

Refined Young inequality and its application to divergences

Shigeru Furuichi^{1*} and Nicușor Minculete^{2†}

¹Department of Information Science,

College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University,

3-25-40, Sakurajyousui, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo, 156-8550, Japan

²Transilvania University of Brașov, Brașov, 500091, Romania

Abstract. We give bounds on the difference between the weighted arithmetic mean and the weighted geometric mean. These imply refined Young inequalities and the reverses of the Young inequality. We also study some properties on the difference between the weighted arithmetic mean and the weighted geometric mean. Applying the newly obtained inequalities, we show some results on the Tsallis divergence, the Rényi divergence, the Jeffreys-Tsallis divergence and the Jensen-Shannon-Tsallis divergence.

Keywords : Young inequality, arithmetic mean, geometric mean, Heinz mean, Cartwright-Field inequality, Tsallis divergence, Rényi divergence, Jeffreys-Tsallis divergence, Jensen-Shannon-Tsallis divergence.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 26D15, 26E60, 94A17.

1 Introduction

The Young integral inequality is the source of many basic inequalities. Young [28] proved the following: suppose that $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an increasing continuous function such that $f(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$. Then

$$ab \leq \int_0^a f(x) dx + \int_0^b f^{-1}(x) dx, \quad (1)$$

with equality iff $b = f(a)$. Such a gap is often used to define the Fenchel-Legendre divergence in information geometry [3, 25]. For $f(x) = x^{p-1}$, ($p > 1$), in inequality (1), we deduce the classical Young inequality:

$$ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q}, \quad (2)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ and $p, q > 1$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. The equality occurs if and only if $a^p = b^q$.

Minguzzi [18], proved a reverse Young inequality in the following way:

$$0 \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q} - ab \leq (b - a^{p-1})(b^{q-1} - a), \quad (3)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ and $p, q > 1$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

*E-mail: furuichi@chs.nihon-u.ac.jp

†E-mail: minculeten@yahoo.com

The classical Young inequality (2) is rewritten as

$$a^{1/p}b^{1/q} \leq \frac{a}{p} + \frac{b}{q} \quad (4)$$

by putting $a := a^{1/p}$ and $b := b^{1/q}$. Putting again

$$a := \frac{a_j^p}{\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^p}, \quad b := \frac{b_j^q}{\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^q}$$

in the inequality (4), we obtain the famous Hölder inequality:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^q \right)^{1/q}, \quad \left(p, q > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \right)$$

for $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$ and $b_1, \dots, b_n > 0$, Thus the inequality (2) is often reformulated as

$$a^p b^{1-p} \leq pa + (1-p)b, \quad a, b > 0, \quad 0 \leq p \leq 1 \quad (5)$$

by putting $1/p =: p$ (then $1/q = 1-p$) in the inequality (4). It is notable that α -divergence is related to the difference between the weighted arithmetic mean and the weighted geometric mean [24]. For $p = 1/2$, we deduce the inequality between the geometric mean and the arithmetic mean, $G(a, b) := \sqrt{ab} \leq \frac{a+b}{2} =: A(a, b)$. The Heinz mean [2, Eq.(3)](See also [8]) is defined as $H_p(a, b) = \frac{a^p b^{1-p} + a^{1-p} b^p}{2}$ and $G(a, b) \leq H_p(a, b) \leq A(a, b)$.

Especially, when we discuss about the Young inequality, we will refer to the last form. We consider the following expression

$$d_p(a, b) := pa + (1-p)b - a^p b^{1-p} \quad (6)$$

which implies that $d_p(a, b) \geq 0$ and $d_p(a, a) = d_0(a, b) = d_1(a, b) = 0$. We remark the following properties:

$$d_p(a, b) = b \cdot d_p\left(\frac{a}{b}, 1\right), \quad d_p(a, b) = d_{1-p}(b, a), \quad d_p\left(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b}\right) = \frac{1}{ab} \cdot d_p(b, a).$$

Cartwright-Field inequality (see e.g. [5]) is often written as follows:

$$\frac{1}{2}p(1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{\max\{a, b\}} \leq d_p(a, b) \leq \frac{1}{2}p(1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{\min\{a, b\}} \quad (7)$$

for $a, b > 0$ and $0 \leq p \leq 1$. This double inequality gives an improvement of the Young inequality and, at the same time, gives a reverse inequality for the Young inequality.

Kober proved in [15] a general result related to an improvement of the inequality between arithmetic and geometric means, which for $n = 2$ implies the inequality:

$$r\left(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b}\right)^2 \leq d_p(a, b) \leq (1-r)\left(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b}\right)^2 \quad (8)$$

where $a, b > 0$, $0 \leq p \leq 1$ and $r = \min\{p, 1-p\}$. This inequality was rediscovered by Kittaneh and Manasrah in [14]. (See also [4].)

Finally, we found, in [19], another improvement of the Young inequality and a reverse inequality, given as:

$$r\left(\sqrt{a}-\sqrt{b}\right)^2+A(p)\log ^2\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) \leq d_p(a, b) \leq(1-r)\left(\sqrt{a}-\sqrt{b}\right)^2+B(p)\log ^2\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) \quad (9)$$

where $a, b \geq 1$, $0 < p < 1$ and $r = \min \{p, 1-p\}$ with $A(p) = \frac{p(1-p)}{2} - \frac{r}{4}$, $B(p) = \frac{p(1-p)}{2} - \frac{1-r}{4}$. It is remarkable that the inequalities (9) give a further refinement of (8), since $A(p) \geq 0$ and $B(p) \leq 0$.

In [9], we also presented two inequalities which give two different reverse inequalities for the Young inequality:

$$0 \leq d_p(a, b) \leq a^p b^{1-p} \exp \left\{ \frac{p(1-p)(a-b)^2}{\min ^2\{a, b\}} \right\} - a^p b^{1-p} \quad (10)$$

and

$$0 \leq d_p(a, b) \leq p(1-p)\log ^2\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) \max \{a, b\} \quad (11)$$

where $a, b > 0$, $0 \leq p \leq 1$. See [12, Chapter 2] for recent advances on refinements and reverses of the Young inequality.

The α -divergence is related to the difference of a weighted arithmetic mean with a geometric mean [24]. We mention that the gap is used information geometry to define the Fenchel-Legendre divergence [3],[25]. We give bounds on the difference between the weighted arithmetic mean and the weighted geometric mean. These imply refined Young inequalities and the reverses of the Young inequality. We also study some properties on the difference between the weighted arithmetic mean and the weighted geometric mean. Applying the newly obtained inequalities, we show some results on the Tsallis divergence, the Rényi divergence, the Jeffreys-Tsallis divergence and the Jensen-Shannon-Tsallis divergence [16], [26]. The parametric Jensen-Shannon divergence can be used to detect unusual data, and that one can use it also as a means to perform relevant analysis of fire experiments [21].

2 Main results

We give estimates on $d_p(a, b)$ and also study the properties of $d_p(a, b)$. We give the following estimates of $d_p(a, b)$, firstly.

Theorem 2.1. For $0 < a, b \leq 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq 1$, we have

$$r\left(\sqrt{a}-\sqrt{b}\right)^2+A(p)ab \cdot \log ^2\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) \leq d_p(a, b) \leq(1-r)\left(\sqrt{a}-\sqrt{b}\right)^2+B(p)ab \cdot \log ^2\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) \quad (12)$$

where $r = \min \{p, 1-p\}$ and $A(p) = \frac{p(1-p)}{2} - \frac{r}{4}$, $B(p) = \frac{p(1-p)}{2} - \frac{1-r}{4}$.

Proof. For $p = 0$ or $p = 1$ or $a = b$, we have equality. We assume $a \neq b$ and $0 < p < 1$. Because $0 < a, b \leq 1$, we have $\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b} \geq 1$, so, applying inequality (9), we deduce the following relation:

$$r\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right)^2+A(p)\log ^2\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) \leq d_p\left(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b}\right) \leq(1-r)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right)^2+B(p)\log ^2\left(\frac{b}{a}\right). \quad (13)$$

But, we known that $d_p\left(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b}\right) = \frac{1}{ab} \cdot d_{1-p}(a, b)$ and if we replace p by $1-p$ in relation (13) and because $A(p) = A(1-p)$, $B(1-p) = B(p)$, then we proved the inequality from the statement. \square

Theorem 2.2. For $a \geq b > 0$ and $0 < p \leq 1$, we have

$$\frac{p(a-b)(a^{1-p} - b^{1-p})}{2a^{1-p}} \leq d_p(a, b) \leq \frac{p(a-b)(a^{1-p} - b^{1-p})}{a^{1-p}}.$$

Proof. For $p = 1$ or $a = b$, we have equality. We assume $a > b$ and $0 < p < 1$. It is easy to see that

$$\int_1^x (1 - t^{p-1}) dt = x - 1 - \frac{x^p - 1}{p}. \quad (14)$$

We take $x = a/b$ in (14) and then obtain

$$pb \int_1^{a/b} (1 - t^{p-1}) dt = d_p(a, b), \quad 0 < p < 1$$

Next, we take the function $f : [1, a/b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(t) := 1 - t^{p-1}$. By simple calculations we have

$$\frac{df(t)}{dt} = (1-p)t^{p-2} \geq 0, \quad \frac{d^2f(t)}{dt^2} = (1-p)(p-2)t^{p-3} \leq 0.$$

So the function f is concave so that we can apply Hermite-Hadamard inequality [23]:

$$\frac{1}{2}(f(1) + f(a/b)) \leq \frac{1}{a/b - 1} \int_1^{a/b} (1 - t^{p-1}) dt \leq f\left(\frac{1 + a/b}{2}\right).$$

The left hand side of the inequalities above shows

$$\frac{p(a-b)(a^{1-p} - b^{1-p})}{2a^{1-p}} \leq d_p(a, b).$$

Since the function $f(t) := 1 - t^{1-p}$ is increasing, we have

$$1 - t^{p-1} \leq 1 - x^{p-1}, \quad (t \leq x, \quad 0 < p < 1).$$

Integrating the above inequality by t from 1 to x , we get

$$\int_1^x (1 - t^{p-1}) dt \leq (x-1)(1 - x^{p-1})$$

which implies

$$d_p(a, b) = pb \int_1^{a/b} (1 - t^{p-1}) dt \leq bp(a/b - 1)(1 - (a/b)^{p-1}) = \frac{p(a-b)(a^{1-p} - b^{1-p})}{a^{1-p}}.$$

□

Theorem 2.3. For $a, b > 0$ and $0 \leq p \leq 1$, we have

$$p(1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{\max\{a, b\}} \leq d_p(a, b) + d_{1-p}(a, b) \leq p(1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{\min\{a, b\}} \quad (15)$$

Proof. We give two different proofs (I) and (II).

- (I) For $a = b$ or $p \in \{0, 1\}$, we obtain equality in the relation from the statement. Thus, we assume $a \neq b$ and $p \in (0, 1)$. It is easy to see that $d_p(a, b) + d_{1-p}(a, b) = a + b - a^p b^{1-p} - a^{1-p} b^p = (a^p - b^p)(a^{1-p} - b^{1-p})$. Using the Lagrange theorem, there exists c_1 and c_2 between a and b such that $(a^p - b^p)(a^{1-p} - b^{1-p}) = p(1-p)(a-b)^2 c_1^{p-1} c_2^{-p}$. But, we have the inequality $\frac{1}{\max\{a, b\}} \leq \frac{1}{c_1^{1-p} c_2^p} \leq \frac{1}{\min\{a, b\}}$. Therefore, we deduce the inequality of the statement.

(II) Using the Cartwright-Field inequality, we have:

$$\frac{1}{2}p(1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{\max\{a,b\}} \leq d_p(a,b) \leq \frac{1}{2}p(1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{\min\{a,b\}}$$

and if we replace p by $1-p$, we deduce

$$\frac{1}{2}p(1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{\max\{a,b\}} \leq d_{1-p}(a,b) \leq \frac{1}{2}p(1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{\min\{a,b\}}$$

for $a, b > 0$ and $0 \leq p \leq 1$. By summing up these inequalities, we proved the inequality of the statement

□

Remark 2.4. (i) From the proof of Theorem 2.3, we obtain $A(a,b) - H_p(a,b) = \frac{d_p(a,b) + d_{1-p}(a,b)}{2}$, we deduce an estimation for the Heinz mean:

$$A(a,b) - \frac{1}{2}p(1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{\min\{a,b\}} \leq H_p(a,b) \leq A(a,b) - \frac{1}{2}p(1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{\max\{a,b\}}. \quad (16)$$

(ii) Since $d_p(a,b) + d_{1-p}(a,b) = (a^p - b^p)(a^{1-p} - b^{1-p})$ and $d_{1-p}(a,b) \geq 0$, we have $0 \leq d_p(a,b) \leq (a^p - b^p)(a^{1-p} - b^{1-p})$ which is in fact the inequality given by Minguzzi (3).

Theorem 2.5. Let $a, b > 0$ and $0 \leq p \leq 1$.

(i) For $1/2 \leq p \leq 1$, $a \geq b$ or $0 \leq p \leq 1/2$, $a \leq b$, we have $d_p(a,b) \geq d_{1-p}(a,b)$.

(ii) For $0 \leq p \leq 1/2$, $a \geq b$ or $1/2 \leq p \leq 1$, $a \leq b$, we have $d_p(a,b) \leq d_{1-p}(a,b)$.

Proof. For $a = b$ or $p \in \{0, 1\}$, we obtain equality in the relations from the statement. Thus, we assume $a \neq b$ and $p \in (0, 1)$. But, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_p(a,b) - d_{1-p}(a,b) &= (2p-1)(a-b) - a^p b^{1-p} + a^{1-p} b^p \\ &= b \left((2p-1) \left(\frac{a}{b} - 1 \right) - \left(\frac{a}{b} \right)^p + \left(\frac{a}{b} \right)^{1-p} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We consider the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(t) = (2p-1)(t-1) - t^p + t^{1-p}$. We calculate the derivatives of f , thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{df(t)}{dt} &= (2p-1) - pt^{p-1} + (1-p)t^{-p}, \\ \frac{d^2f(t)}{dt^2} &= (1-p)pt^{p-2} - p(1-p)t^{-p-1} = p(1-p)t^{-p-1}(t^{2p-1} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

For $t > 1$ and $1/2 \leq p < 1$, we have $\frac{d^2f(t)}{dt^2} > 0$, so, function $\frac{df}{dt}$ is increasing, so we obtain $\frac{df(t)}{dt} > \frac{df(1)}{dt} = 0$, which implies that function f is increasing, so we have $f(t) > f(1) = 0$, which means that $(2p-1)(t-1) - t^p + t^{1-p} > 0$. For $t = a/b > 1$, we find that $d_p(a,b) > d_{1-p}(a,b)$. For $t < 1$ and $0 < p \leq 1/2$, we have $\frac{d^2f(t)}{dt^2} > 0$, so, function $\frac{df}{dt}$ is increasing, so we obtain $\frac{df(t)}{dt} < \frac{df(1)}{dt} = 0$, which implies that function f is decreasing, so we have $f(t) > f(1) = 0$, which means that $(2p-1)(t-1) - t^p + t^{1-p} > 0$. For $t = a/b < 1$, we find that $d_p(a,b) > d_{1-p}(a,b)$. In the analogous way, we show the inequality in (ii). □

Remark 2.6. From (i) in Theorem 2.5 for $1/2 \leq p \leq 1$ and $a \geq b$, we have $d_p(a, b) \geq d_{1-p}(a, b)$, so we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}p(1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{\max\{a, b\}} \leq d_p(a, b), \quad (17)$$

which is just left hand side of Cartwright-Field inequality:

$$\frac{1}{2}p(1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{\max\{a, b\}} \leq d_p(a, b) \leq \frac{1}{2}p(1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{\min\{a, b\}}, \quad (a, b > 0, 0 \leq p \leq 1).$$

Therefore, it is quite natural to consider the following inequality

$$d_p(a, b) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}p(1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{\max\{a, b\}} + \frac{1}{2}p(1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{\min\{a, b\}} \right\} = \frac{1}{4}p(1-p) (a-b)^2 \frac{a+b}{ab}$$

holds or not for a general case $a, b > 0$ and $0 \leq p \leq 1$. However, this inequality does not hold in general. We set the function

$$h_p(t) = pt + 1 - p - t^p - \frac{p(1-p)(t-1)^2(t+1)}{4t}, \quad (t > 0, 0 \leq p \leq 1).$$

Then we have $h_{0.1}(0.3) \simeq -0.00434315$, $h_{0.1}(0.6) \simeq 0.000199783$ and also $h_{0.9}(1.8) \simeq 0.000352199$, $h_{0.9}(2.6) \simeq -0.00282073$.

Theorem 2.7. For $a, b \geq 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq 1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}p(1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{\max\{a, b\}} \leq \frac{1}{2}E_p(a, b) \leq d_p(a, b), \quad (18)$$

where $E_p(a, b) := \min \left\{ \frac{p(a-b)(a^{1-p}-b^{1-p})}{(\max\{a, b\})^{1-p}}, \frac{(1-p)(a-b)(a^p-b^p)}{(\max\{a, b\})^p} \right\} = E_{1-p}(a, b)$.

Proof. For $p = 0$ or $p = 1$ or $a = b$, we have equality. We assume $a \neq b$ and $0 < p < 1$. If $b < a$, then using Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\frac{p(a-b)(a^{1-p}-b^{1-p})}{2a^{1-p}} \leq d_p(a, b).$$

Using the Lagrange theorem, we obtain $a^{1-p} - b^{1-p} = (1-p)(a-b)\phi^{-p}$, where $b < \phi < a$. For $b \geq 1$, we deduce $a^{1-p} - b^{1-p} \geq (1-p)(a-b)a^{-p}$, which means that $\frac{1}{2}p(1-p) \frac{(b-a)^2}{a} \leq \frac{p(a-b)(a^{1-p}-b^{1-p})}{2a^{1-p}}$. If $b > a$ and we replace p by $1-p$, then Theorem 2.2 implies

$$\frac{(1-p)(a-b)(a^p-b^p)}{2b^p} \leq d_p(a, b). \quad (19)$$

Using the Lagrange theorem, we obtain $b^p - a^p = p(b-a)\theta^{p-1}$, where $a < \theta < b$. For $a \geq 1$, we deduce $b^p - a^p \geq p(b-a)b^{p-1}$, which means that $\frac{1}{2}p(1-p) \frac{(b-a)^2}{b} \leq \frac{(1-p)(a-b)(a^p-b^p)}{2b^p}$. Taking into account the above considerations, we prove the statement. \square

Corollary 2.8. For $0 < a, b \leq 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq 1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}p(1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{\max\{a, b\}} \leq \frac{ab}{2}E_p\left(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b}\right) \leq d_p(a, b), \quad (20)$$

where $E(\cdot, \cdot)$ is given in Theorem 2.7.

Proof. For $p = 0$ or $p = 1$ or $a = b$, we have the equality. We assume $a \neq b$ and $0 < p < 1$. If in inequality (18), we replace $a, b \leq 1$ by $\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b} \geq 1$, we deduce

$$\frac{1}{2}p(1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{ab \max\{a, b\}} \leq \frac{1}{2}E_p \left(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b} \right) \leq d_p \left(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b} \right) = \frac{1}{ab} d_p(a, b).$$

Consequently, we prove the inequalities of the statement. \square

Theorem 2.9. For $a, b > 0$ and $0 \leq p \leq 1$, we have

$$d_p(a, b) \leq (1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{b}. \quad (21)$$

Proof. For $p = 0$ or $p = 1$ or $a = b$, we have the equality in the relation from the statement. We assume $a \neq b$ and $0 < p < 1$. We consider function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(t) = 1 - t^{p-1} - (1-p)(t-1)$, $p \in [0, 1]$. For $t \in (0, 1]$, we have $\frac{df(t)}{dt} = (1-p)(t^{p-2} - 1) \geq 0$, which implies that f is increasing, so, we deduce $f(t) \leq f(1) = 0$. For $t \in [1, \infty)$, we have $\frac{df(t)}{dt} \leq 0$, which implies that f is decreasing, so, we obtain $f(t) \leq f(1) = 0$. Therefore, we find the following inequality

$$1 - t^{p-1} \leq (1-p)(t-1).$$

Multiplying the above inequality by $t > 0$, we have

$$t - t^p \leq (1-p)(t^2 - t),$$

which is equivalent to the inequality

$$pt + (1-p) - t^p \leq (1-p)(t-1)^2,$$

for all $t > 0$ and $p \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, if we take $t = \frac{a}{b}$ in the above inequality and after some calculations, we deduce the inequality of the statement. \square

Corollary 2.10. For $a, b > 0$ and $0 \leq p \leq 1$, we have

$$d_p(a, b) + d_{1-p}(a, b) \leq (1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2(a+b)}{ab}. \quad (22)$$

Proof. For $p = 0$ or $p = 1$ or $a = b$, we have the equality. We assume $a \neq b$ and $0 < p < 1$. If in inequality (21), we exchange a with b , we deduce

$$d_p(b, a) \leq (1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{a}.$$

But $d_p(b, a) = d_{1-p}(a, b)$, so, we have

$$d_p(a, b) + d_{1-p}(a, b) \leq (1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{b} + (1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2}{a} = (1-p) \frac{(a-b)^2(a+b)}{ab}.$$

Consequently, we prove the inequality of the statement. \square

3 Applications to some divergences

The Tsallis divergence (e.g., [13, 27]) is defined for two probability distributions $\mathbf{p} := \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ and $\mathbf{r} := \{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$ with $p_j > 0$ and $r_j > 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$ as

$$D_q^T(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{p_j - p_j^q r_j^{1-q}}{1-q}, \quad (q > 0, q \neq 1).$$

The Rényi divergence (e.g., [1]) also denoted by

$$D_q^R(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) := \frac{1}{q-1} \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j^q r_j^{1-q} \right).$$

We see in (e.g. [10]) that

$$D_q^R(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{q-1} \log (1 + (q-1)D_q^T(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r})). \quad (23)$$

It is also known that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} D_q^T(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} D_q^R(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \log \frac{p_j}{r_j} =: D(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}),$$

where $D(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r})$ is the standard divergence (KL information, relative entropy). The Jeffreys divergence (see [10], [11]) is defined by $J_1(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) := D(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) + D(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{p})$ and the Jensen-Shannon divergence [16, 26] is defined by

$$JS_1(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) := \frac{1}{2}D\left(\mathbf{p} \middle| \frac{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{r}}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}D\left(\mathbf{r} \middle| \frac{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{r}}{2}\right).$$

In [20], the Jeffreys and the Jensen-Shannon divergence are extended to biparametric forms. In [11], Furuichi and Mitroi generalizes these divergences to the Jeffreys-Tsallis divergence, which is given by $J_q(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) := D_q^T(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) + D_q^T(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{p})$ and to the Jensen-Shannon-Tsallis divergence, which is defined as

$$JS_q(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) := \frac{1}{2}D_q^T\left(\mathbf{p} \middle| \frac{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{r}}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}D_q^T\left(\mathbf{r} \middle| \frac{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{r}}{2}\right).$$

Several properties of divergences can be extended in the operator theory [22].

For the Tsallis divergence, we have the following relations.

Theorem 3.1. For two probability distributions $\mathbf{p} := \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ and $\mathbf{r} := \{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$ with $p_j > 0$ and $r_j > 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$q \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(p_j - r_j)^2}{\max\{p_j, r_j\}} \leq J_q(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) \leq q \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(p_j - r_j)^2}{\min\{p_j, r_j\}}, \quad (0 < q < 1). \quad (24)$$

Proof. From the definition of the Tsallis divergence, we deduce the equality:

$$J_q(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{p_j + r_j - p_j^q r_j^{1-q} - p_j^{1-q} r_j^q}{1-q} = \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{j=1}^n \{d_q(p_j, r_j) + d_{1-q}(p_j, r_j)\},$$

where $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined in (6). Applying Theorem 2.3, we obtain

$$q(1-q) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(p_j - r_j)^2}{\max\{p_j, r_j\}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \{d_q(p_j, r_j) + d(p_j, r_j)\} \leq q(1-q) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(p_j - r_j)^2}{\min\{p_j, r_j\}}$$

and combining with the above equality, we deduce the inequalities (24). \square

Remark 3.2. (i) In the limit of $q \rightarrow 1$ in (24), we then obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(p_j - r_j)^2}{\max\{p_j, r_j\}} \leq J_1(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(p_j - r_j)^2}{\min\{p_j, r_j\}}$$

for the standard divergence.

(ii) From (23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 + (q - 1) \{D_q^T(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) + D_q^T(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{p})\} &= \exp((q - 1)D_q^R(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r})) + \exp((q - 1)D_q^R(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{p})) \\ &\geq 2 + (q - 1) \{D_q^R(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) + D_q^R(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{p})\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the inequality $e^x \geq x + 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, we deduce the inequalities:

$$D_q^T(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) + D_q^T(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{p}) \leq D_q^R(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) + D_q^R(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{p}), \quad (0 < q < 1) \quad (25)$$

and

$$D_q^T(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) + D_q^T(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{p}) \geq D_q^R(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) + D_q^R(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{p}), \quad (q > 1).$$

Combining (25) with Theorem 3.1, we therefore have the following result for the Rényi divergence

$$q \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(p_j - r_j)^2}{\max\{p_j, r_j\}} \leq D_q^R(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) + D_q^R(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{p}), \quad (0 < q < 1).$$

We give the relation between the Jeffreys-Tsallis divergence and the Jensen-Shannon-Tsallis divergence.

Theorem 3.3. For two probability distributions $\mathbf{p} := \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ and $\mathbf{r} := \{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$ with $p_j > 0$ and $r_j > 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$JS_q(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) \leq \frac{1}{4} J_q(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}), \quad (26)$$

where $q \geq 0$ with $q \neq 1$.

Proof. We consider the function $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $g(t) = t^{1-q}$, which is concave for $q \in [0, 1)$. Therefore, we have $\left(\frac{p_j + r_j}{2}\right)^{1-q} \geq \frac{p_j^{1-q} + r_j^{1-q}}{2}$, which implies the following inequalities

$$p_j - p_j^q \left(\frac{p_j + r_j}{2}\right)^{1-q} \leq \frac{p_j - p_j^q r_j^{1-q}}{2}, r_j - r_j^q \left(\frac{p_j + r_j}{2}\right)^{1-q} \leq \frac{r_j - r_j^q p_j^{1-q}}{2},$$

From the definition of the Tsallis divergence, we deduce the inequality:

$$D_q^T\left(\mathbf{p} \middle| \frac{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{r}}{2}\right) + D_q^T\left(\mathbf{r} \middle| \frac{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{r}}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} (D_q^T(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) + D_q^T(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{p})),$$

which is equivalent to the relation of the statement. For the case of $q > 1$, the function $g(t) = t^{1-q}$ is convex in $t > 0$. Similarly, we have the statement, taking into account that $1 - q < 0$. \square

Remark 3.4. In the limit of $q \rightarrow 1$ in (26), we then obtain

$$JS_1(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) \leq \frac{1}{4} J_1(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}).$$

We give the bounds on the Jeffreys-Tsallis divergence by using the refined Young inequality given in Theorem 2.1. In [17], we found the *Battacharyya coefficient* defined as:

$$B(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) := \sum_{j=1}^n \sqrt{p_j r_j},$$

which is a measure of the amount of overlapping between two distributions. This can be expressed in terms of the Hellinger distance between the probability distributions $\mathbf{p} := \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ and $\mathbf{r} := \{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$, which is given by

$$B(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) = 1 - h^2(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}),$$

where the *Hellinger distance* ([17], [29]) is a metric distance and defined by

$$h(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n (\sqrt{p_j} - \sqrt{r_j})^2}.$$

Theorem 3.5. For two probability distributions $\mathbf{p} := \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ and $\mathbf{r} := \{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$ with $p_j > 0$ and $r_j > 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, and $0 \leq q < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4r}{1-q} h^2(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) + \frac{2A(q)}{1-q} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j r_j \cdot \log^2 \left(\frac{p_j}{r_j} \right) &\leq J_q(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) \\ &\leq \frac{4(1-r)}{1-q} h^2(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) + \frac{2B(q)}{1-q} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j r_j \cdot \log^2 \left(\frac{p_j}{r_j} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where $r = \min\{q, 1-q\}$ and $A(q) = \frac{q(1-q)}{2} - \frac{r}{4}$, $B(q) = \frac{q(1-q)}{2} - \frac{1-r}{4}$.

Proof. For $q = 0$, we obtain the equality. Now, we consider $0 < q < 1$. Using Theorem 2.1 for $a = p_j < 1$ and $b = r_j < 1$, $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we deduce

$$r \left(\sqrt{p_j} - \sqrt{r_j} \right)^2 + A(q) p_j r_j \cdot \log^2 \left(\frac{p_j}{r_j} \right) \leq d_q(p_j, r_j) \leq (1-r) \left(\sqrt{p_j} - \sqrt{r_j} \right)^2 + B(q) p_j r_j \cdot \log^2 \left(\frac{p_j}{r_j} \right),$$

where $r = \min\{q, 1-q\}$. If we replace q by $1-q$ and taking into account that $A(q) = A(1-q)$ and $B(q) = B(1-q)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2r \left(\sqrt{p_j} - \sqrt{r_j} \right)^2 + 2A(q) p_j r_j \cdot \log^2 \left(\frac{p_j}{r_j} \right) &\leq d_q(p_j, r_j) + d_{1-q}(p_j, r_j) \\ &\leq 2(1-r) \left(\sqrt{p_j} - \sqrt{r_j} \right)^2 + 2B(q) p_j r_j \cdot \log^2 \left(\frac{p_j}{r_j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the sum on $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we find the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} 2r \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sqrt{p_j} - \sqrt{r_j} \right)^2 + 2A(q) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j r_j \cdot \log^2 \left(\frac{p_j}{r_j} \right) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n (d_q(p_j, r_j) + d_{1-q}(p_j, r_j)) \\ &= (1-q) (D_q^T(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) + D_q^T(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{p})) \leq 2(1-r) \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sqrt{p_j} - \sqrt{r_j} \right)^2 + 2B(q) \sum_{j=1}^n p_j r_j \cdot \log^2 \left(\frac{p_j}{r_j} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to the inequalities in the statement. \square

Remark 3.6. In the limit of $q \rightarrow 1$ in (27), we then obtain

$$4h^2(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j r_j \cdot \log^2 \left(\frac{p_j}{r_j} \right) \leq J_1(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}),$$

since $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{r}{1-q} = 1$, $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{A(q)}{1-q} = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{1-r}{1-q} = \infty$.

We give the further bounds on the Jeffreys-Tsallis divergence by the use of Theorem 2.7 and Corollary 2.10.

Theorem 3.7. For two probability distributions $\mathbf{p} := \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ and $\mathbf{r} := \{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$ with $p_j > 0$ and $r_j > 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, and $0 \leq q < 1$, we have

$$q \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(p_j - r_j)^2}{\max\{p_j, r_j\}} \leq \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j r_j E_q \left(\frac{1}{p_j}, \frac{1}{r_j} \right) \leq J_q(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(p_j - r_j)^2 (p_j + r_j)}{p_j r_j}, \quad (28)$$

where $E(\cdot, \cdot)$ is given in Theorem 2.7.

Proof. Putting $a := p_j$, $b := r_j$ and $p := q$ in (20), we deduce

$$\frac{1}{2} q (1-q) \frac{(p_j - r_j)^2}{\max\{p_j, r_j\}} \leq \frac{p_j r_j}{2} E_q \left(\frac{1}{p_j}, \frac{1}{r_j} \right) \leq d_q(p_j, r_j),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} q (1-q) \frac{(p_j - r_j)^2}{\max\{p_j, r_j\}} \leq \frac{p_j r_j}{2} E_{1-q} \left(\frac{1}{p_j}, \frac{1}{r_j} \right) \leq d_{1-q}(p_j, r_j).$$

Taking into account that

$$E_q \left(\frac{1}{p_j}, \frac{1}{r_j} \right) = E_{1-q} \left(\frac{1}{p_j}, \frac{1}{r_j} \right),$$

and by taking the sum on $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have

$$q(1-q) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(p_j - r_j)^2}{\max\{p_j, r_j\}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n p_j r_j E_q \left(\frac{1}{p_j}, \frac{1}{r_j} \right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n (d_q(p_j, r_j) + d_{1-q}(p_j, r_j))$$

we prove the lower bounds of $J_q(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r})$. To prove the upper bound of $J_q(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r})$, we put $a := p_j$, $b := r_j$ and $p := q$ in inequality (22). Then we deduce

$$d_q(p_j, r_j) + d_{1-q}(p_j, r_j) \leq (1-q) \frac{(p_j - r_j)^2 (p_j + r_j)}{p_j r_j}.$$

By taking the sum on $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we find

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (d_q(p_j, r_j) + d_{1-q}(p_j, r_j)) \leq (1-q) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(p_j - r_j)^2 (p_j + r_j)}{p_j r_j}.$$

Consequently, we prove the inequalities of the statement. \square

We also give the further bounds on the Jeffreys-Tsallis divergence by the use of Cartwright-Field inequality given in (7).

Theorem 3.8. For two probability distributions $\mathbf{p} := \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ and $\mathbf{r} := \{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$ with $p_j > 0$ and $r_j > 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, and $0 \leq q < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q}{8} \sum_{j=1}^n (p_j - r_j)^2 \left(\frac{1}{p_j + \max\{p_j, r_j\}} + \frac{1}{r_j + \max\{p_j, r_j\}} \right) \\ & \leq JS_q(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) \leq \frac{q}{8} \sum_{j=1}^n (p_j - r_j)^2 \left(\frac{1}{p_j + \min\{p_j, r_j\}} + \frac{1}{r_j + \min\{p_j, r_j\}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Proof. For $q = 0$, we have the equality. We assume $0 < q < 1$. By direct calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} JS_q(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) &= \frac{1}{2} D_q^T \left(\mathbf{p} \middle| \frac{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{r}}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} D_q^T \left(\mathbf{r} \middle| \frac{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{r}}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(1-q)} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ p_j - p_j^q \left(\frac{p_j + r_j}{2} \right)^{1-q} + r_j - r_j^q \left(\frac{p_j + r_j}{2} \right)^{1-q} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2(1-q)} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ qp_j + (1-q) \frac{p_j + r_j}{2} - p_j^q \left(\frac{p_j + r_j}{2} \right)^{1-q} + qr_j + (1-q) \frac{p_j + r_j}{2} - r_j^q \left(\frac{p_j + r_j}{2} \right)^{1-q} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2(1-q)} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ d_q \left(p_j, \frac{p_j + r_j}{2} \right) + d_q \left(r_j, \frac{p_j + r_j}{2} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using inequality (7), we deduce

$$\frac{q(1-q)}{4} \frac{(p_j - r_j)^2}{p_j + \max\{p_j, r_j\}} \leq d_q \left(p_j, \frac{p_j + r_j}{2} \right) \leq \frac{q(1-q)}{4} \frac{(p_j - r_j)^2}{p_j + \min\{p_j, r_j\}}$$

and

$$\frac{q(1-q)}{4} \frac{(p_j - r_j)^2}{r_j + \max\{p_j, r_j\}} \leq d_q \left(r_j, \frac{p_j + r_j}{2} \right) \leq \frac{q(1-q)}{4} \frac{(p_j - r_j)^2}{r_j + \min\{p_j, r_j\}}.$$

From the above inequalities we have the statement, by summing on $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. \square

It is quite natural to extend the Jensen-Shannon-Tsallis divergence to the following form:

$$JS_q^v(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) := v D_q^T(\mathbf{p} | v\mathbf{p} + (1-v)\mathbf{r}) + (1-v) D_q^T(\mathbf{r} | v\mathbf{p} + (1-v)\mathbf{r}), \quad (0 \leq v \leq 1, q > 0, q \neq 1).$$

We call this the v -weighted Jensen-Shannon-Tsallis divergence. For $v = 1/2$, we find that $JS_q^{1/2}(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) = JS_q(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r})$ which is the Jensen-Shannon-Tsallis divergence. For this quantity $JS_q^v(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r})$, we can obtain the following result in a way similar to the proof of Theorem 3.8.

Proposition 3.9. For two probability distributions $\mathbf{p} := \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ and $\mathbf{r} := \{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$ with $p_j > 0$ and $r_j > 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, $0 \leq q < 1$ and $0 \leq v \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{qv(1-v)}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (p_j - r_j)^2 \left(\frac{1-v}{vp_j + (1-v)\max\{p_j, r_j\}} + \frac{v}{(1-v)r_j + v\max\{p_j, r_j\}} \right) \\ & \leq JS_q^v(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) \leq \frac{qv(1-v)}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (p_j - r_j)^2 \left(\frac{1-v}{vp_j + (1-v)\min\{p_j, r_j\}} + \frac{v}{(1-v)r_j + v\min\{p_j, r_j\}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We calculate as

$$\begin{aligned}
JS_q^v(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) &= \frac{v}{1-q} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ p_j - p_j^q (vp_j + (1-v)r_j)^{1-q} \right\} + \frac{1-v}{1-q} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ r_j - r_j^q (vp_j + (1-v)r_j)^{1-q} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ vp_j + (1-v)r_j - vp_j^q (vp_j + (1-v)r_j)^{1-q} - (1-v)r_j^q (vp_j + (1-v)r_j)^{1-q} \right\} \\
&= \frac{v}{1-q} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ qp_j + (1-q)(vp_j + (1-v)r_j) - p_j^q (vp_j + (1-v)r_j)^{1-q} \right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1-v}{1-q} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ qr_j + (1-q)(vp_j + (1-v)r_j) - r_j^q (vp_j + (1-v)r_j)^{1-q} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ vd_q(p_j, vp_j + (1-v)r_j) + (1-v)d_q(r_j, vp_j + (1-v)r_j) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using inequality (7), we deduce

$$\frac{q(1-q)}{2} \frac{(1-v)^2(p_j - r_j)^2}{vp_j + (1-v)\max\{p_j, r_j\}} \leq d_q(p_j, vp_j + (1-v)r_j) \leq \frac{q(1-q)}{2} \frac{(1-v)^2(p_j - r_j)^2}{vp_j + (1-v)\min\{p_j, r_j\}}$$

and

$$\frac{q(1-q)}{2} \frac{v^2(p_j - r_j)^2}{(1-v)r_j + v\max\{p_j, r_j\}} \leq d_q(r_j, vp_j + (1-v)r_j) \leq \frac{q(1-q)}{2} \frac{v^2(p_j - r_j)^2}{(1-v)r_j + v\min\{p_j, r_j\}}.$$

Multiplying v and $1-v$ by the above inequalities, respectively, and then taking the sum on $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we obtain the statement. \square

4 Conclusion

We obtained new inequalities which improve classical Young inequality by analytical calculations with known inequalities. We also obtained some bounds on the Jeffreys-Tsallis divergence and the Jensen-Shannon-Tsallis divergence. At this point, we do not know clearly that the obtained bounds will play any role in information theory. However, if there exists a purpose to find the meaning of the parameter q in divergences based on Tsallis divergence, then we may state that almost theorems (except for Theorem 3.3) hold for $0 \leq q < 1$. In the first author's previous studies [6, 7], some results related to Tsallis divergence (relative entropy) are still true for $0 \leq q < 1$, while some results related to Tsallis entropy are still true for $q > 1$. In this paper, we treated the Tsallis type divergence so it is shown that almost results are true for $0 \leq q < 1$. This insight may give a rough meaning of the parameter q .

Since our results in Section 3 are based on the inequalities in Section 2, we summarize on the tightness for our obtained inequalities in Section 2. The double inequality (12) is a counterpart of the double inequality (9) for $a, b \in (0, 1]$. Therefore they can not be compared each other from the point of view on the tightness, since the conditions are different. The double inequality (12) was used to obtain Theorem 3.5. The double inequality (15) is essentially Cartwright-Field inequality itself, and it was used to obtain Theorem 3.1 as the first result in Section 3. The results in Theorem 2.5 are mathematical properties on $d_p(a, b)$. The inequalities given in (18) gave an improvement of the left hand side in the inequality (7) for the case $a, b \geq 1$ and we obtained Theorem 3.7 by (18). We obtained the upper bound of $d_p(a, b)$ as a counterpart of (18) for a general $a, b > 0$. This is used to prove Corollary 2.10 which was used to prove Theorem

3.7. However, we find that the upper bound of $d_p(a, b) + d_{1-p}(a, b)$ given in (22) is not tighter than one in (15).

Finally, Theorem 3.3 can be obtained from the convexity/concavity of the function t^{1-q} . The studies to obtain much sharper bounds will be continued. We extend the Jensen-Shannon-Tsallis divergence to the following:

$$JS_q^v(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) := vD_q^T(\mathbf{p}|v\mathbf{p} + (1-v)\mathbf{r}) + (1-v)D_q^T(\mathbf{r}|v\mathbf{p} + (1-v)\mathbf{r}), \quad (0 \leq v \leq 1, q > 0, q \neq 1),$$

and we call this the v -weighted Jensen-Shannon-Tsallis divergence. For $v = 1/2$, we find that $JS_q^{1/2}(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) = JS_q(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r})$ which is the Jensen-Shannon-Tsallis divergence. For this quantity as a information-theoretic divergence measure $JS_q^v(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r})$, we obtained several characterizations.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the referees for their careful and insightful comments to improve our manuscript. The author (S.F.) was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 21K03341.

Author Contributions

The work presented here was carried out in collaboration between all authors. The study was initiated by the second author. He played also the role of the corresponding author. All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] J. Aczél and Z. Daróczy, *On measures of information and their characterizations*, Academic Press, 1975.
- [2] R. Bhatia, *Interpolating the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality and its operator version*, Linear Alg. Appl., **413**(2/3)(2006),355–363.
- [3] M. Blondel, A. F. T. Martins, V. Niculae, *Learning with Fenchel-Young Losses*, Journal of Machine Learning Research **21**(35), (2020), 1–69.
- [4] N. A. Bobilev and M. A. Krasnoselsky, *Extremum Analysis (degenerate cases)*, Moscow, preprint, 1981, 52 pages, (in Russian).
- [5] D. I. Cartwright and M. J. Field, *A refinement of the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **71** (1978) 36–38.
- [6] S. Furuichi, K. Yanagi and K. Kuriyama, *Fundamental properties of Tsallis relative entropy*, J.Math.Phys., **45**(12)(2004), 4868–4877.
- [7] S. Furuichi, *Information theoretical properties of Tsallis entropies*, J.Math.Phys., **47**(12)(2006), 023302.

- [8] S. Furuichi, M. B. Ghaemi and N. Gharakhanlu, *Generalized reverse Young and Heinz inequalities*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., **42**(1)(2019), 267–284.
- [9] S. Furuichi and N. Minculete, *Alternative reverse inequalities for Young’s inequality*, J. Math. Inequal., **5**(4) (2011), 595–600.
- [10] S. Furuichi and N. Minculete, *Inequalities related to some types of entropies and divergences*, Physica A, **532**(2019),121907.
- [11] S. Furuichi and F.-C. Mitroi, *Mathematical inequalities for some divergences*, Physica A, **391**(2012), 388–400.
- [12] S. Furuichi and H. R. Moradi, *Advances in mathematical inequalities*, De Gruyter, 2020.
- [13] S. Furuichi, K. Yanagi and K. Kuriyama, *Fundamental properties of Tsallis relative entropy*, J.Math.Phys.,**45**(2004),4868–4877.
- [14] F. Kittaneh and Y. Manasrah, *Improved Young and Heinz inequalities for matrix*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **361** (2010), 262–269.
- [15] H. Kober, *On the arithmetic and geometric means and Hölder inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **9** (1958), 452–459.
- [16] J. Lin, *Divergence measures based on the Shannon entropy*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **37**(1) (1991), 145–151.
- [17] N. Lovričević, D. Pečarić and J. Pečarić, *Zipf-Mandelbrot law, f -divergences and the Jensen-type interpolating inequalities*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2018**(2018), Art.No.36.
- [18] E. Minguzzi, *An equivalent form of Young’s inequality with upper bound*, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math., **2** (2008), 213–216.
- [19] N. Minculete, *A refinement of the Kittaneh–Manasrah inequality*, Creat. Math. Inform., **20**(2)(2011), 157–162.
- [20] F. C. Mitroi and N. Minculete, *Mathematical inequalities for biparametric extended information measures*, J. Math. Ineq., **7**(1)(2013), 63–71.
- [21] F. C. Mitroi-Symeonidis, I. Anghel, N. Minculete, *Parametric Jensen-Shannon Statistical Complexity and Its Applications on Full-Scale Compartment Fire Data*, Symmetry **12**(1) (2020).
- [22] H. R. Moradi, S. Furuichi and N. Minculete, *Estimates for Tsallis relative operator entropy*, Math. Ineq. Appl., **20**(4)(2017), 1079–1088.
- [23] C.P. Niculescu and L.-E. Persson, *Convex functions and their applications*, 2nd Edition, Springer, 2018.
- [24] F. Nielsen, *The α -divergences associated with a pair of strictly comparable quasi-arithmetic means*, arXiv:2001.09660 (2020).
- [25] F. Nielsen, *On Geodesic Triangles with Right Angles in a Dually Flat Space*, Progress in Information Geometry: Theory and Applications (2021): 153–190.
- [26] R. Sibson, *Information radius*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw Gebiete, **14**(2) (1969), 149–160.

- [27] C. Tsallis, *Generalized entropy-based criterion for consistent testing*, Phys. Rev. E **58**(1998), 1442–1445.
- [28] W.H. Young, *On classes of summable functions and their Fourier series*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A, **87** (1912), 225–229.
- [29] T. van Erven and P. Harremöes, *Rényi Divergence and Kullback -Leibler Divergence*, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, **60**(7)(2014), 3797–3820.