

PPoL: A Periodic Channel Hopping Sequence with Nearly Full Rendezvous Diversity

Yi-Jheng Lin and Cheng-Shang Chang, *Fellow, IEEE*,

Abstract—We propose a periodic channel hopping (CH) sequence, called PPoL (Packing the Pencil of Lines in a finite projective plane), for the multichannel rendezvous problem. When $N - 1$ is a prime power, its period is $N^2 - N + 1$, and the number of distinct rendezvous channels of PPoL is at least $N - 2$ for any nonzero clock drift. By channel remapping, we construct CH sequences with the maximum time-to-rendezvous (MTTR) bounded by $N^2 + 3N + 3$ if the number of commonly available channels is at least two. This achieves a roughly 50% reduction of the state-of-the-art MTTR bound in the literature.

Index Terms—multichannel rendezvous, worst case analysis, finite projective planes.

I. INTRODUCTION

The multichannel rendezvous problem that asks two users to meet each other by hopping over their available channels is a fundamental problem in many IoT applications, and it has received a lot of attention lately (see, e.g., the excellent book [1] and references therein). In the multichannel rendezvous problem, a channel is called a *rendezvous* channel of a periodic channel hopping CH sequence if the two users (with any arbitrary clock drift between them) rendezvous on that channel within the period of the sequence. The degree-of-rendezvous (DoR) is the number of *distinct* rendezvous channels within the period of the sequence. A periodic CH sequence is said to achieve full rendezvous diversity (or maximum rendezvous diversity) for the multichannel rendezvous problem with N channels if its DoR is N .

For the multichannel rendezvous problem with N channels, it was shown in Theorem 1 of [2] that there do not exist deterministic periodic CH sequences that can achieve full rendezvous diversity with periods less than or equal to N^2 . The lower bound was further strengthened in Theorem 3 of [3]:

$$p \geq \begin{cases} N^2 + N & \text{if } N \leq 2 \\ N^2 + N + 1 & \text{if } N \geq 3 \text{ and } N \text{ is a prime power} \\ N^2 + 2N & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where p is the period of a CH sequence with full rendezvous diversity.

One open conjecture in the multichannel rendezvous problem is whether it is possible to construct a periodic CH sequence that achieves the lower bound. In the literature, there are various periodic CH sequences that can achieve full rendezvous diversity, see, e.g., CRSEQ [4], JS [5], DRDS [3], T-CH [6], DSCR [7], and IDEAL-CH [8]. The asymptotic

approximation ratio, defined as the ratio of the period to the lower bound N^2 when $N \rightarrow \infty$, is still 2 for IDEAL-CH, 2.5 for T-CH and DSCR, and 3 for CRSEQ and DRDS. Clearly, there is still a significant theoretical gap between the lower bound and the state-of-the-art CH sequences.

In this letter, we tackle such a conjecture from another perspective. Instead of focusing on the constructions of periodic CH sequences with full rendezvous diversity, we consider periodic CH sequences with “nearly” full rendezvous diversity. To formally define the notion of “nearly” full rendezvous diversity for a periodic CH sequence $\{c(t), t = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}$ on N channels, we define the quantity $DoR(d)$ as the number of *distinct* rendezvous channels between $\{c(t), t = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}$ and $\{c(t + d), t = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}$. Clearly, a periodic CH sequence achieves full rendezvous diversity if $DoR(d) = N$ for all $d \neq 0$. As such, we call a periodic CH sequence achieves “nearly” full rendezvous diversity if $DoR(d)$ is very close to N for all $d \neq 0$. For this, we propose a periodic CH sequence, called PPoL, from Packing the Pencil of Lines in a finite projective plane. When the number of channels is N with $N - 1$ being a prime power, the period p of PPoL is $N^2 - N + 1$, which is smaller than the lower bound in Theorem 3 of [3] for a periodic CH sequence with full rendezvous diversity. We show that the $DoR(d)$ of PPoL is at least $N - 2$ for all d .

Another theoretical contribution of the PPoL CH sequence is the reduction of the maximum time-to-rendezvous (MTTR). In this letter, we consider the symmetric, asynchronous, and heterogeneous setting, where (i) the two users are indistinguishable (and follow the same algorithm to generate their CH sequences), (ii) their clocks may not be synchronized, and (iii) their available channels may be different. However, we assume that the N channels are commonly labeled for these two users. By channel remapping (for the channels not in the available channel set), we show that the MTTR can be bounded by $N^2 + 3N + 3$ (when $N + 1$ is a prime power) if the number of commonly available channels is at least two. On the other hand, the MTTR bounds of the state-of-the-art algorithms, including ORTHO-CH [8], SRR [9], and FRCH [10], are at least $2N^2$ when the number of commonly available channels is at least one. This shows that one can achieve a 50% reduction of the MTTR bound (for a large N) if we relax the assumption of the number of commonly available channels from one to two.

II. THE PPO L CH SEQUENCE

A. Difference sets and finite projective planes

To construct CH sequences with full rendezvous diversity, it is known in [3], [11] that it is equivalent to the problem

Y.-J. Lin and C.-S. Chang are with the Institute of Communications Engineering, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 300, Taiwan, R.O.C. email: s107064901@m107.nthu.edu.tw, cschang@ee.nthu.edu.tw.

of packing disjoint difference sets. Though there are efficient algorithms (see, e.g., [12], [13]) that can find disjoint perfect difference sets in a periodic sequence, there is no lower bound on the number of disjoint perfect difference sets that can be found. In other words, given a specified period p , in general we do not have a lower bound for DoR.

Instead of packing disjoint difference sets, the key idea of our construction of the PPol CH sequence is to pack the pencil of lines in a finite projective plane. For the letter to be self-contained, we first briefly review the notions of difference sets and finite projective planes (even though they have been widely used in the literature, see, e.g., [3], [8], [11], [14], [15]).

Definition 1: (Difference sets) Let $Z_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. A set $D = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\} \subset Z_p$ is called a (p, k, λ) -difference set if for every $(\ell \bmod p) \neq 0$, there exist at least λ ordered pairs (a_i, a_j) such that $a_i - a_j = (\ell \bmod p)$, where $a_i, a_j \in D$. A $(p, k, 1)$ -difference set is said to be *perfect* if there exists exactly one ordered pair (a_i, a_j) such that $a_i - a_j = (\ell \bmod p)$ for every $(\ell \bmod p) \neq 0$.

Definition 2: (Finite projective planes) A finite projective plane of order m is a collection of $m^2 + m + 1$ lines and $m^2 + m + 1$ points such that

- (i) every line contains $m + 1$ points,
- (ii) every point is on $m + 1$ lines,
- (iii) any two distinct lines intersect at exactly one point, and
- (iv) any two distinct points lie on exactly one line.

In the following, we state some well-known facts for the perfect difference sets and the finite projective planes. Suppose that $D = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ is an $(m^2 + m + 1, m + 1, 1)$ -perfect difference set. Let $p = m^2 + m + 1$.

(P1): If for some indices i, j, k, ℓ ,

$$((a_i - a_j) \bmod p) = ((a_k - a_\ell) \bmod p) \neq 0,$$

then $a_i = a_k$ and $a_j = a_\ell$. This is due to the unique difference representation property of a perfect difference set.

(P2): A time-shifted version of D is still a perfect difference set. Specifically, let

$$D_\ell = \{(a_0 + \ell) \bmod p, (a_1 + \ell) \bmod p, \dots, (a_m + \ell) \bmod p\}, \quad (1)$$

$\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1$. Then D_ℓ is an $(m^2 + m + 1, m + 1, 1)$ -perfect difference set (from the unique difference representation property).

(P3): There exists exactly one common element in D and D_ℓ for $\ell \neq 0$ such that $a_i = (a_j + \ell) \bmod p$ (from the unique difference representation property). Also, as there is exactly one ordered pair (a_i, a_j) such that $a_i - a_j = 1$, without loss of generality we can assume that $a_0 = 0$ and $a_1 = 1$ and order all the elements in D in the increasing order as follows:

$$a_0 = 0 < a_1 = 1 < a_2 < \dots < a_m < p. \quad (2)$$

(P4): Singer [16] established an important connection between an $(m^2 + m + 1, m + 1, 1)$ -perfect difference set and a finite projective plane of order m .

- (i) Let $\{0, 1, \dots, m^2 + m\}$ be the $m^2 + m + 1$ points.
- (ii) Let D_ℓ , $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1$ be the $m^2 + m + 1$ lines.

Then these $m^2 + m + 1$ points and $m^2 + m + 1$ lines form a finite projective plane of order m .

(P5): The $m + 1$ lines in the corresponding finite projective plane that contain point 0 are $D_0, D_{p-a_1}, D_{p-a_2}, \dots, D_{p-a_m}$. These $m + 1$ lines are called *the pencil of lines* [16] that contain point 0 (as the pencil point). As the only intersection of the $m + 1$ lines is point 0, these $m + 1$ lines, excluding point 0, are disjoint, and thus can be packed into Z_p , i.e., $\{D_0, D_{p-a_1}^0, \dots, D_{p-a_m}^0\}$ forms a partition of Z_p , where

$$D_{p-a_i}^0 = D_{p-a_i} \setminus \{0\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (3)$$

B. Construction of the CH sequence

In this section, we propose the PPol algorithm for constructing CH sequences with nearly full rendezvous diversity. For this, one first constructs an $(m^2 + m + 1, m + 1, 1)$ -perfect difference set, $D = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ with

$$a_0 = 0 < a_1 = 1 < a_2 < \dots < a_m < p, \quad (4)$$

where $p = m^2 + m + 1$. This is feasible when m is a prime power [16]. Then one assigns each channel to a line packed in Z_p . Specifically, the PPol CH sequence $\{c(t), t = 0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ is constructed by assigning channel 0 to the time slots in D_0 and channel i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, to the time slots in $D_{p-a_i}^0$, i.e.,

$$c(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \in D_0 \\ i & \text{if } t \in D_{p-a_i}^0 \text{ for some } i \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Algorithm 1 The PPol CH sequence

Input A set of $m + 1$ channels $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ with m being a prime power.

Output A CH sequence $\{c(t), t = 0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$, where $p = m^2 + m + 1$.

- 1: Let $p = m^2 + m + 1$ and construct a perfect difference set $D = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ in Z_p .
- 2: For $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$, let

$$D_\ell = \{(a_0 + \ell) \bmod p, (a_1 + \ell) \bmod p, \dots, (a_m + \ell) \bmod p\}.$$

- 3: Let $D_{p-a_i}^0 = D_{p-a_i} \setminus \{0\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.
 - 4: Construct the CH sequence $\{c(t), t = 0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ by assigning channel 0 to the time slots in D_0 and channel i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, to the time slots in $D_{p-a_i}^0$.
-

In Table I, we provide an illustrating example for the PPol CH sequence with the perfect difference set $D = D_0 = \{0, 1, 4, 6\}$ in Z_{13} . The other three lines that contain point 0 are $D_{13-1} = D_{12} = \{12, 0, 3, 5\}$, $D_{13-4} = D_9 = \{9, 10, 0, 2\}$ and $D_{13-6} = D_7 = \{7, 8, 11, 0\}$. Thus, we have $D_{12}^0 = \{12, 3, 5\}$, $D_9^0 = \{9, 10, 2\}$ and $D_7^0 = \{7, 8, 11\}$. According to Algorithm 1, we assign channel 0 for $t = 0, 1, 4, 6$, channel 1 for $t = 3, 5, 12$, channel 2 for $t = 2, 9, 10$ and channel 3 for $t = 7, 8, 11$. This leads to the CH sequence

$$\{c(t), t = 0, 1, \dots, 12\} = \{0, 0, 2, 1, 0, 1, 0, 3, 3, 2, 2, 3, 1\}.$$

As D_0 is a perfect difference set, channel 0 is a rendezvous channel. In particular, for $d = 1, 2, \dots, 12$ let

$$t_0(d) = 1, 6, 4, 4, 6, 6, 0, 1, 0, 1, 4, 0$$

TABLE I: An illustrating example for the PPol CH sequence with the perfect difference set $D = D_0 = \{0, 1, 4, 6\}$ in Z_{13} .

$d = a_k - a_j$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
(k, j)		(1,0)	(3,2)	(2,1)	(2,0)	(3,1)	(3,0)	(0,3)	(1,3)	(0,2)	(1,2)	(2,3)	(0,1)
D_0 shifts d	0,1,4,6	1,2,5,7	2,3,6,8	3,4,7,9	4,5,8,10	5,6,9,11	6,7,10,12	7,8,11,0	8,9,12,1	9,10,0,2	10,11,1,3	11,12,2,4	12,0,3,5
D_{12}^0 shifts d	12,3,5	0,4,6	1,5,7	2,6,8	3,7,9	4,8,10	5,9,11	6,10,12	7,11,0	8,12,1	9,0,2	10,1,3	11,2,4
D_6^0 shifts d	9,10,2	10,11,3	11,12,4	12,0,5	0,1,6	1,2,7	2,3,8	3,4,9	4,5,10	5,6,11	6,7,12	7,8,0	8,9,1
D_7^0 shifts d	7,8,11	8,9,12	9,10,0	10,11,1	11,12,2	12,0,3	0,1,4	1,2,5	2,3,6	3,4,7	4,5,8	5,6,9	6,7,10

be the time slots marked in red in the third row of Table I. Then

$$c(t_0(d)) = c(t_0(d) + d) = 0.$$

However, for $d = 1, 3, 5, 8, 10, 12$, channel 1 is not a rendezvous channel. Similarly, for $d = 2, 3, 4, 9, 10, 11$, channel 2 is not a rendezvous channel. Also, for $d = 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11$, channel 3 is not a rendezvous channel. As shown in Table I, for any d , there are at most two channels that are not rendezvous channels (see the cells marked in grey).

In the following theorem, we prove the main result of this letter.

Theorem 3: For any $d \neq 0$, the $DoR(d)$ of the PPol CH sequence in Algorithm 1 is at least $m - 1$ for a system with $m + 1$ channels, where m is a prime power.

The proof of Theorem 3 requires the following lemma.

Lemma 4: If

$$d = (a_k - a_j) \bmod p$$

for some $j \neq i$ and $k \neq i$, then there exists $0 \leq t \leq p - 1$ such that

$$c(t) = c(t + d) = i, \quad (6)$$

i.e., channel i is a rendezvous channel for such a clock drift d .

Proof. Let $t = (a_j - a_i) \bmod p$. Since $j \neq i$, $t \in D_{p-a_i}^0$. Thus, $c(t) = i$ from (5). On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & (t + d) \bmod p \\ &= (a_j - a_i + (a_k - a_j)) \bmod p \\ &= (a_k - a_i) \bmod p. \end{aligned}$$

Since $k \neq i$, $((t + d) \bmod p) \in D_{p-a_i}^0$. Thus, $c(t + d) = i$ from (5). ■

Proof. (Theorem 3) Since D is a perfect difference set, for any $0 < d < p$, there exists a unique ordered pair (a_j, a_k) such that $d = (a_j - a_k) \bmod p$. It then follows from Lemma 4 that except channels j and k , every channel is a rendezvous channel when $d = (a_j - a_k) \bmod p$. Thus, the $DoR(d)$ of the PPol CH sequence in Algorithm 1 is at least $m - 1$ for a system with $m + 1$ channels for any clock drift d . ■

III. THE REMAPPED PPol CH SEQUENCES

In this section, we show how one remaps the PPol CH sequence for the multichannel rendezvous problem in the *symmetric, asynchronous, and heterogeneous* setting. One popular remapping method is known as *random remapping* that randomly re-assigns a channel not in the channel available set

to a channel in the channel available set. As the $DoR(d)$ of the PPol CH sequence is at least $N - 2$ from Theorem 3, the two users following the PPol CH sequences with random remapping are guaranteed to rendezvous within $m^2 + m + 1$ time slots for any prime power $m \geq N - 1$ if the number of commonly available channels between these two users is not smaller than three.

Now we show that one can further reduce the requirement for the minimum number of commonly available channels from three to two. Specifically, for the multiple channel rendezvous problem with N channels, we first construct the PPol sequence from an $(m^2 + m + 1, m + 1, 1)$ -perfect difference set, where m is the smallest prime power not smaller than $N + 1$ (instead of $N - 1$ in Algorithm 1). For a user, let n be the number of its available channels, and $n' = m + 1 - n$ be the number of channels not in its available channels among the $m + 1$ channels in Algorithm 1. Consider the following two cases:

Case 1. $n > (N + 2)/2$:

In this case, we simply use random remapping.

Case 2. $n \leq (N + 2)/2$:

Since $m \geq N + 1$, we know that

$$n' = m + 1 - n \geq \frac{N + 2}{2} \geq n.$$

Thus, we can remap the first n channels not in the available channels to the n available channels through a one-to-one deterministic function. For the rest $n' - n$ channels not in the available channels, we simply use random remapping.

One key insight of the deterministic remapping in Case 2 is that every available channel of a user is assigned to *two lines* of the $m + 1$ lines in the PPol CH sequence. The detailed steps are shown in Algorithm 2.

Algorithm 2 The remapped PPol CH sequence

Input: A set of available channels $\mathbf{c} = \{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}\}$ that is a subset of the N channels $\{0, 1, \dots, N - 1\}$.

Output: A CH sequence $\{c(t), t = 0, 1, \dots\}$ with $c(t) \in \mathbf{c}$.

- 1: Let m be the smallest prime power such that $m \geq N + 1$ and $p = m^2 + m + 1$.
 - 2: Use Algorithm 1 to generate the PPol CH sequence $\{c(t), t = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}$.
 - 3: Let $\mathbf{c}^c = Z_{m+1} \setminus \mathbf{c} = \{c'_0, c'_1, \dots, c'_{m+2-n}\}$ be the set of channels not in the available channel set.
 - 4: *Case 1.* $n > (N + 2)/2$: Use random remapping, i.e., if $c(t) = c'_j$ for some channel c'_j in \mathbf{c}^c , remap $c(t)$ randomly to a channel in \mathbf{c} .
 - 5: *Case 2.* $n \leq (N + 2)/2$: Suppose $c(t) = c'_j$ for some channel c'_j in \mathbf{c}^c . If $j < n$, remap $c(t) = c_j$. Otherwise remap $c(t)$ randomly to a channel in \mathbf{c} .
-

Theorem 5: Consider two users with the available channel sets \mathbf{c}_1 and \mathbf{c}_2 . Suppose that there are at least two commonly available channels between these two users, i.e., $|\mathbf{c}_1 \cap \mathbf{c}_2| \geq 2$. If the two users use the remapped PPol CH sequences in Algorithm 2 to generate their CH sequences, then these two users are guaranteed to rendezvous within $m^2 + m + 1$ time slots, where m is the smallest prime power not smaller than $N + 1$.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 5, the MTTR of the remapped PPol CH sequences in Algorithm 2 is $N^2 + 3N + 3$ if $N + 1$ is a prime power. Such an MTTR bound is substantially smaller than those from the state-of-the-art algorithms, including $(2N + 1)N$ in ORTHO-CH [8], $(2N + 2)N$ in SRR [9], and $(2N + 1)N$ in FRCH [10]. The reduction of the MTTR bound is due to the assumption that the number of commonly available channels is at least two.

For the proof of Theorem 5, we need the following extension of Lemma 4. The result in Lemma 6 shows that if a channel of one user appears in the time slots of two different lines, one from the original assignment and the other from the remapping of a channel not in the available channel set, then that channel is a rendezvous channel except for one half of the set of clock drifts in Lemma 4.

Lemma 6: Consider two sequences $\{c_1(t), 0 \leq t \leq p - 1\}$ and $\{c_2(t), 0 \leq t \leq p - 1\}$. Suppose that $c_1(t) = i$ for $t \in D_{p-a_i}^0$ and that $c_2(t) = i$ for $t \in D_{p-a_i}^0 \cup D_{p-a_{i_2}}^0$ for some $i_2 \neq i$. If

$$d = (a_k - a_j) \bmod p, \quad (7)$$

for some $k \neq i$, there exists $0 \leq t \leq p - 1$ such that

$$c_1(t) = c_2((t + d) \bmod p) = i. \quad (8)$$

Thus, channel i is a rendezvous channel for such a clock drift d .

Proof. We consider the following two cases.

Case 1. $k \neq i$ and $j \neq i$:

If $k \neq i$ and $j \neq i$, then by Lemma 4, there exists $0 \leq t \leq p - 1$ such that both t and $((t + d) \bmod p)$ are in $D_{p-a_i}^0$. Thus $c_1(t) = c_2(t + d) = i$ from (6).

Case 2. $k \neq i$ and $j = i$:

First, we argue that $(2a_i - a_{i_2} - a_k) \bmod p \neq 0$ when $k \neq i$. If $(2a_i - a_{i_2} - a_k) \bmod p = 0$, then

$$(a_i - a_{i_2}) \bmod p = (a_k - a_i) \bmod p. \quad (9)$$

Since $k \neq i$, $(a_k - a_i) \bmod p \neq 0$. From the unique difference representation property for the perfect difference set D , we have from (9) that $a_i = a_k$ and $a_{i_2} = a_i$. This contracts to the fact that $k \neq i$.

Since $(2a_i - a_{i_2} - a_k) \bmod p \neq 0$ for $k \neq i$, there exists a unique pair of a_{ℓ_1} and a_{ℓ_2} in D such that

$$(a_{\ell_1} - a_{\ell_2}) \bmod p = (2a_i - a_{i_2} - a_k) \bmod p. \quad (10)$$

We argue that $\ell_1 \neq i$ and $\ell_2 \neq i_2$. If $\ell_1 = i$, then it follows from (10) that

$$(a_{i_2} - a_{\ell_2}) \bmod p = (a_i - a_k) \bmod p. \quad (11)$$

From the unique difference representation property for the perfect difference set D , we have from (11) that $i_2 = i$ and

$\ell_2 = k$. This contracts to the fact that $i_2 \neq i$. On the other hand, if $\ell_2 = i_2$, then it follows from (10) that

$$(a_{\ell_1} - a_i) \bmod p = (a_i - a_k) \bmod p. \quad (12)$$

From the unique difference representation property for the perfect difference set D , we have from (12) that $\ell_1 = i$ and $i = k$. This contracts to the fact that $i \neq k$.

Select

$$t = (a_{\ell_1} - a_i) \bmod p. \quad (13)$$

Since $\ell_1 \neq i$, we know that $t \in D_{p-a_i}^0$ and thus

$$c_1(t) = i. \quad (14)$$

On the other hand, we have from (7) and (10) that

$$\begin{aligned} t + d &= (a_{\ell_1} - a_i) + (a_k - a_i) \bmod p \\ &= (a_{\ell_2} - a_{i_2}) \bmod p. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Since $\ell_2 \neq i_2$, we know that $t + d \in D_{p-a_{i_2}}^0$ and thus

$$c_2(t + d) = i. \quad (16)$$

■

Proof. (Proof of Theorem 5) Let $n_1 = |\mathbf{c}_1|$ (resp. $n_2 = |\mathbf{c}_2|$) be the number of available channels of user 1 (resp. user 2). Also, let $n_{1,2} = |\mathbf{c}_1 \cap \mathbf{c}_2|$ be the number of common channels between these two users. We consider the following two cases:

Case 1. $n_1 + n_2 \geq N + 3$:

Since \mathbf{c}_1 and \mathbf{c}_2 are subsets of the N channels,

$$N \geq |\mathbf{c}_1 \cup \mathbf{c}_2| = n_1 + n_2 - n_{1,2}.$$

Since we assume that $n_1 + n_2 \geq N + 3$ in this case, we have $n_{1,2} \geq 3$. As a result of Theorem 3, these two users are guaranteed to rendezvous within p time slots (even without the need of remapping).

Case 2. $n_1 + n_2 \leq N + 2$:

Without loss of generality, we assume that $n_2 \leq n_1$. In this case, we have that $n_2 \leq (N + 2)/2$. According to Case 2 of Algorithm 2, the first n_2 channels in \mathbf{c}_2^c are remapped to the n_2 channels in \mathbf{c}_2 through a one-to-one deterministic function. In other words, for each available channel i in \mathbf{c}_2^c , we have $c_2(t) = i$ for $t \in D_{p-a_i}^0 \cup D_{p-a_{i_2}}^0$ for some $i_2 \neq i$.

Since we assume that $|\mathbf{c}_1 \cap \mathbf{c}_2| \geq 2$, there exist two *distinct* channels α and β in $\mathbf{c}_1 \cap \mathbf{c}_2$. For the CH sequences of these two users $\{c_1(t), 0 \leq t \leq p - 1\}$ and $\{c_2(t), 0 \leq t \leq p - 1\}$, we know that $c_1(t) = \alpha$ for $t \in D_{p-a_\alpha}^0$ and that $c_2(t) = \alpha$ for $t \in D_{p-a_\alpha}^0 \cup D_{p-a_{\alpha_2}}^0$ for some $\alpha_2 \neq \alpha$. Similarly, we also know that $c_1(t) = \beta$ for $t \in D_{p-a_\beta}^0$ and that $c_2(t) = \beta$ for $t \in D_{p-a_\beta}^0 \cup D_{p-a_{\beta_2}}^0$ for some $\beta_2 \neq \beta$.

Now we prove by contradiction that at least one of the two commonly available channels is a rendezvous channel. Suppose that both channels are not rendezvous channels. Then we have from Lemma 6 that the clock drift d must satisfy

$$d = (a_\alpha - a_{j_1}) \bmod p = (a_\beta - a_{j_2}) \bmod p$$

for some j_1 and j_2 . From the unique difference representation property for the perfect difference set D , we have $\alpha = \beta$ and

$j_1 = j_2$. This contradicts to the assumption that $\alpha \neq \beta$. ■

In Theorem 5, we have shown the MTTR bound for the remapped PPol CH sequence if the number of commonly available channels between the two users is at least two. To further reduce the number of commonly available channels to 1, we need to ensure that each available channel of a user is mapped to two lines. This is stated in the following corollary.

Corollary 7: Consider two users with the available channel sets \mathbf{c}_1 and \mathbf{c}_2 . Let m be the smallest prime power such that $m \geq N + 1$. If the two users use the remapped PPol CH sequence in Algorithm 2 to generate their CH sequences and

$$\max[n_1, n_2] \leq \frac{N + 2}{2}, \quad (17)$$

then these two users are guaranteed to rendezvous on every commonly available channel within $m^2 + m + 1$ time slots.

Proof. According to Case 2 of Algorithm 2 and the assumption in (17), each available channel of each user is mapped to two lines, i.e., for each available channel i in $\mathbf{c}_1 \cap \mathbf{c}_2$, we have $c_1(t) = i$ for $t \in D_{p-a_i}^0 \cup D_{p-a_{i_1}}^0$ for some $i_1 \neq i$, and $c_2(t) = i$ for $t \in D_{p-a_i}^0 \cup D_{p-a_{i_2}}^0$ for some $i_2 \neq i$.

Now we argue that each channel i in $\mathbf{c}_1 \cap \mathbf{c}_2$ is a rendezvous channel. This holds trivially for the clock drift $d = 0$. Suppose that channel i in $\mathbf{c}_1 \cap \mathbf{c}_2$ is not rendezvous channels for some $d \neq 0$. Then we have from Lemma 6 that

$$d = (a_i - a_{j_1}) \pmod{p} \quad (18)$$

for some j_1 . By interchanging $c_1(t)$ and $c_2(t)$ in Lemma 6, we also know that

$$d = (a_{j_2} - a_i) \pmod{p} \quad (19)$$

for some j_2 . From the unique difference representation property for the perfect difference set D , we must have $i = j_2$ and $j_1 = i$. This contradicts to the assumption that $d \neq 0$. ■

IV. CONCLUSION

In this letter, we proposed in Algorithm 1 the PPol CH sequence with $DoR(d) \geq N - 2$ for the multichannel rendezvous problem with N channels. Such a CH sequence guarantees the rendezvous of two users if the number of commonly available channels is at least three. The remapped PPol CH sequence in Algorithm 2 further relaxes the number of commonly available channels from three to two. These new results have a roughly 50% reduction of the state-of-the-art MTTR bound in the literature.

Through extensive simulations, we also observed that the expected time-to-rendezvous (ETTR) of the PPol CH sequence (with random remapping) is almost the same as those of the simple random algorithm and several existing algorithms, including ORTHO-CH [8], CRSEQ [4], DRDS [3], and T-CH [6]. Due to space limitations, these numerical results for ETTR's are omitted.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Gu, Y. Wang, Q.-S. Hua, and F. C. M. Lau, *Rendezvous in Distributed Systems: Theory, Algorithms and Applications*. Springer, 2017.
- [2] K. Bian and J.-M. Park, "Maximizing rendezvous diversity in rendezvous protocols for decentralized cognitive radio networks," *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, vol. 12, no. 7, pp. 1294-1307, 2013.
- [3] Z. Gu, Q.-S. Hua, Y. Wang, and F. C. M. Lau, "Nearly optimal asynchronous blind rendezvous algorithm for cognitive radio networks," in *Proc. IEEE SECON*, 2013.
- [4] J. Shin, D. Yang, and C. Kim, "A channel rendezvous scheme for cognitive radio networks," *IEEE Communications Letter*, vol. 14, no. 10, pp. 954-956, 2010.
- [5] Z. Lin, H. Liu, X. Chu, and Y.-W. Leung, "Jump-stay based channel-hopping algorithm with guaranteed rendezvous for cognitive radio networks," in *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM 2011*.
- [6] G. Y. Chang, J. F. Huang, and Y. S. Wang, "Matrix-based channel hopping algorithms for cognitive radio networks," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 2755-2768, 2015.
- [7] B. Yang, M. Zheng, and W. Liang, "A time-efficient rendezvous algorithm with a full rendezvous degree for heterogeneous cognitive radio networks," in *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, pp. 1-9, 2016.
- [8] C.-S. Chang, J.-P. Sheu, and Y.-J. Lin, "On the theoretical gap of channel hopping sequences with maximum rendezvous diversity in the multi-channel rendezvous problem, *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking*, early access, 2021.
- [9] Y. Fu, Y. Wang, Z. Gu, X. Zheng, T. Wei, Z. Cao, H. Cui, and F. C. M. Lau, "How local information improves rendezvous in cognitive radio networks," In *Proc. 15th annual IEEE international conference on sensing, communication, and networking (SECON)*, pp. 1-9, 2018.
- [10] G.-Y. Chang and J.-F. Huang, "A fast rendezvous channel-hopping algorithm for cognitive radio networks," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 1475-1478, 2013.
- [11] F. Hou, L. X. Cai, X. Shen, and J. Huang, "Asynchronous multichannel MAC design with difference-set-based hopping sequences," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 60, pp. 1728-1739, 2011.
- [12] K. Wu, F. Han, F. Han, and D. Kong, "Rendezvous sequence construction in cognitive radio ad-hoc networks based on difference sets," in *Proc. IEEE PIMRC*, pp. 1840-1845, 2013.
- [13] X. J. Tan, C. Zhou, and J. Chen, "Symmetric channel hopping for blind rendezvous in cognitive radio networks based on union of disjoint difference sets," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 66, no. 11, pp. 10233-10248, 2017.
- [14] C.-S. Chang, W. Liao and C.-M. Lien, "On the multichannel rendezvous problem: fundamental limits, optimal hopping sequences, and bounded time-to-rendezvous," *Mathematics of Operations Research*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 1-23, 2015.
- [15] C.-S. Chang, D.-S. Lee, and C. Wang, "Asynchronous grant-free uplink transmissions in multichannel wireless networks with heterogeneous QoS guarantees," *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 1584-1597, 2019.
- [16] J. Singer, "A theorem in finite projective geometry and some applications to number theory," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 377-385, 1938.