

Electromagnetic properties of neutrinos from scattering on bound electrons in atom

Junu Jeong⁽¹⁾, Jihn E. Kim⁽²⁾, and Sungwoo Youn⁽¹⁾

⁽¹⁾*Center for Axion and Precision Physics Research, IBS, Daejeon 34051, Republic of Korea*

⁽²⁾*Department of Physics, Kyung Hee University, 26 Gynghedaero, Dongdaemun-Gu, Seoul 02447, Republic of Korea*

We consider the effects of bound atomic electrons scattered by solar neutrinos due to the electromagnetic properties of neutrinos. This necessitate considering the recoil of atomic nucleus, which should be considered in the momentum conservation, but that effect to the energy conservation is negligible. This effect changes the kinematic behavior of the scattered electron compared to that scattered on free electrons. We apply this effect to the recent XENON1T data, but the bounds obtained from this is not very restrictive. We obtained the bounds: the (transition) magnetic moment $|f_{\alpha\beta}| \leq 0.86 \times 10^{-7}$ (times the electron Bohr magneton) and the charge radius $|\tilde{r}| < 4.30 \times 10^{-17}$ cm. For a non-vanishing millicharge (ε), the allowed bound is shown in the $\tilde{r}^2 - \varepsilon$ plane.

PACS numbers: 13.15.+g, 12.15.Mm, 13.40.Gp, 13.66.-a

Keywords: Neutrino Charge Radius, Neutrino Magnetic Moment, Millicharge, XENON1T

I. INTRODUCTION

Ever since the discovery of neutrinos, it has been a great challenge to find the electromagnetic(EM) properties of neutrinos [1–3], which continued in the gauge theory era [4–8]. To have the EM properties of neutrinos at the observable level, particles in the beyond-the-standard-model(BSM) had to be introduced [9–12]. Two relevant EM properties of neutrinos are the magnetic moment(MM) and the charge radius(CR). The MM, coupling to the EM field strength, is gauge invariant. On the other hand, the CR, coupling to the EM field itself, is not gauge invariant. Gauge invariance is needed to maintain the renormalizability. Therefore, if the probing wave length in the effective theory is much larger than the cutoff length-scale in the renormalization, as in the Fermi weak interaction much above the electroweak length-scale 10^{-16} cm, CR can be also considered as a useful physical parameter. The cutoff energy scale for the CR is the mass of a heavy BSM particle whose exchange produces the relevant charge radius. In this paper, we take this viewpoint considering the electromagnetic properties of neutrinos.

The published experimental limit on the MM of ν_e in units of the electron Bohr magneton is [13], $|f| \lesssim 2.8 \times 10^{-11}$, and the limit on the squared CR, \tilde{r}^2 is [14], $\tilde{r}^2 = [-2.1, +3.3] \times 10^{-32} \text{cm}^2$. The standard model(SM) prediction [15] on the neutrino MM is much smaller than the upper limit presented above, by a factor of $O(10^{-8})$. Note that the millicharge limit of neutron is $O(10^{-21} \text{cm})$ [16], which however cannot be directly used for a limit on the millicharges of neutrinos.

Recently, the XENON group considered the possibility of MMs of solar neutrinos for the excess events in their data, for an exposure of 0.65 tonne-year with an extremely low background rate of $76 \pm 2(\text{stat.}) (\text{Events})/(\text{t} \cdot \text{y} \cdot \text{keV})$ [17]. The plot on these excess events starts around the electron recoil energy near 2–3 keV, and ends around 30 keV as shown in Fig. 1 (a). Out of the fitted 42,179.4 events, the estimated SM background by the solar neutrinos is 220.8 events [17], only 0.52%. For estimating non-vanishing electromagnetic properties of solar neutrinos, therefore, one may neglect the SM background and associate the bulk of 42,179.4 events with the electromagnetic properties of neutrinos in the scattering process. In this way, Ref. [17] obtained the bound on the neutrino MM, $[1.4, 2.9] \times 10^{-11}$ times the electron Bohr magneton. But, the assumed cross section by the XENON group has the reciprocal dependence on the recoil electron energy. This reciprocal dependence is obtained by assuming the two-body scattering [19], $\nu_e + e$, which may not be a correct method. The reason is that in kicking out an electron from a heavy atom, the atomic nucleus can carry away some momentum and the momentum conservation used in the $\nu_e + e_{\text{in atom}} \rightarrow \nu'_e + e'$ is not exact.

In Sec. II, we express the XENON1T unit “Events/t · y · keV” in terms of the cross section unit “MeV³” to compare with the theoretical prediction. In Sec. III, we discuss the possibility of including electromagnetic properties of neutrinos in the scattering process. Here, the three-body phase space with a non-relativistic atom is discussed. In Subsec. IIID, we briefly comment on the possibility of neutrino milli-charges. In Sec. IV, from XENON1T data we obtain the CR and millicharge bounds of electron-type neutrino. Section V is a brief summary on the EM properties of neutrinos. In Appendix A, we estimate the parameters used in the calculation.




FIG. 1: (a) Cross section vs. the data curve for $\mu_\nu = 7 \times 10^{-11} \mu_B$ reproducing the RHS panel of Fig. 1 of [17], and (b) a conversion of the event rate to the cross section.

II. EVENT RATE AND CROSS SECTION IN THE XENON1T DATA

The XENON group presents data in units of Events/t · y · keV. To convert it to cross section, we use the right-hand side (RHS) panel of Fig. 1 of [17], and followed their analysis using the neutrino magnetic moment $\mu_\nu = 7 \times 10^{-11} \mu_B$ and the solar pp neutrino flux of [18]. They used the inverse- T_e rule presented in [19]. In our paper here, the pp neutrino energy flux of [18] in the region, $E_\nu \geq 4$ MeV, is fitted by $F_{pp}^{\text{solar}}(E)$. The analytic form for the pp -flux function is presented in [33],

$$F_{pp}^{\text{solar}}(E) = P_0 E^2 (Q + m_e - E) \sqrt{(Q + m_e - E)^2 - m_e^2}, \quad (1)$$

where $Q \approx 423.41$ keV is the end point energy of neutrino, and $m_e \approx 0.511$ MeV is the electron mass. $P_0 \approx 188.143$ MeV $^{-5}$ is the normalization constant. From this form, some averages of energy functions are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E^{-2} \rangle &= 30.0256 \text{ MeV}^{-2}, \\ \langle E^{-1} \rangle &= 4.46821 \text{ MeV}^{-1}, \\ \langle E^1 \rangle &= 0.267946 \text{ MeV}^1, \\ \langle E^2 \rangle &= 0.0794926 \text{ MeV}^2, \\ \langle E^3 \rangle &= 0.0251769 \text{ MeV}^3, \\ \langle \ln E/Q_{\min} \rangle &= -0.528546. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Figure 1(a) is the overlap of the cross section and the RHS panel data of Fig. 1 of Ref. [17]. Here, we used Q_{\min} as the minimum of $|\mathbf{p}'_e|^2/(2m_e)$. Figure 1(b) is the cross section $d\sigma/dT_e$ in the MeV $^{-3}$ units, obtained from the XENON1T data using *the inverse- T_e rule*. Then, our calculation of $d\sigma/dT_e$ resulting from some electromagnetic properties of neutrinos, *not using the inverse- T_e rule but using our formula*, will be compared with Fig. 1(b).

III. CROSS SECTIONS WITH ELECTROMAGNETIC FORM FACTORS OF NEUTRINO

The photon vertex does not change the chirality. For the mass and MM, therefore, a chirality change must take place. So, the MM can arise by attaching a photon vertex in the mass generating diagrams. For the CR, it is even simpler because the CR has the same chiral property as that given by the EM coupling. For the neutrino, the gauge coupling is expressed as the form factor $F_1^\nu(q^2)$ with $F_1^\nu(0) = 0$. The CR is defined by the first term in the expansion of $F_1^\nu(q^2)$ in terms of momentum transfer q^2 . As noted in the beginning, we use the charge radius for the scale $q^2 \ll (\text{cutoff scale})^2$. In addition, if a (almost) massless extra photon (ex-photon) in the BSM sector is present, there is a possibility that neutrinos can carry millicharges [20, 21]. The chiral property due to millicharges is the same as that due to the charge radius. The vector couplings of the charge radii and millicharges of neutrinos can mix with the G_F -order SM couplings, but the interference between these vector and axial-vector interactions and the SM amplitude has not played an important role because of the tiny contribution in the data of XENON1T if it were from solar neutrinos.

A. SM cross section and beyond

The effective interaction in the SM for the electron-type neutrino scattering on an electron is given by the interaction [22, 23],

$$\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\nu}_e \gamma^\mu (1 + \gamma_5) \nu_e \bar{e} \gamma_\mu (g_V + g_A \gamma_5) e. \quad (3)$$

When we consider the neutrino scattering, kicking out an electron from an atom with atomic number Z , the following six-fermion interaction with parameter M_{eff} can be considered,

$$\frac{1}{M_{\text{eff}}^3} \cdot \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\nu}_e \gamma^\mu (1 + \gamma_5) \nu_e \bar{e} \gamma_\mu (g_V + g_A \gamma_5) e \bar{A} A, \quad (4)$$

where A is treated as a quantum field with $Z - 1$ atomic electrons.¹ A strategy to estimate M_{eff} for four-fermion interaction is given in Appendix A. We interpret M_{eff} as representing the electromagnetic process occurring in the atomic orbits. For four-fermion interaction, it is a kind of definition for the atomic process. For six-fermion interaction of (4), we use the same M_{eff} determined in Appendix A.

In the SM, we have

$$g_V = \frac{1}{2} + 2 \sin^2 \theta_W, \quad g_A = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (5)$$

where $\sin^2 \theta_W \simeq 0.231$ [22, 24] is the weak mixing angle, and $e^2 = g^2 \sin^2 \theta_W$. The EM couplings of neutrinos are

$$\bar{\nu}_\beta \gamma^\mu e F_{1\alpha\beta}^\nu \nu_\alpha A_\mu^{\text{em}} + \bar{\nu}_\beta \sigma^{\mu\nu} \frac{ef_{\alpha\beta}}{2M} \nu_\alpha (q_\mu A_\nu^{\text{em}} - q_\nu A_\mu^{\text{em}}) \quad (6)$$

where $F_{1\alpha\beta}$ and $\frac{f_{\alpha\beta}}{2M}$ are matrices between weak eigenstates of neutrinos, $\nu_\beta(k'_\nu)$ and $\nu_\alpha(k_\nu)$. $f_{\alpha\beta}$ is the MM of neutrino in units of the Bohr magneton of the mass M particle. For $\alpha = \beta$, Eqs. (3) and (6) combine, and in particular for the electron-type neutrino $\alpha = \beta$ we have

$$\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\nu}_e \gamma^\mu (1 + \gamma_5) \nu_e \bar{e} \left(\left[g_V + \frac{F_1^{\nu e}}{\sqrt{2} G_F q^2} \right] \gamma_\mu + g_A \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \right) e. \quad (7)$$

The SM neutrino ν_α is a two-component spinor. Therefore, in the cross section calculation the spin sums for a Majorana neutrino is $\frac{1}{2}$ of that of a Dirac neutrino, which will be taken into account.

For calculating scattering cross section on electrons bound in the orbits of a rest nucleus, the wave function of electrons in the electron cloud around the nucleus must be considered. Let the uncertainty of the outgoing electron position be Δr . Then, the uncertainty of the momentum is $\Delta p \approx 1/\Delta r$. We consider the recoil energy greater than 2.5 keV (glimpsing Fig. 1 (a)), corresponding to $\Delta r < 3.9 \times 10^{-9}$ cm with $\Delta p = \sqrt{2m_e T_e}$ for the electron recoil energy T_e . But, in the neutrino scattering with the incoming solar neutrino energy less than 0.45 MeV [18], the uncertainty of neutrino position is less than 4.38×10^{-11} cm which is much shorter than the orbit radius of the n -th level of Xenon, $10^{-10} n$ cm. So, in the neutrino scattering we can safely use the two-body scattering formula as described in Appendix A.

The $3 \rightarrow n$ transition rate is

$$\text{Rate} = (2\pi)^{4-3n} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}'_1 \cdots d^3 \mathbf{p}'_n}{2E_1 2E_2 2E_3 2E'_1 2E'_2 \cdots 2E'_n} \frac{\delta^4(p_1 + p_2 + p_3 - p'_1 \cdots - p'_n)}{V^2} |\langle p'_1 \cdots p'_n | T | p_1 p_2 p_3 \rangle|^2 \quad (8)$$

from which we calculate the three body scattering cross section. A flux of incoming particles 1 (neutrino in our case) scatter on two particles 2 (electron in our case) and 3 (atom in our case). Thus, the cross section consists of two parts, one with a flux factor $1/|\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2|$ and the other $1/|\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_3|$. Taking $\mathbf{v}_3 = 0$, the neutrino-electron scattering is

$$d\sigma = \frac{(2\pi)^{4-9}}{|\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2|} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}'_1 d^3 \mathbf{p}'_2 d^3 \mathbf{p}'_3}{2E_1 2E_2 2E_3 2E'_1 2E'_2 2E'_3} \frac{\delta^4(\sum p_i - \sum p'_j)}{V} |\langle p'_1 p'_2 p'_3 | T | p_1 p_2 p_3 \rangle|^2 \quad (9)$$

¹ A may be considered as a boson, which gives the same result.

where we can take V as the atomic volume to which the flux of neutrinos sweep. For $1/V$, the sum B in Eq. (A9) is used. The T -matrix squared in Eq. (9) is summed over the spins

$$\frac{1}{(2s_e + 1)(2s_\nu + 1)} \sum_{s_\nu, s_e} \sum_{s'_\nu, s'_e} |\text{Amp}|^2 = (|T|_Q^2). \quad (10)$$

If we consider the MM interaction also, then we will have the factor $(|T|_{\text{MM}}^2 + |T|_Q^2)$ instead of $(|T|_Q^2)$. For the volume V , we use the Xenon volume for each principal quantum number n separately. The n -th shell volume is $V = (4\pi/3)(na_Z)^3$ where a_Z is the K-shell radius of Xenon atom. Then, for the $\nu_\alpha(k_\nu) + e(p_e) + A(P_A) \rightarrow \nu'_\beta(k'_\nu) + e'(p'_e) + A'(P'_A)$ scattering, Eq. (9) becomes

$$d\sigma = \frac{3Z^3 \alpha_{\text{em}}^3}{2^{12} \pi^4} \frac{m_e^2 |\mathbf{p}'_e|^2 d|\mathbf{p}'_e| E'_\nu dE'_\nu}{(m_e + T_e) E_\nu M_A^2} \delta(E_\nu + m_e - \delta_B + M_A - E'_\nu - (m_e + T_e) - M_A) |\langle p'_1 p'_2 p'_3 | T | p_1 p_2 p_3 \rangle|^2 x \quad (11)$$

where δ_B is the binding energy and T_e is the kinetic energy of the final electron e' , and x is given in Appendix A for the process in consideration. The following scalar products will be useful,

$$\begin{aligned} q^2 &= -2E_\nu E'_\nu (1 - \cos \theta), \quad q = k_\nu - k'_\nu, \\ k_\nu \cdot k'_\nu &= -k_\nu \cdot q = k'_\nu \cdot q = -\frac{q^2}{2}, \\ p_e \cdot p'_e &= m_e^2 - \frac{q^2}{2} \text{ assuming atom at rest,} \\ k'_\nu \cdot p_e &= m_e E'_\nu = k_\nu \cdot p'_e = E_\nu E'_e - E_\nu |\mathbf{p}'_e| \cos \theta_e, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where we used massless neutrinos, θ_e is the polar angle of the outgoing electron direction relative to the incoming neutrino direction,² and the non-relativistic approximation $E'_e \simeq m_e + \frac{|\mathbf{p}'_e|^2}{2m_e} = m_e + T_e$ is used.

Firstly, let us show the inverse- T_e rule, used in Sec. II. Note the kinematic variables for $\nu_e(k_\nu) + e(p_e) \rightarrow \nu'_e(k'_\nu) + e'(p'_e)$, for (almost) massless neutrinos and in the limit $M_A = \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} E'_\nu &= |\mathbf{k}'_\nu| = \sqrt{E_e'^2 + E_\nu^2 - m_e^2}, \\ 2k_\nu \cdot k'_\nu &= 2E_\nu E'_\nu (1 - \cos \theta), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where θ is the angle of the outgoing neutrino relative to the incoming neutrino. It is possible to express θ in terms of E'_e [19], $\cos \theta = (E_\nu + m_e) E_\nu^{-1} T_e^{1/2} (T_e + 2m_e)^{-1/2}$ such that $|\mathbf{p}'_\nu| \simeq E'_\nu$ becomes

$$E'_\nu \simeq \sqrt{E_\nu^2 + 2m_e T_e - 2E_\nu \sqrt{2m_e T_e} (E_\nu + m_e) E_\nu^{-1} T_e^{1/2} (T_e + 2m_e)^{-1/2}}. \quad (14)$$

Now, the $|\mathbf{k}'_\nu| d|\mathbf{k}'_\nu| = E'_\nu dE'_\nu = -E'_\nu dT_e$ integration with the energy conservation delta function $\delta(f(T_e))$, with the approximation $T_e \ll m_e$ and $E'_\nu \simeq E_\nu$ in the final formula, we obtain

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dT_e} \simeq \frac{1}{2^5 \pi m_e^2 T_e}. \quad (15)$$

The reason for the $1/T_e$ dependence is the specific T_e powers in Eq. (14), and if it is slightly violated then the $1/T_e$ dependence would not result. Thus, we anticipate the inverse- T_e rule is not applicable if the momentum carried by the scattered Xenon atom is considered.

Let us now proceed the three-body scattering given in Eq. (A14). The four momenta delta function

$$\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_\nu - \mathbf{k}'_\nu - \mathbf{p}'_e - \mathbf{P}'_A) \delta(E_\nu - E'_\nu - \Delta - \frac{|\mathbf{p}'_e|^2}{2m_e} - \frac{|\mathbf{P}'_A|^2}{2M_A}) \quad (16)$$

where \mathbf{p}'_e and \mathbf{P}'_A are the momenta of the outing e' and outgoing atom A' with m_e and M_A , respectively, and $E_\nu - E'_\nu = \Delta = T_e + \delta_B$ with the binding energy δ_B of the electron in the atom. The energy transfer to the atom is

² The polar angle made by the outgoing neutrino direction relative to the incoming neutrino direction will be denoted as θ .

neglected in the energy-conservation δ function, since it is so small. The averaged spin sums of $|T|^2$ from Eq. (4) is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{G_F^2}{2M_{\text{eff}}^6} \text{Tr} \gamma^0 (1 + \gamma_5) \gamma^\rho \gamma^0 \not{k}' \gamma^\alpha (1 + \gamma_5) \not{k} \cdot \text{Tr} \gamma^0 (g_V^* + g_A^* \gamma_5) \gamma_\rho \gamma_0 (\not{p}' + m_e) \gamma^0 \gamma_\alpha (g_V + g_A \gamma_5) (\not{p} + m_e) \cdot \text{Tr} (\not{P}' + M_A) (\not{P} + M_A) \\
&= \frac{G_F^2}{2M_{\text{eff}}^6} \text{Tr} 2(1 - \gamma_5) \gamma^0 \gamma^\rho \gamma^0 \not{k}' \gamma^\alpha \not{k} \cdot \text{Tr} \gamma^0 \gamma_\rho \gamma^0 (\not{p}' + m_e) \gamma_\alpha \\
&\quad [(|g_V|^2 + |g_A|^2) \not{p} - (g_V g_A^* + g_V^* g_A) \not{p} \gamma_5 + (|g_V|^2 - |g_A|^2) m_e - (g_V g_A^* - g_V^* g_A) m_e \gamma_5] \cdot (8M_A^2) \\
&= \frac{G_F^2}{2M_{\text{eff}}^6} 2 \cdot 4(k'^\rho k^\alpha - k \cdot k' g^{\rho\alpha} + k'^\alpha k^\rho - i\varepsilon^{\rho\mu\alpha\nu} k'_\mu k_\nu) \times 4((|g_V|^2 + |g_A|^2) (p'_\rho p_\alpha - p \cdot p' g_{\rho\alpha} + p'_\alpha p_\rho) \\
&\quad + (|g_V|^2 - |g_A|^2) m_e^2 g_{\rho\alpha} - i(g_V g_A^* + g_V^* g_A) \varepsilon_{\rho\kappa\alpha\eta} p'^\kappa p^\eta) \cdot (8M_A^2) \\
&= \frac{G_F^2}{2M_{\text{eff}}^6} 2 \cdot 4 \left(4(|g_V|^2 + |g_A|^2) (2k \cdot pk' \cdot p' + 2k \cdot p'k' \cdot p) + 4[(|g_V|^2 - |g_A|^2) m_e^2 (-2k \cdot k') \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2\text{Re}(g_V g_A^*) (k \cdot pk' \cdot p' - k \cdot p'k' \cdot p) \right] \cdot (8M_A^2) \\
&= \frac{2^8 G_F^2 M_A^2 m_e^2 E_\nu^2}{M_{\text{eff}}^6} \left((|g_V|^2 + |g_A|^2) \left[\left(1 - \frac{|\mathbf{p}'|^2}{2m_e E_\nu} \right) + \left(\frac{E'_e}{m_e} - \frac{|\mathbf{p}'_e|}{m_e} \cos \theta_e \right)^2 \right] + (|g_V|^2 - |g_A|^2) m_e^2 (-q^2/2E_\nu^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \text{Re}(g_V g_A^*) \left[\left(1 - \frac{|\mathbf{p}'|^2}{2m_e E_\nu} \right) + \left(\frac{E'_e}{m_e} - \frac{|\mathbf{p}'_e|}{m_e} \cos \theta_e \right)^2 \right] \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where we will use M_{eff}^6 given in Eq. (A11) of Appendix A and $\sum_s u_{e_{\text{atom}}}(p) \bar{u}_{e_{\text{atom}}}(p) \simeq \not{p} + m_e$. Here, however, the three momentum conservation is treated accurately. On the other hand, most people use the two body scattering and the uncertainty here is the probability in finding a prospective bound electron in the atom and the subsequent integration over the probability function of the electron cloud in the atom, which will be very complicated. This calculation is not found in the literature.

B. Magnetic moment contribution $|T|_{\text{MM}}^2$

If neutrinos have magnetic moment, we consider the second term in Eq. (6). In this case, summing over the spins, viz. Eq. (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|T|_{\text{MM}}^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{e^2 f_M}{2MM_{\text{eff}}^3} \right)^2 \frac{q_\mu q_\alpha}{q^4} \text{Tr} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{\not{k}'_i}{2} \right) \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \left(\frac{\not{k}'_f}{2} \right) \cdot \text{Tr} \gamma_\nu (\not{p}'_i + m_e) \gamma_\beta (\not{p}'_f + m_e) \cdot \text{Tr} (\not{P}' + M_A) (\not{P} + M_A) \\
&= \frac{1}{64} \left(\frac{e^2 f_M}{2MM_{\text{eff}}^3} \right)^2 \frac{1}{q^4} \text{Tr} (q\gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \not{q}) \not{k}'_i (q\gamma^\alpha - \gamma^\alpha \not{q}) \not{k}'_f \cdot \text{Tr} \gamma_\nu (\not{p}'_i + m_e) \gamma_\beta (\not{p}'_f + m_e) \cdot (8M_A^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{64} \left(\frac{e^2 f_M}{2MM_{\text{eff}}^3} \right)^2 \frac{1}{q^4} Q^{\nu x} L_{\nu x} \cdot (8M_A^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where we neglected the neutrino mass. We obtain³

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^{\nu x} &\equiv \text{Tr} (q\gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \not{q}) (\not{k}'_i + m_\nu) (q\gamma^\alpha - \gamma^\alpha \not{q}) (\not{k}'_f + m_\delta) \\
&= 4g^{\nu x} (2q \cdot k_i q \cdot k_f) + 4k_i \cdot k_f (q^\nu q^x - q^2 g^{\nu x}) + 4q^2 (k_i^\nu k_f^x + k_i^x k_f^\nu) \\
&\quad - 4q^\nu (k_f^x q \cdot k_i + k_i^x q \cdot k_f) - 4q^x k_f^\nu q \cdot k_i + 4q^x k_i^\nu q \cdot k_f, \\
L_{\nu x} &\equiv 4(p_\nu^i p_x^f + p_\nu^f p_x^i - p^i \cdot p^f g_{\nu x} + m_e^2 g_{\nu x}),
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

³ The trace of six gamma matrices, $(1/4)\text{Tr} \gamma^\rho \not{a} \not{b} \gamma^\sigma \not{c} \not{d} = g^{\rho\sigma} (a \cdot b c \cdot d - a \cdot c b \cdot d + a \cdot d b \cdot c) + a \cdot c (b^\rho d^\sigma + b^\sigma d^\rho) + b \cdot d (a^\rho c^\sigma + a^\sigma c^\rho) - a \cdot d (b^\rho c^\sigma + b^\sigma c^\rho) - b \cdot c (a^\rho d^\sigma + a^\sigma d^\rho) + a \cdot b (-c^\rho d^\sigma + c^\sigma d^\rho) + c \cdot d (a^\rho b^\sigma - a^\sigma b^\rho)$, is used.

from which we obtain, neglecting $O(m_e^2/E_\nu^2)$, using Eq. (12),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{q^4} Q^{\nu x} L_{\nu x} &= \frac{1}{q^4} \left(32k_i \cdot k_f q \cdot p_i q \cdot p_f + 16q^2 (-k_i \cdot k_f p_i \cdot p_f + 2k_i \cdot p_i k_f \cdot p_f + 2k_i \cdot p_f k_f \cdot p_i) \right. \\
&\quad - 32q \cdot k_i (q \cdot p_i k_f \cdot p_f + q \cdot p_f k_f \cdot p_i) - 32q \cdot k_f (q \cdot p_i k_i \cdot p_f + q \cdot p_f k_i \cdot p_i) \\
&\quad \left. + 16m_e^2 (4q \cdot k_i q \cdot k_f - q^2 k_i \cdot k_f) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{q^4} \left(-4q^6 + 16q^2 (k_i \cdot p_i + k_f \cdot p_i) (k_i \cdot p_f + k_f \cdot p_f) \right) \\
&= 4Q^2 - \frac{16}{Q^2} (k_i \cdot p_i + k_f \cdot p_f) (k_i \cdot p_f + k_f \cdot p_i) = 8E_\nu E'_\nu (1 - \cos \theta) - \frac{8m_e^2}{(1 - \cos \theta)}
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where $q^2 = -Q^2$, and $|T|_{\text{MM}}^2$ is

$$|T|_{\text{MM}}^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{2} \left(\frac{f_{\alpha\beta}}{m_e M_{\text{eff}}^3} \right)^2 \alpha_{\text{em}}^2 \left(E_\nu E'_\nu (1 - \cos \theta) - \frac{m_e^2}{(1 - \cos \theta)} \right) (8M_A^2). \tag{21}$$

Using Eq. (11), we obtain the cross section for the incident neutrino ν_α going to ν_β with a MM (including transition MM also), $f_{\alpha\beta}$ (in units of the electron Bohr magneton),⁴

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\sigma}{dT_e d\cos\theta} &= \frac{3Z^3 \alpha_{\text{em}}^3 m_e^3 E'_\nu |\mathbf{p}'_e|}{2^{10} \pi^4 E_\nu (m_e + T_e)} 4\pi^2 \left(\frac{f_{\alpha\beta}}{m_e M_{\text{eff}}^3} \right)^2 \alpha_{\text{em}}^2 \left(E_\nu E'_\nu (1 - \cos \theta) - \frac{m_e^2}{(1 - \cos \theta)} \right) \\
&= \frac{3Z^3 \alpha_{\text{em}}^5 m_e^3}{2^8 \pi^2 M_{\text{eff}}^6} \frac{\sqrt{2T_e/m_e}}{(1 + T_e/m_e)^{5/2}} \left(1 - \frac{\delta_B}{m_e} - \frac{T_e}{m_e} \right)^2 \left((1 - \cos \theta) - \frac{m_e^2}{E_\nu E'_\nu (1 - \cos \theta)} \right) (f_{\alpha\beta})^2 \\
&= 0.883 \times 10^{-5} \text{ MeV}^{-3} \frac{\sqrt{2T_e/m_e}}{(1 + T_e/m_e)^{5/2}} \left(1 - \frac{\delta_B}{m_e} - \frac{T_e}{m_e} \right)^2 \left((1 - \cos \theta) - \frac{m_e^2}{E_\nu E'_\nu (1 - \cos \theta)} \right) (f_{\alpha\beta})^2
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

leading to

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dT_e} = 0.883 \times 10^{-5} \text{ MeV}^{-3} \frac{\sqrt{2T_e/m_e}}{(1 + T_e/m_e)^{5/2}} \left(1 - \frac{\delta_B}{m_e} - \frac{T_e}{m_e} \right)^2 \left(2 + \frac{2m_e^2}{E_\nu E'_\nu} \ln \frac{1}{\delta_{\text{inf}}} \right) (f_{\alpha\beta})^2 \tag{23}$$

where θ_{min} is near 0 is used, *i.e.* $\cos \theta|_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{2m_e T_e}}{\langle E'_\nu \rangle}$, which is integrated over T_e and the energy flux of solar pp neutrinos [18] to give

$$\text{For MM: } \frac{d\sigma}{dT_e} \simeq 1.071 \times 10^{-5} \text{ MeV}^{-3} (2 - 8.288) f_{\alpha\beta}^2. \tag{24}$$

The infrared divergence in the last term of Eq. (22) is cured by the soft photon emission processes [27], and Eq. (24) is used for $T_e \geq 2.5 \text{ keV}$. Equation (24) gives a number $-6.73 \times 10^{-5} \text{ MeV}^{-3} f_{\alpha\beta}^2$. Comparing with the almost flat central region ($T_e = 15 - 20 \text{ keV}$) of Fig. 1 (b), we obtain $|f_{\alpha\beta}| \leq 0.86 \times 10^{-7}$ which is much larger than that 2.8×10^{-11} obtained by the Borexino collaboration [13]. The huge difference between our estimation and previous ones seems to be from the over estimation of cross sections in the previous analyses, using the inverse T_e rule and the coherent scattering.

C. Contributions from vector and axial-vector charges $|T|_Q^2$

The electromagnetic F_1^ν form factor of a neutrino is identified from [11]

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_\mu(q) &= f_Q(q^2) \gamma_\mu - f_M(q^2) i\sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu + f_E(q^2) \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu \gamma_5 + f_A(q^2) (q^2 \gamma_\mu - q_\mu \not{q}) \\
F_1^\nu(q^2) &= f_Q(q^2) = F_1^\nu(0) + q^2 \frac{dF_1^\nu(q^2)}{dq^2} \Big|_{q^2=0} + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

⁴ We use the incoherent cross sector for $\Delta p \gg 2.5 \text{ eV}$.

The charge radius is the q^2 dependent part,

$$\tilde{r}^2 \equiv \langle r^2 \rangle = 6 \frac{dF_1^\nu(q^2)}{dq^2} \Big|_{q^2=0}. \quad (26)$$

The elastic cross section includes the interference of the SM weak interaction and the vector and axial vector couplings of neutrinos with

$$G_V = \frac{1}{2} + 2 \sin^2 \theta_W + e^2 \left(\frac{\tilde{r}^2/6}{\sqrt{2}G_F} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}G_F Q^2} \right), \text{ and } g_A = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (27)$$

where $Q^2 = -q^2 > 0$ for the t -channel scattering. However, we consider the 3-body scattering to take into account the momentum of the recoiling atom. The parameter describing the atomic electromagnetic effects is described in Appendix A in terms of B and C . Inserting (17) into (11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{dT_e} &= \frac{3Z^3 \alpha_{\text{em}}^3 G_F^2 m_e^4}{16\pi^4} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2m_e T_e} E_\nu (E_\nu - \delta_B - T_e)}{M_{\text{eff}}^6 (1 + T_e/m_e)} \approx 1.22 \times 10^{-23} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2m_e T_e} E_\nu (E_\nu - \delta_B - T_e)}{(1 + T_e/m_e)} \cdot \frac{1}{M_{\text{eff}}^6} \\ &= 6.33 \times 10^{-6} \text{ MeV}^{-3} \cdot \frac{\left[\frac{E_\nu}{m_e} \left(\frac{E_\nu}{m_e} - \frac{\delta_B + T_e}{m_e} \right) \right]}{\left(1 + \frac{T_e}{m_e} \right)^4} \sqrt{\frac{2T_e}{m_e}} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

with $B \simeq 1.144 \times 10^4$, where the following $|T|_Q^2$ for the SM value [23] is

$$|T|_Q^2 = \frac{8G_F^2 m_e^2}{M_{\text{eff}}^2} \{ (G_V + g_A)^2 E_\nu^2 + (G_V - g_A)^2 E_\nu E'_\nu + m_e E'_e (g_A^2 - G_V^2) \}, \quad (29)$$

and we used $1/M_{\text{eff}}^6$ given in Eq. (A11).

D. Millicharge




FIG. 2: The neutrino coupling to photon through the kinetic mixing with ex-photon γ_{ex} .

There can be another tiny EM property of neutrinos if there exist another (almost) massless U(1) gauge boson. If neutrinos couple to this ex-photon, γ_{ex} , neutrinos can carry millicharges via the kinetic mixing [20], for which the Feynman diagram is shown in Fig. 2. Since the SM neutrino is in a SM doublet, L-handed electron e_L must carry the same millicharge under the ex-photon gauge group. Then, the magnitude of the ν_μ coupling to the ex-photon is limited from the $\nu_\mu + e$ scattering data (through neutral current coupling by Z_μ),

$$(\delta_{\text{ex}} e)_{\text{from } \nu \text{ vertex}} \frac{1}{q^2} (\delta_{\text{ex}} e)_{\text{from } e \text{ vertex}} \leq \frac{1.167 \times 10^{-5}}{\sqrt{2} \text{ GeV}^2} \varepsilon(e_L) = 0.413 \times 10^{-5} / \text{ GeV}^2 \quad (30)$$

where $\varepsilon(e_L) (= \varepsilon(\nu_L))$ is the neutral current coupling of e_L to the Z boson in the SM [22], *i.e.* 0.5. Since there is no way to pinpoint δ_{ex} , we set it as 1, transferring its uncertainty to the kinetic mixing value $\varepsilon = F_1^\nu(0)$.




FIG. 3: The green bars are the $1\sigma_{\text{stat}}$ -level backgrounds. The systematic error is a factor 3 smaller than the statistical error [28].

IV. FITTING

The contributions from the MM and CR of neutrinos add incoherently. For the MM contribution, we obtained already Eq. (23). For the CR contribution, we should consider the interference with the weak amplitude in the SM, which is given in Eq. (28). From Fig. 1 (b), the cross section is less than $5 \times 10^{-19} \text{ MeV}^{-3}$ for $T_e > 17 \text{ keV}$. For $\sin^2 \theta_W \simeq 0.231$ [22, 24], Eq. (28) gives, with $\langle E \rangle = 0.2668$ and $\langle E^2 \rangle = 0.0788 \text{ MeV}^2$ of solar pp neutrinos, at $\tilde{r}^2 = \varepsilon = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For CR : } & \simeq 6.33 \times 10^{-6} \text{ MeV}^{-3} \cdot \left\{ (0.3018 - 1.02 T_{e,\text{MeV}}) [1.462 + \Gamma]^2 \right. \\ & \left. + (0.3018 - 1.02 T_{e,\text{MeV}}) [0.462 + \Gamma]^2 \right\} \frac{2.768 T_{e,\text{MeV}}^{1/2}}{(1 + 1.957 T_{e,\text{MeV}})^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where $T_{e,\text{MeV}} = T_e / \text{MeV}$, which becomes at $T_e = 17 \text{ keV}$

$$0.5703 \times 10^{-6} \text{ MeV}^{-3} \cdot \left\{ [1.462 + \Gamma]^2 + [0.462 + \Gamma]^2 \right\} \rightarrow 1.341 \times 10^{-6} \text{ MeV}^{-3} \text{ for } \Gamma = 0. \quad (32)$$

The ratio of $5 \times 10^{-19} \text{ MeV}^{-3}$ and (32) is $\approx 0.790 \times 10^{-13}$. For solar neutrino energies of order 400 keV, Q^2 given in (12) is of order $(0.3 - 0.4 \text{ MeV})^2$. Taking $\langle Q^2 \rangle \simeq 0.1 \text{ MeV}^2$, the charge radius limit from Eq. (26) is $2.18 \times 10^{-6} \text{ MeV}^{-1}$, or $4.30 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm}$. Note that Γ in Eq. (31) is

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= 4\pi\alpha_{\text{em}} \left(\frac{\tilde{r}^2/6}{\sqrt{2}G_F} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}G_F Q^2} \right) = 0.9265 \times 10^9 (\tilde{r}^2 \text{ MeV}^2 - 6\varepsilon_{\text{MeV}}) \\ &= 2.387 \times 10^{30} (\tilde{r}^2 \text{ cm}^{-2} - 2.329 \times 10^{-21} \varepsilon_{\text{MeV}}), \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

with $\varepsilon_{\text{MeV}} = \varepsilon / Q_{\text{MeV}}^2$ (where Q_{MeV} is Q in units of MeV).

If we allow millicharges, the 1σ error (taken as the ± 2 events out of 76 in Fig. 1 (a)) is shown as the yellow band in the $\tilde{r}^2 - \varepsilon$ plane of Fig. 4. There already exist bounds on the millicharges of light dark matter from the stellar evolution data, viz. Fig. 12 of [29] and Fig. 1 of [30], presenting $\varepsilon < 10^{-12}$ in the dark matter mass range less than 10^4 eV , which however cannot be used for our SM neutrinos. The difference is that we studied in this paper the (almost) massless SM neutrinos which cannot be dark matter in the Universe and we considered the EM properties of neutrinos only from solar neutrinos.

V. CONCLUSION

We obtained the bounds on the electromagnetic properties of neutrinos implied by the XENON1T data: a bound on the magnetic moment $|f_{\alpha\beta}| \leq 0.86 \times 10^{-7}$ (times the electron Bohr magneton), the charge radius $|\tilde{r}| < 4.30 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm}$,




FIG. 4: The bounds on the charge radius (vertical bar) at $\varepsilon = 0$ and the 1σ yellow band in the charge radius vs. millicharge plane, where $\varepsilon_{\text{MeV}} = \varepsilon/Q_{\text{MeV}}^2$ (where Q_{MeV} is Q in units of MeV) depends on the effective momentum transfer in the process.

and the millicharge bound (Fig. 4) if there exists a massless extra photon.

Appendix A: Estimate of probability amplitude

If an electron is kicked out from an atomic orbit, an electron in the outer orbit will fill that vacancy immediately. The transition is occurring electromagnetically via the $E1$ or $M1$ transition. The $E1$ transition rate between the eigenstates $|k\rangle$ and $|n\rangle$ is presented a long time ago [31, 32]

$$\frac{(2\pi)^2 \alpha_{\text{em}} \omega_{kn}^3}{3} |\langle k|\mathbf{r}|n\rangle|^2, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where \mathbf{r} is the coordinate of the EM field. We can estimate $\langle k|\mathbf{r}|n\rangle$ for the ground state ($k = n = 1$) as a_B/Z , with $a_B = 0.529 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm} = 268.1 \text{ MeV}^{-1}$, and for the excited state n as na_B/Z . We use $a_Z = 14.48 \text{ keV}^{-1}$ for $Z = 54$. Then, the rest of the matrix element takes into account only the spherical harmonics and gives selection rules between angular momentum eigenfunctions. Now we consider kicking out the electron outside the atom and the selection rule always permits it. So, we will consider $\omega_{nn'} = \omega_{n\infty} = |E_n/\hbar|$. Thus, the transition rate to kick out an electron to outside is [32],

$$\frac{4\alpha_{\text{em}} k^3}{3} \frac{1}{2j_i + 1} |\langle n_f j_f || D || n_i j_i \rangle|^2 \quad (\text{A2})$$

Considering the Hydrogenic radial wave function $C_n r^{n-1} e^{-r/na}$ with $C_n = (2/n)^{2n+1} / \sqrt{4\pi a_Z^3}$ with $a_Z = a_B/Z$, we can estimate the last factor, between the plane wave and the bound state $|n\rangle$, $|2^{2n}(n+1)! a_Z^4 / n^{n-1} \sqrt{\pi a_Z^3 V}|^2$. Thus, the transition rate to kick out an electron to outside by electromagnetic interactions in the atom is

$$\frac{4\alpha_{\text{em}} k^3}{3} \frac{a_Z^5}{\pi V} \left(\frac{2^{2n+1} [(n+1)!]}{n^{n-1}} \right)^2. \quad (\text{A3})$$

Let us introduce a parameter M_{eff} reproducing Eq. (A3), using the following effective interaction

$$\frac{1}{M_{\text{eff}}^2} \bar{e} \gamma^\mu e \bar{A} \gamma_\mu A, \quad (\text{A4})$$

and we use particle physicists' $2 \rightarrow n$ transition rate,

$$Rate = (2\pi)^{4-3n} \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}'_1 \cdots d^3\mathbf{p}'_n}{2E_1 2E_2 2E'_1 2E'_2 \cdots 2E'_n} \frac{\delta^4(p_1 + p_2 - p'_1 \cdots - p'_n)}{V} |\langle p'_1 \cdots p'_n | T | p_1 p_2 \rangle|^2. \quad (\text{A5})$$

The two-body scattering rate from Eq. (A5), using (A4) and averaging over a flux of incoming particles on a rest target, is

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\pi)^{-2} \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}'_e}{2^4 m_e E'_e M_A^2} \frac{\delta(\sum_i E_i - \sum_f E_f)}{V} \frac{2^6}{M_{\text{eff}}^4} m_e E'_e M_A^2 \\ &= \frac{4|\mathbf{p}'_e|^2 d|\mathbf{p}'_e| \delta(E'_\nu + m_e + \frac{|\mathbf{p}'_e|^2}{2m_e} + M_A - E_\nu - m_e + \delta_B - M_A)}{\pi V} \frac{1}{M_{\text{eff}}^4} = 4\pi^{-1} \frac{m_e |\mathbf{p}'_e|}{M_{\text{eff}}^4 V} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

where we used

$$\begin{aligned} & 16(p_e^\mu p_e'^\nu - p_e' \cdot p_e g^{\mu\nu} + p_e^\nu p_e'^\mu + m_e^2 g^{\mu\nu})(P_A^\mu P_{A\nu}' - P_A' \cdot P_A g_{\mu\nu} + P_A^\nu P_{A\mu}' + M_A^2 g_{\mu\nu}) = \\ & 16(2p_e \cdot P_A p_e' \cdot P_A' - 2p_e' \cdot p_e P_A' \cdot P_A + 2p_e \cdot P_A' p_e' \cdot P_A + 2m_e^2 P_A \cdot P_A' \\ & \quad - (P_A \cdot P_A')(-2p_e \cdot p_e' + 4m_e^2) + M_A^2(-2p_e \cdot p_e' + 4m_e^2)) \\ & \rightarrow \frac{32}{M_{\text{eff}}^4} (p_e \cdot P_A p_e' \cdot P_A' + p_e \cdot P_A' p_e' \cdot P_A - M_A^2 p_e \cdot p_e' + m_e^2 M_A^2) \\ & \simeq \frac{32}{M_{\text{eff}}^2} (3m_e^2 M_A^2 - m_e E'_e M_A^2) \simeq \frac{2^6}{M_{\text{eff}}^4} m_e E'_e M_A^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

This can be compared to (A3), and we obtain

$$\frac{1}{M_{\text{eff}}^2} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}}{3}} \frac{a_Z^{5/2}}{\sqrt{m_e(m_e + T_e)}} k_n^{3/2} \left(\frac{2^{2n+1} [(n+1)!]}{n^{n-1}} \right), \quad (\text{A8})$$

where we use k_n for the threshold value, $k_n \simeq |E_n(Xe)|/\hbar$. In practice, let us use Eq. (A3) summing over the electrons from each orbit of the Xenon atom with k_n^3 ,

$$\begin{aligned} A &\equiv \sum_{n=1}^5 \frac{1}{n^3} f(n) \left(\frac{2^{2n+1} [(n+1)!]}{n^{n-1}} \right)^2 = 7.028 \times 10^5, \\ B &\equiv \sum_{n=1}^5 \frac{1}{n^6} f(n) \left(\frac{2^{2n+1} [(n+1)!]}{n^{n-1}} \right)^2 \simeq 1.144 \times 10^4, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A9})$$

where $f(n) = 2, 8, 18, 18, 8$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots, 5$, respectively. This takes into account the number of electrons in the Xenon atom Z , corresponding to the incoherent process. B appears when we takes into account V in the denominator, which is the case in the 3-body scattering. Thus, we estimate, for the Xenon atom of $Z = 54$ and $M_A \simeq 7.028 \times 10^5$ MeV,

$$\frac{1}{M_{\text{eff}}^2} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}}{3}} \frac{\sqrt{A}}{Z^{5/2} m_e^2 \sqrt{1 + T_e/m_e}} = 0.150(1 + T_e/m_e)^{-1/2} \text{ MeV}^{-2}. \quad (\text{A10})$$

If we use (A10), then

$$\frac{1}{M_{\text{eff}}^6} = 5.69 \times 10^4 \frac{\text{keV}^{-15/2}}{[m_e(m_e + T_e)]^{3/2}} \sum_n k_n^{9/2} \left(\frac{2^{2n+1} [(n+1)!]}{n^{n-1}} \right)^3 \equiv 2.27 \times 10^5 \frac{\text{MeV}^{-3}}{[m_e(m_e + T_e)]^{3/2}} C \quad (\text{A11})$$

where C becomes⁵

$$C \simeq 2.287 \times 10^{12}. \quad (\text{A12})$$

⁵ If we use $Z_{\text{eff}}(n)$, including electron interactions, we obtain $C = 5.136 \times 10^{12}$, and $1/M_{\text{eff}}^6 = 1.17 \times 10^{18} [m_e(m_e + T_e)]^{-3/2} \text{ MeV}^{-3}$.

The $3 \rightarrow n$ transition rate is

$$Rate = (2\pi)^{4-3n} \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}'_1 \cdots d^3\mathbf{p}'_n}{2E_1 2E_2 2E_3 2E'_1 2E'_2 \cdots 2E'_n} \frac{\delta^4(p_1 + p_2 + p_3 - p'_1 \cdots - p'_n)}{V^2} |\langle p'_1 \cdots p'_n | T | p_1 p_2 p_3 \rangle|^2 \quad (\text{A13})$$

from which we calculate the three body scattering cross section. A flux of incoming particles 1 (neutrino in our case) scatter on two particles 2 (electron in our case) and 3 (atom in our case). Thus, the cross section consists of two parts, one with a flux factor $1/|\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2|$ and the other $1/|\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_3|$. Taking $\mathbf{v}_3 = 0$, the neutrino-electron scattering is

$$d\sigma = \frac{(2\pi)^{4-9}}{|\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2|} \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}'_1 d^3\mathbf{p}'_2 d^3\mathbf{p}'_3}{2E_1 2E_2 2E_3 2E'_1 2E'_2 2E'_3} \frac{\delta^4(\sum p_i - \sum p'_f)}{V} |\langle p'_1 p'_2 p'_3 | T | p_1 p_2 p_3 \rangle|^2 \quad (\text{A14})$$

where we can take V as the atomic volume to which the flux of neutrinos sweep. For $1/V$, the sum B in Eq. (A9) will be used below. The T -matrix squared in Eq. (A14) is summed over the spins

$$\frac{1}{(2s_e + 1)(2s_\nu + 1)} \sum_{s_\nu, s_e} \sum_{s'_\nu, s'_e} |\text{Amp}|^2 = (|T|_Q^2). \quad (\text{A15})$$

If we consider the MM interaction also, then we will have a factor $(|T|_{\text{MM}}^2 + |T|_Q^2)$ instead of $(|T|_Q^2)$. For the volume V , we use the Xenon volume for each n separately. The n -th shell volume we used $V = (4\pi/3)(naZ)^3$.

Acknowledgments

We thank Kyungwhan Ahn, Elena Aprile, Ki-Young Choi, Paul Frampton, Wonho Jhe, Junu Jeong, Sin-Kyu Kang, Sejin Kim, and Myungbo Shim for useful discussions. J.E.K. thanks the APCTP, for the hospitality extended to him during his visit, where this work has been started. J.E.K. is supported in part by the National Research Foundation (NRF) grant NRF-2018R1A2A3074631, and S.Y. is supported in part by the Institute for Basic Science (IBS-R017-D1-2020-a00/IBS-R017-Y1-2020- 345 a00).

-
- [1] W. Pauli, Letter to the Tübingen meeting of the German Physical Society, December 4, 1930.
- [2] F. Reines and C. L. Cowan, *Neutrino magnetic moment upper limit*, Phys. Rev. **107**, 528 (1957) [doi:10.1103/PhysRev.107.528].
- [3] J. Bernstein and T. D. Lee, *Electromagnetic form factor of the neutrinos*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **11**, 512 (1963) [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.11.512].
- [4] R. B. Clark and R. D. Pedigo, *Forward-peaked $\nu_e e$ scattering and the solar-neutrino problem*, Phys. Rev. D **8**, 2261 (1973) [doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.8.2261].
- [5] J. E. Kim, V. S. Mathur, and S. Okubo, *Electromagnetic properties of the neutrino from neutral-current experiments*, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 3050 (1974) [doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.9.3050].
- [6] J. E. Kim, *Effects of the transition magnetic moment of the neutrino*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **41**, 360 (1978) [doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.41.360].
- [7] L. B. Okun, M. B. Voloshin, and M. Y. Vysotsky, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **44** (1986) 440, Yad. Fiz. **44** (1986) 677–680 [Sov. Phys. JETP **64** (1986) 446–452], and Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **91** (1986) 754–765.
- [8] R. Barbieri, R. N. Mohapatra and T. Yanagida, *The magnetic moment of the neutrino and its implications for neutrino signal from SN1987a*, Phys. Lett. B **213**, 69 (1988) [doi: 10.1016/0370-2693(88)91049-0].
- [9] J. E. Kim, *Neutrino magnetic moment*, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 3000 (1976) [doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.14.3000].
- [10] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, *Neutrino oscillation in matter induced by charged scalar particles*, Phys. Lett. B **206**, 93 (1988) [doi: 10.1016/0370-2693(88)91268-3].
- [11] See, for example, C. Giunti and A. Studenikin, *Neutrino electromagnetic interactions: A window to new physics*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **87**, 531 (2015) [e-Print: 1403.6344 [hep-ph]].
- [12] For a review, see, J. E. Kim, *History of neutrino magnetic moment*, e-Print: 1911.06883 [hep-ph].
- [13] Borexino Collaboration (M. Agostini *et al.*), *Limiting neutrino magnetic moments with Borexino Phase-II Solar neutrino data*, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 091103 (2017) [e-Print: 1707.09355 [hep-ex]].
- [14] TEXONO Collaboration (M. Deniz *et al.*), *Measurement of $\bar{\nu}_e$ -electron scattering cross-section with a CsI(Tl) scintillating crystal array at the Kuo-Sheng nuclear power reactor*, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 072001 (2010) [e-Print: 0911.1597 [hep-ex]].
- [15] K. Fujikawa and R. Shrock, *The magnetic moment of a massive neutrino and neutrino spin rotation*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 963 (1980) [doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.45.963].
- [16] J. Baumann, R. Gaehler, J. Kalus, and W. Mampe, *Experimental limit for the charge of the free neutron*, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 3107 (1988) [doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.37.3107].

- [17] E. Aprile *et al.* (XENON Collaboration), *Excess electronic recoil events in XENON1T*, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 072004 (2020) [e-print:2006.097121 [hep-ex]].
- [18] J. N. Bahcall and C. Peña-Garay, *Solar models and solar neutrino oscillations*, New J. Phys. **6**, 63 (2004).
- [19] See, for example, P. Vogel and J. Engel, *Neutrino electromagnetic form factors*, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 3378 (1989) [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.39.3378].
- [20] B. Holdom, *Two $U(1)$ s and ε charge shift*, Phys. Lett. B **166**, 196 (1986).
- [21] For more references, see, J.-H. Huh, J. E. Kim, J.-C. Park, and S. C. Park, *Galactic 511 keV line from MeV milli-charged dark matter*, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 123503 (2007) [eprint: 0711.3528 [astro-ph]].
- [22] J. E. Kim, P. Langacker, M. Levine, and H. H. Williams, *A theoretical and experimental review of the weak neutral current: A determination of its structure and limits on deviations from the minimal $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ electroweak theory*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **53**, 211 (1981) [doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.53.211].
- [23] G. 't Hooft, *Prediction for neutrino-electron cross-sections in Weinberg's model of weak interactions*, Phys. Lett. B **37**, 195 (1971) [doi:10.1016/0370-2693(71)90050-5].
- [24] A. Bodek, *Measurement of the effective weak mixing angle $\sin^2 \theta_{eff}^{lept}$ from the forward-backward asymmetry of Drell-Yan events at CMS*, [eprint:1808.03170 [hep-ex]]. It has not changed much from the value of 1980's [22].
- [25] W. S. Cho, J.-H. Huh, I.-W. Kim, J. E. Kim, and B. Kyae, *Constraining WIMP magnetic moment from CDMS II experiment*, Phys. Lett. B **687**, 6 (2010) and **694**, 496 (E) (2011) [e-Print: 1001.0579 [hep-ph]].
- [26] J. D. Vergados, *Searching for light WIMPs via their interaction with electrons*, J. Phys. G **47**, 095007 (2020) [eprint:1911.12956 [hep-ph]].
- [27] F. Bloch and A. Nordsieck, *Note on the Radiation Field of the Electron*, Phys. Rev. **52**, 54 (1937) [doi:10.1103/PhysRev.52.54].
- [28] E. Aprile, a private communication.
- [29] W. L. Xu, C. Dvorkin, and A. Chael, *Probing sub-GeV dark matter-baryon scattering with cosmological observables*, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 103530 (2018) [eprint: 1802.06788 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [30] E. D. Kovetz, V. Poulin, V. Gluscevic, K. K. Boddy, R. Barkana, and M. Kamionkowski, *Tighter limits on dark matter explanations of the anomalous EDGES 21cm signal*, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 103529 (2018) [eprint: 1807.11482 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [31] L. I. Schiff, *Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1955) p.403, Eq. (45.22).
- [32] K. Gottfried and T.-M. Yan, *Quantum Mechanics: Fundamentals* (Springer, Heidelberg, Germany, 2003), p.466.
- [33] E. Vitagliano, J. Redondo, and G. Raffelt, *Solar neutrino flux at keV energies*, JCAP **12**, 010 (2017) [e-print: 1708.02248 [hep-ph]].