

A NOTE ON THE FILTERED DECOMPOSITION THEOREM

ZEBAO ZHANG

Abstract. We generalize the logarithmic decomposition theorem of Deligne-Illusie to a filtered version. There are two applications. The easier one provides a mod p proof for a vanishing theorem in characteristic zero. The deeper one gives rise to a positive characteristic analogue of a theorem of Deligne on the mixed Hodge structure attached to complex algebraic varieties.

Keywords Decomposition theorem, mixed Fontaine-Laffaille complex, spectral sequence, vanishing theorem, weight filtration.

MSC 14F40, 14G17, 18G40.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Filtered decomposition theorem	3
3. Proof of Theorem 1.5	9
References	16

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$, and let X be a smooth k -scheme equipped with a normal crossing divisor (NCD) $D \subset X$. Assume that (X, D) is $W_2(k)$ -liftable. By $\tau_{<p}, F$, we mean the canonical truncation of complexes at degrees $< p$ and the relative Frobenius of X over k , respectively. Let $(X', D')/k$ be the base change of (X, D) via the Frobenius automorphism F_k of $\text{Spec}(k)$. Denote by $D^+(X')$ the bounded below derived category of $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -modules. Deligne-Illusie [3, Theorem 2.1] (see also [9, Theorem 5.1], [4, Theorem 10.16]) showed the following cohomological Hodge decomposition in positive characteristic.

Theorem 1.1 (Deligne-Illusie). $\tau_{<p}F_*\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)$ is decomposable in $D^+(X')$, i.e., we have

$$(1) \quad \tau_{<p}F_*\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \Omega_{X'/k}^i(\log D')[-i] \text{ in } D^+(X').$$

There are various extensions of Theorem 1.1, such as Ogus-Vologodsky [14, Corollary 2.27], Schepler [15, Theorem 5.7]. Recently, Illusie kindly informed us that he has generalized Theorem 1.1 to a lci scheme over k by the derived de Rham complex. In this paper, we extend Theorem 1.1 to a filtered version, following the spirit of Deligne-Illusie [3]. As shown in classical Hodge theory, one can define a weight filtration W on the logarithmic de Rham complex $\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)$ in positive characteristic. On the other hand, one can use the similar way to construct a weight filtration on $\bigoplus_i \Omega_{X'/k}^i(\log D')[-i]$, again denoted by W . Note that both sides of (1) inherit a filtration, by abuse of notation, we still write them by the same letter W . Denote by $D^+F(X')$ the bounded

below derived category of filtered $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -modules. We prove a filtered version of Theorem 1.1, which can be regarded as a cohomological mixed Hodge decomposition in positive characteristic.

Theorem 1.2. $(\tau_{<p}F_*\Omega_{X'/k}^*(\log D), W)$ is decomposable in $D^+F(X')$. More precisely, for any $W_2(k)$ -lifting $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})/W_2(k)$ of $(X, D)/k$, we have an isomorphism in $D^+F(X')$:

$$\Psi_{(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})} : \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \Omega_{X'/k}^i(\log D')[-i], W \right) \rightarrow (\tau_{<p}F_*\Omega_{X'/k}^*(\log D), W).$$

There is an easy application of Theorem 1.2, which gives rise to a mod p proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. Let X be a smooth projective variety over a field K of characteristic 0, $D \subset X$ a NCD, L an ample line bundle on X . Then for any l , we have

$$\mathbb{H}^i(X, W_l \Omega_{X/K}^j(\log D) \otimes L) = 0 \quad \text{for } i + j > \dim(X).$$

Note that if D is a simple normal crossing divisor (SNCD), then this theorem is an easy consequence of Kodaira-Akizuki-Nakano vanishing theorem (see [9, Theorem 6.10]).

Another deeper application of Theorem 1.2 concerns a de Rham analogue of the following theorem in positive characteristic.

Theorem 1.4 (Deligne [2, Theorem 8.2.2]). *The cohomology of smooth complex algebraic varieties is endowed with a mixed Hodge structure (MHS) functorial with respect to algebraic maps.*

We briefly review Deligne's proof. Let X be temporarily a smooth complex algebraic variety. By Nagata's compactification theorem and Hironaka's desingularization theorem, there exists a smooth proper complex algebraic variety \overline{X} endowed with a simple normal crossing divisor D such that $X = \overline{X} - D$. It can be shown that the cohomology of X is computed by the hypercohomology of the logarithmic de Rham complex $\Omega_{\overline{X}/\mathbb{C}}^*(\log D)$. This complex carries two filtrations, i.e., the weight filtration W and the Hodge filtration Fil . A key result says that the bifiltered complex $(\Omega_{\overline{X}/\mathbb{C}}^*(\log D), W, \text{Fil})$ is a cohomological mixed Hodge complex. It follows that

$$(K^*, W, \text{Fil}) := R\Gamma(X, (\Omega_{\overline{X}/\mathbb{C}}^*(\log D), W, \text{Fil}))$$

is a Hodge complex. Denote by $\{E_r^{i,j}, d_r^{i,j}\}_{r \geq 0}$ the spectral sequence of (K^*, W) . Then:

- the filtration Fil on K^* induces a recursive filtration Fil_{rec} on $E_r^{i,j}$ such that for any $r \geq 1$, $(E_r^{i,j}, \text{Fil}_{\text{rec}})$ is a pure Hodge structure and $d_r^{i,j}$ is a morphism of Hodge structures;
- this spectral sequence degenerates at E_2 ;
- $\{E_\infty^{i,j}\}$ gives rise to the mixed Hodge structure of the cohomology of X .

The discussion above is functorial with respect to algebraic maps.

In positive characteristic p , we try to follow the line of Deligne's proof. Let $\text{Sch}_{W_2(k), \log}^{\text{sp}}$ be the category of smooth proper $W_2(k)$ -schemes of dimension less than p endowed with a normal crossing divisor. Pick $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) \in \text{Sch}_{W_2(k), \log}^{\text{sp}}$ with closed fiber $(X, D)/k$. We introduce the notion of cohomological mixed Fontaine-Laffaille complexes over X , which can be regarded as a positive characteristic analogue of the notion of cohomological

mixed Hodge complexes. In particular, we show that $(\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D), W, \text{Fil}, \Psi_{(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})})$ is such a bifiltered complex. Set

$$(K_{\text{dR}}^*, W, \text{Fil}, \psi) := R\Gamma(X, (\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D), W, \text{Fil}, \Psi_{(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})})),$$

then we obtain a mixed Fontaine-Laffaille complex over k . Denote by

$$(2) \quad \{E_{r,\text{dR}}^{-i,j}, d_{r,\text{dR}}^{-i,j}\}_{r \geq 1}, \quad \{E_{r,\text{Hig}}^{-i,j}, d_{r,\text{Hig}}^{-i,j}\}_{r \geq 1}$$

the spectral sequence of $(K_{\text{dR}}^*, W), (K_{\text{Hig}}^*, W) := F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}}(K_{\text{dR}}^*, W)$, respectively. Then:

- the filtration Fil on K_{dR}^* induces a recursive filtration Fil_{rec} on $E_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j}$ such that for any $r \geq 1$, $F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}}$ sends $d_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j}$ to $d_{r,\text{Hig}}^{i,j}$;
- ψ induces an isomorphism of spectral sequences

$$\psi : \{E_{r,\text{Hig}}^{-i,j}, d_{r,\text{Hig}}^{-i,j}\}_{r \geq 1} \rightarrow \{E_{r,\text{dR}}^{-i,j}, d_{r,\text{dR}}^{-i,j}\}_{r \geq 1}.$$

Unfortunately, we can not prove the E_2 -degeneration of $\{E_{r,\text{dR}}^{-i,j}, d_{r,\text{dR}}^{-i,j}\}_{r \geq 1}$ as in classical Hodge theory, since there has no appropriate positive characteristic analogue of the action of complex conjugation on Hodge structures. Using Lemma 3.14, we show that the discussion above is functorial with respect to morphisms in $\text{Sch}_{W_2(k),\log}^{\text{sp}}$.

Set $E_{r \geq 1}/\text{Vec}_k$ to be the additive category of spectral sequences starting from E_1 -page over the category Vec_k of k -vector spaces. Note that the Frobenius automorphism F_k^* of k induces an automorphism of $E_{r \geq 1}/\text{Vec}_k$, again denoted by F_k^* . The following theorem is a positive characteristic analogue of Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 1.5. (2) defines functors

$$\rho_{\text{dR}}, \rho_{\text{Hig}} : (\text{Sch}_{W_2(k),\log}^{\text{sp}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow E_{r \geq 1}/\text{Vec}_k.$$

There have a natural Hodge filtration Fil on ρ_{dR} such that

$$\rho_{\text{Hig}} = F_k^* \circ \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}} \rho_{\text{dR}}$$

and an isomorphism of functors

$$\psi : \rho_{\text{Hig}} \rightarrow \rho_{\text{dR}}.$$

We call $(\rho_{\text{dR}}, \rho_{\text{Hig}}, \text{Fil}, \psi)$ a Fontaine-Laffaille quadruple over the category $\text{Sch}_{W_2(k),\log}^{\text{sp}}$.

Acknowledgements. I am deeply indebted to Luc Illusie, Junchao Shentu, Mao Sheng, Jilong Tong, Qizheng Yin, Lei Zhang, Kang Zuo for their useful comments and suggestions on this paper. I appreciate the highly effective help of the anonymous referees which improves the readability of this paper in a large extent. I also thank Jijian Song, Ziyang Song and Zeping Zhu. Finally, I want to show my gratitude to Zhiyu Tian, Qizheng Yin and Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research for supporting me as a visitor about two years.

2. FILTERED DECOMPOSITION THEOREM

2.1. The decomposition theorem of Deligne-Illusie. In this subsection, we briefly recall the decomposition theorem of Deligne-Illusie. For more details, we refer the reader to [4, §8-10], [9, §5]. Let k be a perfect field of positive characteristic p , X a

smooth variety over k , $D \subset X$ a normal crossing divisor. Assume that there exists a $W_2(k)$ -lifting (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) of (X, D) . Consider the following famous diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & F_X & & \\
 & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\
 X & \xrightarrow{F_{X/k}} & X' & \xrightarrow{\pi_{X/k}} & X \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \text{Spec}(k) & \xrightarrow{F_k} & \text{Spec}(k),
 \end{array}$$

where the right square is cartesian, F_k and F_X the absolute Frobenius of $\text{Spec}(k)$ and X , respectively. Set $F := F_{X/k}$. Note that we may take $X' := X$ (i.e., $\pi_{X/k} = \text{id}_X$) as abstract scheme¹, hence (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) induces a $W_2(k)$ -lifting (\tilde{X}', \tilde{D}') of $(X', D' := D)$. Choose an open affine covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}$ of X , and let $\mathcal{U}' = \{U'_\alpha\}, \tilde{\mathcal{U}} = \{\tilde{U}_\alpha\}, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}' = \{\tilde{U}'_\alpha\}$ be the corresponding coverings of $X', \tilde{X}, \tilde{X}'$, respectively. By smoothness and affineness, there exists a family of log liftings $\{\tilde{F}_{U_\alpha/k} : \tilde{U}_\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{U}'_\alpha\}$, i.e., $F_{U_\alpha/k} = \tilde{F}_{U_\alpha/k} \times_{W_2(k)} k$ and $\tilde{F}_{U_\alpha/k}^* \tilde{D}' = p\tilde{D}$ for any α .

Definition 2.1. The family $\{U_\alpha, \tilde{F}_{U_\alpha/k}\}$ constructed above is said to be a D-I atlas of $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})/W_2(k)$.

Lemma 2.2 ([3, §2]). *Using a D-I atlas $\{U_\alpha, \tilde{F}_{U_\alpha/k}\}$ of $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})/W_2(k)$, one can construct two families*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \{\zeta_\alpha : \Omega_{X'/k}(\log D')|_{U'_\alpha} \rightarrow F_*\Omega_{X/k}(\log D)|_{U'_\alpha}\}, \\
 & \{h_{\alpha\beta} : \Omega_{X'/k}(\log D)|_{U'_\alpha \cap U'_\beta} \rightarrow F_*\mathcal{O}_X|_{U'_\alpha \cap U'_\beta}\}
 \end{aligned}$$

such that:

- (i) $\zeta_\beta - \zeta_\alpha = dh_{\alpha\beta}$;
- (ii) (cocycle condition) $h_{\alpha\beta} + h_{\beta\gamma} = h_{\alpha\gamma}$.

Proof. We briefly recall the constructions of ζ_α and $h_{\alpha\beta}$. Let $x \in \mathcal{O}_{U'_\alpha}$ with a lifting $\tilde{x} \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}'_\alpha}$. It is easy to see that $\tilde{F}_{U_\alpha}(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}^p + p\tilde{\lambda}$, where $\tilde{\lambda} \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}'_\alpha}$ is a lifting of some $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_{U'_\alpha}$. We set

$$\zeta_\alpha(dx) := x^{p-1}dx + d\lambda.$$

Furthermore, we assume that $x \in \mathcal{O}_{U'_\alpha \cap U'_\beta}$. Write $\tilde{F}_{U_\beta}(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}^p + p\tilde{\mu}$, where $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}'_\alpha \cap \tilde{U}'_\beta}$ is a lifting of some $\mu \in \mathcal{O}_{U'_\alpha \cap U'_\beta}$. We set

$$h_{\alpha\beta}(dx) := \mu - \lambda.$$

One can check that $\zeta_\alpha, h_{\alpha\beta}$ are well-defined and they satisfy the conditions (i), (ii). \square

The trick for showing Theorem 1.1 can be summarized by the following diagram of quasi-isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (3) & \tau_{<p}F_*\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \check{C}(\mathcal{U}', \tau_{<p}F_*\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)) \\
 & & & \uparrow \varphi_X \\
 & & & \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \Omega_{X'/k}^i(\log D')[-i].
 \end{array}$$

This diagram involves many notations, we quickly review them.

¹The structure morphism $X' \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ is the composite of $X \longrightarrow \text{Spec}(k) \xrightarrow{F_k^{-1}} \text{Spec}(k)$.

- For a complex K^* over some abelian category, we set $\tau_{<p}K^*$ to be the subcomplex of K^* with components K^i for $i \leq p-2$, $\ker(d : K^{p-1} \rightarrow K^p)$ for $i = p-1$ and 0 for $i \geq p$.
- $\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)$ is the logarithmic de Rham complex of X over k with pole along D (see for instance [9, §7.1]).
- Let $\check{C}^*(\mathcal{U}', \tau_{<p}F_*\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D))$ be the Čech resolution of $\tau_{<p}F_*\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)$ by \mathcal{U}' , and let $\check{C}(\mathcal{U}', \tau_{<p}F_*\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D))$ be the associated single complex [9, Pages 121-122].
- For any i , $\Omega_{X'/k}^i(\log D')[-i]$ is a complex with components $\Omega_{X'/k}^i(\log D')$ for degree i and 0 for other degrees.
- Let $f : K_1^* \rightarrow K_2^*$ be a morphism between complexes over some abelian category. Then we say f is a quasi-isomorphism if $H^i(f) : H^i(K_1^*) \rightarrow H^i(K_2^*)$ is an isomorphism for any i .
- ι is the natural augmentation which is a quasi-isomorphism (see [8, Lemma 4.2, Chapter III]).
- φ_X is an $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -linear morphism of complexes constructed below, which is a quasi-isomorphism (see [3, Theorem 2.1]).

Construction 2.3. Let $\{\zeta_{\alpha_0}\}, \{h_{\alpha_0\alpha_1}\}$ be as in Lemma 2.2. The morphism φ_X in (3) is constructed as follows:

- φ_X^0 is clear;
- $\varphi_X^i = (\varphi_X^1)^{\cup i} \delta_i$ for $0 < i < p$, where δ_i is the standard section of the natural projection $\Omega_{X'/k}^{\otimes i}(\log D') \rightarrow \Omega_{X'/k}^i(\log D')$ and

$$(\varphi_X^1)^{\cup i} : \Omega_{X'/k}^{\otimes i}(\log D') \rightarrow \check{C}(\mathcal{U}', \tau_{<p}F_{X/k*}\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D))^i$$

is given by

$$(\varphi_X^1)^{\cup i}(\omega_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_i) = \varphi_X^1(\omega_1) \cup \cdots \cup \varphi_X^1(\omega_i), \quad \omega_1, \dots, \omega_i \in \Omega_{X/k}(\log D).$$

- $\varphi_X^1 = \varphi_X(0, 1) \oplus \varphi_X(1, 0)$, where

$$\varphi_X(0, 1) : \Omega_{X'/k}(\log D') \rightarrow \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}', F_{X/k*}\Omega_{X/k}(\log D))$$

is given by $\varphi_X(0, 1)(\omega) = \{\zeta_{\alpha}(\omega)\}$, and

$$\varphi_X(1, 0) : \Omega_{X'/k}(\log D') \rightarrow \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}', F_{X/k*}\mathcal{O}_X)$$

is given by $\varphi_X(1, 0)(\omega) = \{h_{\alpha_0\alpha_1}(\omega)\}$.

To understand Theorem 1.1, it remains to recall $D(X')$.

Definition 2.4 ([9, §4]). Let \mathbb{A} be an abelian category. We define $C^+(\mathbb{A})$ to be the category of bounded below complexes over \mathbb{A} . Set $K^+(\mathbb{A})$ to be the homotopy category obtained by $C^+(\mathbb{A})$ modulo the homotopy equivalence. Let $D^+(\mathbb{A})$ be the derived category obtained by inverting quasi-isomorphisms in $K^+(\mathbb{A})$. Set $D^+(X') := D^+(\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}})$, where $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}}$ is the abelian category of $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -modules on X' .

2.2. Filtered derived category. For a thorough treatment of this subject, we refer the reader to [1, §3.3.1] or [2, §7]. Let \mathbb{A} be an abelian category. A filtered object of \mathbb{A} is an object of \mathbb{A} together with a finite increasing filtration. A filtered morphism in \mathbb{A} is a morphism $f : (A_1, W^1) \rightarrow (A_2, W^2)$ between two filtered objects of \mathbb{A} , i.e., $f : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ is a morphism of \mathbb{A} subjects to $f(W_i^1 A_1) \subset W_i^2 A_2$ for any i . Denote by $F\mathbb{A}$ the category of filtered objects of \mathbb{A} and filtered morphisms in \mathbb{A} . It is an additive category.

Let $C^+(F\mathbb{A})$ be the category of bounded below complexes over $F\mathbb{A}$, and let $K^+(F\mathbb{A})$ be the homotopy category obtained by $C^+(F\mathbb{A})$ modulo the homotopy equivalence. A morphism $f : (A_1^*, W^1) \rightarrow (A_2^*, W^2)$ in $C^+(F\mathbb{A})$ is said to be a quasi-isomorphism if $f : A_1^* \rightarrow A_2^*$ is a filtered quasi-isomorphism with respect to the filtrations W^1, W^2 , i.e., the induced morphism $f : W_i^1 A_1^* \rightarrow W_i^2 A_2^*$ is a quasi-isomorphism in $C^+(\mathbb{A})$ for any i . Let $D^+(F\mathbb{A})$ be the derived category obtained by inverting quasi-isomorphisms in $K^+(F\mathbb{A})$.

2.3. The weight filtration. Let S be a scheme, X a smooth S -scheme, D a normal crossing divisor on X relative to S . Recall that besides the decreasing Hodge filtration Fil on the logarithmic de Rham complex $\Omega_{X/S}^*(\log D)$, it carries another increasing weight filtration W .

Definition 2.5 ([1, §3.4.1.2]). For any $i \geq 0$, there is an increasing weight filtration W on $\Omega_{X/S}^i(\log D)$ defined as follows:

$$W_l \Omega_{X/S}^i(\log D) := \begin{cases} \Omega_{X/S}^l(\log D) \wedge \Omega_{X/S}^{i-l}, & l \leq i; \\ \Omega_{X/S}^i(\log D), & l > i. \end{cases}$$

The weight filtration W on each term $\Omega_{X/S}^i(\log D)$ induces a weight filtration on $\Omega_{X/S}^*(\log D)$ or $\bigoplus_i \Omega_{X/S}^i(\log D)[-i]$, again denoted by W .

2.4. φ_X is an isomorphism in $D^+F(X')$. Let $(X, D)/k, (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})/W_2(k), \varphi_X$ be as in §2.1. Set

$$C^+F(X') := C^+(F\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}}), \quad K^+F(X') := K^+(F\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}})$$

and $D^+F(X') := D^+(F\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}})$. Note that the weight filtration W on $\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)$ induces a filtration on the complex $\tau_{<p}F_*\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)$ or $\check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}', \tau_{<p}F_*\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D))$, again denoted by W . By definition the following

$$(\tau_{<p}F_*\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D), W), \quad (\check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}', \tau_{<p}F_*\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)), W), \quad \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \Omega_{X'/k}^i(\log D')[-i], W\right)$$

are objects of $C^+F(X')$. It is obvious that ι is a quasi-isomorphism in $C^+F(X')$ and φ_X is a morphism in $C^+F(X')$. Moreover, we have

Proposition 2.6. φ_X is an isomorphism in $D^+F(X')$.

We prove this proposition by four steps. Since the problem is étale local, we may assume that D is a simple normal crossing divisor on X and F admits a global log lifting \tilde{F} . Write $D = \sum_{i=1}^m D_i$, where each D_i is a smooth component of D . For any $\emptyset \neq I \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$, set $D_I := \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$. Set $D_\emptyset = X$.

Step 1. Recall that φ_X is constructed by a D-I atlas $\{U_\alpha, \tilde{F}|_{U_\alpha}\}$ of $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})/W_2(k)$. Using the global log lifting \tilde{F} , there is another D-I atlas $\{U_\alpha, \tilde{F}|_{\tilde{U}_\alpha}\}$ of $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})/W_2(k)$. Running Construction 2.3 for this new D-I atlas, we obtain a new morphism which is denoted by $\varphi_{\tilde{F}}$.

Lemma 2.7. $\varphi_X = \varphi_{\tilde{F}}$ in $K^+F(X')$.

Proof. This lemma is a special case of Lemma 3.14: we take $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{E}) = (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})$, $\tilde{f} = \text{id}_{(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})}$, D-I atlases $\{U_\alpha, \tilde{F}|_{U_\alpha}\}, \{U_\alpha, \tilde{F}|_{\tilde{U}_\alpha}\}$ of $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})/W_2(k), (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{E})/W_2(k)$, respectively and $\chi = \text{id}$. \square

This lemma shows that if $\varphi_{\tilde{F}}$ is a quasi-isomorphism in $C^+F(X')$, then Proposition 2.6 follows. Let

$$\zeta_{\tilde{F}} : \Omega_{X'/k}(\log D') \rightarrow F_*\Omega_{X/k}(\log D)$$

be the morphism induced by \tilde{F} . Then we can construct a morphism

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{F}} : \left(\bigoplus_i \Omega_{X'/k}^i(\log D')[-i], W \right) \rightarrow (F_*\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D), W)$$

in $C^+F(X')$ as follows: for $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_s \in \Omega_{X'/k}(\log D')$, we set

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{F}}(\omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_s) := \zeta_{\tilde{F}}(\omega_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \zeta_{\tilde{F}}(\omega_s);$$

for $x' \in \mathcal{O}_{X'}$, we set $\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{F}}(x') := F^*x'$. Let

$$\tau_{<p}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{F}} : \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \Omega_{X'/k}^i(\log D')[-i], W \right) \rightarrow (\tau_{<p}F_*\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D), W)$$

be the morphism obtained by truncating $\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{F}}$ at degrees $< p$, then one can check that $\varphi_{\tilde{F}} = \iota \circ \tau_{<p}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{F}}$ holds in $C^+F(X')$. Since ι is a quasi-isomorphism in $C^+F(X')$, it is enough to show that

Lemma 2.8. $\tau_{<p}\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{F}}$ is an isomorphism in $D^+F(X')$.

Step 2. When take grading Gr_l^W on $\tau_{<p}F_*\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)$, the truncation $\tau_{<p}$ may make trouble. the following lemma dispel this worry.

Lemma 2.9. *The natural morphism*

$$(4) \quad \mu : \mathrm{Gr}_l^W \tau_{<p}F_*\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D) \rightarrow \tau_{<p}\mathrm{Gr}_l^W F_*\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism in $C^+(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}})$.

Proof. It is enough to check that $\mathcal{H}^{p-1}(\mu)$ is an isomorphism. Since the problem is Zariski local, we may assume that X admits a global coordinate system t_1, \dots, t_n such that $D = (t_1 \cdots t_m = 0)$. A direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}^{p-1} \left(\frac{W_l \tau_{<p} F_* \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)}{W_{l-1} \tau_{<p} F_* \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)} \right) \\ &= \frac{\{x \in F_* W_l \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}(\log D) : dx=0\}}{\{x \in F_* W_{l-1} \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}(\log D) : dx=0\} + dW_l \Omega_{X/k}^{p-2}(\log D)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}^{p-1}(\mathrm{Gr}_l^W F_* \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)) \\ &= \frac{\{x \in F_* W_l \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}(\log D) : dx \in F_* W_{l-1} \Omega_{X/k}^p(\log D)\}}{F_* W_{l-1} \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}(\log D) + dF_* W_l \Omega_{X/k}^{p-2}(\log D)}. \end{aligned}$$

The injectivity of $\mathcal{H}^{p-1}(\mu)$ is clear. Let us show the surjectivity of $\mathcal{H}^{p-1}(\mu)$. For any $\emptyset \neq I = \{i_1, \dots, i_s\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $i_1 < \dots < i_s$, write

$$t_I = \prod_{i \in I} t_j, \quad d_I \log t = d \log t_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d \log t_{i_s}.$$

Let $x \in F_* W_l \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}(\log D)$, then it can be locally expressed as the following form:

$$x = \sum_{|I|=l} d_I \log t \wedge x_I + x', \quad x_I \in \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1-l}, \quad x' \in W_{l-1} \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}(\log D).$$

Assume that $dx \in W_{l-1}\Omega_{X/k}^p(\log D)$. By Lemma 2.12 (i), we have $d_{D_I/k}(x|_{D_I}) = 0$ for any $|I| = l$. Using the Cartier isomorphism [4, Theorem 9.14], we locally have

$$x_I|_{D_I} = \sum_{K \cap I = \emptyset, |K|=p-1-l} (\lambda_K^p t_K^p d_K \log t)|_{D_I} + d_{D_I/k}(x'_I|_{D_I}), \quad \lambda_K \in \mathcal{O}_X, \quad x'_I \in \Omega_{X/k}^{p-2-l}.$$

Consequently, we locally get

$$x = \sum \lambda_K^p d_I \log t \wedge t_K^p d_K \log t + dx'' + x''',$$

where $x'' \in W_l \Omega_{X/k}^{p-2}(\log D)$, $x''' \in W_{l-1} \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}(\log D)$. From which the surjectivity of $\mathcal{H}^{p-1}(\mu)$ follows, this completes the proof. \square

Combing this lemma with 5-lemma, it is enough to show that

Lemma 2.10. $\text{Gr}_l^W \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{F}}$ is an isomorphism in $D^+(X')$ for any l , or equivalently, $\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{F}}$ is an isomorphism in $D^+F(X')$.

Step 3. We introduce the Poincaré residue map.

Definition 2.11. (i) Let $I \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$, and $i_{D_I} : D_I \hookrightarrow X$ be the natural closed embedding. For any $0 \leq l \leq q$ with $|I| = l$, we define a Poincaré residue map ([1, §3.4.1.3])

$$\text{Res}_{D_I} : W_l \Omega_{X/k}^q(\log D) \rightarrow i_{D_I*} \Omega_{D_I/k}^{q-l}$$

as follows. Let $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_l\}$ with $i_1 < \dots < i_l$, and let $\omega \in W_l \Omega_{X/k}^q(\log D)$. Locally, we can write

$$\omega = d \log t_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d \log t_l \wedge \omega' + \eta,$$

where t_1, \dots, t_l are local defining functions of D_{i_1}, \dots, D_{i_l} and

$$\omega' \in \Omega_{X/k}^{q-l}, \quad \eta \in \sum_{i \in I} \Omega_{X/k}^q(\log D - D_i).$$

Then we locally define

$$\text{Res}_{D_I}(\omega) = \omega'|_{D_I} \in i_{D_I*} \Omega_{D_I/k}^{q-l}.$$

(ii) Let (K^*, d) be a complex over abelian category A , and let l be an integer. We define $(K^*, d)[l]$ as follows:

$$(K^*, d)[l] := (K^*[l], d[l]), \quad K^*[l]^i := K^{i+l}, \quad d[l]^i := (-1)^l d^{i+l}.$$

Lemma 2.12. Let $i_{D'_I} : D'_I \hookrightarrow X'$ be the base change of $i_{D_I} : D_I \hookrightarrow X$ via F_k . Then we have the following decompositions:

- (i) $\text{Gr}_l^W \Omega_{X/k}^q(\log D) \cong \bigoplus_{|I|=l} i_{D_I*} \Omega_{D_I/k}^q[-l]$;
- (ii) $\text{Gr}_l^W \bigoplus_q \Omega_{X'/k}^q(\log D')[-q] \cong \bigoplus_{|I|=l} i_{D'_I*} (\bigoplus_q \Omega_{D'_I/k}^q[-q])[-l]$.

Proof. It is easy to see that Res_{D_I} induces a morphism

$$\text{Gr}_{|I|}^W \Omega_{X/k}^q(\log D) \rightarrow \Omega_{D_I}^{q-|I|},$$

again denoted by Res_{D_I} . Moreover, one can check that

$$\bigoplus_{|I|=l} \text{Res}_{D_I} : \text{Gr}_l^W \Omega_{X/k}^q(\log D) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{|I|=l} i_{D_I*} \Omega_{D_I/k}^{q-l}$$

is an isomorphism, from which (i) follows. (ii) is similar to (i). \square

Step 4. We study the mixed structure of $\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{F}}$. Set \tilde{F}_I to be the restriction of \tilde{F} on \tilde{D}_I , then it induces a morphism

$$\zeta_{\tilde{F}_I} : \Omega_{D'_I/k} \rightarrow F_*\Omega_{D_I/k}.$$

We similarly define $\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{F}_I}$ as $\varphi_{\tilde{F}}$. For any $|I| = l$ and $i \geq l$, the following diagram can be easily checked:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_l \Omega_{X'/k}^i(\log D) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{F}}} & W_l F_* \Omega_{X/k}^i(\log D) \\ \downarrow \text{Res}_{D'_I} & & \downarrow \text{Res}_{D_I} \\ i_{D'_I*} \Omega_{D'_I/k}^{i-l} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{F}_I}} & i_{D'_I} F_* \Omega_{D_I/k}^{i-l}. \end{array}$$

Combing Lemma 2.12 with this diagram, we get

$$\text{Gr}_l^W \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{F}} = \bigoplus_{|I|=l} i_{D'_I*} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{F}_I}[-l].$$

By the Cartier isomorphism *loc.cit.*, we obtain that $\tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{F}_I}$ is a quasi-isomorphism in $C^+F(D'_I)$ for any I . This implies that $\text{Gr}_l^W \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{F}}$ is an isomorphism in $D^+(X')$, from which Lemma 2.10 follows. The proof of Proposition 2.6 is completed.

As an easy consequence of Proposition 2.6, Theorem 1.2 follows.

Using Proposition 2.6 and an argument which is similar to the proof of Raynaud's vanishing theorem [9, Theorem 5.8], we have the following.

Theorem 2.13. *Let X be a smooth projective variety over k , and let D be a normal crossing divisor on X . Assume that $\dim(X) < p$. Then for any ample line bundle L on X , we have*

$$(5) \quad \mathbb{H}^j(X, W_l \Omega_{X/k}^i(\log D) \otimes L) = 0 \text{ for } i + j > \dim(X).$$

Note that when D is a simple normal crossing divisor on X , it is an easy consequence of [9, Theorem 5.8].

Combing Theorem 2.13 with spreading-out technical (see [9, §6]), Theorem 1.3 follows.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

This section aims to the proof of Theorem 1.5.

3.1. Mixed Fontaine-Laffaille complexes. In this subsection, we establish a positive characteristic analogue of the notion of mixed Hodge complexes (see [1, Definition 3.3.17], [2, (8.1.5)]).

Definition 3.1. We call a quadruple $(K_{\text{dR}}^*, W, \text{Fil}, \psi)$ a mixed Fontaine-Laffaille complex over k if:

- K_{dR}^* is a bounded below complex of k -vector spaces;
- W is a finite increasing weight filtration on K_{dR}^* ;
- Fil is a finite decreasing Hodge filtration on K_{dR}^* ;
- $H^j(\text{Gr}_i^W K_{\text{dR}}^*) = 0$ for $i + j \gg 0$ and it is a finite dimensional k -vector space for any i, j ;
- let $(K_{\text{Hig}}^*, W) := F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}}(K_{\text{dR}}^*, W)$, then

$$(6) \quad \psi : (K_{\text{Hig}}^*, W) \rightarrow (K_{\text{dR}}^*, W)$$

is an isomorphism in $D^+F(\text{Vec}_k)$.

A morphism between two mixed Fontaine-Laffaille complexes

$$f : (K_{\mathrm{dR},1}^*, W^1, \mathrm{Fil}_1, \psi_1) \rightarrow (K_{\mathrm{dR},2}^*, W^2, \mathrm{Fil}_2, \psi_2)$$

is a morphism of complexes of k -vector spaces

$$f : K_{\mathrm{dR},1}^* \rightarrow K_{\mathrm{dR},2}^*$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- f preserves the filtrations W^1, W^2 and $\mathrm{Fil}_1, \mathrm{Fil}_2$;
- the following diagram is commutative in $D^+F(\mathrm{Vec}_k)$:

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (K_{\mathrm{Hig},1}^*, W^1) & \xrightarrow{F_k^* \mathrm{Gr}(f)} & (K_{\mathrm{Hig},2}^*, W^2) \\ \downarrow \psi_1 & & \downarrow \psi_2 \\ (K_{\mathrm{dR},1}^*, W^1) & \xrightarrow{f} & (K_{\mathrm{dR},2}^*, W^2). \end{array}$$

Denote by $\mathrm{MFLC}(k)$ the category of mixed Fontaine-Laffaille complexes over k .

Remark 3.2. When $K_{\mathrm{dR}}^0 = V$, $K_{\mathrm{dR}}^i = 0$ for $i \neq 0$ and $W_{-1}K_{\mathrm{dR}}^* = 0, W_0K_{\mathrm{dR}}^* = K_{\mathrm{dR}}^*$, the mixed Fontaine-Laffaille complex $(K_{\mathrm{dR}}^*, W, \mathrm{Fil}, \psi)$ becomes a Fontaine-Laffaille module (V, Fil, ψ) over k . In this case, we notice that $\psi : F_k^* \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{Fil}} V \rightarrow V$ and (7) live in Vec_k . Denote by $\mathrm{MF}(k)$ the category of Fontaine-Laffaille modules over k .

It is well-known that the category of Hodge structures is an abelian category and the morphisms are strict, i.e., if $f : (H_1, \mathrm{Fil}_1) \rightarrow (H_2, \mathrm{Fil}_2)$ is a morphism of Hodge structures, then

$$f(\mathrm{Fil}^i H_1) = f(H_1) \cap \mathrm{Fil}^i H_2.$$

For the category $\mathrm{MF}(k)$, we have similar properties.

Theorem 3.3 ([5, Theorem 2.1]). *$\mathrm{MF}(k)$ is an abelian category and morphisms in $\mathrm{MF}(k)$ are strict.*

In what follows, let us fix a mixed Fontaine-Laffaille complex $(K_{\mathrm{dR}}^*, W, \mathrm{Fil}, \psi)$ and study its spectral sequences. We briefly recall the relevant notions.

Definition 3.4. Let \mathbb{A} be an abelian category. For any $r_0 \geq 0$, a spectral sequence starting from E_{r_0} -page over \mathbb{A} is a family $\{E_r^{i,j}, d_r^{i,j}\}_{r \geq r_0}$ subjects to the following conditions:

- for any i, j and $r \geq r_0$, $E_r^{i,j}$ is an object of \mathbb{A} and $d_r^{i,j} : E_r^{i,j} \rightarrow E_r^{i+r, j-r+1}$ is a morphism in \mathbb{A} ;
- $d_r^{i,j} \circ d_r^{i-r, j+r-1} = 0$ and $E_{r+1}^{i,j} \cong \frac{\ker(d_r^{i,j})}{\mathrm{im}(d_r^{i-r, j+r-1})}$.

A morphism between spectral sequences starting from E_{r_0} -page over \mathbb{A}

$$f : \{E_r^{i,j}, d_r^{i,j}\}_{r \geq r_0} \rightarrow \{E_r'^{i,j}, d_r'^{i,j}\}_{r \geq r_0}$$

consisting of the following data:

- for any i, j and $r \geq r_0$, there is a morphism $f : E_r^{i,j} \rightarrow E_r'^{i,j}$ in \mathbb{A} which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_r^{i,j} & \xrightarrow{d_r^{i,j}} & E_r^{i+r, j-r+1} \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f \\ E_r'^{i,j} & \xrightarrow{d_r'^{i,j}} & E_r'^{i+r, j-r+1}; \end{array}$$

- $f : E_{r+1}^{i,j} \rightarrow E_{r+1}^{i,j}$ is induced from the E_r -page.

Denote by $E_{r \geq r_0}/\mathbb{A}$ the category of spectral sequences starting from E_{r_0} -page over \mathbb{A} , which is an additive category.

A typical example of spectral sequences is the following.

Example 3.5 ([7, page 440]). Let K^* be a complex over an abelian category \mathbb{A} with differential d and F a decreasing filtration on K^* . We construct the spectral sequence $\{E_r^{i,j}(K^*, W), d_r^{i,j}\}_{r \geq 0}$ of (K^*, F) starting from E_0 -page as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_r^{i,j}(K^*, W) &= Z_r^{i,j}/B_r^{i,j}, \quad Z_r^{i,j} = \{x \in F^i K^{i+j} : dx \in F^{i+r} K^{i+j+1}\}, \\ B_r^{i,j} &= dF^{i-r+1} K^{i+j-1} \cap F^i K^{i+j} + \{x \in F^{i+1} K^{i+j} : dx \in F^{i+r} K^{i+j+1}\} \end{aligned}$$

and $d_r^{i,j} : E_r^{i,j} \rightarrow E_r^{i+r, j-r+1}$ is induced by d . Note that we can take $r = \infty$. We say this spectral sequence degenerates at E_r if $d_s^{i,j} = 0$ for any i, j and $s \geq r$.

Let W be another finite increasing filtration on K^* . Set $\tilde{W}^i := W_{-i}$. Then we take the spectral sequence of (K^*, W) to be the spectral sequence of (K^*, \tilde{W}) .

Lemma 3.6. *The spectral sequences of $(K_{\text{dR}}^*, \text{Fil}), \text{Gr}_i^W(K_{\text{dR}}^*, \text{Fil})$ degenerate at E_1 .*

Proof. It is obvious that

$$\dim_k H^m(K_{\text{dR}}^*) = \dim_k H^m(F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}} K_{\text{dR}}^*) = \dim_k H^m(\text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}} K_{\text{dR}}^*),$$

from which the E_1 -degeneration of $(K_{\text{dR}}^*, \text{Fil})$ follows. Note that

$$F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}} \text{Gr}_i^W K_{\text{dR}}^* = \text{Gr}_i^W F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}} K_{\text{dR}}^*,$$

then the E_1 -degeneration of $\text{Gr}_i^W(K_{\text{dR}}^*, \text{Fil})$ follows similarly. \square

We proceed to study the spectral sequence of (K_{dR}^*, W) . Before doing this, it is necessary to review Deligne's technical result.

Definition 3.7 ([1, Definition 3.2.26], [2, (7.2.4)]). Let K^* be a bounded below complex over an abelian category \mathbb{A} with differential d , W a finite increasing filtration and F a finite decreasing filtration on K^* . Assume that $H^j(\text{Gr}_i^W K^*) = 0$ and $H^j(\text{Gr}_F^i K) = 0$ for $i + j \gg 0$. Then there are three decreasing filtrations $F_d, F_{d^*}, F_{\text{rec}}$ on $E_r^{i,j}(K^*, W)$ which are defined as follows:

- $F_d^l E_r^{i,j}(K^*, W) := \text{im}(E_r^{i,j}(F^l K^*, W) \rightarrow E_r^{i,j}(K^*, W));$
- dually, we define $F_{d^*}^l E_r^{i,j}(K^*, W) := \ker(E_r^{i,j}(K^*, W) \rightarrow E_r^{i,j}(K^*/F^l K^*, W));$
- it is easy to check that $F_d = F_{d^*}$ on $E_0^{i,j}(K^*, W)$. Then we define the recurrent filtration F_{rec} on $E_r^{i,j}(K^*, W)$ by induction on r . Set $F_{\text{rec}} := F_d = F_{d^*}$ on $E_0^{i,j}(K^*, W)$. Assume F_{rec} is defined on $E_r^{i,j}(K^*, W)$. Since $E_{r+1}^{i,j}(K^*, W)$ is a subquotient of $E_r^{i,j}(K^*, W)$, then it induces a filtration F_{rec} on $E_{r+1}^{i,j}(K^*, W)$.

Now we can state Deligne's technical lemma.

Lemma 3.8 (Deligne [1, Theorem 3.2.30], [2, Proposition 7.2.5]). *Use the notation as in the definition above.*

- (i) *The differential d is strictly compatible with F if and only if the spectral sequence of (K^*, F) degenerates at E_1 .*
- (ii) *Let $r_0 \geq 0$. Assume that $d_r^{i,j}$ is strictly compatible with F_{rec} for any i, j and $r < r_0$. Then we have the following exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow E_{r_0}^{i,j}(F^l K^*, W) \rightarrow E_{r_0}^{i,j}(K^*, W) \rightarrow E_{r_0}^{i,j}(K^*/F^l K^*, W) \rightarrow 0.$$

Denote by

$$\{E_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j} = \frac{Z_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j}}{B_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j}}, d_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j}\}, \{E_{r,\text{Hig}}^{i,j} = \frac{Z_{r,\text{Hig}}^{i,j}}{B_{r,\text{Hig}}^{i,j}}, d_{r,\text{Hig}}^{i,j}\}$$

the spectral sequences of (K_{dR}^*, W) , $(K_{\text{Hig}}^*, W) := F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}}(K_{\text{dR}}^*, W)$, respectively. They are related by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $(K_{\text{dR}}^*, W, \text{Fil}, \psi)$ be as above.*

(i) ψ induces an isomorphism of spectral sequences

$$\psi : \{E_{r,\text{Hig}}^{i,j}, d_{r,\text{Hig}}^{i,j}\}_{r \geq 1} \rightarrow \{E_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j}, d_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j}\}_{r \geq 1}.$$

(ii) $\text{Fil}_d = \text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}$ on $E_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j}$ and $F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}}$ sends $d_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j}$ to $d_{r,\text{Hig}}^{i,j}$ for $r \geq 0$.

Proof. (i) is obvious. For (ii), we restate it in a more subtle form, i.e., for any i, j and $r \geq 0$, we have:

- $\text{Fil}_d = \text{Fil}_{\text{rec}} = \text{Fil}_{d^*}$ on $E_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j}$ and $d_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j}$ is strict with respect to Fil_{rec} ;
- there is an isomorphism

$$\mu : F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}} E_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j} \rightarrow E_{r,\text{Hig}}^{i,j}$$

in Vec_k such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_l F_k^* \text{Fil}^l Z_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j} & \longrightarrow & Z_{r,\text{Hig}}^{i,j} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}} E_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & E_{r,\text{Hig}}^{i,j} \end{array}$$

where the upper and two vertical morphisms are natural morphisms. Moreover, the two vertical maps are surjective, from which μ is uniquely determined.

- the following diagram is commutative:

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}} E_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & E_{r,\text{Hig}}^{i,j} \\ F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}} d_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j} \downarrow & & \downarrow d_{r,\text{Hig}}^{i,j} \\ F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}} E_{r,\text{dR}}^{i+r,j-r+1} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & E_{r,\text{Hig}}^{i+r,j-r+1} \end{array}$$

We prove this subtle form by induction on r . The case $r = 0$. According to Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.8 (i), $d_{0,\text{dR}}^{i,j}$ is strict with respect to Fil_{rec} for any i, j . We set

$$\mu : F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}} \text{Gr}_{-i}^W K_{\text{dR}}^{i+j} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{-i}^W F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}} K_{\text{dR}}^{i+j} = \text{Gr}_{-i}^W K_{\text{Hig}}^{i+j}$$

to be the natural isomorphism. The other statements are obvious, hence this case follows.

Assume this subtle form holds for any $r \leq r_0$. Observing that the isomorphism $\psi : (K_{\text{Hig}}^*, W) \rightarrow (K_{\text{dR}}^*, W)$ induces an isomorphism $E_{r,\text{Hig}}^{i,j} \rightarrow E_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j}$ in Vec_k for any i, j and $r \geq 1$, again denoted by ψ . By Theorem 3.3, we know that

$$(10) \quad d_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j} : (E_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j}, \text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}, \psi) \rightarrow (E_{r,\text{dR}}^{i+r,j-r+1}, \text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}, \psi)$$

is a morphism in $\text{MF}(k)$ for any i, j and $1 \leq r \leq r_0$. It follows that

$$F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}} E_{r+1,\text{dR}}^{i,j} \cong H(F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}} E_{r,\text{dR}}^{i-r,j+r-1} \rightarrow F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}} E_{r,\text{dR}}^{i,j} \rightarrow F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}} E_{r,\text{dR}}^{i+r,j-r+1})$$

holds for any i, j and $1 \leq r \leq r_0$. For any i, j , let

$$(11) \quad \mu : F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}} E_{r_0+1, \text{dR}}^{i,j} \rightarrow E_{r_0+1, \text{Hig}}^{i,j}$$

be the cohomology of

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}} E_{r, \text{dR}}^{i-r, j+r-1} & \longrightarrow & F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}} E_{r, \text{dR}}^{i,j} & \longrightarrow & F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}} E_{r, \text{dR}}^{i+r, j-r+1} \\ \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \mu \\ E_{r, \text{Hig}}^{i-r, j+r-1} & \longrightarrow & E_{r, \text{Hig}}^{i,j} & \longrightarrow & E_{r, \text{Hig}}^{i+r, j-r+1} \end{array}.$$

One can check that (11) satisfies (8) for $r = r_0 + 1$. By assumption, $d_{r, \text{dR}}^{i,j}$ is strict for any i, j and $r \leq r_0$. Using Lemma 3.8 (ii), it implies that $\text{Fil}_d = \text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}$ on $E_{r_0+1, \text{dR}}^{i,j}$ for any i, j . From which the surjectivity of the vertical morphisms in (8) for $r = r_0 + 1$ follows. Combing the following easily checked commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_l F_k^* \text{Fil}^l Z_{r_0+1, \text{dR}}^{i,j} & \longrightarrow & Z_{r_0+1, \text{Hig}}^{i,j} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigoplus_l F_k^* \text{Fil}^l Z_{r_0+1, \text{dR}}^{i+r, j-r+1} & \longrightarrow & Z_{r_0+1, \text{Hig}}^{i+r, j-r+1} \end{array}$$

with the surjectivity of $\mu : \bigoplus_l F_k^* \text{Fil}^l Z_{r_0+1, \text{dR}}^{i,j} \rightarrow F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}} E_{r_0+1, \text{dR}}^{i,j}$, the commutativity of (9) for $r = r_0 + 1$ follows. It remains to show the strictness of $d_{r_0+1, \text{dR}}^{i,j}$ with respect to Fil_{rec} for any i, j . This is easy, because the commutativity of (9) for $r = r_0 + 1$ says that (10) is a morphism in $\text{MF}(k)$ for $r = r_0 + 1$. The proof is completed. \square

Note that F_k^* induces an automorphism of $E_{r \geq 1} / \text{Vec}_k$. As an application of the theorem above, one has

Lemma-Definition 3.10. There are functors

$$\rho_{\text{dR}}^{\text{MFLC}}, \rho_{\text{Hig}}^{\text{MFLC}} : \text{MFLC}(k) \rightarrow E_{r \geq 1} / \text{Vec}_k$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\text{dR}}^{\text{MFLC}}(K_{\text{dR}}^*, W, \text{Fil}, \psi) &:= \{E_r^{i,j}(K_{\text{dR}}^*, W), d_{r, \text{dR}}^{i,j}\}_{r \geq 1}, \\ \rho_{\text{Hig}}^{\text{MFLC}}(K_{\text{dR}}^*, W, \text{Fil}, \psi) &:= \{E_r^{i,j}(K_{\text{Hig}}^*, W), d_{r, \text{Hig}}^{i,j}\}_{r \geq 1}. \end{aligned}$$

The recursive filtration Fil_{rec} on $E_r^{i,j}(K_{\text{dR}}^*, W)$ induces a Hodge filtration Fil on $\rho_{\text{dR}}^{\text{MFLC}}$ with $\rho_{\text{Hig}}^{\text{MFLC}} = F_k^* \circ \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}} \rho_{\text{dR}}^{\text{MFLC}}$. Let $\psi : \rho_{\text{Hig}}^{\text{MFLC}} \rightarrow \rho_{\text{dR}}^{\text{MFLC}}$ be the isomorphism of functors induced by (6). We call $(\rho_{\text{dR}}^{\text{MFLC}}, \rho_{\text{Hig}}^{\text{MFLC}}, \text{Fil}, \psi)$ a Fontaine-Laffaille quadruple over $\text{MFLC}(k)$.

Proof. Using Theorem 3.9, we know that $d_{r, \text{dR}}^{i,j}$ is strict with respect to Fil_{rec} for any i, j and $r \geq 0$. It follows that

$$\{\text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}^l E_{r, \text{dR}}^{i,j}, d_{r, \text{dR}}^{i,j}\}_{r \geq 1}, \{\text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_{\text{rec}}}^l E_{r, \text{dR}}^{i,j}, d_{r, \text{dR}}^{i,j}\}_{r \geq 1} \in E_{r \geq 1} / \text{Vec}_k, \forall l.$$

In other words, the Hodge filtration Fil on $\rho_{\text{dR}}^{\text{MFLC}}$ is well-defined. By Theorem 3.9 (ii), $\rho_{\text{Hig}}^{\text{MFLC}} = F_k^* \circ \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}} \rho_{\text{dR}}^{\text{MFLC}}$ follows. The other statements are obvious. \square

3.2. Cohomological mixed Fontaine-Laffaille complexes. We introduce a positive characteristic analogue of the notion of cohomological mixed Hodge complexes (see [1, Definition 3.3.18], [2, (8.1.6)]).

Definition 3.11. Let X be a scheme over k . Denote by Mod_{k_X} (resp. $\text{Mod}_{k_{X'}}$) the abelian category of sheaves of k -vector spaces on X (resp. X'). We call a quadruple $(\mathcal{K}_{\text{dR}}^*, W, \text{Fil}, \Psi)$ a cohomological mixed Fontaine-Laffaille complex over X if:

- $\mathcal{K}_{\text{dR}}^* \in C^+(\text{Mod}_{k_X})$;
- W is a finite increasing weight filtration on $\mathcal{K}_{\text{dR}}^*$;
- Fil is a finite decreasing Hodge filtration on $\mathcal{K}_{\text{dR}}^*$;
- $R^j\Gamma(X, \text{Gr}_i^W \mathcal{K}_{\text{dR}}^*) = 0$ for $i + j \gg 0$ and it has finite dimension over k for any i, j ;
- set $(\mathcal{K}_{\text{Hig}}^*, W) := \pi_{X/k}^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}}(\mathcal{K}_{\text{dR}}^*, W) \in C^+(\text{Mod}_{k_{X'}})$, then

$$\Psi : (\mathcal{K}_{\text{Hig}}^*, W) \rightarrow F_*(\mathcal{K}_{\text{dR}}^*, W)$$

is an isomorphism in $D^+F(\text{Mod}_{k_{X'}})$.

Lemma 3.12. *Let $(\mathcal{K}_{\text{dR}}^*, W, \text{Fil}, \psi)$ be a cohomological mixed Fontaine-Laffaille complex over X . Then $(R\Gamma(X, (\mathcal{K}_{\text{dR}}^*, W, \text{Fil})), R\Gamma(X', \Psi))$ is a mixed Fontaine-Laffaille complex over k .*

Proof. We abbreviate $R\Gamma(X, \text{Fil})$ as Fil . For the proof of this lemma, it is enough to notice that

$$F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}} R\Gamma(X, (\mathcal{K}_{\text{dR}}^*, W)) = R\Gamma(X', \pi_{X/k}^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}}(\mathcal{K}_{\text{dR}}^*, W)) = R\Gamma(X', (\mathcal{K}_{\text{Hig}}^*, W))$$

and $R\Gamma(X, (\mathcal{K}_{\text{dR}}^*, W)) = R\Gamma(X', F_*(\mathcal{K}_{\text{dR}}^*, W))$. \square

Taking account Theorem 1.2, we immediately obtain the following.

Theorem 3.13. *Notation as in Theorem 1.2 and additionally assume that X is smooth proper over k with dimension less than p . Then $(\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D), W, \text{Fil}, \Psi_{(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})})$ is a cohomological mixed Fontaine-Laffaille complex over X .*

3.3. The functoriality of Ψ . Let $\tilde{f} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{E})$ be a morphism in the category $\text{Sch}_{W_2(k), \log}^{\text{sp}}$, and let $f : (X, D) \rightarrow (Y, E)$ be its closed fiber in $\text{Sch}_{k, \log}^s$. Choosing D-I atlases $\{U_\alpha, \tilde{F}_{U_\alpha/k}\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}, \{V_\beta, \tilde{F}_{V_\beta/k}\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}}$ of $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})/W_2(k), (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{E})/W_2(k)$, respectively, and set $\mathcal{U} := \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{V} := \{V_\beta\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}}$. By suitably choose \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , we may assume that there exists a map $\chi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $f(U_\alpha) \subset V_{\chi(\alpha)}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that the pullback

$$f^* : (\Omega_{Y/k}^*(\log E), W) \rightarrow f_*(\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D), W)$$

together with χ induce a morphism in $C^+F(X')$:

$$f^* : (\check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{V}', F_* \Omega_{Y/k}^*(\log E)), W) \rightarrow f'_*(\check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}', F_* \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)), W).$$

The following lemma can be regarded as a sort of functoriality of Ψ .

Lemma 3.14. *The following diagram is commutative in $D^+F(X')$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\bigoplus_i \Omega_{Y'/k}^i(\log E')[-i], W) & \xrightarrow{f'^*} & f'_*(\bigoplus_i \Omega_{X'/k}^i(\log D')[-i], W) \\
 \downarrow \varphi_Y & & \downarrow \varphi_X \\
 (\check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{V}', F_*\Omega_{Y'/k}^*(\log E)), W) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & f'_*(\check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}', F_*\Omega_{X'/k}^*(\log D)), W) \\
 \uparrow \iota & & \uparrow \iota \\
 (F_*\Omega_{Y'/k}^*(\log E), W) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & f'_*(F_*\Omega_{X'/k}^*(\log D), W)
 \end{array}$$

$\Psi_{(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{E})}$ on the left and $\Psi_{(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})}$ on the right, with curved arrows connecting the top and bottom nodes of each column.

Proof. It is enough to show that the upper square is commutative in $K^+F(X')$. For this purpose, we need to construct a morphism

$$(12) \quad \eta_i : (\Omega_{Y'/k}^{\otimes i}(\log E'), W) \rightarrow f'_*(\check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}', F_*\Omega_{X'/k}^*(\log D))^{i-1}, W)$$

for any $0 \leq i < p$ such that

$$f^*\varphi_Y^i - \varphi_X^i f'^* = d\eta_i \delta_i.$$

There we set d to be the differential of $\check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}', F_*\Omega_{X'/k}^*(\log D))$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_j \Omega_{Y'/k}^{\otimes i}(\log E') &:= W_j \Omega_{Y'/k}^{\otimes i}(\log E') \\
 &:= \begin{cases} \sum_{\sigma \in S_i} \sigma \cdot \Omega_{Y'/k}^{\otimes(j)}(\log E') \otimes \Omega_{Y'/k}^{\otimes(i-j)}, & j \leq i; \\ \Omega_{Y'/k}^{\otimes i}(\log E'), & j > i, \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

² and δ_i the standard section of the projection $\Omega_{X'/k}^{\otimes i}(\log D') \rightarrow \Omega_{X'/k}^i(\log D')$.

We proceed to the construction of η_i . The case $i = 0$ is obvious with $\eta_0 = 0$. Consider the case $i = 1$. Choosing $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and set $\beta = \chi(\alpha)$. Note that though the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \tilde{U}_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}_{U_\alpha/k}} & \tilde{U}'_\alpha \\
 \downarrow \tilde{f} & & \downarrow \tilde{f}' \\
 \tilde{V}_\beta & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}_{V_\beta/k}} & \tilde{V}'_\beta
 \end{array}$$

is not necessarily commutative at the level of schemes, but it is commutative at the level of topological spaces. Hence we can consider the difference

$$\tilde{f}^* \tilde{F}_{V_\beta/k}^* - \tilde{F}_{U_\alpha/k}^* \tilde{f}'^* : \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}'_\beta} \rightarrow p(\tilde{f}' \tilde{F}_{U_\alpha/k})_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}'_\alpha}.$$

Similar to the construction of $h_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1}$ in Lemma 2.2, the difference induces a morphism

$$\eta_1^\alpha := (\tilde{f}^* \tilde{F}_{V_\beta/k}^* - \tilde{F}_{U_\alpha/k}^* \tilde{f}'^*)/p : \Omega_{Y'/k}(\log D')|_{V'_\beta} \rightarrow f'_*(F_{U_\alpha/k} \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha}).$$

We define

$$\eta_1 : \Omega_{Y'/k}(\log E') \rightarrow f'_*\check{\mathcal{C}}^0(\mathcal{U}', F_{X/k} \mathcal{O}_X), \quad \omega' \mapsto \{\eta_1^\alpha(\omega') \in \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}.$$

²The permutation group S_i acts on $\Omega_{Y'/k}^{\otimes i}(\log E')$ as follows: $\sigma \cdot \omega_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_i := \text{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot \omega_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{\sigma(i)}$ for $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_i \in \Omega_{Y'/k}(\log E')$.

One can check that η_1 gives rise to the claimed morphism in (12) when $i = 1$. Proceeding to the case $1 < i < p$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(\varphi_Y^1)^{\cup i} &= (f^*\varphi_Y^1)^{\cup i} = (\varphi_X^1 f'^* + d\eta_1)^{\cup i} \\ &= (\varphi_X^1 f'^*)^{\cup i} + d \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^j (\varphi_X^1 f'^*)^{\cup j} \cup \eta_1 \cup (f^*\varphi_Y^1)^{\cup(i-j-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we set $(\varphi_X^1 f'^*)^{\cup 0} = (f^*\varphi_Y^1)^{\cup 0} := 1$. Hence

$$(13) \quad \eta_i := \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^j (\varphi_X^1 f'^*)^{\cup j} \cup \eta_1 \cup (f^*\varphi_Y^1)^{\cup(i-j-1)}$$

is a claimed morphism in (12). This completes the proof. \square

This lemma allows us to make the following definition.

Definition 3.15. There is a derived functor

$$R\Gamma : (\text{Sch}_{W_2(k), \log}^{\text{sp}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{MFLC}(k)$$

defined as follows:

- let $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) \in \text{Sch}_{W_2(k), \log}^{\text{sp}}$ with closed fiber (X, D) , and set

$$R\Gamma(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) := (R\Gamma(X, (\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D), W, \text{Fil})), R\Gamma(X', \Psi_{(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})}));$$

- for any morphism $\tilde{f} : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{E})$ in $\text{Sch}_{W_2(k), \log}^{\text{sp}}$ with closed fiber $f : (X, D) \rightarrow (Y, E)$, we set

$$R\Gamma(\tilde{f}) := (f^* : R\Gamma(Y, \Omega_{Y/k}^*(\log E)) \rightarrow R\Gamma(X, \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D))).$$

The following easily checked lemma finishes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Lemma 3.16. *The functors $\rho_{\text{dR}}^{\text{MFLC}} \circ R\Gamma$, $\rho_{\text{Hig}}^{\text{MFLC}} \circ R\Gamma$ coincide with the functors ρ_{dR} , ρ_{Hig} in Theorem 1.5. Together with the Hodge filtration $\text{Fil} := \{\text{Fil}^l \rho_{\text{dR}}^{\text{MFLC}} \circ R\Gamma\}_l$ on $\rho_{\text{dR}}^{\text{MFLC}} \circ R\Gamma$ and an isomorphism of functors*

$$\psi \circ R\Gamma : \rho_{\text{Hig}}^{\text{MFLC}} \circ R\Gamma \rightarrow \rho_{\text{dR}}^{\text{MFLC}} \circ R\Gamma,$$

Theorem 1.5 follows.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Cattani, F. El Zein, P. Griffiths, L. D. Tráng, Hodge theory, Mathematical Notes 49, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2014.
- [2] Deligne, Théorie de Hodge III, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math, No. 44 (1974), 5-77.
- [3] P. Deligne, L. Illusie, Relèvements modulo p^2 et decomposition du complexe de de Rham, Invent. Math. 89 (1987), no. 2, 247-270.
- [4] H. Esnault, E. Viehweg, Lectures on vanishing theorems. DMV Seminar, 20. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.
- [5] G. Faltings, Crystalline cohomology and p -adic Galois-representations, Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory (Baltimore, MD, 1988), 25-80, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 1989.
- [6] J.-M. Fontaine, G. Laffaille, Construction de représentation p -adiques, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. (4) 15 (1982), no. 4, 547-608 (1983).
- [7] P. Griffiths, J. Harris, Principles of algebraic geometry, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 1978, xii+813 pp.
- [8] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1997, xvi+496 pp.

- [9] L. Illusie, Frobenius and Hodge degeneration, Introduction to Hodge theory, SMF/AMS Texts and Monographs, vol 8., 96-145, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2002.
- [10] M. Kashiwara, A study of variation of mixed Hodge structure, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 22 (1986), no. 5, 991-1024.
- [11] G. Lan, M. Sheng, K. Zuo, Nonabelian Hodge theory in positive characteristic via exponential twisting, Math. Res. Lett. 22 (2015), no.3, 859-879.
- [12] G. Lan, M. Sheng, K. Zuo, Semistable Higgs bundles, periodic Higgs bundles and representations of algebraic fundamental groups, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 21 (2019), no. 10, 3053-3112.
- [13] G. Lan, M. Sheng, Y. Yang, K. Zuo, Uniformization of p -adic curves via Higgs-de Rham flows, J. Reine Angew. Math. 747 (2019), 63-108.
- [14] A. Ogus, V. Vologodsky, Nonabelian Hodge theory in characteristic p , Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. No. 106 (2007), 1-138.
- [15] D. Schepler, Logarithmic nonabelian Hodge theory in characteristic p . arXiv:0802.1977v1, 2008.
- [16] M. Sheng, Z. Zhang, On the decomposition theorem for intersection de Rham complexes, arXiv:1904.06651, 2019.

UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, HEFEI, 230026, CHINA

PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, BEIJING, 100871, CHINA

Email address: zzb2@mail.ustc.edu.cn