

Particle creation in nonstationary large N quantum mechanics

Dmitrii A. Trunin^{*1,2}

¹*Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, 141700, Institutskiy pereulok, 9, Dolgoprudny, Russia*

²*Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, 117218, B. Cheremushkinskaya, 25, Moscow, Russia*

May 5, 2021

Abstract

We consider an analog of particle production in a quartic $O(N)$ quantum oscillator with time-dependent frequency, which is a toy model of particle production in de Sitter space and dynamical Casimir effect. We calculate exact quantum averages, Keldysh propagator, and particle number using two different methods. First, we employ a kind of rotating wave approximation to estimate these quantities for small deviations from stationarity. Second, we extend these results to arbitrary strong deviations using Schwinger–Keldysh diagrammatic technique. We show that in strongly nonstationary situations, including the case of resonant oscillations, loop corrections to the tree-level expressions result in an additional degree of freedom, $N \rightarrow N + \frac{3}{2}$, which modify the average number and energy of created particles.

arXiv:2105.01647v1 [hep-th] 4 May 2021

*dmitriy.trunin@phystech.edu

1 Introduction

Particle production in nonstationary background fields is an old and fruitful topic which covers many intriguing phenomena. The first example of such a phenomenon — the spontaneous creation of electron-positron pairs in strong electric fields — was discovered in 1951 by J. S. Schwinger [1]. Later it was also supplemented by famous Hawking [2–4], Unruh [5–7], and dynamical Casimir [8–11] effects. On the one hand, all these effects have no classical analogs and reflect the most fundamental features of the quantum field theory. On the other hand, they may hint at a more general theory [12–14]. A comprehensive review of these celebrated effects is presented in the textbooks [15–17].

A common approach to the particle creation¹ in an external background relies on the semiclassical (tree-level) approximation which neglects interactions between quantum fields [15]. Let us briefly review this approach. Consider a free quantum field ϕ with the following mode decomposition:

$$\phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \sum_n [a_n^{\text{in}} f_n^{\text{in}}(t, \mathbf{x}) + h.c.], & \text{as } t \rightarrow -\infty, \\ \sum_n [a_n^{\text{out}} f_n^{\text{out}}(t, \mathbf{x}) + h.c.], & \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where functions $f_n^{\text{in}}, f_n^{\text{out}}$ solve the free equations of motion, approximately diagonalize the free Hamiltonian in the corresponding limits, and form a complete basis with respect to the appropriate inner product (e.g. Klein-Gordon inner product in the case of a free scalar field). Usually functions f_n^{in} and f_n^{out} are referred to as in- and out-modes, respectively. In- and out- annihilation and creation operators, $a_n^{\text{in}}, (a_n^{\text{in}})^\dagger$ and $a_n^{\text{out}}, (a_n^{\text{out}})^\dagger$, satisfy the standard commutation relations. For simplicity we also assume that in the asymptotic past the field was in the pure vacuum state $|in\rangle$ defined with respect to the initial annihilation operators, $a_n^{\text{in}}|in\rangle = 0$ for all n .

Let us calculate the number of created out-particles (f_n^{out} modes). In the Heisenberg picture it is given by the following expression:

$$\mathcal{N}_n^{\text{free}} = \langle in | (a_n^{\text{out}})^\dagger a_n^{\text{out}} | in \rangle \quad (\text{no sum}). \quad (1.2)$$

In a nonstationary situation in- and out- modes and creation operators are related by a generalized Bogoliubov (or canonical) transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} f_n^{\text{out}} &= \sum_k [\alpha_{nk}^* f_k^{\text{in}} - \beta_{nk} (f_k^{\text{in}})^*], \\ a_n^{\text{out}} &= \sum_k [\alpha_{nk} a_k^{\text{in}} + \beta_{nk}^* (a_k^{\text{in}})^\dagger], \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

with nonzero Bogoliubov coefficients α_{nk} and β_{nk} . This implies the following identity:

$$\mathcal{N}_n^{\text{free}} = \sum_{k,l} \langle in | [\alpha_{nk}^* (a_k^{\text{in}})^\dagger + \beta_{nk} a_k^{\text{in}}] [\alpha_{nl} a_l^{\text{in}} + \beta_{nl}^* (a_l^{\text{in}})^\dagger] | in \rangle = \sum_k |\beta_{nk}|^2. \quad (1.4)$$

Thus, on the tree level particle creation is only due to the amplification of vacuum fluctuations.

Now let us turn on interactions:

$$\mathcal{N}_n(t) = \langle in | U^\dagger(t, t_0) (a_n^{\text{out}}(t))^\dagger a_n^{\text{out}}(t) U(t, t_0) | in \rangle, \quad (1.5)$$

¹We emphasize that in general free Hamiltonian may be non-diagonalizable in the asymptotic future or past [18–21]. In this case the notion of particle is meaningless, and the discussed semiclassical method does not work. Instead of it, one needs to calculate correlation functions whose meaning is always transparent.

where $U(t, t_0)$ denotes the evolution operator in the interaction picture. Note that we restored the initial and final moments, because in the interacting case limits $t_0 \rightarrow -\infty$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$ should be taken with caution [18–21]. Moreover, interactions between the fields may generate nontrivial quantum averages:

$$n_{kl}(t) = \langle in|U^\dagger(t, t_0)(a_k^{\text{in}})^\dagger a_l^{\text{in}}U(t, t_0)|in\rangle \neq 0, \quad (1.6)$$

$$\kappa_{kl}(t) = \langle in|U^\dagger(t, t_0)a_k^{\text{in}}a_l^{\text{in}}U(t, t_0)|in\rangle \neq 0. \quad (1.7)$$

Quantities n_{kl} and κ_{kl} are usually referred to as level population and anomalous quantum average (or correlated pair density), respectively.

Therefore, in the interacting theory identity (1.4) should be modified as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}_n = \sum_k |\beta_{nk}|^2 + \sum_{k,l} (\alpha_{nk}\alpha_{nl}^* + \beta_{nk}\beta_{nl}^*) n_{kl} + \sum_{k,l} \beta_{nk}\alpha_{nl}\kappa_{kl} + \sum_{k,l} \beta_{nl}^*\alpha_{nk}^*\kappa_{lk}^*. \quad (1.8)$$

We emphasize that the expectation value of the full interacting Hamiltonian, $\bar{H} \equiv \langle in|H_{\text{free}} + H_{\text{int}}|in\rangle$, is expressed through the \mathcal{N}_n :

$$\bar{H}(t) \approx \sum_n \left[\frac{1}{2}\omega_n + \omega_n \mathcal{N}_n(t) \right], \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (1.9)$$

where ω_n is the energy of the f_n^{out} mode. This confirms the interpretation of \mathcal{N}_n as the average number of created out-particles in an interacting theory. The details on the derivation of this identity and its limitations are presented in appendix A.

A common wisdom states that for small coupling constants quantum loop corrections to the averages (1.6) and (1.7) are small and hence can be neglected. However, recently this assumption was shown to be wrong: even if the coupling constant goes to zero, $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, for large evolution times, $t \rightarrow \infty$, loop corrections to n_{kl} and κ_{kl} grow secularly and remain finite, $n_{kl}^{(n)} \sim (\lambda t)^{a_n}$ and $\kappa_{kl}^{(n)} \sim (\lambda t)^{b_n}$, with some constants $a_n > 0$ or $b_n > 0$ for every n -loop contribution. In particular, such a secular growth was observed in expanding universe [19–28], dynamical Casimir effect [29,30], and nonstationary quantum mechanics [31], as well as in strong electric [32–34], scalar [35–37], and gravitational [38] fields. Moreover, in some cases the exact resummed n_{kl} explodes and significantly surpasses the tree-level expression [21–25].

Thus, we need to calculate quantum loop corrections to the averages (1.6) and (1.7) to estimate the correct number of created particles in a nonstationary interacting theory. Unfortunately, this cannot be done perturbatively, because higher loop corrections to n_{kl} and κ_{kl} are not suppressed when λ is finite. The only known way to estimate these quantities is to solve the system of Dyson–Schwinger equations for the propagators and vertices. We emphasize that this system should be deduced using the Schwinger–Keldysh diagrammatic technique [39–44] due to the nonstationarity of the theory.

In general, the system of Dyson–Schwinger equations is very complex and cannot be explicitly solved. Fortunately, in some models loop corrections to vertices, retarded/advanced propagators and κ_{kl} are suppressed by higher powers of λ , so in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, $t \rightarrow \infty$ the full system is reduced to a single equation on the level population n_{kl} . In such models Dyson–Schwinger equations reproduce an equation of kinetic type with additional terms which describe creation and annihilation of particles by external sources. The solution to this — relatively simple — equation straightforwardly implies the exact n_{kl} and allows one to estimate \mathcal{N}_n . The notable

examples of such “kinetic” systems are heavy fields in de Sitter space [21–26] and scalar quantum electrodynamics [33, 34].

Of course, there is also a set of models where kinetic approximation is not applicable and loop corrections to the vertices are not suppressed. It includes such important systems as light fields in de Sitter space [25, 27, 28] and dynamical Casimir effect [29, 30]. To the best of our knowledge, there is no systematic approach to the particle creation in such models. The only case where quantities n_{kl} and κ_{kl} were analytically estimated is the large N limit of the $O(N)$ light scalar field with quartic self-interaction and Bunch–Davies initial state in de Sitter space [45–48].

In this paper we develop a systematic approach to the calculation of n_{kl} and κ_{kl} in “non-kinetic” systems. This approach is based on the idea that rapidly oscillating parts of the effective Hamiltonian are negligible in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, $t \rightarrow \infty$. This approximation resembles the rotating wave approximation from the quantum optics [49–51]. The other key approximation is the large N limit which allows us to single out a particular set of diagrams.

We illustrate our approach on a simple nonstationary large N system — quantum anharmonic oscillator with a quartic $O(N)$ interaction term and time-dependent frequency. On the one hand, a tree-level version of this model is a famous toy model of the dynamical Casimir effect [51–54] and squeezed states generation [55–57] (also see [58–61] for examples of similar toy models). Therefore, it is important to check how nonlinearities affect the predictions made for the tree-level model. On the other hand, the properties of this simple model are similar to those of higher-dimensional nonstationary quantum field theories with a “non-kinetic” behavior of loop corrections [25, 27–30]. Due to this reason we believe that our method of loop summation will help one understand nonstationary phenomena in these complex theories.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we introduce the model and discuss the field quantization on a nonstationary background. In section 3 we derive a simple effective Hamiltonian of the model and calculate exact n_{kl} and κ_{kl} for small deviations from stationarity. In section 4 we generalize these calculations to arbitrary strong deviations from stationarity using Schwinger–Keldysh diagrammatic technique. Finally, we discuss the results and conclude in section 5. We also explain the connection between \mathcal{N}_n and expectation value of the full Hamiltonian in appendix A and discuss a finite N version of our model in appendix B.

2 Field quantization

Consider N copies of a quantum oscillator with time-dependent frequency coupled through an $O(N)$ quartic interaction term:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}_i \dot{\phi}_i - \frac{\omega^2(t)}{2} \phi_i \phi_i - \frac{\lambda}{4N} (\phi_i \phi_i)^2, \quad (2.1)$$

where we assume the summation over the repeated indices and introduce the ‘t Hooft coupling $\lambda = gN$. We will consider asymptotically static situations, i.e. $\omega(t) \rightarrow \omega_{\pm}$ as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$, and assume that the self-interaction term is turned on adiabatically after the time t_0 .

Although this problem is purely quantum-mechanical, we will treat it as a $(0+1)$ -dimensional quantum field theory. Similarly to higher-dimensional theories, we introduce the mode decomposition for the free scalar field:

$$\phi_i(t) = a_i f(t) + a_i^\dagger f^*(t), \quad (2.2)$$

where a_i^\dagger , a_i are creation and annihilation operators with the standard commutation relation,

$[a_i, a_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij}$, and mode function $f(t)$ solves the classical free equation of motion:

$$\ddot{f}(t) + \omega^2(t)f(t) = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

In the asymptotic past and future oscillation frequency is approximately constant, so the solution of the equation (2.3) is given by the sum of two oscillating exponents²:

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_-}} e^{-i\omega_- t}, & \text{as } t \rightarrow -\infty, \\ \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2\omega_+}} e^{-i\omega_+ t} + \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{2\omega_+}} e^{i\omega_+ t}, & \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

where complex numbers α and β satisfy the relation $|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = 1$ as a consequence of the canonical commutation relation $[\phi_i, \pi_i] = [\phi_i, \dot{\phi}_i] = i$. Note that these coefficients coincide with the Bogoliubov coefficients from the transformation (1.3) if we choose $f^{\text{out}}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_+}} e^{-i\omega_+ t}$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Also note that modes (2.4) diagonalize the free Hamiltonian at the asymptotic past:

$$H_{\text{free}} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}_i \dot{\phi}_i + \frac{\omega^2(t)}{2} \phi_i \phi_i \approx \omega_- \left(a_i^\dagger a_i + \frac{N}{2} \right), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow -\infty. \quad (2.5)$$

For simplicity in the remainder of this paper we assume that the initial state of the field coincides with the ground state of this Hamiltonian at past infinity, $|in\rangle = |0\rangle$, $a_i|0\rangle = 0$ for all i .

Coefficients α and β can be unambiguously restored from the function $\omega(t)$, although for arbitrary functions this task can be very difficult. Nevertheless, it significantly simplifies if the variations of the frequency are small, i.e. $\omega(t) = \omega + \delta\omega(t)$ with $\omega = \text{const}$ and $\delta\omega(t) \ll \omega$. On the one hand, in the non-resonant case β can be approximated as follows:

$$|\beta|^2 \approx \frac{|\beta|^2}{|\alpha|^2} \approx \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta\omega(t) e^{-2i\omega t} dt \right|^2 \ll 1, \quad (2.6)$$

where we have used an approximate expression for the reflection coefficient [62]. We emphasize that reflected waves are almost negligible for such variations of the frequency; this behavior illustrates the well-known adiabatic theorem [31, 63]. On the other hand, in the resonant case, e.g. $\omega(t) = \omega [1 + 2\gamma \cos(2\omega t)]$, $\gamma \ll 1$, both coefficients exponentially grow with time [53, 55]:

$$\alpha = \cosh(\omega\gamma t_R), \quad \beta = -i \sinh(\omega\gamma t_R), \quad (2.7)$$

where t_R is the duration of the resonant oscillations. These coefficients straightforwardly follow from the equation (2.3) after the substitution of the ansatz $f(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} [\alpha(t)e^{-i\omega t} + \beta(t)e^{i\omega t}]$ and averaging over the fast oscillations.

In what follows we will use expressions (2.6) and (2.7) to estimate the number of created ‘‘particles’’ (or, more accurately, excitations) in the infinite future.

3 Effective Hamiltonian

Now let us employ the mode function (2.4) to calculate the free:

$$H_{\text{free}} = (|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2) \omega_+ \left(a_i^\dagger a_i + \frac{N}{2} \right) + \alpha\beta\omega_+ a_i a_i + \alpha^* \beta^* \omega_+ a_i^\dagger a_i^\dagger, \quad (3.1)$$

²These are so-called in-modes which were mentioned in the introduction. However, in what follows we will suppress the index ‘‘in’’ for brevity: $f(t) = f^{\text{in}}(t)$.

and interacting Hamiltonians:

$$H_{\text{int}} \approx \frac{\lambda}{16N\omega_+^2} (|\alpha|^4 + 4|\alpha|^2|\beta|^2 + |\beta|^4) \left(a_i^\dagger a_i^\dagger a_j a_j + 2a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger a_i a_j \right) + \frac{3\lambda\alpha\beta}{4N\omega_+^2} (|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2) a_i^\dagger a_i a_j a_j + \frac{3\lambda\alpha^2\beta^2}{8N\omega_+^2} a_i a_i a_j a_j + h.c. + \delta H_{\text{free}}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\delta H_{\text{free}} \approx \frac{3\lambda(N+2)}{8N\omega_+^2} \alpha\beta (|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2) a_i a_i + \frac{\lambda(N+2)}{8N\omega_+^2} (|\alpha|^4 + 4|\alpha|^2|\beta|^2 + |\beta|^4) \left(a_i^\dagger a_i + \frac{N}{2} \right) + h.c. \quad (3.3)$$

in the interaction picture at the future infinity ($t \rightarrow +\infty$). Here we have neglected oscillating terms which give suppressed contributions to the correlation functions in the limit in question. In other words, we have kept only the terms which give the leading contribution to the operator $\int_{t_0}^t H_{\text{int}}(t') dt'$ in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, $t \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda t = \text{const}$. Essentially, this approximation coincides with the rotating wave approximation from the quantum optics [49–51].

Note that the quadratic part of the interaction Hamiltonian, equation (3.3), can be absorbed into the free Hamiltonian, resulting in the renormalization of ω_+ , α and β :

$$\omega_+ \rightarrow \omega_+ + \frac{\lambda(N+2)}{4N\omega_+^2} (|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2), \quad \alpha \rightarrow \alpha + \frac{\lambda(N+2)}{8N\omega_+^3} |\beta|^2 \alpha, \quad \beta \rightarrow \beta + \frac{\lambda(N+2)}{8N\omega_+^3} |\alpha|^2 \beta. \quad (3.4)$$

Such a renormalization corresponds to the summation of “daisy” diagrams (compare with section 4 and section 4.5 of [31]). We emphasize that in general frequency cannot be shifted independently from α and β due to the strong backreaction caused by the low dimensionality of the problem. Also note that this renormalization assumes small coupling constant, i.e. $\lambda|\beta|^2 \ll \omega_+^3$.

Discarding quadratic and constant terms, neglecting oscillating contributions, and expanding (3.2) to the second order in β , we derive an approximate Hamiltonian:

$$H_{\text{int}} \approx \frac{\lambda}{8N\omega_+^2} a_i^\dagger a_i^\dagger a_j a_j + \frac{\lambda}{4N\omega_+^2} a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger a_i a_j + \frac{3\lambda\beta}{4N\omega_+^2} a_i^\dagger a_i a_j a_j + \frac{3\lambda\beta^*}{4N\omega_+^2} a_i^\dagger a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger a_j + \frac{3\lambda|\beta|^2}{4N\omega_+^2} a_i^\dagger a_i^\dagger a_j a_j + \frac{3\lambda|\beta|^2}{2N\omega_+^2} a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger a_i a_j + \frac{3\lambda\beta^2}{8N\omega_+^2} a_i a_i a_j a_j + \frac{3\lambda(\beta^*)^2}{8N\omega_+^2} a_i^\dagger a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger a_j^\dagger + \mathcal{O}(|\beta|^3). \quad (3.5)$$

Keeping in mind the normal-ordered form of this Hamiltonian, we straightforwardly exponentiate it and obtain the evolved quantum state:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi(t)\rangle &= \mathcal{T} e^{-i \int_{t_0}^t H_{\text{int}}(t') dt'} |in\rangle \approx e^{-itH_{\text{int}}} |in\rangle = \\ &= |in\rangle + 18 \frac{\beta^* |\beta|^2}{N} \left[\exp\left(\frac{-i\lambda t}{4\omega_+^2}\right) - 1 \right]^2 a_i^\dagger a_i^\dagger |in\rangle + \\ &\quad + \frac{3(\beta^*)^2}{4N} \left[\exp\left(\frac{-i\lambda t}{2\omega_+^2}\right) - 1 \right] a_i^\dagger a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger a_j^\dagger |in\rangle + \mathcal{O}(|\beta|^4) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

The leading contribution to this expression is ensured by the powers of the first term in the approximate Hamiltonian (3.5). The contribution of the second term is suppressed by the powers of $1/N$, and contribution of other terms is suppressed by the powers of β .

Substituting this expression into eqs. (1.6) and (1.7), we obtain the leading corrections to the tree-level level population and anomalous quantum average:

$$n_{ij}(t) = \langle \Psi(t) | a_i^\dagger a_j | \Psi(t) \rangle = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{N} \cdot 72 |\beta|^4 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\lambda t}{4\omega_+^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(|\beta|^6) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{N^2} \right), \quad (3.7)$$

$$\kappa_{ij}(t) = \langle \Psi(t) | a_i a_j | \Psi(t) \rangle = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{N} \cdot 36 \beta^* |\beta|^2 \left[\exp \left(\frac{-i\lambda t}{4\omega_+^2} \right) - 1 \right]^2 + \mathcal{O}(|\beta|^4) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{N^2} \right). \quad (3.8)$$

Thus, the total number of created particles is given by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left[|\beta|^2 \delta_{ii} + (|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2) n_{ii} + \alpha \beta \kappa_{ii} + \alpha^* \beta^* (\kappa_{ii})^* \right] = \\ &= N |\beta|^2 + 36 |\beta|^4 \left[3 + \cos \left(\frac{\lambda t}{2\omega_+^2} \right) - 4 \cos \left(\frac{\lambda t}{4\omega_+^2} \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(|\beta|^5) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{N} \right) \approx \\ &\approx N |\beta|^2 + 108 |\beta|^4 + \mathcal{O}(|\beta|^5) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{N} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

In the last line we replaced the oscillating contributions with their average values. Note that the correction to the tree-level particle number is always positive.

We emphasize that calculations in this section are valid only for small deviations from stationarity, $|\beta| \ll 1$, where non-diagonal terms of the effective Hamiltonian are negligible. Unfortunately, this approximation does not cover the physically interesting resonant case (2.7). Hence, we need to take into account non-diagonal terms of the Hamiltonian and generalize identities (3.7), (3.8), and (3.9) to arbitrary β .

4 Schwinger–Keldysh diagrammatic technique

Schwinger–Keldysh technique is a powerful tool to calculate correlation functions and quantum averages in nonstationary situations [39–44]. This technique can be concisely described by the following path integral [64–66]:

$$\langle \hat{O} \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi(x) \mathcal{D}\pi(x) \mathcal{W}[\varphi(x), \pi(x)] \int_{i.c.} \mathcal{D}\phi_{cl}(t, x) \mathcal{D}\phi_q(t, x) \mathcal{O} e^{iS_K[\phi_{cl}, \phi_q]}, \quad (4.1)$$

which calculates the expectation value of the operator \hat{O} and explicitly contains the information about the initial state of the theory. Here $\varphi(x)$ and $\pi(x)$ denote the field and its conjugate momentum at the initial moment t_0 ; $\mathcal{W}[\varphi(x), \pi(x)]$ denotes the Wigner function related to the initial value of the density matrix operator; *i.c.* in the second integral means the initial conditions for the ϕ_{cl} field, $\phi_{cl}(t_0, x) = \varphi(x)$, $\phi_{cl}(t_0, x) = \pi(x)$; and S_K denotes the Keldysh action after the Keldysh rotation. For the theory (2.1) this action has the following form (there are no spatial directions in this case):

$$S_K = - \int_{t_0}^{\infty} dt \left[\phi_{i,q} (\partial_t^2 + \omega^2(t)) \phi_{i,cl} + \frac{\lambda}{N} \phi_{i,cl} \phi_{i,cl} \phi_{j,cl} \phi_{j,q} + \frac{\lambda}{4N} \phi_{i,cl} \phi_{i,q} \phi_{j,q} \phi_{j,q} \right] \quad (4.2)$$

Expanding the integrand of (4.1) as a series in λ and assuming that the initial state is Gaussian, we straightforwardly obtain the Schwinger–Keldysh diagrammatic technique (Fig. 1) with the following tree-level propagators:




Figure 1: Propagators and vertices in the Schwinger–Keldysh diagrammatic technique. Solid lines correspond to ϕ_{cl} , dashed lines correspond to ϕ_{q} .

$$\begin{aligned}
iG_{0,ij}^K(t_1, t_2) &= \langle \phi_{i,\text{cl}}(t_1) \phi_{j,\text{cl}}(t_2) \rangle_0 = \frac{1}{2} \langle \text{in} | \{ \phi_i(t_1), \phi_j(t_2) \} | \text{in} \rangle, \\
iG_{0,ij}^R(t_1, t_2) &= \langle \phi_{i,\text{cl}}(t_1) \phi_{j,\text{q}}(t_2) \rangle_0 = \theta(t_1 - t_2) \langle \text{in} | [\phi_i(t_1), \phi_j(t_2)] | \text{in} \rangle, \\
iG_{0,ij}^A(t_1, t_2) &= \langle \phi_{i,\text{q}}(t_1) \phi_{j,\text{cl}}(t_2) \rangle_0 = \theta(t_2 - t_1) \langle \text{in} | [\phi_j(t_2), \phi_i(t_1)] | \text{in} \rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle_0$ denotes the expectation value in the free theory and ϕ_i is the standard free quantized field (2.2). In the last identities we assumed for simplicity that the initial state is pure.

These propagators have a simple physical meaning. On the one hand, retarded and advanced propagators describe the propagation of some localized perturbations (e.g. particles). Hence, at the tree level they do not depend on the state of the system. On the other hand, Keldysh propagator explicitly contains the information about the state of the system:

$$iG_{0,ij}^K(t_1, t_2) = f(t_1) f^*(t_2) \left(\frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} + n_{0,ij} \right) + f(t_1) f(t_2) \kappa_{0,ij} + h.c., \tag{4.4}$$

where $n_{0,ij} = \langle \text{in} | (a_i^{\text{in}})^\dagger a_j^{\text{in}} | \text{in} \rangle$ and $\kappa_{0,ij} = \langle \text{in} | a_i^{\text{in}} a_j^{\text{in}} | \text{in} \rangle$ are initial level population and anomalous quantum average. If the initial state coincides with the ground state of the free Hamiltonian at the past infinity, $|\text{in}\rangle = |0\rangle$, at the tree-level these quantum averages remain zero during the evolution of the system. However, at large evolution times they also receive substantial loop corrections. These corrections straightforwardly follow from the exact Keldysh propagator, because in the limit $t = \frac{t_1+t_2}{2} \gg t_1 - t_2$ it has the form (4.4) with exact quantum averages $n_{ij}(t)$ and $\kappa_{ij}(t)$ defined in (1.6) and (1.7).

Thus, we need to resum leading loop corrections to the Keldysh propagator to calculate the exact quantum averages. We single out the leading loop contributions by considering the limit of small coupling constants, $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, $t \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda t = \text{const}$, and small time separations, $t_1 - t_2 \ll t$. Note that in this limit loop corrections to the retarded and advanced propagators are suppressed by the powers of λ . We also assume the large N limit and keep the leading terms in the $1/N$ expansion.

There are two types of the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ diagrams in the $O(N)$ model [67–69]. The first one — the so-called “daisy” diagrams (Fig. 2) — describe the leading corrections to the propagators. However, in these diagrams loop corrections are local and can be easily resummed with the following Dyson–Schwinger equations (the equation for the advanced propagator is similar to the equation for the retarded propagator):




Figure 2: Leading order, $\mathcal{O}(1)$, loop corrections to the Keldysh propagator. There are also conjugate diagrams for the Keldysh propagator and similar diagrams for the retarded and advanced propagators. Each internal line receives similar corrections.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{G}_{ij}^R(t_1, t_2) &= G_{0,ij}^R(t_1, t_2) - \frac{i\lambda}{N} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} dt G_{0,ik}^R(t_1, t) \tilde{G}_{kk}^K(t, t) \tilde{G}_{kj}^R(t, t_2), \\
\tilde{G}_{ij}^K(t_1, t_2) &= G_{0,ij}^K(t_1, t_2) - \frac{i\lambda}{N} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} dt \left[G_{0,ik}^R(t_1, t) \tilde{G}_{kk}^K(t, t) \tilde{G}_{kj}^K(t, t_2) + G_{0,ik}^K(t_1, t) \tilde{G}_{kk}^K(t, t) \tilde{G}_{kj}^A(t, t_2) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Applying the operator $\partial_{t_1}^2 + \omega^2(t_1)$ to these equations, plugging $\tilde{G}_{kk}^K(t, t)$, and using properties of the tree-level propagators, we straightforwardly obtain the following equations on the resummed propagators:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\partial_{t_1}^2 + \omega^2(t_1)] \tilde{G}_{ij}^R(t_1, t_2) &= -i\delta(t_1 - t_2) - \lambda \tilde{G}_{ik}^K(t_1, t_1) \tilde{G}_{kj}^R(t_1, t_2), \\
[\partial_{t_1}^2 + \omega^2(t_1)] \tilde{G}_{ij}^K(t_1, t_2) &= 0 - \lambda \tilde{G}_{ik}^K(t_1, t_1) \tilde{G}_{kj}^K(t_1, t_2),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

which imply a simple renormalization of the tree-level frequency:

$$\omega^2(t) \rightarrow \omega^2(t) + \lambda \tilde{G}_{kk}^K(t, t) \approx \omega_+^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2\omega_+} (|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{4.7}$$

This result evidently reproduces the formula (3.4) in the leading order in $1/N$ and λ . We remind that the renormalization of the frequency also implies the renormalization of the coefficients α and β .

Practically this means that we can discard “daisy” diagrams if we consider the renormalized theory. This also means that we need to calculate the subleading, $\mathcal{O}(1/N)$, correction to the Keldysh propagator to estimate the leading loop contributions to n_{ij} , κ_{ij} , and number of created particles.

The other type of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ diagrams are “bubble” diagrams which describe the corrections to the vertices (Fig. 3). These diagrams are resummed with a similar Dyson-Schwinger equation:

$$\tilde{B}(t_1, t_2) = 2G_{0,kl}^R(t_1, t_2)G_{0,kl}^K(t_1, t_2) - \frac{2i\lambda}{N} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} dt_3 G_{0,kl}^R(t_1, t_3)G_{0,kl}^K(t_1, t_3)\tilde{B}(t_3, t_2), \tag{4.8}$$

where $\tilde{B}(t_1, t_2)$ denotes an infinite chain of bubbles with truncated external legs. On the Fig. 3 this chain is denoted by the shaded loop. Note that equation (4.8) contains combinatorial factors, which can be calculated by the method discussed in [31].




Figure 3: Leading order, $\mathcal{O}(1)$, loop corrections to the vertices. Note that we included a “zero-bubble” diagram (a bare vertex) into the definition of the bubble chain, although in the Dyson–Schwinger equation (4.8) we assumed that the decomposition starts from a single bubble. This is done to simplify the following figure.

Equation (4.8) is conveniently solved with the following ansatz inspired by the structure of the Keldysh and retarded propagators:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}(t_1, t_2) &= Af^2(t_1)(f^*(t_2))^2 + B(f^*(t_1))^2 f^2(t_2) + Cf^2(t_1)f^2(t_2) + D(f^*(t_1))^2(f^*(t_2))^2 = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} f^2(t_1) \\ (f^*(t_1))^2 \end{pmatrix}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} A(t_1, t_2) & D(t_1, t_2) \\ C(t_1, t_2) & B(t_1, t_2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f^2(t_2) \\ (f^*(t_2))^2 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where A , B , C , and D are some functions to be determined. In the second line we treated the mode functions as coordinates of a two-vector to represent ansatz in a simple form. We remind that we consider the limit $t_1 - t_2 \ll t = \frac{t_1+t_2}{2}$ and $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, $t \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda t = \text{const}$ to single out the leading loop contributions.

Substituting this ansatz into (4.8), keeping only non-oscillating terms in the integrand, discarding two-vectors, and differentiating the identity over t_1 , we obtain the following differential equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt_1} \begin{pmatrix} A & D \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\delta(t_1 - t_2)}{(2\omega_+)^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{i\lambda}{(2\omega_+)^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 6|\beta|^2 + 6|\beta|^4 & 6\alpha^2\beta^2 \\ -6(\alpha^*)^2(\beta^*)^2 & -1 - 6|\beta|^2 - 6|\beta|^4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & D \\ C & B \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.10)$$

The solution to this equation is given by the matrix exponential:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} A & D \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{\theta(t_{12})}{(2\omega_+)^2} \exp \left[-\frac{i\lambda t_{12}}{(2\omega_+)^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 6|\beta|^2 + 6|\beta|^4 & 6\alpha^2\beta^2 \\ -6(\alpha^*)^2(\beta^*)^2 & -1 - 6|\beta|^2 - 6|\beta|^4 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \frac{\theta(t_{12})}{(2\omega_+)^2} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\lambda R t_{12}}{4\omega_+^2} - i \frac{1+6|\beta|^2+6|\beta|^4}{R} \sin \frac{\lambda R t_{12}}{4\omega_+^2} & i \frac{6\alpha^2\beta^2}{R} \sin \frac{\lambda R t_{12}}{4\omega_+^2} \\ i \frac{6(\alpha^*)^2(\beta^*)^2}{R} \sin \frac{\lambda R t_{12}}{4\omega_+^2} & -\cos \frac{\lambda R t_{12}}{4\omega_+^2} - i \frac{1+6|\beta|^2+6|\beta|^4}{R} \sin \frac{\lambda R t_{12}}{4\omega_+^2} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where we have introduced the short notation for the time difference, $t_{12} \equiv t_1 - t_2$, and positive eigenvalue of the generating matrix:

$$R \equiv \sqrt{1 + 12|\beta|^2 + 12|\beta|^4}. \quad (4.12)$$




Figure 4: Subleading order, $\mathcal{O}(1/N)$, loop corrections to the Keldysh propagator with combinatorial factors. Shaded loops correspond to the resummed “bubble” diagrams from Fig. 3. Bold solid line in (h) denotes the sum of (a)-(g) corrections. Note that each of the listed diagrams has a conjugate counterpart.

Note that for small deviations from nonstationarity, $|\beta| \ll 1$, the generating matrix is approximately diagonal. This is because in this limit the leading contributions to the “bubble” diagrams are associated with the diagonal part of the effective Hamiltonian (3.2).

Finally, let us estimate the subleading, $\mathcal{O}(1/N)$, contribution to the Keldysh propagator. Substituting the resummed “bubble” diagrams into the two-loop corrections to the Keldysh propagator (Fig. 4) and performing some tedious but straightforward calculations, we obtain the exact Keldysh propagator:

$$iG_{ij}^K(t_1, t_2) = f(t_1)f^*(t_2) \left(\frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij} + n_{ij} \right) + f(t_1)f(t_2)\kappa_{ij} + h.c., \quad (4.13)$$

with the following quantum averages:

$$n_{ij} = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{N} \cdot 72 \frac{|\alpha|^4 |\beta|^4}{R^2} \sin^2 \left(\frac{\lambda t}{4\omega_+^2} R \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{N} \right), \quad (4.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{ij} = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{N} \cdot 36 \frac{\alpha^* \beta^* |\alpha|^2 |\beta|^2 (|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2)}{R^2} & \left[\frac{1 + 6|\beta|^2 + 6|\beta|^4}{R^2} \cos \left(\frac{\lambda t}{2\omega_+^2} R \right) - \frac{i}{R} \sin \left(\frac{\lambda t}{2\omega_+^2} R \right) - \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{2}{R^2} \cos \left(\frac{\lambda t}{4\omega_+^2} R \right) + \frac{2i}{R} \sin \left(\frac{\lambda t}{4\omega_+^2} R \right) + \frac{1 - 6|\beta|^2 - 6|\beta|^4}{R^2} \right] + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{N} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

We emphasize that both quantities are finite even at very large evolution times, although each term of the series in λ grows secularly and goes to infinity as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Besides that, both quantities are suppressed by $1/N$ in comparison with the tree-level contribution to (4.13).

At small β identities (4.14) and (4.15) evidently reproduce the expressions (3.7) and (3.8) obtained in the previous section. They also extend these results to large β . Moreover, for large β leading contributions to n_{ij} and κ_{ij} dominate; e.g. in the resonant case (2.7) they are exponentially amplified:

$$\begin{aligned} n_{ij} &= \frac{\delta_{ij}}{N} \cdot \frac{3}{4} e^{4\gamma\omega_+ t_R} \sin^2 \left(\frac{\lambda t \sqrt{3}}{8\omega_+^2} e^{2\gamma\omega_+ t_R} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(e^{2\gamma\omega_+ t_R} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{N} \right), \\ \kappa_{ij} &= \frac{\delta_{ij}}{N} \cdot \frac{3i}{4} e^{4\gamma\omega_+ t_R} \sin^2 \left(\frac{\lambda t \sqrt{3}}{8\omega_+^2} e^{2\gamma\omega_+ t_R} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(e^{2\gamma\omega_+ t_R} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{N} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

and surpass the tree-level expression if resonant oscillations continue for a long enough time, $t_R \gg \frac{\log N}{4\gamma\omega_+}$. Nevertheless, the leading contributions (4.16) cancel each other in the exact Keldysh propagator:

$$\begin{aligned}
iG_{ij}^K(t_1, t_2) \approx & \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{N} \frac{288|\alpha|^4|\beta|^4}{R^4} \sin^4 \left(\frac{\lambda t}{8\omega_+^2} R \right) \right] (|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2) \delta_{ij} \frac{e^{-i\omega_+(t_1-t_2)}}{2\omega_+} + \\
& + \left[1 + \frac{1}{N} \frac{36|\alpha|^2|\beta|^2}{R^3} \left(\frac{1}{R} + \frac{1+8|\alpha|^2|\beta|^2}{R} \cos \left(\frac{\lambda t}{2\omega_+^2} R \right) - i(|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2) \sin \left(\frac{\lambda t}{2\omega_+^2} R \right) - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - 2 \frac{(|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2)^2}{R} \cos \left(\frac{\lambda t}{4\omega_+^2} R \right) + 2i(|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2) \sin \left(\frac{\lambda t}{4\omega_+^2} R \right) \right) \right] \alpha\beta^* \delta_{ij} \frac{e^{-i\omega_+(t_1+t_2)}}{2\omega_+} + \\
& + h.c. + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{N} \right), \tag{4.17}
\end{aligned}$$

and exact number of created particles:

$$\mathcal{N} = N|\beta|^2 + 36 \frac{|\alpha|^4|\beta|^4 (|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2)}{R^4} \left[3 + \cos \left(\frac{\lambda t}{2\omega_+^2} R \right) - 4 \cos \left(\frac{\lambda t}{4\omega_+^2} R \right) \right] + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{N} \right). \tag{4.18}$$

This cancellation resembles the cancellation of leading two-loop corrections to the Keldysh propagator of light scalar fields in de Sitter space [25, 27].

Thus, in strongly nonstationary case, $|\beta| \gg 1$, the result of the loop corrections is proportional to the same power of β as the tree-level contribution, but suppressed by $1/N$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}(t) = N|\beta|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\beta|^2 \left[3 + \cos \left(\frac{\lambda t}{\omega_+^2} |\beta|^2 \sqrt{3} \right) - 4 \cos \left(\frac{\lambda t}{2\omega_+^2} |\beta|^2 \sqrt{3} \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(|\beta|^0) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{N} \right) \approx \\
\approx N|\beta|^2 + \frac{3}{2}|\beta|^2 + \mathcal{O}(|\beta|^0) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{N} \right). \tag{4.19}
\end{aligned}$$

For the last identity we neglected oscillating expressions.

Roughly speaking, during the measurement of particle number loop corrections act as $\mathcal{O}(1)$ additional degrees of freedom, $N \rightarrow N + \frac{3}{2}$. Of course, the average contribution of these “phantom” degrees of freedom is also accompanied by harmonic oscillations of a comparable amplitude. Nevertheless, the frequency of these oscillations rapidly grow with β (exponentially in the resonant case), so they will be difficult to detect in feasible experiments.

5 Discussion and Conclusion

In this paper we calculated exact quantum averages in a simple example of nonstationary large N model — namely, quantum anharmonic oscillator with a quartic $O(N)$ interaction term and time-dependent frequency. We performed this calculation using two different methods. First, we deduced an effective Hamiltonian of the model using a kind of rotating wave approximation. For small deviations from the stationarity this Hamiltonian is approximately diagonal, so the quantum averages straightforwardly follow from the decomposition of the evolution operator. Second, we reproduced these results and extended them to arbitrary strong deviations from the stationarity using Schwinger–Keldysh diagrammatic technique.

In both cases we resummed the leading loop contributions to the exact n_{ij} and κ_{ij} . We demonstrated that for large evolution times these quantities oscillate near non-zero average values. In the

case of resonantly oscillating frequency average values exponentially grow and surpass the tree-level expressions even in the large N limit. However, these exponentially growing contributions cancel each other in the exact Keldysh propagator (4.17) and particle number (4.18). Thus, in strongly nonstationary situations loop contributions to G_{ij}^K and \mathcal{N} are proportional to the same power of β as $G_{0,ij}^K$; roughly speaking, these contributions result in $\mathcal{O}(1)$ additional degrees of freedom. In weakly nonstationary situations loop contributions are additionally suppressed by the powers of β .

We emphasize that the system considered in this paper is explicitly nonstationary: not only its initial state is out-of-equilibrium, but the effective mass of the field depends on time. In other words, we considered an open system that can exchange energy with external world. On the one hand, this evident nonstationarity distinguishes our model from the previous work on nonequilibrium large N models [70–75], which was mainly devoted to closed systems. On the other hand, the Hamiltonian of our toy model is similar to the Hamiltonians of interacting quantum fields in nonstationary spacetimes (e.g. expanding universe, collapsing star, or moving mirror). Due to this reason we believe that our analysis provides useful insights into the physics of these complex systems.

The analysis of this paper can be extended in several possible directions. First, it is promising to generalize our results to finite N nonstationary systems, e.g. an $N = 1$ version of the model (2.1). For small deviations from the stationarity, i.e. $\beta \ll 1$, this extension is obvious, because the analysis of section 3 does not essentially require $1/N$ expansion. An example of such calculation is presented in appendix B. At the same time, the diagrammatic calculations of section 4 heavily rely on the $1/N$ expansion which singles out a particular set of diagrams (Fig. 3 for the vertices and Fig. 4 for the Keldysh propagator) and decouples the system of Dyson–Schwinger equations. In the finite N case the solution to this system is unknown. So, the extension of the effective Hamiltonian analysis to large β is unclear.

Second, it is interesting to extend our analysis to non-vacuum initial states, including finite-temperature thermal states and mixed states with nontrivial initial $n_{0,ij}$ and $\kappa_{0,ij}$. This extension should be performed carefully, because in zero-dimensional quantum systems a naive version of the Wick theorem does not work [31]. However, it is still applicable after some modifications [44].

Finally, the approach of section 3 can be applied to higher-dimensional systems, such as light scalar fields in de Sitter space [25, 27, 28, 45–47] and dynamical Casimir effect [29, 30]. We expect that for small deviations from stationarity these models also allow one to exponentiate the effective Hamiltonian and obtain analogs of identities (3.7) and (3.8). However, diagrammatic calculations in these models face with severe difficulties even in the large N limit. Indeed, in higher-dimensional models mode functions carry additional momentum indices, so the generating matrix of “bubble” diagrams (4.10) turns into a tensor with $2 + 4D$ indices, where D is spatial dimensionality. Thus, “bubble” summation requires an exponentiation of non-diagonal tensor. We believe that in some particular cases this tensor can be simplified, so the exponentiation is feasible. Nevertheless, in general such a simplification is not guaranteed.

Besides that, we believe that results of this paper, especially identities (4.17) and (4.18), have experimental implications. As we have mentioned before, in the resonant case loop corrections result in additional “phantom” degrees of freedom, $N \rightarrow N + \frac{3}{2}$, which modify the average number, $\mathcal{N} = N|\beta|^2 \rightarrow (N + \frac{3}{2})|\beta|^2$, and average energy, $E = \omega_+ \mathcal{N}$, of created particles. Although in the large N limit this contribution is suppressed, in the finite N case it is distinguishable. Due to this reason we expect that it can be measured in practice.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Emil Akhmedov and Sebastián Franchino-Viñas for the fruitful discussions. This work was supported by the Russian Ministry of Education and Science and by the grant from the Foundation for the Advancement of Theoretical Physics and Mathematics “BASIS”.

A Expectation value of the full Hamiltonian

In this section we use the decomposition of the exact Keldysh propagator:

$$iG^K(x_1, x_2) \approx \sum_{n,k} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \delta_{nk} + n_{nk}(t) \right) f_n^{\text{in}}(x_1) (f_k^{\text{in}}(x_2))^* + \kappa_{nk}(t) f_n^{\text{in}}(x_1) f_k^{\text{in}}(x_2) + h.c. \right], \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $t = \frac{t_1+t_2}{2}$ and $n_{nk}(t)$, $\kappa_{nk}(t)$ are given by identities (1.6), (1.7), to estimate the expectation value of the full Hamiltonian, $\bar{H} = \langle in | H_{\text{free}} + H_{\text{int}} | in \rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H}(x) &= \langle in | T_{00}(x) | in \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_{t_1} \partial_{t_2} iG^K(x_1, x_2) \Big|_{x_1=x_2=x} = \\ &= \sum_{n,k} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \delta_{nk} + n_{nk} \right) f_n^{\text{in}}(x) (f_k^{\text{in}}(x))^* + \kappa_{nk} f_n^{\text{in}}(x) f_k^{\text{in}}(x) + h.c. \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Now let us make several additional assumptions. First, for simplicity we consider the flat spacetime. Second, we assume that out-modes have positive frequency with respect to the timelike Killing vector field $\xi = \partial_t$:

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi f_n^{\text{out}}(t, \mathbf{x}) = -i\omega_n f_n^{\text{out}}(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where \mathcal{L}_ξ is the Lie derivative along ξ and $\omega_n > 0$ is the energy of the $f_n^{\text{out}}(t, \mathbf{x})$ mode. Keeping in mind that out-modes are orthonormal with respect to the appropriate inner product:

$$(f_n^{\text{out}}(t, \mathbf{x}), f_k^{\text{out}}(t, \mathbf{x})) = \delta_{nk}, \quad (f_n^{\text{out}}(t, \mathbf{x}), (f_k^{\text{out}}(t, \mathbf{x}))^*) = 0, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

we obtain the following expression for the out-modes:

$$f_n^{\text{out}}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_n}} e^{-i\omega_n t} f_n(\mathbf{x}), \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where $|f_n(\mathbf{x})| = 1$. Substituting this expression into (A.2) and using the relations (1.3) between the in- and out-modes, we obtain the full Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H}(x) &= \sum_{n,k,l,m} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \delta_{nk} + n_{nk} \right) \frac{\sqrt{\omega_l \omega_m}}{2} \left(\alpha_{nl} \alpha_{km}^* e^{-i(\omega_l - \omega_m)t} f_l f_m^* + \beta_{nl} \beta_{km}^* e^{i(\omega_l - \omega_m)t} f_l^* f_m + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \alpha_{nl} \beta_{km}^* e^{-i(\omega_l + \omega_m)t} f_l f_m + \beta_{nl} \alpha_{km}^* e^{i(\omega_l + \omega_m)t} f_l^* f_m^* \right) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \kappa_{nk} \frac{\sqrt{\omega_l \omega_m}}{2} \left(\alpha_{nl} \beta_{km} e^{-i(\omega_l - \omega_m)t} f_l f_m^* + \beta_{nl} \alpha_{km} e^{i(\omega_l - \omega_m)t} f_l^* f_m + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \alpha_{nl} \alpha_{km} e^{-i(\omega_l + \omega_m)t} f_l f_m + \beta_{nl} \beta_{km} e^{i(\omega_l + \omega_m)t} f_l^* f_m^* \right) + h.c. \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

in the limit $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Here we have denoted $f_l = f_l(\mathbf{x})$ for shortness. Finally, we assume that the spectrum of the out-modes is non-degenerate (i.e. $\omega_n \neq \omega_k$ for all $n \neq k$). This allows us to neglect all rapidly oscillating contributions to (A.6), i.e. keep only $l = m$ terms in the sum:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H}(t) &\approx \sum_{n,k,l} \frac{\omega_l}{2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \delta_{nk} + n_{nk} \right) (\alpha_{nl} \alpha_{kl}^* + \beta_{nl} \beta_{kl}^*) + 2\kappa_{nk} \alpha_{nl} \beta_{kl} + h.c. \right] = \\ &= \sum_{n,k,l} \omega_l \left[\frac{1}{2} + |\beta_{nl}|^2 + n_{nk} (\alpha_{nl} \alpha_{kl}^* + \beta_{nl} \beta_{kl}^*) + \kappa_{nk} \alpha_{nl} \beta_{kl} + \kappa_{nk}^* \alpha_{nl}^* \beta_{kl}^* \right] = \\ &= \sum_l \omega_l \left[\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{N}_l(t) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where \mathcal{N}_l is defined by (1.8) and we have used the properties of the Bogoliubov coefficients:

$$\sum_l [\alpha_{nl} \alpha_{kl}^* - \beta_{nl} \beta_{kl}^*] = \delta_{nk}, \quad \sum_l [\alpha_{nl} \beta_{kl} - \beta_{nl} \alpha_{kl}] = 0. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

This confirms that under the mentioned assumptions \mathcal{N}_l can be interpreted as the average number of created out-particles in the full interacting theory. We also believe that the assumption of the spacetime flatness is not essential for the reasoning in this section.

B Single oscillator in the limit of weak nonstationarity

In this section we repeat the method of section 3 for an $N = 1$ version of the model (2.1):

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{\omega^2(t)}{2} \phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where $\omega(t) \rightarrow \omega_{\pm}$ as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$. Substituting the quantized field (note that free modes coincide with those of the large N model) into the Hamiltonians and taking the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, $t \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda t = \text{const}$, we obtain the following expressions for the interacting Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{int}} &\approx \frac{3\lambda (|\alpha|^4 + 4|\alpha|^2 |\beta|^2 + |\beta|^4)}{16\omega_+^2} (a^\dagger)^2 a^2 + \frac{3\lambda \alpha \beta (|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2)}{4\omega_+^2} a^\dagger a^3 + \frac{3\lambda \alpha^2 \beta^2}{8\omega_+^2} a^4 + h.c. \approx \\ &\approx \frac{3\lambda}{16\omega_+^2} (a^\dagger)^2 a^2 + \frac{3\lambda \beta}{4\omega_+^2} a^\dagger a^3 + \frac{9\lambda |\beta|^2}{8\omega_+^2} (a^\dagger)^2 a^2 + \frac{3\lambda \beta^2}{8\omega_+^2} a^4 + \mathcal{O}(|\beta|^3) + h.c., \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

and renormalized parameters of the free theory:

$$\omega_+ \rightarrow \omega_+ + \frac{3\lambda}{4\omega_+^2} (|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2), \quad \alpha \rightarrow \alpha + \frac{3\lambda}{8\omega_+^3} |\beta|^2 \alpha, \quad \beta \rightarrow \beta + \frac{3\lambda}{8\omega_+^3} |\alpha|^2 \beta. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Exponentiating the Hamiltonian (B.2) and keeping only the leading powers of β , we obtain an approximate expression for the evolved quantum state:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi(t)\rangle &\approx |in\rangle + 2\beta^* |\beta|^2 \left[\frac{1}{5} \exp\left(\frac{-9i\lambda t}{2\omega_+^2}\right) - \frac{6}{5} \exp\left(\frac{-3i\lambda t}{4\omega_+^2}\right) + 1 \right] (a^\dagger)^2 |in\rangle + \\ &+ \frac{(\beta^*)^2}{12} \left[\exp\left(\frac{-9i\lambda t}{2\omega_+^2}\right) - 1 \right] (a^\dagger)^4 |in\rangle + \mathcal{O}(|\beta|^4). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

This expression straightforwardly implies the exact level population, anomalous quantum average:

$$n(t) = \langle \Psi(t) | a^\dagger a | \Psi(t) \rangle \approx \frac{8}{3} |\beta|^4 \sin^2 \left(\frac{9\lambda t}{4\omega_+^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(|\beta|^6), \quad (\text{B.5})$$

$$\kappa(t) = \langle \Psi(t) | aa | \Psi(t) \rangle \approx 4\beta^* |\beta|^2 \left[\frac{1}{5} \exp \left(\frac{-9i\lambda t}{2\omega_+^2} \right) - \frac{6}{5} \exp \left(\frac{-3i\lambda t}{4\omega_+^2} \right) + 1 \right] + \mathcal{O}(|\beta|^4), \quad (\text{B.6})$$

and exact number of created particles:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N} &= |\beta|^2 + (|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2)n + \alpha\beta\kappa + \alpha^*\beta^*\kappa^* = \\ &\approx |\beta|^2 + |\beta|^4 \left[\frac{28}{3} + \frac{4}{15} \cos \left(\frac{9\lambda t}{2\omega_+^2} \right) - \frac{48}{5} \cos \left(\frac{3\lambda t}{4\omega_+^2} \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(|\beta|^5). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

We emphasize that the correction to the tree-level particle number is always positive. This result is very similar to the identity (3.9) from the large N version of the model, although average values of the corrections and forms of the oscillating curves in these models are different.

References

- [1] J. S. Schwinger, “On gauge invariance and vacuum polarization,” *Phys. Rev.* **82**, 664-679 (1951).
- [2] S. W. Hawking, “Black hole explosions?” *Nature* **248**, 30-31 (1974).
- [3] S. W. Hawking, “Particle Creation by Black Holes,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43**, 199-220 (1975) [Erratum: *Commun. Math. Phys.* **46**, 206 (1976)]
- [4] S. W. Hawking, “Breakdown of Predictability in Gravitational Collapse,” *Phys. Rev. D* **14**, 2460-2473 (1976)
- [5] W. G. Unruh, “Notes on black hole evaporation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **14**, 870 (1976).
- [6] S. A. Fulling, “Nonuniqueness of canonical field quantization in Riemannian space-time,” *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 2850-2862 (1973).
- [7] P. C. W. Davies, “Scalar particle production in Schwarzschild and Rindler metrics,” *J. Phys. A* **8**, 609-616 (1975).
- [8] G. T. Moore, “Quantum Theory of the Electromagnetic Field in a Variable-Length One-Dimensional Cavity,” *J. Math. Phys.* **11**, 2679 (1970).
- [9] P. C. W. Davies and S. A. Fulling, “Radiation from a moving mirror in two-dimensional space-time: conformal anomaly,” *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **348**, 393-414 (1976).
- [10] P. C. W. Davies and S. A. Fulling, “Radiation from Moving Mirrors and from Black Holes,” *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **356**, 237-257 (1977).
- [11] B. S. DeWitt, “Quantum Field Theory in Curved Space-Time,” *Phys. Rept.* **19**, 295-357 (1975).
- [12] J. Preskill, “Do black holes destroy information?,” [hep-th/9209058](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9209058).

- [13] D. Harlow, “Jerusalem Lectures on Black Holes and Quantum Information,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, 015002 (2016) [[arXiv:1409.1231](#)].
- [14] A. Almheiri, T. Hartman, J. Maldacena, E. Shaghoulian and A. Tajdini, “The entropy of Hawking radiation,” [[arXiv:2006.06872](#)].
- [15] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, “Quantum Fields in Curved Space” (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1984).
- [16] S. A. Fulling, “Aspects of Quantum Field Theory in Curved Space-Time” (Cambridge University Press, NY, 1989).
- [17] A. A. Grib, S. G. Mamayev, and V. M. Mostepanenko, “Quantum Effects in Strong External Fields” (Atomizdat, Moscow, 1980); A. A. Grib, S. G. Mamayev, and V. M. Mostepanenko, “Vacuum Quantum Effects in Strong Fields” (Friedmann Laboratory, St. Petersburg, 1994).
- [18] A. M. Polyakov, “De Sitter Space and Eternity,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **797**, 199-217 (2008) [[arXiv:0709.2899](#)].
- [19] D. Krotov and A. M. Polyakov, “Infrared Sensitivity of Unstable Vacua,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **849**, 410-432 (2011) [[arXiv:1012.2107](#)].
- [20] A. M. Polyakov, “Infrared instability of the de Sitter space,” [arXiv:1209.4135](#).
- [21] E. T. Akhmedov, “Lecture notes on interacting quantum fields in de Sitter space,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **23**, 1430001 (2014) [[arXiv:1309.2557](#)].
- [22] E. T. Akhmedov, “IR divergences and kinetic equation in de Sitter space. Poincare patch: Principal series,” *JHEP* **01**, 066 (2012) [[arXiv:1110.2257](#)].
- [23] E. T. Akhmedov and P. Burda, “Solution of the Dyson–Schwinger equation on de Sitter background in IR limit,” *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 044031 (2012) [[arXiv:1202.1202](#)].
- [24] E. T. Akhmedov, “Physical meaning and consequences of the loop infrared divergences in global de Sitter space,” *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 044049 (2013) [[arXiv:1209.4448](#)].
- [25] E. T. Akhmedov, F. K. Popov, and V. M. Slepukhin, “Infrared dynamics of the massive ϕ^4 theory on de Sitter space,” *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 024021 (2013) [[arXiv:1303.1068](#)].
- [26] E. T. Akhmedov and F. Bascone, “Quantum heating as an alternative of reheating,” *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, no.4, 045013 (2018) [[arXiv:1710.06118](#)].
- [27] E. T. Akhmedov, U. Moschella, K. E. Pavlenko, and F. K. Popov, “Infrared dynamics of massive scalars from the complementary series in de Sitter space,” *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, no. 2, 025002 (2017) [[arXiv:1701.07226](#)].
- [28] E. T. Akhmedov, U. Moschella, and F. K. Popov, “Characters of different secular effects in various patches of de Sitter space,” *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, no. 8, 086009 (2019) [[arXiv:1901.07293](#)].
- [29] E. T. Akhmedov and S. O. Alexeev, “Dynamical Casimir effect and loop corrections,” *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, no. 6, 065001 (2017) [[arXiv:1707.02242](#)]; S. Alexeev, “Secularly growing loop corrections to the dynamical Casimir effect,” [arXiv:1707.02838](#).

- [30] L. A. Akopyan and D. A. Trunin, “Dynamical Casimir effect in nonlinear vibrating cavities,” *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, no.6, 065005 (2021) [[arXiv:2012.02129](#)].
- [31] D. A. Trunin, “Comments on the adiabatic theorem,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **33**, no. 24, 1850140 (2018) [[arXiv:1805.04856](#)].
- [32] E. T. Akhmedov and E. T. Musaev, “Comments on QED with background electric fields,” *New J. Phys.* **11**, 103048 (2009) [[arXiv:0901.0424](#)].
- [33] E. T. Akhmedov, N. Astrakhantsev, and F. K. Popov, “Secularly growing loop corrections in strong electric fields,” *JHEP* **1409**, 071 (2014) [[arXiv:1405.5285](#)].
- [34] E. T. Akhmedov and F. K. Popov, “A few more comments on secularly growing loop corrections in strong electric fields,” *JHEP* **1509**, 085 (2015) [[arXiv:1412.1554](#)].
- [35] E. T. Akhmedov, O. Diatlyk, and A. G. Semenov, “Out of equilibrium two-dimensional Yukawa theory in a strong scalar wave background,” *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **309**, 12–30 (2020) [[arXiv:1909.12805](#)].
- [36] E. T. Akhmedov, E. N. Lanina, and D. A. Trunin, “Quantization in background scalar fields,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, no. 2, 025005 (2020) [[arXiv:1911.06518](#)].
- [37] E. T. Akhmedov and O. Diatlyk, “Secularly growing loop corrections in scalar wave background,” *JHEP* **10**, 027 (2020) [[arXiv:2004.01544](#)].
- [38] E. T. Akhmedov, H. Godazgar, and F. K. Popov, “Hawking radiation and secularly growing loop corrections,” *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, no. 2, 024029 (2016) [[arXiv:1508.07500](#)].
- [39] J. S. Schwinger, “Brownian motion of a quantum oscillator,” *J. Math. Phys.* **2**, 407-432 (1961).
- [40] L. V. Keldysh, “Diagram technique for nonequilibrium processes,” *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **47**, 1515 (1964) [*Sov. Phys. JETP* **20**, 1018 (1965)].
- [41] A. Kamenev, “Field Theory of Non-Equilibrium Systems” (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2011); A. Kamenev, “Many-body theory of non-equilibrium systems,” [cond-mat/0412296](#).
- [42] J. Rammer, “Quantum field theory of non-equilibrium states” (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2007).
- [43] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, “Physical Kinetics” (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1981), Vol. 10.
- [44] P. I. Arseev, “On the nonequilibrium diagram technique: derivation, some features, and applications,” *Phys. Usp.* **58**, 1159 (2015).
- [45] R. Parentani and J. Serreau, “Physical momentum representation of scalar field correlators in de Sitter space,” *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 045020 (2013) [[arXiv:1212.6077](#)].
- [46] F. Gautier and J. Serreau, “Infrared dynamics in de Sitter space from Schwinger-Dyson equations,” *Phys. Lett. B* **727**, 541-547 (2013) [[arXiv:1305.5705](#)].
- [47] F. Gautier and J. Serreau, “Scalar field correlator in de Sitter space at next-to-leading order in a $1/N$ expansion,” *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, no.10, 105035 (2015) [[arXiv:1509.05546](#)].

- [48] D. López Nacir, F. D. Mazzitelli, and L. G. Trombetta, “Long distance behavior of $O(N)$ -model correlators in de Sitter space and the resummation of secular terms,” JHEP **10**, 016 (2018) [[arXiv:1807.05964](#)].
- [49] D. F. Walls, G. J. Milburn, “Quantum Optics” (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2008)
- [50] E. K. Irish, “Generalized Rotating-Wave Approximation for Arbitrarily Large Coupling,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 173601 (2007) [Erratum: Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 259901 (2007)].
- [51] C. K. Law, “Effective Hamiltonian for the radiation in a cavity with a moving mirror and a time-varying dielectric medium,” Phys. Rev. A **49**, 433-437 (1994)
- [52] V. V. Dodonov, A. B. Klimov, and D. E. Nikonov, “Quantum phenomena in nonstationary media,” Phys. Rev. A **47**, 4422-4429 (1993)
- [53] V. V. Dodonov and A. B. Klimov, “Generation and detection of photons in a cavity with a resonantly oscillating boundary,” Phys. Rev. A **53**, 2664-2682 (1996).
- [54] V. V. Dodonov, “Fifty Years of the Dynamical Casimir Effect,” MDPI Physics **2**, no.1, 67-104 (2020)
- [55] V. V. Dodonov, V. I. Man’ko, and V. N. Rudenko, “Efficiency of a gravitational detector with interference of quantum states,” Pis’ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **36**, 53 (1982) [JETP Lett. **36**, 63 (1982)].
- [56] V. V. Dodonov, A. B. Klimov, and V. I. Man’ko, “Generation of squeezed states in a resonator with a moving wall,” Phys. Lett. A **149**, 225–228 (1990).
- [57] V. V. Dodonov, O. V. Man’ko, and V. I. Man’ko, “Quantum nonstationary oscillator: Models and applications,” J. Russ. Laser Res. **16**, 1–56 (1995).
- [58] A. Imamoglu, H. Schmidt, G. Woods, and M. Deutsch, “Strongly Interacting Photons in a Nonlinear Cavity,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 1467 (1997) [Erratum: Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 2836 (1998)].
- [59] W. Leoński and R. Tanaś, “Possibility of producing the one-photon state in a kicked cavity with a nonlinear Kerr medium,” Phys. Rev. A **49**, R20(R) (1994).
- [60] R. Román-Ancheyta, C. González-Gutiérrez, and J. Récamier, “Influence of the Kerr nonlinearity in a single nonstationary cavity mode,” J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **34**, 1170-1176 (2017).
- [61] I. M. de Sousa and A. V. Dodonov, “Microscopic toy model for the cavity dynamical Casimir effect,” J. Phys. A **48**, no.24, 245302 (2015) [[arXiv:1504.02413](#)].
- [62] A. B. Migdal and V. P. Krainov, “Approximation Methods of Quantum Mechanics” (NEO Press, Ann Arbor, MI, 1968).
- [63] M. Born and V. A. Fock, “Beweis des Adiabatsatzes,” Z. Physik **51** 165-180 (1928).
- [64] J. Berges, “Introduction to nonequilibrium quantum field theory,” AIP Conf. Proc. **739**, no.1, 3-62 (2004) [[hep-ph/0409233](#)]; J. Berges, “Nonequilibrium Quantum Fields: From Cold Atoms to Cosmology,” [arXiv:1503.02907](#).

- [65] A. V. Leonidov and A. A. Radovskaya, “On formation of equation of state of evolving quantum field,” JETP Lett. **101**, no.4, 215-220 (2015) [[arXiv:1412.0098](#)].
- [66] A. A. Radovskaya and A. G. Semenov, “Semiclassical Approximation meets Keldysh-Schwinger diagrammatic technique: Scalar φ^4 ,” [arXiv:2003.06395](#).
- [67] M. Moshe and J. Zinn-Justin, “Quantum field theory in the large N limit: A Review,” Phys. Rept. **385**, 69-228 (2003) [[hep-th/0306133](#)].
- [68] A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge Fields and Strings,” (Harwood Academic Publishers, Chur, Switzerland, 1987).
- [69] S. Coleman, “Aspects of Symmetry: Selected Erice Lectures” (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1985).
- [70] F. Cooper, S. Habib, Y. Kluger, E. Mottola, J. P. Paz, and P. R. Anderson, “Nonequilibrium quantum fields in the large- N expansion,” Phys. Rev. D **50**, 2848-2869 (1994) [[hep-ph/9405352](#)].
- [71] F. Cooper, S. Habib, Y. Kluger, and E. Mottola, “Nonequilibrium dynamics of symmetry breaking in $\lambda\Phi^4$ field theory,” Phys. Rev. D **55**, 6471-6503 (1997) [[hep-ph/9610345](#)].
- [72] J. Baacke, K. Heitmann, and C. Patzold, “Renormalization of nonequilibrium dynamics at large N and finite temperature,” Phys. Rev. D **57**, 6406-6419 (1998) [[hep-ph/9712506](#)].
- [73] J. Baacke and K. Heitmann, “Nonequilibrium evolution and symmetry structure of the large- N Φ^4 model at finite temperature,” Phys. Rev. D **62**, 105022 (2000) [[hep-ph/0003317](#)].
- [74] D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman, S. P. Kumar, and R. D. Pisarski, “Nonequilibrium evolution of a ’Tsunami’: Dynamical symmetry breaking,” Phys. Rev. D **57**, 3653-3669 (1998) [[hep-ph/9711258](#)].
- [75] S. R. Das and K. Sengupta, “Non-equilibrium Dynamics of $O(N)$ Nonlinear Sigma models: a Large- N approach,” JHEP **09**, 072 (2012) [[arXiv:1202.2458](#)].