

# Einstein-Chern-Simons equations on the 3-brane world

F. Izaurieta<sup>1</sup>, P. Salgado<sup>2</sup> and R. Salgado<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Física, Universidad de Concepción  
Casilla 160-C, Concepción, Chile

<sup>2</sup>Instituto de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales (ICEN)  
Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Arturo Prat  
Avda. Arturo Prat 2120, Iquique, Chile

May 4, 2021

## Abstract

This article constructs the Shiromizu-Maeda-Sasaki 3-brane in the context of five-dimensional Einstein-Chern-Simons gravity. We started by considering Israel's junction condition for Lovelock's theory to read the junction conditions for AdS-Chern-Simons gravity. Using the  $S$ -expansion procedure, we mapped the AdS-Chern-Simons junction conditions to Einstein-Chern-Simons gravity, allowing us to derive effective four-dimensional Einstein-Chern-Simons field equations.

## 1 Introduction

In the context of the general theory of relativity, it is necessary to supplement the Einstein-Hilbert action with the Gibbons-Hawking term [1, 2] to get the correct boundary conditions for spacetime. The variation of the Ricci scalar creates a surface integral involving derivatives of  $\delta g^{\mu\nu}$  normal to the boundary, and the Gibbons-Hawking term cancels them.

It is possible to generalize these ideas to a  $(D - 2)$ -brane in a  $D$ -dimensional spacetime. The brane divides the spacetime into two parts (see appendix 2). Therefore, the brane corresponds to a piece of the common boundary to both halves of spacetime. The variation of the Gibbons-Hawking term gives rise to the junction conditions of Israel [3], and they have been extensively studied in the context of the so-called brane-world dynamics [4, 5, 6, 7]. Normally, the brane confines standard model fields while gravity propagates in the bulk, the brane has zero thickness, and Israel's junction condition relates bulk and brane dynamics. The generalization to the Lovelock gravity case was suggested by R. C. Myers [8] and generalized by S. Willinson and E. Gravanis [9, 10].

An appropriate choice of coefficients in the Lovelock action leads directly to an action for a  $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional AdS-Chern-Simons (ChS) gravity (see refs. [11, 12, 13]), which for  $D = 5$  takes the form

$$S_{\text{AdS}}^{(5)} = \frac{1}{8\kappa} \int \frac{1}{5!l^2} \varepsilon_{abcde} e^a e^b e^c e^d e^e + \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon_{abcde} R^{ab} e^c e^d e^e + l^2 \varepsilon_{abcde} R^{ab} R^{cd} e^e. \quad (1)$$

Here  $e^a$  corresponds to the 1-form *vielbein*, and  $R^{ab} = d\omega^{ab} + \omega^a{}_c \omega^{cb}$  to the 2-form Lorentz curvature in the first order formalism.  $S_{\text{AdS}}^{(5)}$  is off-shell invariant under the  $\mathfrak{so}(4, 2)$ -Lie algebra.

In the action (1), neither the  $l \rightarrow \infty$  nor the  $l \rightarrow 0$  limit yields the Einstein-Hilbert term  $\varepsilon_{a_1 \dots a_5} R^{a_1 a_2} e^{a_3} \dots e^{a_5}$  alone. Such limits will lead either to the Gauss-Bonnet term (Poincaré-ChS gravity) or to the cosmological constant term, respectively.

In order to get five-dimensional General Relativity as a limit of ChS gravity, it is necessary to consider the so-called  $\mathfrak{B}_5$  Lie algebra, whose generators satisfy the commutation relation shown in equation (7) of ref. [14]. This Lie algebra corresponds to the  $S$ -expansion [15, 16, 17] of the anti-de Sitter (AdS) algebra through a particular semigroup  $S$ .

Using theorem VII.2 of Ref. [15] and the extended Cartan's homotopy formula [18], was constructed the Chern-Simons Action in five dimensions for the  $\mathfrak{B}_5$  algebra (also known as Einstein-Chern-Simons gravity) [14]. It corresponds to

$$S_{\text{EChS}}^{(5)} = \frac{1}{8\kappa} \int l^2 \varepsilon_{abcde} e^a R^{bc} R^{de} + \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon_{abcde} R^{ab} e^c e^d e^e + l^2 \varepsilon_{abcde} (2k^{ab} R^{cd} T^e + R^{ab} R^{cd} h^e), \quad (2)$$

where  $l$  is a coupling constant,  $R^{ab} = d\omega^{ab} + \omega^a{}_c \omega^{cb}$  corresponds to the Lorentz curvature 2-form, and  $e^a$ ,  $h^a$  and  $k^{ab}$  are others gauge fields presents in the theory [14].

In the context of this ChS theory, it is possible to recover five-dimensional General Relativity in the weak coupling constant limit  $l \rightarrow 0$ . To get a four-dimensional theory, we must carry out a dimensional reduction.

For this reason, this work has the objective of deriving the effective Einstein-Chern-Simons equations for (2) on the 3-brane following the procedure used by Shiromizu, Maeda, and Sasaki in Ref. [20]. We start with the general setting of a  $d = 5$  bulk-spacetime, and afterward, we assume the  $Z_2$  symmetry and confinement of the stress-energy tensor on the brane, following the braneworld scenario.

This work has the following structure. In section 2, we consider Israel's junction condition for Lovelock's theory of gravity, and from there, we get their counterparts for AdS-Chern-Simons theory of gravity and the so-called Einstein-Chern-Simons gravity of Refs. [14, 19]. In section 3, we consider the Shiromizu-Maeda-Sasaki procedure [20] in the first order Cartan formalism to derive the

effective 4-dimensional Einstein-Chern-Simons field equations. Section 4 deals with conclusions and further discussions. Appendices present a brief review of the Gauss-Codazzi equations and Israel's junction condition juncture [3] in the language of differential forms.

## 2 Boundary term for AdS-Chern-Simons gravity

In this section, we briefly review Israel's junction conditions in Lovelock gravity [21], and then we apply this to the context of AdS-Chern-Simons gravity and Einstein-Chern-Simons gravity.

The Refs. [1, 2, 8] generalize this treatment to the case of an edged manifold. It is crucial since the total derivative term in the Euler-Lagrange variation leads to a boundary term over  $\partial M$ .

The variation of an action of the form  $S = S(g_{ab}, \partial_c g_{ab}, \partial_c \partial_d g_{ab})$  leads to [9]

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S = & \int dx^D \left\{ \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\delta g^{ab}} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_c g^{ab})} + \partial_d \partial_c \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_d \partial_c g^{ab})} \right) \right] \delta g^{ab} \right. \\ & \left. + \partial_c \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_c g^{ab})} \delta g^{ab} - \partial_d \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_d \partial_c g^{ab})} \right) \delta g^{ab} + \partial_d \delta g^{ab} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_c \partial_d g^{ab})} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The last term corresponds to a boundary term. If there were only terms proportional to  $\delta g^{\mu\nu}$  and proportional to the derivative of  $\delta g^{\mu\nu}$  at the boundary, there would be no problem. The problem arises when there are normal derivatives of  $\delta g^{\mu\nu}$  at the boundary coming from the last term of (3). In other words, the problematic terms are the ones proportional to  $\partial_d \delta g^{ab}$  at the boundary because they cannot be overridden by fixing the induced metric on the hypersurface. For this reason, it is necessary to add a term to the Lagrangian that cancels the contribution of the term proportional to  $\partial_d \delta g^{ab}$  at the edge, i.e., it cancels the normal derivatives of the metric variation. In Lovelock gravity, R. C. Myers proposed an appropriate boundary term in Ref. [8], and Willinson and Gravanis generalized it in Refs. [9, 10]. The term they proposed corresponds to

$$S_\Sigma = \sum_n n \beta_n \int_\Sigma \int_0^1 dt \varepsilon_{A_1 \dots A_{2n} A_{2n+1} \dots A_d} \Theta^{A_1 A_2} R_{(t)}^{A_3 A_4} \dots R_{(t)}^{A_{2n-1} A_{2n}} \bar{e}^{A_{2n+1}} \dots \bar{e}^{A_d}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\beta_n$  are arbitrary constants,  $R_{(t)}^{ab} = d\omega_{(t)}^{ab} + \omega_{(t)}^a \omega_{(t)}^{lb}$  is the 2-form curvature,  $\omega_{(t)}^{ab} = \omega_\Sigma^{ab} + t\Theta^{ab}$  is the 1-form that interpolates between the bulk spin connection and the one of the brane; here  $\Theta^{ab} = \bar{\omega}^{ab} - \omega_\Sigma^{ab}$ .

Since the hypersurface  $\Sigma$  divides the space  $M$  into two parts,  $M^+$  and  $M^-$ ,

the action takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{total} &= S_{EChS} + S_{\Sigma} + S_{matt} = \int_{M^+} L_+ + \int_{M^-} L_- \\
&+ \int_{\Sigma} (L_{+\Sigma} - L_{-\Sigma}) - \kappa \int_M L_m^M - \kappa \int_{\Sigma} L_m^{\Sigma}, \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $L_{\pm}$  corresponds to the Lagrangian form of the bulk in each part of the space,  $L_{\pm\Sigma}$  is the Lagrangian for the boundary, and  $L_m^M, L_m^{\Sigma}$  correspond to the matter contribution to the action.

In the particular case of  $d = 5$ , eq. (4) takes the form

$$S_{\Sigma} = \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^1 dt \varepsilon_{ABCDE} \bar{\Theta}^{AB} \{2\beta_1 \bar{R}_t^{CD} e^E + \beta_2 e^C e^D\} e^E, \quad (6)$$

where  $\bar{R}_{(t)}^{AB} = d\bar{\omega}_{(t)}^{AB} + \bar{\omega}_{(t)}^A \bar{\omega}_{(t)L}^{LB}$ , and  $\bar{\omega}_{(t)}^{AB} = \omega_{\Sigma}^{AB} + t\bar{\Theta}^{ab}$ , with  $\bar{\Theta}^{AB} = \bar{\omega}^{AB} - \omega^{AB}$ . Explicitly, it corresponds to

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\Sigma} &= \int_{\Sigma} \varepsilon_{ABCDE} \bar{\Theta}^{AB} \{2\beta_1 R^{CD} e^E + \beta_1 D\bar{\Theta}^{CD} e^E + \\
&+ \frac{2}{3}\beta_1 \bar{\Theta}^C{}_L \bar{\Theta}^{LD} e^E + \beta_2 e^C e^D e^E\}. \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

## 2.1 Boundary term for Einstein-Chern-Simons gravity

The AdS-Chern-Simons Lagrangian in  $d=5$  corresponds to the choice  $\beta_1 = \frac{3}{2}l^2$  and  $\beta_2 = 1$  in the five-dimensional Lovelock theory. In this case, the boundary term reads

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\Sigma}^{AdSCHS} &= \int_{\Sigma} \varepsilon_{ABCDE} \bar{\Theta}^{AB} \left\{ 3l^2 R^{CD} e^E + \frac{3}{2}l^2 D\bar{\Theta}^{CD} e^E \right. \\
&\left. + l^2 \bar{\Theta}^C{}_L \bar{\Theta}^{LD} e^E + e^C e^D e^E \right\}. \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

The Einstein-Chern-Simons action arises from applying the  $S$ -expansion procedure [15, 16] on the AdS-Chern-Simons action. To find the Einstein-Chern-Simons boundary term, we use the same method on the boundary term eq. (8) (see Appendix 3), and considered  $\bar{h}^A$  as a matter field (i.e.,  $\bar{h}^4 = 0$  and  $\bar{h}^a = h^a$ ). The resulting boundary term reads

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\Sigma}^{EChS} &= \int_{\Sigma} \varepsilon_{ABCDE} \bar{\Theta}^{AB} \left\{ 3l^2 \lambda_1 \left( R^{CD} + D\bar{\Theta}^{CD} + \frac{1}{3}\bar{\Theta}^C{}_L \bar{\Theta}^{LD} \right) \bar{e}^E + \right. \\
&\left. + \lambda_3 \left( 3l^2 \left( R^{CD} + D\bar{\Theta}^{CD} + \frac{1}{3}\bar{\Theta}^C{}_L \bar{\Theta}^{LD} \right) \bar{h}^E + 4\bar{e}^C \bar{e}^D \bar{e}^E \right) \right\}, \quad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_3$  are independent parameters.

Evaluating  $S_{\Sigma}^{EChS}$  in the brane, we have

$$S_{\Sigma}^{EChS} = \int_{\Sigma} \varepsilon_{abcd} \bar{\omega}^{4a} \left\{ 3l^2 \lambda_1 \left( R^{bc} + \frac{10}{3} \bar{\omega}^b \bar{\omega}^{4c} \right) e^d + \lambda_3 \left( 3l^2 \left( R^{bc} + \frac{4}{3} \bar{\omega}^b \bar{\omega}^{4c} \right) h^d + 4e^b e^c e^d \right) \right\}. \quad (10)$$

Since  $\bar{\omega}^{4a} = -K^a{}_{\ell} e^{\ell}$ , we have that (10) corresponds to

$$S_{\Sigma}^{EChS} = - \int_{\Sigma} \varepsilon_{abcd} K^a{}_{\ell} e^{\ell} \left\{ 3l^2 \lambda_1 \left( R^{bc} - \frac{10}{3} K^b{}_m K^c{}_n e^m e^n \right) e^d + \lambda_3 \left( 3l^2 \left( R^{bc} - \frac{4}{3} K^b{}_m K^c{}_n e^m e^n \right) h^d + 4e^b e^c e^d \right) \right\}. \quad (11)$$

This result (11) is consistent with the well-known Einstein-Hilbert limit  $l \rightarrow 0$ . In this limit we have

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow 0} S_{\Sigma}^{EChS} = -4\lambda_3 \int_{\Sigma} \varepsilon_{abcd} K^a{}_{\ell} e^{\ell} e^b e^c e^d, \quad (12)$$

or in the tensorial language,

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow 0} S_{\Sigma}^{EChS} = -24 \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{-g} K d^4 x. \quad (13)$$

This way, we recover the well-known Gibbons-Hawking term or York term. The variation of this term leads directly to the Darmois-Israel junction conditions.

## 2.2 Israel junction conditions for Einstein-Chern-Simons gravity

The brane divides the space  $M$  into two pieces,  $M^+$  and  $M^-$ , with metrics  $g_{\mu\nu}^+$  and  $g_{\mu\nu}^-$ , respectively. Each part induces a metric  $q_{ab}$  on one side of the brane. The junction condition is given by the variation of the

$$S_{\Sigma} = \int (L_{+\Sigma} - L_{-\Sigma} - \kappa L_{m\Sigma}). \quad (14)$$

The field equations for  $e^a$ ,  $h^a$ , and  $\omega^{ab}$  respectively correspond to

$$\kappa T_d = 2\varepsilon_{abcd} \left\{ \beta_1 \lambda_1 \left( [\bar{\omega}^{4a}] R^{bc} + \frac{1}{3} [\bar{\omega}^{4a} \bar{\omega}^{b4} \bar{\omega}^{4c}] \right) + 3\lambda_3 \left( \frac{1}{l^2} \beta_1 + 2\beta_2 \right) [\bar{\omega}^{4a}] e^b e^c \right\}, \quad (15)$$

$$\kappa T_d^{(h)} = 2\lambda_3 \beta_1 \varepsilon_{abcd} \left( [\bar{\omega}^{4a}] R^{bc} + \frac{1}{3} [\bar{\omega}^{4a} \bar{\omega}^b \bar{\omega}^{4c}] \right), \quad (16)$$

$$0 = \varepsilon_{abcd} (\lambda_1 [\bar{R}^{4a}] e^b + \lambda_3 [\bar{R}^{4a}] h^b - \lambda_3 [\bar{\omega}^{4a}] D h^b), \quad (17)$$

where we use the shortcut  $[X] = X^+ - X^-$ , and

$$T_d = -\frac{1}{3!}\varepsilon_{abcs}T^s{}_d e^a e^b e^c, \quad T_d^{(h)} = -\frac{1}{3!}\varepsilon_{abcs}T^{(h)s}{}_d e^a e^b e^c, \quad (18)$$

correspond to the stress-energy 3-forms associated to  $e^a$  and  $h^a$ , respectively.

Replacing (16) in (15), it lead us to

$$\varepsilon_{abcd}[\bar{\omega}^{4a}]e^b e^c = \frac{\kappa}{21\lambda_3}\tilde{T}_d, \quad (19)$$

where  $\tilde{T}_d = T_d + \alpha T_d^{(h)}$ , with  $\alpha = -\lambda_1/\lambda_3$ .

To solve (19), it suffices to consider  $\bar{\omega}^{4a} = -K^a{}_l e^l$ , and therefore, from (18), we have

$$\varepsilon_{abcd}[K^a{}_l]e^l e^b e^c = \frac{\kappa}{126\lambda_3}\varepsilon_{abcs}\tilde{T}^s{}_d e^a e^b e^c, \quad (20)$$

or equivalently,

$$[K]\delta_d^m - [K^m{}_d] = \frac{\kappa}{42\lambda_3}\tilde{T}^l{}_d.$$

Tracing, we have  $[K] = \kappa\tilde{T}/126\lambda_3$ , and consequently

$$[K^k{}_d] = -\frac{\kappa}{42\lambda_3}\left(\tilde{T}^k{}_d - \frac{1}{3}\tilde{T}\delta_d^k\right).$$

Here, in the limit  $l \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$[K^k{}_d] = -\frac{\kappa}{42\lambda_3}\left(T^k{}_d - \frac{1}{3}T\delta_d^k\right). \quad (21)$$

With this, we recover standard Israel's junction condition in the case  $\kappa = 42k_5\lambda_3$ . Therefore, the Lanczos equation for Einstein-Chern-Simons gravity corresponds to

$$[K^k{}_d] = -k_5\left(\tilde{T}^k{}_d - \frac{1}{3}\tilde{T}\delta_d^k\right).$$

### 3 3-brane in Einstein-Chern-Simons gravity

It is possible to study the 3-brane world in Einstein-Chern-Simons gravity using the results of the previous section and the procedure of Ref. [20]. We must consider the induced metric  $q_{ab}$  on the brane  $\Sigma$  and a normal vector  $n^\mu$  embedded in the five-dimensional bulk  $M$  with metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ .

The action (5) gives rise to the field equations [14]

$$\varepsilon_{ABCDE}\bar{R}^{AB}\bar{e}^C\bar{e}^D = 4k_5\left(\bar{T}_E + \alpha\bar{T}_E^{(h)}\right), \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{l^2}{8k_5}\varepsilon_{ABCDE}\bar{R}^{AB}\bar{R}^{CD} = \bar{T}_E^{(h)}, \quad (23)$$

$$\varepsilon_{ABCDE}\bar{R}^{CD}\bar{\mathcal{D}}\bar{h}^E = 0, \quad (24)$$

where the capital letters denote the bulk indices  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , while the lower-case letters denote brane indices  $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ . The matter Lagrangian gives rise to two stress-energy tensors,  $\bar{T}_E = \delta L_M / \delta e^E$  and  $\bar{T}_E^{(h)} = \delta L_M / \delta h^E$ .

To study the brane, we must consider the interior derivative concerning the normal vector to the brane  $i_A$ . Since  $i_a e^b = \delta_b^a$  and  $i_4 \bar{R}^{ab} e^4 = 0$ , eq. (22) takes the form

$$\varepsilon_{acde} (i_4 \bar{R}^{a4} e^c - \bar{R}^{ac} i_4 e^4) e^d = 2k_5 i_4 \left( \bar{T}_e + \alpha \bar{T}_e^{(h)} \right). \quad (25)$$

Here  $i_4 \bar{R}^{a4} = -\tilde{E}^a_m e^m$  and  $i_4 \bar{R}^{ac} = -\tilde{B}^{ac}_m e^m$ , where  $\tilde{E}^a_m$  and  $\tilde{B}^{ac}_m$  are the so-called electric and magnetic parts of the Riemann tensor.

For the second member of (25),

$$i_4 \bar{T}_e = -\frac{1}{3!} \varepsilon_{abcm} \bar{T}^m_e e^a e^b e^c, \quad (26)$$

and similarly for  $\bar{T}_e^{(h)}$ . Therefore, eq. (25) takes the form

$$2k_5 i_4 \tilde{T}_d = \varepsilon_{abcd} \left( \tilde{E}^a_m e^m e^b + R^{ab} - K^a_l K_m^b e^l e^m \right) e^c, \quad (27)$$

where we have used the Gaussian equation.

Now, let us consider eq. (23). We have that

$$8k_5 i_4 \bar{T}_e^{(h)} = 4l^2 \varepsilon_{bcde} \left( \bar{R}^{4b}_{4m} e^m \bar{R}^{cd} + \bar{R}^{4b} \bar{R}^{cd}_{4m} e^m \right), \quad (28)$$

and using the Gauss-Codazzi equations,

$$-2k_5 i_4 \bar{T}_d^{(h)} = \varepsilon_{abcd} \left\{ \tilde{E}^a_m e^m (R^{bc} - K^b_l K_m^c e^l e^m) + \bar{R}^{4a} \tilde{B}^{bc}_m e^m \right\}. \quad (29)$$

In the same way, from (24) we see that

$$0 = l^2 \varepsilon_{abcd} \left\{ \tilde{E}^c_l e^l \mathcal{D}h^d + \bar{R}^{4c} \mathcal{D}_4 h^d \right\}. \quad (30)$$

On the other hand, from the decomposition of the curvature tensor

$$\bar{R}^{AB}_{CD} = \bar{C}^{AB}_{CD} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \delta_{[C}^A \bar{R}^B_{D]} - \delta_{[C}^B \bar{R}^A_{D]} \right) - \frac{1}{6} \delta_{[C}^A \delta_{D]}^B \bar{R}, \quad (31)$$

we can find  $\tilde{E}^a_m$  and  $\tilde{B}^{ab}_m$  as a function of the electric part  $E^a_m$  and the magnetic part  $B^{ab}_m$  of the Weyl tensor. We have that  $\tilde{E}^a_m = \bar{R}^{4a}_{4m}$ ,  $\tilde{B}^{ab}_m = \bar{R}^{ab}_{m4}$ ,  $E^a_m = \bar{C}^{4a}_{4m}$ , and  $B^{ab}_m = \bar{C}^{ab}_{m4}$ , and therefore

$$\tilde{E}^a_m = E^a_m + \frac{1}{3} \left( \bar{R}^a_m + \bar{R}^4_4 \delta_m^a \right) - \frac{1}{12} \bar{R} \delta_m^a, \quad (32)$$

$$\tilde{B}^{ab}_m = B^{ab}_m + \frac{1}{3} \left( \delta_m^a R^b_4 + \delta_m^b R^a_4 \right). \quad (33)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{R} &= -\frac{2}{3}k_5 \left( \bar{T} + \alpha \bar{T}^{(h)} \right), \\ \bar{R}^b{}_d &= k_5 \left( \bar{T}^b{}_d + \alpha \bar{T}^{(h)b}{}_d - \frac{1}{3} \left[ \alpha \bar{T}^{(h)} + \bar{T} \right] \delta_d^b \right),\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\tilde{E}^a{}_l = E^a{}_l + \frac{k_5}{3} \left[ \tilde{T}^a{}_l + \delta_l^a \left( \tilde{T}^4{}_4 - \frac{\tilde{T}}{2} \right) \right], \quad (34)$$

$$\tilde{B}^{ab}{}_l = B^{ab}{}_l + \frac{2}{3}k_5 \delta_l^{[a} \tilde{T}^{b]}{}_4. \quad (35)$$

Replacing (34) and (35) in the equations of motion, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_{abcd} R^{ab} e^c &= -2k_5 i_4 \tilde{T}_d - \varepsilon_{abcd} \left\{ \left( E^a{}_m e^m + \frac{k_5}{3} \left[ \tilde{T}^a{}_l e^l + \left( \tilde{T}^4{}_4 - \frac{\tilde{T}}{2} \right) e^a \right] \right) e^b \right. \\ &\quad \left. - K^a{}_l K^b{}_m e^l e^m \right\} e^c, \quad (36)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}2k_5 I_4 \bar{T}_d^{(h)} &= -l^2 \varepsilon_{abcd} \left( E^a{}_m e^m + \frac{k_5}{3} \left[ \tilde{T}^a{}_l e^l + \left( \tilde{T}^4{}_4 - \frac{\tilde{T}}{2} \right) e^a \right] \right) R^{bc} + \\ &\quad -l^2 \varepsilon_{abcd} \left\{ \bar{R}^{4a} \left( B^{bc}{}_l e^l - \frac{2}{3} k_5 \tilde{T}^b{}_4 e^c \right) - E^a{}_m e^m K^b{}_l K^c{}_m e^l e^m \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{k_5}{3} \left[ \tilde{T}^a{}_l e^l + \left( \tilde{T}^4{}_4 - \frac{\tilde{T}}{2} \right) e^a \right] K^b{}_l K^c{}_m e^l e^m \right\}, \quad (37)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= l^2 \varepsilon_{abcd} \left\{ \bar{R}^{4c} \mathcal{D}_4 h^d + \left( E^c{}_m e^m + \frac{k_5}{3} \left( \tilde{T}^c{}_l e^l + \left( \tilde{T}^4{}_4 + \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. - \frac{\tilde{T}}{2} \right) e^c \right) \right) \mathcal{D}_\omega h^d \right\}. \quad (38)\end{aligned}$$

The brane equations of motion are subject to the conditions given by the normal component of the equations of motion in bulk. In the equations (22-24) we make the free index equal to “4” and then we apply the interior derivative with respect to the normal

$$\begin{aligned}l^2 \varepsilon_{abcd} B^{ab}{}_l R^{cd} e^l &= 8k_5 i_4 \bar{T}^{(h)}{}_4 - l^2 \varepsilon_{abcd} \left( \frac{2}{3} k_5 \tilde{T}^b{}_4 e^a K^c{}_s K^d{}_m e^s e^m + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2}{3} k_5 \tilde{T}^b{}_4 e^a R^{cd} - B^{ab}{}_l K^c{}_s K^d{}_m e^l e^s e^m \right), \quad (39)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}l^2 \varepsilon_{abcd} R^{bc} \bar{D}_4 \bar{h}^d &= -l^2 \varepsilon_{abcd} \left( K^b{}_l K^c{}_s e^l e^s \bar{D}_4 \bar{h}^d + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + B^{bc}{}_l \bar{D} h^d e^l + \frac{2}{3} k_5 \tilde{T}^c{}_4 e^b D h^d \right). \quad (40)\end{aligned}$$



We have obtained these effective equations on the brane, and the conditions given by the normal part of the equations of motion, using only the Gaussian embedding, and without imposing additional conditions.

Following the procedure developed in Ref. [20] we define the coordinate  $\chi$ , such that the brane is at  $\chi = 0$ . Therefore, at the brane we have

$$n_\mu dx^\mu = d\chi,$$

and the bulk metric at the brane takes the form,

$$ds^2 = d\chi^2 + \eta_{ab} e^a e^b. \quad (41)$$

Using the normal coordinate, we separate the stress-energy tensors in the same way as in Ref. [20],

$$\bar{T}_{AB} = -\Lambda \bar{\eta}_{AB} + T_{AB} \delta(\chi), \quad (42)$$

$$T_{ab} = -\lambda \eta_{ab} + \tau_{ab}, \quad (43)$$

$$\bar{T}_{AB}^{(h)} = T_{AB}^{(h)} \delta(\chi), \quad (44)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the brane tension,  $\tau_{ab}$  is the stress-energy tensor of the matter on the brane, and  $T_{ab}^{(h)}$  is the stress-energy tensor associated with the  $h^a$  field in the brane. By imposing the symmetry  $Z_2$ , the juncture condition takes the form

$$K^k{}_d = -\frac{k_5}{2} \left( \tilde{T}^k{}_d - \frac{1}{3} \tilde{T} \delta^k{}_d \right). \quad (45)$$

On the other side of the contracted de Codazzi equation, we find

$$0 = -\frac{k_5}{2} \mathcal{D}_s \tau^s{}_d - \frac{k_5}{2} \alpha \mathcal{D}_s T^{(h)s}{}_d,$$

where, as we have previously seen,  $\alpha = -\lambda_1/\lambda_3$ . Since  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_3$  are independent, it implies

$$\mathcal{D}_s \tau^s{}_d = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_s T^{(h)s}{}_d = 0, \quad (46)$$

that in the language of forms takes the form

$$D * \mathcal{T}^a = 0, \quad D * \mathcal{T}^{(h)a} = 0, \quad (47)$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}^a = \frac{\tau}{6} e^a - \frac{1}{2} \tau^a{}_l e^l, \quad (48)$$

$$\mathcal{T}^{a(h)} = \frac{T^{(h)}}{6} e^a - \frac{1}{2} T^{a(h)}{}_l e^l. \quad (49)$$

Therefore, the stress-energy tensor is conserved in the brane and  $\bar{R}^{4a} = 0$ . Using these results in the equations of motion (36-38) for the brane, we find,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{abcd} R^{ab} e^c &= \varepsilon_{abcd} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_4}{3} e^a e^b - \frac{k_5^2}{8} \left( \Pi^{ab} + \alpha^2 \Pi^{ab(h)} \right) - 16\pi G_N \left( \mathcal{T}^a + \alpha \mathcal{T}^{a(h)} \right) e^b + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{k_5^2}{4} \alpha I^{ab} - E^a{}_m e^m e^b \right\} e^c, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

$$2k_5 i_4 \bar{T}_d^{(h)} = - l^2 \varepsilon_{abcd} \left\{ \left( E^a{}_m e^m + \frac{k_5}{6} \Lambda e^a \right) \left( R^{bc} + \frac{k_5^2}{36} \lambda^2 e^b e^c - \frac{k_5^2}{8} (\Pi^{bc} + \alpha^2 \Pi^{bc(h)}) \right) - 16\pi G_N \left( \mathcal{T}^b + \alpha \mathcal{T}^{b(h)} \right) e^c + \frac{k_5^2}{4} \alpha I^{bc} \right\}, \quad (51)$$

$$l^2 \varepsilon_{abcd} \left( E^c{}_m e^m + \frac{k_5}{6} \Lambda e^c \right) \left( \mathcal{D}h^d - \frac{k_5}{6} \lambda e^d - k_5 \mathcal{T}^d \right) = 0, \quad (52)$$

where,

$$I^{ab} = 2T^{a(h)}{}_l \tau^b{}_p e^l e^p - \frac{2}{9} \tau T^{(h)} e^a e^b - \frac{2}{3} \tau^a{}_l T^{(h)} e^l e^b - \frac{2}{3} \tau T^{a(h)}{}_l e^l e^b.$$

In the normal direction the equations take the form

$$l^2 \varepsilon_{abcd} B^{ab}{}_l R^{cd} e^l = 8k_5 i_4 \bar{T}^{(h)}{}_4 - l^2 \varepsilon_{abcd} \left( B^{ab}{}_l e^l \left( \frac{k_5^2}{36} \lambda^2 e^c e^d - \frac{k_5^2}{8} (\Pi^{cd} + \alpha^2 \Pi^{cd(h)}) \right) - 16\pi G_N \left( \mathcal{T}^c + \alpha \mathcal{T}^{c(h)} \right) e^d + \frac{k_5^2}{4} \alpha I^{cd} \right), \quad (53)$$

$$l^2 \varepsilon_{abcd} R^{bc} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_4 \bar{h}^d = -l^2 \varepsilon_{abcd} \left( \left( \frac{k_5^2}{36} \lambda^2 e^b e^c - \frac{k_5^2}{8} (\Pi^{bc} + \alpha^2 \Pi^{bc(h)}) \right) - 16\pi G_N \left( \mathcal{T}^b + \alpha \mathcal{T}^{b(h)} \right) e^c + \frac{k_5^2}{4} \alpha I^{bc} \right) \bar{\mathcal{D}}_4 \bar{h}^d + B^{bc}{}_l \bar{\mathcal{D}}_4 h^d e^l. \quad (54)$$

So far, we have obtained the equations of motion (50-52) for the brane, besides the junction conditions (45), and conditions (53) and (54).

Taking the exterior covariant derivative of the equation (50), and using the Bianchi identity, we obtain

$$\varepsilon_{abcd} \mathcal{D} E^a{}_m e^m e^b e^c = \varepsilon_{abcd} \left\{ -\frac{k_5^2}{8} \left( \mathcal{D} \Pi^{ab} + \alpha^2 \mathcal{D} \Pi^{ab(h)} \right) - 16\pi G_N \alpha \mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}^{a(h)} e^b + \frac{k_5^2}{4} \alpha \mathcal{D} I^{ab} \right\} e^c. \quad (55)$$

In contrast to the case of Ref. [20], here the electrical part of the Weyl tensor is also restricted by  $T^{a(h)}{}_l$  and the interaction  $I^{ab}$ .

Let us compare the new terms in the equations to the stress-energy tensor  $\tau^a{}_b$ , in a way similar to Ref. [20]. We set the scales of the constants

$$k_5 = \frac{1}{M_G^3}, \quad \lambda = M_\lambda^4, \quad (56)$$

$$|\tau_b^a| = M^4, \quad \left| \tau_b^{a(h)} \right| = M_{(h)}^4, \quad (57)$$

where  $M_G$  and  $M_\lambda$  are larger than the characteristic energy scales  $M$  and  $M_{(h)}$ , with  $M$  being of the same or greater order of magnitude than  $M_{(h)}$ ,

$$k_5^2 \alpha^2 \frac{|\varepsilon_{abcd} \Pi^{ab(h)} e^c|}{G_N |\varepsilon_{lmns} \mathcal{T}^l e^m e^n|} \sim \alpha^2 \frac{M_{(h)}^8}{M_\lambda^4 M^4}, \quad (58)$$

$$\alpha \frac{G_N |\varepsilon_{abcd} \mathcal{T}^{a(h)} e^b e^c|}{G_N |\varepsilon_{lmns} \mathcal{T}^l e^m e^n|} \sim \alpha \frac{M_{(h)}^4}{M^4}, \quad (59)$$

$$\alpha \frac{k_5^2 |\varepsilon_{abcd} I^{ab} e^c|}{G_N |\varepsilon_{lmns} \mathcal{T}^l e^m e^n|} \sim \alpha \frac{M_{(h)}^4}{M_\lambda^4}. \quad (60)$$

From the equations (58)-(60), we can see, including the case  $M = M_{(h)}$ , that, when  $\alpha$  is little, these terms are negligible compared to the tensor  $\tau^{ab}$  components.

It is useful to separate  $E_{ab}$  into two parts, namely, into a transverse part (no trace),  $E_{(TT)}$ , and a longitudinal part,  $E_{(L)}$ , where only the latter is determined by the matter, since  $E_{(TT)}$  corresponds to the part that interacts between the brane and the bulk.

The longitudinal part of  $E_{ab}$  is restricted, as can be seen in the equation (55), both by the quadratic term in  $\tau_{ab}$ , and by  $T^{(h)}$ .

Comparing  $E_{ab}$  with  $\tau_{ab}$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\varepsilon_{abcd} E_{(L)m}^a e^m e^b e^c|}{G_N |\varepsilon_{lmns} \mathcal{T}^l e^m e^n|} &\sim \frac{1}{G_N |\tau_{ab}|} \left| G_N \alpha T_{ab}^{(h)} + k_5^2 (\tau_{al} \tau^l{}_b + \dots) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + k_5^2 \alpha^2 (T_{al(h)} T^{l(h)}{}_b + \dots) + k_5^2 \alpha (\tau_{al} T^{l(h)}{}_b + \dots) \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

where

$$\frac{|\varepsilon_{abcd} E_{(L)m}^a e^m e^b e^c|}{G_N |\varepsilon_{lmns} \mathcal{T}^l e^m e^n|} \sim \alpha \frac{M_{(h)}^4}{M^4} + \frac{M^4}{M_\lambda^4} + \alpha^2 \frac{M_{(h)}^8}{M^4 M_\lambda^4} + \alpha \frac{M_{(h)}^4}{M_\lambda^4},$$

i.e., the electrical part of the Weyl tensor would be negligible as long as  $\alpha$  and  $l$  are small.

It is interesting to notice that when the  $R^{ab}$  components are small, then the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  and  $l \rightarrow 0$  lead to the known results of [20]. Indeed, the equations of motion take the form,

$$\varepsilon_{abcd} R^{ab} e^c = \varepsilon_{abcd} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_4}{3} e^a e^b - \frac{k_5^2}{2} \Pi^{ab} - 16\pi G_N \mathcal{T}^a e^b - E^a{}_m e^m e^b \right\} e^c, \quad (62)$$

result that matches equation (17) of the reference [20].

## 4 Concluding remarks

This article shows that it is possible to obtain both the Lagrangean and the equations of motions for a 3-brane in 5-dimensional Einstein-Chern-Simons gravity.

We constructed the Einstein-Chern-Simons gravity juncture conditions starting from the Lovelock boundary term of Ref. [10], using AdS-Chern-Simons as an intermediate step. The  $S$ -expansion procedure closes the gap between both Chern-Simons theories, mapping one boundary term into the other. The key is to consider the extra  $h^A$  field as a matter field.

The new junction condition obtained for the extrinsic curvature corresponds to the Darmois-Israel joint condition plus a correction, which corresponds to the matter  $T^{(h)}$ , which vanishes at the low energy limit.

The same procedure described in Ref. [20] lead in this case to the effective equations of motion for a 3-brane embedded in a five-dimensional space obeying the Einstein-Chern-Simons field equations with  $\bar{T}^a = 0$  and  $\bar{k}_{ab} = 0$ .

The imposition of the mentioned junction conditions leads to equations for the brane, showing new terms corresponding to the new type of matter and the interaction between it and the usual matter  $\tau^a{}_b$ .

These terms disappear in the limit  $l \rightarrow 0$ , leading to the former case studied in the reference [20]. The cosmological implications of these new terms will be studied elsewhere (work in progress).

## 5 Appendix 1: Gauss-Codazzi equations in the Cartan formalism

Let us consider an  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $\Sigma$  immersed into an  $m$ -dimensional manifold  $M$ .

For the  $m$ -dimensional manifold  $M$ , at each point  $P$  we define a cotangent space  $T_P^*(M)$ , and a local coordinate system  $y^\mu$ . It allows us to define a coordinate base  $\{dx^\mu\}_{\mu=1}^{\mu=m}$ , with  $\mu, \nu, \dots = 1, \dots, m$ , and an orthonormal basis  $\bar{e}^A = \bar{e}^A_\mu dx^\mu$ , with  $A, B, \dots = 1, \dots, m$ , so that  $\bar{e}^A \cdot \bar{e}^B = \eta^{AB}$  and  $g^{\mu\nu} = dx^\mu \cdot dx^\nu$ . Similarly, for the  $n$ -dimensional manifold, at each point  $P$  we define a cotangent space  $T_P^*(\Sigma)$  and a local coordinate system  $x^i$ . It allows us to define a coordinate base  $\{dx^i\}_{i=1}^{i=n}$ ,  $i, j, \dots = 1, \dots, n$ , and an orthonormal basis  $\bar{e}^a = \bar{e}^a_i dx^i$ , with  $a, b, \dots = 1, \dots, n$ , such that  $e^a \cdot e^b = \eta^{ab}$  and  $g^{ij} = dx^i \cdot dx^j$ . We will use the indices  $r, s, \dots = n + 1 = m$  for a base  $M$  orthogonal to  $\Sigma$ .

Let us consider the application of the structure equations to the spaces  $\Sigma$  and  $M$  with the null torsion condition. We have  $\bar{e}^a = e^a$ ,  $\bar{e}^{n+1} = 0$ , and  $\bar{\omega}^a{}_c = \omega^a{}_c$  when pullbacked to  $T_P^*(\Sigma)$ . From the first equation of structure  $\bar{T}^A = d\bar{e}^A + \bar{\omega}^A_C \bar{e}^C = 0$ , we have that  $T^a = de^a + \omega^a{}_c e^c = 0$  and  $\bar{\omega}^{n+1}{}_c \wedge \bar{e}^c = 0$ . From the Cartan lemma we can write that  $\bar{\omega}^{n+1}{}_c = K_{ac} e^a$ , that is,  $K_{ac} = \bar{\omega}^{n+1}{}_a \cdot e_c$  which corresponds to the Gaussian-Weingarten equations, where  $K_{ac}$  is the extrinsic curvature.

From the second structure equation over  $M$ ,  $\bar{R}^A{}_B = d\bar{\omega}^A{}_B + \bar{\omega}^A_C \bar{\omega}^C{}_B$  it is

straightforward to see that for the indices  $a, b$  we have  $\bar{R}_b^a = R_b^a + K_n^a K_{bm} e^n e^m$  and for the indices  $n+1, b$  we have  $\bar{R}_b^{n+1} = \left( dK_{bf}^{(n+1)} - K_{ef}^{(n+1)} \bar{\omega}_b^c - K_{sf}^{(n+1)} \bar{\omega}_b^s \right) e^f$ , which corresponds to the Codazzi equation.

## 6 Appendix 2: Israel Junction Conditions

The presence of a hypersurface  $\Sigma$ , in a manifold  $M$  divides space-time into two regions  $M^+$  and  $M^-$  that have  $\Sigma$  as boundary, and  $g_{\alpha\beta}^+$  and  $g_{\alpha\beta}^-$  respectively as metrics. Let us call  $\xi^a$ ,  $a = 1, 2, 3$  to the ‘‘intrinsic’’ coordinates on both faces of the hypersurface  $\Sigma$ , and  $x_\pm^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$  to the coordinates of the varieties  $M^\pm$ .

Let us define a normal vector  $N_\alpha = \varepsilon \partial_\alpha \ell$  to the hypersurface, such that  $N^\alpha N_\alpha = \varepsilon$  and they point from  $M^-$  to  $M^+$  [22], where  $\ell$  denotes the proper distance along the geodesics, so that  $\ell = 0$  when the geodesics traverses the hypersurface.

The step function  $\Theta(\ell)$  is defined, equal to +1 if  $\ell > 0$ , 0 if  $\ell < 0$ , such that

$$\Theta^2(\ell) = \Theta(\ell), \quad \Theta(\ell)\Theta(-\ell) = 0, \quad \frac{d}{d\ell}\Theta(\ell) = \delta(\ell),$$

where  $\delta(\ell)$  is the Dirac distribution. We will denote with the symbol  $[\ ]$  the ‘‘jump’’ of a tensor quantity  $\Omega$  through the hypersurface  $\Sigma$

$$[\Omega] \equiv \Omega(M^+) |_\Sigma - \Omega(M^-) |_\Sigma,$$

where  $\Omega$  is defined on both sides of the hypersurface. The equation  $[N^\alpha] = 0$  follows from the relation  $N_\alpha = \varepsilon \partial_\alpha \ell$  and the continuity of both  $\ell$  and  $x^\alpha$  through  $\Sigma$ . The equation  $[X_a^\alpha] = 0$  follows from the fact that the coordinates  $\xi^a$  are the same on both sides of the hypersurface [22].

We can write the metric  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  as

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \Theta(\ell) g_{\alpha\beta}^+ + \Theta(-\ell) g_{\alpha\beta}^-, \quad (63)$$

where  $g_{\alpha\beta}^\pm$  is the metric in  $M^\pm$  expressed in the coordinates  $x^\alpha$ . From here it is direct to see that

$$g_{\alpha\beta/\gamma} = \Theta(\ell) g_{\alpha\beta/\gamma}^+ + \Theta(-\ell) g_{\alpha\beta/\gamma}^- + \varepsilon \delta(\ell) [g_{\alpha\beta}] N_\gamma, \quad (64)$$

where the last term is singular. To solve this problem we must impose the continuity of the metric through the hypersurface,  $[g_{\alpha\beta}] = 0$ , a condition that can be rewritten in the form

$$[g_{\alpha\beta}] X_a^\alpha X_b^\beta = \left[ g_{\alpha\beta} X_a^\alpha X_b^\beta \right] = [\gamma_{ab}] = 0,$$

and that is known as the first joint condition. A direct calculation shows that the Riemann tensor is given by

$$R^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} = \Theta(\ell) R^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} + \Theta(-\ell) R^{-\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} + \delta(\ell) A^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}, \quad (65)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} &= \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\delta/\gamma} - \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma/\delta} + \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\gamma} \Gamma^{\mu}_{\beta\delta} - \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\delta} \Gamma^{\mu}_{\beta\gamma}, \\ R^{-\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} &= \Gamma^{-\alpha}_{\beta\delta/\gamma} - \Gamma^{-\alpha}_{\beta\gamma/\delta} + \Gamma^{-\alpha}_{\mu\gamma} \Gamma^{-\mu}_{\beta\delta} - \Gamma^{-\alpha}_{\mu\delta} \Gamma^{-\mu}_{\beta\gamma}, \\ A^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta} &= \varepsilon ([\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\delta}] N_{\gamma} - [\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}] N_{\delta}). \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

An explicit expression for the tensor  $A^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}$  can be obtained taking into account that the metric is continuous through  $\Sigma$ . If  $g_{\alpha\beta/\gamma}$  were discontinuous, then the discontinuity must be directed along the normal vector  $N^{\alpha}$ , which implies that there must exist a field  $\kappa_{\alpha\beta}$  such that  $[g_{\alpha\beta/\gamma}] = \kappa_{\alpha\beta} N_{\gamma}$ , and therefore

$$[\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}] = \frac{1}{2} (\kappa^{\alpha}_{\beta} N_{\gamma} + \kappa^{\alpha}_{\gamma} N_{\beta} - \kappa_{\beta\gamma} N^{\alpha}).$$

This implies that

$$A_{\alpha\beta} \equiv A^{\mu}_{\alpha\mu\beta} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (\kappa_{\mu\alpha} N^{\mu} N_{\beta} + \kappa_{\mu\beta} N^{\mu} N_{\alpha} - \kappa N_{\alpha} N_{\beta} - \varepsilon \kappa_{\alpha\beta}), \quad (67)$$

$$A \equiv A^{\alpha}_{\alpha} = \varepsilon (\kappa_{\mu\nu} N^{\mu} N^{\nu} - \varepsilon \kappa). \quad (68)$$

where  $\kappa = \kappa^{\mu}_{\mu}$ .

The stress-energy tensor corresponds to [22]

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = \Theta(\ell) T^+_{\alpha\beta} + \Theta(-\ell) T^-_{\alpha\beta} + \delta(\ell) S_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (69)$$

where  $T^+_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $T^-_{\alpha\beta}$  are the stress-energy tensors of the  $M^+$  and  $M^-$  regions, and  $S_{\alpha\beta}$  is the stress-energy tensor associated with  $\Sigma$ .

In the Einstein's field equations

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = \kappa T_{\alpha\beta},$$

we have

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = \Theta(\ell) G^+_{\alpha\beta} + \Theta(-\ell) G^-_{\alpha\beta} + \delta(\ell) G^{\Sigma}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (70)$$

and  $T_{\alpha\beta}$  corresponds to (69). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} G^+_{\alpha\beta} &= R^+_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g^+_{\alpha\beta} R^+ = \kappa T^+_{\alpha\beta}, \\ G^-_{\alpha\beta} &= R^-_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g^-_{\alpha\beta} R^- = \kappa T^-_{\alpha\beta}, \\ G^{\Sigma}_{\alpha\beta} &= A_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} A = \kappa S_{\alpha\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_{ab} = S_{\alpha\beta} X^{\alpha}_a X^{\beta}_b$  takes the form

$$S_{ab} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{\kappa} ([K_{ab}] - \gamma_{ab} [K]), \quad (71)$$

$$[K_{ab}] = -\varepsilon\kappa \left( S_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{ab}S \right), \quad (72)$$

equation known as the Lanczos equation [22].

## 7 Appendix 3: Boundary term for the EChS gravity

The boundary term for the AdS-Chern-Simons Lagrangian for  $\mathfrak{K}_{ABC} = 0$  and  $\bar{T}^A = 0$  corresponds to

$$L_\Sigma = \int_\Sigma \varepsilon_{ABCDE} \bar{\Theta}^{AB} \left\{ 3l^2 R^{CD} e^E + \frac{3}{2} l^2 D \bar{\Theta}^{CD} e^E + l^2 \bar{\Theta}^C{}_L \bar{\Theta}^{LD} e^E + e^C e^D e^E \right\}. \quad (73)$$

In order to obtain the boundary term for the Einstein-Chern-Simons Lagrangian, we use the  $S$ -expansion procedure introduced in Refs. [15, 16]. Following these references we define

$$\omega_{ABC} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ABCDE} \omega^{DE}, \quad (74)$$

$$\tilde{J}^{ABC} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{ABCDE} \tilde{J}_{DE}, \quad (75)$$

$$R_{ABC} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ABCDE} R^{DE}, \quad (76)$$

Considering the semigroup  $S = \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4\}$  and separating it in the usual way we have

$$S_1 = \{\lambda_0, \lambda_2\}, \quad S_2 = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_3\}, \quad (77)$$

and carry out the expansion

$$e_A \rightarrow \lambda_1 e_A^{(1)} + \lambda_3 e_A^{(3)}, \quad (78)$$

$$\omega_{ABC} \rightarrow \lambda_0 \omega_{ABC}^{(0)} + \lambda_2 \omega_{ABC}^{(2)}, \quad (79)$$

$$R_{ABC} \rightarrow \lambda_0 R_{ABC}^{(0)} + \lambda_2 R_{ABC}^{(2)}, \quad (80)$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{ABC} + \frac{1}{l^2} \varepsilon_{ABCDE} e^D e^E \rightarrow & \lambda_0 \left( d\omega_{ABC}^{(0)} + \frac{\varepsilon^{LESRY}}{12} \left( \eta_{LB} \omega_{CAE}^{(0)} + \eta_{LC} \omega_{ABE}^{(0)} + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \eta_{LA} \omega_{BCE}^{(0)} \right) \omega_{SRY}^{(0)} \right) + \lambda_2 \left( d\omega_{ABC}^{(2)} + \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{\varepsilon^{LESRY}}{12} \left( \left( \eta_{LB} \omega_{CAE}^{(2)} + \eta_{LC} \omega_{ABE}^{(2)} + \right. \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \eta_{LA} \omega_{BCE}^{(2)} \right) \omega_{SRY}^{(0)} + \left( \eta_{LB} \omega_{CAE}^{(0)} + \eta_{LC} \omega_{ABE}^{(0)} + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \eta_{LA} \omega_{BCE}^{(0)} \right) \omega_{SRY}^{(2)} \right) + \frac{\lambda_2}{l^2} \varepsilon_{ABCDE} e^{(1)D} e^{(1)E}
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T_A \rightarrow & \lambda_1 \left( de_A^{(1)} + \frac{1}{6} \eta_{AB} \varepsilon^{BQSMP} \omega_{SMP}^{(0)} e_Q^{(1)} \right) + \lambda_3 \left( de_A^{(3)} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{6} \eta_{AB} \varepsilon^{BQSMP} \left( \omega_{SMP}^{(0)} e_Q^{(3)} + \omega_{SMP}^{(2)} e_Q^{(1)} \right) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

Defining

$$e_A^{(1)} = e_A, \quad \omega_{ABC}^{(0)} = \omega_{ABC} \tag{83}$$

$$e_A^{(3)} = h_A, \quad \omega_{ABC}^{(2)} = \mathfrak{K}_{ABC}, \tag{84}$$

we have the mappings

$$\begin{aligned}
e_A & \rightarrow \lambda_1 e_A + \lambda_3 h_A, \\
\omega_{ABC} & \rightarrow \lambda_0 \omega_{ABC} + \lambda_2 \mathfrak{K}_{ABC}, \\
R_{ABC} & \rightarrow \lambda_0 R_{ABC} + \lambda_2 D \mathfrak{K}_{ABC}, \\
\frac{1}{l^2} \varepsilon_{ABCDE} e^D e^E & \rightarrow \frac{\lambda_2}{l^2} \varepsilon_{ABCDE} e^D e^E, \\
T_A & \rightarrow \lambda_1 T_A + \lambda_3 (D h_A + \mathfrak{K}_A{}^Q e_Q).
\end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

Replacing (73) in (85) we have that the boundary term for the EChS gravity corresponds to

$$\begin{aligned}
L_\Sigma = & \int_\Sigma \varepsilon_{ABCDE} \bar{\Theta}^{AB} \left\{ 3l^2 \lambda_1 \left( R^{CD} + D \bar{\Theta}^{CD} + \frac{1}{3} \bar{\Theta}^C{}_L \bar{\Theta}^{LD} \right) \bar{e}^E + \right. \\
& \left. + \lambda_3 \left( 3l^2 \left( R^{CD} + D \bar{\Theta}^{CD} + \frac{1}{3} \bar{\Theta}^C{}_L \bar{\Theta}^{LD} \right) \bar{h}^E + 4 \bar{e}^C \bar{e}^D \bar{e}^E \right) \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

### Acknowledgments

We are grateful to José Díaz, Samuel Lepe, Nelson Merino and Omar Valdivia for many enlightening conversations and references. RS was supported by Universidad de Concepción. FI and PS acknowledges financial support from the Chilean government through Fondecyt grants 1211219 and 1180681.



## References

- [1] J. W. York, *Role of conformal three-geometry in the dynamics of gravitation*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **28**, 1082 (1972).
- [2] G. W. Gibbons, S.W. Hawking, "Action integrals and partition functions in quantum gravity". Physical Review D. **15** (1977) 2752.
- [3] W. Israel. *Singular hypersurfaces and thin shells in general relativity*. II Nuovo Cimento, **44B** (1966) 1.
- [4] K. Akama, Lect. Notes Phys. **176** (1982) 267
- [5] H. A. Chamblin and H.S. Real, Nucl. Phys. B **562** (1999) 133.
- [6] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 4690
- [7] P. Kraus, JHEP **9912** (1999) 011
- [8] R. C. Myers, *Higher derivative gravity, surface terms and string theory*, Phys. Rev. D **36**, 392 (1987).
- [9] S. Willison, *Intersecting hypersurfaces and Lovelock Gravity*, PhD Thesis. arXiv:0502089
- [10] E.Gravanis, S.Willinson. *Intersecting hypersurfaces, topological densities and Lovelock gravity* J. Geom. Phys **57**, (2007) arXiv:gr-qc/0401062.
- [11] R. Troncoso, J. Zanelli, Class. Quant. Grav. **17** (2000) 4451
- [12] A. H. Chamseddine, Phys. Lett. B **233** (1989) 291.
- [13] A. H. Chamseddine, Nucl. Phys. B **346** (1990) 213.
- [14] F.Izaurieta,P.Minning,A.Perez,E. Rodriguez, P.Salgado. *Standard General Relativity from Chern-Simons Gravity*. Physics Letters B **678** (2009) 213-217.
- [15] F. Izaurieta, E. Rodriguez and P. Salgado, Jour. Math. Phys. **47** (2006) 123512.
- [16] F. Izaurieta, A, Perez, E. Rodriguez and P. Salgado, Jour. Math. Phys. **50** (2009) 073511.
- [17] J. A. de Azcarraga, J. M. Izquierdo, M. Picon and O. Varela, Nucl. Phys. B **662** (2003) 185.
- [18] F. Izaurieta, E. Rodriguez and P. Salgado, Lett. Math. Phys. **80** (2007) 127.
- [19] F. Gomez, S. Lepe, C. Quinzacara and P. Salgado, "Five dimensional Einstein-Chern-Simons cosmology". Submitted to Phys. Rev. D, March 2021.

- [20] T. Shiromizu, K. Maeda, M. Sasaki, *Phys. Rev. D* 62 (2000) 024012)
- [21] D.Lovelock, *J. Math Phys.*12 (1971) 498.
- [22] Eric Poisson. *An advanced course in general relativity*. Department of Physics. University of Guelph (2002).