev.**B15** (1977) 3470.
- [27] Landau L.D. and Lifshitz E.M., *Statistical Physics*, Vol. 5 (3rd ed.). Butterworth-Heinemann. 1980
- [28] Kuratsuji H. and Yabu H., Phys.Rev.**B59**(1999) 11175.
- [29] Shapere A. and Wilczek F., *Geometric phase in physics*, p449-454. World Scientific, Singapore, 1988.
- [30] Zaslavsky G.M., Physics Reports, **80**, (1981) 157.
- [31] Arecchi F.T., Courtens E., Gilmore R., and Thomas H. Phys. Rev. **A6** (1972) 2211.
- [32] Hanggi P. and Talkner P., Rev.Mod.Phys. **82** (1990) 251.
- [33] Dorsey A., Phys.Rev. **B46** (1992)8376.