

Logarithmic correction to black hole entropy from the nonlocality of quantum gravity

Yong Xiao^{1,*} and Yu Tian^{2,3,†}

¹Key Laboratory of High-precision Computation and Application of Quantum Field Theory of Hebei Province,
College of Physical Science and Technology, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, China

²School of Physics, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

³Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

It has been known for many years that the leading correction to the black hole entropy is a logarithmic term, which is universal and closely related to conformal anomaly. A fully consistent analysis of this issue has to take quantum backreactions to the black hole geometry into account. However, it was always unclear how to naturally derive the modified black hole metric especially from an effective action, because the problem refers to the elusive non-locality of quantum gravity. In this paper, we show that this problem can be resolved within an effective field theory (EFT) framework of quantum gravity. Our work suggests that the EFT approach provides a powerful and self-consistent tool for studying the quantum gravitational corrections to black hole geometries and thermodynamics.

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of black hole entropy plays a crucial role in the understanding of the microscopic degrees of freedom of quantum gravity. The famous Bekenstein–Hawking entropy takes the form $S_{BH} = \frac{c^3}{\hbar G} \frac{A}{4}$. From a more general viewpoint of quantum gravity, this entropy is only considered as the tree level result of the black hole entropy [1, 2], and the leading order quantum gravitational correction to the entropy has been shown to be a logarithmic term. The logarithmic correction is fundamental and universal, since it can be derived from many different approaches such as conical singularity and entanglement entropy [2, 3], Euclidean action method [4–7], conformal anomaly [8], Cardy formula [9], quantum tunneling [10, 11], and quantum geometry [12]. See also the references therein.

A rapid dimensional analysis is sufficient to uncover this logarithmic entropy correction. Considering the leading order quantum gravitational corrections to the thermodynamics of a Schwarzschild black hole. Since the correction terms should have an extra factor $\hbar G$, we expect the modified black hole temperature be written as $T = \frac{\hbar c^3}{8\pi G M} (1 - \hbar G \frac{a_1}{M^2})$, with a coefficient a_1 to be determined. Due to the thermodynamic law $TdS = dM$, it immediately leads to the entropy $S = \frac{4\pi G M^2}{\hbar c^3} + \frac{4\pi a_1 G^2}{c^3} \ln(M^2)$ up to a constant of integration. In fact, in the seminal paper by Fursaev [4], the one-loop corrections to the thermodynamics of a Schwarzschild black hole have been analyzed and the results are

$$T = \frac{1}{8\pi M} - \gamma \frac{2}{M^3}, \quad S = 4\pi M^2 + 64\pi^2 \gamma \ln(M^2), \quad (1)$$

where we set $G = \hbar = c = 1$ for simplicity, and the coefficient γ is defined at eq.(5).

However, the backreaction of the quantum effects to the black hole geometry had not been taken into account. The corrections to the thermodynamics imply the original Schwarzschild geometry also receives quantum corrections. For example, the temperature (1) should be related to the conical singularity of the modified black hole metric. Fursaev stressed that it would be incomplete without obtaining the thermodynamics directly from the modified geometry itself [4].

Despite its significance, this question remained unanswered over decades especially from the level of effective action, and it appeared to be quite difficult and unlikely to find any analytic solution [6, 13]. Actually, any possible higher order curvature terms of a local form that can be added to the Einstein-Hilbert action are unable to produce such a logarithmic term for the black hole entropy, which is obvious from the Wald entropy formula. So the logarithmic correction has to be related to some elusive non-local effects of quantum gravity, as was alluded to in the literature [8, 14].

In this paper, we will show the problem can be resolved in the framework of EFT of gravity. The paper is organized as follows. First, we display the one-loop effective action of quantum gravity and show how the trace anomaly is illustrated in this formalism. Then, we show that the non-local terms in the effective action produce both the

*Electronic address: xiaoyong@hbu.edu.cn

†Electronic address: ytian@ucas.ac.cn

quantum corrections to the geometry (thus the temperature) and the entropy of the Schwarzschild black hole, which resolves the above mentioned problem. Our approach can be easily generalized to other types of black hole. We will provide the results for the Reissner-Nordström (R-N) black hole and AdS-Schwarzschild black hole. Everything fits together nicely even in the complicated cases, manifesting the self-consistencies of our analysis.

II. THE ONE-LOOP EFFECTIVE ACTION OF QUANTUM GRAVITY AND TRACE ANOMALY

In the EFT of gravity, starting from the action $I = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{1}{16\pi} R + I_m$ and integrating out the quantum fluctuations of matter and graviton at one-loop level, one obtains the effective action of quantum gravity. At second order in curvature, there is [15–19]

$$I_{\text{EFT}} = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{R}{16\pi} + [c_1(\mu)R^2 + c_2(\mu)R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + c_3(\mu)R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}] - \left[\alpha R \ln\left(\frac{\square}{\mu^2}\right)R + \beta R_{\mu\nu} \ln\left(\frac{\square}{\mu^2}\right)R^{\mu\nu} + \gamma R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \ln\left(\frac{\square}{\mu^2}\right)R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \right], \quad (2)$$

where $\square \equiv -g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu$ with the metric signature $(-1, 1, 1, 1)$. The non-local operator $\ln\square$ is generated from the loop fluctuations of the massless particles, and the coefficients α , β and γ are calculable and given as [16, 20]

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{11520\pi^2}(5(6\xi - 1)^2N_s - 5N_f - 50N_v + 430N_g), \quad (3)$$

$$\beta = \frac{1}{11520\pi^2}(-2N_s + 8N_f + 176N_v - 1444N_g), \quad (4)$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{11520\pi^2}(2N_s + 7N_f - 26N_v + 424N_g), \quad (5)$$

where N_s , N_f , N_v and N_g are the number of scalars, four-component fermions, vectors and gravitons in the low energy particle spectrum in nature. These coefficients represent the model-independent prediction of EFT and should be obeyed by any candidate of a complete quantum gravitational theory at low energy limit. Notice the formalism of EFT is renormalization group invariant, due to the property of the beta function of the Wilson coefficients such as $c_3(\mu) = c_3(u_*) - \gamma \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2}{\mu_*^2}\right)$ etc [6].

The quantum vacuum is expected to be slightly shifted away from the classical vacuum. Especially, after considering the quantum fluctuations of the vacuum, the Einstein tensor is not necessarily traceless. We denote the extra terms except the classical Einstein-Hilbert part in the action (2) as I_q , its variation can be formally written as

$$\delta I_q = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} T^{\mu\nu} \delta g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (6)$$

where $T^{\mu\nu}$ represents some kind of effective energy-momentum tensor caused by quantum fluctuations. Considering a special variation of it with respect to $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow e^\epsilon g_{\mu\nu}$, eq.(6) becomes $\delta I_q = \frac{1}{2} \int \sqrt{g} T^\mu_\mu \epsilon$, from which we can read off the value of T^μ_μ . Since $\delta \ln \square = \ln \square' - \ln \square = -\epsilon$, we have $\alpha R(\delta \ln \square)R + \beta R_{\mu\nu}(\delta \ln \square)R^{\mu\nu} + \gamma R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(\delta \ln \square)R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = -(\alpha R^2 + \beta R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + \gamma R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta})\epsilon$. So the trace anomaly is

$$T^\mu_\mu = 2(\alpha R^2 + \beta R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + \gamma R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}). \quad (7)$$

The other variation terms, for example $\gamma(\delta R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}) \ln \square R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$, may also contribute to T^μ_μ . However, if varying the action around Ricci-flat geometries, such as the original Schwarzschild metric, these contributions vanish, as will see shortly. In these cases, eq.(7) is the only origin of the non-zero trace T^μ_μ .

We emphasize that, for the conformal fields, the well-known effect of conformal anomaly has been contained above. Taking $\xi = \frac{1}{6}$ for the conformally coupled scalars and ignoring the gravitons in eqs.(3)-(5), the coefficients α , β and γ are not independent with each other, then eq.(7) can be rewritten as the standard form of the conformal anomaly [21]

$$T^\mu_\mu = \lambda_1 F - \lambda_2 G, \quad (8)$$

where the two bases are $F = C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{3}R^2 - 2R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$, $G = R^2 - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$, and

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{1920\pi^2}(N_s + 6N_f + 12N_v), \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{5760\pi^2}(N_s + 11N_f + 62N_v). \quad (9)$$

The first and the second part of eq.(8) are often called type B and type A anomaly in conformal field theory.

III. THE MODIFIED BLACK HOLE GEOMETRY AND THERMODYNAMICS

Starting from the effective action (2), the gravitational field equations can be derived by the its variation with respect to the metric, which can be put into the form

$$R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg^{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T^{\mu\nu}, \quad (10)$$

where $T^{\mu\nu}$ represents the quantum corrections to the classical vacuum, as stated in last section. In the following, our main aim is simple. We will solve the corrections to the original Schwarzschild geometry from the field equations (10), and analyzed the modified black hole thermodynamics.

A. Quntum corrections to the Schwarzschild metric

The difficulty is that the effective action is complicated and the non-local operator $\ln\Box$ is intricate. We write $T^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu} + K^{\mu\nu}$, where $H^{\mu\nu}$ collects all the terms related to the variation $\delta\sqrt{-g}$ and $\delta R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$, and $K^{\mu\nu}$ collects only the terms coming from $\delta\ln\Box$. The portion $H^{\mu\nu}$ can be derived straightforwardly, though its form is rather lengthy [18]. Fortunately, we are only interested in the corrections around the Schwarzschild black hole metric at the first order in the Wilson coefficients α , β , γ , so a lot of terms in $H_{\mu\nu}$ vanish and it reduces to

$$H^{\mu\nu} = 4\gamma(\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta + \nabla_\beta\nabla_\alpha)\ln\left(\frac{\Box}{\mu^2}\right)R^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}. \quad (11)$$

Clearly this part is traceless for all the Ricci-flat geometries, because of $H^\mu_\mu = 4\gamma(\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta + \nabla_\beta\nabla_\alpha)\ln\Box R^{\alpha\beta} = 0$. A subtlety is that there has already been a coefficient γ in eq.(11), so we only need to use the original Schwarzschild metric to evaluate it. This is also why there are no contributions from the Ricci scalar and Ricci tensor in eq.(11).

At present, there is no available technique to deal with $\ln\left(\frac{\Box}{\mu^2}\right)R_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}$ in a curved spacetime, but $-\ln(r^2\mu^2)R_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}$ would be the only conceivable result by dimensional argument, which is also supported by the calculation in flat spacetime [34]. Thus we obtain

$$H^\mu_\nu = \left\{ \left(-\frac{32M(5M-2r)\gamma}{r^6}, 0, 0, 0 \right), \left(0, -\frac{32M(3M-r)\gamma}{r^6}, 0, 0 \right), \left(0, 0, \frac{16M(8M-3r)\gamma}{r^6}, 0 \right), \left(0, 0, 0, \frac{16M(8M-3r)\gamma}{r^6} \right) \right\}. \quad (12)$$

One can easily check it is traceless as promised. Similarly, $K^{\mu\nu}$ reduces to

$$K^{\mu\nu} = -2\gamma R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \frac{\delta\ln\Box}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} R^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}, \quad (13)$$

where the variation of $\ln\Box$ is even more difficult and no one knows how to calculate it directly and explicitly. However, it doesn't mean we know nothing about $K^{\mu\nu}$. For example, its trace must be the anomalous term $2\gamma R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ as analyzed above, which gives $\frac{96M^2\gamma}{r^6}$ for the Schwarzschild case. On the other hand, since both eqs.(11) and (13) are generated from the variation of the same term $\gamma R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \ln\left(\frac{\Box}{\mu^2}\right)R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$, their results have to be correlated with each other. Especially, there should be $\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu(H^{\mu\nu} + K^{\mu\nu}) = 0$ according to Bianchi Identity. These analyses help us to determine the form of $K^{\mu\nu}$ as

$$K^\mu_\nu = \left\{ (0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 0, 0), \left(0, 0, \frac{48M^2\gamma}{r^6}, 0 \right), \left(0, 0, 0, \frac{48M^2\gamma}{r^6} \right) \right\}. \quad (14)$$

Then we can solve the gravitational equations (10) around the Schwarzschild metric under the ansatz

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{1}{g(r)}dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega, \quad (15)$$

where $f(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \gamma a(r)$, $g(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \gamma b(r)$. Substituting eqs.(11)-(15) into (10) and only keeping the terms linear in γ , we get the tt and rr components of the gravitational field equations as

$$\frac{(b(r) + rb'(r))\gamma}{r^2} = -\frac{256\pi M(5M-2r)\gamma}{r^6}, \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{(-2Ma(r) + r(r-2M)a'(r) + rb(r))\gamma}{r^2(r-2M)} = -\frac{256\pi M(3M-r)\gamma}{r^6}, \quad (17)$$

from which we find the solution

$$\begin{aligned} f(r) &= 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \gamma \hbar G \left(-\frac{512\pi M}{3r^3} + \frac{256\pi M^2}{r^4} \right), \\ g(r) &= 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \gamma \hbar G \left(-\frac{256\pi M}{r^3} + \frac{1280\pi M^2}{3r^4} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where we have temporarily restored $\hbar G$ to highlight this is a quantum-gravitational correction to the metric. The angular components of the field equations (10) are solved automatically. By hindsight, actually we don't need to worry too much about the annoying $K^{\mu\nu}$ in the above procedure; an easier way is to solve the field equations using the tt and rr components of $H^{\mu\nu}$, and with the derived metric, to check the trace of the Einstein tensor is the same as required by the trace anomaly. By the way, there were some controversies around the quantum corrections to the black hole metrics from various motivations in the literature [22–24]. The feature and advantage of our result (18) is that both the modified thermodynamics (1) and the trace (conformal) anomaly (7) can be realized explicitly, which makes the differences with the previous ones.

B. The modified black hole thermodynamics

With the quantum corrections to the black hole metric (18), we can further analyze the modified thermodynamics. Requiring $f(r_h) = g(r_h) = 0$, we find the radius of the horizon is

$$r_h = 2M + \gamma \frac{32\pi}{3M}, \quad (19)$$

so we still have a well-defined horizon of radius r_h on which the thermodynamics can be constructed. By analyzing the conical singularity of the metric, the black hole temperature is

$$T = \frac{\sqrt{f'(r_h)g'(r_h)}}{4\pi} = \frac{1}{8\pi M} - \gamma \frac{2}{M^3}. \quad (20)$$

We also verified it by calculating the surface gravity at the horizon. The black hole entropy can be derived from the Wald formula, which reads [25]

$$S_W = -2\pi \oint \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}} \right)^{(0)} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\rho\sigma} d\Sigma, \quad (21)$$

where $d\Sigma = r^2 \sin\theta d\theta d\phi$, \mathcal{L} is the Lagrangian of the theory, and $\epsilon_{\mu\nu}$ should be normalized with $\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\epsilon^{\mu\nu} = -2$ which means $\epsilon_{tr} = \sqrt{f(r)/g(r)}$ etc. Using the effective action (2) and the metric (18), we derive the entropy

$$\begin{aligned} S_W &= \pi r_h^2 + 64\pi^2 c_3(\mu) + 64\pi^2 \gamma \ln(r_h^2 \mu^2) \\ &= 4\pi M^2 + 64\pi^2 c_3(\mu) + 64\pi^2 \gamma \ln(4M^2 \mu^2) + \gamma \frac{128\pi^2}{3}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The three terms in the first line respectively comes from the Einstein-Hilbert part, the other local part, and the non-local part of the EFT action. Note the entropy (22) has already been renormalization group invariant, i.e., μ -independent, and $c_3(\mu) + \gamma \ln(\mu^2)$ should correspond to some characteristic scale l_s of the complete quantum gravity [6]. If one believes no new scales other than the Planck scale l_p , eq.(22) would be written as a neat form $S_W = \frac{A}{4l_p^2} + 64\pi^2 \gamma \ln(\frac{A}{l_p^2})$.

Now we have found the quantum corrections to the original Schwarzschild metric, black hole temperature and entropy at the first order in the Wilson coefficients. In the following, we verify everything is self-consistent. First, we can check easily from eqs.(20) and (22) that the thermodynamic equation $TdS = dM$ holds. Second, we check the Euler characteristic is still $\chi = 2 + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2)$, that is,

$$\chi = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int_0^{1/T} dt_E \int_{r_H}^{\infty} dr d\theta d\phi \sqrt{-g} (R^2 - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}) = 2. \quad (23)$$

In the above expression, we used all the corrected values of $\sqrt{-g}$, curvature tensors, the horizon radius and the temperature. It is amazing to see all these complicated numbers interacting with each other to produce 0 at first

order in γ . This is a rather stringent examination, since that if we got any of these quantities incorrect, $\chi = 2$ couldn't come out. Third, with the modified metric at hand, we have redone the calculation of [6, 7] using the Euclidean action approach, and found the Euclidean entropy exactly matches with the Wald entropy (22) including the constant $\gamma \frac{128\pi^2}{3}$. We stress that obtaining the modified geometry first is essential in getting the thermodynamic analysis consistent, because in principle the Euclidean action should be evaluated at the saddle point, just as the Wald entropy should be evaluated on-shell. If one uses the original Schwarzschild metric to do the calculation, there will always be some awkward (though minor) inconsistencies.

The thermodynamic behaviors (20) and (22) and their variants have been given in the earlier literature [2–7]. However, using the EFT formalism, now we have provided the quantum corrections to the black hole geometry accompanied by a fully consistent analysis. And the higher order corrections to the black hole thermodynamics caused by the effective action can also be computed [35].

IV. GENERALIZATIONS TO OTHER TYPES OF BLACK HOLE

Our formalism can be straightforwardly generalized to study the quantum gravitational corrections to the thermodynamics of other types of black hole. In this section, we will consider the R-N black hole and AdS-Schwarzschild black hole as the examples. The calculations are more complicated and involved, but the procedures are much alike, so we only list the results as below. Notice that we will omit the local Wilson coefficients $c_1(\mu)$, $c_2(\mu)$ and $c_3(\mu)$ to simplify the expressions. One can easily retrieve them because they always come together with $\alpha \ln(\mu^2)$, $\beta \ln(\mu^2)$ and $\gamma \ln(\mu^2)$; and upon doing this, all the results are renormalization group invariant, i.e., μ -independent, as explained earlier.

A. Quantum corrections to the R-N black hole

Adding an electromagnetic field part to the effective action (2), around a R-N black hole geometry, the metric with quantum corrections can be solved as

$$\begin{aligned} f(r) &= 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{q^2}{r^2} - \frac{32\pi}{75r^6} [200Mr^2(-3M+2r)\gamma + 25q^2(2Mr-9r^2)\gamma + 3q^4(2\beta-47\gamma) \\ &\quad + 15q^2(q^2-5Mr+5r^2)(\beta+4\gamma)\ln(r^2\mu^2)], \\ g(r) &= 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{q^2}{r^2} + \frac{32\pi}{75r^6} [200Mr^2(5M-3r)\gamma + 75q^2r(-4M\beta+2r\beta-29M\gamma+12r\gamma) + 48q^4(3\beta+17\gamma) \\ &\quad - 15q^2(6q^2-15Mr+10r^2)(\beta+4\gamma)\ln(r^2\mu^2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The relation between the mass M and the outer horizon radius r_+ of the R-N black hole can be obtained by requiring $f(r_+) = g(r_+) = 0$, which gives

$$M = \frac{r_+^2 + q^2}{2r_+} + \frac{8\pi}{75r_+^5} [-100r_+^4\gamma + 25q^2r_+^2\gamma - q^4(12\beta+43\gamma) + 15q^2(3q^2-5r_+^2)(\beta+4\gamma)\ln(r_+^2\mu^2)]. \quad (25)$$

Obviously, it will be more convenient to use r_+ instead of M as the variable to do the analysis. The electrostatic potential at the horizon is

$$\Phi = \frac{q}{r_+} + \frac{16\pi q}{75r_+^5} [25r_+^2\gamma - 2q^2(12\beta+43\gamma) + 15q^2(\beta+4\gamma)\ln(r_+^2\mu^2)]. \quad (26)$$

The modified temperature is calculated by analyzing the conical singularity of the metric

$$T = \frac{1}{4\pi r_+} - \frac{q^2}{4\pi r_+^3} - \frac{4(r_+^2 - q^2)}{3r_+^7} [(8r_+^2 - q^2)\gamma - 3q^2(\beta+4\gamma)\ln(r_+^2\mu^2)]. \quad (27)$$

The modified entropy is calculated by the Wald entropy formula

$$S_W = \pi r_+^2 + \frac{32\pi^2}{r_+^2} [2r_+^2\gamma - q^2(\beta+4\gamma)] \ln(r_+^2\mu^2). \quad (28)$$

Though there are always the weird $\ln r_+$ terms rambling around in the above expressions, the analysis of the thermodynamics goes smoothly. The complete calculation is tedious, but the reader can easily check the thermodynamic law $TdS + \Phi dq = dM$ holds using r_+ and q as the independent variables.

A known result for R-N black hole coupled with massless scalar field is $S_{RN} = \frac{\mathcal{A}}{48\pi\epsilon^2} + \frac{2r_+ - 3r_-}{90r_+} \ln \frac{r_+}{\epsilon}$ where ϵ is an ultraviolet cutoff, in the language of entanglement entropy. And in the limit $r_- \rightarrow r_+$, it gives the entropy for the extremal case [2]. For Schwarzschild black hole, according to $TdS = dM$, one can derive the expression of temperature from that of entropy or vice versa [4, 13]. This doesn't work for R-N black hole because of the presence of the extra term Φdq . So other quantities of the thermodynamics were simply unknown. For comparison, setting $N_s = 1$, $N_f = N_v = N_g = 0$ in α , β and γ , our result (28) soon reduces to a rather similar form $S_{RN} = \frac{\mathcal{A}}{4l_p^2} + \frac{2r_+ - 3r_-}{90r_+} \ln(r_+ \mu)$. In addition, all the thermodynamic quantities have been obtained independently and the thermodynamic law $TdS + \Phi dq = dM$ is only used for checking the consistency.

B. Quantum corrections to the AdS-Schwarzschild black hole

In this case, the classical part of the effective action (2) becomes $S = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{1}{16\pi} (R - 2\Lambda)$. The methods of analyzing the thermodynamics of an AdS-Schwarzschild black hole often require a procedure of subtracting a pure AdS geometry as the background. Therefore, in order to avoid unnecessary subtleties, we keep the pure AdS geometry still being a saddle point (solution) of the total effective action, and this can be fulfilled under the constraint

$$12\alpha + 3\beta + 2\gamma = 0. \quad (29)$$

Notice it includes the case of type B anomaly where $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$, $\beta = -2$, $\gamma = 1$, see eq.(8). Applying the constraint to the effective action and solving the corresponding gravitational field equations, direct calculation gives the metric

$$\begin{aligned} f(r) &= 1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} - \gamma \frac{c_0 M}{r} - \gamma \frac{256\pi M}{9r^4} (-9M + 6r + r^3\Lambda + 3\Lambda r^3 \ln r), \\ g(r) &= 1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} - \gamma \frac{c_0 M}{r} - \gamma \frac{256\pi M}{3r^4} (-5M + 3r + \Lambda r^3 \ln r), \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where $\Lambda < 0$ and c_0 is a constant of integration. The relation between the mass M and the outer horizon radius r_+ can be obtained by requiring $f(r_+) = g(r_+) = 0$, which gives

$$M = \frac{(3 - \Lambda r_+^2)r_+}{6} - \gamma \frac{1}{108r_+} (3 - \Lambda r_+^2) (9c_0 r_+^2 + 128\pi(3 + 5\Lambda r_+^2) + 768\pi r_+^2 \Lambda \ln r_+). \quad (31)$$

The modified temperature is calculated by analyzing the conical singularity of the metric

$$T = \frac{1}{4\pi r_+} - \frac{\Lambda r_+}{4\pi} - \gamma \frac{32}{9r_+^3} (3 - 4\Lambda r_+^2 + \Lambda^2 r_+^4). \quad (32)$$

The modified entropy is calculated by the Wald entropy formula

$$S_W = \pi r_+^2 + \gamma \frac{64\pi^2}{3} (3 - \Lambda r_+^2) \ln(r_+^2 \mu^2). \quad (33)$$

The thermodynamic law $TdS = dM$ fixes the constant $c_0 = \frac{128\pi\Lambda}{3} (-2 + \ln(\mu^2))$. Substituting it into eq.(30), the form of the metric can be improved as

$$\begin{aligned} f(r) &= 1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} + \gamma \frac{128\pi M}{9r^4} (18M - 12r + 4r^3\Lambda - 3\Lambda r^3 \ln(r^2 \mu^2)), \\ g(r) &= 1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} + \gamma \frac{128\pi M}{3r^4} (10M - 6r + 2\Lambda r^3 - \Lambda r^3 \ln(r^2 \mu^2)). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

To verify the consistency, we further analyze the thermodynamics of the modified AdS-Schwarzschild black hole using the standard Euclidean action approach [26, 27]. Evaluating the effective action at the saddle point (34), and cancelling its divergences by subtracting the contribution from the pure AdS geometry, the Euclidean action can be obtained and explained as the partition function. We find the thermodynamic quantities exactly matches with the above formulas.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have solved the quantum corrections to the black hole geometries and thermodynamics from the one-loop effective action of quantum gravity, with the Schwarzschild, R-N and AdS-Schwarzschild black holes as the examples. In particular, the logarithmic corrections to the black hole entropy have been obtained and the consistencies of the thermodynamics have been examined. Our work suggests that the EFT approach provides a powerful and self-consistent tool for studying the quantum corrections even for more complicated types of black hole and to higher orders in the perturbation theory of quantum gravity.

The logarithmic correction to the black hole entropy is a reflection of the nonlocality of quantum gravity, since it comes clearly from the non-local terms in the effective action (2). Interestingly, these terms are not added by hand, they naturally emerge by integrating out the massless sector of the particle spectrum. Another interesting point of the story is that the nature has already provided clues for such a nonlocality. As mentioned in the Introduction, this logarithmic correction can not be derived from local curvature terms added to the effective action. Schematically, if one starts from an action of the form $I = \int_{\mathcal{M}} R + R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^2 + R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^3 + \dots$, the black hole temperature will be of the form $T = \frac{1}{8\pi M} + \frac{1}{M^5} + \frac{1}{M^7} + \dots$. It seems there is a ‘‘mysterious’’ missing piece proportional to $\frac{1}{M^3}$ in the expression [28]. Disturbed for a long time by the faith of the perfection of the mathematical form, one may choose to argue for the existence of the $\frac{1}{M^3}$ term, which may finally lead to the discovery of the logarithmic correction to the entropy and the non-local operator $\ln \square$.

There are still many questions that can be explored in this area, which may have deep implications for the understanding of the black hole physics and cosmology. At this stage, we only considered the static spherically symmetric black holes, so the rotating or other types of black hole can be analyzed in future. The formalism may be also useful in studying the conformal anomaly for the black holes in even dimensions other than 4. And the quantum effects may become salient and mean a lot for the final fate of black hole evaporation. Theoretically, from the spirit of AdS/CFT, it is worthy to explore the meaning of the modified black hole geometry at the CFT side [29]. Furthermore, the quantum aspects of gravity could have observational results, for example, in the gravitational wave signals [30] and the black hole shadows [31]. In cosmology, conformal anomaly could provide an explanation for the cosmological constant problem and also has experimental predictions [32, 33].

Acknowledgments

YX would like to thank X. Calmet and F. Kuipers for extensive discussions, and is grateful to MPS School of the University of Sussex for the research facilities and the hospitality during the one-year visit. This work is supported in part by China Scholarship Council No. 201908130079 and by NSFC with Grant No. 11975235 and 12035016.

-
- [1] J. M. Maldacena, A. Strominger and E. Witten, *Black hole entropy in M theory*, JHEP **12**, 002 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9711053 [hep-th]].
 - [2] S. N. Solodukhin, *Entanglement entropy of black holes*, Living Rev. Rel. **14** (2011), 8 [arXiv:1104.3712 [hep-th]].
 - [3] S. N. Solodukhin, *The Conical singularity and quantum corrections to entropy of black hole*, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 609-617 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9407001 [hep-th]].
 - [4] D. V. Fursaev, *Temperature and entropy of a quantum black hole and conformal anomaly*, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 5352-5355 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9412161 [hep-th]].
 - [5] A. Sen, *Logarithmic Corrections to Schwarzschild and Other Non-extremal Black Hole Entropy in Different Dimensions*, JHEP **04**, 156 (2013) [arXiv:1205.0971 [hep-th]].
 - [6] B. K. El-Menoufi, *Quantum gravity of Kerr-Schild spacetimes and the logarithmic correction to Schwarzschild black hole entropy*, JHEP **05** (2016), 035 [arXiv:1511.08816 [hep-th]].
 - [7] B. K. El-Menoufi, *Quantum gravity effects on the thermodynamic stability of 4D Schwarzschild black hole*, JHEP **08** (2017), 068 [arXiv:1703.10178 [gr-qc]].
 - [8] R. G. Cai, L. M. Cao and N. Ohta, *Black Holes in Gravity with Conformal Anomaly and Logarithmic Term in Black Hole Entropy*, JHEP **04**, 082 (2010) [arXiv:0911.4379 [hep-th]].
 - [9] S. Carlip, *Logarithmic corrections to black hole entropy from the Cardy formula*, Class. Quant. Grav. **17**, 4175-4186 (2000) [arXiv:gr-qc/0005017 [gr-qc]].
 - [10] R. Banerjee and B. R. Majhi, *Quantum Tunneling Beyond Semiclassical Approximation*, JHEP **06**, 095 (2008) [arXiv:0805.2220 [hep-th]].
 - [11] *Quantum Tunneling, Trace Anomaly and Effective Metric*, Phys. Lett. B **674**, 218-222 (2009) [arXiv:0808.3688 [hep-th]].

- [12] R. K. Kaul and P. Majumdar, *Logarithmic correction to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5255-5257 (2000) [arXiv:gr-qc/0002040 [gr-qc]].
- [13] S. N. Solodukhin, *Logarithmic terms in entropy of Schwarzschild black holes in higher loops*, Phys. Lett. B **802** (2020), 135235 [arXiv:1907.07916 [hep-th]].
- [14] S. N. Solodukhin, *Entropy of Schwarzschild black hole and string - black hole correspondence*, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 2410-2414 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9701106 [hep-th]].
- [15] J. F. Donoghue, *General relativity as an effective field theory: The leading quantum corrections*, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 3874-3888 (1994) [arXiv:gr-qc/9405057 [gr-qc]].
- [16] J. F. Donoghue and B. K. El-Menoufi, *Nonlocal quantum effects in cosmology: Quantum memory, nonlocal FLRW equations, and singularity avoidance*, Phys. Rev. D **89**, no.10, 104062 (2014) [arXiv:1402.3252 [gr-qc]].
- [17] A. O. Barvinsky and G. A. Vilkovisky, *The Generalized Schwinger-Dewitt Technique in Gauge Theories and Quantum Gravity*, Phys. Rept. **119**, 1-74 (1985)
- [18] X. Calmet, R. Casadio and F. Kuipers, *Quantum Gravitational Corrections to a Star Metric and the Black Hole Limit*, Phys. Rev. D **100** (2019) no.8, 086010 [arXiv:1909.13277 [hep-th]].
- [19] P. d. Teixeira, I. L. Shapiro and T. G. Ribeiro, *One-loop effective action: nonlocal form factors and renormalization group*, Grav. Cosmol. **26**, no.3, 185-199 (2020) [arXiv:2003.04503 [hep-th]].
- [20] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982.
- [21] M. J. Duff, *Weyl, Pontryagin, Euler, Eguchi and Freund*, J. Phys. A **53**, no.30, 301001 (2020) [arXiv:2003.02688 [hep-th]].
- [22] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, J. F. Donoghue and B. R. Holstein, *Quantum corrections to the Schwarzschild and Kerr metrics*, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 084005 (2003) [erratum: Phys. Rev. D **71**, 069904 (2005)] [arXiv:hep-th/0211071 [hep-th]].
- [23] G. G. Kirilin, *Quantum corrections to the Schwarzschild metric and reparametrization transformations*, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 108501 (2007) [arXiv:gr-qc/0601020 [gr-qc]].
- [24] X. Calmet and B. K. El-Menoufi, *Quantum Corrections to Schwarzschild Black Hole*, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, no.4, 243 (2017) [arXiv:1704.00261 [hep-th]].
- [25] R. M. Wald, *Black hole entropy is the Noether charge*, Phys. Rev. D **48** (1993) no.8, 3427-3431 [arXiv:gr-qc/9307038 [gr-qc]].
- [26] S. W. Hawking and D. N. Page, *Thermodynamics Of Black Holes In Anti-De Sitter Space*, Commun. Math. Phys. **87**, 577 (1983).
- [27] S. Dutta and R. Gopakumar, *On Euclidean and Noetherian entropies in AdS space*, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 044007 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0604070 [hep-th]].
- [28] Y. Xiao, Y. Chen, H. Feng and C. Zhu, *Black hole solutions and thermodynamics in the infinite derivative theory of gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **103**, no.4, 044064 (2021)
- [29] T. Azeyanagi, G. Compere, N. Ogawa, Y. Tachikawa and S. Terashima, *Higher-Derivative Corrections to the Asymptotic Virasoro Symmetry of 4d Extremal Black Holes*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **122**, 355-384 (2009) [arXiv:0903.4176 [hep-th]].
- [30] D. Laghi, G. Carullo, J. Veitch and W. D. Pozzo, *Quantum black hole spectroscopy: probing the quantum nature of the black hole area using LIGO-Virgo ringdown detections*, Class. Quant. Grav. **38**, no.9, 095005 (2021) [arXiv:2011.03816 [gr-qc]].
- [31] J. Peng, M. Guo and X. H. Feng, *Influence of Quantum Correction on the Black Hole Shadows, Photon Rings and Lensing Rings*, [arXiv:2008.00657 [gr-qc]].
- [32] E. C. Thomas, F. R. Urban and A. R. Zhitnitsky, *The Cosmological constant as a manifestation of the conformal anomaly?* JHEP **08**, 043 (2009) [arXiv:0904.3779 [gr-qc]].
- [33] I. Antoniadis, P. O. Mazur and E. Mottola, *Cosmological dark energy: Prospects for a dynamical theory*, New J. Phys. **9**, 11 (2007) [arXiv:gr-qc/0612068 [gr-qc]].
- [34] Using a formula in the appendix of [18], there is $\ln \frac{\square^{flat}}{\mu^2} \frac{M}{r^3} = -(\ln(r^2 \mu^2) + 2\gamma_E - 2) \frac{M}{r^3}$ up to a divergent part which may be cured by introducing appropriate boundary conditions or some regularization scheme. Thus we naturally expect $\ln(\frac{\square}{\mu^2}) R_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} = -\ln(r^2 \mu^2) R_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}$, after absorbing the constant coefficients $2\gamma_E - 2$ (usually related to the loop integration) into $c_3(\mu)$ for simplicity. Whatever, the main strategy is to do the calculation and check the self-consistencies of the results.
- [35] As an example, at 2nd order in the Wilson coefficients, we derive $T = \frac{1}{8\pi M} - \gamma \frac{2}{M^3} + \gamma^2 \frac{736\pi}{15M^5}$ and $S = 4\pi M^2 + 64\pi^2 c_3(\mu) + 64\pi^2 \gamma \ln(4M^2 \mu^2) + \gamma \frac{128\pi^2}{3} + \gamma^2 \frac{8192\pi^3}{15M^2}$. The parameters α, β have been considered, but they cancelled out using M as the variable in the above formulas.