

# QUADRANGULAR $\mathbb{Z}_p^l$ -ACTIONS ON RIEMANN SURFACES

RUBEN A. HIDALGO

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $S$  be a closed Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 2$  and let  $p$  be a prime integer dividing the order of  $\text{Aut}(S)$ . The Riemann-Hurwitz formula asserts that either  $p \leq g + 1$  or  $p = 2g + 1$ .

Let  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$  be a group of conformal automorphisms of  $S$ . If  $p = 2g + 1$ , then  $S/G$  is the sphere with exactly three cone points and, if  $p \geq 7$ , then it happens that  $G$  is the unique  $p$ -Sylow subgroup. If  $p = g + 1$ , then  $S/G$  is the sphere with exactly four cone points and, if  $p \geq 13$ , then it happens that  $G$  is the unique  $p$ -Sylow subgroup. The above unique facts permitted many authors to obtain algebraic models and the corresponding groups  $\text{Aut}(S)$  in these situations.

Now, let us assume  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^l$ , where  $l \geq 2$ . If  $p \geq 5$ , then either (i)  $p^l \leq g - 1$  or (ii)  $S/G$  has genus zero and  $p^{l-1}(p - 3) \leq 2(g - 1)$ . Moreover, in case (ii),  $2 \leq l \leq r - 1$ , where  $r \geq 3$  is the number of cone points. If  $r = 3$ , then  $l = 2$  and  $S$  happens to be the classical Fermat curve of degree  $p$ , whose group of automorphisms is well known. The next case,  $r = 4$ , is studied in this paper. We provide (i) an algebraic curve representation for  $S$ , (ii) a description of its group of conformal automorphisms, (iii) a discussion of its field of moduli and (iv) an isogenous decomposition of its jacobian variety.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

If  $S$  is a closed Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 2$ , then Schwarz [21] proved that  $\text{Aut}(S)$ , the group of conformal automorphisms of  $S$ , is finite and later Hurwitz [14] obtained the upper bound  $|\text{Aut}(S)| \leq 84(g - 1)$ . Moreover, if  $G$  is a finite group, then Greenberg [10] proved the existence of a closed Riemann surface  $S$ , of some genus  $g \geq 2$ , such that  $G < \text{Aut}(S)$ . In the case that quotient orbifold  $S/G$  has genus zero (so, by the uniformization theorem, it can be identified with the Riemann sphere  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ ), as  $g \geq 2$ , it has  $r \geq 3$  conical points; we say that the conformal action of  $G$  is of type  $r$ .

The classifying space  $\mathfrak{M}_g$  of biholomorphism classes of closed Riemann surfaces of genus  $g \geq 2$ , known as the moduli space of genus  $g$ , is known to be a complex orbifold of dimension  $3(g - 1)$ , whose branch locus  $\mathcal{B}_g$  consists of the classes of Riemann surfaces with non-trivial group of automorphisms [18]. If  $g \geq 4$ , then  $\mathcal{B}_g$  coincides with the locus where  $\mathfrak{M}_g$  fails to be a topological manifold. In [2, 3] it has been noted that  $\mathcal{B}_g$  is connected only for  $g \in \{3, 4, 13, 17, 19, 59\}$ . Its irreducible components (which might intersect or not) is a finite union of subloci consisting of the classes of Riemann surfaces admitting automorphisms of prime order.

As a consequence of the Riemann-Roch theorem, in one direction, and the implicit function theorem, in the other, there is an equivalence between the category of closed Riemann surfaces (up to biholomorphisms) and that of irreducible complex algebraic curves (up to birationality). In such an equivalence, conformal maps between closed Riemann surfaces corresponds to rational maps between the curves. Unfortunately, in the general case, it is a difficult problem to provide an algebraic curve for  $S$  and the corresponding algebraic

---

Partially supported by Project Fondecyt 1190001.

presentation of  $G$ . Only some particular situations have been worked out in the literature (hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces, cyclic  $p$ -gonal curves, Hurwitz curves, Fermat curves, generalized Fermat curves).

Let  $S$  be a closed Riemann surface and let  $G < \text{Aut}(S)$  be of type  $r \geq 3$ . Up to a Möbius transformation, we may assume that the conical set is  $\mathcal{B} := \{\infty, 0, 1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{r-3}\} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ . Let  $M_{\mathcal{B}}$  be the  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -stabilizer of  $\mathcal{B}$ , which is a finite group (the finite subgroups of  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  are either trivial, cyclic groups, dihedral groups or one of the Platonic symmetry groups  $\mathcal{A}_4$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_5$  or  $\mathfrak{S}_4$ ). If  $G$  is a normal subgroup, then there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S) \xrightarrow{\theta} L \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $L$  is a suitable subgroup of  $M_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

If, moreover,  $G$  is a  $p$ -group, then the Riemann-Hurwitz formula asserts that either  $p \leq g + 1$  or  $p = 2g + 1$ . In [11], it was seen that, for  $p \geq 5r - 7$ , the group  $G$  is the unique  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(S)$  (in particular, the unique  $p$ -subgroup of type  $r$ ). Also, if  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ , then Castelnuovo-Severi's inequality [6] also asserts uniqueness for  $p < r/2$  and, for  $r = 4$ , the uniqueness holds for  $p \geq 7$  [12]. The uniqueness property of  $G$  asserts the existence of a natural holomorphic embedding of the moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}_{0,r}$ , of the  $r$ -marked sphere, into the moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}_g$ .

Let us now restrict to the abelian case  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^l$ , where  $l \geq 1$ , acting as a holomorphic group of type  $r \geq 3$  (so, necessarily,  $l \leq r - 1$ ). By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, one has

$$2 \leq g = 1 + p^{l-1}((p-1)(r-2) - 2)/2,$$

in particular,  $(p-1)(r-2) > 2$ .

**1.** If  $l = 1$ , then  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ . In [8], González-Diez observed that  $G$  is unique up to conjugation in  $\text{Aut}(S)$ . As a consequence of the above mentioned results in [6, 11, 12], the uniqueness for  $G$  of type  $r \geq 3$  holds for either: (i)  $r = 3$  and  $p \geq 11$ , (ii)  $r = 4$  and  $p \geq 7$  and (iii)  $r \geq 5$  and either  $p \geq 5r - 7$  or  $p < r/2$ . An equation for  $S$  is well known to be of the form [23]

$$y^p = x(x-1)^m \prod_{j=1}^{r-3} (x - \lambda_j)^{m_j},$$

where  $m, m_j \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$  are such that  $1 + m + m_1 + \dots + m_{r-3} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , and a generator for  $G$  is given by  $a(x, y) = (x, e^{2\pi i/p} y)$ . Under the above uniqueness property of  $G$ , it is not difficult to search for algebraic equations for the automorphisms of  $S$ : For each  $T \in M_{\mathcal{B}}$  one looks for some rational map  $T_1(x, y) = v$  such that, for  $u = T(x)$ , it holds that  $(u, v)$  satisfies the above algebraic equation. If so, then  $\widehat{T}(x, y) = (T(x), T_1(x, y))$  provides an automorphism (and all automorphisms of  $S$  are so obtained). In a recent print [20], the authors have considered the case  $r = 4$  and  $p \geq 7$ , where they provide an algebraic description, a realization of the groups of conformal automorphisms, the computation of their fields of moduli and they also describe isogenous decompositions of their Jacobian varieties.

**2.** If  $l = r - 1$ , then  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{r-1}$ . Set  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{r-3})$ . In [9] (see Section 2) it was observed that  $S$  can be described by the following fiber product of  $r - 2$  classical Fermat curves of

degree  $p$

$$C_\Lambda := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1^p + x_2^p + x_3^p = 0 \\ \lambda_1 x_1^p + x_2^p + x_4^p = 0 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{r-3} x_1^p + x_2^p + x_r^p = 0 \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1},$$

and, in such a model, the group  $G$  coincides with  $H = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{r-1} \rangle$ , where

$$a_j(x_1, \dots, x_r) = (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, e^{2\pi i/p} x_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_r), \quad j = 1, \dots, r-1.$$

The group  $H$  (respectively, the curve  $C_\Lambda$ ) is called a generalized Fermat group (respectively, a generalized Fermat curve) of type  $(p, r-1)$ .

If  $r = 3$  (so  $p \geq 5$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{\infty, 0, 1\}$ ), then  $C_\Lambda$  is the classical Fermat curve of degree  $p$ ,  $F_p := \{x_1^p + x_2^p + x_3^p = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ , whose group of automorphisms is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2 \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_3$  (the  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$  factor corresponds to  $H$  and the symmetric group factor acts by permutation of the coordinates).

In [13] (see also [7]), it was proved that the generalized Fermat group  $H$  is unique in  $\text{Aut}(C_\Lambda)$  (this result holds for  $p$  not necessarily prime) and that  $\text{Aut}(C_\Lambda)$  consists, in such a model, by linear projective transformations. In [9] it was observed that  $C_\Lambda$  is uniformized by the derived subgroup of a Fuchsian group uniformizing the orbifold  $C_\Lambda/H$ . This last fact, together the uniqueness of  $H$ , asserts that  $L = M_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $|\text{Aut}(C_\Lambda)| = p^r |M_{\mathcal{B}}|$ .

In [5] it was described an explicit isogenous decomposition of the jacobian variety  $JC_\Lambda$ , in terms of the above cone points. It says that  $JC_\Lambda \cong_{\text{isog}} \prod_Z JS_Z$ , where  $Z$  runs over all subgroups of  $H$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{r-1}$  and  $S_Z$  is the underlying Riemann surface structure of the orbifold  $C_\Lambda/Z$ .

**3.** If  $2 \leq l \leq r-2$ , then there is some  $K < H$ ,  $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{r-1-l}$ , acting freely on  $C_\Lambda$  such that  $S = C_\Lambda/K$  and  $G = H/K$  (see Theorem 4). In particular, if we assume  $p \geq 5r-7$ , then  $\text{Aut}(S)$  corresponds to the quotient group  $N_K/K$ , where  $N_K$  is the normalizer of  $K$  in  $\text{Aut}(C_\Lambda)$ . Once the group  $K$  is give, one may apply classical GIT theory to obtain (affine) algebraic equations for  $S$ . An isogenous decompositions for  $JS$  should be obtained from that already obtained for  $JC_\Lambda$ .

The aim of this paper is to make a precise description of the above for  $r = 4$ ; so  $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $p \geq 3$ ,  $\Lambda = \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ , and (up to a Möbius transformation)  $\mathcal{B} = \{\infty, 0, 1, \lambda\}$ . As previously noted, we amy assume  $S = C_\Lambda/K$  and  $G = H/K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^l$ , where  $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{3-l}$  is a subgroup of  $H$  acting freely on  $C_\Lambda$ . The case  $l = 3$  corresponds to  $S = C_\Lambda$  and  $G = H$ , which is unique. As previously noted, for  $l = 1$  (respectively,  $l = 2$ ) the uniqueness of  $G$  is guaranteed if  $p \geq 7$  (respectively,  $p \geq 13$ ). We provide an explicit description of those subgroups  $K$ , the corresponding Riemann surfaces  $S = C_\Lambda/K$  and their groups of automorphisms (Theorem 5 for  $l = 3$ , Section 4.2 for  $l = 1$  and Theorem 6 for  $l = 2$ ). We also provide an isogenous decomposition of the jacobian variety  $JS$  in the case  $l = 2$  (Theorem 9). It says that  $JS \cong_{\text{isog}} \prod_Z JS_Z$ , where  $Z$  runs over all subgroups of  $H$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $S_Z$  is the underlying Riemann surface structure of the orbifold  $S/Z$ .

## 2. GENERALIZED FERMAT CURVES OF TYPE $(p, n)$

Let us fix an integer  $n \geq 2$  and a prime integer  $p \geq 2$ . A closed Riemann surface  $S$  is called a generalized Fermat Riemann surface of type  $(p, n)$  if it admits a group  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$  of conformal automorphisms such that the quotient orbifold  $S/G$  has genus zero and axactly  $n+1$  cone points (each one necessarily of cone order  $p$ ); in this case we also say that  $G$  (respectively,  $(S, G)$ ) is a generalized Fermat group (respectively, generalized Fermat pair)

of type  $(p, n)$ . In this section we recall some of the properties of such objects which can be found, for instance, in [9, 13]. As the case  $n = 2$  corresponds to classical Fermat curves of degree  $p$ , we will only consider the case  $n \geq 3$ .

**2.1. Generalized Fermat curves of type  $(p, n)$ , where  $n \geq 3$ , and its generalized Fermat group.** Let  $p \geq 3$  be a prime integer,  $n \geq 3$  be an integer,  $\omega_p = e^{2\pi i/p}$  and  $\Omega_n \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-2}$  be the domain consisting of the tuples  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2})$  such that  $\lambda_j \neq 0, 1$  and  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Each tuple  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}) \in \Omega_n$  defines the algebraic curve

$$C_\Lambda := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1^p + x_2^p + x_3^p = 0 \\ \lambda_1 x_1^p + x_2^p + x_4^p = 0 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{n-2} x_1^p + x_2^p + x_{n+1}^p = 0 \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n.$$

It can be checked that  $C_\Lambda$  is a smooth irreducible algebraic curve, so it defines a closed Riemann surface. Each of the following  $n + 1$  linear projective transformations

$$a_j([x_1 : \dots : x_{n+1}]) = [x_1 : \dots : x_{j-1} : \omega_p x_j : x_{j+1} : \dots : x_{n+1}], \quad j = 1, \dots, n + 1,$$

defines conformal automorphisms of  $C_\Lambda$  satisfying that (i)  $a_1 \cdots a_{n+1} = 1$  and (ii)  $H = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ . Moreover, the map

$$\pi : C_\Lambda \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}} : [x_1 : \dots : x_{n+1}] \mapsto -\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^p,$$

is a regular branched covering, with deck group  $H$ , and whose branch value set is

$$\mathcal{B}_\Lambda := \{\infty, 0, 1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}\}.$$

**Remark 1.** The only elements of  $H$ , different from the identity, with fixed points on  $C_\Lambda$  are the powers of  $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}$  (these elements are called the standard generators of  $H$ ).

It follows that  $(C_\Lambda, H)$  is a generalized Fermat pair of type  $(p, n)$ . The Riemann-Hurwitz formula asserts that  $C_\Lambda$  has genus

$$g_{C_\Lambda} = 1 + p^{n-1}((p-1)(n-1) - 2)/2.$$

For instance, for  $n = 3$ , the genus is  $g = (p-1)(p^2 - p - 1) \geq 10$ .

**Theorem 1** ([7, 13]). *Let  $(S, G)$  be a generalized Fermat pair of type  $(p, n)$ . Up to a Möbius transformation we may assume the set of cone points of  $S/G$  (which is identified with the Riemann sphere) is given by the set  $\{\infty, 0, 1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}\}$ . Then*

- (1) *there is a biholomorphism  $\phi : S \rightarrow C_\Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}) \in \Omega_n$ , such that  $\phi G \phi^{-1} = H$ , and*
- (2) *if  $(p-1)(n-1) > 2$ , then  $G$  is the unique generalized Fermat group of type  $(p, n)$  of  $S$ .*

The above result asserts that we may restrict to work with the generalized Fermat pairs  $(C_\Lambda, H)$  as defined above.

**2.2. Fuchsian uniformization.** Let  $(C_\Lambda, H)$  be a generalized Fermat pair of type  $(p, n)$ , where  $(p-1)(n-1) > 2$ , and let  $\Gamma < \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  be a Fuchsian group such that  $\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma = C_\Lambda/H$ . A presentation of  $\Gamma$  is given as follows

$$\Gamma = \langle \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n+1} : \delta_1^p = \dots = \delta_{n+1}^p = \delta_1 \delta_2 \cdots \delta_{n+1} = 1 \rangle.$$

If  $\Gamma'$  denotes the derived subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , then  $\Gamma/\Gamma' \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ ,  $\Gamma'$  is torsion free and  $\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma'$  is a closed Riemann surface. In particular,  $(\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma', \Gamma/\Gamma')$  is a generalized Fermat pair of type  $(p, n)$ .

**Theorem 2 ([9]).** *There is a biholomorphism  $\phi : C_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma'$  such that  $\phi H \phi^{-1} = \Gamma/\Gamma'$ .*

Since, under the assumption that  $(p-1)(n-1) > 2$ , the generalized Fermat group  $H$  in  $\text{Aut}(C_\Lambda)$  is unique, there is a homomorphism  $\rho : \text{Aut}(C_\Lambda) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{B}_\Lambda}$ , where  $M_{\mathcal{B}_\Lambda}$  is the  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -stabilizer of  $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda$ , whose kernel is  $H$ . As  $\Gamma'$  is characteristic subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , the homomorphism  $\theta$  is surjective, so there is a natural short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\rho} M_{\mathcal{B}_\Lambda} \rightarrow 1.$$

**2.3. Equivalence of generalized Fermat curves.** Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}), \Sigma = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-2}) \in \Omega_n$  and consider the associated generalized Fermat curves  $C_\Lambda$  and  $C_\Sigma$ . Note that the linear group  $H$  (seen as a subgroup of  $\text{PGL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ ) restricts to both curves as the corresponding generalized Fermat group; so we still denoting them by the same letter  $H$ . The uniqueness of  $H$  asserts that if  $\phi : C_\Lambda \rightarrow C_\Sigma$  is an isomorphism, then it descends to a Möbius transformation  $T_\phi \in \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  sending the set  $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda = \{\infty, 0, 1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}\}$  onto  $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma = \{\infty, 0, 1, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-2}\}$ . Conversely, by Theorem 2, for every Möbius transformation  $T \in \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  sending the set  $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda$  onto  $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma$  there is an isomorphism  $\phi$  such that  $T = T_\phi$ . As a consequence is the following.

**Theorem 3 ([9]).** *If  $\Lambda, \Sigma \in \Omega_n$ , then the generalized Fermat curves  $C_\Lambda$  and  $C_\Sigma$  are isomorphic if and only if  $\Lambda$  and  $\Sigma$  belong to the same orbit under the group  $\mathbb{G}_n$  of automorphisms of  $\Omega_n$  generated by the transformations*

$$U(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}) = \left( \frac{\lambda_{n-2}}{\lambda_{n-2} - 1}, \frac{\lambda_{n-2}}{\lambda_{n-2} - \lambda_1}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_{n-2}}{\lambda_{n-2} - \lambda_{n-3}} \right)$$

$$V(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}) = \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\lambda_{n-2}} \right).$$

**Remark 2.** Observe that, for  $n \geq 4$ ,  $\mathbb{G}_n \cong \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$  and that  $\mathbb{G}_3 \cong \mathfrak{S}_3$ . In this way, the moduli space of generalized Fermat curves of type  $(k, n)$ , where  $k \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 3$ , is provided by the geometric quotient  $\Omega_n/\mathbb{G}_n$ ; which happens to be the moduli space of  $(n+1)$  points in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ .

**2.4. A universal property on generalized Fermat curves.** Let  $S$  be a given closed Riemann surface admitting a group  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^l$ ,  $l \geq 1$ , of conformal automorphisms of type  $n+1$  (so  $l \leq n$ ), i.e.,  $S/G$  is the Riemann sphere  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  with exactly  $n+1$  cone points, which (up to Möbius transformations) might be assumed to be given by the set

$$\mathcal{B}_\Lambda = \{\infty, 0, 1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}\},$$

where  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}) \in \Omega_n$ .

If  $G$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(S)$ , then we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S) \xrightarrow{\theta} L \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $L$  is a suitable subgroup of  $M_{\mathcal{B}_\Lambda}$ . As noted in the introduction, the normality of  $G$  is ensured when either: (i)  $p \geq 7$ ,  $l = 1$  and  $n = 3$ , or (ii)  $p \geq 5n - 2$  and  $n \geq 3$

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $(S, G)$  and  $\Lambda$  be as above.*

- (1) *There is a subgroup  $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$  of  $H$ , acting freely on  $C_\Lambda$ , and a biholomorphism  $\varphi : S \rightarrow C_\Lambda/K$  such that  $\varphi G \varphi^{-1} = H/K$ .*

- (2) If either: (i)  $p \geq 7$ ,  $l = 1$  and  $n = 3$ , or (ii)  $p \geq 5n - 2$  and  $n \geq 3$ , then  $\text{Aut}(S) \cong \widehat{G}/K$ , where  $\widehat{G} < \text{Aut}(C_\Lambda)$  is the  $\text{Aut}(C_\Lambda)$ -stabilizer of  $K$ . In particular,  $|\text{Aut}(S)| = p^l |\theta(\text{Aut}(S))|$  and  $|\theta(\text{Aut}(S))|$  is a divisor of  $|M_{\mathcal{B}_\lambda}|$ .

*Proof.* As previously seen, if  $\Gamma < \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  is a Fuchsian group, acting on the hyperbolic plane  $\mathbb{H}^2$ , such that  $\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$  is the Riemann orbifold  $C_\Lambda/H = S/G$ , then  $C_\Lambda = \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma'$ , where  $\Gamma'$  denotes the derived subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . In particular, this asserts the existence of a normal subgroup  $\Gamma_S$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma' < \Gamma_S < \Gamma$ ,  $S = \mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma_S$  and  $G = \Gamma/\Gamma_S$ . In other words, there is a subgroup  $K$  of  $H$ , acting freely on  $C_\Lambda$  such that  $S = C_\Lambda/K$  and  $G = H/K$ . We note that  $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$  and we have obtained part (1). In order to obtain part (2), let us first observe that the imposed conditions on  $p$  ensures the uniqueness of  $G$  in  $\text{Aut}(S)$ , so we have the short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S) \xrightarrow{\theta} L = \theta(\text{Aut}(S)) \rightarrow 1$ , where  $L < M_{\mathcal{B}_\lambda}$ . If  $\widehat{G} = \rho^{-1}(L) < \text{Aut}(C_\Lambda)$  (where  $\rho : \text{Aut}(C_\Lambda) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{B}_\lambda}$  is the surjective homomorphism of Section 2.2), then  $K$  must be invariant under  $\widehat{G}$  (as it is in fact a lifting to  $C_\Lambda$  of  $\text{Aut}(S)$ ).  $\square$

### 3. GENERALIZED FERMAT PAIRS OF TYPE $(p, 3)$

In this section, we restrict to the case of generalized Fermat pairs of type  $(p, 3)$ ,  $p \geq 3$ . We set  $C_\Lambda = C_\lambda$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda = \mathcal{B}_\lambda = \{\infty, 0, 1, \lambda\}$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . In this case,  $M_\Lambda = M_\lambda$  contains the subgroup

$$M_{2,\lambda} := \langle A(z) = \lambda/z, B(z) = (z - \lambda)/(z - 1) \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2.$$

Theorem 3, in our situation, reads as follows.

**Corollary 1** ( $n = 3$ ). *Let  $\lambda \neq \mu \in \Omega_1 = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . Then  $C_\lambda$  and  $C_\mu$  are isomorphic if and only if  $j(\lambda) = j(\mu)$ , where  $j(x) = (1 - x + x^2)^2/x^2(x - 1)^2$  is the classical elliptic modular function, that is, if and only if  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are in the same  $\mathbb{G}$ -orbit, where*

$$\mathbb{G} = \langle T(z) = 1/z, R(z) = 1 - z \rangle \cong \mathfrak{S}_3.$$

Moreover, the  $\mathbb{G}$ -stabilizer of  $\lambda$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Aut}(C_\lambda)/H \cong M_\lambda$ .

**Remark 3** ( $n = 3$ ). As a consequence of the above, for the case  $n = 3$ , we observe that the collections  $\{-1, 1/2, 2\}$  and  $\{(1 + i\sqrt{3})/2, (1 - i\sqrt{3})/2\}$  form two isomorphism classes. If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  is different from the previous, then its orbit consists of exactly 6 points.

**3.1. The group of automorphisms of  $C_\lambda$ .** If  $\lambda \notin \{-1, 1/2, 2, (1 \pm i\sqrt{3})/2\}$ , then  $M_\lambda = M_{2,\lambda}$ . If  $\lambda \in \{-1, 1/2, 2\}$ , then  $M_\lambda \cong D_4$  (the dihedral group of order 8) as it contains the extra involution  $C(z) = 1/z$ . If  $\lambda \in \{(1 \pm i\sqrt{3})/2\}$ , then  $M_\lambda \cong \mathcal{A}_4$  (the alternating group of order 12) as it contains the order three rotation  $D(z) = 1 + (1 + i\sqrt{3})z/2$ .

Let  $\sqrt[p]{2}$  be the real  $p$ -root of 2 and fix  $p$ -roots  $\sqrt[p]{\lambda}$  and  $\sqrt[p]{\lambda - 1}$ . It is not so difficult to check that the following linear projective transformations keep  $C_\lambda$  invariant (so they define conformal automorphisms):

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \widehat{\alpha}([x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4]) = [x_2 : \sqrt[p]{\lambda} x_1 : x_4 : \sqrt[p]{\lambda} x_3] \\ \widehat{\beta}([x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4]) = [-x_3 : x_4 : \sqrt[p]{\lambda - 1} x_1 : -\sqrt[p]{\lambda - 1} x_2] \\ \widehat{\gamma}([x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4]) = [x_4 : \sqrt[p]{2} x_1 : x_2 : -\sqrt[p]{2} x_3], (\lambda = 2) \\ \widehat{\gamma}([x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4]) = [x_3 : x_4 : \sqrt[p]{2} x_2 : -\sqrt[p]{2} x_1], (\lambda = -1) \\ \widehat{\gamma}([x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4]) = [\sqrt[p]{2} x_3 : x_1 : -\sqrt[p]{2} x_4 : x_2], (\lambda = 1/2) \\ \widehat{\delta}([x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4]) = [\sqrt[p]{\lambda} x_1 : -x_4 : x_2 : x_3], (\lambda = (1 + i\sqrt{3})/2) \\ \widehat{\delta}([x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4]) = [\sqrt[p]{\lambda} x_1 : x_4 : x_2 : -x_3], (\lambda = (1 - i\sqrt{3})/2). \end{cases}$$

Let us note that (i)  $\langle \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}'_2$ , (ii)  $\widehat{\gamma}^4 = 1$  and (iii)  $\widehat{\delta}^3 = 1$ . Also,  $\rho(\langle \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta} \rangle) = M_{2,\lambda}$ , so  $\rho : \langle \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta} \rangle \rightarrow M_{2,\lambda}$  is an isomorphism.

**Theorem 5 ([7]).** *The description of  $\text{Aut}(C_\lambda)$  is as follows.*

- (i) *If  $\lambda \notin \{-1, 1/2, 2, (1 \pm i\sqrt{3})/2\}$ , then  $\text{Aut}(C_\lambda)/H \cong \mathbb{Z}'_2$ ,  $\text{Aut}(C_\lambda) = H \rtimes \langle \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta} \rangle$  and the signature of  $C_\lambda/\text{Aut}(C_\lambda)$  is  $(0; 2, 2, 2, p)$ ;*
- (ii) *if  $\lambda \in \{-1, 1/2, 2\}$ , then  $\text{Aut}(C_\lambda)/H \cong D_4$ ,  $\text{Aut}(SC_\lambda) = H \rtimes \langle \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta}, \widehat{\gamma} \rangle$  and the signature of  $C_\lambda/\text{Aut}(C_\lambda)$  is  $(0; 2, 4, 2p)$ ;*
- (iii) *if  $\lambda \in \{(1 + i\sqrt{3})/2, (1 - i\sqrt{3})/2\}$ , then  $\text{Aut}(C_\lambda)/H \cong \mathcal{A}_4$ ,  $\text{Aut}(C_\lambda) = H \rtimes \langle \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta}, \widehat{\delta} \rangle$  and the signature of  $C_\lambda/\text{Aut}(C_\lambda)$  is  $(0; 2, 3, 3p)$ .*

**3.2. On the field of moduli of  $C_\lambda$ .** The field of moduli  $M_\lambda$  of  $C_\lambda$  is defined as the fixed field of the group  $G_\lambda = \{\rho \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}) : C_{\rho(\lambda)} \cong C_\lambda\}$ , where  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C})$  denotes the group of field automorphisms of the complex numbers and the symbol  $\cong$  denotes isomorphism of curves.  $M_\lambda$  is the field of definition of the isomorphic class of  $C_\lambda$  in moduli space and it coincides with the intersection of all the fields of definition of  $C_\lambda$  [16]. By Theorem 3,  $M_\lambda = \mathbb{Q}(j(\lambda))$ . In case (i), of Theorem 5, these have odd signature [1], so they are definable over their field of moduli  $\mathbb{Q}(j(\lambda))$ . The cases (ii) and (iii) are quasiplatonic curves, so they are also definable over their corresponding field of moduli [22] (in these cases this field being  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). Note that in case (ii) the curves are already defined over its field of moduli  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The curve  $C_{(1 \pm i\sqrt{3})/2}$  is defined over a quadratic extension of its field of moduli.

**3.3. A combinatorial point of view.** Let  $H$  be the generalized Fermat group of type  $(p, 3)$  of  $C_\lambda$ . As  $H$  is a normal subgroup, we may look at the conjugation action of  $\text{Aut}(C_\lambda)$  on  $H$ . This action can be seen in a combinatorial simple form [9] by looking at the short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_\lambda) \xrightarrow{\rho} M_\lambda \rightarrow 1$ . We proceed to describe it below.

If  $U \in \text{Aut}(C_\lambda)$ , then  $\rho(U) \in M_\lambda$  induces a permutation on the points  $p_1 = \infty$ ,  $p_2 = 0$ ,  $p_3 = 1$  and  $p_4 = \lambda$ , so a permutation  $\sigma_U \in \mathfrak{S}_4$ . It can be seen that, for each  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $U a_j U^{-1} = a_{\sigma_U(j)}$ . This provides a permutation representation

$$\Theta : \text{Aut}(C_\lambda) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_4 : U \mapsto \Theta(U) = \sigma_U,$$

whose kernel is  $H$ . In particular,  $\Theta(\text{Aut}(C_\lambda)) \cong \text{Aut}(C_\lambda)/H \cong M_\lambda$ .

For the above given automorphisms  $\widehat{\alpha}$ ,  $\widehat{\gamma}$  and  $\widehat{\delta}$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(\widehat{\alpha}) &= (1, 2)(3, 4), \quad \Theta(\widehat{\beta}) = (1, 3)(2, 4), \\ \Theta(\widehat{\gamma}) &= (1, 4, 2, 3), \quad (\lambda = -1), \\ \Theta(\widehat{\gamma}) &= (2, 4, 3, 1), \quad (\lambda = 1/2), \\ \Theta(\widehat{\gamma}) &= (1, 2, 3, 4), \quad (\lambda = 2), \\ \Theta(\widehat{\delta}) &= (2, 3, 4), \quad \left( \lambda \in \left\{ (1 + i\sqrt{3})/2, (1 - i\sqrt{3})/2 \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\Theta(\widehat{\gamma})^2 \in \langle \Theta(\widehat{\alpha}), \Theta(\widehat{\beta}) \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}'_2$ .

The above explicit representations permits to list the possible images  $\Theta(\text{Aut}(C_\lambda))$  (up to conjugation in  $\mathfrak{S}_4$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_0 &= \{()\}, \quad \mathcal{U}_1 = \langle (1, 2)(3, 4) \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad \mathcal{U}_2 = \langle (1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 3)(2, 4) \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}'_2, \\ \mathcal{U}_3 &= \langle (2, 3, 4) \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \quad \left( \lambda = (1 \pm i\sqrt{3})/2 \right), \quad \mathcal{U}_4 = \langle (1, 2, 3, 4) \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \quad (\lambda = 2), \\ \mathcal{U}_8 &= \langle (1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 2, 3, 4) \rangle \cong D_4 \quad (\lambda = 2), \\ \mathcal{U}_{12} &= \langle (1, 2)(3, 4), (2, 3, 4) \rangle \cong \mathcal{A}_4 \quad \left( \lambda = (1 \pm i\sqrt{3})/2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\Theta(\text{Aut}(C_\lambda)) = \mathcal{U}_{12}$ , then every non-trivial subgroup of  $H$  which is  $\text{Aut}(C_\lambda)$ -invariant under conjugation contains elements acting with fixed points on  $C_\lambda$ .*

*Proof.* Let us recall (Remark 1) that the non-trivial elements acting with fixed points on  $C_\lambda$  are exactly the powers of  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  and  $a_4$ . The condition  $\Theta(\text{Aut}(C_\lambda)) = \mathcal{U}_{12}$  asserts that  $\widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\delta} \in \text{Aut}(C_\lambda)$ . Let  $K$  be a non-trivial subgroup of  $H$ , being  $\text{Aut}(C_\lambda)$ -invariant under conjugation. If  $a = a_1^{r_1} a_2^{r_2} a_3^{r_3} a_4^{r_4} \in K$  (where  $0 \leq r_j \leq p-1$ ), different from the identity, then  $b = \widehat{\alpha} a \widehat{\alpha}^{-1} = a_1^{r_2} a_2^{r_1} a_3^{r_4} a_4^{r_3}, c = \widehat{\delta} a \widehat{\delta}^{-1} = a_1^{r_1} a_2^{r_4} a_3^{r_2} a_4^{r_3} \in K$ . As  $a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 = 1$ , we may assume  $r_4 = 0$ . Up to a power, we also may assume (up to a permutation of the indices) that  $r_1 = 1$ , i.e.,  $a = a_1 a_2^{r_2} a_3^{r_3}, b = a_1^{r_2-r_3} a_2^{1-r_3} a_3^{-r_3}$  and  $c = a_1^{1-r_3} a_2^{-r_3} a_3^{r_2-r_3}$ . As  $ab = a_1^{1+r_2-r_3} a_2^{1+r_2-r_3} \in K$ , then we may also assume  $r_3 = 0$ . Now, we may assume  $a = a_1 a_2^r, b = a_1^r a_2, c = a_1 a_3^r \in K$ , where  $0 \leq r \leq p-1$ . If  $r = 0$ , then  $a = a_1 \in K$  and we are done. Assume  $r \neq 0$ . As  $\widehat{\delta} a_1 a_3^r \widehat{\delta}^{-1} = a_1 a_4^r \in K$ , then  $a_1^{3-r} = (a_1 a_2^r)(a_1 a_3^r)(a_1 a_4^r) \in K$ . So, if  $r \neq 3$ , we are done. Let us assume  $r = 3$  (so  $p \geq 5$ ). In this case,  $ab^{-3} = a_1^{-8} \in K$  and we are done.  $\square$

**Remark 4.** There is a natural action of  $\mathfrak{S}_4$  as a group of automorphisms of  $H$ , defined by the rule that  $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_4$  sends each  $a_j$  to  $a_{\rho(j)}$ . As  $\Theta(\text{Aut}(C_\lambda)) < \mathfrak{S}_4$  we may consider the restriction of such action to it. It can be seen that this is the same conjugation action of  $\text{Aut}(C_\lambda)$  on  $H$  as described above. The action of  $\mathfrak{S}_4$  on  $H$  induces a natural faithful permutation action of the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_4$  on the collection of subgroups  $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^l$ , for  $l \in \{1, 2\}$ , acting freely on  $C_\lambda$ .

**Example 1.** Let us consider the transformation  $a_1^{k_1} a_2^{k_2} a_3^{k_3} a_4^{k_4} \in H$ , where  $0 \leq k_i \leq p-1$ . In matrix form, this is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \omega_p^{k_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_p^{k_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega_p^{k_3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_p^{k_4} \end{bmatrix} \in \text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$$

The action of a permutation  $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_4$  is just the action of permutation of the elements of the diagonal. For instance, the action of  $\Theta(\widehat{\alpha})$  on it is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \omega_p^{k_2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_p^{k_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega_p^{k_4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_p^{k_3} \end{bmatrix}$$

**3.4. Description of subgroups of  $H$  acting freely on  $C_\lambda$ .** Next, we describe those subgroups  $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^m$ , where  $m \in \{1, 2\}$ , of  $H$  acting freely on  $C_\lambda$ .

**3.4.1. Case  $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ .** As noted in Remark 1, the only elements of  $H$  having fixed points on  $C_\lambda$  are the powers of  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  and  $a_4$ . In this way, a non-trivial element  $a_1^{k_1} a_2^{k_2} a_3^{k_3} \in H$ , where  $k_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ , will have no fixed points on  $C_\lambda$  if it is not a power of some  $a_j$ , that is: (i) no two of the exponents  $k_i$ 's are zero and (ii) if all the exponent are different from zero, then they are not equal. As a consequence, the order  $p$  cyclic subgroups of  $H$  acting freely are the following  $p^2 + p - 3$  ones:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle a_2 a_3^k \rangle, \quad k = 1, \dots, p-1, \\ &\langle a_1 a_2^r a_3^s \rangle, \quad (r, s) \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \setminus \{(0, 0), (1, 1)\}. \end{aligned}$$



3.4.2. *Case  $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ .* Every subgroup of  $H$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$  is given as the kernel of a surjective homomorphism  $\eta : H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ . Any two of these homomorphisms produces the same kernel when one is obtained from the other by post-composition by an automorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . The condition for that kernel to act freely on  $C_\lambda$  is equivalent to  $\eta(a_j) \neq 0$ , for every  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Each surjective homomorphism  $\eta$ , for which  $\eta(a_j) \neq 0$ , for every  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , induces a tuple  $I = (I_1, \dots, I_{p-1})$ , where  $I_k \subset \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  (it might be empty),  $I_{k_1} \cap I_{k_2} = \emptyset$  (for  $k_1 \neq k_2$ ),  $I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_{p-1} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} k\#(I_k) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . This tuple is defined by the rule that  $j \in I_k$  if and only if  $\eta(a_j) = k$ . Conversely, each tuple as above is induced by a unique such a surjective homomorphism. Set  $\mathcal{F}_p$  be the collection of such tuples  $I = (I_1, \dots, I_{p-1})$ . The group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$  acts by permuting these tuples, so the above permits (with some care) to obtain that the number of equivalent classes (so the number of the subgroups of  $H$  we are looking for) is  $p^2 - p - 1$ .

Let  $I = (I_1, \dots, I_{p-1}) \in \mathcal{F}_p$ . As said before, this tuple determines a unique surjective homomorphism  $\eta$  whose kernel  $K_I$  is a subgroup of  $H$  acting freely on  $C_\lambda$  and isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ . If  $i, j \in I_k$ , then  $a_i a_j^{-1} \in K_I$ . More generally, assume  $i \in I_{k_1}$  and  $j \in I_{k_2}$ , where  $k_1 < k_2$ . As  $k_1$  generates  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , there is some  $d \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$  such that  $k_2 \equiv dk_1 \pmod{p}$ . It follows that  $\eta(a_i^{-d} a_j) = 0$ , that is,  $a_i^{-d} a_j \in K_I$ . In this way, knowing the tuple  $I$  one can easily produce a set of generators for  $K_I$ . This observation permits to see that there are exactly  $(p^2 - p - 1)$  different subgroups of  $H$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$  and acting freely on  $C_\lambda$ .

#### 4. RIEMANN SURFACES WITH $\mathbb{Z}_p^l$ CONFORMAL ACTIONS OF TYPE 4

4.1. Let  $S$  be a given closed Riemann surface admitting a group  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^l$ ,  $l \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $p \geq 3$  a prime integer, of conformal automorphisms of type 4, i.e.,  $S/G$  is the Riemann sphere  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  with exactly four cone points, which can be assumed to be  $\infty, 0, 1, \lambda$ . As before,  $M_\lambda$  denotes the  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -stabilizer of the set  $\{\infty, 0, 1, \lambda\}$  (and its corresponding subgroup  $M_{2,\lambda} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ ). By Remark 2.3, we will assume that either: (1)  $\lambda \notin \{-1, 1/2, 2, (1 \pm i\sqrt{3})/2\}$ , (2)  $\lambda = 2$  or (3)  $\lambda = (1 + i\sqrt{3})/2$ . As a consequence of Theorem 4

- (1) there is a subgroup  $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{3-l}$  of  $H$ , acting freely on  $C_\lambda$ , and a biholomorphism  $\varphi : S \rightarrow C_\lambda/K$  such that  $\varphi G \varphi^{-1} = H/K$ , and
- (2) if (i)  $p \geq 7$  for  $l = 1$  and (ii)  $p \geq 13$  for  $l = 2$ , then  $\text{Aut}(S) \cong \widehat{G}/K$ , where  $\widehat{G} < \text{Aut}(C_\lambda)$  is the  $\text{Aut}(C_\lambda)$ -stabilizer of  $K$ . In particular,  $|\text{Aut}(S)| = p^l |\theta(\text{Aut}(S))|$  and  $|\theta(\text{Aut}(S))|$  is a divisor of  $|M_\lambda|$ .

By the above, we may assume  $S = C_\lambda/K$  and  $G = H/K$  for a suitable subgroup  $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{3-l}$  of  $H$  acting freely on  $C_\lambda$ .

Theorem 5 may be used to obtain explicit descriptions of the groups of automorphisms of  $S$ . The conjugation action of  $\text{Aut}(C_\lambda)$  on  $H$  induces a conjugation action on the collection of subgroups of  $H$  acting freely on  $C_\lambda$  and which are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{3-l}$ . If  $\widehat{G} < \text{Aut}(C_\lambda)$  is the stabilizer of such an action of  $K$ , then  $\text{Aut}_G(S) = \widehat{G}/K$ , where  $\text{Aut}_G(S)$  denotes the normalizer of  $G$  in  $\text{Aut}(S)$ . So, if we assume (i)  $p \geq 7$  for  $l = 1$  and (ii)  $p \geq 13$  for  $l = 2$ , then (by Theorem 4)  $\text{Aut}(S) = \text{Aut}_G(S) = \widehat{G}/K$ .

4.2. **Case  $l = 1$ :  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  actions of type 4.** In this case,  $S$  has genus  $p - 1$  and  $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2$  is one of the  $p^2 - p - 1$  possible acting freely subgroups as described in Section 3.4.2.

**Remark 5** (Algebraic models). As already noted in the introduction,  $S$  can be described by an algebraic curve of the form

$$y^p = x(x-1)^m(x-\lambda)^n,$$

where  $m, n \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$  are such that  $1 + m + n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ; in such a model,  $G = \langle (x, y) \mapsto (x, \omega_p y) \rangle$ , where  $\omega_p = e^{2\pi i/p}$ . Moreover, it was explained how to explicitly construct algebraic models of the automorphisms of  $S$  belonging to  $\text{Aut}_G(S)$ , so for  $p \geq 7$ , all of its automorphisms.

The permutation action  $\Theta : \text{Aut}(C_\lambda) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_4$ , described in Section 3.3, codifies in a combinatorial way the conjugacy action of  $\text{Aut}(C_\lambda)$  on  $H$  and so on the collection of its subgroups and it may be used to compute  $\widehat{G}$ . Take two generators of  $K$ , say  $a_1^{k_1} a_2^{k_2} a_3^{k_3} a_4^{k_4}$  and  $a_1^{r_1} a_2^{r_2} a_3^{r_3} a_4^{r_4}$ . If  $U \in \text{Aut}(C_\lambda)$  and  $\sigma := \Theta(U)$ , then  $U \in \widehat{G}$  if and only if  $a_{\sigma(1)}^{k_1} a_{\sigma(2)}^{k_2} a_{\sigma(3)}^{k_3} a_{\sigma(4)}^{k_4} \in K$  and  $a_{\sigma(1)}^{r_1} a_{\sigma(2)}^{r_2} a_{\sigma(3)}^{r_3} a_{\sigma(4)}^{r_4} \in K$ .

Also, in Section 3.3, we have obtained all possibilities for  $\Theta(\text{Aut}(C_\lambda))$ ; these (up to conjugation) were denoted by  $\mathcal{U}_j$ , where  $j = 0, 2, 3, 4, 8, 12$ . By Lemma 1, the case  $\mathcal{U}_{12} \cong \mathcal{A}_4$  is impossible (as we are asking to keep invariant  $K$  and it has no non-trivial elements with fixed points). Below, we describe the possibilities of  $\text{Aut}(S)$  in terms of the corresponding groups  $\mathcal{U}_j$  (it is not hard to write down the corresponding algebraic models as in Remark 5 [20]). Recall that, for  $p \geq 7$ ,  $\text{Aut}(S) = \text{Aut}_G(S)$ .

**4.2.1. Case  $\mathcal{U}_0$ .** In this (generic) case  $\widehat{G} = H$  and  $\text{Aut}_G(S) = G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ . For instance,  $K = \langle a_1 a_2, a_1 a_3^{-1} \rangle$ . In particular, the quotient  $S/\text{Aut}_G(S)$  has signature  $(0; p, p, p, p)$ .

**4.2.2. Case  $\mathcal{U}_1$ .** In this case,  $\widehat{G} \cong H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ , where the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  factor is either one of the followings:  $\langle \widehat{\alpha} \rangle$ ,  $\langle \widehat{\beta} \rangle$ ,  $\langle \widehat{\alpha\beta} \rangle$ ,  $\langle \widehat{\alpha\gamma} \rangle$  or  $\langle \widehat{\beta\gamma} \rangle$ . In the first three cases,  $\text{Aut}_G(S) = G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong D_p$  and the signature of  $S/\text{Aut}_G(S)$  is  $(0; 2, 2, p, p)$ . In the last two ones  $\text{Aut}_G(S) = G \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2p}$  and the signature for  $S/\text{Aut}_G(S)$  is  $(0; p, 2p, 2p)$ .

**4.2.3. Case  $\mathcal{U}_2$ .** In this case,  $\widehat{G} \cong H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ , where the  $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$  factor is  $\langle \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta} \rangle$ . In this case,  $\text{Aut}_G(S) = G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2^2$  and the signature of  $S/\text{Aut}_G(S)$  is  $(0; 2, 2, 2, p)$ .

**4.2.4. Case  $\mathcal{U}_3$ .** In this case,  $\widehat{G} \cong H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  can be thought to be generated by  $\widehat{\delta}$  (or any of its  $L$ -conjugate),  $\text{Aut}_G(S) = G \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3p}$  and the signature for  $S/\text{Aut}_G(S)$  is  $(0; 3, p, 3p)$ . In this case, by Theorem 5,  $\lambda = (1 \pm i\sqrt{2})/2$ .

**4.2.5. Case  $\mathcal{U}_4$ .** In this case,  $\widehat{G} \cong H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  can be thought to be generated by  $\widehat{\gamma}$  (or any of its  $L$ -conjugate),  $\text{Aut}_G(S) = G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  and the signature for  $S/\text{Aut}_G(S)$  is  $(0; 4, 4, p)$ . In this case, by Theorem 5,  $\lambda \in \{-1, 1/2, 2\}$  (so  $S$  is definable over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

**4.2.6. Case  $\mathcal{U}_8$ .** In this case,  $\widehat{G} \cong H \rtimes D_4$ , where  $D_4$  can be thought to be generated by  $L$  and  $\widehat{\gamma}$  (or any of its  $L$ -conjugate),  $\text{Aut}_G(S) = G \rtimes D_4 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes D_4$  and the signature for  $S/\text{Aut}_G(S)$  is  $(0; 2, 4, 2p)$ . It is well known that, up to isomorphisms, there is only one possible such a Riemann surface  $S$ , this being Accola-Maclachlan curve  $y^2 = x^{2p} - 1$  (so definable over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

**Remark 6** (Field of moduli versus field of definitions). Let us assume  $p \geq 7$ , so  $\text{Aut}_G(S) = \text{Aut}(S)$ . In case 4.2.1 with the signature  $(0; p, 2p, 2p)$  and cases 4.2.4, 4.2.5, 4.2.5 and 4.2.6, the curves are quasiplatonic, so they are definable over their fields of moduli [22]. In case 4.2.3, the curve has odd signature, so it is also defined over its field of moduli [1]. In the cases 4.2.1 and 4.2.2 with the signature  $(0; 2, 2, p, p)$  as  $S/\text{Aut}(S)$  has genus zero, there is a minimal field of definition for  $S$  which is at most a quadratic extension over its field of moduli.

**Example 2.** Let  $p \geq 7$  be a prime integer and consider the subgroup  $K = \langle a_1 a_2, a_1 a_3^{-1} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ . This is the kernel of the surjective homomorphism  $\eta : H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  defined by  $\eta(a_1) = \eta(a_3) = 1$  and  $\eta(a_2) = \eta(a_4) = p-1$ . It can be seen that  $K$  acts freely on  $C_\lambda$ , so it defines a closed Riemann surface  $S = C_\lambda/K$  of genus  $g = p-1$  admitting  $G = H/K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$  as a group of conformal automorphisms such that  $S/G = C_\lambda/H$ , i.e., the Riemann sphere  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  and cone points  $\infty, 0, 1$  and  $\lambda$ . Since  $\Theta(\widehat{\alpha}) = (1, 2)(3, 4)$  and  $a_2 a_4^{-1} = (a_1 a_2)(a_2 a_4^{-1})(a_1 a_2)^{-1}$ , we see that  $K$  is invariant under  $\widehat{\alpha}$ . Similarly, as  $\Theta(\widehat{\beta}) = (1, 3)(2, 4)$  and  $a_3 a_4 = (a_1 a_2)^{-1}$  and  $a_1 a_3^{-1} = (a_1 a_3)^{-1}$ , we also have that  $K$  is invariant under  $\widehat{\beta}$ . In particular,  $\text{Aut}(S)$  contains the group  $G \rtimes \langle a, b \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ , where  $a$  (respectively,  $b$ ) is induced by  $\widehat{\alpha}$  (respectively,  $\widehat{\beta}$ ). If  $\lambda \neq 2$ , then  $\text{Aut}(S) = G \rtimes \langle a, b \rangle$ . If  $\lambda = 2$ , then  $K$  is also invariant under  $\widehat{\gamma}$ , and  $\text{Aut}(S) = G \rtimes D_4$  (this corresponds to Accola-Maclachlan's curve). The above surfaces correspond to the algebraic curves of the form

$$y^p = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)^{p-1}.$$

The induced automorphisms on  $S$  corresponding to  $a$  and  $b$  (in the the above algebraic model) is given by

$$(x, y) \xrightarrow{a} \left( \frac{\lambda}{x}, -\frac{\lambda(x-1)(x-\lambda)}{xy} \right), \quad (x, y) \xrightarrow{b} \left( \frac{x-\lambda}{x-1}, -\frac{(1-\lambda)x(x-\lambda)}{(x-1)y} \right),$$

and, for  $\lambda = 2$ , the induced automorphism  $c$  by  $\widehat{\gamma}$  is

$$(x, y) \xrightarrow{c} \left( \frac{2}{2-x}, -\frac{2y(x-1)}{(x-2)^2} \right)$$

**4.3. Case  $l = 2$ :  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$  actions of type 4.** In this case,  $K$  is one of the following  $p^2 + p - 3$  possible subgroup (Section 3.4.1):

$$\langle a_2 a_3^k \rangle, \quad k = 1, \dots, p-1,$$

$$\langle a_1 a_2^r a_3^s \rangle, \quad (r, s) \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \setminus \{(0, 0), (1, 1)\}.$$

We have a surjective homomorphism  $\varphi : H \rightarrow H/K = G = \langle A, B \rangle$ , where  $\varphi(a_1) = A$  and  $\varphi(a_2) = B$ . As  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  have both fixed points on  $C_\lambda$ , the induced automorphisms  $A$  and  $B$  also have fixed points on  $S = C_\lambda/K$ .

**Remark 7** (Algebraic models). Let us assume  $p \geq 5$  (the case  $p = 3$  will be observed later). The cyclic subgroups of  $G$  are given by the following  $(p+1)$  cyclic groups  $\langle B \rangle$  and  $\langle AB^l \rangle$ , where  $l = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ . We already know that both  $\langle B \rangle$  and  $\langle A \rangle$  act with fixed points. Let us consider the rest of the cyclic subgroups  $\langle AB^l \rangle$ , where  $l = 1, \dots, p-1$ . As  $S/G$  has only four cone points, at most two of them may act with fixed points. Since  $p-1 > 2$ , we can find  $l_1 \neq l_2$  such that  $\langle AB^{l_1} \rangle$  and  $\langle AB^{l_2} \rangle$  both acts freely on  $S$ . If we set  $A_1 = AB^{l_1}$  and  $B_1 = AB^{l_2}$ , then (a)  $G = \langle A_1, B_1 \rangle$  and (b) both,  $S/\langle A \rangle$  and  $S/\langle B \rangle$ , are cyclic  $p$ -gonal curves, each one a cyclic branched covering of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  (with branch values at  $\infty, 0, 1$  and  $\lambda$ ). It follows that  $S$  can be algebraically described by the fiber product

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} y^p = x(x-1)^a(x-\lambda)^b \\ z^p = x(x-1)^c(x-\lambda)^d \end{array} \right\},$$

where  $a, b, c, d \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$  are such that  $1 + a + b, 1 + c + d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . In this (singular) algebraic model,  $A_1(x, y, z) = (x, \omega_p y, z)$  and  $B_1(x, y, z) = (x, y, \omega_p z)$ .

4.3.1. *Case 1.* If  $K = \langle a_2 a_3^k \rangle$ , then  $\varphi(a_3) = B^k$  and  $\varphi(a_4) = A^{-1} B^{\hat{k}-1}$ , where  $k\hat{k} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . This, in particular asserts that 0 and 1 are projection of fixed points of  $B$  (so  $B$  has exactly  $2p$  fixed points), that  $A$  has exactly  $p$  fixed points, that  $A^{-1} B^{\hat{k}-1}$  has also exactly  $p$  fixed points and that every other element of  $G$  not in  $\langle A \rangle \cup \langle B \rangle \cup \langle A^{-1} B^{\hat{k}-1} \rangle$  has no fixed points. In this case, the quotient orbifold  $\mathcal{O} = S/\langle B \rangle$  has genus zero (so we may assume it to be  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ ) and exactly  $2p$  cone points, each one of order  $p$ . Moreover, the element  $A$  induces a conformal automorphism of order  $p$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Without lost of generality, we may assume it to be the rotation  $x \mapsto \omega_p x$  and the cone points to be given by the  $p$ -roots of unity and the  $p$ -roots of  $\lambda$ .

**Remark 8.** In this case, a much more simple algebraic model for  $S$  (now including the case  $p \geq 3$ ) is given by

$$E_\lambda : y^p = (x^p - 1)(x^p - \lambda)^m, \quad m \in \{1, \dots, p-1\},$$

where  $G = \langle A(x, y) = (\omega_p x, y), B(x, y) = (x, \omega_p y) \rangle$ , and for which  $\pi(x, y) = x^p$  is a regular branched cover with deck group  $G$ .

4.3.2. *Case 2.* If  $K = \langle a_1 a_2^r a_3^s \rangle$ , where either  $r = 0$  or  $s = 0$  (but not both), then the situation is similar to the previous casse.

4.3.3. *Case 3.* If  $K = \langle a_1 a_2^r a_3^s \rangle$ , where  $r, s > 0$ , then  $\varphi(a_3) = A^{-\hat{s}} B^{-\hat{s}r}$  and  $\varphi(a_4) = A^{\hat{s}-1} B^{\hat{s}r-1}$ , where  $s\hat{s} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . This, in particular asserts that each  $A, B, AB^r$  and  $A^{\hat{s}-1} B^{\hat{s}r-1}$  has exactly  $p$  fixed points and every other element of  $G$  not in  $\langle A \rangle \cup \langle B \rangle \cup \langle AB^r \rangle \cup \langle A^{\hat{s}-1} B^{\hat{s}r-1} \rangle$  has no fixed points. In this case, the quotient orbifold  $\mathcal{O} = S/\langle A \rangle$  has genus  $(p-1)/2$  and exactly  $p$  cone points, each one of order  $p$ . Moreover, the element  $B$  induces a conformal automorphism of order  $p$  of  $\mathcal{O}$  with exactly three fixed points. We may assume that there is a  $p$ -fold regular branched cover from  $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  whose branch values are 0, 1 and  $\lambda$ .

**Remark 9.** In this situation, for  $p = 3$ , we may observe that an algebraic model for  $S$  is given as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} y^3 = x(x-1)^a(x-\lambda)^b \\ z^3 = (x-1)^c(x-\lambda)^d \end{array} \right\},$$

where  $a, b, c, d \in \{1, 2\}$  are such that  $a + b \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  and  $c + d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . In this (singular) algebraic model,  $A(x, y, z) = (x, \omega_3 y, z)$  and  $B(x, y, z) = (x, y, \omega_3 z)$ .

4.3.4. **A description of  $\text{Aut}(S)$  in terms of  $K$ .** Recall that, for  $p \geq 13$ , we have the equality  $\text{Aut}_G(S) = \text{Aut}(S)$ .

**Theorem 6.** *Following with the previous notations.*

- (1) If either  $K = \langle a_2 a_3^k \rangle$ ,  $k \neq 1$ , or  $K = \langle a_1 a_3^s \rangle$  or  $K = \langle a_1 a_2^r \rangle$ , where  $r, s \notin \{1, p-1\}$ , then  $\text{Aut}_G(S) = G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ . In this case,  $S/\text{Aut}_G(S)$  has signature  $(0; p, p, p, p)$ .
- (2) If  $K = \langle a_2 a_3 \rangle$ , then  $\text{Aut}_G(S) = G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ . In this case,  $S/\text{Aut}_G(S)$  has signature  $(0; 2, 2, 2, p)$  and, in particular,  $S$  is definable over its field of moduli.
- (3) If  $K = \langle a_1 a_3 \rangle$  and  $\lambda = 2$ , then  $\text{Aut}_G(S) = G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$ . In this case,  $S/\text{Aut}_G(S)$  has signature  $(0; 4, 4, p)$  and, in particular,  $S$  is definable over its field of moduli.
- (4) If either  $K = \langle a_1 a_3^{-1} \rangle$  or  $K = \langle a_1 a_2^{\pm 1} \rangle$ , then  $\text{Aut}_G(S) = G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ . In this case,  $S/\text{Aut}_G(S)$  has signature  $(0; 2, 2, p, p)$ .
- (5) If  $K = \langle a_1 a_2^r \rangle$ , then (i) for  $r \notin \{1, p-1\}$ ,  $\text{Aut}_G(S) = G$ , (ii) for  $r = 1$ ,  $\text{Aut}_G(S) = G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2^2$  (in which case  $S/\text{Aut}_G(S)$  has signature  $(0; 2, 2, 2, p)$ ) and (iii) for  $r = p-1$ ,  $\text{Aut}_G(S) = G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$  (in which case  $S/\text{Aut}_G(S)$  has signature  $(0; 2, 2, p, p)$ ).

- (6) If  $K = \langle a_1 a_3^s \rangle$ , then (i) for  $s \neq 1$ ,  $\text{Aut}_G(S) = G$ , and (ii) for  $s = 1$ ,  $\text{Aut}_G(S) = G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$  (in which case  $S/\text{Aut}_G(S)$  has signature  $(0; 2, 2, p, p)$ ).
- (7) If  $K = \langle a_1 a_2^r a_3^s \rangle$ , where  $r, s > 0$ , then  $\text{Aut}(S) = G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2$  (in which case,  $S/\text{Aut}(S)$  has signature  $(0; p, p, p, p)$ ) with the only following exceptions:
- (a) (i)  $r = s - 1$ ,  $s \in \{2, \dots, p - 1\}$  or (ii)  $r = s + 1$ ,  $s \in \{1, \dots, p - 2\}$  or (iii)  $r = p + 1 - s$ ,  $s \in \{2, \dots, p - 1\}$ , in which case,  $\text{Aut}(S) = G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $S/\text{Aut}(S)$  has signature  $(0; 2, 2, p, p)$ ;
  - (b)  $s^2 - 3s + 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $r \equiv 2s - s^2 \pmod{p}$ , where  $\text{Aut}(S) = G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$  (in which case,  $S/\text{Aut}(S)$  has signature  $(0; 3, p, 3p)$  and  $S$  is definable over its field of moduli); and
  - (c)  $s^3 - s^2 + s - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $r \equiv s - s^2 \pmod{p}$ , where  $\text{Aut}(S) = G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  (in which case,  $S/\text{Aut}(S)$  has signature  $(0; 4, 4, p)$  and  $S$  is gain definable over its field of moduli).

*Proof.* **(1) Case  $K = \langle a_2 a_3^k \rangle$ .** As  $\widehat{\alpha}$  conjugates  $a_2 a_3^k$  to  $a_1 a_4^k$ , and the last element belong to  $K$  only for  $k = 1$  (this can be seen by looking at the diagonal forms of the standard generators), it follows that this automorphism does not keep invariant (under conjugation)  $K$  with the only exception  $k = 1$ . Similarly,  $\widehat{\beta}$  conjugates  $a_2 a_3^k$  to  $a_4 a_1^k$  and this belong to  $K$  only for  $k = 1$ . The element  $\widehat{\delta}$  (respectively,  $\widehat{\gamma}$ ) conjugates  $a_2 a_3^k$  to  $a_3 a_4^k \notin K$ .

**(2) Case  $K = \langle a_1 a_2^r a_3^s \rangle$ .** The element  $\widehat{\alpha}$  conjugates  $a_1 a_2^r a_3^s$  to  $a_1^r a_2 a_4^s$ , which belongs to  $K$  only for (a)  $r \in \{1, p - 1\}$  and  $s = 0$  or (b)  $r = s - 1$  and  $s \geq 1$ . In fact, for  $a_1^r a_2 a_4^s$ , to belongs to  $K$ , we must be some  $l \in \{1, \dots, p - 1\}$  such that  $a_1^r a_2 a_4^s = (a_1 a_2^r a_3^s)^l$ , that is, (i)  $\omega_p^l = \omega_p^{r-s}$ , (ii)  $\omega_p^r = \omega_p^{1-s}$  and (iii)  $\omega_p^{ls} = \omega_p^{-s}$ . It follows from (iii) that either  $l = p - 1$  or  $s = 0$ . If  $s = 0$  (so  $r \neq 0$ ), then (i) asserts that  $l \equiv r \pmod{p}$ , i.e.,  $l = r$  (since  $1 \leq l, r \leq p - 1$ ) and by (ii) we get that  $r^2 - 1$  is divisible by  $p$ , so either  $r = 1$  or  $r = p - 1$ . If  $s > 0$ , then  $l = p - 1$ . By (i) it follows that  $r - s + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , i.e.,  $r = s - 1$ . The element  $\widehat{\beta}$  conjugates  $a_1 a_2^r a_3^s$  to  $a_1^s a_3 a_4^r$  and similar computations as above permits to see that  $a_1^s a_3 a_4^r$  belongs to  $K$  only for  $0 \leq s \leq p - 2$  and  $r = s + 1$ . The element  $\widehat{\beta} \widehat{\alpha}$  conjugates  $a_1 a_2^r a_3^s$  to  $a_2^s a_3^r a_4$  which belongs to  $K$  only for  $s + r \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . The element  $\widehat{\delta}$  conjugates  $a_1 a_2^r a_3^s$  to  $a_1 a_3^r a_4^s$ . If  $r = 0$  or  $s = 0$ , then  $a_1 a_3^r a_4^s \notin K$ . Now, let us assume  $r, s > 0$ . For the element  $a_1 a_3^r a_4^s$  to belong to  $K$  there must be some  $l \in \{1, \dots, p - 1\}$  such that (i)  $l \equiv 1 - s \pmod{p}$ , (ii)  $lr \equiv -s \pmod{p}$  and (iii)  $ls \equiv r - s \pmod{p}$ . In particular,  $s \neq 1$  (otherwise, it obligates for  $l = 0$ ), i.e.,  $s \in \{2, \dots, p - 1\}$  and (i) ensures that  $l = p + 1 - s$ . The other two conditions (ii) and (iii) ensure that both  $(1 - s)r + s$  and  $(1 - s)s + s - r$  are divisible by  $p$ . Note that neither  $r = 1$ . From the last one,  $r = (1 - s)s + s + qp$ , some  $q$ , and replacing it in the second one, we obtain that  $s(s^2 - 3s + 3)$  is divisible by  $p$ , i.e.,  $s^2 - 3s + 3$  is divisible by  $p$ . The element  $\widehat{\gamma}$  conjugates  $a_1 a_2^r a_3^s$  to  $a_2 a_3^r a_4^s$ . If  $r = 0$ , then for the previous element to stay in  $K$  it is needed that  $s = 1$ . Now assume  $r > 0$ . If  $s = 0$ , then  $a_2 a_3^r a_4^s \notin K$ . So, we also assume  $s > 0$ . For the element  $a_2 a_3^r a_4^s$  to belong to  $K$  there must be some  $l \in \{1, \dots, p - 1\}$  such that (i)  $l \equiv -s \pmod{p}$ , (ii)  $lr \equiv 1 - s \pmod{p}$  and (iii)  $ls \equiv r - s \pmod{p}$ . Form (i) we must have  $l = p - s$ . These equations asserts that  $s^3 - s^2 + s - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $r \equiv s - s^2 \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.** Let  $p \geq 13$  be a prime integer. Some examples of algebraic curves representing Riemann surfaces  $S$  admitting a group  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2$  such that  $S/G$  has signature  $(0; p, p, p, p)$  are provided by the curves  $E_\lambda$  of Remark 8.

- (1) If  $m = p - 1$ , then  $E_\lambda$  admits the extra commuting automorphisms of order two

$$\alpha(x, y) = \left( \frac{\sqrt[p]{\lambda}}{x}, \sqrt[p]{-\lambda^{p-1}} y^{p-1} \right), \quad \beta(x, y) = \left( \frac{x^p - \lambda}{y}, (1 - \lambda)x^{p-1} \right).$$

In this case,  $\text{Aut}(S) = G \rtimes \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2^2$  and  $S/\text{Aut}(S)$  has signature  $(0; 2, 2, 2, p)$ . This corresponds to the group  $K = \langle a_2 a_3 \rangle$ .

- (2) If  $m^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then  $E_2$  admits the order four automorphism

$$\gamma(x, y) = \left( \frac{(x^p - 1)(x^p - 2)^{m-1}}{y^p}, \sqrt[p]{(-1)^{(p+1)/2} 2^{(p-1)/2}} (x^p - 2)^{(m^2+1)/p} \right).$$

In this case,  $\text{Aut}(S) = G \rtimes \langle \gamma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  and  $S/\text{Aut}(S)$  has signature  $(0; 4, 4, p)$ . This case corresponds to the group  $K = \langle a_1 a_3 \rangle$ .

- (3) If  $m = 1$ , then  $E_\lambda$  admits the order two automorphism

$$\alpha(x, y) = \left( \frac{\sqrt[p]{\lambda}}{x}, \sqrt[p]{\lambda} y \right).$$

In this case,  $\text{Aut}(S) = G \rtimes \langle \alpha \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $S/\text{Aut}(S)$  has signature  $(0; 2, 2, p, p)$ . This corresponds to the groups  $K = \langle a_1 a_3^{-1} \rangle$  or  $K = \langle a_1 a_2^{\pm 1} \rangle$ .

## 5. AN ISOGENOUS DECOMPOSITION OF THE JACOBIAN VARIETY

Let  $S$  be a closed Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 2$ . The jacobian variety of  $S$  is given by the complex torus  $JS = H^{1,0}(S)^\vee / H_1(S, \mathbb{Z})$ , where  $H^{1,0}(S)^\vee$  is the dual space of the  $g$ -dimensional space  $H^{1,0}(S)$  of holomorphic one-forms, and  $H_1(S, \mathbb{Z})$  is the lattice generated by the integration of forms along homology curves. The intersection form in homology induces a principal polarization of  $JS$ , that is,  $JS$  has the structure of a principally polarized abelian variety [4].

Two principally polarized abelian varieties  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are called isogenous if there is a non-constant surjective morphism  $h : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  between the corresponding tori with finite kernel ( $h$  is called an isogeny). A principally polarized abelian variety  $A$  is called decomposable if it is isogenous to the product of abelian varieties of smaller dimensions (otherwise, it is said to be simple). As a consequence of Poincaré complete reducibility theorem [19], every principally polarized abelian variety can be decomposed as the product of (simple) ones. In particular, the Jacobian variety  $JS$  can be decomposed, up to isogeny, into a product of simple sub-varieties.

If we are given a group  $G$  of conformal automorphisms of  $S$ , in [17], it has been obtained a method to obtain an isogenous decomposition of  $JS$ . In such a decomposition, some of the factor may be jacobian varieties and others no. One may wonder for an isogenous decompositions of  $JS$  such that each of the factors is the jacobian variety of some closed Riemann surface. The following result, due to Kani and Rosen [15], provides sufficient conditions for it to happens. If  $L < \text{Aut}(S)$ , we denote by  $g_L$  the genus of the quotient orbifold  $S/L$  and by  $S_L$  its the underlying Riemann surface structure.

**Theorem 7** (Corollary of Theorem C in [15]). *Let  $S$  be a closed Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 1$  and let  $H_1, \dots, H_t < \text{Aut}(S)$  such that:*

- (1)  $H_i H_j = H_j H_i$ , for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, t$ ;
- (2)  $g_{H_i H_j} = 0$ , for  $1 \leq i < j \leq t$
- (3)  $g = \sum_{j=1}^t g_{H_j}$ .

Then

$$JS \cong_{\text{isog.}} \prod_{j=1}^l JS_{H_j}.$$

**5.1. Decomposition for generalized Fermat curves.** Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}) \in \Omega_n$  and consider the generalized Fermat curve  $C_\Lambda$  together its generalized Fermat group  $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ . As a consequence of Kani-Rosen result, the following isogenous decomposition is known.

**Theorem 8** ([5]).

$$JC_\Lambda \cong_{\text{isog.}} \prod_{H_r} JS_{H_r},$$

where  $H_r$  runs over all subgroups of  $H$  which are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$ , with quotient orbifold  $S/H_r$  of genus at least one, and  $S_{H_r}$  denoting the underlying Riemann surface of the orbifold. The cyclic  $p$ -gonal curves  $S_{H_r}$  run over all curves of the form

$$y^p = \prod_{j=1}^r (x - \mu_j)^{\alpha_j},$$

where  $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r\} \subset \{\infty, 0, 1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}\}$ ,  $\mu_i \neq \mu_j$  if  $i \neq j$ ,  $\alpha_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$  satisfying the following.

- (i) If every  $\mu_j \neq \infty$ , then  $\alpha_1 = 1$ ,  $\alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_r \equiv p-1 \pmod{p}$ ;
- (ii) If some  $\mu_a = \infty$ , then  $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{a-1} + \alpha_{a+1} + \dots + \alpha_r \equiv p-1 \pmod{p}$ .

**5.2. Decomposition for the general case.** Let us now consider a pair  $(S, G)$ , where  $S$  is a closed Riemann surface and  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^l$  of type  $r \geq 3$ , where  $p \geq 2$  is a prime integer. As already seen, there is some  $n \geq 2$ , some  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}) \in \Omega_n$  and a subgroup  $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$  of the generalized Fermat group  $H$  of  $C_\Lambda$ , acting freely on it, such that there is a biholomorphism  $\phi : S \rightarrow C_\Lambda/K$  conjugating  $G$  to  $H/K$ .

There is a natural isogeny between  $JC_\Lambda$  and  $JS \times \text{Prym}(C_\Lambda/S)$ , where  $\text{Prym}(C_\Lambda/S)$  is the Prym variety associated to the covering  $C_\Lambda \rightarrow S$  (this subvariety can be seen as the component of the identity of the orthogonal complement of the embedding of  $JS$  into  $JC_\Lambda$ ). On the other hand, Theorem 8 asserts that  $JC_\Lambda$  is also isogenous to a product of certain jacobian varieties. One may conjecture that the above induces an isogenous decomposition

$$JS \cong_{\text{isog.}} \prod_Z JS_Z,$$

where  $Z$  runs over all subgroups of  $G$  which are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{l-1}$ , with quotient orbifold  $S/Z$  of genus at least one (where  $S_Z$  denotes its underlying Riemann surface), and that

$$\text{Prym}(C_\Lambda/S) \cong_{\text{isog.}} \prod_{H_r} JS_{H_r},$$

where  $H_r$  runs over all subgroups of  $H$  which are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$ , with quotient orbifold  $S/H_r$  of genus at least one, and such that  $H_r$  does not contains  $K$ .

In the following, we prove the above assertions for the case  $l = 2$ .

**Theorem 9.** Let  $S$  be a closed Riemann surface and  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2 \cong G < \text{Aut}(S)$  of type 4, where  $p \geq 3$  is a prime integer. Let  $C$  be the collection of order  $p$  cyclic subgroups  $Z$  of  $G$  such that  $S/Z$  has genus bigger than zero. Then

$$JS \cong_{\text{isog.}} \prod_{Z \in C} JS_Z.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 4, we may assume that  $S = C_\lambda/K$ , where  $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$  is a subgroup of  $H$  acting freely on  $C_\lambda$ , and  $G = \langle A, B \rangle = H/K$ .

The group  $G$  has exactly  $p + 1$  different cyclic subgroups  $Z \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Let  $S_Z$  be the underlying Riemann surface of the quotient orbifold  $S/Z$ . As a consequence of the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, either one of the following possibilities occur.

- (1)  $Z$  acts freely on  $S$  and  $S_Z$  has genus  $p - 1$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the collection of those subgroups  $Z$ .
- (2)  $Z$  has exactly  $p$  fixed point on  $S$  and  $S_Z$  has genus  $(p - 1)/2$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{B}$  the collection of those subgroups  $Z$ .
- (3)  $Z$  has exactly  $2p$  fixed points and  $S_Z$  is of genus zero. We denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  the collection of those subgroups  $Z$ .

If  $K = \langle a_2 a_3^k \rangle$ , then  $\langle B \rangle$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\langle A \rangle$  and  $\langle A^{-1} B^{\hat{k}-1} \rangle$  belong to  $\mathcal{B}$  and all other cyclic subgroups are in  $\mathcal{A}$ . In the case that  $K = \langle a_1 a_2^r a_3^s \rangle$ , then  $\langle A \rangle$ ,  $\langle B \rangle$  and  $\langle A^{\hat{s}-1} B^{\hat{s}r-1} \rangle$  belong to  $\mathcal{B}$  and all other cyclic subgroups are in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$  be the cardinalities of  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ , respectively. So,  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = p + 1$ . As  $S/G$  has exactly 4 cone points, we observe that the total number of points on  $S$  with non-trivial stabilizer is  $4p$ . It follows that  $4p = p\beta + 2p\gamma$ . All the above asserts that  $\alpha = \gamma + p - 3$  and  $\beta = 4 - 2\gamma$ . This permits to observe that  $(p - 1)^2 = \alpha(p - 1) + \beta(p - 1)/2$ . As  $G$  is an abelian group, we may apply the Kani-Rosen theorem to the collection of those  $\alpha + \beta$  cyclic subgroups  $K$  as above to obtain that

$$JS \cong_{isog} \prod_{Z \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}} JS_Z.$$

□

**Remark 10.** In the above proof, if  $Z \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $S_Z$  admits  $G/Z \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$  as a group of conformal automorphisms such that  $S_Z/(G/Z)$  is  $S/G$ . It follows that  $S_Z$  can be described by a cyclic  $p$ -gonal curve of the form  $y^p = x(x - 1)^{a_Z}(x - \lambda)^{b_Z}$ , where  $a_Z, b_Z \in \{1, \dots, p - 1\}$  are such that  $1 + a_Z + b_Z \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . If  $Z \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $S_Z$  admits  $G/Z \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$  as a group of conformal automorphisms such that  $S_Z/(G/Z)$  is the Riemann sphere and its three cone points are contained inside the set  $\{\infty, 0, 1, \lambda\}$ . If  $r_Z, s_Z, t_Z$  are these cone points, then  $S_Z$  can be described by a cyclic  $p$ -gonal curve of the form  $y^p = (x - r_Z)(x - s_Z)^{a_Z}(x - t_Z)^{b_Z}$ , where  $a_Z, b_Z \in \{1, \dots, p - 1\}$  are such that  $1 + a_Z + b_Z \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  (where if one of the cone points is  $\infty$ , the corresponding factor is deleted).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Artebani, M., Quispe, S. Fields of moduli and fields of definition of odd signature curves. *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **99** (4), 333–344 (2012)
- [2] Bartolini, G., Costa, A. F. and Izquierdo, M. On the connectivity of branch loci of moduli spaces. *Annales Academiæ Scientiarum Fennicæ* **38** (1), 245–258 (2013)
- [3] Bartolini, G. and Izquierdo, M. On the connectedness of the branch locus of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces of low genus. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **140** (1), 35–45 (2012)
- [4] Ch. Birkenhake and H. Lange. *Complex Abelian Varieties*, 2nd edition, Grundle. Math. Wiss. **302**, Springer, 2004.
- [5] Carvacho, M., Hidalgo, R. A., Quispe, S. Jacobian variety of generalized Fermat curves. *The Quarterly J. of Math.* **67**, 261–284 (2016)
- [6] G. Castelnuovo. Sulle serie algebriche di gruppi di punti appartenenti ad una curve algebraica. *Rendiconti della R. Accademia dei Lincei Series* **5**, **XV**, Memorie scelte p. 509 (1906)
- [7] Fuertes, Y., González-Diez, G., Hidalgo, R. A., Leyton, M. Automorphisms groups of generalized Fermat curves of type  $(k, 3)$ . *J. of Pure and Applied Algebra* **217**, 1791–1806 (2013)



- [8] González-Diez, G. On prime Galois coverings of the Riemann Sphere. *Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata (IV)*, Vol. **CLXVII**, 1–15 (1995)
- [9] González-Diez, G., Hidalgo, R. A., Leyton, M. Generalized Fermat curves. *Journal of Algebra* **321**, 1643–1660 (2009)
- [10] Greenberg, L. Conformal Transformations of Riemann Surfaces. *Amer. J. of Math.* **82** (2), 749–760 (1960)
- [11] Hidalgo, R. A.  $p$ -Groups on Riemann surfaces. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **222**, 4173–4188 (2018)
- [12] Hidalgo, R. A., Leyton, M. On uniqueness of automorphisms groups of Riemann surfaces. *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana* **23** (3), 793–810 (2007)
- [13] Hidalgo, R. A., Kontogeorgis, A., Leyton, M., Paramantzoglou, P. Automorphisms of generalized Fermat curves. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **221**, 2312–2337 (2017)
- [14] Hurwitz, A. Über algebraische Gebilde mit eindeutigen Transformationen in sich. *Math. Ann.* **41**, 403–442 (1893)
- [15] E. Kani and M. Rosen. Idempotent relations and factors of Jacobians. *Math. Ann.* **284** (2), 307–327 (1989)
- [16] Koizumi, S. The fields of moduli for polarized abelian varieties and for curves. *Nagoya Math. J.* **48**, 3–55 (1972)
- [17] H. Lange and S. Recillas. Abelian varieties with group action. *J. reine angew. Math.* **575** (2004), 135–155.
- [18] Nag, S. *The complex analytic theory of Teichmüller spaces*. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York 1988.
- [19] H. Poincaré. Sur les fonctions abéliennes. *Amer. J. Math.* **8** (1886), 289–342.
- [20] Reyes-Carocca, S., Rojas, M. Anita. On large prime actions on Riemann surfaces. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.04596>
- [21] Schwartz, H. A.: Über diejenigen algebraischen Gleichungen zwischen zwei veränderlichen Größen, welche eine schaar rationaler, eindeutig umkehrbarer Transformationen in sich selbst zulassen. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* **87**, 139–145 (1890)
- [22] Wolfart, J. ABC for polynomials, dessins d’enfants and uniformization – a survey. *Elementare und analytische Zahlentheorie*, 313D345, Schr. Wiss. Ges. Johann Wolfgang Goethe Univ. Frankfurt am Main, 20, Franz Steiner Verlag Stuttgart, Stuttgart, 2006.
- [23] Wootton, A. Defining equations for cyclic prime covers of the Riemann sphere. *Israel J. Math.* **157**, 103–122 (2007)