

ON THE MOTIVIC COHOMOLOGY OF SINGULAR VARIETIES

FEDERICO BINDA AND AMALENDU KRISHNA

Abstract. We show that for certain singular projective varieties the Levine-Weibel Chow group of 0-cycles coincides with the corresponding Friedlander-Voevodsky motivic cohomology, and that the Chow group of 0-cycles with modulus on a smooth projective variety over a perfect field coincides with the Suslin homology of the complement of the divisor if the latter has normal crossings. Among the applications, we prove several generalizations of the finiteness theorem of Saito and Sato for the Chow group of 0-cycles over p -adic fields. We also prove a torsion theorem for Suslin homology which extends a result of Bloch to open varieties.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries: cycles groups and motivic cohomology	6
3. Chow group vs. motivic cohomology	11
4. Chow group with modulus vs. Suslin homology	17
5. The finiteness theorems	22
6. The moving lemmas	27
7. Chow groups of normal crossing surfaces	31
8. Chow groups of higher dimensional nc varieties	35
References	38

1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of this paper is to study various groups of 0-cycles on quasi-projective varieties over a field. The main question we want to address is whether one can isolate a class of algebraic varieties for which one could describe the a priori non- \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant motivic cohomology groups (such as the Levine-Weibel Chow group and the Chow group with modulus) in terms of the — more classical — \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant motivic cohomology groups such as the ones defined by Friedlander, Suslin and Voevodsky. We answer this question for a projective variety which has either normal crossing singularities or it is obtained as the double of a smooth variety along a reduced divisor. The interest in the latter case stems from the fact that it allows us to describe the Chow group of 0-cycles with modulus on smooth varieties with reduced modulus in terms of the Suslin homology of Suslin and Voevodsky. In the following subsections, we describe our main results and their applications.

1.1. Chow group with modulus. Let k be a perfect field and X a smooth projective variety over k . Let $D \subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor. Let $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)$ denote the Chow group of 0-cycles with modulus (see [26] or [6]). The Chow groups with modulus are supposed to provide the cycle theoretic description of the relative algebraic K -theory

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C25; Secondary 14F42, 19E15.

Key words and phrases. Cycles with modulus, Cycles on singular varieties, Motivic cohomology.

in the same way as the classical Chow groups do for the non-relative K -theory: for the case of 0-cycles this relationship has now been established in many important cases (see e.g., [5], [20], [21]). The relative K -theory is an important tool to study the ordinary K -theory of singular varieties by embedding them inside smooth varieties. It is therefore important to know the structure of the Chow groups with modulus under various special conditions on the base field and the variety.

Let U be the complement of D in X . Then it is not hard to see that there is a natural surjection $\lambda_{X|D}: \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D) \twoheadrightarrow H_0^{sus}(U)$, where the latter is the 0-th Suslin homology of U (also known as the Suslin-Voevodsky singular homology). It acts, in many ways, as a replacement of the classical Chow group of 0-cycles for non-proper varieties over k (see [45] for an early example of this philosophy).

Since $H_0^{sus}(U)$ is part of the well developed theory of motivic cohomology with compact support, there are many tools to study and compute it. On the other hand, the nature of the *relations* defining $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)$ in some cases can be used to grasp new information about $H_0^{sus}(U)$ using the comparison morphism $\lambda_{X|D}$. It is therefore important to get conditions under which the above surjection is an isomorphism: we prove two positive and one negative result in this direction.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that D is reduced and its irreducible components are regular. Then the map*

$$\lambda_{X|D}: \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D) \rightarrow H_0^{sus}(U)$$

is an isomorphism.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that k is algebraically closed of positive characteristic and D is reduced. Then the map*

$$\lambda_{X|D}: \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D) \rightarrow H_0^{sus}(U)$$

is an isomorphism.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that k is algebraically closed of characteristic zero and D is reduced. Then the map*

$$\lambda_{X|D}: \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D) \rightarrow H_0^{sus}(U)$$

is not always an isomorphism.

This result may be a bit surprising, as one would expect $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)$ to behave like an \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant theory if D is reduced. One application of Theorem 1.3 is that it implies that the Chow groups with modulus defined by Russell [40] does not in general coincide with the above Chow group with modulus. It also implies that Russell's Chow groups can not satisfy Bloch's formula in terms of the top Zariski/Nisnevich cohomology of Milnor or Quillen K -sheaves.

Remark 1.4. We remark that we expect the map $\lambda_{X|D}$ in Theorem 1.3 to be an isomorphism if k is the field of algebraic numbers.

1.2. Bloch's torsion theorem for Suslin homology. Let U be a quasi-projective variety of dimension $d \geq 0$ over an algebraically closed field k which admits a smooth compactification. If U itself is complete, then Bloch's torsion theorem [7] (established independently from Roitman's theorem) affirms the existence of an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{CH}_0(U)\{\ell\} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\acute{e}t}^{2d-1}(U, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(d)).$$

This provides a simple description of the prime-to- p torsion in the Chow group of zero cycles in terms of an étale cohomology group. This isomorphism is very subtle because there is no obvious map in either direction and its construction is one of the main challenges.

When U is no longer complete, but admits a smooth compactification as indicated above, we can replace the Chow group $\mathrm{CH}_0(U)$ by $H_0^{sus}(U)$, as explained in the previous paragraph. An extension of Roitman's torsion theorem for $H_0^{sus}(U)$, providing an isomorphism between the prime-to- p torsion part of $H_0^{sus}(U)$ and the corresponding torsion in the semi-abelian Albanese variety of U , has been proved by Spieß and Szamuely [45]. But an analogous extension of Bloch's torsion theorem is not yet known. Our next result solves this problem. Let $H_{c,\acute{e}t}^*(U, \mathcal{F})$ denote the étale cohomology of U with compact support (e.g., see [38]).

Theorem 1.5. *Let U be a quasi-projective variety of dimension $d \geq 0$ over an algebraically closed field which admits a smooth compactification. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$H_0^{sus}(U)\{\ell\} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{c,\acute{e}t}^{2d-1}(U, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(d)).$$

Remark 1.6. When U is complete, the p -adic analogue of Theorem 1.5 can be deduced from [18, Cor. 3.18] using [14, Thm. 8.1]. We hope to address this question in the non-complete case in a future project. It is also an interesting question if it is possible to eliminate the condition in Theorem 1.5 that U admits a smooth compactification. We expect this to be the case.

1.3. Finiteness theorems. Let k be a p -adic field and let $m \geq 1$ be an integer such that $p \nmid m$. As another application of Theorem 1.1, we prove the following three finiteness results for various 0-cycle groups on varieties over k . To motivate these results, recall that a conjecture of Colliot-Thélène predicted that if X is a smooth projective variety over k , then $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)/m$ is finite. Such a finiteness result was previously known over finite and algebraically closed fields (without any restriction on m).

The conjecture of Colliot-Thélène was affirmatively answered by Saito and Sato [41] (see also Bloch's appendix to [9] and [10] for simplified proofs). It is natural to expect that a similar finiteness result would hold if we replace $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)/m$ with $H_0^{sus}(X)/m$ if we do not assume X to be complete. In the same spirit, one would expect that $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)/m$ is finite for X projective, interpreting $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)$ as a Chow group with compact support for $X \setminus |D|$. Theorem 1.1 allows us to answer positively to both questions. The question of finiteness of Suslin homology with coefficients arose during a conversation of authors with Colliot-Thélène on his conjecture.

Theorem 1.7. *Let U be a smooth quasi-projective scheme over k . Then $H_0^{sus}(U)/m$ is finite.*

Theorem 1.8. *Let X be a smooth projective scheme over k and $D \subset X$ an effective Cartier divisor. Then $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)/m$ is finite.*

For a reduced quasi-projective scheme X over a field, let $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)$ denote the Levine-Weibel Chow group of X (see § 2). The following is the analogue of the finiteness theorem of Saito and Sato for singular schemes.

Theorem 1.9. *Let X be an integral projective scheme over k which is regular in codimension one. Then $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)/m$ is finite.*

The above finiteness results also hold over finite and algebraically closed fields (see § 5).

1.4. Levine-Weibel Chow group and motivic cohomology. Let k be a field of exponential characteristic $p \geq 1$. We let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$ if k admits resolution of singularities and $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ otherwise. Let X be a reduced quasi-projective variety of dimension $d \geq 0$ over k .

Let $H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d))$ the Friedlander-Voevodsky motivic cohomology of X (see § 2 for a reminder about the definition). It was shown in [32] that there is a canonical *surjective* map

$$(1.1) \quad \lambda_X: \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_\Lambda \rightarrow H^{2d}(X, \Lambda(d)),$$

where Λ is as above. Note that, a priori, the source and the target of λ_X are very different objects: on one side we have a group of cycles, defined by generators and relations (in particular, no topology is involved), while on the other side we have a group defined either as Hom-group in a motivic category, or as the cdh-hypercohomology of a certain complex of sheaves.

It is well known that this map can not be an isomorphism for arbitrary varieties: for instance, the Λ -module $H^{2d}(X, \Lambda(d))$ does not see the difference between X and its semi-normalization. On the other hand, one can easily show for a curve X with a cusp singularity over characteristic zero field that $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_\Lambda$ changes after passing to the semi-normalization of X .

On the other hand, it is a result of Voevodsky that for regular X both groups are isomorphic, as a (very) special case of the identification of motivic cohomology with Bloch's higher Chow groups. It is therefore reasonable to ask if there is a specific class of singular varieties or, rather, a specific class of singularities, for which λ_X is an isomorphism (for instance, we have already observed that semi-normality is a necessary condition).

In this paper, we prove the following positive result when X has normal crossings singularities (see Definition 2.12).

Theorem 1.10. *Assume that k is an infinite perfect field and X is a normal crossing projective variety over k . Then λ_X is an isomorphism.*

We prove a similar isomorphism when X is the double of a smooth projective variety along a reduced divisor if k is algebraically closed, see Theorem 3.5.

Note that $H^{2d}(X, \Lambda(d))$ is not known to have a presentation in terms of generators and relations in general. In [10, Thm. 7.1], a variant (denoted by $\mathrm{CH}_0^{EKW}(X)$ in this paper) of the Levine-Weibel Chow group was defined for X as in Theorem 1.10 in terms of generators and relations. It was shown that $\mathrm{CH}_0^{EKW}(X)_\Lambda$ is isomorphic to $H^{2d}(X, \Lambda(d))$. Theorem 1.10 implies that under its hypothesis, there is an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{CH}_0^{EKW}(X)_\Lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_\Lambda.$$

As a consequence, it follows that $\mathrm{CH}_0^{EKW}(X)_\Lambda$ can be defined in terms of only one type of relations instead of two types of relations used in [10].

Another consequence of Theorem 1.10 is that it allows us to deduce that the main result of [4], which proved a restriction isomorphism for relative 0-cycles in a projective and flat family over a Henselian discrete valuation ring, recovers the earlier result of [10] when the family is semi-stable, i.e., the reduced special fiber is a simple normal crossing divisor. This question was raised by some of the authors of [10]. This was one of our main motivations for proving Theorem 1.10.

We end the discussion of our main results with yet another consequence. Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension $d \geq 2$ over an infinite perfect field and let $D \subset X$ be a simple normal crossing divisor. Let $\iota: D \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion. By the classical moving lemma for Chow groups, one knows that $\mathrm{CH}_1(X)$ is the quotient of the free abelian group $\mathcal{Z}_1(X)_D$ of integral 1-dimensional cycles on X which do not meet D_{sing} by a suitable rational equivalence relation. We have the obvious pull-back map $\iota^*: \mathcal{Z}_1(X)_D \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_1(D, D_{\mathrm{sing}})$ (see § 2.1) defined by taking the scheme theoretic intersection with D . But it is not clear if it descends to a map between the Chow groups. As a consequence of Theorem 1.10, we can conclude the following.

Corollary 1.11. *The map $\iota^*: \mathcal{Z}_1(X)_D \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_1(D, D_{\text{sing}})$ descends to a homomorphism*

$$\iota^*: \text{CH}_1(X)_\Lambda \rightarrow \text{CH}_0^{LW}(D)_\Lambda.$$

1.5. Overview of proofs. We now give a brief overview of our proofs. The proof of Theorem 1.1 uses two main tricks. The first is that in order to compare Chow group with modulus with Suslin homology, we need to replace the integral normal curves used in defining the Suslin homology by some embedded curves. To take care of this, we pass from X to a projective space over it where the curve is automatically embedded and then use the covariant functoriality of the Chow group with modulus. The second trick is to perform a series of monoidal transformations on X in order to make the given integral curve transverse with respect to the modulus divisor D . We achieve this using a result of Jannsen (see the appendix of [41]). Once this is done, the rest of the proof becomes clear.

To prove Theorem 1.2, the key ingredients are the Roitman torsion theorems of [30] and [45], and the Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem for Serre's albanese variety from [17]. Using these, the theorem is deduced from Proposition 4.3. The latter is a general result of independent interest and estimates the kernel of the map from the Chow group with modulus to Suslin homology. We prove Theorem 1.3 by constructing a specific example and Theorem 1.5 as a consequence of Theorem 1.2 and [30, Thm. 1.1].

We deduce Theorem 1.7 from a general finiteness result (see Proposition 5.2) that we prove in this paper. This result applies to all projective schemes over certain fields. Using this, the finiteness of the Suslin homology follows using the localization sequence for motivic cohomology with compact support. Theorem 1.8 follows from Theorems 1.1 and 1.7 after we make D reduced using a theorem of Miyazaki [39], and a divisor with normal crossings using a sequence of blow ups with smooth centers. We deduce Theorem 1.9 from Theorem 1.7 and an independent result Theorem 5.5 which compares the Levine-Weibel Chow group and the Suslin homology.

The strategy to prove Theorem 1.10 is to construct a surjective map $\text{CH}_0^{EKW}(X) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0^{LW}(X)$ such that its composite with λ_X is the one shown to be an isomorphism by Esnault, Kerz and Wittenberg. In order to construct such a map, our key step is Theorem 8.1, which in turn relies on a moving lemma for the Chow group of 0-cycles with modulus, see Proposition 6.6. This moving lemma is of independent interest in the study of Chow groups with modulus as it greatly simplifies their description under certain conditions on the modulus divisor.

We recollect various cycle and motivic cohomology groups in § 2. We recall and prove a result about the albanese maps in § 3. These are used in proving Theorem 1.2. The main result of this section is Theorem 3.5 which proves an isomorphism between the Levine-Weibel Chow group and motivic cohomology of a special kind of singular variety. The results of § 1.1 are proven in § 4. Theorems 1.7 and 1.8 are shown in § 5. In § 6, we prove our moving lemma, in § 7, we prove Theorem 1.10 for surfaces and in § 8, we complete the proof.

Notations and conventions. If A is a Noetherian ring, we shall write \mathbf{Sch}_A for the category of schemes which are separated and of finite type over $\text{Spec}(A)$. We let \mathbf{Sm}_A denote the full subcategory of \mathbf{Sch}_A whose objects are smooth schemes over $\text{Spec}(A)$. For $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_A$, we shall write X_{reg} (resp. X_{sm}) for the regular locus of X (resp. for the smooth locus of $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$). By a regular (or smooth) closed point of X we will mean a closed point lying in X_{reg} (resp. in X_{sm}). We will write X_{sing} for the singular locus of X , i.e., the complement $X \setminus X_{\text{reg}}$. We let $X_{(i)}$ denote the set of points $x \in X$ such that $\dim(\overline{\{x\}}) = i$.

In this paper, we will be mostly interested in the case where $A = k$ is a perfect field. If p is the exponential characteristic of k , we shall let Λ be the ring \mathbb{Z} if k admits resolution of singularities, or the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ otherwise ([13, Def. 3.4]). For any abelian group M , we shall write $M\{p'\}$ (resp. $M\{p\}$) for the subgroup of elements in M which are torsion of order prime to p (resp. a power of p). For a commutative ring R , we let $M_R = M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$. The tensor product $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B$ will be written as $A \otimes B$.

2. PRELIMINARIES: CYCLES GROUPS AND MOTIVIC COHOMOLOGY

We begin by fixing some notations and clarifying our terminology. Throughout this paper, we will encounter several different groups of 0-cycles: the groups will often share the same set of generators, but will be subject to different notions of rational equivalence. In a nutshell, our main results will be a comparison between the different notions, by means of suitable moving lemmas. We shall draw some consequences of this comparison. In this preliminary section, we introduce the main players, recalling some definitions already existing in the literature. New groups of cycles, tailored on the specific geometry of the varieties we are interested in, will be defined later in the paper.

2.1. Cycle groups on singular schemes. Let k be a field of exponential characteristic $p \geq 1$. Let $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ be a reduced k -scheme. For any $r \geq 0$, we write $\mathcal{Z}_r(X)$ for the free abelian group on the set of integral r -dimensional cycles in X , i.e., integral closed subschemes of dimension r . Let $\mathcal{R}_r(X)$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_r(X)$ generated by the cycles which are rationally equivalent to zero (see for example [12, §1]). We write $\mathrm{CH}_r^F(X)$ or simply $\mathrm{CH}_r(X)$ for the quotient $\mathcal{Z}_r(X)/\mathcal{R}_r(X)$ and refer to it as the (Fulton's) Chow group of r -cycles on X modulo rational equivalence.

We now recall the definition of the Levine-Weibel Chow group of 0-cycles on X from [35] and its modified version from [3] and [4]. Assume that X is of pure dimension d .

We now recall the definition of Levine-Weibel Chow group of 0-cycles on X from [35] and its modified version from [3] and [4]. Assume that X is of pure dimension d . Let $Y \subsetneq X$ be a closed subset not containing any component of X such that $X_{\mathrm{sing}} \subseteq Y$. Let $\mathcal{Z}_0(X, Y)$ be the free abelian group on closed points of $X \setminus Y$. We shall often write $\mathcal{Z}_0(X, X_{\mathrm{sing}})$ as $\mathcal{Z}_0(X)$ if no confusion arises.

Definition 2.1. Let C be a reduced scheme which is of pure dimension one over k (from now on, such C will be simply called *a curve*). We shall say that a pair (C, Z) is a *good curve relative to Y* if there exists a finite morphism $\nu: C \rightarrow X$ and a closed proper subscheme $Z \subsetneq C$ such that the following hold.

- (1) No component of C is contained in Z .
- (2) $\nu^{-1}(Y) \cup C_{\mathrm{sing}} \subseteq Z$.
- (3) ν is local complete intersection at every point $x \in C$ such that $\nu(x) \in Y$.

Let (C, Z) be a good curve relative to X and let $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r\}$ be the set of generic points of C . Let $\mathcal{O}_{C, Z}$ denote the semilocal ring of C at $S = Z \cup \{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r\}$. Let $k(C)$ denote the ring of total quotients of C and write $\mathcal{O}_{C, Z}^\times$ for the group of units in $\mathcal{O}_{C, Z}$. Notice that $\mathcal{O}_{C, Z}$ coincides with $k(C)$ if $|Z| = \emptyset$. As C is Cohen-Macaulay, $\mathcal{O}_{C, Z}^\times$ is the subgroup of group of units in the ring of total quotients $k(C)^\times$ consisting of those $f \in \mathcal{O}_{C, x}$ which are regular and invertible for every $x \in Z$.

Given any $f \in \mathcal{O}_{C, Z}^\times \hookrightarrow k(C)^\times$, we denote by $\mathrm{div}_C(f)$ (or $\mathrm{div}(f)$ in short) the divisor of zeros and poles of f on C , which is defined as follows. If C_1, \dots, C_r are the irreducible components of C , and f_i is the factor of f in $k(C_i)$, we set $\mathrm{div}(f)$ to be the 0-cycle $\sum_{i=1}^r \mathrm{div}(f_i)$, where $\mathrm{div}(f_i)$ is the usual divisor of a rational function on an integral curve in the sense of [12]. As f is an invertible regular function on C along Z , $\mathrm{div}(f) \in \mathcal{Z}_0(C, Z)$.

By definition, given any good curve (C, Z) relative to X , we have a push-forward map $\mathcal{Z}_0(C, Z) \xrightarrow{\nu_*} \mathcal{Z}_0(X, Y)$. We shall write $\mathcal{R}_0(C|Z, X|Y)$ for the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_0(X, Y)$ generated by the set $\{\nu_*(\operatorname{div}(f)) \mid f \in \mathcal{O}_{C, Z}^\times\}$.

Definition 2.2. (1) Let $\mathcal{R}_0(X, Y)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_0(X, Y)$ generated by the image of the map $\mathcal{R}_0(C|Z, X|Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_0(X, Y)$, where (C, Z) runs through all good curves relative to Y . We let $\operatorname{CH}_0^{BK}(X, Y) = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_0(X, Y)}{\mathcal{R}_0(X, Y)}$ and call it the *lci Chow group* of zero cycles of X . We shall write $\mathcal{R}_0(X, X_{\text{sing}})$ as $\mathcal{R}_0(X)$ and $\operatorname{CH}_0^{BK}(X, X_{\text{sing}})$ as $\operatorname{CH}_0^{BK}(X)$.

(2) Let $\mathcal{R}_0^{LW}(X)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_0(X)$ generated by the divisors of rational functions on good curves as above, where we further assume that the map $\nu: C \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed immersion. We let $\operatorname{CH}_0^{LW}(X) = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_0(X, X_{\text{sing}})}{\mathcal{R}_0^{LW}(X)}$ and call it the *Levine-Weibel Chow group* of zero cycles on X . We shall refer to good curves relative to X_{sing} as *lci curves* and embedded good curves on X as *Cartier curves*.

By definition, there is a canonical surjection $\operatorname{CH}_0^{LW}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{CH}_0^{BK}(X)$.

Remark 2.3. The Chow group $\operatorname{CH}_0^{LW}(X)$ was discovered by Levine and Weibel [35] in an attempt to describe the Grothendieck group of a singular scheme in terms of algebraic cycles. The modified version $\operatorname{CH}_0^{BK}(X)$ was introduced in [3]. \square

Note that there is always a map

$$(2.1) \quad \operatorname{CH}_0^{BK}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{CH}_0(X)$$

which is far, in general, from being an isomorphism if $X_{\text{sing}} \neq \emptyset$. This is already clear when $\dim(X) = 1$, see [35] or [3, Lem. 3.12].

2.2. Singular homology. Let k be a field and let U be a smooth k -scheme. Recall that the Suslin-Voevodsky singular homology of U (also called Suslin homology in the literature) is defined as follows. Let Δ^n be the algebraic n -simplex and denote by $C_n(U)$ the free abelian group generated by those integral closed subschemes Z of $U \times \Delta^n$ for which the projection $Z \rightarrow \Delta^n$ is finite and surjective. By pulling back via the structure maps of the cosimplicial scheme Δ_k^\bullet , one equips $C_\bullet(U)$ with the structure of a simplicial abelian group. We denote the associated chain complex (via the Dold-Kan correspondence) also by $C_\bullet(U)$. The n -th singular homology group $H_n^{\text{sus}}(U)$ of U is the n -th homology of this complex.

When $n = 0$, another, explicit, description is available (and will be taken as a definition for us). For $i: C \hookrightarrow U$ an integral curve, let $\phi: C^N \rightarrow C$ be its normalization and let \overline{C}^N be the unique projective compactification of C^N . We denote by C_∞ the complement $\overline{C}^N \setminus C^N$, and consider it as a *reduced* Cartier divisor on \overline{C} . Write $G(\overline{C}^N, C_\infty)$ for the kernel $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{C}^N, C_\infty}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_\infty}^\times)$, where $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{C}^N, C_\infty}$ denotes as before the semilocal ring of \overline{C}^N at the union of the closed points supporting C_∞ . Note that $G(\overline{C}^N, C_\infty) \subset k(C)^\times = k(\overline{C}^N)^\times$.

For $f \in G(\overline{C}^N, C_\infty)$, we can consider its divisor $\operatorname{div}_{\overline{C}^N}(f) \in \mathcal{Z}_0(\overline{C}^N, C_\infty)$ (this clearly makes sense, since the support of $\operatorname{div}_{\overline{C}^N}(f)$ has to be disjoint from C_∞). Since ϕ is finite and i is a closed immersion, we can consider the composite

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(\overline{C}^N, C_\infty) = \mathcal{Z}_0(C^N) \xrightarrow{\phi_*} \mathcal{Z}_0(C) \xrightarrow{i_*} \mathcal{Z}_0(U).$$

We write $\mathcal{R}_0^S(C, U)$ for the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_0(U)$ generated by the set $\{i_*\phi_*(\operatorname{div}(f)) \mid f \in G(\overline{C}^N, C_\infty)\}$.

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{R}_0^S(U)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_0(U)$ generated by $\mathcal{R}_0^S(C, U)$ where C runs through all integral curves in X , and write $H_0^{sus}(U) = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_0(U)}{\mathcal{R}_0^S(U)}$ for the quotient. We call it the *0-th Suslin (or singular) homology of U* .

One knows (see, e.g., [42, Thm. 5.1]) that $H_0^{sus}(U)$ agrees with $H_0(C_\bullet(U))$.

Remark 2.5. (1) For every smooth k -scheme U , the j -th Suslin homology group of U with coefficients in Λ can be computed as

$$H_j^{sus}(U)_\Lambda = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{Nis}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k, \Lambda)}(\Lambda[j], M(U)),$$

where $\mathbf{DM}_{\mathrm{Nis}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k, \Lambda)$ is Voevodsky's category of effective motives with Λ coefficients. This motivic interpretation will be explored further later in the text.

(2) It is clear from the above description that if U is proper over k , then $H_0^{sus}(U) = \mathrm{CH}_0(U)$. See [37, p.47]. \square

2.3. Motivic cohomology. Let k be a field of exponential characteristic $p \geq 1$. Let X be a quasi-projective scheme of dimension $d \geq 0$ over k . Recall from [37, Lecture 16] that given $T \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ and an integer $r \geq 0$, the presheaf $z_{\mathrm{equi}}(T, r)$ on \mathbf{Sm}_k is defined by letting $z_{\mathrm{equi}}(T, r)(U)$ be the free abelian group generated by the closed and irreducible subschemes $Z \not\subseteq U \times T$ which are dominant and equidimensional of relative dimension r (any fiber is either empty or all its components have dimension r) over a component of U . It is known that $z_{\mathrm{equi}}(T, r)$ is a sheaf on the big étale site of \mathbf{Sm}_k .

Let $C_* z_{\mathrm{equi}}(T, r)$ denote the chain complex of presheaves of abelian groups associated to the simplicial presheaf on \mathbf{Sm}_k given by $C_n z_{\mathrm{equi}}(T, r)(U) = z_{\mathrm{equi}}(T, r)(U \times \Delta_k^n)$. The simplicial structure on $C_* z_{\mathrm{equi}}(T, r)$ is induced by the cosimplicial scheme Δ_k^\bullet , as before. Recall the following definition of motivic cohomology of singular schemes from [13, Defn. 9.2].

Definition 2.6. The motivic cohomology groups of X are defined as the hypercohomology $H^m(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{cdh}}^{m-2n}(X, C_* z_{\mathrm{equi}}(\mathbb{A}_k^n, 0)_{\mathrm{cdh}})$.

If one is willing to work with Λ -coefficients, motivic cohomology groups have a description in terms of Hom-groups in Voevodsky's category of motives. More precisely, we have the following result (see [32, Thm. 3.10]).

Theorem 2.7. *There is a functorial isomorphism*

$$H^m(X, \Lambda(n)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{DM}(k, \Lambda)}(M(X), \Lambda(n)[m]),$$

where $\mathbf{DM}(k, \Lambda)$ is Voevodsky's non-effective category of motives for the *cdh*-topology (also known as the 'big' category of motives) with Λ -coefficients, and $M(X)$ is the motive of X .

Remark 2.8. When X is smooth, the motivic cohomology groups are isomorphic to Bloch's higher Chow groups. More precisely, for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a natural isomorphism $H^m(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = \mathrm{CH}^n(X, 2n - m)$. See [48, Cor. 2]. \square

In this paper, we are specifically interested in the bi-degree $(2d, d)$. By [32, Lem. 7.12], there is a canonical group homomorphism

$$(2.2) \quad \gamma_X : \mathrm{CH}_0^{BK}(X)_\Lambda \rightarrow H^{2d}(X, \Lambda(d)).$$

We remark here that the construction of γ_X uses [32, Thm. 5.1] whose proof holds verbatim with integral coefficients when k admits resolution of singularities. The map

γ_X has the property that for a closed point $x \in X_{\text{reg}}$, the diagram

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{CH}_0(\text{Spec}(k(x)))_\Lambda & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^0(\text{Spec}(k(x)), \Lambda(0)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{CH}_0^{BK}(X)_\Lambda & \xrightarrow{\gamma_x} & H^{2d}(X, \Lambda(d)) \end{array}$$

commutes, where the vertical arrows are the push-forward maps (see [32, § 7], and [4, §5.1] where a similar construction is performed with motivic cohomology replaced by étale cohomology with finite coefficients). We let

$$\lambda_X^{LW} : \text{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_\Lambda \rightarrow \text{CH}_0^{BK}(X)_\Lambda \rightarrow H^{2d}(X, \Lambda(d))$$

denote the composite map.

We let $M^c(X) \in \mathbf{DM}(k, \Lambda)$ denote the motive of X with compact support. One knows that $M^c(X) \simeq M(X)$ if X is projective over k . Under our assumption on Λ , it follows from [47] and [24, Chap. 5] that for a closed immersion $Z_1 \subset Z_2$ of schemes, there is a distinguished triangle (called the localization sequence) in $\mathbf{DM}(k, \Lambda)$:

$$(2.4) \quad M^c(Z_1) \rightarrow M^c(Z_2) \rightarrow M^c(Z_2 \setminus Z_1) \rightarrow M^c(Z_1)[1].$$

Using the duality between the motivic homology and the motivic cohomology with compact support, one gets a functorial isomorphism $H_n^{sus}(Z)_\Lambda \simeq H_c^{2d-n}(Z, \Lambda(d))$ if Z is a smooth scheme of dimension d , see [13] and [24, Chap. 5], where the motivic cohomology groups with compact support is defined as

$$H_c^m(Z, \Lambda(n)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{DM}(k, \Lambda)}(M^c(Z), \Lambda(n)[m]).$$

In what follows, we will frequently use these identifications. We shall sometimes write $H^m(Z, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ as $H^{m,n}(Z)$.

2.4. Cycles with modulus. Let k again be a field. Given any integral normal curve C over k and an effective Cartier (or Weil) divisor E on C , we say that a rational function $f \in k(C)^\times$ on C has modulus E if $f \in \text{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_{C,E}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E^\times)$. As in § 2.2, we let $G(C, E)$ denote the subgroup of $k(C)^\times$ given by such rational functions. Note that E is not necessarily reduced.

Let $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ be an integral k -scheme, and let D be an effective Cartier divisor on X . Write as before $\mathcal{Z}_0(X, D)$ for the free abelian group on the set of closed points in $X \setminus D$. If C is an integral normal curve over k equipped with a finite morphism $\phi_C: C \rightarrow D$ such that $\phi_C(C) \not\subset D$, we have a well defined group homomorphism

$$\tau_C: G(C, \phi_C^*(D)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_0(X, D), \quad f \mapsto (\phi_C)_*(\text{div}(f)),$$

where $\phi_C^*(D)$ denote the pullback of D to C . Note that $\phi_C^*(D)$ is not necessarily reduced, even when D is. Recall the following definition, that originally appeared in [26].

Definition 2.9 (Kerz-Saito). We define the Chow group $\text{CH}_0(X|D)$ of 0-cycles of X with modulus D as the cokernel of the homomorphism

$$\text{div}: \bigoplus_{\phi_C: C \rightarrow X} G(C, \phi_C^*D) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_0(X, D),$$

where the sum runs over the set of finite morphisms $\phi_C: C \rightarrow X$ from integral normal curves such that $\phi_C(C) \not\subset D$.

The Chow groups of zero cycles with modulus are known to be covariantly functorial (pushforward) for proper maps $f: X' \rightarrow X$ with respect to Cartier divisors D' on X' and D on X such that $f^*(D)$ is defined and satisfies $f^*(D) \leq D'$ as effective Cartier divisors. See [6, Lem. 2.4] or [31, Prop. 2.10]. Similarly, it is easy to construct a pullback along finite flat maps. See again [6, Lem. 2.4] or [31, Prop. 2.12].

Lemma 2.10. *Assume that X is proper and that $U = X \setminus D$ is a smooth k -scheme. Then there is a canonical surjection*

$$(2.5) \quad \lambda_{X|D}: \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D) \rightarrow H_0^{\mathrm{sus}}(U).$$

Proof. It follows from Definition 2.4 (and actually [42, Thm. 5.1]) that the Suslin homology group $H_0^{\mathrm{sus}}(U)$ can be described as the cokernel of the homomorphism

$$\mathrm{div}: \bigoplus_{\phi_C: C \rightarrow X} G(C, (\phi_C^* D)_{\mathrm{red}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_0(U),$$

where the sum runs over the set of finite morphisms $\phi_C: C \rightarrow X$ from integral normal curves such that $\phi_C(C) \not\subset D$. For every such C , there is an obvious map $G(C, \phi_C^* D) \rightarrow G(C, (\phi_C^* D)_{\mathrm{red}})$, since if f has modulo E , then it has also modulo E_{red} for any effective Cartier divisor E . This gives the desired map $\lambda_{X|D}$. Since both groups are presented as quotients of the same free abelian group of cycles, the map is automatically surjective. \square

The map (2.5) is in general not an isomorphism. If $\dim(X) = 1$ and X is a normal projective curve over k , the group $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)$ agrees with the relative Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(X, D)$ of line bundles \mathcal{L} on X equipped with a trivialization $\mathcal{L}|_D \cong \mathcal{O}_D$ along D (see, e.g., [6, §4]), while $H_0^{\mathrm{sus}}(U)$ agrees with the relative Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(X, D_{\mathrm{red}})$, by [37, Thm. 7.16]. So, the two groups do not agree when D is not reduced.

In this paper, we shall show that even if D is reduced, $\lambda_{X|D}$ may not be an isomorphism in general: see Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.6 for two results in opposite directions over algebraically closed fields. If D is not just reduced, but each of its irreducible components are in fact smooth over k , then $\lambda_{X|D}$ turns out to be an isomorphism over any perfect field. These are some of the main contributions of this paper.

2.5. Normal crossing varieties. Let X be a Noetherian scheme of finite Krull dimension $d + 1 \geq 1$. Let $D \subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor. Let $D_i \subset D$, $i \in I$ be the irreducible components of D viewed as closed subschemes of X . Note that $D = \emptyset$ if $d = 0$. Recall the following definition (e.g., see [8, 2.4]).

Definition 2.11. (1) We say that D is a *simple normal crossing divisor* on X if it is reduced, for each point $x \in D$, the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is regular, each D_i is an effective Cartier divisor, and for each $J \subset I$, the scheme theoretic intersection $D_J = \bigcap_{j \in J} D_j$ is a regular scheme, of pure codimension $|J|$ in X (i.e., each irreducible component of D_J has codimension $|J|$ in X).

(2) We say that D is a *normal crossing divisor* on X if for every $x \in D$, there exists an étale morphism $U \rightarrow X$ with x in the image such that $D \times_X U$ a simple normal crossing divisor on U .

Definition 2.12. Let k be a field and X a reduced quasi-projective k -scheme of dimension $d \geq 0$. Let $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ be the set of irreducible components of X . We shall say that X is a *normal crossing variety* of dimension $d \geq 0$ if for every nonempty subset $J \subset [1, n]$, the scheme theoretic intersection $X_J := \bigcap_{i \in J} X_i$ is a smooth k -scheme of pure dimension $d + 1 - |J|$.

The reader should note that if are working in the category \mathbf{Sch}_k for some field k , then Definition 2.12 is a priori a weaker notion than the one in Definition 2.11. We shall work with this general notion because it is very useful in applying induction techniques while proving some of the main results of this paper (e.g., see the proof of Theorem 8.1).

2.6. The double construction. Let k be any field. Let X be an integral regular quasi-projective scheme of dimension d over k and let $D \subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor. Recall from [3, § 2.1] that the double of X along D is a quasi-projective scheme $S(X, D) = X \sqcup_D X$ so that

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{\iota} & X \\ \iota \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_+ \\ X & \xrightarrow{\iota_-} & S(X, D) \end{array}$$

is a co-Cartesian square in \mathbf{Sch}_k . In particular, the identity map of X induces a finite flat map $\Delta_X: S(X, D) \rightarrow X$ such that $\Delta_X \circ \iota_{\pm} = \text{id}_X$ and $\pi = \iota_+ \sqcup \iota_- : X \sqcup X \rightarrow S(X, D)$ is the normalization map. We let $X_{\pm} = \iota_{\pm}(X) \subset S(X, D)$ denote the two irreducible components of $S(X, D)$. We use S_X as a shorthand for $S(X, D)$ when the divisor D is understood. S_X is a reduced quasi-projective scheme whose singular locus is $D \subset S_X$. It is projective (resp. affine) whenever X is so. It follows from [30, Lem. 2.2] that (2.6) is a bi-Cartesian square.

We have a pullback isomorphism $\mathcal{Z}_0(S_X, D) \xrightarrow{(\iota_+^*, \iota_-^*)} \mathcal{Z}_0(X_+, D) \oplus \mathcal{Z}_0(X_-, D)$, as well as pushforward inclusion maps $p_{\pm*}: \mathcal{Z}_0(X, D) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_0(S_X, D)$ such that $\iota_+^* \circ p_{+*} = \text{id}$ and $\iota_+^* \circ p_{-*} = 0$. The main result of [3] connects the lci Chow group of zero cycles on S_X with Fulton's Chow group of X and the Kerz-Saito Chow group with modulus $\text{CH}_0(X|D)$ as follows.

First, there are push-forward maps $p_{\pm*}: \text{CH}_0(X|D) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0^{BK}(S_X)$ and pull-back maps $\iota_{\pm}^*: \text{CH}_0^{BK}(S_X) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0(X)$, where the latter are split by $\Delta^*: \text{CH}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0^{BK}(S_X)$. By [3, Thm. 7.1], there is a split short exact sequence

$$(2.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{CH}_0(X|D) \xrightarrow{p_{+,*}} \text{CH}_0^{BK}(S_X) \xrightarrow{\iota_+^*} \text{CH}_0(X) \rightarrow 0$$

and a similar split exact corresponding to the maps $(p_{-,*}, \iota_+^*)$. Note that the reference [3] requires k to be perfect, but see also [5, Thm. 2.11] for an explanation on how to remove this assumption using the Bertini theorem [16, Cor. 3.8].

3. CHOW GROUP VS. MOTIVIC COHOMOLOGY

In this section, we shall prove that the composition of the map (2.2) with the Levine-Wiebel Chow group is an isomorphism for S_X . In the latter part of the paper, we shall use this in combination with (2.7) to study the relation between $\text{CH}_0(X|D)$ and $H_0^{sus}(X \setminus D)$. Before we get to this isomorphism, we shall recall Serre's Albanese varieties and Albanese maps and state Theorem 3.2 which we shall use in the next section.

3.1. The semi-abelian Albanese variety for the double. Let k be an algebraically closed field of any characteristic. We fix a pair (X, D) consisting of a smooth projective k -scheme X of dimension $d \geq 1$ and an effective Cartier divisor D on it. We write S_X for the double $S(X, D)$ of § 2.6, and $U = X \setminus D$. Write $\text{CH}_0^{BK}(S_X)_{\text{deg } 0} := \text{Ker}(\text{CH}_0^{BK}(S_X) \rightarrow H^0(S_X, \mathbb{Z}))$ for the degree zero part of the lci Chow group of 0-cycles on S_X .

Let $\pi: \overline{S}_X = X_+ \sqcup X_- \rightarrow S_X$ denote again the normalization map. Let $\text{Cl}(\overline{S}_X)$ and $\text{Pic}_W(\overline{S}_X)$ denote the class group and the Weil Picard group of \overline{S}_X . Recall from [50] that $\text{Pic}_W(\overline{S}_X)$ is the subgroup of $\text{Cl}(\overline{S}_X)$ consisting of Weil divisors which are algebraically equivalent to zero in the sense of [12, Chap. 19]. Since \overline{S}_X is smooth, $\text{Pic}_W(\overline{S}_X)$ coincides with $\text{Pic}^0(\overline{S}_X)$. Let $\text{Div}(S_X)$ denote the free abelian group of Weil divisors on S_X . Let $\Lambda_1(S_X)$ denote the subgroup of $\text{Div}(\overline{S}_X)$ generated by the Weil divisors which are supported on $\pi^{-1}((S_X)_{\text{sing}}) = \pi^{-1}(D)$. Note that $\Lambda_1(S_X)$ is the free abelian group on the irreducible components of $D \sqcup D \subset \overline{S}_X$. This gives us a map $\iota_{S_X}: \Lambda_1(S_X) \rightarrow \frac{\text{Cl}(\overline{S}_X)}{\text{Pic}_W(\overline{S}_X)}$.

Let $\Lambda_{\text{Serre}}(S_X)$ be the kernel of this map. Let $\Lambda(S_X)$ denote the kernel of the canonical map

$$(3.1) \quad \Lambda_1(S_X) \xrightarrow{(\iota_{S_X}, \pi_*)} \frac{\text{Cl}(\overline{S}_X)}{\text{Pic}_W(\overline{S}_X)} \oplus \text{Div}(S_X) = NS(\overline{S}_X) \oplus \text{Div}(S_X).$$

Let $J^d(S_X)$ and $J_{\text{Serre}}^d(S_X)$ denote the Cartier duals of the 1-motives

$$[\Lambda(S_X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(\overline{S}_X)], \text{ and } [\Lambda_{\text{Serre}}(S_X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(\overline{S}_X)],$$

respectively. There is a surjective group homomorphism

$$\rho_{S_X}: \text{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X)_{\text{deg } 0} \twoheadrightarrow J^d(S_X)$$

which is universal among regular homomorphisms from $\text{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X)_{\text{deg } 0}$ to semi-abelian varieties. $J^d(S_X)$ is called the *semi-abelian Albanese variety* of S_X . By [3, Prop. 9.7], there is a factorization of ρ_X :

$$(3.2) \quad \text{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X)_{\text{deg } 0} \rightarrow \text{CH}_0^{BK}(X)_{\text{deg } 0} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}_{S_X}} J^d(S_X)$$

and $J^d(S_X)$ is in fact the universal regular semi-abelian variety quotient of $\text{CH}_0^{BK}(S_X)_{\text{deg } 0}$.

One knows from [44] that $J_{\text{Serre}}^d(S_X)$ is Serre's Albanese variety of $(S_X)_{\text{reg}} = U \sqcup U$, the universal object in the category of morphisms from $U \sqcup U$ to semi-abelian varieties. We let $J^d(U)$ denote Serre's Albanese variety of U so that $J_{\text{Serre}}^d(S_X) = J^d(U) \times J^d(U)$. Using the above constructions, one checks that there is an exact sequence of morphisms of semi-abelian varieties

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow J_{\text{Serre}}^d(S_X) \rightarrow J^d(S_X) \rightarrow 0,$$

where T is an algebraic torus over k of rank at most equal to the number of components of D .

We also have a commutative diagram

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_1(X|D) & \longrightarrow & NS(X) \\ \iota_{-,*} \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_{-,*} \\ \Lambda_1(S_X) & \longrightarrow & NS(X_+ \sqcup X_-), \end{array}$$

where $\Lambda_1(X|D)$ is the free abelian group of the irreducible components of D . If we let $\Lambda_{\text{Serre}}(X|D) = \text{Ker}(\Lambda_1(X|D) \rightarrow NS(X))$, we obtain a commutative diagram of 1-motives

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)] & \xrightarrow{\alpha_X} & [\Lambda_{\text{Serre}}(X|D) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)] \\ \iota_{-,*} \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_{-,*} \\ [\Lambda(S_X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(\overline{S}_X)] & \xrightarrow{\beta_X} & [\Lambda_{\text{Serre}}(S_X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(\overline{S}_X)] \\ \iota_+^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_+^* \\ [\Lambda(S_X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)] & \xrightarrow{\gamma_X} & [\Lambda_{\text{Serre}}(X|D) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

in which the two columns are exact.

We now consider the commutative diagram

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_1(X|D) & \longrightarrow & NS(X) \\ \tilde{\Delta}_X^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\Delta}_X^* \\ \Lambda_1(S_X) & \longrightarrow & NS(\overline{S}_X), \end{array}$$

where we let $\tilde{\Delta}_X^*(a) = (a, -a)$. Note that $\Lambda_1(S_X) = \Lambda_1(X|D) \oplus \Lambda_1(X|D)$ and $NS(\overline{S}_X) = NS(X) \oplus NS(X)$. It is clear then that $\tilde{\Delta}_X^*$ factors through $\Lambda_1(X|D) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\Lambda_1(S_X) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \text{Div}(S_X))$. We thus get a morphism of 1-motives $\tilde{\Delta}_X^* : [\Lambda_{\text{Serre}}(X|D) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)] \rightarrow [\Lambda(S_X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(\overline{S}_X)]$. Composing this with ι_+^* in the left column of (3.5), we get a map $[\Lambda_{\text{Serre}}(X|D) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)] \rightarrow [\Lambda(S_X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)]$.

Lemma 3.1. *The map*

$$\iota_+^* \circ \tilde{\Delta}_X^* : [\Lambda_{\text{Serre}}(X|D) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)] \rightarrow [\Lambda(S_X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)]$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. To prove the lemma, we first note that at the level of the abelian varieties, this map of 1-motives is given by $a \mapsto (a, -a) \mapsto a$, so it is actually identity. So we only need to show that the map $\tilde{\Delta}_X^* : \Lambda_{\text{Serre}}(X|D) \rightarrow \Lambda(S_X)$ is an isomorphism.

To prove this, let $\Lambda_2(S_X) = \text{Ker}(\Lambda_1(S_X) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \text{Div}(S_X))$. We then have the commutative diagram

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \Lambda_{\text{Serre}}(X|D) & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_1(X|D) & \xrightarrow{cl_X} & NS(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Lambda(S_X) & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_2(S_X) & \xrightarrow{cl_{S_X}} & NS(\overline{S}_X), \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are $\tilde{\Delta}_X^*$. Since $D \sqcup D \xrightarrow{\pi} D$ is just the collapse map, it is immediate from various definitions that any element of $\Lambda_2(S_X)$ must be of the form $\tilde{\Delta}_X^*(a)$ for some $a \in \Lambda_1(X|D)$. Furthermore, we have that $\iota_+^* \circ \tilde{\Delta}_X^*(a)$ is identity. It follows that the middle vertical arrow in (3.7) is an isomorphism. The right vertical arrow is injective and is split by ι_+^* . In particular, if we let $\widetilde{NS(X)}$ and $\widetilde{NS(\overline{S}_X)}$ be the images of cl_X and cl_{S_X} , respectively, we get a commutative diagram of short exact sequences

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_{\text{Serre}}(X|D) & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_1(X|D) & \xrightarrow{cl_X} & \widetilde{NS(X)} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda(S_X) & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_2(S_X) & \xrightarrow{cl_{S_X}} & \widetilde{NS(\overline{S}_X)} \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where the middle and the right vertical arrows are isomorphisms. It follows that the left vertical arrow is an isomorphism too. This proves the lemma. \square

We let $J^d(X|D) := \text{Ker}(J^d(S_X) \xrightarrow{\iota_+^*} J^d(X))$, where the map ι_+^* is surjective and is split by $\tilde{\Delta}_X^* : J^d(X) \rightarrow J^d(S_X)$. Using [3, Prop. 9.7, § 11.1], one shows that $J^d(X|D)$ is the universal regular semi-abelian variety quotient of $\text{CH}_0(X|D)_{\text{deg}0}$. Furthermore, there is

a commutative diagram of split short exact sequences

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)_{\mathrm{deg} 0} & \xrightarrow{p^{+,*}} & \mathrm{CH}_0^{BK}(S_X)_{\mathrm{deg} 0} & \xrightarrow{\iota_-^*} & \mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\mathrm{deg} 0} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \rho_{X|D} \downarrow & & \tilde{\rho}_{S_X} \downarrow & & \rho_X \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J^d(X|D) & \xrightarrow{p^{+,*}} & J^d(S_X) & \xrightarrow{\iota_-^*} & J^d(X) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Next, recall that by [45, §3], the semi-abelian Albanese variety $J^d(U)$ of U is the universal regular quotient of the Suslin homology group of U : we write ρ_U for the induced surjection $\rho_U: H_0^{sus}(U)_{\mathrm{deg} 0} \rightarrow J^d(U)$, where $H_0^{sus}(U)_{\mathrm{deg} 0}$ is the degree zero part of $H_0^{sus}(U)$, i.e., the kernel of the map $H_0^{sus}(U) \rightarrow H_0^{sus}(\mathrm{Spec}(k)) = \mathbb{Z}$ (note that this map makes sense even if U is not proper, in contrast with what happens for the classical Chow groups).

Composing ρ_U with the canonical map $\lambda_{X|D}$ of (2.5), we get a (surjective) morphism

$$\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)_{\mathrm{deg} 0} \rightarrow J^d(U),$$

and the universality of $J^d(X|D)$ as a regular quotient of $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)_{\mathrm{deg} 0}$ induces then a canonical map $\lambda_{X|D}^{alb}: J^d(X|D) \rightarrow J^d(U)$. Using (3.5) and Lemma 3.1, we easily obtain the following description of various semi-abelian Albanese varieties.

Theorem 3.2. *The canonical map $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D) \rightarrow H_0^{sus}(U)$ induces a commutative diagram*

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)_{\mathrm{deg} 0} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{X|D}} & H_0^{sus}(U)_{\mathrm{deg} 0} \\ \rho_{X|D} \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_U \\ J^d(X|D) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{X|D}^{alb}} & J^d(U) \end{array}$$

such that the following hold.

- (1) $\lambda_{X|D}^{alb}$ is an isomorphism of semi-abelian varieties.
- (2) $J^d(X|D)$ is the Cartier dual of the 1-motive $[\Lambda(S_X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)]$.
- (3) $J^d(U)$ is the Cartier dual of the 1-motive $[\Lambda_{\mathrm{Serre}}(X|D) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)]$.
- (4) There is a commutative diagram of short exact sequences of semi-abelian varieties

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & J^d(U) & \xrightarrow{\iota_+^*} & J^d(U) \times J^d(U) & \xrightarrow{\iota_-^*} & J^d(U) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J^d(X|D) & \xrightarrow{p^{+,*}} & J^d(S_X) & \xrightarrow{\iota_-^*} & J^d(X) \rightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are surjective.

3.2. Chow group and motivic cohomology of the double. Throughout this section, we assume that k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$ and that the divisor $D \subset X$ is reduced. We shall identify the Levine-Weibel Chow group of S_X to its motivic cohomology under these conditions. We shall use the following consequence of [30, Thm. 6.5] which uses our assumption on D in an essential way. But note that it does not use our assumption on $\mathrm{char}(k)$.

Lemma 3.3. ([30, Thm. 6.5]) *The canonical map $\delta_{S_X}: \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0^{BK}(S_X)$ is an isomorphism.*

Lemma 3.4. *The map $\lambda_{S_X}: \mathrm{CH}_0^{BK}(S_X) \rightarrow H^{2d}(S_X, \Lambda(d))$ is surjective and the map $\lambda_{S_X}: \mathrm{CH}_0^{BK}(S_X)\{p'\} \rightarrow H^{2d}(S_X, \Lambda(d))\{p'\}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By construction, there is a commutative diagram (see [32, (8.13)])

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}_0(S_X, D) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{Z}_0(S_X, D) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{CH}_0^{BK}(S_X) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{S_X}^{S_X}} & H^{2d}(S_X, \Lambda(d)), \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ H_0^{sus}(S_X \setminus D) \\ \nwarrow \end{array}$$

where the map $H_0^{sus}(S_X \setminus D) \rightarrow H^{2d}(S_X, \Lambda(d))$ is induced by the localization sequence (2.4) associated to the open embedding $S_X \setminus D \rightarrow S_X$. It follows from [32, Thm. 5.1] that this map is surjective. This in particular implies that the motivic cohomology group $H^{2d}(S_X, \Lambda(d))$ is generated by the free abelian group on the set of closed points in $S_X \setminus D$. But this is equivalent to λ_{S_X} being surjective.

To show the isomorphism between the primed torsion subgroups (i.e., on the prime-to- p -torsion part), we consider the commutative diagram of split short exact sequences (note that the lines stay exact even after applying $(-)\{p'\}$, since (2.7) is split)

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & (\text{CH}_0(X|D))\{p'\} & \rightarrow & (\text{CH}_0^{BK}(S_X))\{p'\} & \rightarrow & (\text{CH}_0(X))\{p'\} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & (H_0^{sus}(U))\{p'\} & \rightarrow & (H^{2d,d}(S_X))\{p'\} & \rightarrow & (H^{2d,d}(X))\{p'\} \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The right vertical arrow is an isomorphism as X is smooth. It suffices therefore to show that the left vertical arrow is an isomorphism. For this, we consider the commutative diagram (3.10). It follows from Theorem 3.2(1) that the lower horizontal arrow in (3.10) is an isomorphism. The left vertical arrow is an isomorphism on the primed torsion subgroups by [3, Thm. 11.5] and the right vertical arrow is an isomorphism on the primed torsion subgroups by [45, Thm. 1.1]. Our assertion now follows. \square

The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.5. *The composition*

$$\lambda_{S_X}^{LW} : \text{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0^{BK}(X) \rightarrow H^{2d}(S_X, \Lambda(d))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since D is reduced and k is algebraically closed of positive characteristic, we can apply Lemma 3.3 and replace $\text{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X)$ with $\text{CH}_0^{BK}(X)$. In view of Lemma 3.4, we only have to show that $\lambda_{S_X}^{LW}$ is injective (note that the injectivity will imply directly that the map $\text{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0^{BK}(X)$ is an isomorphism, even without referring to Lemma 3.3, since all the maps are anyway surjective). We first show that $\lambda_{S_X}^{LW}$ is injective after inverting p .

It follows from [32, Thm. 5.9, Lem. 8.1] that there is a commutative diagram

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X)[\frac{1}{p}] & \longrightarrow & K_0(S_X)[\frac{1}{p}] \\ \lambda_{S_X}^{LW} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^{2d,d}(S_X)[\frac{1}{p}] & \longrightarrow & KH_0(S_X)[\frac{1}{p}], \end{array}$$

where $KH_*(S_X)$ is Weibel's homotopy K -theory. We remark here that even as [32, Lem. 8.1] is proven over the field of complex numbers, its proof holds over any algebraically closed field.

The right vertical arrow in (3.14) is an isomorphism by [49] as $p > 0$. The kernel of the top horizontal arrow is a torsion group of exponent $(d-1)!$ by [34, Cor. 2.7]. It follows that the kernel of $\lambda_{S_X}^{LW}$ is torsion of exponent $(d-1)!$. We therefore have a short exact sequence

$$(3.15) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\lambda_{S_X}^{LW})[\frac{1}{p}] \rightarrow \text{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X)[\frac{1}{p}] \rightarrow H^{2d,d}(S_X)[\frac{1}{p}] \rightarrow 0,$$

where the group on the left is torsion of exponent $(d-1)!$. On the other hand, since k is algebraically closed and S_X is projective, it follows from the proof of [32, Thm. 8.9] that the degree zero part of $\text{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X)[\frac{1}{p}]$ is divisible. Since $\text{Ker}(\lambda_{S_X}^{LW})$ lies in this subgroup, it follows from Lemma 3.4 that $\text{Ker}(\lambda_{S_X}^{LW})[\frac{1}{p}]$ is also divisible. The result now follows since a divisible torsion group of bounded exponent must be zero.

We have thus shown that $\lambda_{S_X}^{LW}$ is surjective whose kernel is a p -primary torsion group. We now consider the commutative diagram

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X)\{p\} & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & \text{CH}_0(\overline{S}_X)\{p\} \\ \rho_{S_X} \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_{\overline{S}_X} \\ J^d(S_X)\{p\} & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & J^d(\overline{S}_X)\{p\}. \end{array}$$

It follows from [30, Thm. 6.4] that the vertical arrows are isomorphisms. On the other hand, we know that $J^d(S_X)$ is a semi-abelian variety whose abelian variety quotient is $J^d(\overline{S}_X)$. Since an algebraic torus over k has no p -primary torsion (note that $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$), it follows that the lower horizontal arrow in (3.16) is an isomorphism. In particular, the upper horizontal arrow is also an isomorphism.

In the final step, we consider the commutative diagram

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X)\{p\} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{S_X}^{LW}} & H^{2d,d}(S_X)\{p\} \\ \pi^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi^* \\ \text{CH}_0(\overline{S}_X)\{p\} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{\overline{S}_X}} & H^{2d,d}(\overline{S}_X)\{p\}. \end{array}$$

Since \overline{S}_X is smooth, the lower horizontal arrow in (3.17) is an isomorphism. We have shown above that the left vertical arrow is an isomorphism. It follows that $\lambda_{S_X}^{LW}$ is injective on the p -primary torsion subgroups. We conclude that $\text{Ker}(\lambda_{S_X}^{LW})$ is zero. This finishes the proof. \square

We end this subsection with the following question. Using the diagrams (3.4) and (3.6), one shows that there is an 1-motive $[\Lambda_{\text{Serre}}(X|D) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(\overline{S}_X)]$ and a map of 1-motives $[\Lambda_{\text{Serre}}(X|D) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(\overline{S}_X)] \rightarrow [\Lambda(S_X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(\overline{S}_X)]$. Let $J_{cdh}^d(S_X)$ denote the Cartier dual of $[\Lambda_{\text{Serre}}(X|D) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(\overline{S}_X)]$.

Question 3.6. Is there a surjective homomorphism $\rho_{S_X}^{cdh} : H^{2d,d}(S_X)_{\text{deg } 0} \twoheadrightarrow J_{cdh}^d(S_X)$ and a commutative diagram

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{CH}_0(S_X)_{\text{deg } 0} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{S_X}} & H^{2d,d}(S_X)_{\text{deg } 0} \\ \rho_{S_X} \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_{S_X}^{cdh} \\ J^d(S_X) & \longrightarrow & J_{cdh}^d(S_X) \end{array}$$

such that the vertical arrows are the universal regular quotient maps?

4. CHOW GROUP WITH MODULUS VS. SUSLIN HOMOLOGY

The goal of this section is to prove the main results of this paper listed § 1.1. We shall give some important consequences of these results in § 4.3, § 4.5 and § 5.

4.1. Chow group with normal crossing modulus. In this subsection, we prove the isomorphism between the Chow group of 0-cycles with modulus and the Suslin homology over any perfect field when the underlying divisor is reduced with regular irreducible components.

Theorem 4.1. *Let k be any perfect field and let X a smooth projective scheme of pure dimension $d \geq 1$ over k . Let $D \subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor with complement U . Assume that D is reduced and its irreducible components are regular. Then the map*

$$\lambda_{X|D} : \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D) \rightarrow H_0^{\mathrm{sus}}(U)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.10, we only have to show that $\lambda_{X|D}$ is injective. Since X is regular, we can assume that it is connected. If $d = 1$, it follows immediately from our assumption on D , the definition of $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)$ and the description of $H_0^{\mathrm{sus}}(U)$ in Lemma 2.10 that $\lambda_{X|D}$ is an isomorphism. We therefore assume that $d \geq 2$. Since both sides of (2.5) are quotients of the free abelian group $\mathcal{Z}_0(X|D)$ on the closed points in U , we need to show that any relation imposed on $\mathcal{Z}_0(X|D)$ to define $H_0^{\mathrm{sus}}(U)$ lies in $\mathcal{R}_0(X|D)$ where $\mathcal{R}_0(X|D) = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{Z}_0(X|D) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D))$.

So let $C \subset X$ be an integral curve not contained in D and let $\nu : C^N \rightarrow C \hookrightarrow X$ be the composite map, where C^N is the normalization of C . Set $E = C^N \times_X D$. Let $f \in k(C^N)^\times$ be a rational function on C^N which is regular in an affine neighborhood of E such that $f(x) = 1$ for every $x \in E$ (equivalently, f has modulus E_{red} on C^N , i.e., $f \in G(C^N, E_{\mathrm{red}})$). We need to show that $\mathrm{div}(f) \in \mathcal{R}_0(X|D)$.

Since ν is finite, hence projective, we can find a factorization

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C^N & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{P}_X^n \\ & \searrow \nu & \downarrow \pi \\ & & X, \end{array}$$

where ϕ is a closed immersion and π is the canonical projection. Since π is smooth, it is easy to check that $D' := \mathbb{P}_D^n$ is a reduced divisor on \mathbb{P}_X^n whose components are regular. Furthermore, $C^N \not\subset D'$. Since $\pi_*(\mathrm{div}(f)_{C^N}) = \mathrm{div}(f)_C = \mathrm{div}(f)$ under the proper push-forward map $\pi_* : \mathcal{Z}_0(\mathbb{P}_X^n|D') \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_0(X|D)$ and since $\pi_*(\mathcal{R}_0(\mathbb{P}_X^n|D')) \subset \mathcal{R}_0(X|D)$ (see e.g., [31, § 2] or [6, Lem. 2.7]), it suffices to show that $\mathrm{div}(f)_{C^N} \in \mathcal{R}_0(\mathbb{P}_X^n|D')$. Up to replacing X with \mathbb{P}_X^n and C with C^N , we can therefore assume that $C \subset X$ is regular so that ν is a closed immersion of regular schemes.

Since D is reduced with regular irreducible components, we can apply [41, Prop. A.6] to find a finite sequence of blow-ups $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ over the closed points of E such that the following hold.

- (1) The scheme theoretic inverse image $\tilde{D} := X' \times_X D$ is reduced and its irreducible components are regular.
- (2) The strict transform \tilde{C} of C is regular and intersects \tilde{D} only in the regular locus of \tilde{D} and transversely.

Since p is proper, we have a commutative diagram

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}_0(\tilde{X}|\tilde{D}) & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}_0(\tilde{X}|\tilde{D}) \\ p_* \downarrow & & \downarrow p_* \\ \mathcal{Z}_0(X|D) & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D), \end{array}$$

where p_* is the push-forward map of the 0-cycles groups. Since $p: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ is an isomorphism, we see that $f \in k(\tilde{C})^\times$ such that $p_*(\mathrm{div}(f)_{\tilde{C}}) = \mathrm{div}(f)_C = \mathrm{div}(f)$. Moreover, f is regular in a neighborhood of $\tilde{D} \cap \tilde{C}$ with $f(x) = 1$ for every $x \in \tilde{D} \cap \tilde{C} \subset p^{-1}(E)$. In particular, we have $\mathrm{div}(f)_{\tilde{C}} \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{Z}_0(\tilde{X}|\tilde{D}) \rightarrow H_0^{sus}(\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{D}))$.

It follows from (4.2) that $\mathrm{div}(f)_C \in \mathcal{R}_0(X|D)$ if we can show that $\mathrm{div}(f)_{\tilde{C}} \in \mathcal{R}_0(\tilde{X}|\tilde{D})$. We can therefore assume that our original curve $C \subset X$ has the property that it is regular and it intersects D only in the regular locus of D and transversely. But it is immediate from various definitions that in this case, $f \in k(C)^\times$ is regular in a neighborhood of E and $f(x) = 1$ for all $x \in E$ if and only if $f \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_{C,E}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E^\times)$. The latter condition implies that $\mathrm{div}(f) \in \mathcal{R}_0(X|D)$. This concludes the proof. \square

Remark 4.2. If k is a finite field and $D \subset X$ is a simple normal crossing divisor (in particular, reduced), then Theorem 4.1 also follows by combining the works of Schmidt [43], Kerz-Schmidt [27], Kerz-Saito [26] and Binda-Krishna-Saito [5] (that supplies [26] if k is of characteristic 2). Indeed, we can restrict to the degree zero subgroups to show that $\lambda_{X|D}$ is injective. Now, one obtains in this case a diagram

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D) & \xrightarrow{\frac{W(U)}{F^{(1)}W(U)}} & H_0^{sus}(U) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1^{\mathrm{ab}}(X, D) & = & \pi_1^{\mathrm{ab}}(X, D), \end{array}$$

where the middle term on the top is the tame quotient of the Wiesend class group (see [26, § 1]). The left vertical arrow is an isomorphism on the degree zero level by [26, Cor. IV] and [5, Thm. 8.5] (together with [5, Lem. 8.4]) if $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2$. The right vertical arrow is an isomorphism by [27, Thm. 8.3]. The horizontal arrow on the top right is an isomorphism by [43, Thm. 3.1]. It follows from the above zig-zag that $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)_{\mathrm{deg} 0} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_0^{sus}(U)_{\mathrm{deg} 0}$.

4.2. Chow group with reduced modulus. We shall now prove an improved version of Theorem 4.1 when the base field is algebraically closed of positive characteristic. Let X be a smooth projective scheme of dimension $d \geq 1$ over an algebraically closed field k of exponential characteristic $p > 1$. Let $D \subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor with complement U . The key is the following general result.

Proposition 4.3. *The kernel of the map $\lambda_{X|D}: \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D) \rightarrow H_0^{sus}(U)$ is a p -primary torsion group. Furthermore, the map $\lambda_{X|D}: \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)\{\ell\} \rightarrow H_0^{sus}(U)\{\ell\}$ is an isomorphism for any prime $\ell \neq p$.*

Proof. We can assume that X is integral. We shall prove the proposition by induction on d . We first assume that $d \leq 2$. We can then find a morphism $f: X' \rightarrow X$ which is a composition of monoidal transformations along closed points lying in or above D such that $f^{-1}(D)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. We let $U' = f^{-1}(U)$ and $D' = f^*(D)$. Since the map $f_*: \mathrm{CH}_0(X'|D') \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)$ is an isomorphism (an easy exercise) as $U' \xrightarrow{\cong} U$, we reduce to the case when D_{red} is a simple normal crossing divisor.

If we let $E = D_{\text{red}}$, then the map $\lambda_{X|E}: \text{CH}_0(X|E) \rightarrow H_0^{sus}(U)$ is an isomorphism by Theorem 4.1. We therefore need to show that kernel of the canonical surjection $\text{CH}_0(X|D) \twoheadrightarrow \text{CH}_0(X|E)$ is a p -primary torsion group. Note that this will also show that $\text{CH}_0(X|D)\{\ell\} \twoheadrightarrow \text{CH}_0(X|E)\{\ell\}$ is an isomorphism. By [3, Thm. 1.9] (note that we are assuming $d \leq 2$ and that k is algebraically closed here), it suffices to show that $H_{\text{zar}}^{d-1}(D, \mathcal{K}_{d,(D,D_{\text{red}})}^M)$ is a p -primary torsion group (in fact, of bounded exponent). But this is well known (e.g., see [21, Lem. 2.6]).

We now assume $d \geq 3$ and prove the first part of the proposition. Let $\nu: C \rightarrow X$ be a finite morphism from a regular integral projective curve whose image is not contained in D and let $f \in \text{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_{C,E}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E^\times)$, where $E = \nu^{-1}(D)$ with the reduced closed subscheme structure. We need to show that $\nu_*(\text{div}(f)) \in \text{CH}_0(X|D)$ is killed by a power of p .

We can get a factorization $C \xrightarrow{\nu'} \mathbb{P}_X^n \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ of ν , where ν' is a closed immersion and π is the canonical projection. It is clear that $\nu'_*(\text{div}(f)) \in \mathcal{R}_0^S(\mathbb{P}_U^n)$ and $\nu_*(\text{div}(f)) = \pi_*(\nu'_*(\text{div}(f)))$. Using the push-forward map on the Chow groups with modulus, it suffices therefore to show that $\nu'_*(\text{div}(f))$ is killed by some power of p in $\text{CH}_0(\mathbb{P}_X^n|\mathbb{P}_D^n)$. We can thus assume that $\nu: C \rightarrow X$ is a closed immersion.

We now fix a closed embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^N$. We can use [2, Thm. 7] to find a hypersurface $H \subset \mathbb{P}_k^N$ containing C and not containing X such that the scheme theoretic intersection $X \cap H$ has the property that it is smooth and contains no irreducible component of D . By [16, Cor. 3.13], we can assume that $X \cap H$ is integral. We let $Y = X \cap H$, $V = U \cap H$ and $F = D \cap H$.

Let $C \xrightarrow{\nu'} Y \xrightarrow{\iota} X$ be the factorization of ν . It follows from the choice of Y that $\nu'_*(\text{div}(f)) \in \mathcal{R}_0^S(V)$. This implies by induction that $\nu'_*(\text{div}(f)) \in \text{CH}_0(Y|F)$ is killed by a power of p . It follows that $\nu_*(\text{div}(f)) = \iota_*(\nu'_*(\text{div}(f)))$ is killed by a power of p in $\text{CH}_0(X|D)$.

We now prove the second part of the proposition. The first part shows that we only need to prove the surjectivity. We have already shown this for $d \leq 2$. We shall prove the higher dimension case using a Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem for Serre's albanese variety. We fix a closed embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^N$. We now use [16, Cor. 3.13] and [17, Thm. 7.3, Lem. 9.2] to find a hypersurface section $H \subset \mathbb{P}_k^N$ such that $Y = X \cap H$ is integral and smooth and the push-forward map $J^{d-1}(V)(k) \rightarrow J^d(U)(k)$ is an isomorphism, where we let $V = H \cap U$. We now consider the commutative diagram

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_0^{sus}(V)\{\ell\} & \xrightarrow{\rho_V} & J^{d-1}(V)(k)\{\ell\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_0^{sus}(U)\{\ell\} & \xrightarrow{\rho_U} & J^d(U)(k)\{\ell\}, \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the push-forward maps and the horizontal arrows are Albanese maps. The right vertical arrow is an isomorphism and ρ_V is surjective by induction. It follows that ρ_U is surjective. This concludes the proof. \square

We can now prove Theorem 1.2. We restate it for convenience.

Theorem 4.4. *Let X be a smooth projective scheme of pure dimension $d \geq 1$ over an algebraically closed field k of exponential characteristic $p > 1$. Let $D \subset X$ be a reduced effective Cartier divisor with complement U . Then the canonical map*

$$\lambda_{X|D}: \text{CH}_0(X|D) \rightarrow H_0^{sus}(U)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. By Proposition 4.3, we only need to show that $\lambda_{X|D}$ induces an isomorphism between the torsion subgroups. For this, we use the commutative diagram (3.10). It follows from Theorem 3.2(1) that the bottom horizontal arrow in this diagram is an isomorphism. The left vertical arrow is an isomorphism on the torsion subgroups by [30, Thm. 1.2], and the right vertical arrow is an isomorphism on the torsion subgroups by [17, Thm. 1.7]. This implies that the same is true for the top horizontal arrow. \square

4.3. Bloch's torsion theorem for Suslin homology. Let X be as in Theorem 4.4 and let $\ell \neq p$ be a prime. Recall from § 1.2 that the torsion theorem of Bloch [7] says that there is an isomorphism $H_0^{sus}(X)\{\ell\} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\acute{e}t}^{2d-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(d))$. This provides a simple description of the prime-to- p torsion in terms of an étale cohomology. We shall now extend Bloch's torsion theorem to non-complete varieties. This will prove Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 4.5. *Let U be a quasi-projective scheme of pure dimension $d \geq 0$ over an algebraically closed field k of exponential characteristic $p \geq 1$. Assume that there is an open embedding $U \subset X$ such that X is smooth and projective over k . Then there is an isomorphism*

$$(4.5) \quad H_0^{sus}(U)\{\ell\} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{c,\acute{e}t}^{2d-1}(U, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(d)).$$

Proof. We can assume U to be integral. We choose an integral smooth compactification $U \subset X$ and let D be its complement with reduced closed subscheme structure. We consider several cases.

Assume first that $p > 1$ and $\dim(D) \leq d - 2$. Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$. Since $A\{\ell\} \xrightarrow{\cong} A_\Lambda\{\ell\}$ for any abelian group A , we can tensor both sides of (4.5) with Λ . We then have the localization exact sequence (see (2.4) and the discussion below it)

$$H^{2d-1}(D, \Lambda(d)) \rightarrow H_0^{sus}(U)_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(X)_\Lambda \rightarrow H^{2d}(D, \Lambda(d)).$$

The first and the last terms of this exact sequence vanish by [32, Thm. 5.1], and hence we get $H_0^{sus}(U)_\Lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{CH}_0(X)_\Lambda$. Using the localization sequence for étale cohomology and repeating the same argument, we also get $H_{c,\acute{e}t}^{2d-1}(U, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(d)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\acute{e}t}^{2d-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(d))$. We therefore reduce to the case when U is smooth and projective, where Bloch's theorem applies.

We next assume that $p > 1$ and $\dim(D) = d - 1$. Then D must be an effective Cartier divisor on X . We can therefore combine Proposition 4.3 and [30, Thm. 1.1] to conclude our result.

We finally assume $p = 1$. In this case, we can perform a series of blow-ups of X along the centers contained in D to find a proper morphism $f: X' \rightarrow X$ such that X' is smooth, $f^{-1}(D)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on X' and $f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is an isomorphism. If we let $D' = f^{-1}(D)_{\mathrm{red}}$, then Theorem 4.1 implies that $H_0^{sus}(U) \cong \mathrm{CH}_0(X'|D')$. We can therefore apply [30, Thm. 1.1] again to finish the proof. \square

4.4. Failure of Theorem 4.4 in characteristic zero. Let k be an algebraically closed field of exponential characteristic $p \geq 1$. We have seen in Theorem 4.4 that if X is a smooth projective scheme over k and if $D \subset X$ is any reduced divisor, then the map $\lambda_{X|D}: \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D) \rightarrow H_0^{sus}(U)$ is an isomorphism provided $p > 1$. The following result shows that this can fail in characteristic zero. For a field k , let us write $\Omega_k = \Omega_{k/\mathbb{Z}}^1$ for the module of absolute Kähler differentials of k .

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\overline{C} \subset \mathbb{P}_k^2$ be the cuspidal curve given by the homogeneous equation $x_0x_1^2 - x_2^3 = 0$. Then $\mathrm{CH}_0(\mathbb{P}_k^2|\overline{C}) \cong \Omega_k \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ and $H_0^{sus}(\mathbb{P}_k^2 \setminus \overline{C})_\Lambda \cong \Lambda$. In particular,*

$\mathrm{CH}_0(\mathbb{P}_k^2|\overline{C}) \not\cong H_0^{sus}(\mathbb{P}_k^2 \setminus \overline{C})$ if k is a field of characteristic zero whose transcendence degree is positive.

Proof. We will freely use the duality results from [47]. In view of Theorem 4.4, we can assume that k is of characteristic zero and we can work with the motivic complexes with integral coefficients (the same argument works if we replace \mathbb{Z} with $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ or if we assume resolution of singularities). We drop the subscript k from the notations of \mathbb{P}_k^n and \mathbb{A}_k^n .

Let us first prove that $H_0^{sus}(\mathbb{P}_k^2 \setminus \overline{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Let $C_\infty = (0 : 0 : 1)$ be the point at infinity and let $P = (1 : 0 : 0)$ be the singular point of \overline{C} , and write $C = \overline{C} \setminus C_\infty = \mathbb{P}_k^2 \setminus H_\infty$, where $H_\infty \cong \mathbb{P}_k^1$ is the line $\{X_0 = 0\}$. We claim that there is a split exact sequence

$$(4.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow k^\times \rightarrow H_0^{sus}(\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus C) \cong H_c^4(\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus C, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

Indeed, write $U = \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus C$. Using the localization triangle

$$M^c(C) \rightarrow M^c(\mathbb{A}^2) \rightarrow M^c(U) \rightarrow M^c(C)[1]$$

in $\mathbf{DM}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z})$, we have the long exact sequence for motivic cohomology with compact support

$$(4.7) \quad \cdots \rightarrow H_c^3(C, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow H_c^4(U, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow H_c^4(\mathbb{A}^2, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow H_c^4(C, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

By duality and homotopy invariance, we have

$$H_c^4(\mathbb{A}^2, \mathbb{Z}(2)) = H_0(\mathbb{A}^2, \mathbb{Z}(0)) = H_0(\mathrm{Spec}(k), \mathbb{Z}(0)) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

Moreover, we have $M^c(C \setminus P) \cong M^c(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus P)$ and a triangle

$$M(P) \rightarrow M^c(C) \rightarrow M^c(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus P) \rightarrow M(P)[1]$$

giving for every i , an exact sequence

$$H^{i-1}(\mathrm{Spec}(k), \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow H_c^i(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus P, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow H_c^i(C, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow H^i(\mathrm{Spec}(k), \mathbb{Z}(2)).$$

Since $H^n(\mathrm{Spec} k, \mathbb{Z}(p)) = 0$ for $n > p$ (using the identification with higher Chow groups), we get $H_c^4(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus P, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_c^4(C, \mathbb{Z}(2))$. The same argument applied to the localization sequence

$$M(P) \rightarrow M^c(\mathbb{A}^1) \rightarrow M^c(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus P) \rightarrow M(P)[1]$$

gives $H_c^4(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus P, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_c^4(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbb{Z}(2))$, and by duality and homotopy invariance, we get $H_c^4(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbb{Z}(2)) = H_{-2}(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbb{Z}(-1)) = H_{-2}(\mathrm{Spec} k, \mathbb{Z}(-1)) = H^2(\mathrm{Spec}(k), \mathbb{Z}(1)) = 0$. We conclude then that $H_c^4(C, \mathbb{Z}(2)) = 0$.

We are left to compute $H_c^3(C, \mathbb{Z}(2))$. Comparing the exact triangles for C and for \mathbb{A}^1 , again we get $H_c^3(C, \mathbb{Z}(2)) = H_c^3(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbb{Z}(2))$. By duality and homotopy invariance, the latter is isomorphic to $H_{-1}(\mathrm{Spec}(k), \mathbb{Z}(-1)) = H^1(\mathrm{Spec}(k), \mathbb{Z}(1)) = k^\times$. The long exact sequence (4.7) then gives

$$H_c^3(\mathbb{A}^2, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow k^\times \rightarrow H_c^4(U, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $H_c^3(\mathbb{A}^2, \mathbb{Z}(2)) = H_1(\mathbb{A}^2, \mathbb{Z}) = H_1(\mathrm{Spec}(k), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $H_0(\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus C, \mathbb{Z}(0)) \cong H_c^4(\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus C, \mathbb{Z}(2))$ by duality (since U is smooth), we finally get (4.6).

Next, note that $H_\infty \setminus C_\infty = \mathbb{A}^1$. Looking at the triangle in $\mathbf{DM}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z})$:

$$M^c(H_\infty \setminus C_\infty) \rightarrow M^c(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \overline{C}) \rightarrow M^c(U) \rightarrow M^c(H_\infty \setminus C_\infty)[1],$$

we get

$$k^\times = H_c^3(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow H_c^4(U, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow H_c^4(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \overline{C}, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $H_c^4(U, \mathbb{Z}(2)) = k^\times \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ by (4.6), it's clear by construction that $H_c^4(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \overline{C}, \mathbb{Z}(2)) = H_0^{sus}(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \overline{C}, \mathbb{Z}(0)) = \mathbb{Z}$.

We now turn to the second statement of the Theorem. By [3, Thm. 1.8] (or [5, Thm. 1.1], that does not use the assumption that k is algebraically closed), we have an identification

$$\mathrm{CH}_0(\mathbb{P}^2|\overline{C}) \cong H_{\mathrm{Zar}}^2(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{P}^2, \overline{C})}^M) \cong H_{\mathrm{Nis}}^2(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{P}^2, \overline{C})}^M),$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{P}^2, \overline{C})}^M$ is the sheaf of relative Milnor K -theory. Since the relative K_0 -group $K_0(\mathbb{P}^2, \overline{C})$ decomposes as $H_{\mathrm{Zar}}^2(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{K}_{(\mathbb{P}^2, \overline{C})}^M) \oplus \mathrm{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^2, \overline{C})$ and the group $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^2, \overline{C})$ vanishes by direct inspection, it is enough to compute $K_0(\mathbb{P}^2, \overline{C})$. This can be done explicitly by means of the long localization sequence for K -theory with support. In particular, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{P}^2, \overline{C}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{A}^2, C) \cong SK_1(C) \rightarrow 0$$

and we are left to show that $SK_1(C) \cong \Omega_{k/\mathbb{Z}}^1$. This is classical, and can be done by descent along the normalization morphism $\nu: \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow C$ using the $K_1(A, B, I)$ computation of [15]. We leave the details to the interested reader. \square

4.5. Kerz-Saito vs. Russell's Chow groups with modulus. There exists another notion different from the one used in this paper (and specifically used in the previous theorem) of Chow group of 0-cycles with modulus. This Chow group was introduced by H. Russell [40] in the study of a higher dimensional analogue of the generalized Jacobian of Rosenlicht-Serre. Russell's Chow group with modulus is defined as the cokernel of the map

$$\mathrm{div}: \bigoplus_{\phi_C: C \rightarrow X} G(C, \phi_C^*(D - D_{\mathrm{red}}) + (\phi_C^*(D))_{\mathrm{red}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_0(X, D).$$

In particular, in view of Definition 2.4, it is clear that it agrees with $H_0^{\mathrm{sus}}(X \setminus D)$ when D is reduced and X is proper. Theorem 4.6 then exhibits explicitly an example (at least in characteristic zero) for which Russell's Chow group and the Kerz-Saito Chow group do not agree. Several authors have enquired in the past about this comparison. Note that in view of [5, Thm. 1.1], it follows that Russell's Chow group does not satisfy Bloch's formula, even for D reduced. In particular, it may not admit a cycle class map to relative K -theory.

5. THE FINITENESS THEOREMS

Let k be a field and X an integral smooth projective scheme of dimension $d \geq 0$ over k . Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer which is invertible in k , and $p \nmid m$ if k is a p -adic field. Set $\Lambda' = \mathbb{Z}/m$. If k is algebraically closed, then it is classically known that $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)/m \cong \Lambda'$. Otherwise, the structure of $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)/m$ is not fully understood. If k is finite, then the main result of unramified class field theory in geometric case due to Kato-Saito [23] shows that $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)/m$ is finite. If k is a p -adic field, a conjecture of Colliot-Thélène predicted that $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)/m$ is finite. This conjecture was settled by Saito-Sato [41].

Let us now recall that there is an isomorphism $\mathrm{CH}_0(X) \cong H_0^{\mathrm{sus}}(X)$. One therefore concludes that $H_0^{\mathrm{sus}}(X)/m$ is finite if k is either of the above three types. Suppose now that U is an integral smooth quasi-projective scheme of dimension $d \geq 0$ over k which is not necessarily complete. One can then ask if $H_0^{\mathrm{sus}}(X)/m$ is finite. One can similarly ask if $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)/m$ is finite if D is an effective Cartier divisor on a smooth projective scheme X over k . To our knowledge, no answer is known about these questions yet. We shall apply the results of the previous sections to answer these questions in this section.

Our answers to the above questions is based on the following key results of independent interest.

Lemma 5.1. *Let k be either a finite, or a p -adic or an algebraically closed field. Let X be a smooth projective scheme of dimension $d \geq 0$ over k . Then $H^{2d+i}(X, \Lambda'(d+i))$ is finite for every $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. If X is integral of dimension d , then $H^{2a-b}(X, \mathbb{Z}(b)) \cong \text{CH}^a(X, b) = 0$ for $a > d+b$. This allows us to assume that X has pure dimension d . Subsequently, we can assume that X is integral. Using the above discussion of $i = 0$ case, we can assume that $i \geq 1$.

We now note that over any field k , there is a canonical isomorphism $H^{2d+i}(X, \Lambda'(d+i)) \cong \text{CH}^{d+i}(X, i)/m$. Furthermore, Bloch's spectral sequence $H_{\text{zar}}^p(X, \mathcal{CH}^q(X, i)) \Rightarrow \text{CH}^{p+q}(X, i)$ and the isomorphisms $\text{CH}^p(\mathcal{O}_{X,x,p}) \cong H^p(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, \mathbb{Z}(p)) \cong K_p^M(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ (the latter is Gabber-Kerz improved Milnor K -theory, [25]) together yield an exact sequence

$$(5.1) \quad \bigoplus_{y \in X_{(1)}} K_{i+1}^M(k(y)) \xrightarrow{\partial} \bigoplus_{x \in X_{(0)}} K_i^M(k(x)) \rightarrow \text{CH}^{d+i}(X, i) \rightarrow 0.$$

We thus get an exact sequence

$$(5.2) \quad \bigoplus_{y \in X_{(1)}} K_{i+1}^M(k(y))/m \xrightarrow{\partial} \bigoplus_{x \in X_{(0)}} K_i^M(k(x))/m \rightarrow \text{CH}^{d+i}(X, i)/m \rightarrow 0.$$

If k is algebraically closed, then $K_i^M(k)$ is divisible for $i \geq 1$ and hence we conclude that $\text{CH}^{d+i}(X, i)/m = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. This proves the lemma for k algebraically closed. For k finite, the lemma follows from [1, Thm. 1.2, 1.3].

Suppose now that k is a p -adic field with residue characteristic p . We first assume $i = 1$. In this case, we can apply Temkin's strengthening of the alteration theorems of de Jong and Gabber (see [46, Thm. 1.2.5]) to find a projective and generically finite morphism $f: X' \rightarrow X$ of degree p^n such that X' is a smooth projective scheme over k which admits a strict semi-stable reduction over k . Since the composition $\text{CH}^{d+1}(X, 1) \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{CH}^{d+1}(X', 1) \xrightarrow{f_*} \text{CH}^{d+1}(X, 1)$ is multiplication by p^n , it follows that $\text{CH}^{d+1}(X, 1)/m \hookrightarrow \text{CH}^{d+1}(X', 1)/m$. We can therefore assume that X admits a strict semi-stable reduction over k . In this case, the finiteness of $\text{CH}^{d+1}(X, 1)/m$ follows from [11, Thm. 3.1.4].

We now assume $i \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{\text{ét}}^p(q)$ be the Zariski sheaf on X associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto H^p(U, \Lambda'(q))$. Let $X_{(i)}$ be the set of points $x \in X$ such that $\dim(\overline{\{x\}}) = i$. We consider the commutative diagram

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \bigoplus_{y \in X_{(1)}} K_{i+1}^M(k(y))/m & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \bigoplus_{x \in X_{(0)}} K_i^M(k(x))/m & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}^{d+i}(X, i)/m & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \bigoplus_{y \in X_{(1)}} H_{\text{ét}}^{i+1}(k(y), \Lambda'(i+1)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \bigoplus_{x \in X_{(0)}} H_{\text{ét}}^i(k(x), \Lambda'(i)) & \rightarrow & H_{\text{zar}}^d(X, \mathcal{H}_{\text{ét}}^{d+i}(d+i)) & \rightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where the bottom row is obtained by the Bloch-Ogus sequence for the étale cohomology sheaves and the first two vertical arrows are the Norm-residue homomorphisms. The two rows are exact. The two vertical arrows from the left are isomorphisms by the solution of the Bloch-Kato conjecture due to Rost and Voevodsky. It follows that the right vertical arrow is also an isomorphism. It suffices therefore to show that $H_{\text{zar}}^d(X, \mathcal{H}_{\text{ét}}^{d+i}(d+i))$ is finite.

We now consider the Leray spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H_{\text{zar}}^p(X, \mathcal{H}_{\text{ét}}^q(d+i)) \Rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{p+q}(X, \Lambda'(d+i)).$$

Since the étale cohomological dimension of any affine in X is bounded by $d+2$, one easily checks that $E_2^{d,d+i} = E_{\infty}^{d,d+i}$ and there is an injection $E_{\infty}^{d,d+i} \hookrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{2d+i}(X, \Lambda'(d+i))$. But

the latter group is known to be finite because X is a projective scheme over the p -adic field k . This concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 5.2. *Let k be either a finite, or a p -adic or an algebraically closed field. Let X be a projective scheme of dimension $d \geq 0$ over k . Then $H^{2d+i}(X, \Lambda'(d+i))$ is finite for every $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let q denote the exponential characteristic of k . Since the localization map $H^{2d+i}(X, \Lambda'(d+i)) \rightarrow H^{2d+i}(X, \Lambda'(d+i))[\frac{1}{q}]$ is injective, it suffices to show that the latter group is finite. We can assume that X is reduced. If X is smooth, we are done by Lemma 5.1.

If X is not smooth, we argue as follows. By Temkin's strengthening of the alteration theorems of de Jong and Gabber (see [46, Thm. 1.2.5]), there exists $W \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$ and a surjective proper map $h : W \rightarrow X$, which is generically finite and étale of degree q^n , $n \geq 1$. Then by a theorem of Raynaud-Gruson [19, Thm. 5.2.2], there exists a blow-up $g : X' \rightarrow X$ with center Z such that the following diagram commutes, where h' is finite, flat and surjective of degree q^n and $g' : W' \rightarrow W$ is the blow-up of W with center $h^{-1}(Z)$:

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} W' & \xrightarrow{h'} & X' \\ g' \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ W & \xrightarrow{h} & X. \end{array}$$

Thus we have a cdh -cover $\{X' \sqcup Z \rightarrow X\}$ of X , such that $\dim_k(Z) < \dim_k(X)$ and $\dim_k(E) < \dim_k(X)$, where we set $E = X' \times_X Z$. By cdh -excision, the following sequence is exact:

$$H^{2d+i-1}(E, \Lambda'(d+i))[\frac{1}{q}] \rightarrow H^{2d+i}(X, \Lambda'(d+i))[\frac{1}{q}] \rightarrow H^{2d+i}(X', \Lambda'(d+i))[\frac{1}{q}] \oplus H^{2d+i}(Z, \Lambda'(d+i))[\frac{1}{q}].$$

By induction on the dimension, this reduces to showing that $H^{2d+i}(X', \Lambda'(d+i))[\frac{1}{q}]$ is finite.

If we let $Z' = h^{-1}(Z)$ and $E' = W' \times_W Z'$, then the same reasoning as above shows that there is an exact sequence

$$H^{2d+i}(W, \Lambda'(d+i))[\frac{1}{q}] \rightarrow H^{2d+i}(W', \Lambda'(d+i))[\frac{1}{q}] \rightarrow \frac{H^{2d+i}(E', \Lambda'(d+i))[\frac{1}{q}]}{H^{2d+i}(Z', \Lambda'(d+i))[\frac{1}{q}]}.$$

The left term is finite since W is smooth and projective, and the right term is finite by induction on the dimension. It follows that the middle term is finite too. It suffices therefore to show that the map $h'^* : H^{2d+i}(X', \Lambda'(d+i))[\frac{1}{q}] \rightarrow H^{2d+i}(W', \Lambda'(d+i))[\frac{1}{q}]$ is injective.

Let $\mathcal{SH}_{X'}$ denote the stable homotopy category of simplicial presheaves on $\mathbf{Sm}_{X'}$ (the category of smooth schemes over X') and let $H\mathbb{Z}$ denote the motivic cohomology spectrum in \mathcal{SH}_k . Let $v' : X' \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ be the structure map, and let $\epsilon : \mathbf{L}v'^* H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{q}] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}h'_* \mathbf{L}h'^* \mathbf{L}v'^* H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{q}]$ be the map given by the unit of the adjunction $(\mathbf{L}h'^*, \mathbf{R}h'_*)$. By the naturality of the isomorphism given by [32, Prop. 2.13], we deduce that h'^* gets identified with the map induced by ϵ :

$$\epsilon_* : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{X'}}(\Sigma^{m,n} \Sigma_T^\infty(X'_+), \mathbf{L}v'^* H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{q}]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SH}_{X'}}(\Sigma^{m,n} \Sigma_T^\infty(X'_+), \mathbf{R}h'_* \mathbf{L}h'^* \mathbf{L}v'^* H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{q}]).$$

By [24, 5.2.4], $H\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{q}]$ has a structure of traces in the sense of [24, 4.3.1]. Thus, we deduce from [24, 4.3.1(Deg) p. 101] that ϵ_* is injective since h' is finite, flat and surjective of degree q^n . This finishes the proof. \square

The following result generalizes the finiteness theorems of Kato-Saito [23] and Saito-Sato [41] to non-complete schemes. The question whether such a finiteness result would hold originated out of a discussion between Colliot-Thélène and the authors.

Theorem 5.3. *Let k be either a finite, or a p -adic or an algebraically closed field. Let U be a smooth quasi-projective scheme of pure dimension $d \geq 0$ over k . Then $H_0^{sus}(U)/m$ is finite.*

Proof. We can assume that U is integral. Let p be the exponential characteristic of k . It suffices to show that $(H_0^{sus}(U)/m)[\frac{1}{p}]$ is finite. We have seen in § 2.3 that $(H_0^{sus}(U)/m)[\frac{1}{p}]$ agrees with $(H_c^{2d}(U, \mathbb{Z}(d))/m)[\frac{1}{p}]$. The theorem is thus equivalent to the statement that $(H_c^{2d}(U, \mathbb{Z}(d))/m)[\frac{1}{p}]$ is finite. By the universal coefficient theorem, we have an exact sequence

$$(5.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow (H_c^{2d}(U, \mathbb{Z}(d))/m)[\frac{1}{p}] \rightarrow H_c^{2d}(U, \Lambda'(d))[\frac{1}{p}] \rightarrow {}_m H_c^{2d+1}(U, \mathbb{Z}(d))[\frac{1}{p}].$$

We choose an open embedding $U \subset X$ such that X is an integral projective scheme of dimension d over k . We let $D = X \setminus U$ with reduced closed subscheme structure. We then have an exact sequence

$$H^{2d}(D, \mathbb{Z}(d))[\frac{1}{p}] \rightarrow H_c^{2d+1}(U, \mathbb{Z}(d))[\frac{1}{p}] \rightarrow H^{2d+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}(d))[\frac{1}{p}].$$

The two end terms are zero by [32, Thm. 5.1]. It follows that so is the middle term. Using (5.5), we are reduced to showing that $H_c^{2d}(U, \Lambda'(d))[\frac{1}{p}]$ is finite. But this follows from the exact sequence

$$H^{2d-1}(D, \Lambda'(d))[\frac{1}{p}] \rightarrow H_c^{2d}(U, \Lambda'(d))[\frac{1}{p}] \rightarrow H^{2d}(X, \Lambda'(d))[\frac{1}{p}]$$

and Proposition 5.2. □

The second main result of this section is the following generalization of the finiteness theorems of Kato-Saito [23] and Saito-Sato [41] to Chow groups with modulus.

Theorem 5.4. *Let k be either a finite, or a p -adic or an algebraically closed field. Let X be a smooth projective scheme of pure dimension $d \geq 1$ over k and let $D \subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor. Then $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)/m$ is finite.*

Proof. If k is finite, the theorem is already known by [21, Thms. 1.3, 4.8]. Next, suppose that k is a p -adic field. We can then find a proper birational morphism $f: X' \rightarrow X$ from a smooth projective scheme X' such that $f^{-1}(D)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is a strict normal crossing divisor on X' and f is an isomorphism over the complement of D . It is clear from the definition of the Chow group of 0-cycles with modulus that the pull-back map $f^*: \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(X'|D')$ exists and is an isomorphism, where $D' = f^*(D)$. We can therefore assume that D_{red} is a simple normal crossing divisor.

By [39, Thm. 1.3], we can further assume that D is a reduced simple normal crossing divisor. In this case, we can apply Theorem 4.1 to replace $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)$ by $H_0^{sus}(X \setminus D)$. We are now done by Theorem 5.3.

We now assume that k is algebraically closed. In this case the result is a consequence of the divisibility property for the groups of points of the generalized Jacobian varieties over algebraically closed fields. More precisely we argue as follows: If $d = 1$ we know that $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(X, D) \cong H_{\mathrm{zar}}^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{1,(X,D)}^M)$, the relative Picard group (see e.g. [29, Lem. 3.1]). We therefore have an exact sequence

$$H_{\mathrm{zar}}^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D^\times)/m \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)_{\mathrm{deg} 0}/m \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\mathrm{deg} 0}/m \rightarrow 0.$$

The first and third terms are zero (for the last term, recall that $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\mathrm{deg} 0}$ is m -divisible in this case). It follows that so is the second term.

In general, $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)_{\mathrm{deg} 0}$ is generated by 0-cycles of the form $\alpha = [P_1] - [P_2]$, where $P_i \in X \setminus D$. Given any such cycle α , we can find an integral smooth curve $C \subset X$ such that $P_i \in C$ so that α is a cycle in $\mathrm{CH}_0(C|E)$, where $E = C \times_X D$. It follows that the push-forward map $\bigoplus \mathrm{CH}_0(C|E)_{\mathrm{deg} 0} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)_{\mathrm{deg} 0}$ is surjective, if we let C run through integral smooth curves in X not lying in D . This implies from the case of curves that $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)_{\mathrm{deg} 0}/m = 0$. But then, we must have $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)/m \cong \Lambda'$. This concludes the proof. \square

5.1. Proof of Theorem 1.9. We let k be a field and X an integral projective scheme of dimension d over k which is regular in codimension one. We let $U = X_{\mathrm{reg}}$. It is shown in [17, Lem. 8.3] that the identity map of $\mathcal{Z}_0(U)$ defines a surjection $\theta_X: \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X) \twoheadrightarrow H_0^{sus}(U)$. Theorem 1.9 therefore follows by combining Theorem 5.3 with the following general result of independent interest.

Theorem 5.5. *Assume that $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$ and let $n \neq 0$ be an integer. Then the map*

$$\theta_X/n: \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)/n \rightarrow H_0^{sus}(U)/n$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We shall prove this theorem using various results from [17]. There is nothing to prove if $d \leq 1$ and we can thus assume that $d \geq 2$. We shall prove the statement by induction on d . We first consider the starting case $d = 2$.

Let $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities of X such that the reduced exceptional divisor $E \subset \tilde{X}$ has strict normal crossings. For any integer $m \geq 1$, let $mE \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$ denote the infinitesimal thickening of E in \tilde{X} of order m .

It is clear from the definitions of $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)$, $\mathrm{CH}_0(\tilde{X}|D)$ and $H_0^{sus}(U)$ that the identity map of $\mathcal{Z}_0(U)$ defines, by the pull-back via π^* , the canonical surjective maps

$$(5.6) \quad \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \mathrm{CH}_0(\tilde{X}|mE) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(\tilde{X}|E) \twoheadrightarrow H_0^{sus}(U)$$

for every integer $m \geq 1$ such that the composite map is θ_X . The first arrow from the left is an isomorphism for all $m \gg 1$ by [17, Thm. 1.5] and the third arrow is an isomorphism by Theorem 4.1. We thus have to show that the kernel of the surjection $\mathrm{CH}_0(\tilde{X}|mE) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(\tilde{X}|E)$ is divisible. By [5, Thm. 8.2], this is equivalent to showing that $H_{\mathrm{Zar}}^1(E, \mathcal{K}_{2,(mE,E)})$ is divisible. But this is an immediate consequence of the well known Goodwillie's theorem for the relative K -theory of nilpotent ideals, which implies that $\mathcal{K}_{2,(mE,E)}$ is a sheaf of \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces on E .

We now assume $d \geq 3$. We need to show that the map $\mathrm{Ker}(\theta_X) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)/n$ is zero. Let $\nu: C \rightarrow X$ be a finite morphism from a regular integral projective curve whose image is not contained in X_{sing} and let $f \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_{C,E}^\times \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_E^\times)$, where $E = \nu^{-1}(X_{\mathrm{sing}})$ with the reduced closed subscheme structure. It suffices to show that $\nu_*(\mathrm{div}(f))$ dies in $\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)/n$. Equivalently, there is a 0-cycle $\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_0(U)$ such that $\nu_*(\mathrm{div}(f)) - n\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_0^{LW}(X)$.

We can get a factorization $C \xrightarrow{\nu'} \mathbb{P}_X^r \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ of ν , where ν' is a closed immersion and π is the canonical projection. Since the singular locus of \mathbb{P}_X^r coincides with $\mathbb{P}_{X_{\mathrm{sing}}}^r$, it is clear that $\nu'_*(\mathrm{div}(f)) \in \mathcal{R}_0^S(\mathbb{P}_U^r)$ and $\nu_*(\mathrm{div}(f)) = \pi_*(\nu'_*(\mathrm{div}(f)))$. Using [17, Cor. 2.6], it suffices therefore to show that $\nu'_*(\mathrm{div}(f)) - n\alpha' \in \mathcal{R}_0^{LW}(\mathbb{P}_X^r)$ for some $\alpha' \in \mathcal{Z}_0(\mathbb{P}_U^r)$. We can thus assume that $\nu: C \rightarrow X$ is a closed immersion.

We now fix a closed embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^N$. We let $C' = C \cap U$. Since U and C' are smooth and $d \geq 3$, we can use [2, Thm. 7] or [16, Thm. 3.9] to find a hypersurface $H \subset \mathbb{P}_k^N$ containing C and not containing X such that the scheme theoretic intersection $X \cap H$ has the property that it contains no irreducible component of X_{sing} and $H \cap U$ is smooth.

We let W be the connected component of $H \cap U$ which contains C' and $Y \subset X$ the closure of W with the reduced closed subscheme structure. Then $Y \subset X$ is an integral closed subscheme of dimension $d - 1$ which satisfies the following properties.

- (1) $Y \cap U$ is smooth.
- (2) $\dim(Y \cap X_{\text{sing}}) \leq \dim(X_{\text{sing}}) - 1 \leq d - 3 = \dim(Y) - 2$. In particular, Y is regular in codimension one.
- (3) $C \subset Y$.

We let $A = Y \cap X_{\text{sing}}$ and $U' = Y \cap U$ so that $Y_{\text{sing}} \subset A$ and $U' \subset V := Y_{\text{reg}}$. Let $C \xrightarrow{\nu'} Y \xrightarrow{\nu} X$ be the factorization of ν . It follows from the choice of Y that $\nu'_*(\text{div}(f)) \in \mathcal{R}_0^S(U') \subset \mathcal{R}_0^S(V)$. On the other hand, we have a commutative diagram

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{CH}_0^{LW}(Y, A) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\psi} & \text{CH}_0^{LW}(Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_0^{sus}(U') & \longrightarrow & H_0^{sus}(V), \end{array}$$

where the existence of the left vertical arrow follows directly from the proof of [17, Lem. 8.3]. We refer to § 2.2 of op. cit. for the definition of $\text{CH}_0^{LW}(Y, A)$.

Since $\nu'_*(\text{div}(f)) \in \text{CH}_0^{LW}(Y, A)$, it follows from the above diagram and by induction on d that $\psi(\nu'_*(\text{div}(f)))$ dies in $\text{CH}_0^{LW}(Y)/n$. Since ψ is an isomorphism by [17, Lem. 2.3], it follows that $\nu'_*(\text{div}(f))$ dies in $\text{CH}_0^{LW}(Y, A)/n$. The push-forward map $\iota_*: \mathcal{Z}_0(Y \setminus A) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_0(U)$ and [17, Cor. 2.6] together imply that $\nu_*(\text{div}(f))$ dies in $\text{CH}_0^{LW}(X)/n$. This concludes the proof. \square

Remark 5.6. We remark that the analogue of Theorem 1.9 over a finite or an algebraically closed field is shown in [17, Thm. 1.3, 1.6].

6. THE MOVING LEMMAS

The condition that the integral curves in the definition of the Chow group of 0-cycles with modulus are not necessarily embedded in the ambient variety often becomes a hurdle in the study of this group. Recall that this condition is not required in the definition of the Chow groups without modulus. In this section, we shall prove some moving lemmas which simplify this situation when the underlying divisor has special properties. We first prove a result applicable only to surfaces and then suitably generalize it to higher dimensions. These results are of independent interest and expected to be very useful in the study of Chow groups with modulus. In this paper, they will play a crucial role in our comparison of the Levine-Weibel Chow group and motivic cohomology of a normal crossing variety (see Theorem 8.2).

We fix a field k and let X be an integral smooth quasi-projective k -scheme. Let $D \subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor on X . We will need the following property.

- (\star) The canonical map $\text{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0^{BK}(S_X)$ is an isomorphism.

The question of the comparison between the Levine-Weibel Chow group and the lci version introduced in [3] is a subtle one. We summarize here some known facts about it.

Lemma 6.1. *Property (\star) holds in the following cases:*

- (1) X is affine.
- (2) $\text{char}(k) = 0$ and X is projective.
- (3) $\dim(X) \leq 2$ and k is infinite.
- (4) k is algebraically closed and D is reduced.

Proof. Items (1) and (2) are respectively, the items (2) and (3) of [3, Thm. 3.17]. If $\dim(X) = 1$, the two definitions are easily seen to agree (see [3, Lem. 3.12]). If $\dim(X) = 2$, it is shown in [5] that both groups agree with $H_{\text{Zar}}^2(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,X}^M)$ (this is due to Levine [33] if k is algebraically closed). Combine [5, Thm. 7.7] and [5, Cor. 3.1]. In fact, in [5] it is shown that Bloch's formula holds for both the lci Chow group and a variant of the Levine-Weibel Chow group over infinite fields (denoted $\text{CH}_0^L(X)$ in loc. cit.), but the latter is known to agree over infinite fields with the classical definition 2.2(2), thanks to an explicit comparison due to Levine, see [5, 2.2, p.4]. Finally, item (4) is precisely the content of [30, Thm. 6.6]: the assumption on k is used to explicitly compare the torsion in the two Chow groups by means of a Roitman-style theorem. \square

6.1. Moving lemma for surfaces. Assume that k is infinite and X is a surface. Assume furthermore that $D \subset X$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. Note that this implies, in view of Lemma 6.1, that condition (\star) is satisfied.

Let $\mathcal{R}_0^{\text{snc}}(X|D) \subset \mathcal{R}_0(X|D)$ be the subgroup generated by $\text{div}(f)$ where f is a non-zero rational function on an integral curve $C \subset X$ satisfying the following.

- (1) C is regular along D .
- (2) C intersects D only in the regular locus of D and transversely.
- (3) f is regular and invertible along D and $f(x) = 1$ for all $x \in C \cap D$.

Let $\text{CH}_0^{\text{snc}}(X|D)$ denote the quotient of $\mathcal{R}_0(X|D)$ by $\mathcal{R}_0^{\text{snc}}(X|D)$. There is an evident surjection $\text{CH}_0^{\text{snc}}(X|D) \twoheadrightarrow \text{CH}_0(X|D)$. In this subsection, the goal is to prove the following.

Proposition 6.2. *The map $\text{CH}_0^{\text{snc}}(X|D) \twoheadrightarrow \text{CH}_0(X|D)$ is an isomorphism.*

We shall prove this proposition in several steps by mimicking the proofs of [3, Lem. 5.5, Prop. 5.7] with some modifications. First, let us consider the difference map

$$(6.1) \quad \tau_X^*: \mathcal{Z}_0(S_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_0(X|D), \text{ given by } \tau_X^*([x]) = \iota_+^*([x]) - \iota_-^*([x]).$$

We begin by showing that τ_X^* descends to a map $\tilde{\tau}_X^*: \text{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0^{\text{snc}}(X|D)$. For this, we prove:

Lemma 6.3. *Let C be a Cartier curve on S_X and let $f \in \mathcal{O}_{C,D}^\times \subset k(C)^\times$, where $k(C)$ is the total quotient ring of $\mathcal{O}_{C,D}$. We can then find two Cartier curves $\nu': C' \hookrightarrow S_X$ and $\nu'': C'' \hookrightarrow S_X$ relative to D satisfying the following.*

- (1) *There are very ample line bundles $\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{L}''$ on S_X and sections $t' \in H^0(S_X, \mathcal{L}')$, $t'' \in H^0(S_X, \mathcal{L}'')$ with $C' = (t')$ and $C'' = (t'')$ such that the following hold.*
- (2) *C' and C'' are geometrically reduced.*
- (3) *The restrictions of both C' and C'' to X via the two closed immersions ι_\pm are integral curves in X , which are Cartier and smooth along D .*
- (4) *The restrictions of both C' and C'' to X via the two closed immersions ι_\pm intersect D only in its regular locus and transversely.*
- (5) *There are functions $f' \in \mathcal{O}_{C',(\nu')^*D}^\times$ and $f'' \in \mathcal{O}_{C'',(\nu'')^*D}^\times$ such that $\nu'_*(\text{div}(f')) + \nu''_*(\text{div}(f'')) = \nu_*(\text{div}(f))$ in $\mathcal{Z}_0(S_X)$.*

Proof. The proof is identical to [3, Lem. 5.5], where, in view of our present assumption that $D \subset X$ is a strict normal crossings divisor, we can further assume in the properties (g) and (f') that the hypersurface sections $(s_\infty) \cap X_\pm$ and $(s'_0) \cap X_\pm$ intersect D only in its regular locus and transversely. \square

Lemma 6.4. *Let (X, D) be as above. Then the map $\tau_X^*: \mathcal{Z}_0(S_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_0(X|D)$ descends to a homomorphism $\tilde{\tau}_X^*: \text{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0^{\text{snc}}(X|D)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.3, it suffices to show that $\tau_X^*(\text{div}(f)) \in \mathcal{R}_0(X|D)$, where f is a rational function on a Cartier curve $\nu: C \hookrightarrow S_X$ that we can choose in the following way.

- (1) There is a very ample line bundle \mathcal{L} on S_X and sections $t \in H^0(S_X, \mathcal{L}), t_\pm = \iota_\pm^*(t) \in H^0(X, \iota_\pm^*(\mathcal{L}))$ such that $C = (t)$ and $C_\pm = (t_\pm)$.
- (2) C is a (geometrically) reduced Cartier curve of the form $C = C_+ \sqcup_E C_-$, where $E = \nu^*(D)$ such that C_\pm are integral curves on X , none of which is contained in D and each of which is smooth along D and intersects D in its regular locus transversely.

Now, the proof goes through as in [3, Prop. 5.7]. We indicate what has to be modified and leave the details to the interested reader. In view of our present assumption that $D \subset X$ is a simple normal crossing divisor, we can further assume in the properties (e) and (d') that the hypersurface sections $(s_\infty) \cap X_\pm$ and $(s'_0) \cap X_\pm$ intersect D only in its regular locus and transversely.

In this case, we have that

$$(6.2) \quad \tau_X^*(\text{div}(f)) = (\text{div}(f_1))_{(s'_0)_+} - (\text{div}(f_2))_{C_-} - N(\text{div}(f_3))_{(s_\infty)}$$

for some integer $N \geq 0$, where $f_1 \in \text{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_{(s'_0)_+, D}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(s'_0)_+ \cap D}^\times)$, $f_2 \in \text{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_{C_-, D}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_- \cap D}^\times)$ and $f_3 \in \text{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_{(s_\infty), D}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(s_\infty) \cap D}^\times)$. This defines the desired map $\tilde{\tau}_X^*$. Note here that if $C \subset X$ is an integral curve, regular along D and intersecting D transversely in its regular locus, then $f \in \text{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_{C, D}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C \cap D}^\times)$ if and only if f is regular along $C \cap D$ and $f(x) = 1$ for all $x \in C \cap D$. \square

After having constructed the map $\tilde{\tau}_X^*$, we build two maps in the opposite direction, exactly as in [3, 5.7]. Recall that on cycles we have

$$p_{\pm, *}: \mathcal{Z}_0(X|D) \rightrightarrows \mathcal{Z}_0(S_X)$$

given by $p_{+, *}([x]) = \iota_{+, *}([x])$ (resp., $p_{-, *}([x]) = \iota_{-, *}([x])$) for a closed point $x \in X \setminus D$, where ι_\pm are the inclusions of the two components of the double S_X . It is clear that the maps $p_{\pm, *}$ descend to group homomorphisms

$$\tilde{p}_{\pm, *}: \text{CH}_0^{\text{snc}}(X|D) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X).$$

Note that here it is crucial that in the definition of $\text{CH}_0^{\text{snc}}(X|D)$, we allow only smooth embedded curves in X for the relations defining the rational equivalence (in contrast with the original definition of the Chow group of zero cycles with modulus). The presence of non-embedded curves was one of the main original motivations for introducing the lci Chow group in [3].

Proof of Proposition 6.2: We have a commutative diagram (see, [3, § 5,6,7])

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \text{CH}_0^{\text{snc}}(X|D) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}_{+, *}} & \text{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\tau}_X^*} & \text{CH}_0^{\text{snc}}(X|D) \\ \downarrow \phi_X & & \downarrow \text{can} & & \downarrow \phi_X \\ \text{CH}_0(X|D) & \xrightarrow{p_{+, *}} & \text{CH}_0^{BK}(S_X) & \xrightarrow{\tau_X^*} & \text{CH}_0(X|D). \end{array}$$

The composite of the lower horizontal arrows in (6.3) is the identity map in view of [3, Thm. 5.10]. Lemma 6.4 shows that the map $\tilde{\tau}_X^*$ actually factors through rational equivalence, and it is immediate that the composition $\tilde{\tau}_X^* \circ \tilde{p}_{+, *}$ is the identity, in view of the definition of both maps on cycles. In particular, the map $\text{CH}_0^{\text{snc}}(X|D) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X)$ is injective. The vertical map $\text{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0^{BK}(S_X)$ is an isomorphism in view of Lemma 6.1, hence the composition $\text{CH}_0^{\text{snc}}(X|D) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0^{BK}(S_X)$ is injective as well. Since this map factors through the canonical surjection ϕ_X , this completes the proof. \square

Remark 6.5. The reader should note that we can not deduce from Proposition 6.2 that the map $\mathrm{CH}_0(X|D) \rightarrow H_0^{sus}(X \setminus D)$ is an isomorphism if $D \subset X$ is a simple normal crossing divisor.

6.2. Moving lemma in higher dimensions. Let k be a field and X an integral smooth quasi-projective scheme over k of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let $D \subset X$ be an effective Cartier divisor. In this subsection, we shall prove a moving lemma in higher dimensions. The reader may note below that our condition on D and our assertion are mildly different from the case of surfaces considered above.

Let $A \subset D$ be a closed subscheme of dimension at most $d - 2$. Let $\mathcal{R}_0^{A,\mathrm{reg}}(X|D) \subset \mathcal{Z}_0(X|D)$ be the subgroup generated by $\mathrm{div}(f)$, where $C \subset X$ is an integral curve and $f \in k(C)^\times$ is such that the following hold.

- (1) $C \not\subset D$.
- (2) C is regular along D .
- (3) $C \cap A = \emptyset$.
- (4) $f \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_{C,\nu^*(D)}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\nu^*(D)}^\times)$, where $\nu : C \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion.

Let $\mathrm{CH}_0^{A,\mathrm{reg}}(X|D)$ be the quotient of $\mathcal{Z}_0(X|D)$ by $\mathcal{R}_0^{A,\mathrm{reg}}(X|D)$. There is an evident surjection $\mathrm{CH}_0^{A,\mathrm{reg}}(X|D) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)$.

Proposition 6.6. *Assume that condition (\star) holds (e.g., k is algebraically closed and D is reduced, or $d \leq 2$ and k is infinite). Then the map*

$$\mathrm{CH}_0^{A,\mathrm{reg}}(X|D) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let S_X denote the double of X along D . Let $p_{\pm,*} : \mathcal{Z}_0(X|D) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_0(S_X, D)$ be the group homomorphisms, defined by $p_{\pm,*}([x]) = \iota_{\pm,*}([x])$, where $\iota_{\pm} : X \hookrightarrow S_X$ are the inclusions of the two irreducible components of S_X , which we denote by X_{\pm} (they are same as X). The proof of [3, Prop. 5.9] verbatim shows that p_{\pm} descend to group homomorphisms $p_{\pm} : \mathrm{CH}_0^{A,\mathrm{reg}}(X|D) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X)$. We note here that the condition (2) is very essential to define this map. Otherwise, one has to replace the target by the lci Chow group $\mathrm{CH}_0^{BK}(S_X)$.

Now, [21, Prop. 7.5] and the proofs [3, Props. 5.7, 5.8] show together that the group homomorphism $\tau_X^* : \mathcal{Z}_0(S_X, D) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_0(X|D)$, defined by $\tau_X^*([x]) = \iota_+^*([x]) - \iota_-^*([x])$, descends to a map $\tau_X^* : \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0^{A,\mathrm{reg}}(X|D)$. Once again, we supply the original arguments with the Bertini theorems of [16] if k is not perfect. Note that this is redundant if we are under the assumption that k is algebraically closed.

We now consider the commutative diagram

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{CH}_0^{A,\mathrm{reg}}(X|D) & \xrightarrow{p_{+,*}} & \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(S_X) & \xrightarrow{\tau_X^*} & \mathrm{CH}_0^{A,\mathrm{reg}}(X|D) \\ \phi_X^{\mathrm{reg}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{can} & & \downarrow \phi_X^{\mathrm{reg}} \\ \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D) & \xrightarrow{p_{+,*}} & \mathrm{CH}_0^{BK}(S_X) & \xrightarrow{\tau_X^*} & \mathrm{CH}_0(X|D), \end{array}$$

where the arrows in the bottom rows are given by [3, Thm. 7.1]. It is clear that the composite horizontal arrows on the two rows are the identity maps. Our assumption on the condition (\star) implies that the middle vertical arrow is an isomorphism. It follows that ϕ_X^{reg} must be injective and we are done. \square

7. CHOW GROUPS OF NORMAL CROSSING SURFACES

In order to prove our main result about the relation between the Levine-Weibel Chow group and motivic cohomology of normal crossing varieties, we have to use an induction procedure on the dimension of the variety. In this section, we shall prove some results for surfaces which we shall need for this induction step.

7.1. A relation between Chow group with modulus and Levine-Weibel Chow group. The goal of this subsection is to prove the $d = 2$ case of Theorem 8.1. Let k be a field. Let X be a normal crossing variety of dimension $d \geq 1$ over k (see Definition 2.12).

Notation 7.1. Let $\iota: Y \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion of an irreducible component of X and let $E \subset Y$ be the scheme theoretic intersection $\overline{X \setminus Y} \cap Y$. Then Y is a regular and E is a simple normal crossing divisor on Y . Let $D \subset X$ denote the singular locus of X . Let $\iota': Y' = \overline{X \setminus Y} \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion of the union of other components of X . Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ be the sheaf of ideals on X defining Y' and let $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$ be the sheaf of ideals on Y defining E .

We have the following canonical inclusion of the groups of 0-cycles:

$$(7.1) \quad \iota_*: \mathcal{Z}_0(Y|E) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_0(X, D).$$

We wish to show that this map preserves the subgroups of rational equivalences $\mathcal{R}_0(Y|E)$ when $d \leq 2$. This will be generalized to higher dimensions in a later section. We first deal with the case $d = 1$.

Lemma 7.2. *The map ι_* descends to a homomorphism $\iota_*: \text{CH}_0(Y|E) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0^{LW}(X)$ when $d = 1$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \text{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,E}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E^\times)$. The scheme X being a normal crossing variety means that the sequence

$$(7.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y,E} \times \mathcal{O}_{Y',E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. If we let $f' = (f, 1) \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,E}^\times \times \mathcal{O}_{Y',E}^\times$, then the modulus condition for f implies that $f' \in \mathcal{O}_{X,E}^\times$. Moreover, we have $\text{div}(f') = \text{div}(f)$ in $\mathcal{Z}_0(X, D)$. We have thus shown that ι_* preserves the subgroups of rational equivalences and this finishes the proof. \square

In the rest of § 7.1, we shall assume $d = 2$. For any closed immersion of schemes $W_2 \subset W_1$ of k -schemes, we let $\mathcal{K}_{i,(W_1,W_2)}$ denote the Zariski sheaf on W_1 associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto K_i(U, U \cap W_2)$ of relative Quillen K -theory.

Lemma 7.3. *The canonical map $\iota^*: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \iota_*(\mathcal{J})$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let A be a reduced affine k -algebra of dimension $d \geq 1$ such that $\text{Spec}(A)$ is a normal crossing variety. Let $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}$ be the set of minimal primes of A . We write $I = \bigcap_{i=2}^r \mathfrak{p}_i$ and $B = A/\mathfrak{p}_1$. Our problem reduces to showing that the homomorphism $I \rightarrow IB$ is bijective. This homomorphism is clearly surjective. It is also injective because the kernel of the composite map $I \rightarrow IB \rightarrow B$ is $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap I = \bigcap_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{p}_i = (0)$. \square

Lemma 7.4. *The restriction map $\iota^*: H^2(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,(X,Y')}) \rightarrow H^2(Y, \mathcal{K}_{2,(Y,E)})$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We have a commutative diagram of Zariski sheaves on X with exact rows:

$$(7.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{K}_{2,(X,Y')} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{2,X} & \longrightarrow & \iota'_*(\mathcal{K}_{2,Y'}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \iota^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota^* & & \downarrow \iota^* & & \\ \mathcal{K}_{2,(Y,E)} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{2,Y} & \longrightarrow & \iota'_*(\mathcal{K}_{2,E}) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

The canonical map $\iota_*: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \iota_*(\mathcal{J})$ is an isomorphism by Lemma 7.3. It follows from [28] (see also [15, Thm. 0.2]) that the map $\mathcal{K}_{2,(X,Y')} \rightarrow \iota_*(\mathcal{K}_{2,(Y,E)})$ is surjective. It is also clear that the kernel of this map is supported on D . In particular, the map $H^2(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,(X,Y')}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \iota_*(\mathcal{K}_{2,(Y,E)})) \simeq H^2(Y, \mathcal{K}_{2,(Y,E)})$ is an isomorphism. This proves the lemma. \square

For any reduced quasi-projective surface Z over k , let

$$cyc_Z: \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(Z) \rightarrow F^2 K_0(Z) \subset K_0(Z)$$

be the K -theoretic cycle class map (e.g., see [3, Lem. 3.12]). As we have already observed, it factors through $H_{\mathrm{Zar}}^2(Z, \mathcal{K}_{2,Z})$, and it gives in fact isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(Z) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{CH}_0^{BK}(Z) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_{\mathrm{Zar}}^2(Z, \mathcal{K}_{2,Z}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_{\mathrm{Nis}}^2(Z, \mathcal{K}_{2,Z})$$

if k is infinite, by the main theorem of [5] (or by [33] when k is algebraically closed). Recall that when k is finite, the map $\mathrm{CH}_0^{BK}(Z) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{Zar}}^2(Z, \mathcal{K}_{2,Z})$ is still an isomorphism, thanks to [5, Thm. 8.1]. The following is the $d = 2$ case of Theorem 8.1.

Lemma 7.5. *The map ι_* of (7.1) descends to a homomorphism*

$$\iota_*: \mathrm{CH}_0(Y|E) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0^{BK}(X).$$

Proof. Since $d = 2$ and $H^i(X, \iota'_*(\mathcal{K}_{2,Y'})) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^i(Y', \mathcal{K}_{2,Y'})$ for $i \geq 0$, we get from (7.3), a commutative diagram of Zariski cohomology groups:

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} H^2(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,(X,Y')}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,X}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(Y', \mathcal{K}_{2,Y'}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \iota_* \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \iota_* & & \downarrow \iota_* & & \\ H^2(Y, \mathcal{K}_{2,(Y,E)}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(Y, \mathcal{K}_{2,Y}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(E, \mathcal{K}_{2,E}) & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where the two rows are exact.

For a closed point $x \in Y \setminus E = X \setminus Y' \subset X_{\mathrm{reg}}$, we have a commutative diagram

$$(7.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_{\{x\}}^2(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,(X,Y')}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,(X,Y')}) & & \\ \downarrow \simeq & \searrow \simeq & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ & H_{\{x\}}^2(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,X}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,X}) & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ H_{\{x\}}^2(Y, \mathcal{K}_{2,(Y,E)}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(Y, \mathcal{K}_{2,(Y,E)}) & & \\ & \searrow \simeq & \downarrow \simeq & \searrow & \\ & H_{\{x\}}^2(Y, \mathcal{K}_{2,Y}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(Y, \mathcal{K}_{2,Y}) & \\ & & & & \downarrow \iota_* \end{array}$$

Since the composite $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_{\{x\}}^2(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,X}) \hookrightarrow K_0(X)$ is the cycle class of $x \in X_{\mathrm{reg}}$, it follows from Lemma 7.4, (7.4) and (7.5) that the inclusion $Y \setminus E = X \setminus Y' \subset X_{\mathrm{reg}}$ induces a commutative diagram of cycle class maps

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}_0(Y|E) \xrightarrow{cyc_{Y|E}} H^2(Y, \mathcal{K}_{2,(Y,E)}) & & \\ \downarrow \iota_* & & \downarrow \phi_Y \\ \mathcal{Z}_0(X, D) \xrightarrow{cyc_X} H_{\mathrm{Zar}}^2(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,X}) \hookrightarrow K_0(X), & & \end{array}$$

where $\phi_Y = (\iota^*)^{-1}: H^2(Y, \mathcal{K}_{2,(Y,E)}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,(X,Y')})$.

It follows from [5, Thm. 3.6] that cyc_X factors through the quotient $\text{CH}_0^{BK}(X)$ and it follows from [29, Thm. 1.2, Lem. 2.1] that the map $\text{cyc}_{Y|E}$ factors through the quotient $\text{CH}_0(Y|E)$. We thus get a commutative diagram with solid arrows

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}_0(Y|E) & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{CH}_0(Y|E) \xrightarrow{\text{cyc}_{Y|E}} H_{\text{Zar}}^2(Y, \mathcal{K}_{2,(Y,E)}) \\ \downarrow \iota_* & & \downarrow \phi_Y \\ \mathcal{Z}_0(X, D) & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{CH}_0^{BK}(X) \xrightarrow{\text{cyc}_X} H_{\text{Zar}}^2(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,X}) \hookrightarrow K_0(X). \end{array}$$

Now, the map $\text{cyc}_X: \text{CH}_0^{BK}(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{Zar}}^2(X, \mathcal{K}_{2,X})$ is an isomorphism by [5, Thm. 8.1] and the map $\text{CH}_0(Y|E) \rightarrow H_{\text{Zar}}^2(Y, \mathcal{K}_{2,(Y,E)})$ is an isomorphism by [5, Thm. 8.2]. It follows that the composite map $\iota_*: \mathcal{Z}_0(Y|E) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_0(X, D) \twoheadrightarrow \text{CH}_0^{LW}(X)$ factors through $\text{CH}_0(Y|E)$. This proves the lemma. \square

7.2. 0-cycles on normal crossing surfaces. In this subsection, we shall prove the $d = 2$ case of Theorem 8.2. We continue with the set-up of § 7.1. Let $\{X_1, \dots, X_r\}$ be the set of irreducible components of X . Let $C \subset X$ be a reduced curve. The following definition is borrowed from [10].

Definition 7.6. We shall say that C is a *snc subcurve* in X if its scheme theoretic intersection with each irreducible component of X is smooth and integral of dimension one, its intersection with each $X_i \cap X_j$ ($i \neq j$) is reduced and 0-dimensional, and its intersection with each $X_i \cap X_j \cap X_\ell$ ($i \neq j \neq \ell \neq i$) is empty.

Let X be as above and let $C \subset X$ be a snc subcurve. The following results are easy to verify.

Lemma 7.7. *The following hold for the snc subcurve C .*

- (1) *The inclusion $C \hookrightarrow X$ is a regular embedding.*
- (2) *The embedding dimension of C is at most two.*
- (3) *C is regular and integral if and only if it is contained in one component X_i of X and $C \cap X_j = \emptyset$ for every component $X_j \neq X_i$.*

Lemma 7.8. *Suppose that there is a locally closed embedding $X \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$ and a hypersurface $H \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$ which intersects every component of $\bigcap_{i \in I} X_i$ transversely and this intersection is regular for every non-empty subset I of $\{1, \dots, r\}$. Let $Y = H \cap X$. If $C \subset Y$ is a snc subcurve, then it is also a snc subcurve in X .*

Let X be a normal crossing variety of dimension $d \geq 2$ over k . Let $D \subset X$ denote the singular locus of X . We let $\mathcal{R}_0^{\text{snc}}(X, D)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_0(X, D)$ generated by $\text{div}(f)$, where f is a rational function on a snc subcurve $C \subset X$ such that f is regular and invertible along $C \cap D$. We let

$$(7.8) \quad \text{CH}_0^{\text{snc}}(X) = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_0(X, D)}{\mathcal{R}_0^{\text{snc}}(X, D)}.$$

It follows from Lemma 7.7 that a snc subcurve on X is a Cartier curve relative to X_{sing} . It follows that there are canonical surjections $\text{CH}_0^{\text{snc}}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \text{CH}_0^{LW}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \text{CH}_0^{BK}(X)$. We will now prove the following result. This shows that the Levine-Weibel Chow group of a normal crossing surface can be described using a simpler notion of rational equivalence. This will be used in the proof of Theorem 8.2.

Proposition 7.9. *If k is infinite and $d = 2$, then the maps*

$$\text{CH}_0^{\text{snc}}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \text{CH}_0^{LW}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \text{CH}_0^{BK}(X)$$

are isomorphisms.

Proof. The isomorphism between the Levine-Weibel and the lci Chow group over infinite fields follows from Bloch's formula for surfaces [5], so we only need to show that $\mathrm{CH}_0^{\mathrm{snc}}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_0^{\mathrm{LW}}(X)$ is an isomorphism. We prove this using the ideas of [3, Lem. 5.5], that in turn is inspired by [34, Lem. 1.4]. We fix a locally closed embedding $X \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$. Let $C \subset X$ be a reduced Cartier curve relative to D and let $f \in k(C)^\times$ be such that it is regular and invertible along $C \cap D$. We need to show that $\mathrm{div}(f) \in \mathcal{R}_0^{\mathrm{snc}}(X, D)$.

As in the proof of [34, Lem. 1.4], we can reduce to the case when there is a very ample line bundle \mathcal{L} on X and a section $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ such that $C = (t_0)$. Since \mathcal{L} is very ample, the Bertini theorem (see the proof of [34, Lem. 1.3] and [22, Chap. 6], and also [16] for the case where k is not assumed to be perfect) now allow us to choose another Cartier divisor C_∞ in the linear system $H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ such that:

- (1) $C_\infty \subset X$ is a snc subcurve.
- (2) $C_\infty \cap C \cap D = \emptyset$.
- (3) C_∞ contains no component of C .

Denote by t_∞ the section of \mathcal{L} with $C_\infty = (t_\infty)$. We extend the function f on C to a function h on $C_\infty \cup C$ by setting $h = f$ on C and $h = 1$ on C_∞ . Notice that h is meromorphic on $C_\infty \cup C$ and regular invertible in a neighborhood of $(C_\infty \cup C) \cap D$ by (2). Let S denote the finite set of points $S = \{\text{poles of } f\} \cup (C \cap C_\infty)$. Note that $S \cap D = \emptyset$.

We now choose a very ample line bundle $\mathcal{M} = j^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^r}(1))$ on X , corresponding to an embedding $j: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^r$ for $r \gg 0$ of X as a locally closed subscheme. Since k is infinite, there is a dense open subset V_X of the dual projective space $(\mathbb{P}_k^r)^\vee$ such that for $L \in V_X$, the scheme theoretic intersection $L \cdot X = L \times_{\mathbb{P}_k^r} S_X$ satisfies the following list of properties:

- a) $L \cdot X$ is a snc subcurve in X ,
- b) $L \cdot X \cap (C \cup C_\infty) \cap D = \emptyset$,
- c) $L \cdot X \supset S$,
- d) $L \cdot X$ intersects $C_\infty \cup C$ in a finite set of points.

The hyperplane L corresponds to a section l of the linear system $H^0(\mathbb{P}_k^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^r}(1))$. Write s_∞ for the global section of \mathcal{L} that is the restriction of l to X . Then $L \cdot X = (s_\infty)$. Note that we can assume that s_∞ does not have poles on $(C \cup C_\infty) \cap D$. Let \overline{X} be the closure of X in \mathbb{P}_k^r and let \mathcal{I} be the ideal sheaf of $\overline{X} \setminus X$ in \mathbb{P}_k^r . We can find a section s'_∞ of the sheaf $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^r}(m)$ for some $m \gg 0$, which restricts to a section s_∞ on X satisfying the properties (a) - (d) on $X = \overline{X} \setminus V(\mathcal{I})$. This implies in particular that $X \setminus (s_\infty) = \overline{X} \setminus (s'_\infty)$ is affine. Thus, up to taking a further Veronese embedding of \mathbb{P}_k^r (replacing s_∞ with s'_∞) we can assume that (a) - (d) as above as well as the following hold

- e) $X \setminus (s_\infty) = X \setminus (L \cdot X)$ is affine.

Consider again the function h on $C \cup C_\infty$. By our choice of S , h is regular on $(C \cup C_\infty) \setminus S$. By (e) above, h extends to a regular function H on the affine open $U = X \setminus (s_\infty)$. Since H is a meromorphic function on X which has poles only along (s_∞) , it follows that for $N \gg 0$ the section $H s_\infty^N$ is an element of $H^0(U, \mathcal{L}^N)$ which extends to a section s_0 of \mathcal{L}^N on all of X . Since h is regular and invertible at each point of $C \cup C_\infty \cap D$ and since s_∞ does not have zeros or poles on $C \cup C_\infty \cap D$, it follows that $(s_0) \cap (C \cup C_\infty) \cap D = \emptyset$ and (using (d) above) that (s_0) does not contain any component of $C \cup C_\infty$. Note that up to replacing s_0 by $s_0 s_\infty^i$, we are free to choose N as large as needed.

Write $\mathcal{I}_{C \cup C_\infty}$ for the ideal sheaf of $C \cup C_\infty$ in X . We can then find sections s_1, \dots, s_m of $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^N \otimes \mathcal{I}_{C \cup C_\infty})$ such that the rational map $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^{m-1}$ that they define is a locally closed immersion on $X \setminus (C \cup C_\infty)$. In particular, there exists an affine open

neighbourhood U_x of every $x \in X \setminus (C \cup C_\infty)$ where at least one of the s_i is not identically zero and where the k -algebra $k[s_1/s_i, \dots, s_m/s_i]$ generated by $s_1/s_i, \dots, s_m/s_i$ coincides with the coordinate ring of U_x . But then the same must be true for the algebra $k[s_0/s_1, s_1/s_i, \dots, s_m/s_i]$ obtained by adding the element s_0/s_i . Hence, the rational map $\psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^m$ given by the sections (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_m) of $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^N)$ is also a locally closed immersion on $X \setminus (C \cup C_\infty)$, and since the base locus of the linear system associated to (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_m) is $(s_0) \cap (C \cup C_\infty)$, it is in fact a morphism away from $(s_0) \cap (C \cup C_\infty)$.

In particular, ψ is birational (hence separable) so that the linear system $V = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_m)$ is not composite with a pencil. Since X is a simple normal crossing divisor, the classical Theorem of Bertini (see, for example, [51, Thm. I.6.3] and [22, Chap. 6]) shows that a general divisor E in V is a snc subcurve in X . We can therefore assume that there is a global section s'_0 of $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^N)$ of the form $s'_0 = s_0 + \alpha$ with $\alpha \in (s_1, \dots, s_m)$, that satisfies the following properties:

- a') (s'_0) is a snc subcurve in X .
- b') $(s'_0) \cap (C \cup C_\infty) \cap D = \emptyset$.
- c') $C_\infty \cup C$ contains no component of (s'_0) .

We then have

$$\frac{s'_0}{s_\infty^N} = \frac{H s_\infty^N + (\alpha s_\infty^{-N}) s_\infty^N}{s_\infty^N} = H + \alpha s_\infty^{-N} = H', \text{ (say).}$$

Since α vanishes along $C \cup C_\infty$ and s_∞ does not vanish identically on $U \cap (C \cup C_\infty)$ by (d), it follows that $H'|_{(C \cup C_\infty) \cap U} = H|_{(C \cup C_\infty) \cap U} = h|_U$. In other words, we have $s'_0/s_\infty^N = h$ as rational functions on $C \cup C_\infty$. We can now compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_*(\operatorname{div}(f)) &= (s'_0) \cdot C - N(s_\infty) \cdot C \\ 0 = \operatorname{div}(1) &= (s'_0) \cdot C_\infty - N(s_\infty) \cdot C_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_*(\operatorname{div}(f)) &= (s'_0) \cdot (C - C_\infty) - N(s_\infty)(C - C_\infty) \\ &= (s'_0) \cdot (\operatorname{div}(t_0/t_\infty)) - N(s_\infty) \cdot (\operatorname{div}(t_0/t_\infty)) \\ &= \iota_{s'_0, *}(f') - N \iota_{s_\infty, *}(f''), \end{aligned}$$

where $f' = (t_0/t_\infty)|_{(s'_0)} \in \mathcal{O}_{(s'_0), D \cap (s'_0)}^\times$ (by (b')) and $f'' = (t_0/t_\infty)|_{(s_\infty)} \in \mathcal{O}_{(s_\infty), D \cap (s_\infty)}^\times$ (by (b)). Since (s'_0) and (s_∞) are snc subcurves on X , we are done. \square

8. CHOW GROUPS OF HIGHER DIMENSIONAL NC VARIETIES

In this section, we study various groups of 0-cycles on higher dimensional normal crossing varieties and prove our final theorem about these Chow groups.

8.1. Generalization of Lemma 7.5 in higher dimensions. Let k be a field and X a normal crossing variety of dimension $d \geq 1$ over k (see Definition 2.12). We shall now generalize Lemma 7.5 to higher dimensions. We shall use the notations of § 7.1 in the proof.

Theorem 8.1. *Assume that condition (\star) holds (e.g., k is algebraically closed and D is reduced, or k is infinite and $d \leq 2$). Then the map $\iota_*: \mathcal{Z}_0(Y|E) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_0(X, D)$ of (7.1) descends to a group homomorphism*

$$\iota_*: \operatorname{CH}_0(Y|E) \rightarrow \operatorname{CH}_0^{LW}(X).$$

Proof. We shall prove the theorem by induction on d . The case $d \leq 2$ follows from Lemmas 7.2 and 7.5 (and in this case the result is unconditional). So we assume $d \geq 3$. Let $C \subset Y$ be an integral curve not contained in E and let $f \in \text{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_{C^N, \nu^*(E)}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\nu^*(E)}^\times)$, where $\nu: C^N \rightarrow X$ is the canonical map. We need to show that $\text{div}(f) \in \mathcal{R}_0^{LW}(X, D)$.

By Proposition 6.6, we can assume that C is regular in a neighborhood of $C \cap D$ so that $\nu: C^N \rightarrow X$ is a closed embedding in a neighborhood of $C \cap D$ and $C \cap D_{\text{sing}} = C \cap E_{\text{sing}} = \emptyset$. Note here that $E \subset Y$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. In particular, it is reduced.

Let T be the set of singular points of C . Since C is regular along D , we must have $T \cap Z = \emptyset$, where we let $Z = \overline{X \setminus Y}$. We can find a finite sequence of blow-ups $\pi: X' \rightarrow X$ over the points of T such that the strict transform C' of C is regular and it intersects the exceptional divisor F transversely in the regular locus of F . As $T \cap Z = \emptyset$, the map $\pi^{-1}(Z) \rightarrow Z$ is an isomorphism. In particular, X' is a normal crossing variety of dimension d over k . Let Y' be the strict transform of Y under π and let $E' = F + E$. Then E' is a simple normal crossing divisor on Y' and C' intersects E' transversely in its regular locus. Note also that $D' := X'_{\text{sing}} \cong D$.

We now choose a locally closed embedding $X' \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^N$. We then have (using the notations of [2, § 5])

- (1) $e(C', Y') + \dim(C' \cap Y' = C') = 2 < \dim(Y')$.
- (2) $e(C', Y_i) + \dim(C \cap Y_i) \leq 1 < \dim(Y_i)$ for every irreducible component $Y_i \neq Y'$ of X' .
- (3) $e(C', D_i) + \dim(C' \cap D_i) \leq 1 < \dim(D_i)$ for every irreducible component D_i of D' .
- (4) $C' \cap D'_{\text{sing}} = \emptyset$.

Let $\overline{C'}$ denote the scheme theoretic closure of C' in \mathbb{P}_k^N and let \mathcal{I} be the sheaf of ideals on \mathbb{P}_k^N defining $\overline{C'}$. Let $\{X_1, \dots, X_r\}$ be the set of irreducible components of X with $X_1 = Y$. Then $\{Y', X_2, \dots, X_r\}$ is the set of irreducible components of X' . Let \mathcal{W} be the collection of all subschemes of X' such $W \subset X'$ lies in \mathcal{W} if and only if it is an irreducible component of X'_J for some $J \subset [1, n]$ (see § 7.1). Note that any such W is integral and smooth.

If k is infinite, we can use (1) - (4) and [16, Thm. 3.12] to find $d \gg 0$ such that a general member H (which is defined over k) of the linear system $|H^0(\mathbb{P}_k^N, \mathcal{I}(d))|$ has the property that $H \cap W$ is irreducible and smooth for every $W \in \mathcal{W}$. In particular, it is integral. If k is finite, we can use [16, Prop. 5.2, Thm. 8.4] to find a hypersurface $H \in |H^0(\mathbb{P}_k^N, \mathcal{I}(d))|(k)$ for almost all $d \gg 0$ such that $H \cap W$ is irreducible and smooth for every $W \in \mathcal{W}$. In particular, it is integral.

We choose one hypersurface H as above and let $W' = X' \cap H$. Then it is clear that W' is a normal crossing variety of dimension $d - 1$ with irreducible components $\{Y' \cap W', X_2 \cap W', \dots, X_r \cap W'\}$. We let $V' = Y' \cap W'$. By induction, it follows that $\text{div}(f) \in \mathcal{R}_0^{LW}(W', D' \cap W')$. Since $\psi: W' \hookrightarrow X'$ is a complete intersection closed subscheme such that $W'_{\text{sing}} = X'_{\text{sing}} \cap W' = D' \cap W'$, there is a push-forward map (e.g., see [3, Lem. 3.10]) $\psi_*: \mathcal{Z}_0(W', D' \cap W') \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_0(X', D')$ such that $\psi_*(\mathcal{R}_0^{LW}(W', D' \cap W')) \subset \mathcal{R}_0^{LW}(X', D')$. It follows that $\text{div}(f) \in \mathcal{R}_0^{LW}(X', D')$.

Finally, as $\pi: X' \rightarrow X$ is a finite sequence of point blow-ups away from $D = X_{\text{sing}}$, there is a push-forward map $\pi_*: \mathcal{Z}_0(X', D') \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_0(X, D)$ such that $\pi_*(\mathcal{R}_0^{LW}(X', D')) \subset \mathcal{R}_0^{LW}(X, D)$ (see [3, Lem. 3.18]). We conclude that $\text{div}(f) = \text{div}(f)_C = \pi_*(\text{div}(f)_{C'}) \in \mathcal{R}_0^{LW}(X, D)$. This concludes the proof. \square

8.2. Levine-Weibel Chow group and motivic cohomology. Let k be a field and X a normal crossing projective variety of dimension $d \geq 0$ over k . We shall now prove our final result which identifies the motivic cohomology in the bi-degree $(2d, d)$ of X to its

Levine-Weibel Chow group. One consequence of this is that it allows us to deduce that the main result of [4], which proved a restriction isomorphism for relative 0-cycles in a projective and flat family over a Henselian discrete valuation ring, recovers the earlier result of [10] when the family is semi-stable, i.e., the reduced special fiber is a simple normal crossing divisor. This question was then raised by some of the authors of [10]. The second consequence is that it allows one to describe the motivic cohomology of a normal crossing variety in terms of 0-cycles supported on X_{reg} and only one type of relations, unlike in the description given in [10] which uses two types of relations (see below).

Let D denote the singular locus of X with reduced closed subscheme structure. Let $\mathcal{R}_0^{EKW}(X, D) \subset \mathcal{Z}_0(X, D)$ be the subgroup generated by $\text{div}(f)$, where $f \in k(C)^\times$ is a rational function on a curve $C \subset X$ such that the pair (C, f) satisfies either of the conditions (1) and (2) below.

- (1) C is an integral curve not contained in D with normalization $\nu : C^N \rightarrow C \hookrightarrow X$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}_{C^N, \nu^*(D)}^\times$ such that $f(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \nu^*(D)$.
- (2) $C \subset X$ is a snc subcurve and $f \in \mathcal{O}_{C, (C \cap D)}^\times$.

We let $\text{CH}_0^{EKW}(X)$ denote the quotient of $\mathcal{Z}_0(X, D)$ by the subgroup $\mathcal{R}_0^{EKW}(X, D)$. This group was defined in [10, § 2], where it was denoted by $\mathcal{C}(X)$. One of the main computational results of [10] is the comparison between the group $\text{CH}_0^{EKW}(X)_\Lambda$ and the cdh motivic cohomology in bi-degree $(2d, d)$ of the normal crossing variety X , see [10, Thm. 7.1]. Recall here that $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$ if k admits resolution of singularities and $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ otherwise (where p is the exponential characteristic of k).

There is a canonical surjection

$$(8.1) \quad \text{CH}_0^{\text{snc}}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \text{CH}_0^{EKW}(X)$$

since both groups are quotients of $\mathcal{Z}_0(X, D)$.

The final result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 8.2. *Assume that k is perfect and condition (\star) holds. Then there is a canonical surjection $\psi_X : \text{CH}_0^{EKW}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \text{CH}_0^{LW}(X)$. The map $\psi_X : \text{CH}_0^{EKW}(X)_\Lambda \twoheadrightarrow \text{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_\Lambda$ is an isomorphism in each of the following cases.*

- (1) $d \leq 2$, k is infinite and $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$.
- (2) $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$.
- (3) $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$ and k admits resolution of singularities.

In case of (2) and (3), the map $\text{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_\Lambda \xrightarrow{\lambda_X} H^{2d}(X, \Lambda(d))$ is also an isomorphism.

Note in particular that in case (1), the statement holds integrally and unconditionally, thanks to Lemma 6.1.

Proof. We let $C \subset X$ be a curve and $f \in k(C)^\times$ a rational function. We first observe that if the pair (C, f) is of type (1) above, then C must be contained in one and only one irreducible component Y of X . Moreover, for this component Y , the intersection $E = D \cap Y$ must be a simple normal crossing divisor on Y . We now conclude easily from Theorems 4.1 (here we use perfectness of k) and 8.1 that $\psi_X(\text{div}(f)) \in \mathcal{R}_0^{LW}(X, D)$ in this case. If (C, f) is of type (2) above, then we get $\psi_X(\text{div}(f)) \in \mathcal{R}_0^{LW}(X, D)$ by Lemma 7.7. We have thus shown that $\psi_X : \text{CH}_0^{EKW}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \text{CH}_0^{LW}(X)$ is defined.

The map ψ_X is an isomorphism in case (1) by Proposition 7.9. Indeed, by Proposition 7.9, we can replace the curves defining the Levine-Weibel (or the lci) Chow group on X with snc subcurves, and since we always have the surjection (8.1), the mere existence of ψ_X forces it to be an isomorphism.

We assume now that we are in the case (2) or (3). It is shown in [10, Thm 7.1] that the maps

$$(8.2) \quad \Lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(k(x), \Lambda(0)) \rightarrow H_c^{2d}(X \setminus D, \Lambda(d)) \rightarrow H^{2d}(X, \Lambda(d))$$

for $x \in X \setminus D$ induce a map $\gamma_X: \mathrm{CH}_0^{EKW}(X)_\Lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{2d}(X, \Lambda(d))$. Moreover, this is an isomorphism. We remark here that in loc. cit., Definition 2.11 is used for normal crossing varieties which is a stronger notion than the one we use. However, the reader can easily check that the proof of [10, Thm 7.1] only uses our weaker notion.

It follows from (2.3) and (8.2) that the diagram

$$(8.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}_0^{EKW}(X)_\Lambda & & \\ \psi_X \downarrow & \searrow \gamma_X & \\ \mathrm{CH}_0^{LW}(X)_\Lambda & \xrightarrow{\lambda_X} & H^{2d}(X, \Lambda(d)) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Since γ_X is an isomorphism, we conclude that all arrows are isomorphisms. This concludes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements. Parts of this project were worked out during authors' visits to HIM at Bonn, Universität Regensburg and University of Tokyo during past years. The authors thank these institutes for supporting their visits.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Akhtar, *Zero-cycles on varieties over finite fields*, Comm. Alg., **32**, (2004), 279–294.
- [2] A. Altman, S. Kleiman, *Bertini theorems for hypersurface sections containing a subscheme*, Comm. Alg., **7**, (1979), no. 8, 775–790.
- [3] F. Binda, A. Krishna, *Zero cycles with modulus and zero cycles on singular varieties*, Comp. Math., **154**, (2018), 120–187.
- [4] F. Binda, A. Krishna, *Rigidity for relative 0-cycles*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa (5), (2021), to appear.
- [5] F. Binda, A. Krishna, S. Saito, *Bloch's formula for 0-cycles with modulus and higher dimensional class field theory*, Preprint (2020), arXiv:2002.01856 [math.AG].
- [6] F. Binda, S. Saito, *Relative cycles with moduli and regulator maps*, J. Math. Inst. Jussieu, **18**, (2019), 1233–1293.
- [7] S. Bloch, *Torsion algebraic cycles and a theorem of Roitman*, Comp. Math., **39**, (1979), 107–127.
- [8] A. de Jong, *Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., **83**, (1996), 1233–1293.
- [9] H. Esnault, O. Wittenberg, with an Appendix by S. Bloch, *On the cycle class map for zero-cycles over local fields*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), **49**, (2016), no. 2, 483–520.
- [10] H. Esnault, M. Kerz, O. Wittenberg, *A restriction isomorphism for cycles of relative dimension zero*, Cambridge J. Math., **4**, (2016), 163–196.
- [11] P. Forré, *On the kernel of the reciprocity map for varieties over local fields*, PhD thesis, Universität Regensburg, (2011).
- [12] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Second Edition, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3, Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, **2**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1998).
- [13] E. Friedlander, V. Voevodsky, *Bivariant cycle cohomology*, In “Cycles, Transfers and Motivic Homology Theories”, Annals of Math. Stud., **143**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, (2000), 138–187.
- [14] T. Geisser, M. Levine, *The K-theory of fields in characteristic p*, Invent. Math., **139**, (2000), 459–493.
- [15] S. Geller, C. Weibel, $K_1(A, B, I)$, J. Reine Angew. Math., **342**, (1983), 12–34.
- [16] M. Ghosh, A. Krishna, *Bertini theorems revisited*, arXiv:1912.09076v2 [math.AG], (2020).
- [17] M. Ghosh, A. Krishna, *Zero-cycles on normal varieties*, arXiv:2012.11249v2 [math.AG], (2021).
- [18] M. Gros, N. Suwa, *Application d'Abel-Jacobi p-adique et cycles algébriques*, Duke Math. J., **57**, (1988), 579–613.
- [19] L. Gruson, M. Raynaud, *Critères de platitude et de projectivité*, Invent. Math., **13**, (1971), 1–89.

- [20] R. Gupta, A. Krishna, *Reciprocity for Kato-Saito idele Class group with modulus*, arXiv:2008.05719v1 [math.AG], (2020).
- [21] R. Gupta, A. Krishna, *Idele class groups with modulus*, arXiv:2101.04609v1 [math.AG], (2021).
- [22] J.-P. Jouanolou, *Théorèmes de Bertini et application*, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, Boston, **42**, (1983).
- [23] K. Kato, S. Saito, *Unramified class field theory of arithmetic surfaces*, Ann. of Math., **118**, No. 2, (1983), 241–275.
- [24] S. Kelly, *Voevodsky motives and ldh-descent*, Astérisque, **391**, (2017), pp. 125.
- [25] M. Kerz, *Milnor K-theory of local rings with finite residue fields*, J. Alg. Geom., **19**, (2010), 173–191.
- [26] M. Kerz, S. Saito, *Chow group of 0-cycles with modulus and higher dimensional class field theory*, Duke Math. J., **165**, (2016), no. 15, 2811–2897.
- [27] M. Kerz, A. Schmidt, *Covering data and higher dimensional global class field theory*, Journal of Number Theory, **129**, (2009), 2569–2599.
- [28] F. Keune, *The relativization of K_2* , Journal of Algebra, **54**, (1978), 159–177.
- [29] A. Krishna, *On 0-cycles with modulus*, Algebra & Number Theory, **9**, (2015) no. 10, 2397–2415.
- [30] A. Krishna, *Torsion in the 0-cycle group with modulus*, Algebra & Number Theory, **12**, (2018), 1431–1469.
- [31] A. Krishna, J. Park, *A module structure and a vanishing theorem for cycles with modulus*, Math. Res. Lett., **24**, (2017), 1147–1176.
- [32] A. Krishna, P. Pelaez, *Slice spectral sequence for singular schemes and applications*, Ann. K-Theory, **3**, (2018), 657–708.
- [33] M. Levine, *Bloch’s formula for singular surfaces*, Topology, **24**, (1985), 165–174.
- [34] M. Levine, *Zero-cycles and K-theory on singular varieties*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., **46**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, (1987), 451–462.
- [35] M. Levine, C. Weibel, *Zero cycles and complete intersections on singular varieties*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **359**, (1985), 106–120.
- [36] V. Mallick, *Roitman’s theorem for singular projective varieties in arbitrary characteristic*, J. K-Theory **3**, (2009), 501–531.
- [37] C. Mazza, V. Voevodsky, C. Weibel, *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, Clay Mathematics Monographs, **2**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, (2006) .
- [38] J. Milne, *Étale Cohomology*, Princeton Math. Ser., **33**, Princeton University Press, (1980).
- [39] H. Miyazaki, *Cube invariance of higher Chow groups with modulus*, J. Alg. Geom., **28**, (2019), 339–390.
- [40] H. Russell, *Albanese varieties with modulus over a perfect field*, Algebra & Number Theory, **7**, (2013), 853–892.
- [41] S. Saito, K. Sato, *A finiteness theorem for zero-cycles over p-adic fields*, Ann. of Math., **172**, (2010), 1593–1639.
- [42] A. Schmidt, *Singular homology of arithmetic schemes*, Algebra & Number Theory, **1**, No. 2, (2007), 183–222.
- [43] A. Schmidt, *Some consequences of Wiesend’s higher dimensional class field theory*, Math. Z., **256**, (2007), 731–736.
- [44] J.-P. Serre, *Morphisme universels et différentielles de troisième espace*, Séminaire Chevalley, Exposé 11, 1958–1959.
- [45] M. Spieß, T. Szamuely, *On the Albanese map for smooth quasi-projective varieties*, Math. Ann., **325**, (2003), 1–17.
- [46] M. Temkin, *Tame distillation and desingularization by p-alterations*, Ann. of Math., **186**, (2017), 97–126.
- [47] V. Voevodsky, *Triangulated categories of motives over a field*, Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories, Ann. of Math. Stud., **143**, (2000).
- [48] V. Voevodsky, *Motivic cohomology are isomorphic to higher Chow groups in any characteristic*, Int. Math. Res. Not., **7**, (2002), 3511–3555.
- [49] C. Weibel, *Homotopy algebraic K-theory*, AMS Contem. Math., **83**, (1988), 461–488.
- [50] A. Weil, *Sur les critères d’équivalence en géométrie algébrique*, Math. Annalen, **128**, (1954), 209–215.
- [51] O. Zariski, *Introduction to the problem of minimal models in the theory of algebraic surfaces*, Publ. of the Math. Society of Japan, no.4, (1958).

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “FEDERIGO ENRIQUES”, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO, VIA CESARE SALDINI 50, 20133 MILANO, ITALY

Email address: federico.binda@unimi.it

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE, 560012, INDIA.
Email address: amalenduk@iisc.ac.in