

Feedback Vertex Set on Hamiltonian Graphs

Dario Cavallaro

Till Fluschnik*

Technische Universität Berlin, Faculty IV,
 Algorithmics and Computational Complexity, Germany.
 cavallaro@campus.tu-berlin.de, till.fluschnik@tu-berlin.de

Abstract. We study the computational complexity of FEEDBACK VERTEX SET on subclasses of Hamiltonian graphs. In particular, we consider Hamiltonian graphs that are regular or are planar and regular. Moreover, we study the less known class of p -Hamiltonian-ordered graphs, which are graphs that admit for any p -tuple of vertices a Hamiltonian cycle visiting them in the order given by the tuple. We prove that FEEDBACK VERTEX SET remains NP-hard in these restricted cases, even if a Hamiltonian cycle is additionally given as part of the input.

Keywords. planar graphs, regular graphs, ordered graphs, Hamiltonian-ordered graphs, connected graphs.

1 Introduction

Hamiltonian graphs are graphs admitting a cycle that visits every vertex (exactly once). We study the computational complexity of the following classic NP-complete [9] problem on subclasses of Hamiltonian graphs.

Problem 1. FEEDBACK VERTEX SET (FVS)

Input: An undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ and an integer $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Question: Is there $U \subseteq V$ with $|U| \leq k$ such that $G - U$ is acyclic?

We additionally restrict Hamiltonian graphs to be planar (can be drawn on the two-dimensional plane with no two edges crossing except at their endpoints) or regular (every vertex has the same degree). In particular, we study the classes of 4-regular planar Hamiltonian graphs and of 5-regular planar Hamiltonian graphs (recall that there is no 6-regular planar graph). Moreover, we consider the class of p -Hamiltonian-ordered graphs [12]. These Hamiltonian graphs admit for each p -tuple (x_1, \dots, x_p) of vertices a Hamiltonian cycle that visits the vertices x_1, \dots, x_p in this order. The class of p -Hamiltonian-ordered graphs form a subclass of p -ordered Hamiltonian graphs, the latter being Hamiltonian graphs that for any p -tuple (x_1, \dots, x_p) admit a cycle that visits x_1, \dots, x_p in this order. The class of p -ordered Hamiltonian graphs form a subclass of $(p - 1)$ -connected Hamiltonian graphs (graphs in which every pair of vertices is connected via $(p - 1)$ internally vertex-disjoint paths). Finally, for FEEDBACK VERTEX SET on these subclasses of Hamiltonian graphs, we also study the more restricted case when a Hamiltonian cycle is additionally provided in the input (recall that computing a Hamiltonian cycle is NP-complete in general [9]).

*Supported by DFG, project TORE (NI/369-18).




Figure 1: Overview of our results. In each box, the lowest level describes the computational complexity (NP-hard versus polynomial-time) of FEEDBACK VERTEX SET on the graph class described by the two upper layers. An arrow from a box A to a box B describes that A 's graph class is included in B 's graph class. All shown NP-hardness results hold true even if a Hamiltonian cycle is provided as part of the input.

Related Work. INDEPENDENT SET remains NP-complete on 3- and 4-regular Hamiltonian graphs [7], which enabled to prove NP-hardness for a temporal graph problem with two layers [8]. 3-COLORING remains NP-complete on 4- and 5-regular planar graphs [2], and on 4-regular Hamiltonian graphs [6]. FEEDBACK VERTEX SET remains NP-complete on planar graphs of maximum degree four [14] and is polynomial-time solvable on maximum degree-three [15] and 3-regular graphs [10], chordal graphs, permutation graphs, split graphs [5].

Our Contributions. Figure 1 gives an overview of our results. We prove that FEEDBACK VERTEX SET is NP-hard on 4- and 5-regular planar Hamiltonian graphs as well as on p -regular Hamiltonian graphs for every $p \geq 4$. Moreover, we prove that FEEDBACK VERTEX SET is NP-hard on p -Hamiltonian-ordered graphs for every $p \geq 3$, which implies NP-hardness on p -ordered Hamiltonian graphs and further NP-hardness on $(p-1)$ -connected Hamiltonian graphs. Finally, all our NP-hardness results still hold true if a Hamiltonian cycle is additionally provided as part of the input.

2 Preliminaries

We denote by \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{N}_0 the natural numbers excluding and including zero, respectively. We use basic notations from graph theory [1, 4].




Figure 2: Our graph tool box with (a) the graph R , (b) the graph L , (c) the graph D , and (d) the graph Y_p . For each graph, a Hamiltonian path (blue) as well as a minimum feedback vertex set (orange) are depicted.

Graph Theory. For two graphs G, H , we denote by $G * H$ the graph with vertex set $V(G) \cup V(H)$ and edge set $E(G) \cup E(H) \cup \{\{v, w\} \mid v \in V(G), w \in V(H)\}$. We denote by K_n the complete graph on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ vertices. We denote by C_n the cycle on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ vertices. The neighborhood $N_G(v)$ of a vertex $v \in V$ in G is the vertex set $\{w \in V \mid \{v, w\} \in E\}$. Let v, w be two distinct vertices in $G = (V, E)$. The graph obtained by *identifying v with w* has vertex set $(V \setminus \{v, w\}) \cup \{vw\}$, where vw is a new vertex, and edge set $(E \setminus \{e \in E \mid \{v, w\} \cap e \neq \emptyset\}) \cup \{\{vw, x\} \mid x \in (N_G(v) \cup N_G(w)) \setminus \{v, w\}\}$.

Hamiltonian Graphs and Subclasses. A graph G is p -ordered if for every sequence v_1, \dots, v_p of distinct vertices of G there exists a cycle C in G that encounters the vertices v_1, \dots, v_p in this order. A graph G is called p -Hamiltonian-ordered if for every sequence v_1, \dots, v_p of distinct vertices of G there exists an Hamiltonian cycle C that encounters the vertices v_1, \dots, v_p in this order. Clearly:

Fact 1. *Every p -Hamiltonian-ordered graph is p -ordered Hamiltonian.*

Graph tool box. We use several graphs as gadgets for our NP-hardness reductions that we collect in this “graph tool box” (see Figure 2).

The Graph R (see Figure 2(a)): Let R denote the graph obtained from a $C_4 * K_1$ by adding two vertices x' and y' and making each adjacent with exactly two different vertices of degree three such that all vertices except for x' and y' have degree four. Add vertex x and make it adjacent with x' , and add vertex y and make it adjacent with y' . Finally, make x' adjacent with y' .

We have the following simple yet useful observation on a $C_4 * K_1$:

Observation 1. *The graph $C_4 * K_1$ admits no feedback vertex set of size one yet one of size two.*

The Graph L (see [Figure 2\(b\)](#)): Let L denote the graph obtained as follows. Take two disjoint $C_4 * K_1$ s. Let $\{v, w\}$ be an edge of one $C_4 * K_1$ with both v, w being of degree three, and $\{v', w'\}$ analogously from the other $C_4 * K_1$. Make v adjacent with v' and w adjacent with w' . Let $\{x', x''\}$ and $\{y', y''\}$ denote the two edges with vertices of degree three. Add a vertex x and make it adjacent with x', x'' , and add a vertex y and make it adjacent with y', y'' .

The Graph D (see [Figure 2\(c\)](#)): Let D denote the graph obtained as follows. Take a C_6 , say with vertex set $\{c_0, \dots, c_5\}$ and edge set $\{\{c_i, c_{i+1 \bmod 6}\} \mid i \in \{0, \dots, 5\}\}$. Add a K_3 , say with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. Make v_1 adjacent with c_0, c_1, c_5 , v_2 adjacent with c_1, c_2, c_3 , and v_3 adjacent with c_3, c_4, c_5 . Add a vertex z and make it adjacent with c_2, c_3, c_4 . Add vertices x and x' , and make x' adjacent with x, z, c_0, c_1, c_2 . Finally, add vertices y and y' , and make y' adjacent with y, z, c_0, c_4, c_5 .

The Graphs Y_p (see [Figure 2\(d\)](#)): Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \geq 3$. Let Y_p denote the graph obtained as follows. Take two disjoint $A := K_p$ and $B := K_p$. Add a perfect matching between the vertices of A and B . Next, add two vertices x, x' and make x' adjacent to all vertices in $V(A) \cup \{x\}$. Finally, add two vertices y, y' and make y' adjacent to all vertices in $V(B) \cup \{y\}$.

Definition 1 (Insertion). Let G be a graph and $u, v \in V(G)$. An H -insertion at u, v with $H \in \{R, L, D\} \cup \bigcup_{p \geq 3} \{Y_p\}$ results in the graph obtained from G by adding a copy of H to G and identifying x with u and y with v .

3 Planar Regular Hamiltonian Graphs

In this section, we prove that FEEDBACK VERTEX SET remains NP-hard on 4-regular planar Hamiltonian graphs and on 5-regular planar Hamiltonian graphs, in both cases even if a Hamiltonian cycle is provided. We first prove that FVS is NP-hard on 4-regular planar graphs ([Section 3.1](#)), then make the graph Hamiltonian ([Section 3.2](#)), and finally make the graph 5-regular ([Section 3.3](#)).

3.1 4-regular planar

FEEDBACK VERTEX SET is NP-hard even on connected planar graphs of maximum degree four [[13, 14](#)]. We strengthen this with the following.

Theorem 1. FEEDBACK VERTEX SET is NP-hard on connected 4-regular planar graphs.

We can delete degree-zero and -one vertices from a graph, and obtain an equivalent instance. Next, we deal first with degree-two vertices to prove that FVS is NP-hard on planar graphs of minimum degree three and maximum degree four ([Proposition 1](#)), and then we deal with the remaining vertices of degree three.

Degree-two vertices

We next make each degree-two vertex a degree-four vertex to obtain the following.

Proposition 1. FEEDBACK VERTEX SET is NP-hard on connected planar graphs of minimum degree three and maximum degree four.

To prove [Proposition 1](#), we will perform an R -insertion on each degree-two vertex. We have the following crucial observation on R .

Lemma 1. *Graph R is planar, admits a Hamiltonian x - y path, and has no feedback vertex set of size at most two, yet one of size three containing x' or y' , but none of size three containing x or y .*

Proof. That R is planar and admits a feedback vertex set of size three containing x' or y' is depicted in [Figure 2](#). We need to delete two vertices from $C_4 * K_1$ ([Observation 1](#)). Observe that deleting any two vertices from $C_4 * K_1$ leaves two vertex-disjoint paths between x' and y' in R (one using the edge $\{x', y'\}$, one passing through what remains of $C_4 * K_1$). Thus, deleting one of x' or y' is required. It follows that R admits no feedback vertex set of size at most two. \square

An immediate consequence of [Lemma 1](#) is the following.

Observation 2. *Let $\mathcal{I} = (G, k)$ be an instance of FEEDBACK VERTEX SET and let $u, v \in V(G)$. Let G' be the graph obtained from an R -insertion at u, v and let $k' := k + 3$. Then \mathcal{I} is a yes-instance if and only if (G', k') is a yes-instance of FEEDBACK VERTEX SET.*

We are set to prove [Proposition 1](#).

Proof of Proposition 1. Let $\mathcal{I} = (G, k)$ be an instance of FEEDBACK VERTEX SET where G is a planar graph of minimum degree two and maximum degree four. Let d denote the number of degree-two vertices in G . Let G' be the graph obtained from G by applying for each degree-two vertex v an R -insertion at v, v . Note that each of these d R -insertions preserves planarity and equivalence. Let $\mathcal{I}' := (G', k')$ be the obtained instance where $k' := k + 3d$. Due to [Observation 2](#), we know that \mathcal{I} is a yes-instance if and only if \mathcal{I}' is a yes-instance. \square

Degree-three vertices

Next, we deal with degree-three vertices. We will employ the following specific straight-line embedding of our graph on a grid.

Theorem 2 ([3]). *For any planar graph with n vertices one can compute in $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ time a straight-line embedding on the $2n - 4$ by $n - 2$ grid.*

We start with an embedding. Let $p(v) = (i, j) \in \{1, \dots, 2n - 4\} \times \{1, \dots, n - 2\}$ be the coordinate of vertex v in the grid-embedding. We aim for connecting the remaining degree-three vertices in a pairwise manner (note that there is an even number of these). To this end, we construct chains of R s connecting two degree-three vertices. To ensure polynomial running time and planarity of the construction, we need to identify the pairs of degree-three vertices which we want to connect such that the “ R -chains” are pairwise non-crossing and vertex-disjoint. To this end, we apply a “left-to-right bottom-to-top” approach as follows (see [Figure 3](#) for an illustration). We iterate over vertices from left to right by coordinates, that is, by (i, \cdot) for increasing i . Thereby, for each i , we iterate over (i, j) with increasing j . Once two vertices of degree three are discovered, we connect them in a “down-first out-most”-manner with an “ R -chain”. As we thereby possibly introduce edge crossings, we need to *dissolve* them as follows.

Definition 2. Let G be a graph embedded in the two-dimensional plane such that at most two edges cross in one coordinate. Let e_1, e_2 be two edges crossing in a coordinate (i, j) . *Dissolving* the crossing is doing the following: subdivide edge e_1 (denote the vertex v_1) and edge e_2 (denote the vertex v_2), identify v_1 with v_2 (denote the vertex v), and embed v at coordinate (i, j) .

The way we dissolve crossings immediately gives the following.

Observation 3. *Every vertex resulting from a dissolution has degree four and dissolving all edge-crossings yields a planar graph.*




Figure 3: Illustration to how we connect pairs of degree-three vertices. Round vertices correspond to the vertices in our graph, where filled round vertices are the vertices we want to connect. Diamond-shaped vertices correspond to the points in the grid shifted by $1/3 \pm \varepsilon$ horizontally and $1/2$ vertically. Thick lines depict the pairwise connections.

We will add and embed edges between disjoint pairs of degree-three vertices, dissolve each newly formed crossing, and replace each edge introduced by the dissolution by an R -insertion on its endpoints. Formally:

Definition 3. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1/3$. R -connecting vertex v with v' , where $p(v) = (i, j)$ and $p(v') = (i', j')$, is doing the following:

1. Add and embed a new edge $f = \{v, v'\}$ as follows:
 - if $i = i'$: It goes from (i, j) to $(i - \frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon, j + \frac{1}{2})$ to $(i' - \frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon, j' - \frac{1}{2})$ and finally to (i', j') .
 - if $i \neq i'$: It goes from (i, j) to $(i + \frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon, j - \frac{1}{2})$ to $(i + \frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon, j' - \frac{1}{2})$ to $(i' - \frac{1}{3} + \varepsilon, j' - \frac{1}{2})$ and finally to (i', j') .
2. Dissolve every crossing, and let f_1, \dots, f_ℓ denote the edges in which f is dissolved.
3. Replace each edge $f_i, i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, with an R -insertion on its endpoints.

As a technical remark, we choose ε in **Definition 3** such that no existing slope is resampled. Note that in our embedding (**Theorem 2**) the number of slopes is finite. Thus, we can R -connect any two vertices in polynomial time.

We employ **Algorithm 1** to construct our instance (G', k') (see **Figure 4** for an illustration). The following invariant immediately holds for **Algorithm 1** by our “left-to-right bottom-to-top” approach in an embedding given by **Theorem 2**.

Observation 4. When **Algorithm 1** detects two degree-three vertices v (first) and v' (second) with $p(v) = (i, j)$ and $p(v') = (i', j')$ to connect, then,

- if $i = i'$: there is and was no degree-three vertex $w, p(w) = (x, y)$, with $x = i$ and $j < y < j'$;
- if $i \neq i'$: there is and was no degree-three vertex $w, p(w) = (x, y)$, with (i) $i < x < i'$, (ii) $x = i$ and $y > j$, or (iii) $x = i'$ and $y < j'$.

It follows that every two R -connections will be non-crossing and vertex-disjoint.

Algorithm 1: Computing an equivalent instance (G', k) with G' being 4-regular planar from (G, k) with G being of minimum degree three and maximum degree four embedded with straight-lines on the $(2n - 4 \times n - 2)$ -grid, where n denotes the number of vertices of G .

```

1  $d \leftarrow 0$ ;  $a \leftarrow \emptyset$ ;  $G' \leftarrow G$ ;
2 for  $x$  from 1 to  $2n - 4$  do // x-coordinates
3   for  $y$  from 1 to  $n - 2$  do // y-coordinates
4     if  $p^{-1}(x, y) = v$  is a degree-three vertex then
5       if  $a = \emptyset$  then
6          $a \leftarrow v$ ;
7       else
8          $R$ -connect  $a$  with  $v$  in  $G'$  (denote the obtained graph again by  $G'$ ) and
          let  $d'$  denote the number of  $R$ -insertions;
9          $d \leftarrow d + d'$ ;  $a \leftarrow \emptyset$ ;
10 return  $(G', k + 3d)$ 

```

Proposition 2. Let $\mathcal{I} = (G, k)$ be an instance of FEEDBACK VERTEX SET with G being planar and of minimum degree three and maximum degree four. Then one can compute an equivalent instance $\mathcal{I}' = (G', k')$ with $k' \in \mathcal{O}(|V(G)|^2)$ and G' having $\mathcal{O}(|V(G)|^2)$ vertices and edges, and being 4-regular planar.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I} = (G, k)$ be an instance of FVS where G is planar and of minimum degree three and maximum degree four. Let $n := |V(G)|$. Compute an embedding on the $(2n - 4) \times (n - 2)$ -grid in polynomial time (Theorem 2). Employ Algorithm 1 to obtain instance $\mathcal{I}' = (G', k')$ in polynomial time. Since G' is obtained by a series of subdivisions and R -insertions, where we count additional three vertices to delete for every R -insertion, \mathcal{I}' is equivalent to \mathcal{I} (Observation 2). Moreover, since we dissolve every crossing, G' is planar and 4-regular (Observation 3).

Each pair of the at most $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ pairs crosses at most each of the at most $3n - 6$ edges twice. Since each two of the R -chains are disjoint, there are at most $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ subdivisions and R -insertions. \square

We are set to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let (G, k) be an instance of the NP-hard FEEDBACK VERTEX SET on planar graphs of minimum degree three and maximum degree four (Proposition 1). Due to Proposition 2, we can obtain an equivalent instance (G', k') in polynomial time where G' is planar and 4-regular. \square

3.2 4-regular planar Hamiltonian

In Section 3.1, we proved that FEEDBACK VERTEX SET is NP-hard on 4-regular planar graphs. We next give a polynomial-time many-one reduction to an equivalent instance with a 4-regular planar Hamiltonian graph.

Theorem 3. FEEDBACK VERTEX SET on 4-regular planar Hamiltonian graphs is NP-hard, even if a Hamiltonian cycle is provided.




Figure 4: Illustration to the proof of **Proposition 2**. (a) An example graph G (excerpt) embedded on a grid where filled vertices correspond to vertices to connect (vertices of degree three). (b) The connecting paths constructed in the grid. (c) Embedding the edges in G . (d) Dissolving the edge-crossings and replacing edges by R -insertions.

We will follow the idea of Fleischner and Sabidussi [6]: We first compute a 2-factor in polynomial time, and then iteratively connect cycles from the 2-factor by L -insertions to obtain a Hamiltonian cycle. Note that L contains two vertex-disjoint $C_4 * K_1$ s, hence admits no feedback vertex set of size three and no feedback vertex set of size four containing x or y (**Observation 1**). Yet, L admits feedback vertex sets each of size four disconnecting x and y (see **Figure 2**).

Lemma 2. *Graph L is planar, admits a Hamiltonian x - y path, has no feedback vertex set of size three, but one of size four disconnecting x and y , and none of size four containing one of x or y .*

Lemma 2 immediately implies the following.

Observation 5. *Let $\mathcal{I} = (G, k)$ be an instance of FEEDBACK VERTEX SET and let $u, v \in V(G)$. Let G' be the graph obtained from G by an L -insertion at u, v and let $k' := k + 4$. Then \mathcal{I} is a **yes-instance** if and only if (G', k') is a **yes-instance** of FEEDBACK VERTEX SET.*

A 2-factor in a graph G is a spanning 2-regular subgraph. We represent a 2-factor by its components $Q = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_q\}$, where Q_i is a cycle for every $i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$. Every 4-regular




Figure 5: Illustration to **Construction 1** of (a) Case 1 and (b) Case 2. Indicated are two different 2-factor components in each upper part (magenta and cyan) and the “merged” cycle, being part of the new 2-factor with one less component, in the lower part (blue).

graph admits a 2-factor computable in polynomial time [6, 11]. We first compute a 2-factor $Q = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_q\}$ of G , and then iteratively make L -insertions to merge cycles from Q until $|Q| = 1$.

Construction 1. Let G be a connected planar 4-regular graph, and let Q be a 2-factor of G . If $|Q| > 1$, then there are two distinct cycles $Q_i, Q_j \in Q$ with two adjacent vertices u, v with $u \in V(Q_i)$ and $v \in V(Q_j)$. We distinguish two cases (see **Figure 5** for an illustration).

Case 1: There are two edges $e \in E(Q_i)$ and $e' \in E(Q_j)$ with $u \in e$ and $v \in e'$ sharing the same face. Then subdivide e (denote the obtained vertex z), subdivide e' (denote the obtained vertex z'), and then make an L -insertion at z, z' (see **Figure 5(a)**). Since L admits a Hamiltonian path starting at x and ending at y (**Lemma 2**, see **Figure 2(b)**), we can construct a new 2-factor merging Q_i and Q_j using the newly added vertices and the edge $\{u, v\}$.

Case 2: There are no two edges $e \in E(Q_i)$ and $e' \in E(Q_j)$ with $u \in e$ and $v \in e'$ sharing the same face. Since every vertex is of degree four, there is an edge $e \in E(Q_i)$ with $u \in e$ that shares a face with an edge $\tilde{e} \notin E(Q_i)$ with $u \in \tilde{e}$ sharing a face with an edge $e' \in E(Q_j)$ with $v \in e'$. Subdivide edge e (denote the obtained vertex z), the edge \tilde{e} twice (denote the obtained vertices \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2), and the edge e' (denote the obtained vertex z'). Now, make two L -insertions, one at z, \tilde{z}_1 and one at \tilde{z}_2, z' (see **Figure 5(b)**). Again (**Lemma 2**, see **Figure 2(b)**), we can construct a new 2-factor merging Q_i and Q_j using the newly added vertices and the edge $\{u, v\}$. \diamond

We get the following.

Lemma 3. Let $\mathcal{I} = (G, k)$ be an instance of FEEDBACK VERTEX SET with G being connected 4-regular planar and let Q be a 2-factor of G with $|Q| \geq 2$. Let G' and Q' be the graph and the 2-factor obtained from **Construction 1**, respectively, and let $k' := k + 4$ (in Case 1) or $k' := k + 8$ (in Case 2). Then \mathcal{I} is a **yes-instance** if and only if (G', k') is a **yes-instance** of FEEDBACK VERTEX SET. Moreover, G' is connected planar 4-regular and $|Q'| = |Q| - 1$.

We are set to prove the main result of this section.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\mathcal{I} = (G, k)$ be an instance of FEEDBACK VERTEX SET on connected 4-regular planar graphs. Compute a 2-factor Q of G in polynomial time. Next, iteratively




Figure 6: Illustration to the proof of [Lemma 4](#). Hamiltonian cycles C and C' of G and G' are depicted on the left and right in magenta and cyan, respectively. Hamiltonian cycle C^* obtained after the L -insertions at v, v' and w, w' is depicted in blue.

apply [Construction 1](#) (at most $n/3$ times [6]) to obtain an equivalent instance $\mathcal{I}' = (G', k', C')$ in polynomial time, where G' is 4-regular planar Hamiltonian graph with Hamiltonian cycle C' . \square

3.3 5-regular planar Hamiltonian

In this section, we prove that FEEDBACK VERTEX SET is also NP-hard on 5-regular planar Hamiltonian graphs with provided Hamiltonian cycle. To this end, we start from a 4-regular planar Hamiltonian graph and then make it 5-regular by a D -insertion at every second edge of a Hamiltonian cycle. Hence, we need to ensure the 4-regular Hamiltonian graph to have an even number of vertices. To this end, we take two disjoint copies of the input graph and connect them via two L -insertions (see [Figure 6](#) for an illustration).

Lemma 4. FEEDBACK VERTEX SET is NP-hard on 4-regular planar Hamiltonian graphs with an even number of vertices, even if an Hamiltonian cycle is provided.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I} = (G, k, C)$ be an instance of FEEDBACK VERTEX SET on 4-regular planar Hamiltonian graphs with Hamiltonian cycle C . If $V(G)$ is even, then we are done. Otherwise, do the following (see [Figure 6](#) for an illustration).

Let G^* denote the graph obtained from taking G and a disjoint copy G' of G . Note that $V(G^*)$ is even, and $(G^*, 2k)$ is equivalent to \mathcal{I} . Let C' denote the copy of C in G' , and let for some edge $e \in C$ edge $e' \in C'$ denote the copy of e . We know that we can subdivide e, e' without changing the feedback vertex set, so doubly subdivide edge e (call the vertices v, w) and edge e' (call the vertices v', w'). Next, make an L -insertion at v, v' and one at w, w' . Note that we added 24 vertices, and thus $V(G^*)$ is even. The instance $(G^*, 2k + 8)$ is equivalent to \mathcal{I} ([Observation 5](#)). Now, observe that by the choices of e, e' , we can merge C and C' to C^* . Hence, the instance $(G^*, 2k + 8, C^*)$ is equivalent to \mathcal{I} . Note that G^* remains planar: we can disjointly embed G and G' such that edges e and e' of C and C' are on the outer face [1, 4]. \square

Using [Lemma 4](#), we will prove next the following main result of this section.

Theorem 4. FEEDBACK VERTEX SET is NP-hard on 5-regular planar Hamiltonian graphs even if a Hamiltonian cycle is given.

We will perform a series of D -insertion (see [Figure 7](#) for an illustration). Hence, we discuss the following in advance.

Lemma 5. Graph D is planar, admits a Hamiltonian x - y path, and has no feedback vertex set of size at most five yet one of size six containing x' or y' but none of size six containing x or y .




Figure 7: Illustration to the proof of **Theorem 4**. The magenta path depicts the Hamiltonian cycle before the D -insertions, and the blue path depicts the Hamiltonian cycle after the D -insertions.




Figure 8: Illustration to the proof of **Lemma 5**, where (a), (b), and (c), correspond to Cases 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Red-marked vertices and edges correspond to deletions, and a blue-marked vertex is prohibited from deletion. Vertices highlighted by a circle (orange) form a maximum set of pairwise non-adjacent vertices of degree five, and vertices highlighted by a rectangle (cyan) are the remaining vertices of degree four.

Proof. That D is planar, admits a Hamiltonian x - y path, and has a feedback vertex set of size six containing x' or y' is depicted in **Figure 2**. We assume that the vertices of D is the set $\{x, x', y, y'\} \cup A$, where $A := \bigcup_{i=0}^9 \{a_i\}$, defined as in **Figure 8(a)**. Let $D' := D - \{x, y\}$, $D_x := D' - \{x'\}$, $D_y := D' - \{y'\}$, and $D_{xy} := D' - \{x', y'\}$. Suppose towards a contradiction that D admits a feedback vertex set F of size at most five. We distinguish three cases according to the deletion of x' or y' (let $F_A := F \cap A$):

Case 1: $|F \cap \{x', y'\}| = 2$. Since $|E(D_{xy})| = 21$ and $|V(D_{xy} - T)| = 7$ for every $T \in \binom{A}{3}$, F_A must delete at least 15 edges. Note that a_1 and a_7 are the only non-adjacent vertices of degree five in D_{xy} . Thus F_A deletes at most 14 edges, a contradiction.

Case 2: $|F \cap \{x', y'\}| = 1$. By symmetry, let $F \cap \{x', y'\} = \{x'\}$. Since $|E(D_x)| = 25$, $|V(D_x - T)| = 7$ for every $T \in \binom{A}{4}$, F_A must delete at least 19 edges. Observe that $S := \{a_1, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_8\}$ are the only vertices of degree five in D_x . Moreover, observe that every subset $S' \subseteq S$ with $|S'| \geq 3$ is not an independent set in D_x . Thus, at there at most two non-adjacent vertices of degree five in D_x , and hence, F_A deletes at most 18 edges, a contradiction.

Case 3: $|F \cap \{x', y'\}| = 0$. Since $|E(D')| = 29$, $|V(D' - T)| = 7$ for every $T \in \binom{A}{5}$, F_A must delete at least 23 edges. This means that there must be at least three pairwise non-adjacent vertices of degree five in F_A . Observe that there is no set $T \in \binom{A}{4}$ of four pairwise non-adjacent vertices of degree five in D' . Observe that for every $T \in \binom{A}{3}$ of pairwise

non-adjacent vertices of degree five in D' , there are no two non-adjacent vertices of degree four from $A \setminus T$ in $D' - T$. It follows that F_A deletes at most 22 edges, a contradiction.

We conclude that D admits no feedback vertex set of size at most five. \square

We are set to prove [Theorem 4](#).

Proof of [Theorem 4](#). Let $\mathcal{I} = (G, k, C)$ be an instance of FEEDBACK VERTEX SET with a 4-regular planar Hamiltonian graph G with Hamiltonian cycle C and an even number of vertices. Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be an ordering of the vertices induced by C . Make a D -insertion at v_{2i-1}, v_{2i} for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n/2\}$.

Let G' denote the obtained graph. Note that G' is planar and 5-regular. Moreover, G' is Hamiltonian: extend C to C' by adding the Hamiltonian paths for each D inserted as depicted in [Figure 2](#). Let $\mathcal{I}' = (G', k', C')$ be the obtained instance, where $k' := k + 3n$. Since each D -insertion needs six additional feedback deletions ([Lemma 5](#)), and since we can assume each to disconnect $D - \{x, y\}$ from either x or y , the correctness follows. \square

4 Regular Hamiltonian Graphs

In this section, we prove that FEEDBACK VERTEX SET remains NP-hard on p -regular Hamiltonian graphs for every $p \geq 4$.

Theorem 5. *For every $p \geq 4$, FEEDBACK VERTEX SET on p -regular Hamiltonian graphs is NP-hard, even if a Hamiltonian cycle is provided.*

We have seen that FEEDBACK VERTEX SET is NP-hard on 5-regular graphs, even if a Hamiltonian cycle C is provided. Every 5-regular graph has an even number of vertices. Thus, we find a perfect matching M on C . We will make a Y_p -insertion on every pair of vertices from M .

Lemma 6. *Graph Y_p is planar, admits a Hamiltonian path with endpoints x and y , and admits no feedback vertex set of size at most $2(p+1) - 5$ yet one of size $2(p+1) - 4$ containing x' or y' .*

Proof. That graph Y_p is planar and admits a Hamiltonian x - y path is shown in [Figure 2\(d\)](#). For a feedback vertex set, in each K_{p+1} we need to delete $p - 1$ vertices. Thus, we need at least $2(p - 1) = 2(p + 1) - 4$ vertices in a feedback vertex set. For the second claim, observe the following. Delete all vertices in K_{p+1} except for two vertices v, w different from x' , and delete all vertices in the other K_{p+1} different from y' and the two neighbors v', w' of v, w in the second K_{p+1} . It is not difficult to see that after the prescribed deletions, a $2K_2 + 2K_1$ (the disjoint union of two K_2 s and two K_1 s) remains. \square

From [Lemma 6](#) we immediately get the following.

Observation 6. *Let $\mathcal{I} = (G, k)$ be an instance of FEEDBACK VERTEX SET and let $v, w \in V(G)$ be two distinct vertices. Let G' be the graph obtained from G by the Y_p -insertion at v, w , and let $k' := k + 2(p + 1) - 4$. Then, \mathcal{I} is a **yes**-instance if and only if (G', k') is a **yes**-instance of FEEDBACK VERTEX SET.*

We are set to prove [Theorem 5](#).

Proof of [Theorem 5](#). Let $\mathcal{I} = (G, k, C)$ be an instance of FEEDBACK VERTEX SET where G is a p -regular Hamiltonian graph with Hamiltonian cycle C with an even number n of vertices. Let v_1, \dots, v_n be the order in which C meets the vertices of G . Then, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n/2\}$, make a Y_p -insertion at v_{2i-1}, v_{2i} . Extend C to C' through every inserted Y_p as depicted in [Figure 9](#). Set $k' := k + \frac{n}{2}(2(p + 1) - 4)$. Due to [Observation 6](#), the instance (G', k', C') is equivalent with \mathcal{I} . Moreover, G' is $(p + 1)$ -regular. \square




Figure 9: Illustration to the proof of [Theorem 5](#). The magenta path depicts the Hamiltonian cycle before the Y_p -insertions, and the blue path depicts the Hamiltonian cycle after the Y_p -insertions.

5 Ordered and Connected Hamiltonian Graphs

For every cycle, and for every three vertices on it, we can shift the start of the cycle and its orientation to encounter the three vertices in any order. Thus, we get the following.

Observation 7. *Every Hamiltonian graph is 3-Hamiltonian-ordered.*

We start from here and prove inductively the following.

Theorem 6. *For every $p \geq 3$, FEEDBACK VERTEX SET on p -Hamiltonian-ordered graphs is NP-hard, even if a Hamiltonian cycle is provided.*

Construction 2. Let $\mathcal{I} = (G, k, C)$ with $G = (V, E)$ be an input instance of FEEDBACK VERTEX SET with Hamiltonian cycle C of G . Let $n := |V|$. We construct an instance $\mathcal{I}' := (G', k', C')$ with $k' := 3n + k$ as follows. Let $H := K_{3n}$. Construct $G' := G * H$. Moreover, add two new vertices x, y to G' and make x adjacent to all vertices in $V(H) \cup \{y\}$ and y adjacent to all vertices in $V(H) \cup \{x\}$. Extend C through $V(H) \cup \{x, y\}$ to obtain C' . \diamond

Observation 8. *Let $\mathcal{I}' = (G', k', C')$ be the instance obtained from an input instance $\mathcal{I} = (G, k, C)$ of FEEDBACK VERTEX SET using [Construction 2](#). If G is p -Hamiltonian-ordered for $3 \leq p \leq n$, then G' is $(p + 1)$ -Hamiltonian-ordered.*

Our proof of [Observation 8](#) employs the following.

Fact 2 ([12]). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with $|V| \geq 3$ and let $p \in \{3, \dots, n\}$. If $\deg(v) + \deg(w) \geq |V| + 2p - 6$ for every non-adjacent $v, w \in V$, then G is p -Hamiltonian-ordered.*

Proof of [Observation 8](#). Note that the only two non-adjacent vertices v, w are either (i) both from G or (ii) one from G and one from x, y . In either case, we have that $\deg_{G'}(v) + \deg_{G'}(w) \geq 6n \geq (4n + 2) + 2(p + 1) - 6$. Thus, by [Fact 2](#), G' is $(p + 1)$ -Hamiltonian-ordered. \square

Lemma 7. *Let $\mathcal{I}' = (G', k', C')$ be the instance obtained from an input instance $\mathcal{I} = (G, k, C)$ of FEEDBACK VERTEX SET using [Construction 2](#). Then, \mathcal{I} is a **yes-instance** if and only if \mathcal{I}' is a **yes-instance**.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $F \subseteq V(G)$ be a size- k feedback vertex set of G . Then, $F' := F \cup V(H)$ is a feedback vertex set of G' of size $k + 3n = k'$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $F' \subseteq V(G')$ be a vertex set of G' of size k' . By construction, we know that $|F' \cap (V(H) \cup \{x, y\})| \geq 3n$. Let $F := F' \cap V(G)$. We know that $|F| \leq k$, and $G - F$ is acyclic. \square

We are set to prove [Theorem 6](#).

Proof of Theorem 6. We know that FEEDBACK VERTEX SET is NP-hard on Hamiltonian graphs and thus on 3-Hamiltonian graphs (Observation 7) with a provided Hamiltonian cycle. We apply Construction 2 to obtain from an instance \mathcal{I} with a p -Hamiltonian-ordered graph and a Hamiltonian cycle an equivalent (Lemma 7) instance with a $(p + 1)$ -Hamiltonian-ordered graph (Observation 8) and a Hamiltonian cycle. The statement finally follows by induction. \square

Recall that every p -Hamiltonian-ordered graph is trivially also p -ordered Hamiltonian. In addition, the following holds true.

Fact 3 ([12]). *If a graph is p -ordered for any $p \geq 3$, then it is $(p - 1)$ -connected.*

Hence, we get the following.

Corollary 1. *FEEDBACK VERTEX SET is NP-hard on p -ordered and $(p - 1)$ -connected Hamiltonian graphs, $p \geq 3$, even if a Hamiltonian cycle is provided.*

6 Conclusion

FEEDBACK VERTEX SET remains NP-hard on quite restricted cases even if the graph is additionally Hamiltonian and a Hamiltonian cycle is provided. Which problems are NP-hard on Hamiltonian graphs and become non-trivially tractable if a Hamiltonian cycle is provided? Which problems become non-trivially tractable on p -Hamiltonian-ordered graphs? As to the class of p -Hamiltonian-ordered graphs, we are not aware of a computational complexity study on this class next to ours. Further, it is interesting to study FEEDBACK VERTEX SET on the intersections of the classes of regular graphs, planar graphs, p -Hamiltonian-ordered, and p -ordered Hamiltonian graphs.

References

- [1] Rangaswami Balakrishnan and Kanna Ranganathan. *A textbook of graph theory*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012. 2, 10
- [2] David P Dailey. Uniqueness of colorability and colorability of planar 4-regular graphs are NP-complete. *Discrete Mathematics*, 30(3):289–293, 1980. [↗](#). URL [↗](#). 2
- [3] Hubert de Fraysseix, János Pach, and Richard Pollack. How to draw a planar graph on a grid. *Combinatorica*, 10(1):41–51, 1990. [↗](#). URL [↗](#). 5
- [4] Reinhard Diestel. *Graph Theory*, volume 173 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, 4th edition, 2010. 2, 10
- [5] Paola Festa, Panos M. Pardalos, and Mauricio G. C. Resende. Feedback set problems. In Ding-Zhu Du and Panos M. Pardalos, editors, *Handbook of Combinatorial Optimization*, pages 209–258. Springer, 1999. [↗](#). URL [↗](#). 2
- [6] Herbert Fleischner and Gert Sabidussi. 3-colorability of 4-regular Hamiltonian graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 42(2):125–140, 2003. [↗](#). URL [↗](#). 2, 8, 9, 10
- [7] Herbert Fleischner, Gert Sabidussi, and Vladimir I. Sarvanov. Maximum independent sets in 3- and 4-regular hamiltonian graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 310(20):2742–2749, 2010. [↗](#). URL [↗](#). 2

- [8] Till Fluschnik, Rolf Niedermeier, Valentin Rohm, and Philipp Zschoche. Multistage vertex cover. In *Proc. of 14th IPEC*, volume 148 of *LIPICs*, pages 14:1–14:14. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2019. [↗](#). [2](#)
- [9] Richard M. Karp. Reducibility among combinatorial problems. In *Proceedings of a Symposium on the Complexity of Computer Computations*, The IBM Research Symposia Series, pages 85–103. Plenum Press, New York, 1972. [↗](#). [1](#)
- [10] Deming Li and Yanpei Liu. A polynomial algorithm for finding the minimum feedback vertex set of a 3-regular simple graph 1. *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 19(4):375–381, 1999. [2](#)
- [11] Henry Martyn Mulder. Julius Petersen’s theory of regular graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 100(1-3):157–175, 1992. [↗](#). URL [↗](#). [9](#)
- [12] Lenhard Ng and Michelle Schultz. k -ordered hamiltonian graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 24(1):45–57, 1997. [1](#), [2](#), [13](#), [14](#)
- [13] E. Speckenmeyer. *Untersuchungen zum Feedback Vertex Set Problem in ungerichteten Graphen*. PhD thesis, Paderborn, 1983. [4](#)
- [14] Ewald Speckenmeyer. On feedback vertex sets and nonseparating independent sets in cubic graphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 12(3):405–412, 1988. [↗](#). URL [↗](#). [2](#), [4](#)
- [15] Shuichi Ueno, Yoji Kajitani, and Shin’ya Gotoh. On the nonseparating independent set problem and feedback set problem for graphs with no vertex degree exceeding three. *Discrete Mathematics*, 72(1-3):355–360, 1988. [↗](#). URL [↗](#). [2](#)