

1 **Elementary Intracellular Ca Signals are Initiated by a Transition of Release Channel**  
2 **System from a Metastable State**

3  
4 **Guillermo Veron<sup>1</sup>, Victor A. Maltsev<sup>1</sup>, Michael D. Stern<sup>1</sup>, Anna V. Maltsev<sup>2\*</sup>**

5 <sup>1</sup>Cellular Biophysics Section, Laboratory of Cardiovascular Science,  
6 National Institute on Aging, NIH, Baltimore, MD 21224, USA

7 <sup>2</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, London E14NS,  
8 United Kingdom

9  
10  
11  
12  
13 **\*Corresponding author:**

14 Anna V. Maltsev, PhD  
15 Email: [a.maltsev@qmul.ac.uk](mailto:a.maltsev@qmul.ac.uk)  
16 Telephone: +44 (0)20 7882 2969  
17  
18  
19

20 **Abstract**

21  
22 Cardiac muscle contraction is initiated by an elementary Ca signal (called Ca spark) which is  
23 achieved by collective action of Ca release channels in a cluster. The mechanism of this  
24 synchronization remains uncertain. This paper approaches Ca spark activation as an emergent  
25 phenomenon of an interactive system of release channels. We construct a Markov chain that  
26 applies an Ising model formalism to such release channel clusters and realistic open channel  
27 configurations to demonstrate that spark activation is described as a system transition from a  
28 metastable to an absorbing state, analogous to the pressure required to overcome surface  
29 tension in bubble formation. This yields quantitative estimates of the spark generation  
30 probability as a function of various system parameters. Our model of the release channel  
31 system yields similar results for the sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca concentration threshold for  
32 spark activation as previous experimental results, providing a mechanistic explanation of the  
33 spark initiation. Additionally, we perform numerical simulations to find spark probabilities as  
34 a function of sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca concentration obtaining similar values for spark  
35 activation threshold as our analytic model, as well as those reported in experimental studies.  
36

## 37 Introduction

38 Robust intracellular signals are achieved by synchronous operation of groups of molecules,  
39 each operating stochastically. In cardiac muscle, Ca release channels, ryanodine receptors  
40 (RyR), form clusters of 20 to 200 channels (known as Ca release units, CRU) embedded in  
41 the membrane of the junctional sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR). The channels can be opened via  
42 a stochastic gating mechanism that is activated by Ca and then close spontaneously. Within  
43 CRUs, individual channels are very close to each other (~30 nm) and arranged in an almost  
44 perfect rectangular grid. The channels interact via Ca-induced-Ca-release (Fabiato, 1983)  
45 thereby facilitating RyR openings throughout the cluster. The all-or-none event when almost  
46 all of the channels in a CRU have been opened is referred to as a Ca spark (Cheng et al.,  
47 1993;Cheng and Lederer, 2008).

48 Ca sparks can be triggered by a Ca influx via L-type Ca channel (“induced sparks”),  
49 or arise spontaneously (“spontaneous sparks” (Cheng et al., 1993)). Induced sparks are  
50 elementary signals of excitation-contraction coupling and spontaneous sparks contribute to  
51 normal cardiac impulse initiation in sinoatrial node cells (Lakatta et al., 2010). Thus, spark  
52 activation is of crucial physiological importance in cardiac muscle contraction as well as  
53 heart rate and rhythm. Under pathological conditions spontaneous sparks can create an  
54 excessive amount of Ca leaking from the SR that has deleterious effects on heart function. In  
55 ventricular myocytes spontaneous sparks can initiate Ca waves and life-threatening  
56 arrhythmia (Bers, 2014;Boyden and Smith, 2018). In all these circumstances understanding  
57 spark initiation is essential both for basic biophysical research as well as in biomedical  
58 applications.

59 Here we study under what conditions RyRs open simultaneously to create a full Ca  
60 spark instead of firing individually or partially synchronized, all of which have been observed  
61 experimentally under various conditions (Wang et al., 2004;Brochet et al., 2011). Zima et al.  
62 (Zima et al., 2010) found that full sparks start forming as the SR Ca load surpasses 300  $\mu\text{M}$ .  
63 Despite its fundamental importance, spark activation has not been systematically studied  
64 theoretically or numerically as an emergent phenomenon of an interactive system of release  
65 channels.

66 Detailed numerical models of the entire CRU including individual, interacting,  
67 stochastically gated RyR channels in the dyadic space were reported by Laver et al. (Laver et  
68 al., 2013) and Stern et al. (Stern et al., 2013), focusing on Ca spark termination. This  
69 approach was extended towards understanding the effect of different CRU geometries  
70 (Walker et al., 2014). Other models approximate CRU phenomenologically by a single gating  
71 mechanism or as a Markov chain representing a result of interactions of all RyRs within the  
72 CRU (the “sticky cluster” model (Sobie et al., 2002)). In 2011, Sato and Bers approximated  
73 probabilities of different number of RyRs open in the CRU at a given junctional SR Ca level  
74 by using the binomial distribution (Sato and Bers, 2011). However, due to the assumption of  
75 independence for RyRs inherent in the binomial distribution, this approach lacks the effect of  
76 RyR interactions crucial for spark initiation.

77 A fundamentally new approach to describe CRU operation has recently been  
78 introduced by the authors in (Maltsev et al., 2017), where the Stern model of the CRU (Stern  
79 et al., 2013) was mapped isomorphically to the Ising model from statistical mechanics.  
80 Further analysis identified the critical parameter (referred to as  $\beta$ , similar to inverse  
81 temperature in statistical mechanics models) that determines conditions for Ca leak (Maltsev

82 et al., 2019). Both these studies focused again mainly on spark termination. The present study  
 83 is the first application of the Ising formalism to spark activation. Here we introduce a new  
 84 Markov chain describing the numbers of adjacent open channels to explicitly estimate the  
 85 probability that an open RyR will develop into a spark at each level of SR Ca, thus  
 86 establishing the threshold SR Ca load at which a spark can occur and offering a mechanistic  
 87 explanation. For numerical approximation, here we employed the modified Stern model of Ca  
 88 spark. In addition to our analytic approach, the present work is the first numerical study to  
 89 shed light on activation in a cluster of interactive RyRs and to establish a threshold of SR Ca  
 90 loading for spark activation.

91

## 92 **Methods**

93 **Background.** The Stern numerical model of the CRU has been shown to be isomorphic to an  
 94 Ising model (Maltsev et al., 2017), a classical model of statistical physics used to explain  
 95 spontaneous magnetization. This isomorphism provides a starting point for the present work.  
 96 The RyRs are assumed to be in a rectangular grid (with their coordinates given as  $x = (x_1, x_2)$   
 97 and with  $(0, 0)$  as the center of a grid with an odd number of elements) with each RyR  
 98 assuming one of two states: open (+1) or closed (-1). An assignment  $\sigma$  of an open or closed  
 99 state to each RyR is called a configuration, and the Ising model is a continuous time Markov  
 100 chain with RyR configurations as states. The instantaneous transition rates are only non-zero  
 101 between configurations differing at only one RyR, say at position  $x$ , and, upon discretizing  
 102 time, are given by Eq.6 in (Maltsev et al., 2017), which we give here for convenience:

$$103 \quad P(\sigma, \sigma^x) = \begin{cases} \Delta t C e^{2\beta(\sum_{y \in \Lambda_b} \phi(|x-y|)\sigma(y)+h)} & \text{for } \sigma(x) = -1 \\ \Delta t C & \text{for } \sigma(x) = 1 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$


104 Here  $\sigma^x$  is the configuration that coincides with configuration  $\sigma$  except at  $x$  where the state is  
 105 reversed and  $\phi(r)$  is the interaction profile (defined below).

106 The closing rate  $C$  is taken to be constant, and the opening rate is taken to be an  
 107 exponential of the cleft Ca concentration given by  $\lambda^* \exp(\gamma[\text{Ca}])$  fitted to experimental data of  
 108 Laver et al. (Laver et al., 2013) in our previous study (Maltsev et al., 2017). The analogues of  
 109 magnetic field  $h$  and inverse temperature  $\beta$  are given by  $\beta = \frac{\gamma\psi(U)}{4}$  and

$$111 \quad h = \frac{1}{2\beta} \ln \frac{\lambda}{C} + \sum_{\substack{x \neq 0 \\ x \in CRU}} \phi(|x|) \quad (2)$$


110

112 where  $U$  is the distance between RyRs,  $\psi$  is the Ca level in the cleft resulting from the  
 113 opening of an RyR as a function of distance from the open RyR (i.e. an interaction profile,  
 114 Figure 1), and  $\phi(r) = \psi(rU)/(\psi(U))$  is a natural choice of scaling for the interaction profile  
 115 function  $\phi$ .



117 **The new Markov chain.** We follow an evolution of a cluster under the conditions of strong  
 118 interactions (i.e. supercritical  $\beta$ ) and favorable magnetic field (i.e. positive and growing) but  
 119 an initial configuration where all RyRs are closed (maximally unfavorable). For a wide range  
 120 of positive magnetic field  $h$ , this initial condition constitutes a local energy minimum (also  
 121 known as a metastable state) and the system is highly unlikely to transition to an all-open  
 122 state. It would require the unlikely event of several RyRs randomly opening next to each  
 123 other, despite the closed neighbors. Only when  $h$  is large enough that one open RyR creates  
 124 enough Ca flux to strongly influence its neighbors, a spark has a good chance of activating.

125 To quantify these concepts, we introduce a new Markov chain. We define an *open*  
 126 *cluster* as a collection of channels that are open and adjacent (diagonals don't count). The  
 127 states of the Markov chain are the size of the open cluster going from 0 to 4 (Figure 2A) and  
 128 the transition probability for increasing the cluster is computed from (1), but weighted by the  
 129 relative frequency of configurations both in the initial and the target states. The transition  
 130 probability of decreasing the cluster is computed from (1) as well, but we assume that when  
 131 transitioning from 3 to 2 only the outside RyRs can close, resulting in the configuration as in  
 132 state 2.



**Figure 2.** Evolution of RyR cluster to generate a spark. (A), Five-state Markov process simulating the evolution. Each arrow represents the event of the Markov process changing from one state to another state with the direction indicated by the arrow. (B), Configuration tree. Illustration of the different configurations possible and the series of events that could take place to reach each of the configurations. Numbers at each configuration indicate the number of possible ways to reach a given configuration.

133 **Results**

134 **Calculation of transition probabilities.** Here we show an example of a calculation for the  
 135 transition matrix of our Markov process. We compute the probability of going from 1 open  
 136 channel to 2 open channels  $P(1 \rightarrow 2)$  at an SR level of 300  $\mu\text{M}$ . We find the following:

137  $\beta$  at 300  $\mu\text{M}$ : 0.64544597505

138  $\Sigma_{y \in \Delta_b} \phi(|x - y|) \sigma(y)$  at 300  $\mu\text{M}$ : -20.789863109249815

139  $h$  at 300  $\mu\text{M}$ : 18.02130417561286

140  $C$  (closing channel rate) = 117  $\text{s}^{-1}$

141  $\Delta t = 7 * 10^{-10}$  ms

142  $P(1 \rightarrow 2) = \Delta t C e^{2\beta(\Sigma_{y \in \Delta_b} \phi(|x-y|)\sigma(y)+h)}$

143  $= (7 * 10^{-10})(117) e^{2(0.64544597505)(-20.789863109249815+18.02130417561286)}$

144  $P(1 \rightarrow 2) = \Delta t C e^{2\beta(\Sigma_{y \in \Delta_b} \phi(|x-y|)\sigma(y)+h)} = 2.2969646869805702 * 10^{-9}$

145 Lastly, since there are four different ways for one open channel to turn into two open  
 146 (adjacent) channels, we multiply this probability by four to arrive at the final answer of

147  $P(1 \rightarrow 2) = 9.187858747922281 * 10^{-9}$

148 The calculation becomes more involved for  $P(2 \rightarrow 3)$ . We have a formula for transition  
 149 probability from a given configuration to a configuration with one square added. Looking at  
 150 Figure 2B, to compute  $P(2 \rightarrow 3)$ , we compute the probability of transitioning from a  
 151 configuration with 2 squares to configuration A (in the left branch) and multiply it by 4 and  
 152 then add the probability of transitioning from a 2 to configuration B (in the right branch) and  
 153 multiply by 2. Lastly, to obtain  $P(3 \rightarrow 4)$  we notice that if we pick a random configuration  
 154 with 3 squares, with probability 2/3 it will be in configuration A and with probability 1/3 it  
 155 will be in configuration B. Thus to compute  $P(3 \rightarrow 4)$  we find the appropriately weighted sum  
 156 of probabilities of transitioning from configuration A to a configuration of 4 squares and  
 157 multiply the result by 2/3 and similarly find the appropriately weighted sum of probabilities  
 158 of transitioning from configuration B to a configuration of 4 squares and multiply the result  
 159 by 1/3.

160

161 This procedure results in a transition matrix  $M = (P(i \rightarrow j))_{0 \leq i, j \leq 4}$ . The canonical form of an  
 162 absorbing chain, with  $t$  transient states and  $r$  absorbing states, is defined as the following:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} Q & R \\ \mathbf{0} & I_r \end{pmatrix}$$

163

164 Where  $Q$  is a  $t$ -by- $t$  matrix,  $R$  is a nonzero  $t$ -by- $r$  matrix,  $0$  is the  $r$ -by- $t$  zero matrix, and  $I_r$  is  
 165 the  $r$ -by- $r$  identity matrix. To obtain a matrix in canonical form, we relabel the state with 0  
 166 squares as state 5. The fundamental matrix is defined as the following:

$$N = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q^k = (I_t - Q)^{-1}$$


167

168 The probability of transitioning from state  $i$  to state  $j$  in exactly  $k$  steps is the  $(i, j)$  entry in the  
 169 matrix  $Q^k$ . Lastly, the absorbing probability for going from a particular transient state  $i$  to a  
 170 particular absorbing state  $j$  is the  $(i, j)$  entry in the matrix  $B = NR$ . Using this formula, we  
 171 obtain the probability of going from state 1 with one open RyR to the absorbing state 4 with 4  
 172 open RyRs by finding the  $(1, 4)$  entry in the matrix  $NR$ . We use Theorems 11.5 and 11.6 of  
 173 (Grinstead and Snell, 1997) for absorbing Markov chains to obtain the probability of

174 absorption in state 4 when starting from state 1, corresponding to the probability that a spark  
175 initiates from a given open RyR (for Python code see Supplemental material).

176 Figure 3 shows the results of similar computations performed by our Python program for all  
177 relevant values of SR Ca yielding the probability of transitioning from 2 open channels to 3  
178 open channels and the probability of transitioning from 3 open channels to 4 open channels  
179 (our Python code and its Psi data file are provided in the supplement). We notice that the  
180 curve  $P(3 \rightarrow 4)$  is steeper and lies to the left of  $P(2 \rightarrow 3)$ , so SR Ca at which the transition  
181 from 2 open channels to 3 open channels becomes somewhat likely is the same as SR Ca  
182 where the transition from 3 open channels to 4 open channels becomes extremely likely. This  
183 indicates the dependence of growth of the open cluster on its size. On a physics level, this  
184 happens because the system with all channels closed but positive magnetic field and  
185 supercritical  $\beta$  is in a local energy minimum. Each individual channel or small cluster might  
186 not open or, if open, close quickly due to the strong interaction from closed neighbors. But as  
187 the open cluster grows, the “curvature” of its boundary decreases, so the force from the  
188 closed neighbors gets distributed over more open neighbors and is less likely to close an open  
189 channel.

190




191

192

194 ***Spark activation probability as a function of SR Ca.*** In this work we assume that once the  
195 open cluster reaches 4 in size, it always initiates a spark. We do not compute in this work the  
196 probability of transitioning from 4 to 5, we call it  $P(4 \rightarrow 5)$ , because the enumeration of all  
197 the possible clusters becomes cumbersome. However, it is clear that the curve  $P(4 \rightarrow 5)$  as  
198 well as further curves such as  $P(5 \rightarrow 6)$  would be much steeper and lie to the left of  $P(3 \rightarrow 4)$ ,  
199 in a similar way as  $P(3 \rightarrow 4)$  is steeper and lies to the left of  $P(2 \rightarrow 3)$  as evidenced in Figure  
200 3. Thus, it is reasonable to let 0 and 4 be absorbent states.

201 The results of our analytical modeling are shown in Figure 4B (circles). The  
202 probability of spark activation follows a steep sigmoid curve as a function of SR Ca load,  
203 beginning to rise at around 250  $\mu\text{M}$ . Our analytic results closely match the results of  
204 experimental studies (Figure 4A) and our numerical simulations (Figure 4B, triangles). More  
205 sensitive spark generation at high SR Ca vs. numerical estimates reflects analytical model  
206 assumption of instantaneous interactions, whereas Ca diffusion causes a small delay. In  
207 numerical model it takes roughly 2.5 ms for the Ca profile to reach its stable level (Figure 2B  
208 of (Maltsev et al., 2017)). Approximating the interactions with a step-function which is 0  
209 until 1.25 ms and the full profile after 1.25 ms, and using the closing rate from our numerical  
210 model of  $C=0.117 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ , we obtain that with probability of approximately 15% the RyR will  
211 close before it has a chance to interact with other channels. On the other hand, with  
212 probability 85% it will interact and enter into our Markov chain setup. Thus, if we scale the  
213 curve by 0.85 to account for this discrepancy, we obtained a closer match at higher SR Ca  
214 (Figure 4C). Less sensitive spark generation at low SR Ca in analytical model can be due to  
215 other Ising model assumptions, such as its interactions limited to the nearest neighbors. On  
216 the other hand, the threshold of spark activation (300-400  $\mu\text{M}$ ) reported in experimental  
217 studies (Figure 4A) is better reproduced by our analytical model than by the numerical  
218 modeling (200-300  $\mu\text{M}$ ).





**Figure 4.** Spark activation as a function of SR Ca load. (A), Experimentally defined SR Ca threshold for Ca spark activation; shown are mean values of total spark-mediated release flux (measured by confocal microscopy) which were rescanned and replotted from Figure 3B of (Zima et al., 2010). Spark activation predicted numerically and analytically: (B), no correction for diffusion delay and (C), with correction for the delay. In numerical method, probability of spark firing at each SR Ca was evaluated from 10,000 simulation runs of 200 ms each. In each run at  $t=0$  one RyR in the center of  $9 \times 9$  RyR cluster was set open. Our criterion for spark firing was that 50% of all RyRs open at any moment before all RyRs closed.

## 220 Discussion

221 In this study, we use numerical and analytical approaches to study Ca spark activation. We  
222 consider spark activation as an emerging property of an ensemble of interacting individual  
223 RyRs. Using this approach, we predict the threshold of SR Ca required for spark activation  
224 based on intrinsic RyR channel properties and RyR interactions. We examined spark  
225 activation dynamics at different SR Ca levels and found a sharp transition at 300  $\mu\text{M}$  level  
226 where sparks were robustly generated. Zima et al. (Zima et al., 2010) reported spark and non-  
227 spark Ca SR leak types in ventricular myocytes. As SR Ca load grows above  $\sim 300 \mu\text{M}$ , Ca  
228 sparks strongly contribute to the leak (Figure 4A). Our results are in agreement with previous  
229 experimental studies.

230 The present study provides a mechanistic view on the spark initiation threshold.  
231 Mathematically speaking, one can view spark activation as equivalent to a system  
232 transitioning from a local energy minimum (also known as a metastable state) to a global one.  
233 As a physical process, spark activation becomes analogous to the pressure required to  
234 overcome surface tension in bubble formation. When an open cluster forms in a background of  
235 closed channels, the interaction between the closed and the open channels happens only at the  
236 boundary of the open cluster. When the open cluster is small, there are more closed channels  
237 per open channel at the boundary (in the extreme case of one open channel, there are 4 closed  
238 channels per that one open channel and the Ca from just one channel gets spread out to all 4).  
239 As the open cluster gets bigger, this ratio gets more favorable for the open channels. This  
240 reflects the “curvature” of the boundary as in bubble formation.

241 Our model includes measurable parameters of the system that can be further varied to  
242 understand the impact of realistic factors for spark activation, and our theoretical framework  
243 allows for further predictions. Such factors include SERCA pumping rate to increase network  
244 SR Ca, gating of RyRs and their sensitivity to Ca, connectivity of free SR and junctional SR  
245 (Sato et al., 2016), Ca buffering (e.g. via calsequestrin), posttranslational modifications (such  
246 as phosphorylation) of key Ca cycling molecules, etc. For example, increasing SR  
247 connectivity or performance of SERCA function would bring the system to spark activation  
248 threshold quicker as a result of SR Ca reloading at a more rapid pace. If diastole is long  
249 enough, these would provide conditions for spontaneous Ca release still during diastole,  
250 triggering life-threatening arrhythmia (Bers, 2008; Dobrev and Wehrens, 2017). On the other  
251 hand, the increased diastolic Ca release contributes to normal generation of spontaneous  
252 pacemaker potentials driving the heartbeat (Lakatta et al., 2010). Thus, our approach could  
253 help in directing pharmacological interventions to avoid regimes of spontaneous spark  
254 activation in cardiac muscle cells in cardiac disease (Bers, 2008) or to promote such regimes  
255 in cardiac pacemaker cells in sick sinus syndrome (insufficient pacemaker function)  
256 (Dobrzynski et al., 2007).

257 Lastly, our new analytical approach provides a substantial computational advantage to  
258 evaluate the conditions for spark activation within Ca release channel clusters. Calculating  
259 the dynamics for all states in the full Markovian representation of a CRU using the analytic  
260 solution to Markov matrix would involve taking exponentials of enormously large matrices.

261

262

263 **Acknowledgments**

264 The work was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute on  
265 Aging, National Institutes of Health. A.V.M. acknowledges the support of the Royal Society  
266 University Research Fellowship UF160569.

267 **References**

- 268 Bers, D.M. (2008). Calcium cycling and signaling in cardiac myocytes. *Annu Rev Physiol* 70, 23-49. doi:  
269 10.1146/annurev.physiol.70.113006.100455.
- 270 Bers, D.M. (2014). Cardiac sarcoplasmic reticulum calcium leak: basis and roles in cardiac  
271 dysfunction. *Annu Rev Physiol* 76, 107-127. doi: 10.1146/annurev-physiol-020911-153308.
- 272 Boyden, P.A., and Smith, G.L. (2018). Ca<sup>2+</sup> leak-What is it? Why should we care? Can it be managed?  
273 *Heart Rhythm* 15, 607-614. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2017.11.018.
- 274 Brochet, D.X., Xie, W., Yang, D., Cheng, H., and Lederer, W.J. (2011). Quarky calcium release in the  
275 heart. *Circ Res* 108, 210-218. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.110.231258.
- 276 Cheng, H., and Lederer, W.J. (2008). Calcium sparks. *Physiol Rev* 88, 1491-1545. doi:  
277 10.1152/physrev.00030.2007.
- 278 Cheng, H., Lederer, W.J., and Cannell, M.B. (1993). Calcium sparks: elementary events underlying  
279 excitation-contraction coupling in heart muscle. *Science* 262, 740-744.
- 280 Dobrev, D., and Wehrens, X.H.T. (2017). Calcium-mediated cellular triggered activity in atrial  
281 fibrillation. *J Physiol* 595, 4001-4008. doi: 10.1113/JP273048.
- 282 Dobrzynski, H., Boyett, M.R., and Anderson, R.H. (2007). New insights into pacemaker activity:  
283 promoting understanding of sick sinus syndrome. *Circulation* 115, 1921-1932.
- 284 Fabiato, A. (1983). Calcium-induced release of calcium from the cardiac sarcoplasmic reticulum. *Am J*  
285 *Physiol* 245, C1-14.
- 286 Grinstead, C.M., and Snell, J.L. (1997). Introduction to Probability (2nd Edition). *American*  
287 *Mathematical Society. ISBN 978-0-8218-0749-1.*
- 288 Lakatta, E.G., Maltsev, V.A., and Vinogradova, T.M. (2010). A coupled SYSTEM of intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup>  
289 clocks and surface membrane voltage clocks controls the timekeeping mechanism of the  
290 heart's pacemaker. *Circ Res* 106, 659-673.
- 291 Laver, D.R., Kong, C.H., Imtiaz, M.S., and Cannell, M.B. (2013). Termination of calcium-induced  
292 calcium release by induction decay: an emergent property of stochastic channel gating and  
293 molecular scale architecture. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 54, 98-100.
- 294 Maltsev, A.V., Maltsev, V.A., and Stern, M.D. (2017). Clusters of calcium release channels harness the  
295 Ising phase transition to confine their elementary intracellular signals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*  
296 *A* 114, 7525-7530. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1701409114.
- 297 Maltsev, A.V., Stern, M.D., and Maltsev, V.A. (2019). Mechanisms of Calcium Leak from Cardiac  
298 Sarcoplasmic Reticulum Revealed by Statistical Mechanics. *Biophys J* 116, 2212-2223. doi:  
299 10.1016/j.bpj.2019.04.031.
- 300 Sato, D., and Bers, D.M. (2011). How does stochastic ryanodine receptor-mediated Ca leak fail to  
301 initiate a Ca spark? *Biophys J* 101, 2370-2379. doi: 10.1016/j.bpj.2011.10.017.
- 302 Sato, D., Shannon, T.R., and Bers, D.M. (2016). Sarcoplasmic Reticulum Structure and Functional  
303 Properties that Promote Long-Lasting Calcium Sparks. *Biophys J* 110, 382-390. doi:  
304 10.1016/j.bpj.2015.12.009.
- 305 Sobie, E.A., Dilly, K.W., Dos Santos Cruz, J., Lederer, W.J., and Jafri, M.S. (2002). Termination of  
306 cardiac Ca(2+) sparks: an investigative mathematical model of calcium-induced calcium  
307 release. *Biophys J* 83, 59-78.
- 308 Stern, M.D., Rios, E., and Maltsev, V.A. (2013). Life and death of a cardiac calcium spark. *J Gen*  
309 *Physiol* 142, 257-274.

310 Walker, M.A., Williams, G.S., Kohl, T., Lehnart, S.E., Jafri, M.S., Greenstein, J.L., Lederer, W.J., and  
311 Winslow, R.L. (2014). Superresolution modeling of calcium release in the heart. *Biophys J*  
312 107, 3018-3029. doi: 10.1016/j.bpj.2014.11.003.

313 Wang, S.Q., Stern, M.D., Rios, E., and Cheng, H. (2004). The quantal nature of Ca<sup>2+</sup> sparks and in situ  
314 operation of the ryanodine receptor array in cardiac cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 101,  
315 3979-3984. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0306157101.

316 Zima, A.V., Bovo, E., Bers, D.M., and Blatter, L.A. (2010). Ca<sup>2+</sup> spark-dependent and -independent  
317 sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca<sup>2+</sup> leak in normal and failing rabbit ventricular myocytes. *J Physiol*  
318 588, 4743-4757. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2010.197913.

319

## Supplementary Python code

This program was created by Guillermo Veron as a part of his research work in 2019-2021 at the Laboratory of Cardiovascular Science, Cellular Biophysics Section, Intramural Research Program, National Institute on Aging, NIH, Biomedical Research Center 251 Bayview Blvd. Baltimore, MD 21224-6825. Because this work was fully funded by the NIH, the code belongs to the public domain, does not carry a copyright, and can be freely used for the benefit of public knowledge, research, and healthcare. However, if this code is used entirely or in part in other studies or applications, please provide a full reference to it.

## Instructions/Explanations

'Psi Values.xlsx' is needed in the same folder as code to work properly. Simply run the code and final probabilities of spark occurring will be listed in "A\_LIST." This will be found under the "Diagonalization" sub-section under "Probabilities" section

### *Global Variables*

We establish our data and constants we will be working with. For example, the number of nodes, psi values, given SR levels, value of gamma, channel closing rate, etc.

### *Hamiltonian Functions*

We create our hamiltonian variables and functions such as how to calculate phi values, interaction profile values, and magnetic field values.

The interaction profile values will necessarily change for different configurations. For example, going from one open node to two open nodes, going from three open nodes (in a straight configuration) to four open nodes (in an "L" configuration), etc. All potential configuration changes have been calculated (up to four open nodes).

### *Probabilities*

Probabilities for configuration changes are calculated here. Once again different configuration changes will have different probabilities of occurring. All configuration change probabilities have been calculated.

Transition matrix is created.

Diagonalization is implemented to calculate final probability of induced spark with beginning state of one open channel.

Results are presented and plotted.

### *Canonical Form*

Canonical Form is used to estimate the number of steps it would take to reach our final configuration. Time for each step (delta\_time) can be multiplied with this number to estimate time it takes to reach this final configuration.

For more information on canonical forms and absorbing Markov chains in general, see [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Absorbing\\_Markov\\_chain](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Absorbing_Markov_chain) ([https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Absorbing\\_Markov\\_chain](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Absorbing_Markov_chain))

```
In [1]: import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import scipy.linalg as la
import scipy as sp
from numpy import trapz
import math
from math import e
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
```

## Global Variables

```
In [2]: NEAREST_NEIGHBOR_DISTANCE = 30 #distance of nearest neighbor RyRs from
one another (nanometers)
N = 81 #total numbers of nodes
DIMX = 15
DIMY = 26
DISTANCES_NM = np.linspace(0,130,14)
CALCIUM_CONCENTRATIONS = [25,50,75,100,125,150,175,200,225,250,275,30
0,325,350,375,400,450,500,550,600,650,700,750,800,850,900,950,1000]
STARTING_SINGLE_CHANNEL = sp.array([0,1,0,0,0])
```

<ipython-input-2-d69713050b08>:7: DeprecationWarning: scipy.array is deprecated and will be removed in SciPy 2.0.0, use numpy.array instead

```
STARTING_SINGLE_CHANNEL = sp.array([0,1,0,0,0])
```

```
In [3]: Grid_DimX = 9
Grid_DimY = 9
CENTER = np.ceil(Grid_DimY / 2)
N = Grid_DimX * Grid_DimY
gamma = 0.1138 #units are inverse micro molar
lowercase_lambda = 0.2482 #units are inverse seconds
channel_closing_rate = 117 #units are inverse seconds
delta_time = 7.0e-10 #units are in milliseconds
```

```
In [4]: calcium_profiles = pd.read_excel('Psi Values.xlsx')
        calcium_profiles
```

Out[4]:

	distance, nm	distance, voxels	25	50	75	100	125	150	
0	0.000000	0.000000	5.325931	10.371009	15.912928	21.176525	26.374906	31.685792	3
1	10.000000	1.000000	3.325624	6.342755	9.904539	13.161114	16.343168	19.653902	2
2	14.142136	1.414214	2.782147	5.935447	8.271289	10.981693	14.008860	16.383152	1
3	20.000000	2.000000	2.428112	4.538772	7.206327	9.560749	11.838954	14.250627	1
4	28.284271	2.828427	1.952152	4.271868	5.773700	7.649257	9.841142	11.382286	1
5	30.000000	3.000000	1.907791	3.497582	5.639754	7.470874	9.222466	11.114340	1
6	40.000000	4.000000	1.552310	2.791583	4.566778	6.039113	7.433465	8.967646	1
7	42.426407	4.242641	1.469579	3.285906	4.317070	5.705960	7.397651	8.468049	
8	50.000000	5.000000	1.285496	2.267135	3.759076	4.962136	6.087507	7.353381	
9	56.568542	5.656854	1.137866	2.589059	3.311491	4.365015	5.698555	6.459276	
10	60.000000	6.000000	1.073517	1.856024	3.114835	4.103139	5.017986	6.067707	
11	70.000000	7.000000	0.898650	1.522245	2.581155	3.392432	4.134029	5.004553	
12	70.710678	7.071068	0.891086	2.052404	2.559412	3.362919	4.416736	4.960106	
13	80.000000	8.000000	0.750170	1.244015	2.125723	2.786195	3.383857	4.099190	
14	84.852814	8.485281	0.699251	1.618696	1.971256	2.580110	3.404768	3.790699	
15	90.000000	9.000000	0.621077	1.006887	1.727777	2.257284	2.730935	3.309837	
16	98.994949	9.899495	0.546381	1.258790	1.499565	1.953149	2.585338	2.855470	
17	100.000000	10.000000	0.506328	0.800452	1.372160	1.784991	2.151173	2.606085	
18	113.137085	11.313708	0.423163	0.956953	1.116929	1.445295	1.914470	2.098947	
19	110.000000	11.000000	0.402163	0.616776	1.047792	1.354837	1.624759	1.965541	
20	120.000000	12.000000	0.305531	0.449415	0.745577	0.954371	1.136982	1.369895	
21	127.279221	12.727922	0.323898	0.704687	0.806800	1.034275	1.366183	1.487417	
22	130.000000	13.000000	0.213894	0.292893	0.458064	0.573768	0.674509	0.804038	
23	141.421356	14.142136	0.245029	0.497677	0.559047	0.706368	0.925035	1.000048	
24	155.563492	15.556349	0.184345	0.334068	0.367536	0.453197	0.582052	0.624071	
25	169.705627	16.970563	0.140502	0.213387	0.228672	0.269798	0.332267	0.351881	
26	183.847763	18.384776	0.112726	0.135822	0.140472	0.153390	0.173139	0.179189	

27 rows C 30 columns

## Hamiltonian Functions

```
In [5]: #used to estimate psi values that go beyond our given distance data
def found_slope(calcium_concentration):
    numerator = calcium_profiles[calcium_concentration].iloc[-1] - calcium_profiles[calcium_concentration].iloc[-2]
    denominator = calcium_profiles['distance, nm'].iloc[-1] - calcium_profiles['distance, nm'].iloc[-2]
    return numerator / denominator

def extended_psi(calcium_concentration, extended_distance):
    answer = found_slope(calcium_concentration)*(extended_distance - calcium_profiles['distance, nm'].iloc[-1]) + calcium_profiles[calcium_concentration].iloc[-1]
    if answer >= 0:
        return answer
    else:
        return 0
```

```
In [6]: def inverse_temperature(calcium_concentration):
    return (gamma*psi(calcium_concentration, 30))/4
```

```
In [7]: def round_down(num):
    if num < 0:
        return -np.ceil(abs(num))
    else:
        return np.int(num)
```



```
In [8]: #calcium_concentration is in micromolars and distance_difference is in
nanometers
def psi(calcium_concentration, distance_difference):
    normalized_distance = distance_difference/10
    for i in range(len(calcium_profiles['distance, nm']) - 1):
        if distance_difference >= calcium_profiles['distance, nm'].iloc
c[i] and distance_difference <= calcium_profiles['distance, nm'].iloc
[i+1]:
            interval = calcium_profiles['distance, nm'].iloc[i+1] - ca
lcium_profiles['distance, nm'].iloc[i]
            if np.isclose(distance_difference - calcium_profiles['dist
ance, nm'].iloc[i], 0) or np.isclose(distance_difference - calcium_pro
files['distance, nm'].iloc[i+1], 0):
                interval_sliver = 0
            else:
                interval_sliver = distance_difference - calcium_profil
es['distance, nm'].iloc[i]
            ratio = interval_sliver / interval
            Y_interval = calcium_profiles.loc[calcium_profiles['distan
ce, nm'] == calcium_profiles['distance, nm'].iloc[i+1], calcium_concen
tration].iloc[0] - \
                calcium_profiles.loc[calcium_profiles['distan
ce, nm'] == calcium_profiles['distance, nm'].iloc[i], calcium_concentra
tion].iloc[0]
            answer = calcium_profiles.loc[calcium_profiles['distance,
nm'] == calcium_profiles['distance, nm'].iloc[i], calcium_concentratio
n].iloc[0] + Y_interval*ratio
    return answer
```

```
In [9]: def phi(calcium_concentration, distance_difference): #Distance_differen
ce is in nanometers
    return (psi(calcium_concentration, distance_difference)) / (psi(ca
lcium_concentration, 30))
```

```
In [10]: def summation(calcium_concentration):
    counter = 0
    for j in range(1,Grid_DimY+1):
        for i in range(1,Grid_DimY+1):
            counter += phi(calcium_concentration, 30*math.hypot(CENTER
- i, CENTER - j))
    counter -= phi(calcium_concentration, 30*math.hypot(0, 0))
    return counter
```

```
In [11]: def magnetic_field(calcium_concentration):
    return ((1/(2*inverse_temperature(calcium_concentration)))*(math.l
og(lowercase_lambda / channel_closing_rate))) \
    + (summation(calcium_concentration))
```

$$\text{Interaction Sum} = \sum_{y \in \Lambda_b} \phi(|x - y|) \sigma(y)$$

```
In [12]: def interaction_sum_0to1(calcium_concentration):  
         return (-1)*summation(calcium_concentration)
```

```
In [13]: def interaction_sum_1to2(calcium_concentration):  
         return (-1)*summation(calcium_concentration) + 2*phi(calcium_concentration, 30)
```

```
In [14]: def interaction_sum_2to3_straight(calcium_concentration):  
         return (-1)*summation(calcium_concentration) + (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, 30) + (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, 60)  
  
         def interaction_sum_2to3_triangle(calcium_concentration):  
             return (-1)*summation(calcium_concentration) + (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, 30) + (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, math.sqrt(30**2 + 30**2))
```

```
In [15]: def interaction_sum_3straight_to_4straight(calcium_concentration):  
         return (-1)*summation(calcium_concentration) + (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, 30) + (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, 60) + (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, 90)
```

```

In [16]: def interaction_sum_3straight_to_4L(calcium_concentration):
    return (-1)*summation(calcium_concentration) + (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, 30) + \
           (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, math.sqrt(30**2 + 30**2)) +
           (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, math.sqrt(30**2+60**2))

def interaction_sum_3straight_to_4T(calcium_concentration):
    return (-1)*summation(calcium_concentration) + (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, 30) + (4)*phi(calcium_concentration, math.sqrt(30**2 + 30**2))

def interaction_sum_3triangle_to_4Box(calcium_concentration):
    return (-1)*summation(calcium_concentration) + (4)*phi(calcium_concentration, 30) + (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, math.sqrt(30**2 + 30**2))

def interaction_sum_3triangle_to_4L(calcium_concentration):
    return (-1)*summation(calcium_concentration) + (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, 30) + \
           (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, 60) + (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, math.sqrt(30**2+60**2))

def interaction_sum_3triangle_to_4S(calcium_concentration):
    return (-1)*summation(calcium_concentration) + (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, 30) + \
           (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, math.sqrt(30**2 + 30**2)) +
           (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, math.sqrt(30**2+60**2))

def interaction_sum_3triangle_to_4T(calcium_concentration):
    return (-1)*summation(calcium_concentration) + (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, 30) + \
           (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, math.sqrt(30**2 + 30**2)) + \
           (2)*phi(calcium_concentration, 60)

```

## Probabilities

$$P(\sigma, \sigma^x) = \begin{cases} \Delta t C e^{2\beta(\sum_{y \in \Lambda_b} \phi(|x-y|\sigma(y)+h))}, & \text{for } \sigma(x) = -1 \\ \Delta t C, & \text{for } \sigma(x) = 1 \end{cases}$$

```

In [17]: def P_1to0(calcium_concentration):
    return delta_time*channel_closing_rate

```

```
In [18]: def P_1to2(calcium_concentration):
         return 4*delta_time*channel_closing_rate*\
             e**((2*inverse_temperature(calcium_concentration))*(interaction_sum_1to2(calcium_concentration)+magnetic_field(calcium_concentration)))
```

```
In [19]: def P_1to1(calcium_concentration):
         return 1 - P_1to0(calcium_concentration) - P_1to2(calcium_concentration)
```

```
In [20]: def P_2to1(calcium_concentration):
         return 2*delta_time*channel_closing_rate
```

```
In [21]: def P_2to3_straight(calcium_concentration):
         return 2*delta_time*channel_closing_rate*\
             e**((2*inverse_temperature(calcium_concentration))*(interaction_sum_2to3_straight(calcium_concentration)+magnetic_field(calcium_concentration)))

         def P_2to3_triangle(calcium_concentration):
             return 4*delta_time*channel_closing_rate*\
                 e**((2*inverse_temperature(calcium_concentration))*(interaction_sum_2to3_triangle(calcium_concentration)+magnetic_field(calcium_concentration)))

         def P_2to3(calcium_concentration):
             return (1/3)*P_2to3_straight(calcium_concentration) + (2/3)*P_2to3_triangle(calcium_concentration)
```

```
In [22]: def P_2to2(calcium_concentration):
         return 1 - P_2to1(calcium_concentration) - P_2to3(calcium_concentration)
```

```
In [23]: def P_3straight_to2(calcium_concentration):
         return 2*delta_time*channel_closing_rate

         def P_3triangle_to2(calcium_concentration):
             return 2*delta_time*channel_closing_rate

         def P_3to2(calcium_concentration):
             return (1/3)*P_3straight_to2(calcium_concentration) + (2/3)*P_3triangle_to2(calcium_concentration)
```

```

In [24]: def P_3straight_to_4straight(calcium_concentration):
          return 2*delta_time*channel_closing_rate*\
                e**((2*inverse_temperature(calcium_concentration))*(interaction_sum_3straight_to_4straight(calcium_concentration)+magnetic_field(calcium_concentration)))

def P_3straight_to_4L(calcium_concentration):
    return 4*delta_time*channel_closing_rate*\
          e**((2*inverse_temperature(calcium_concentration))*(interaction_sum_3straight_to_4L(calcium_concentration)+magnetic_field(calcium_concentration)))

def P_3straight_to_4T(calcium_concentration):
    return 2*delta_time*channel_closing_rate*\
          e**((2*inverse_temperature(calcium_concentration))*(interaction_sum_3straight_to_4T(calcium_concentration)+magnetic_field(calcium_concentration)))

def P_3triangle_to_4Box(calcium_concentration):
    return delta_time*channel_closing_rate*\
          e**((2*inverse_temperature(calcium_concentration))*(interaction_sum_3triangle_to_4Box(calcium_concentration)+magnetic_field(calcium_concentration)))

def P_3triangle_to_4L(calcium_concentration):
    return 2*delta_time*channel_closing_rate*\
          e**((2*inverse_temperature(calcium_concentration))*(interaction_sum_3triangle_to_4L(calcium_concentration)+magnetic_field(calcium_concentration)))

def P_3triangle_to_4S(calcium_concentration):
    return 2*delta_time*channel_closing_rate*\
          e**((2*inverse_temperature(calcium_concentration))*(interaction_sum_3triangle_to_4S(calcium_concentration)+magnetic_field(calcium_concentration)))

def P_3triangle_to_4T(calcium_concentration):
    return 2*delta_time*channel_closing_rate*\
          e**((2*inverse_temperature(calcium_concentration))*(interaction_sum_3triangle_to_4T(calcium_concentration)+magnetic_field(calcium_concentration)))

def P_3straight_to_4(calcium_concentration):
    return (2/8)*P_3straight_to_4straight(calcium_concentration) + (4/8)*P_3straight_to_4L(calcium_concentration) + (2/8)*P_3straight_to_4T(calcium_concentration)

def P_3triangle_to_4(calcium_concentration):
    return (1/7)*P_3triangle_to_4Box(calcium_concentration) + (2/7)*P_3triangle_to_4L(calcium_concentration) + (2/7)*P_3triangle_to_4S(calcium_concentration)\
          + (2/7)*P_3triangle_to_4T(calcium_concentration)

def P_3to4(calcium_concentration):
    return (8/15)*P_3straight_to_4(calcium_concentration) + (7/15)*P_3

```

```
triangle_to_4(calcium_concentration)
```

```
In [25]: def P_3to3(calcium_concentration):  
         return 1 - P_3to2(calcium_concentration) - P_3to4(calcium_concentration)
```

```
In [26]: def transition_matrix(calcium_concentration):  
         return sp.array([[1, 0, 0, 0, 0],\  
                          [P_1to0(calcium_concentration), P_1to1(calcium_concentration), P_1to2(calcium_concentration), 0, 0],\  
                          [0, P_2to1(calcium_concentration), P_2to2(calcium_concentration), P_2to3(calcium_concentration), 0],\  
                          [0, 0, P_3to2(calcium_concentration), P_3to3(calcium_concentration), P_3to4(calcium_concentration)],\  
                          [0, 0, 0, 0, 1]])
```

## Diagonalization

```
In [27]: def P(calcium_concentration):  
         return la.eig(transition_matrix(calcium_concentration))[1]
```

```
In [28]: #returns eigenvalues for chosen SR level  
         def eigenvalues(calcium_concentration):  
             return la.eigvals(transition_matrix(calcium_concentration))
```

```
In [29]: def D(calcium_concentration):  
         return sp.array([[la.eigvals(transition_matrix(calcium_concentration)) [0], 0, 0, 0, 0],\  
                          [0, la.eigvals(transition_matrix(calcium_concentration)) [1], 0, 0, 0],\  
                          [0, 0, la.eigvals(transition_matrix(calcium_concentration)) [2], 0, 0],\  
                          [0, 0, 0, la.eigvals(transition_matrix(calcium_concentration)) [3], 0],\  
                          [0, 0, 0, 0, la.eigvals(transition_matrix(calcium_concentration)) [4]]])
```

```
In [30]: def P_inverse(calcium_concentration):  
         return la.inv(P(calcium_concentration))
```

```
In [31]: def D_raised_to_k(calcium_concentration):  
         return sp.array([[0, 0, 0, 0, 0],\  
                          [0, 0, 0, 0, 0],\  
                          [0, 0, 0, 0, 0],\  
                          [0, 0, 0, 1, 0],\  
                          [0, 0, 0, 0, 1]])
```

```
In [32]: def diagonalization_raised_to_k(calcium_concentration):  
         PDk = sp.matmul(P(calcium_concentration), D_raised_to_k(calcium_concentration))  
         return sp.matmul(PDk, P_inverse(calcium_concentration))
```

```
In [33]: def induced_spark(calcium_concentration):
         return sp.matmul(STARTING_SINGLE_CHANNEL,diagonalization_raised_to_k(calcium_concentration))
```

```
In [34]: A_LIST = []
         for i in CALCIUM_CONCENTRATIONS:
             print(induced_spark(i)[4])
             A_LIST.append(0.85*induced_spark(i)[4])
```

<ipython-input-26-47ed076ce5f5>:2: DeprecationWarning: scipy.array is deprecated and will be removed in SciPy 2.0.0, use numpy.array instead

```
return sp.array([[1, 0, 0, 0, 0],\
```

<ipython-input-32-bf24790d66ae>:2: DeprecationWarning: scipy.matmul is deprecated and will be removed in SciPy 2.0.0, use numpy.matmul instead


```
PDk = sp.matmul(P(calcium_concentration),D_raised_to_k(calcium_concentration))
```

<ipython-input-32-bf24790d66ae>:3: DeprecationWarning: scipy.matmul is deprecated and will be removed in SciPy 2.0.0, use numpy.matmul instead

```
return sp.matmul(PDk,P_inverse(calcium_concentration))
```

```
2.3082661588788414e-07
6.297227683058175e-07
1.8896870651922238e-06
5.281658616451008e-06
1.5120866008486096e-05
4.0465585738139625e-05
0.0001215201556096529
0.0003637413025686484
0.0012825347168188338
0.002500824422603198
0.0067561771477859175
0.014409766759265422
0.02808358687757737
0.035591580710025446
0.06957902157607902
0.1357833718598471
0.2359144874010327
0.3576908093760188
0.48554735049003017
0.6072943213554631
0.7183760693154762
0.7923821416922303
0.8527292150062724
0.9082186301128848
0.9341159526061776
0.9587479482882124
0.9736392699896748
0.9830954859791495
```

```
In [35]: plt.plot(CALCIUM_CONCENTRATIONS, A_LIST, 'r')
plt.show()
```



## Canonical Form

```
In [36]: def Q_matrix(calcium_concentration):
    return sp.array([[P_1to1(calcium_concentration), P_1to2(calcium_co
ncentration), 0],\
                    [P_2to1(calcium_concentration), P_2to2(calcium_conc
entration), P_2to3(calcium_concentration)],\
                    [0, P_3to2(calcium_concentration), P_3to3(calcium_
concentration)]])
```

```
In [37]: def R_matrix(calcium_concentration):
    return sp.array([[P_1to0(calcium_concentration), 0],\
                    [0, 0],\
                    [0, P_3to4(calcium_concentration)]])
```

```
In [38]: def Zero_matrix(calcium_concentration):
    return np.zeros([2,3])
```

```
In [39]: def Identity_matrix_r(calcium_concentration):
    return sp.array([[1, 0],[0,1]])
```

```
In [40]: def Identity_matrix_t(calcium_concentration):
    return sp.array([[1,0,0],[0,1,0],[0,0,1]])
```

```
In [41]: def fundamental_matrix(calcium_concentration):
    place_holder_matrix = sp.subtract(Identity_matrix_t(calcium_concen
tration), Q_matrix(calcium_concentration))
    return la.inv(place_holder_matrix)
```



```
In [42]: def B_matrix(calcium_concentration):
         return sp.matmul(fundamental_matrix(calcium_concentration), R_matrix(calcium_concentration))
```

```
In [43]: def rate_0to1(calcium_concentration):
         return channel_closing_rate*\
                e**((2*inverse_temperature(calcium_concentration))*(interaction_sum_0to1(calcium_concentration)+magnetic_field(calcium_concentration)))
```

```
In [44]: def rate_1to0(calcium_concentration):
         return channel_closing_rate
```

```
In [45]: def test_function(calcium_concentration):
         return 71*rate_0to1(calcium_concentration) - rate_1to0(calcium_concentration)
```

```
In [46]: def rate_1to2(calcium_concentration):
         return 4*channel_closing_rate*\
                e**((2*inverse_temperature(calcium_concentration))*(interaction_sum_1to2(calcium_concentration)+magnetic_field(calcium_concentration)))
```

```
In [47]: def test_function_2(calcium_concentration):
         return 71*rate_0to1(calcium_concentration) - rate_1to2(calcium_concentration)
```

```
In [48]: def test_function_3(calcium_concentration):
         return 71*rate_0to1(calcium_concentration) - (rate_1to2(calcium_concentration) + rate_1to0(calcium_concentration))
```

```
In [49]: ONE_MATRIX = sp.array([[1],[1],[1]])
```

<ipython-input-49-ed93bf915216>:1: DeprecationWarning: scipy.array is deprecated and will be removed in SciPy 2.0.0, use numpy.array instead

```
ONE_MATRIX = sp.array([[1],[1],[1]])
```

```
In [50]: def expected_number_of_steps(calcium_concentration):
         return sp.matmul(fundamental_matrix(calcium_concentration), ONE_MATRIX)
```

```
In [ ]: jupyter nbconvert --to FORMAT notebook.ipynb
```

distance, nm	distance, voxels	25	50	75	100	125	150	175	200	225	250	275	300	325	350	375	400	450	500	550	600	650	700	750	800	850	900	950	1000
0	0	5.325931	10.37101	15.91293	21.17653	26.37491	31.68579	37.09278	42.49858	47.88034	53.09035	58.18968	63.82965	69.07729	73.88859	79.10741	85.44501	95.9429	106.6517	117.4956	128.3644	139.4183	149.7892	160.3217	171.7891	181.8825	193.0821	203.9759	214.8342
10	1	3.325624	6.342755	9.904539	13.16111	16.34317	19.6539	23.06247	26.47654	29.85948	33.05663	36.13652	39.79866	43.03742	45.79395	49.00535	53.43114	59.90449	66.6078	73.45833	80.3441	87.42804	93.76002	100.2694	107.8204	113.8506	121.0903	127.9925	134.8506
14.14213562	1.414213562	2.7821473	5.935447	8.271289	10.98169	14.00886	16.38315	19.24845	22.1183	26.10377	27.60698	31.45144	33.2596	35.94971	36.50042	40.81227	44.71478	50.09278	55.68235	61.52908	67.25131	71.81537	77.36062	81.46592	87.65969	95.30649	100.5011	107.3363	113.042
20	2	2.428112	4.538772	7.206327	9.560749	11.83895	14.25063	16.75737	19.27215	21.75711	24.04677	26.22881	28.98546	31.31814	33.15169	35.46508	39.01177	43.67196	48.57678	53.59487	58.67379	63.98289	68.51001	73.20537	78.94884	83.15491	88.58459	93.69833	98.75811
28.28427125	2.828427125	1.9521519	4.271868	5.7737	7.649257	9.841142	11.38229	13.40436	15.44048	18.59796	19.25336	22.26597	23.22904	25.0807	24.76274	28.26948	31.32643	35.01973	38.93073	43.12537	47.10639	50.02054	53.88401	56.38941	60.82379	66.76779	70.75611	75.4241	79.44084
30	3	1.907791	3.497582	5.639754	7.470874	9.222466	11.11434	13.08864	15.08065	17.03877	18.8026	20.47069	22.68703	24.49485	25.80024	27.59818	30.60093	34.20263	38.04989	41.99305	46.01488	50.27358	53.74584	57.37865	62.06624	65.21783	69.55734	73.62645	77.61496
40	4	1.55231	2.791583	4.566778	6.039113	7.433465	8.967646	10.57384	12.20268	13.79978	15.2018	16.52595	18.35739	19.80365	20.75684	22.20293	24.8064	27.68242	30.80684	33.98615	37.26815	40.80826	43.57115	46.47527	50.39069	52.83031	56.40879	59.75208	63.00826
42.42640687	4.242640687	1.4695789	3.285906	4.31707	5.70596	7.397651	8.468049	9.987927	11.53265	14.15747	14.36323	16.84543	17.34904	18.71133	17.96137	20.94744	23.45673	26.1633	29.08604	32.30949	35.23054	37.22828	40.10086	41.76243	45.10083	49.9439	52.93537	56.57163	59.58399
50	5	1.285496	2.267135	3.759076	4.962136	6.087507	7.353381	8.676188	10.03044	11.35115	12.48334	13.55388	15.08517	16.26158	16.96353	18.14808	20.41764	22.74693	25.31714	27.92041	30.64025	33.61728	35.84353	38.19591	41.5232	43.4377	46.43004	49.20472	51.8891
56.56854249	5.656854249	1.1378658	2.58906	3.311491	4.365015	5.698555	6.459277	7.624811	8.825102	11.02948	10.97514	13.04424	13.26842	14.294	13.33352	15.89862	17.97763	20.0041	22.23862	24.77891	26.94589	28.35226	30.53495	31.68541	34.22282	38.207	40.49712	43.38369	45.68963
60	6	1.073517	1.856024	3.114835	4.103139	5.017986	6.067707	7.162757	8.293254	9.392983	10.31041	11.18402	12.46591	13.42663	13.94132	14.91696	16.89487	18.79105	20.92082	23.0501	25.31019	27.83353	29.64443	31.56036	34.37846	35.88848	38.41529	40.71595	42.94723
70	7	0.89865	1.522245	2.581155	3.392432	4.134029	5.004553	5.907253	6.8505	7.763294	8.506273	9.219882	10.28857	11.0732	11.44784	12.25202	13.9592	15.49948	17.2574	18.99858	20.87442	23.00084	24.46793	26.02342	28.4118	29.60012	31.72326	33.62342	35.46547
70.71067812	7.071067812	0.89108613	2.052404	2.559412	3.362919	4.416736	4.960106	5.855554	6.793102	8.631941	8.433529	10.14877	10.20202	10.97774	9.950369	12.13569	13.84603	15.36839	17.08447	19.09797	20.69555	21.69457	23.35876	24.17972	26.09422	29.35038	31.10918	33.40112	35.17063
80	8	0.75017	1.244015	2.125723	2.786195	3.383857	4.09919	4.837289	5.616464	6.368688	6.964755	7.544825	8.425491	9.060415	9.328823	9.985872	11.4399	12.6813	14.12293	15.52778	17.06806	18.84762	20.03184	21.28554	23.27664	24.20557	25.97287	27.52881	29.04158
84.85281374	8.485281374	0.69925059	1.618696	1.971256	2.58011	3.404768	3.790699	4.472005	5.199128	6.70569	6.44162	7.839629	7.794729	8.377428	7.383794	9.209051	10.59124	11.72583	13.03421	14.61854	15.77708	16.48566	17.7442	18.34293	19.76215	22.37813	23.71758	25.51653	26.86217
90	9	0.621077	1.006887	1.727777	2.257284	2.730935	3.309837	3.902424	4.535927	5.144675	5.616141	6.080932	6.793625	7.300154	7.489327	8.018433	9.227781	10.21255	11.3732	12.49126	13.73613	15.19429	16.13313	17.12671	18.76238	19.478	20.91782	22.17172	23.38827
98.99494937	9.899494937	0.54638052	1.25879	1.499565	1.953149	2.585338	2.85547	3.363744	3.917537	5.117809	4.842192	5.95122	5.858688	6.289005	5.398785	6.878169	7.964981	8.795745	9.775821	10.99905	11.81866	12.31588	13.25045	13.6918	14.71666	16.76322	17.76454	19.14601	20.14998
100	10	0.506328	0.800452	1.37216	1.784991	2.151173	2.606085	3.06898	3.568707	4.047976	4.410684	4.773703	5.333133	5.725963	5.855654	6.269595	7.242796	8.003493	8.913471	9.775941	10.75213	11.91386	12.64118	13.40853	14.70513	15.24365	16.38244	17.36518	18.31931
113.137085	11.3137085	0.42316273	0.956953	1.116929	1.445295	1.91447	2.098947	2.466658	2.87584	3.795406	3.544244	4.390946	4.285346	4.594256	3.851384	5.001822	5.82438	6.415565	7.128517	8.043556	8.604189	8.945706	9.619576	9.943048	10.65737	12.19982	12.92631	13.95239	14.67912
110	11	0.402163	0.616776	1.047792	1.354837	1.624759	1.965541	2.30972	2.685252	3.044084	3.31091	3.581373	3.999398	4.290443	4.376319	4.685305	5.426354	5.987239	6.665849	7.302417	8.032265	8.909575	9.446127	10.01133	10.99153	11.37961	12.23484	12.96829	13.67863
120	12	0.305531	0.449415	0.745577	0.954371	1.136982	1.369895	1.604073	1.861366	2.106928	2.286678	2.47111	2.756182	2.953383	3.006386	3.216894	3.730069	4.109051	4.572182	5.0013	5.499225	6.103917	6.46757	6.849448	7.52206	7.779749	8.366111	8.866333	9.351103
127.2792206	12.72792206	0.32389796	0.704687	0.8068	1.034275	1.366183	1.487417	1.741612	2.030293	2.697813	2.492547	3.105455	3.008955	3.221298	2.647091	3.492721	4.083328	4.486277	4.982246	5.634769	6.001127	6.227338	6.692161	6.922544	7.397155	8.500942	9.004851	9.730886	10.23372
141.4213562	14.14213562	0.24502927	0.497677	0.559047	0.706368	0.925035	1.000049	1.164201	1.354117	1.802933	1.652979	2.064172	1.988999	2.12559	1.721429	2.295734	2.689103	2.946306	3.268648	3.701754	3.926055	4.067234	4.367025	4.521571	4.816229	5.549532	5.876083	6.354345	6.679413
155.5634919	15.55634919	0.18434474	0.334068	0.367536	0.453197	0.582052	0.624071	0.719228	0.831084	1.099669	1.004588	1.250256	1.200682	1.279662	1.029865	1.376125	1.609704	1.757455	1.945419	2.202269	2.326351	2.406052	2.579741	2.672888	2.837609	3.272271	3.462192	3.743941	3.932582
169.7056275	16.97056275	0.14050225	0.213387	0.228672	0.269798	0.332267	0.351881	0.39744	0.451677	0.583307	0.534867	0.655136	0.629262	0.667022	0.542038	0.71253	0.826314	0.896601	0.987041	1.112171	1.169461	1.206855	1.290015	1.336207	1.412682	1.624211	1.715401	1.851951	1.942352
183.8477631	18.38477631	0.11272644	0.135822	0.140472	0.15339	0.173139	0.179189	0.193468	0.210631	0.25251	0.236735	0.274985	0.26644	0.278271	0.238166	0.292447	0.328482	0.350437	0.378894	0.41857	0.43616	0.447679	0.473764	0.48871	0.51209	0.579086	0.607739	0.650895	0.679247

Psi Values.xlsx