

# Semiclassical and quantum features of the Bianchi I cosmology in the polymer representation

Eleonora Giovannetti,<sup>1,\*</sup> Giovanni Montani,<sup>1,2,†</sup> and Silvia Schiattarella<sup>1,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Physics Department, “Sapienza” University of Rome, P.le Aldo Moro 5, 00185 (Roma), Italy*

<sup>2</sup>*ENEA, FSN-FUSPHY-TSM, R.C. Frascati, Via E. Fermi 45, 00044 Frascati, Italy*

(Dated: May 4, 2021)

We analyze the Bianchi I cosmology in the presence of a massless scalar field and describe its dynamics via a semiclassical and quantum polymer approach. We investigate the morphology of the emerging Big Bounce by adopting three different sets of configurational variables: the natural Ashtekar connections, the Universe volume plus two anisotropy coordinates and a set of anisotropic volume-like coordinates (the latter two sets of variables would coincide in the case of an isotropic Universe). In the semiclassical analysis we demonstrate that the Big Bounce has a universal nature in both the two sets of volume-like variables, i.e. its critical energy density has a maximum value fixed by fundamental constants and the Immirzi parameter only. On the contrary, when adopting the Ashtekar connections (the privileged variables as dictated by Loop Quantum Gravity) the value of the critical Big Bounce density depends on the Cauchy problem for the dynamics and specifically on the conjugate momentum to the scalar field, which is a constant of motion in the present analysis. Also, a cosmological constant is included in the Ashtekar connections’ formulation and some interesting results are mentioned making a comparison between the synchronous dynamics and that one when the scalar field is taken as a relational time. From a pure quantum point of view, we investigate the Bianchi I dynamics only in terms of the Ashtekar connections and we demonstrate that the Wheeler-DeWitt equation is not appropriate for describing such a quantum polymer procedure, since its associated probability density is not positive for a wave packet, even after the frequency separation procedure is performed. Thereby, we apply the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner (ADM) reduction of the variational principle and then we quantize the system. We study the resulting Schrödinger dynamics, stressing that the wave packet peak behavior over time singles out common features with the semiclassical trajectory, confirming the non-universal character of the emerging Big Bounce also on a quantum level.

## I. INTRODUCTION

One of the most relevant phenomenological implications of Loop Quantum Gravity [1, 2] is certainly the emergence of a Big Bounce in the quantum dynamics of the isotropic Universe [3–13].

Despite the cosmological implementation of the formalism of the full theory present some limitations, especially concerning the proper assessment of the  $SU(2)$  symmetry (see [14–16]), the discreteness of the geometrical operator (area and volume) spectra is at the ground of a deep revision in the concept of the early Universe.

Actually, the emergence of a Big Bounce and the corresponding existence of a cut-off for the matter energy density take place in their own evidence essentially on a semiclassical level: the mean value of localized wave packets follows a revised dynamics in which the singularity is removed. On this level, the most intriguing open question concerns the specific morphology of this semiclassical turning point in the past of the present Universe. In fact, two possible representations are possible: one imposing a kinematic cut-off on the minimal area element [5] and the other one assigning the same cut-off on a dy-

namical level, i.e. involving the cosmic scale factor in the discrete spectrum [6, 7]. In the first approach, the turning point in the past depends on the wave packet profile as fixed at a given instant of time and also the critical density can approach very large values [2, 17]. In the dynamical reformulation, both the Big Bounce and the critical density become intrinsic features of the Universe, namely fixed by the fundamental parameters and constants only. From the point of view of the adopted configurational variables, the difference can be summarized in the use of the pure Ashtekar connection in the kinematic spectrum case or the Universe volume when an intrinsic cut-off comes out. With respect to this dualism of possible representations, see the discussion in [13] where the same question is addressed in Polymer Quantum Mechanics by tracing a close parallelism with Loop Quantum Cosmology.

Actually, the polymer approach [? ], is the most simple treatment able to provide insights on the emergence of a bouncing cosmology without entering the subtleties of Loop Quantum Gravity and Cosmology, but reliably retaining the same information of the latter.

Here, we apply the Polymer Quantum Mechanics to the Bianchi I model in the presence of a massless scalar field and we explore the dynamics in different sets of configurational variables by applying both the so-called semiclassical approach and the purely quantum one [18].

We compare the use of the natural Ashtekar con-

---

\* eleonora.giovannetti@uniroma1.it

† giovanni.montani@enea.it

‡ silvia.schiattarella@outlook.com

nections to the implementation of two different sets of volume-like variables (the standard volume plus two anisotropy coordinates as well as the anisotropic volume-like ones), following the Loop Quantum Cosmology formulation in [19, 20].

On a semiclassical level, we show that the Big Bounce as an intrinsic feature is reached in both the volume-like coordinates' formulations, while in the privileged case of the natural Ashtekar connections the Polymer Quantum Mechanics applied to the anisotropic Universe dynamics provides a bouncing cosmology which is depending on the initial conditions for the system.

This result suggests that it is not strictly the use of the Universe volume coordinate that ensures an intrinsic cut-off, but rather a peculiar morphology of the configurational variables that survives also when we adopt anisotropic volume variables. It is worth noting that the two sets of volume-like coordinates are reduced to the same variables in the isotropic limit.

Finally, in the semiclassical sector we also provide a discussion of the cosmological polymer dynamics in the presence of a cosmological constant term, introduced to mimic an inflation-like evolution [? ]). It is outlined a crucial difference in the dynamical picture if we adopt the relational time variable associated to the scalar field or the synchronous one. Using the scalar field as a clock we show the emergence of a peculiar divergence of the Universe volume at specific instants, that is removed at all in the synchronous time representation (for the discussion of a similar behavior see [12, 21]). Considering the semiclassical dynamics as resembling the trajectory of the quantum mean values (in the spirit of the Ehrenfest theorem), this feature seems to be of interest. In fact, once the scalar field has been fixed as the relational time in the quantum theory, it is the only legitimated clock so the peculiarity of the Universe evolution would acquire a physical meaning.

Regarding the proper application of the Polymer Quantum Mechanics, we analyze the evolution of the Bianchi I cosmology with a massless scalar field playing the role of a relational time, only limiting our attention to the choice of the privileged Ashtekar connections. Firstly, we treat the problem by fixing the time after the quantization procedure, i.e. in terms of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation, and then we restate the dynamics using the scalar field as a clock before quantizing by means of an ADM reduction of the variational principle. In particular, the conserved probability density constructed using the Wheeler-DeWitt equation turns out to take negative values, so this fact justifies the use of the subsequent Schrödinger-like approach. This feature suggests that only the Schrödinger dynamics is the most rigorous choice to describe the addressed quantum dynamics, since it is characterized by the square modulus of the wave function as a natural positive definite probability density. However, we stress that the Wheeler-DeWitt probability density and the Schrödinger one are very similar in their form, being the negative values of the former

relatively small.

The evolution of a localized quantum wave packet allows to show that there is a good coincidence between the semiclassical trajectory and the behaviors of the quantum mean values and therefore we can infer that the results obtained in the semiclassical sector in terms of the Ashtekar variables remain valid in the full quantum picture. In other words, the feature of a non-universal bounce depending on the initial conditions on the wave packets seems to be well-grounded on a quantum level too.

The paper is structured as follows. In Sec. II the theory of Polymer Quantum Mechanics is introduced. In Sec. III the main results obtained by describing the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) model in the polymer picture are presented, providing the analyses in the Ashtekar variables and in the volume ones into two subsections. In Sec. IV the Hamiltonian formulation of the Bianchi I model in the Ashtekar variables is described, in order to introduce the original part of the paper developed in Secs. V-VIII. In particular, in Secs. V and VI it is solved the semiclassical polymer dynamics of the Bianchi I model in the Ashtekar variables and in two sets of volume-like variables respectively, then in Sec. VII a inflation-like dynamics is analyzed thanks to the introduction of a positive cosmological constant when the Ashtekar variables are taken into account. Furthermore, in the same set a full quantum treatment is introduced in Sec. VIII, with a focus on the definition of a suitable probability density. Finally, in Sec. IX some concluding remarks are commented.

## II. POLYMER QUANTUM MECHANICS

As a first step, we introduce the polymer quantization of a system, which is a non-equivalent representation of the quantum mechanics with respect to the standard Schrödinger one (see [18]). This formulation is based on the assumption that one or more variables of the phase-space are discretized. Hence, in this approach it is not possible to define  $\hat{q}$  and  $\hat{p}$  as operators at the same time. However, the power of this alternative quantum approach is the possibility to describe cut-off physics effects through a simple formalism and this is particularly useful also in the cosmological setting, where the generalized coordinates are identified with the cosmic scale factors.

First of all, we introduce a set of abstract kets  $|\mu\rangle$  with  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and fix the inner product as

$$\langle\mu|\nu\rangle = \delta_{\mu\nu}, \quad (1)$$

being  $\delta_{\mu\nu}$  a Kronecker delta. This procedure defines the non-separable Hilbert space  $H_{poly}$  where we can define two fundamental operators: the *label* operator  $\hat{e}$ , whose action on the kets is given by  $\hat{e}|\mu\rangle = \mu|\mu\rangle$  and the *shift* operator  $\hat{s}(\lambda)$  ( $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ), where  $\hat{s}(\lambda) = |\mu + \lambda\rangle$ .

The action of  $\hat{s}(\lambda)$  is discontinuous since the kets are orthonormal  $\forall \lambda$ . Therefore, no Hermitian operator could generate it by exponentiation.

Now, we suppose that the configurational coordinate  $q$  has a discrete character, i.e. the position is defined on a lattice having a given spacing. The projection of the states in the  $p$ -polarization is

$$\psi_\mu(p) = \langle p | \mu \rangle = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} p \mu}. \quad (2)$$

By applying the shift operator on these states as

$$\hat{s}(\lambda) \psi_\mu(p) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \lambda p} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \mu p} = \psi_{(\mu+\lambda)}(p), \quad (3)$$

we can conclude that the operator  $\hat{p}$  cannot be defined in a rigorous fashion, while the action of  $\hat{q}$  reads as

$$\hat{q} \psi_\mu(p) = -i \hbar \partial_p e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \mu p} = \mu \psi_\mu(p), \quad (4)$$

representing exactly that one of the label operator  $\hat{e}$ .

On a dynamical level we have to face the problem of defining an approximated version of the  $\hat{p}$  operator, since the Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}$  is a function of both  $(q, p)$  as

$$\mathcal{H}(q, p) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(q), \quad (5)$$

where we have considered the case of a non-relativistic particle of mass  $m$  in a potential  $V(q)$ . In order to overcome this problem, we introduce a regular graph

$$\gamma_{\mu_0} = \{q \in \mathbb{R} | q = n\mu_0, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad (6)$$

i.e. a numerable set of equidistant points whose spacing is given by the scale  $\mu_0$ . In this way, we can restrict the action of the shift operator  $e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \lambda p}$  only to the lattice by imposing  $\lambda = n\mu_0$ . Then, we can use it to approximate any function of  $p$  since

$$p \approx \frac{\hbar}{\mu_0} \sin\left(\frac{\mu_0 p}{\hbar}\right) = \frac{\hbar}{2i\mu_0} \left( e^{i\frac{\mu_0 p}{\hbar}} - e^{-i\frac{\mu_0 p}{\hbar}} \right). \quad (7)$$

We notice that this approximation is good for  $p \ll \hbar/\mu_0$ . Under this hypothesis, one derives

$$\hat{p}_{\mu_0} |\mu_n\rangle = -\frac{i}{2\mu_0} (|\mu_{n+1}\rangle - |\mu_{n-1}\rangle). \quad (8)$$

Thus, it is possible to define a regularized operator  $\hat{p}_{\mu_0}$  that depends on the scale  $\mu_0$  and hence the modified version of the Hamiltonian writes as

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu_0} := \frac{\hat{p}_{\mu_0}^2}{2m} + V(\hat{q}). \quad (9)$$

In what follows we will apply this same picture to configurational variables describing the Bianchi I cosmology. Each independent variable will be associated to the representation traced above for the polymer framework.

### III. THE ISOTROPIC UNIVERSE

In this section, we will focus on the analysis of the homogeneous and isotropic Universe as described by the FLRW model. The ADM line element of the FLRW Universe is given by

$$ds^2 = -N(t)^2 dt^2 + a^2(t) \left( \frac{dr^2}{1 - Kr^2} + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \right), \quad (10)$$

where  $N(t)$  is the lapse function,  $a(t)$  the cosmic scale factor and  $K = 0, \pm 1$  the signature of the spatial curvature. The factor  $a(t)$  is the only degree of freedom available to define the dynamical properties of the Universe. Substituting the metric (10) in the Einstein-Hilbert action we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_{RW} &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt (p_a \dot{a} - N \mathcal{H}_{RW}) \\ &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \left[ p_a \dot{a} - N \left( -\frac{\kappa}{24\pi^2} \frac{p_a^2}{a} - \frac{6\pi^2 K}{\kappa} a + 2\pi^2 \rho a^3 \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  $\rho = \rho(a)$  is the matter energy density and  $\kappa = 8\pi G$  is the Einstein constant.

To achieve a complete canonical description of the dynamics for the isotropic Universe we consider the variations of the action above with respect to the lapse function  $N$  and the conjugate variables  $(a, p_a)$ . In particular, the variation of (11) with respect to  $N$  provides the Hamiltonian constraint for the FLRW model

$$\mathcal{H}_{RW} = \frac{p_a^2}{a^4} + \frac{144\pi^4}{\kappa^2 a^2} K - \frac{48\pi^4}{\kappa} \rho = 0, \quad (12)$$

which coincides with the Friedmann equation

$$H^2 = \left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 = \frac{\kappa \rho}{3} - \frac{K}{a^2}. \quad (13)$$

Finally, we notice that the dynamics of the isotropic Universe in the Hamiltonian formulation resembles that one of a one-dimensional pinpoint particle, with generalized coordinate  $a$  and momentum  $p_a$ .

#### A. Semiclassical polymer dynamics of the FLRW model

In order to elucidate the different features characterizing the polymer quantum dynamics of the isotropic Universe when adopting different sets of variables, we now analyze the semiclassical behavior of the Big Bounce using the standard Ashtekar connection or the Universe volume. This material will provide a clear trace for the subsequent analysis on the Bianchi I cosmology.

### 1. Analysis in the Ashtekar variables

At this point, we focus on the implementation of the polymer scheme to the FLRW space-time for the flat Universe ( $K = 0$ ) in the Ashtekar variables (see [13]). Using a semiclassical approach, in which the canonical variables are restated according to the polymer formulation and the Hamiltonian dynamics is retained, provides a significant insight about the behavior of the mean values characterizing Polymer Quantum Mechanics and, actually, also Loop Quantum Cosmology [22].

We choose the couple  $(c, p)$  as the conjugated variables of the phase-space, which can be expressed as functions of the scale factor

$$|p| = a^2, \quad c = \gamma \dot{a}. \quad (14)$$

The scalar constraint (12) in these variables reduces to

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{3}{\kappa\gamma^2}c^2\sqrt{|p|} + \frac{p_\phi^2}{2|p|^{\frac{3}{2}}} = 0, \quad (15)$$

when a massless scalar field is included in the dynamics. Now, in order to implement the polymer paradigm one applies the substitution

$$c \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu} \sin(\mu c), \quad (16)$$

where the term  $\mu$  represents the characteristic spacing of the polymer lattice, as seen in (7).

Now, it is possible to express the Hamiltonian for the FLRW model in the polymer approach

$$\mathcal{H}_{poly} = -\frac{3}{\kappa\gamma^2}\sqrt{p}\frac{\sin^2(\mu c)}{\mu^2} + \frac{p_\phi^2}{2|p|^{\frac{3}{2}}} = 0 \quad (17)$$

and to write the Hamilton equations of motion for the system:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{p} = \frac{Nk\gamma}{3} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{poly}}{\partial c} = -\frac{2N}{\gamma\mu} \sqrt{p} \sin(\mu c) \cos(\mu c) \\ \dot{c} = -\frac{Nk\gamma}{3} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{poly}}{\partial p} = \frac{Nk\gamma}{2\sqrt{p}} \left( \frac{\sin^2(\mu c)}{\kappa\gamma^2\mu^2} + \frac{p_\phi^2}{|p|^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

recalling that the commutation relations for the configurational variables are

$$\{c, p\} = \frac{\kappa\gamma}{3} \quad (19)$$

where  $\gamma$  is the Immirzi parameter. Here, we fix the time gauge imposing  $\dot{\phi} := N \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{poly}}{\partial p_\phi} = 1 \Rightarrow N = \frac{|p|^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2p_\phi}$  that corresponds to the choice of  $\phi$  as a relational time. We notice that with the dotted variables we denote their respective  $t$  derivative.

As we can see from Fig. 1, the polymer trajectory of the FLRW volume  $V = |p|^{\frac{3}{2}}$  follows the classical one (characterized by the presence of a singularity also in the




Figure 1: The polymer trajectory of the FLRW volume in function of time  $\phi$  (continuous line) shows that a Big Bounce regularizes the singular behaviour of the classical trajectories (dotted lines) at a Planckian scale.

Ashtekar variables) until the Universe reaches a quantum era. Here, the effects of quantum geometry due to the polymer lattice become dominant and the classical Big Bang is replaced by a quantum Big Bounce.

Using the equations (18) and the scalar constraint (17) one can write the analytic expression of the Friedmann equation as

$$H^2 = \left( \frac{\dot{p}}{2p} \right)^2 = \frac{\kappa}{3} \rho \left( 1 - \frac{\rho}{\rho_{crit}} \right), \quad (20)$$

where the critical energy density of the Universe (i.e. the maximum energy density which is taken at the Bounce) corresponds to

$$\rho_{crit} = \left( \frac{3}{\kappa\gamma^2\mu^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{p_\phi}. \quad (21)$$

In this representation,  $\rho_{crit}$  tends to 0 when  $p_\phi$  increases, while the Big Bounce approaches the Big Bang singularity when  $p_\phi \ll 1$ . This result resembles that one obtained in the LQC theory in its original formulation [5].

### 2. Analysis in the volume variable

Let us now change the variables we adopted above by introducing a new set of canonical variables in which the generalized coordinate corresponds to the Universe volume (see [13]), i.e.:

$$v = |p|^{\frac{3}{2}} = \dot{a}, \quad \eta = \frac{2c}{3\sqrt{p}} \sim \frac{\dot{a}}{|a|}. \quad (22)$$

Due to the canonicity of the transformation, the introduction of these variables conserves the algebra of the Poisson brackets. In terms of these change of variables the Hamiltonian constraint, as viewed in the semiclassical polymer approach, rewrites as

$$\mathcal{H}_{poly} = -\frac{27}{4\kappa\gamma^2\mu^2}v \sin^2(\mu\eta) + \frac{p_\phi^2}{2v} = 0. \quad (23)$$

In correspondence to this restated problem, the Hamilton equations take the form

$$\begin{cases} \dot{v} = \frac{Nk\gamma}{3} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{poly}}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{18N}{4\gamma\mu} v \sin(\mu\eta) \cos(\mu\eta) \\ \dot{\eta} = -\frac{Nk\gamma}{3} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{poly}}{\partial v} = \frac{Nk\gamma}{3} \left( \frac{27 \sin^2(\mu\eta)}{4\kappa\gamma^2\mu^2} + \frac{p_\phi^2}{2v^2} \right) \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

and then, after fixing again the time gauge  $\dot{\phi} = 1$ , we can easily solve the equations of motion to provide the behavior of the Universe volume. As depicted in Fig. (3) we see that the Universe has again a bouncing point in correspondence to the minimum of its volume. To




Figure 2: Polymer trajectory of the Universe volume for the isotropic and homogeneous model. The existence of a minimum is clearly visible also in the volume variables.

elucidate the nature of this Bounce, we can easily restate the associated Friedmann equation as

$$H^2 = \left( \frac{\dot{v}}{3v} \right)^2 = \frac{\kappa}{3} \rho \left( 1 - \frac{\rho}{\rho_{crit}} \right), \quad (25)$$

where the critical density is explicitly expressed by

$$\rho_{crit} = \frac{27}{4\kappa\gamma^2\mu^2}. \quad (26)$$

This result shows that in this representation the density at which the Bounce occurs does not depend on the value assumed by the constant of motion  $p_\phi$ . This result is in contrast with that one obtained in the previous subsection, establishing a clear correspondence between the  $\bar{\mu}$ -approach of the LQC theory and the polymer one when the volume variable is adopted.

#### IV. HAMILTONIAN FORMULATION OF THE BIANCHI I MODEL IN THE ASHTEKAR VARIABLES

The aim of this section is to introduce some general features of the classical and quantum dynamics of the Bianchi I cosmological model as expressed in terms of the Ashtekar variables, before introducing the original analysis performed in the paper in the next section (see [19]). The importance of studying this model resides in

the legitimacy of considering more general cosmological models near the singularity than the highly symmetric isotropic Universe. In particular, the Bianchi I model corresponds to the simplest homogeneous but anisotropic geometry that reduces to the flat FLRW one in the isotropic limit.

Its line element reads as

$$ds^2 = -N(t)^2 dt^2 + a_1^2 dx_1^2 + a_2^2 dx_2^2 + a_3^2 dx_3^2, \quad (27)$$

where  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  are the three independent scale factors, one for each direction.

The phase-space of the Bianchi I model in the Ashtekar variables is six-dimensional and it is expressed through the canonical couple  $(c_i, p_j)$  defined as

$$p_i = |\epsilon_{ijk} a_j a_k| \text{sign}(a_i), \quad c_i = \gamma \dot{a}_i \quad (28)$$

with  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $\{c_i, p_j\} = \kappa\gamma\delta_{ij}$ . Starting from the metric (27), we find the structure of the Bianchi I Hamiltonian constraint in the Ashtekar variables when a massless scalar field is considered, i.e.

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{\kappa\gamma^2 V} (c_1 p_1 c_2 p_2 + c_1 p_1 c_3 p_3 + c_2 p_2 c_3 p_3) + \frac{p_\phi^2}{2V} = 0, \quad (29)$$

with  $V = \sqrt{p_1 p_2 p_3}$ . This constraint reduces to that one of the isotropic model (15) if one imposes the isotropy condition.

The classical dynamics of the system is clearly completed by the following Hamiltonian equations:

$$\dot{p}_i = Nk\gamma \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial c_i} = -\frac{p_i}{\gamma p_\phi} (c_j p_j + c_k p_k) \quad (30a)$$

$$\dot{c}_i = -Nk\gamma \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i} = \frac{c_i}{\gamma p_\phi} (c_j p_j + c_k p_k) \quad (30b)$$

for  $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $i \neq j \neq k$ . After imposing the time gauge  $\dot{\phi} = 1 =: N \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_\phi} \Rightarrow N = \frac{V}{p_\phi}$  we can solve these equations and the scalar constraint by assigning proper initial conditions. In particular, the initial value problem must satisfy the Hamiltonian constraint and then the solution can be numerically provided.

However, one can notice that the following constants of motion exist:

$$c_i p_i = \mathcal{K}_i, \quad p_\phi = \mathcal{K}_\phi \quad (31)$$

where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Furthermore, their usage in (36) decouples the six equations as follows:

$$\frac{dp_i}{d\phi} = -\frac{p_i}{\gamma p_\phi} (\mathcal{K}_j + \mathcal{K}_k) \quad (32a)$$

$$\frac{dc_i}{d\phi} = \frac{c_i}{\gamma p_\phi} (\mathcal{K}_j + \mathcal{K}_k) \quad (32b)$$

for  $i \neq j \neq k$ , so that (32) is made analytically solvable.

Fig. 3 shows that the Universe volume follows the classical singular behavior also in the Ashtekar variables.




Figure 3: Trajectories of the Universe volume  $V = \sqrt{p_1 p_2 p_3}$  in function of time  $\phi$  in the Ashtekar variables for the Bianchi I model.

## V. SEMICLASSICAL POLYMER DYNAMICS OF THE BIANCHI I MODEL IN THE ASHTEKAR VARIABLES

We now start the original part of the present analysis by investigating the dynamics of the Bianchi I model in terms of the Ashtekar variables when the polymer paradigm is implemented. We recall that this analysis is expected to provide significantly insight on the behavior of the quantum expectation values in polymer as well in LQC dynamics. As seen in the FLRW case in Sec. III, we proceed by imposing the polymer substitution for the configurational variables in (29)

$$c_i \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu_i} \sin(\mu_i c_i). \quad (33)$$

Then, the polymer Hamiltonian takes the form

$$\mathcal{H}_{poly} = -\frac{1}{\kappa \gamma^2 V} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\sin(\mu_i c_i) p_i \sin(\mu_j c_j) p_j}{\mu_i \mu_j} + \frac{p_\phi^2}{2V} = 0, \quad (34)$$

where  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$  and  $V = \sqrt{p_1 p_2 p_3}$ .

Similarly,  $\phi$  represents our internal time, so  $N$  is fixed by the gauge

$$\dot{\phi} := N \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{poly}}{\partial p_\phi} = 1 \Rightarrow N = \frac{\sqrt{p_1 p_2 p_3}}{p_\phi}, \quad (35)$$

in a way that the equations of motion in the polymer representation are the following:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dp_i}{d\phi} = -\frac{p_i \cos(\mu_i c_i)}{\gamma p_\phi} \left[ \frac{p_j}{\mu_j} \sin(\mu_j c_j) + \frac{p_k}{\mu_k} \sin(\mu_k c_k) \right] \\ \frac{dc_i}{d\phi} = \frac{\sin(\mu_i c_i)}{\gamma \mu_i p_\phi} \left[ \frac{p_j}{\mu_j} \sin(\mu_j c_j) + \frac{p_k}{\mu_k} \sin(\mu_k c_k) \right] \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

for  $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $i \neq j \neq k$ . It is possible to solve this system by establishing the initial conditions on the variables  $(c_i, p_i)$  which also satisfy the Hamiltonian constraint (34). In this respect, the simplest choice is

$p_1(0) = p_2(0) = p_3(0) = p_0$ , so we fix:

$$\begin{aligned} c_i(0) &= \frac{\pi}{2\mu_i}, \\ p_0 &= \left[ \frac{\kappa \gamma^2 p_\phi^2 \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}{2(\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Moreover, it can be easily seen that the momentum conjugated to the scalar field is a first integral, since the variable  $\phi$  is cyclic in (34). Moreover, other constants of motion can be obtained by combining the Hamilton equations (36). So, in analogy with (31), we get

$$\frac{p_i \sin(\mu_i c_i)}{\mu_i} = \mathcal{K}_i, \quad p_\phi = \mathcal{K}_\phi \quad (38)$$

where the considered values of  $\mathcal{K}_\phi$  and  $\mathcal{K}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  depends on the initial conditions. As already mentioned, identifying these first integrals makes possible to transform the six-equations system showed in (36) in three closed systems with respect to the corresponding canonical variables:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dp_i}{d\phi} = -\frac{p_i \cos(\mu_i c_i)}{\gamma p_\phi} [\mathcal{K}_j + \mathcal{K}_k] \\ \frac{dc_i}{d\phi} = \frac{\sin(\mu_i c_i)}{\gamma \mu_i p_\phi} [\mathcal{K}_j + \mathcal{K}_k] \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

where  $i \neq j \neq k$ .

Thanks to this procedure, the equations of motion can be solved analytically. For simplicity, we have fixed  $\mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{K}_2 = \mathcal{K}_3$ , so that the explicit solutions are ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ):

$$p_i(\phi) = \mu \gamma p_\phi \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{6}} \cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{3}} \phi\right) \quad (40a)$$

$$c_i(\phi) = \frac{2}{\mu} \operatorname{arccot}\left(e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{3}} \phi}\right) \quad (40b)$$

where we have set  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu$ . In this representation, the Universe volume has the expression  $V = \sqrt{p_1 p_2 p_3}$ , so it verifies the following equation as function of time  $\phi$ :

$$V(\phi) = \sqrt{p_1(\phi) p_2(\phi) p_3(\phi)} = \left[ \mu \gamma p_\phi \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{6}} \cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{3}} \phi\right) \right]^{3/2}. \quad (41)$$

The resulting trajectory is showed in Fig. 4, highlighting the fact that a quantum Big Bounce replaces the classical Big Bang thanks to the polymer effects which are expected to become dominant near the Planckian region.

Now we focus our attention on the analysis of the critical energy density at the Bounce

$$\rho_{crit} = \frac{p_\phi^2}{2V_{min}^2}, \quad (42)$$

i.e. the physical quantity that gives us more information about the presence of the cosmological singularity and its features.




Figure 4: Polymer trajectory of the Universe volume  $V = \sqrt{p_1 p_2 p_3}$  through time  $\phi$ : the Big Bounce replaces the classical singularity of the Bianchi I model. In this graph we have set  $\kappa = \gamma = 1, \mu = 1/2, p_\phi = \sqrt{2}$ .




Figure 5: Dependency of the critical density  $\rho_{crit}$  on the momentum of the scalar field when a polymer representation is introduced ( $\mu = 1/2, \gamma = \kappa = 1$ ).

Using (41) it is possible to write the explicit dependence of the critical energy density from the momentum associated to the scalar field

$$\rho_{crit} = \frac{p_\phi^2}{2V(\phi)|_{\phi=0}} = \left(\frac{6}{\kappa}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{2(\mu\gamma)^3 p_\phi}, \quad (43)$$

showing clearly that this quantity does not represent a universal feature of the Bounce. In Fig. 5 it is possible to see that for  $p_\phi \ll 1$  the critical energy density increases until it diverges, while on the other hand it approaches zero when  $p_\phi \gg 1$ . So, in the Ashtekar formulation of the Bianchi I model the energy density at which the Bounce occurs depends on the initial condition imposed on the scalar field, consistently with the results obtained in the FLRW model in the same variables (see Sec. III A 1).

## VI. SEMICLASSICAL POLYMER DYNAMICS OF THE BIANCHI I MODEL IN THE VOLUME-LIKE VARIABLES

In this section, we study the dynamics of the Bianchi I Universe for a new choice of variables, in complete analogy with the analysis performed for the FLRW model in Sec. III A 2. More specifically, the anisotropic character of the Bianchi I model leads to the possibility of taking

into account two different sets of volume variables, that coincide in the case of the isotropic model. Then, we will compare the obtained results.

### A. Analysis in the volume variables: $(v, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$

The first set of volume variables is defined as follows:

$$\lambda_i = \text{sign}(p_i) \sqrt{|p_i|}, \quad v = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \quad (44)$$

with conjugate momenta  $\eta_i$ , with  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . The Poisson brackets are conserved and the Hamiltonian takes the form

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{4\kappa\gamma^2 V} (\lambda_1 \eta_1 \lambda_2 \eta_2 + \lambda_1 \eta_1 v \eta_3 + \lambda_2 \eta_1 v \eta_3) + \frac{p_\phi^2}{2V} = 0 \quad (45)$$

thanks to the canonical character of the coordinates' transformation. Rewriting this expression in the polymer formulation, we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_{poly} = -\frac{1}{4\kappa\gamma^2 V} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\lambda_i \sin(\mu_i \eta_i) \lambda_j \sin(\mu_j \eta_j)}{\mu_i \mu_j} + \frac{p_\phi^2}{2V} = 0, \quad (46)$$

where  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ . Analogously, we derive the Hamilton equations for the couple of variables  $(v, \eta_3)$ :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dv}{d\phi} = -\frac{v \cos(\mu_3 \eta_3)}{4\gamma p_\phi} \left[ \frac{\lambda_1}{\mu_1} \sin(\mu_1 \eta_1) + \frac{\lambda_2}{\mu_2} \sin(\mu_2 \eta_2) \right] \\ \frac{d\eta_3}{d\phi} = \frac{\sin(\mu_3 \eta_3)}{4\gamma \mu_3 p_\phi} \left[ \frac{\lambda_1}{\mu_1} \sin(\mu_1 \eta_1) + \frac{\lambda_2}{\mu_2} \sin(\mu_2 \eta_2) \right] \end{cases} \quad (47)$$

and also for the conjugated variables  $(\lambda_1, \eta_1), (\lambda_2, \eta_2)$ :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\lambda_i}{d\phi} = -\frac{\lambda_i \cos(\mu_i \eta_i)}{4\gamma p_\phi} \left[ \frac{v}{\mu_3} \sin(\mu_3 \eta_3) + \frac{\lambda_j}{\mu_j} \sin(\mu_j \eta_j) \right] \\ \frac{d\eta_i}{d\phi} = \frac{\sin(\mu_i \eta_i)}{4\gamma \mu_i p_\phi} \left[ \frac{v}{\mu_3} \sin(\mu_3 \eta_3) + \frac{\lambda_j}{\mu_j} \sin(\mu_j \eta_j) \right] \end{cases} \quad (48)$$

for  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ , where we have used  $N = \frac{V}{p_\phi}$  in order to derive the dynamics of the model in function of the relational time  $\phi$ .

Once fixed the initial conditions on the variables  $(\lambda_1, \eta_1), (\lambda_2, \eta_2), (v, \eta_3)$  according to (46), we can solve this system analytically since the 3D-motion is decoupled in three one-dimensional trajectories thanks to the use of analogous constants of motion:

$$\frac{\lambda_i \sin(\mu_i \eta_i)}{\mu_i} = \mathcal{K}_i, \quad p_\phi = \mathcal{K}_\phi \quad (49)$$

where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . In particular, choosing  $\mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{K}_2 = \mathcal{K}_3$  the solutions for the variables of interest  $(v, \eta_3)$  read as (here  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu$ ):

$$v(\phi) = \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{3}} \gamma \mu p_\phi \cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{6}} \phi\right), \quad (50a)$$

$$\eta_3(\phi) = \frac{2}{\mu} \text{arccot}\left(e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{6}} \phi}\right). \quad (50b)$$




Figure 6: The polymer trajectory of the Universe volume  $v(\phi)$  shows that the Big Bounce replaces the classical singularity. In this graph we have set  $\kappa = \gamma = 1, \mu = 1/2, p_\phi = \sqrt{2}$ .

The behavior of the Universe volume as function of time  $\phi$  is described by (50a) and is showed in Fig. 6.

As in the previous case, the Big Bounce replaces the singular Big Bang also in the volume variables. Here, the polymer substitution done in (46) is able to reproduce at a semiclassical level the discretization effects directly on the geometrical Universe volume, thanks to the lattice structure typical of the full quantum polymer approach. However, in order to better understand the different features of the Bounce in this set of volume variables, it is needed an analysis of the critical energy density. Using (50a) it is possible to write

$$\rho_{crit} = \frac{p_\phi^2}{2v(\phi)_{|\phi=0}^2} = \frac{3}{4\kappa\gamma^2\mu^2}, \quad (51)$$

showing that imposing a cut-off on the volume variables instead of on the momentum associated to the Ashtekar connection makes the critical energy density independent from the initial conditions on the scalar field and therefore produces a Big Bounce with universal properties. This result is in agreement with that one obtained for the set  $(v, \eta)$  in the FLRW model (see Sec. III A 2). However, for a more detailed discussion on the physical equivalence between the approaches in both sets of variables with a deepening on the link between the polymer representation and the LQC theory, see [13].

Rigorously, a proper analysis of the bouncing behavior would involve the study of the Friedmann equation. In fact, the existence of a non-trivial solution to the equation  $H^2 = 0$  identifies the critical energy density for which the scale factor velocity becomes null. More precisely, the polymer approach is able to overcome the singular behavior of the FLRW model by modifying the relation between the energy density and the Hubble parameter, as seen for the FLRW case in Sec. III A. Regarding the Bianchi I model, the standard Friedmann equation reads as (see [23])

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa}{3}(\rho + \rho_{aniso}), \quad (52)$$

where the additional term  $\rho_{aniso}$  accounts for the contribution of the anisotropic gravitational degrees of freedom to the total energy density. In what follows, we want to verify how the semiclassical polymer approach modifies equation (52) and, in addition, if the critical energy density derived from the modified Friedmann equation is consistent with the expression (51).

In this set of volume variables, the Hubble parameter can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} H^2 &= \left(\frac{1}{3v} \frac{dv}{dt}\right)^2 = \frac{(\mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{K}_2)^2}{144\gamma^2 v^2} \cos^2(\mu_3 \eta_3) = \\ &= \frac{(\mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{K}_2)^2}{144\gamma^2 v^2} [1 - \sin^2(\mu_3 \eta_3)] = \\ &= \frac{(\mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{K}_2)^2}{144\gamma^2 v^2} \left(1 - \frac{\mu_3^2}{v^2} \mathcal{K}_3^2\right) \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

where we restored the synchronous time-gauge  $N = 1$  in the equation for the volume written in (47). Now we fix the constants of motion according to the scalar constraint (46) as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{K}_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa\gamma^2 p_\phi^2 + \mathcal{K}^2}{3}} + \mathcal{K} \\ \mathcal{K}_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa\gamma^2 p_\phi^2 + \mathcal{K}^2}{3}} - \mathcal{K} \\ \mathcal{K}_3 = \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa\gamma^2 p_\phi^2 + \mathcal{K}^2}{3}} \end{cases} \quad (54)$$

where  $\mathcal{K}$  is an arbitrary constant. If we substitute the conditions expressed above in (53) we obtain

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa}{27} \frac{p_\phi^2 + \bar{\mathcal{K}}^2}{2v^2} \left[1 - \frac{4\kappa\gamma^2 \mu_3^2}{3} \left(\frac{p_\phi^2 + \bar{\mathcal{K}}^2}{2v^2}\right)\right], \quad (55)$$

where  $\bar{\mathcal{K}} = \mathcal{K}/2\kappa\gamma^2$ . This expression represents the polymer modified Friedmann equation for the Bianchi I model in the volume variables and also the generalized version of (25) for the case of an anisotropic model. In fact, the additional term  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}^2/2v^2$  reasonably mimics the anisotropic contribution  $\rho_{aniso}$  assumed in (52). Moreover, from (55) the critical energy density results to be  $\rho_{crit} = 3$  when the constant  $\mathcal{K}$  is fixed to zero, so it coincides with that one derived in (51) where the same initial conditions have been assumed. We notice that, even if the structure given to the constants of motion is the one that makes the comparison with (52) more evident, the physical content of the present analysis is independent from the value assigned to the constant  $\mathcal{K}$ .

## B. Analysis in the anisotropic volume variables: $(V_1, V_2, V_3)$

Now a new set of volume-like variables is considered, i.e. three equivalent generalized coordinates which coa-



lesce to the volume in the isotropic limit only (see [20]):

$$V_i = \text{sign}(p_i)|p_i|^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \eta_i = \frac{c_i}{\sqrt{|p_i|}} \quad (56)$$

where  $\eta_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  are the conjugate momenta and the new symplectic structure for the system is characterized by the following Poisson brackets

$$\{\eta_i, V_j\} = \frac{3}{2}\kappa\gamma\delta_{ij}. \quad (57)$$

In this case we are not promoting one of the configurational variables to represent the Universe volume. On the contrary, we are imposing that the three independent coordinates are isomorphic to the isotropic volume for each direction, so that  $V = (V_1 V_2 V_3)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ .

We express the Hamiltonian constraint for this framework and notice that it takes the same formal expression of the equations (34) and (46). In particular, in the polymer representation it reads as

$$\mathcal{H}_{poly} = -\frac{1}{4\kappa\gamma^2 V} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{V_i \sin(\mu_i \eta_i) V_j \sin(\mu_j \eta_j)}{\mu_i \mu_j} + \frac{p_\phi^2}{2V} = 0, \quad (58)$$

where  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ . If one fixes the gauge as before,  $N = \frac{V}{p_\phi}$  and the Hamilton equations will describe the dynamics of the model with  $\phi$  as time:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dV_i}{d\phi} = -\frac{V_i \cos(\mu_i \eta_i)}{4\gamma p_\phi} \left[ \frac{V_j}{\mu_j} \sin(\mu_j \eta_j) + \frac{V_k}{\mu_k} \sin(\mu_k \eta_k) \right] \\ \frac{d\eta_i}{d\phi} = \frac{\sin(\mu_i \eta_i)}{4\gamma \mu_i p_\phi} \left[ \frac{V_j}{\mu_j} \sin(\mu_j \eta_j) + \frac{V_k}{\mu_k} \sin(\mu_k \eta_k) \right] \end{cases} \quad (59)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $i \neq j \neq k$ . Therefore, in analogy with the previous treatment, we can identify the following constants of motion

$$\frac{V_i \sin(\mu_i \eta_i)}{\mu_i} = \mathcal{K}_i, \quad p_\phi = \mathcal{K}_\phi \quad (60)$$

where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Hence, after taking the same initial conditions of the previous analyses, the analytical solutions read as ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ):

$$V_i(\phi) = \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{3}} \gamma \mu p_\phi \cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{6}} \phi\right) \quad (61a)$$

$$\eta_i(\phi) = \frac{2}{\mu} \text{arccot}\left(e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{6}} \phi}\right) \quad (61b)$$

where  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu$ . For this particular choice, the equation for the Universe volume as function of time  $\phi$  can be derived as follows

$$V(\phi) = (V_1(\phi)V_2(\phi)V_3(\phi))^{1/3} = \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{3}} \gamma \mu p_\phi \cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{6}} \phi\right) \quad (62)$$

and results to be the same as that one presented in (50a). So, as confirmed by the previous analyses performed in the Ashtekar and in the volume variables, the

Big Bounce appears as a polymer regularization effect instead of the classical Big Bang. Analogously, through (62) it is possible to investigate the properties of the energy density at the Bounce:

$$\rho_{crit} = \frac{p_\phi^2}{2V(\phi)_{\phi=0}^2} = \frac{3}{4\kappa\gamma^2 \mu^2}. \quad (63)$$

This result is quite surprising since it proves that not only the application of the polymer semiclassical approach to the volume variable itself is able to reproduce a Bounce with universal properties, as claimed by the  $\bar{\mu}$ -approach in LQC theory when applied to the FLRW model.

To summarize, the results obtained through the semiclassical polymer analysis of the Bianchi I model show that the regularization of the initial singularity thanks to the emergence of a Big Bounce is a solid feature of the polymer formulation in all the three sets of variables that have been used in this work. They are also in agreement with the results obtained in the analyses performed for the FLRW model in both the Ashtekar variables and in the volume ones. However, the merit of the last analysis performed in the anisotropic volume variables is demonstrating that taking into account the Universe volume as a configurational variable is not necessary in order to reproduce a Big Bounce with universal features. In fact, this study performed for the Bianchi I model has pointed out that this feature can be reproduced also using configurational variables with the dimensions of a volume and not properly the Universe volume itself. This behavior has been highlighted using a cosmological setting with more gravitational degrees of freedom with respect to the FLRW model and hence more reliable near the initial singularity.

## VII. THE BIANCHI I MODEL WITH A COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT $\Lambda$

In this section we perform the analysis of the Bianchi I model in the Ashtekar variables when a positive cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  is considered. This additional term has been introduced to mimic an inflation-like evolution and it is not responsible for a regularization of the singular behavior in general relativity, so our aim is to investigate the dynamics of the model when the polymer paradigm is implemented. In this case the Hamiltonian constraint reads as ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ )

$$\mathcal{H}_\Lambda = -\frac{1}{2\kappa\gamma^2 V} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\sin(\mu_i c_i) p_i \sin(\mu_j c_j) p_j}{\mu_i \mu_j} + \frac{p_\phi^2}{2V} + \Lambda V = 0. \quad (64)$$

The equations of motion read as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dp_i}{d\phi} = -\frac{p_i \cos(\mu_i c_i)}{\gamma p_\phi} \left[ \frac{p_j}{\mu_j} \sin(\mu_j c_j) + \frac{p_k}{\mu_k} \sin(\mu_k c_k) \right] \\ \frac{dc_i}{d\phi} = \frac{\sin(\mu_i c_i)}{\gamma \mu_i p_\phi} \left[ \frac{p_j}{\mu_j} \sin(\mu_j c_j) + \frac{p_k}{\mu_k} \sin(\mu_k c_k) \right] - \frac{\Lambda \kappa \gamma}{2p_\phi} p_j p_k \end{cases} \quad (65)$$

with the free massless scalar field taken as a relational time. The system can be numerically solved. In particular, Fig. 7 shows the variation of the Universe volume  $V = \sqrt{p_1 p_2 p_3}$  in function of time  $\phi$  that is characterized by the presence of several minima.




Figure 7: Trajectory of the Universe volume in function of time  $\phi$  in the polymer representation. The dynamics resembles that one of a Bounce but with several minima and vertical asymptotes.

So, also in presence of a cosmological constant we can affirm that the initial singularity is already absorbed at a semiclassical level by the introduction of the polymer paradigm. However, by following the analysis performed in [12], it is useful to compare this behavior with that one in the synchronous reference. By fixing the lapse function as  $N = 1$ , the Hamilton equations of the system become

$$\dot{p}_i = -\frac{p_i \cos(\mu_i c_i)}{\gamma V} \left[ \frac{p_j}{\mu_j} \sin(\mu_j c_j) + \frac{p_k}{\mu_k} \sin(\mu_k c_k) \right] \quad (66a)$$

$$\dot{c}_i = \frac{\sin(\mu_i c_i)}{\gamma \mu_i V} \left[ \frac{p_j}{\mu_j} \sin(\mu_j c_j) + \frac{p_k}{\mu_k} \sin(\mu_k c_k) \right] - \frac{\Lambda \kappa \gamma}{2V} p_j p_k \quad (66b)$$

that again constitute a system of six coupled equations that has been numerically solved. In this new reference system the vertical asymptotes disappear together with the atypical behavior of the volume. This result is presented in Fig. 8, where the Bounce is clearly visible and unique. However, it is worth noting that on a pure quantum level the real relational time would be  $\phi$ . In this case, as discussed in [12, 21], the existence of an asymptote in the scale factor evolution should be taken as a physical phenomenon to be properly addressed in view of constructing a self-consistent cosmology.

## VIII. QUANTUM ANALYSIS

The purpose of this chapter is to study the Bianchi I model in the Ashtekar variables at a quantum level by applying the Dirac quantization, that consists in promoting the constraints to operators and the Poisson brackets to commutators. Finally, we will discuss the introduction of a well-defined probability density.




Figure 8: Trajectory of the Universe volume in the synchronous reference ( $N = 1$ ). Both the asymptotes and the different minima are removed.

In the polymer formulation, the fundamental operators acts in the momentum representation as follows ( $\hbar = 1$ ):

$$\hat{p}_i := -i\kappa\gamma \frac{d}{dc_i}, \quad \hat{c}_i := \frac{\sin(\mu_i c_i)}{\mu_i}, \quad \hat{p}_\phi := -i \frac{d}{d\phi}. \quad (67)$$

Therefore, the Hamiltonian operator takes the form ( $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ )

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{poly} = \kappa \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\sin(\mu_i c_i)}{\mu_i} \frac{d}{dc_i} \frac{\sin(\mu_j c_j)}{\mu_j} \frac{d}{dc_j} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{d\phi^2} \quad (68)$$

and the physical wave functions are selected by verifying the generic quantum states  $\Psi(c_1, c_2, c_3, \phi)$  that are annihilated in the so-called Wheeler-DeWitt equation

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{poly} \Psi(c_1, c_2, c_3, \phi) = 0. \quad (69)$$

A more convenient form of this differential equation can be obtained through the following procedure:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = \sqrt{\kappa} \frac{\sin(\mu_i c_i)}{\mu_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial c_i}, \quad (70)$$

where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . So, we can use the substitution

$$x_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \ln \left[ \tan \left( \frac{\mu_i c_i}{2} \right) \right] + x_0 \quad (71)$$

in order to write (69) as a Klein-Gordon-like massless equation

$$\left[ \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_3} + \partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_3} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\phi^2 \right] \psi(x_1, x_2, x_3, \phi) = 0. \quad (72)$$

After writing the general solution in the plane waves basis

$$\psi(x_1, x_2, x_3, \phi) = \chi(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_\phi) e^{ik_1 x_1} e^{ik_2 x_2} e^{ik_3 x_3} e^{-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} k_\phi \phi}, \quad (73)$$

we find that the eigenvalues  $(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_\phi)$  have to verify the following relation

$$k_1 k_2 + k_2 k_3 + k_1 k_3 - \frac{k_\phi^2}{2} = 0 \quad (74)$$




Figure 9: Three normalized sections of the charge density  $j_0(x_2 = x_3 = \phi = 0)$  obtained for three different values of the Gaussian variance. In particular, in the first graph  $\sigma_a = \sigma_{k_\phi} = \sigma_\theta = 1$ , in the second one  $\sigma_a = \sigma_{k_\phi} = \sigma_\theta = 1/2$  and in the third one  $\sigma_a = \sigma_{k_\phi} = \sigma_\theta = 1/5$ , while  $\mu_{k_1} = \mu_{k_2} = \mu_{k_3} = 0$  for all the graphs. The pictures show how the smaller the value of the variance is and the more the wave packet results less-localized in the coordinate  $x_1$  and the regions in which the charge density take negative values become more evident.

or equivalently the system

$$\begin{cases} k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = a \\ k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2 = a^2 \end{cases} \quad (75)$$

where  $a$  is a free parameter ( $a \in (-\infty, \infty)$ ).

In order to simplify the construction of the Bianchi I wave packet, the introduction of a new parameterization is suggested. In fact, the second equation of the system can be rewritten as the equation of a three-dimensional sphere with radius  $R(a, k_\phi)$  by calling  $R^2(a, k_\phi) = a^2 - k_\phi^2$ , so the intersection with the three-dimensional plane  $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = a$  leads to the equation of a two-dimensional rotated and translated ellipse

$$k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_1 k_2 - a k_1 - a k_2 = \frac{R^2 - a^2}{2}, \quad (76)$$

for any fixed value of  $a$  with the condition  $-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}a \leq k_\phi \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}a$ .

The Cartesian coordinates  $(k_1, k_2)$  can be expressed through the polar coordinates  $(\theta, k_\phi)$  as follows

$$k_1 = \frac{a}{3} \left[ 1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{k_\phi}{a} \right)^2} (\sin \theta - \sqrt{3} \cos \theta) \right] \quad (77)$$

$$k_2 = \frac{a}{3} \left[ 1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{k_\phi}{a} \right)^2} (\sin \theta + \sqrt{3} \cos \theta) \right] \quad (78)$$

where we are considering the dependence from  $a$  as parametric and  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$  as usual. Then, using the first equation of (75) we can also express  $k_3$  in the new variables  $(k_\phi, \theta)$  and write the wave packet in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(x_1, x_2, x_3, \phi) = & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} da \int_0^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}a} dk_\phi \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta A(a, k_\phi, \theta) |J| \times \\ & \times e^{i[k_1(a, k_\phi, \theta)x_1 + k_2(a, k_\phi, \theta)x_2 + k_3(a, k_\phi, \theta)x_3 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}k_\phi\phi]} \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

where  $|J|$  is the Jacobian of the coordinates transformation and  $A(a, k_\phi, \theta)$  has been chosen as the product between three Gaussian distributions in  $a$ ,  $k_\phi$  and  $\theta$ . In particular,  $A(a, k_\phi, \theta) = e^{-\frac{(a-\mu_a)^2}{2\sigma_a^2}} e^{-\frac{(k_\phi - \mu_{k_\phi})^2}{2\sigma_{k_\phi}^2}} e^{-\frac{(\theta - \mu_\theta)^2}{2\sigma_\theta^2}}$ . Also, we have restricted our analysis only to positive energy-like eigenvalues when evaluating the integral over  $k_\phi$ .

#### A. Definition of the probability density

In this subsection we introduce a probability density through which studying the behavior of the wave packet over time to seek consistency between the semiclassical and the quantum dynamics. A possible approach is exploiting the resemblance of our equation with a massless Klein-Gordon one

$$\tilde{\square} \Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, \phi) = 0. \quad (80)$$

In this expression  $\tilde{\square} \equiv \partial_\mu g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu = \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_3} + \partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_3} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\phi^2$  and

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (81)$$

Multiplying (80) and its complex conjugate form respectively for  $\Psi^*$  and  $\Psi$ , one can build the following system

$$\begin{cases} \Psi^* \tilde{\square} \Psi = 0 \\ \Psi \tilde{\square} \Psi^* = 0 \end{cases} \quad (82)$$

and subtracting side by side we have

$$\overbrace{\partial_1 (\Psi^* \partial_2 \Psi)}^{I_2} + \overbrace{\partial_2 (\Psi^* \partial_3 \Psi)}^{I_3} + \overbrace{\partial_3 (\Psi^* \partial_1 \Psi)}^{I_1} - \frac{1}{2} \overbrace{\partial_\phi (\Psi^* \partial_\phi \Psi)}^{I_\phi} = 0. \quad (83)$$

At this stage, we are searching for a continuity equation of the form

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu(\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}j_\nu), \quad (84)$$

where  $\sqrt{-g} = \sqrt{1/8}$ . Hence, after having written this equation in an explicit form and made a comparison with (83), we acquire that the charge density reads as

$$j_0(\vec{x}, \phi) = i[\Psi^*(\vec{x}, \phi)\partial_\phi\Psi(\vec{x}, \phi) - \Psi(\vec{x}, \phi)\partial_\phi\Psi^*(\vec{x}, \phi)]. \quad (85)$$

However, it is not possible to recur to  $j_0$  to define an appropriate probability density since it could assume negative values in some regions, even if the wave packet (79) has been constructed by overlapping plane waves with positive energy-like eigenvalues by means of gaussian coefficients. In fact, the positive character of  $j_0$  as defined in (85) is guaranteed only for monochromatic states with positive energy eigenvalues (see for more details [24]). In Fig. 9 three normalized sections of the charge density  $j_0$  ( $x_2 = x_3 = \phi = 0$ ) are presented. Three different values for the variance of the Gaussian distributions written in the amplitude  $A(a, k_\phi, \theta)$  have been chosen. In particular, in the first graph  $\sigma_a = \sigma_{k_\phi} = \sigma_\theta = 1$ , in the second one  $\sigma_a = \sigma_{k_\phi} = \sigma_\theta = 1/2$  and in the third one  $\sigma_a = \sigma_{k_\phi} = \sigma_\theta = 1/5$ . We can notice that decreasing the value of the variance produces a wave packet less-localized in the coordinate  $x_1$  and increases the regions in which the sections of the charge density take negative values.

In order to solve this issue, we rewrite the Wheeler-DeWitt equation in the form of a Schrödinger one in the attempt of defining a positive and conserved probability density. Therefore, we recall the semiclassical scalar constraint with the aim of performing an ADM reduction of the variational principle:

$$p_\phi^2 + \Theta = 0 \quad (86)$$

where

$$\Theta = \frac{2}{\kappa\gamma^2} \left[ \frac{\sin(\mu_1 c_1)p_1 \sin(\mu_2 c_2)p_2}{\mu_1 \mu_2} + \frac{\sin(\mu_1 c_1)p_1 \sin(\mu_3 c_3)p_3}{\mu_1 \mu_3} + \frac{\sin(\mu_2 c_2)p_2 \sin(\mu_3 c_3)p_3}{\mu_2 \mu_3} \right]. \quad (87)$$

After choosing the scalar field  $\phi$  as the temporal parameter, we derive the ADM-Hamiltonian by solving the scalar constraint (86) with respect to the momentum associated to the scalar field:

$$p_\phi \equiv \mathcal{H}_{ADM} = \sqrt{\Theta}. \quad (89)$$

where we choose the positive root in order to guarantee the positive character of the lapse function (see (35)). Exploiting this procedure, the Wheeler-DeWitt equation can be rewritten in the form of a Schrödinger one by promoting the ADM-Hamiltonian to a quantum operator:

$$-i\partial_\phi\Psi = \sqrt{\hat{\Theta}}\Psi, \quad (90)$$

where the operator  $\sqrt{\hat{\Theta}}$ , that we assume well-defined, can be written as follows

$$\sqrt{\hat{\Theta}} = \left[ \frac{2}{\kappa^2\gamma^2} \left( \partial_{x_1}\partial_{x_2} + \partial_{x_1}\partial_{x_3} + \partial_{x_2}\partial_{x_3} \right) \right]^{1/2} \quad (91)$$

thanks to the substitution (70). Now we are allowed to introduce the probability density

$$J_0(\vec{x}, \phi) = \Psi^*(\vec{x}, \phi)\Psi(\vec{x}, \phi), \quad (92)$$

where

$$\Psi(x_1, x_2, x_3, \phi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_3 A(k_1, k_2, k_3) \times e^{i(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2 + k_3 x_3 + \sqrt{2|k_1 k_2 + k_1 k_3 + k_2 k_3|}\phi)} \quad (93)$$

$$\text{and } A(k_1, k_2, k_3) = e^{-\frac{(k_1 - \mu_{k_1})^2}{2\sigma_{k_1}^2}} e^{-\frac{(k_2 - \mu_{k_2})^2}{2\sigma_{k_2}^2}} e^{-\frac{(k_3 - \mu_{k_3})^2}{2\sigma_{k_3}^2}}.$$

This quantity is positive everywhere by definition and its spatial integral remains constant through time. Hence, we have discarded the covariant formulation of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation in favor of a Schrödinger-like one in order to define a probability density through which analyze the quantum dynamics of the Bianchi I wave packet presented in (93).

## B. Analysis of the quantum wave packet

In the previous subsection we have shown the weakness of the Wheeler-DeWitt formalism when a probabilistic interpretation of the Universe wave function is taken into account. However, this issue forces the choice of a particular time gauge in view of using a Schrödinger-like approach. In this formalism, the probability density constructed with the solution of (90) is well-defined and can be used in order to verify the consistency between the information carried by the quantum wave packet and the semiclassical solutions provided in Sec. V when the Ashtekar variables are considered. In Fig. 10 some different sections of the probability density  $J_0$  at different times are shown in order to verify how its shape and its maximum changes over  $\phi$ . In addition to the time, the present sections have been obtained by fixing two of the three coordinates through the values that they assume in the semiclassical trajectories. More specifically, by combining equations (40b) and (71) we obtain that  $x_i \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\phi$ . As we can see from Fig. 10, the normalized quantum distributions of  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  respectively are showed in sequence and their spreading behavior over time is evident. Moreover, the negative probability regions that were present in Fig. 9 are absent here but the gaussian shape has been conserved. Also, in Fig. 11 the position of the peaks of  $J_0$  are represented by the red dots that have also been fitted by means of a linear interpolation. The resulting fitting function overlaps the semiclassical trajectory represented by the red




Figure 10: The normalized sections  $J_0(x_i, \phi)$  (with  $x_j \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\phi$  and  $x_k \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\phi$ ) are shown in sequence for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  respectively at different times (here  $\mu_{k_1} = \mu_{k_2} = \mu_{k_3} = 0, \sigma_{k_1} = \sigma_{k_2} = \sigma_{k_3} = 1/2$ ). Their spreading behavior over time is evident together with the gaussian shape that resembles that one presented in 9, with the difference that the negative probability regions are absent here.




Figure 11: The three pictures show the position of the peaks of  $J_0(x_i, \phi)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  respectively in function of time  $\phi$  (red dots). The resulting fitting function (red dashed straight line) overlaps the semiclassical trajectory (continuous line) with a relative error on the slope of 1.2%.

dashed straight line. In particular, the slope of the fitting straight line is consistent with the semiclassical one with a relative error of 1.2%. Moreover, we want to underline the fact that this particular choice of sections reflects the semiclassical decoupling of the equations of motion. In fact, also at a quantum level the probability distributions of the three coordinates  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  is the same over time  $\phi$  (see Fig. 10 and 11). Therefore, we can conclude that there is a good correspondence between the quantum behavior of the wave packet and the solutions of the semiclassical dynamics. Furthermore, this feature of our analysis in the Ashtekar variables suggests the presence of a bouncing dynamics with non-universal properties also at a quantum level.

## IX. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, we analyzed the semiclassical and quantum dynamics of the Bianchi I cosmology in the presence of a massless scalar field, as viewed in the polymer paradigm which is able to introduce a discrete nature to the behavior of the configurational variables. Our study was focused on the comparison between the dynamical features emerging when the natural Ashtekar connections or the volume-like variables are implemented. More specifically, we adopted two different sets of volume-like variables, one [19] (see also [11, 12]) corresponding to adopting the Universe volume (de facto the product of all the three scale factors) and another one [20] which

consists of a set of anisotropic volume-like variables. It is important to stress that in the case of an isotropic Universe it would reduce to a unique choice, in particular that one at the ground of the so-called improved Loop Quantum Cosmology Hamiltonian [6].

On the semiclassical level, i.e. when we deal with a modified dynamics due to a redefined conjugate momentum, we provided a detailed study of the resulting dynamics in all the three sets of variables. This study is justified by the so-called Ehrenfest theorem [25], since the modified classical solutions well-approximate the behavior of the quantum mean values when the full quantum polymer approach is implemented.

In the theoretical framework traced above we clarified how the emergence of a universal Bounce in the past Universe evolution (i.e. fixed by fundamental constants and parameters only) emerges only using the two sets of volume-like variables. In this respect, it is interesting that such an intrinsic cut-off is guaranteed also by the anisotropic

volume variables, which only in the isotropic limit provide a real polymer cut-off on the volume. This issue could have some impact in the attempt to clarify which property of the configurational space is associated to a bouncing cosmology when the Polymer Quantum Mechanics is applied (for a discussion of this question see [13, 26]).

However, when we adopted the Ashtekar connections (adapted to the Bianchi I model) we see that the Big Bounce is not a universal feature of the cosmological

model, since it depends on the initial conditions on the dynamics (in particular on the value of  $p_\phi$  at an initial instant of time). According to the paradigm of Loop Quantum Gravity this is the only legitimated choice, so we can conclude that if we regard as mandatory the use of the Ashtekar connections, the polymer quantum dynamics of a Bianchi I model is always associated to a Bounce, but the Universe critical energy density does not take a fixed cut-off value: the Bounce can take place at increasingly high energy density as the momentum  $p_\phi$  decreases towards to zero.

Another interesting feature we outlined in the semiclassical approach concerns the shape of the solution when a cosmological constant term is included in the dynamics, in order to mimic an inflationary phase of the Universe. Here we showed a remarkable difference in the dynamical picture provided in terms of the relational time  $\phi$  with respect to the use of the standard synchronous time (i.e. that one in which we commonly measure the Universe age).

In fact, as already emphasized in other analyses on the isotropic cosmology [12, 21], we see that the Universe volume diverges in correspondence to finite values of  $\phi$  (for a similar behaviour see also [12]), but it is regularized when the synchronous time variable is adopted. However, the functional form linking  $\phi$  to a synchronous time is a proper classical notion, so it is not available on a quantum level. Therefore, in the spirit of the Ehrenfest theorem, this result calls attention for a more clear interpretation in quantum cosmology when the cosmological term becomes important.

Finally, we perform the canonical quantization of the

model in the Ashtekar connections paradigm and study the behavior of a wave packet which is solution of the obtained Wheeler-DeWitt equation. Actually, we provided a probabilistic description by means of a conserved current, concluding that the constructed probability density acquires negative values so it is not appropriate to describe the polymer quantum evolution (for similar effects see [27]). Then, by performing an ADM reduction [28] of the variational problem we passed to a Schrödinger-like representation and we repeated the study of the wave packet now using a positive probability density corresponding to the wave function's squared modulus. In this respect, we stress that its morphology closely resembles the profile of the density calculated from the Klein-Gordon-like approach, apart from the regions where it tooks negative values.

Following the dynamics of the peaks of the probability density, we see that they have common features with the corresponding classical trajectories and this leads us to claim that also on a quantum level the use of Ashtekar variables provides a bouncing cosmology, but whose critical density is depending on the distribution of the quantum number  $k_\phi$ , de facto playing the role of an energy-like eigenvalue.

Thanks to the relevant role that the Bianchi I model plays in constructing the behavior towards the singularity of a Bianchi IX cosmological model and, hence, of a generic inhomogeneous Universe [17, 29], we claim that our results can suggest the presence of a Big Bounce with the same features traced here, also in more general cosmological models, especially when the Ashtekar connections are concerned [30].

- 
- [1] C. Rovelli and F. Vidotto, *Covariant Loop Quantum Gravity: an Elementary Introduction to Quantum Gravity and Spinfoam Theory* (Cambridge University Press, 2014).
  - [2] F. Cianfrani, O. M. Lecian, M. Lulli, and G. Montani, *Canonical Quantum Gravity* (World Scientific, 2014).
  - [3] A. Ashtekar, M. Bojowald, J. Lewandowski, *et al.*, *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* **7** (2003).
  - [4] A. Ashtekar, *New Journal of Physics* **7** (2005).
  - [5] A. Ashtekar, T. Pawłowski, and P. Singh, *Physical Review D* **73**, 10.1103/physrevd.73.124038 (2006).
  - [6] A. Ashtekar, T. Pawłowski, and P. Singh, *Physical Review D* **74**, 10.1103/physrevd.74.084003 (2006).
  - [7] A. Ashtekar, A. Corichi, and P. Singh, *Physical Review D* **77**, 10.1103/physrevd.77.024046 (2008).
  - [8] A. Ashtekar and P. Singh, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **28** (2011).
  - [9] M. Bojowald, *Living Reviews in Relativity* **11** (2008).
  - [10] M. Bojowald, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **26**, 075020 (2009).
  - [11] S. Antonini and G. Montani, *Physics Letters B* **790**, 475–483 (2019).
  - [12] G. Montani, C. Mantero, F. Bombacigno, F. Cianfrani, and G. Barca, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019).
  - [13] F. Mandini, G. Barca, E. Giovannetti, and G. Montani, *Polymer quantum dynamics of the isotropic universe by the ashtekar-barbero-immirzi variables: a comparison with lqc* (2021), arXiv:2006.10614 [gr-qc].
  - [14] F. Cianfrani and G. Montani, *Modern Physics Letters A* **27**, 1250032 (2012).
  - [15] F. Cianfrani and G. Montani, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 024027 (2012).
  - [16] E. Alesci and F. Cianfrani, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **104**, 10001 (2013).
  - [17] G. Montani, *Primordial Cosmology* (World Scientific, 2011).
  - [18] J. A. Z. Alejandro Corichi, Tatjana Vukasinac, *Phys.Rev.* (2007).
  - [19] A. Ashtekar and E. Wilson-Ewing, *Physical Review D* **79** (2009).
  - [20] L. Szulc, *Physical Review D* **78** (2008).
  - [21] T. Pawłowski and A. Ashtekar, *Physical Review D* **85**, 10.1103/physrevd.85.064001 (2012).
  - [22] A. Ashtekar, *International Journal of Modern Physics D* **05**, 629–648 (1996).
  - [23] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (Princeton University Press, 1973).
  - [24] B. Rosenstein and L. Horwitz, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **18** (1985).

- [25] D. Sen, S. K. Das, A. N. Basu, and S. Sengupta, *Current Science* **80**, 536 (2001).
- [26] E. Giovannetti and G. Montani, *Physical Review D* **100**, 10.1103/physrevd.100.104058 (2019).
- [27] J. Ziprick, J. Gegenberg, and G. Kunstatter, *Physical Review D* **94**, 10.1103/physrevd.94.104076 (2016).
- [28] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser, and C. W. Misner, *Phys. Rev.* **117**, 6 (1959).
- [29] V. Belinskii, I. Khalatnikov, and E. Lifshitz, *Advances in Physics* **31**, 639 (1982).
- [30] A. Ashtekar, A. Henderson, and D. Sloan, *Physical Review D* **83**, 10.1103/physrevd.83.084024 (2011).
- [31] A. Ashtekar and J. Lewandowski, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **18**, L117–L127 (2001).