

Entanglement degradation in multi-event horizon spacetimes

Sourav Bhattacharya* and Nitin Joshi†

*Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Ropar,
Rupnagar, Punjab 140 001, India*

(Dated: November 29, 2021)

We investigate the degradation of quantum entanglement in the Schwarzschild-de Sitter black hole spacetime, by studying the mutual information and the logarithmic negativity for maximally entangled, bipartite initial states for massless minimal scalar fields. This spacetime is endowed with a black hole as well as a cosmological event horizon, giving rise to particle creation at two different temperatures. We consider two independent descriptions of thermodynamics and particle creation in this background. The first involves thermal equilibrium of an observer with the individual Hawking temperature of either of the horizons. We show that as of the asymptotically flat/anti-de Sitter black holes, the entanglement or correlation degrades here with increasing Hawking temperature. The second treats both the horizons combinedly to define a total entropy and an effective equilibrium temperature. We present a field theoretic derivation of this effective temperature and argue that unlike the usual cases, the particle creation here is not occurring in causally disconnected spacetime wedges but in a single region. Using these states, we then show that in this scenario the entanglement never degrades but increases with increasing black hole temperature and holds true no matter how hot the black hole becomes or how small the cosmological constant is. We argue that this phenomenon can have no analogue in the asymptotically flat/anti-de Sitter black hole spacetimes.

Keywords : de Sitter black holes, thermal states, effective equilibrium temperature, entanglement degradation

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of quantum entanglement between created particle pairs in relativistic backgrounds has received considerable attention in recent years. Such investigations chiefly involve non-extremal black holes, the Rindler or cosmological spacetimes and even the Schwinger pair creation mechanism, e.g. [1]-[10] and references therein. In a non-extremal black hole or Rindler spacetimes, particle creation occurs in causally disconnected spacetime wedges. Since the created particles are thermal, the associated randomness destroys the entanglement or quantum correlation between initial states as the black hole evaporates and gets hotter, as was first shown in [1] and subsequently in e.g. [2]-[8].

To the best of our knowledge, all such previous studies were done in asymptotically flat spacetimes. However, keeping in mind the observed accelerated expansion of our current universe, it is physically important to ask : how does such degradation get affected in the presence of a positive cosmological constant, Λ ? Such spacetimes can also model primordial black holes formed in the early inflationary universe, e.g. [11]. The chief qualitative difference of these black holes with that of $\Lambda \leq 0$, is the existence of an additional event horizon for the former, called the cosmological event horizon, serving as an outer causal boundary of our universe. These two-event horizon spacetimes admit two-temperature thermodynamics and hence are qualitatively much different compared to the single horizon $\Lambda \leq 0$ cases, e.g. [11]-[33]. With this motivation, we wish to investigate in this letter the role of this two temperature particle creation in the entanglement degradation.

In the next section we outline very briefly the causal structure of the Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime, a static and spherically symmetric black hole located in the de Sitter universe. In Sec. 3, we discuss the entanglement degradation in the thermodynamical setup proposed in [11], where an observer can be in thermal equilibrium with either of the horizons and show that the results qualitatively resemble that of the single horizon spacetimes. We use the mutual information and logarithmic negativity for a maximally entangled, bipartite initial state corresponding to massless minimal scalar fields as appropriate measures. In Sec. 4, we adopt the so called total entropy-effective equilibrium temperature description to treat both the horizons combinedly, e.g. [23]-[28]. We first present a field theoretic derivation of the effective temperature and show that unlike the previous cases, the entangled pair creation in this scenario *does not* occur in causally disconnected wedges in the extended spacetime. Most importantly, we

*Electronic address: sbhatta@iitrpr.ac.in

†Electronic address: 2018phz0014@iitrpr.ac.in

demonstrate that in this description the entanglement actually *increases* with the increase in the black hole Hawking temperature, no matter how hot the black hole becomes or how small the cosmological constant is. We emphasise that this phenomenon is purely an outcome of the two-horizon geometry and hence has no $\Lambda \leq 0$ analogue. We shall set $c = \hbar = k_B = G = 1$ throughout.

II. THE BASIC SETUP

The Schwarzschild-de Sitter (SdS) spacetime,

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} \right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} \right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \quad (1)$$

admits three event or Killing horizons for $0 < 3M\sqrt{\Lambda} < 1$, e.g. [11, 15],

$$r_H = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} \cos \frac{\pi + \cos^{-1}(3M\sqrt{\Lambda})}{3}, \quad r_C = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} \cos \frac{\pi - \cos^{-1}(3M\sqrt{\Lambda})}{3}, \quad r_U = -(r_H + r_C) \quad (2)$$

$r_H < r_C$ are respectively the black hole and the cosmological event horizon (BEH and CEH), whereas $r_U < 0$ is unphysical. As $3M\sqrt{\Lambda} \rightarrow 1$ we have $r_H \rightarrow r_C$, known as the Nariai limit whereas for $3M\sqrt{\Lambda} > 1$, the spacetime is naked singular. Thus unlike the $\Lambda \leq 0$ spacetimes, a black hole cannot be arbitrarily massive here, for a given Λ .

The surface gravities of BEH and CEH are respectively given by,

$$\kappa_H = \frac{\Lambda(2r_H + r_C)(r_C - r_H)}{6r_H}, \quad -\kappa_C = \frac{\Lambda(2r_C + r_H)(r_H - r_C)}{6r_C} \quad (3)$$

Note that due to the repulsive effect near the CEH, its surface gravity is negative.

Since $r = r_H, r_C$ are two coordinate singularities, we need two Kruskal-like coordinates in order to extend the spacetime beyond them,

$$ds^2 = -\frac{2M}{r} \left| 1 - \frac{r}{r_C} \right|^{1+\frac{\kappa_H}{\kappa_C}} \left(1 + \frac{r}{r_H + r_C} \right)^{1+\frac{\kappa_C}{\kappa_U}} d\bar{u}_H d\bar{v}_H + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \quad (4)$$

and

$$ds^2 = -\frac{2M}{r} \left| \frac{r}{r_H} - 1 \right|^{1+\frac{\kappa_C}{\kappa_H}} \left(1 + \frac{r}{r_H + r_C} \right)^{1+\frac{\kappa_C}{\kappa_U}} d\bar{u}_C d\bar{v}_C + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \quad (5)$$

where,

$$\bar{u}_H = -\frac{1}{\kappa_H} e^{-\kappa_H u}, \quad \bar{v}_H = \frac{1}{\kappa_H} e^{\kappa_H v} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{u}_C = \frac{1}{\kappa_C} e^{\kappa_C u}, \quad \bar{v}_C = -\frac{1}{\kappa_C} e^{-\kappa_C v} \quad (6)$$

are the Kruskal null coordinates whereas $u = t - r_*$ and $v = t + r_*$ are the usual retarded and advanced null coordinates, and

$$r_* = \frac{1}{2\kappa_H} \ln \left| \frac{r}{r_H} - 1 \right| - \frac{1}{2\kappa_C} \ln \left| 1 - \frac{r}{r_C} \right| + \frac{1}{2\kappa_U} \ln \left| \frac{r}{r_U} - 1 \right| \quad (7)$$

κ_U is the ‘surface gravity’ of the unphysical horizon located at $r_U = -(r_H + r_C)$. Note that Eq. (4) and Eq. (5) are free of coordinate singularities respectively on the BEH and CEH. Fig. (1) shows the Penrose-Carter diagram of the extended SdS spacetime.

III. THE GIBBONS-HAWKING THERMAL STATES AND ENTANGLEMENT

The two event horizons of the SdS spacetime suggest two thermodynamic relationships with temperatures $\kappa_H/2\pi$ and $\kappa_C/2\pi$,

$$\delta M = \frac{\kappa_H}{2\pi} \frac{\delta A_H}{4}, \quad \delta M = -\frac{\kappa_C}{2\pi} \frac{\delta A_C}{4} \quad (8)$$

The quantum mutual information of A and B is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{AB} = S(\rho_A) + S(\rho_B) - S(\rho_{AB}), \quad (12)$$

where $S = -\text{Tr}(\rho \ln \rho)$ is the von Neuman entropy. Tracing out further the states belonging to the subregion B, and A, we respectively have,

$$\rho_A = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tanh^{2n} r}{\cosh^2 r} \left(1 + \frac{n}{\sinh^2 r}\right) |n\rangle\langle n| \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_B = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tanh^{2m} s}{\cosh^2 s} \left(1 + \frac{m}{\sinh^2 s}\right) |m\rangle\langle m| \quad (13)$$

Using Eq. (11), Eqs. (13), we now compute \mathcal{I}_{AB} numerically using Mathematica. Implicitly assuming Λ to be fixed, the variation of \mathcal{I}_{AB} with respect to the dimensionless parameter $3M\sqrt{\Lambda}$ is depicted in the first of Figs. (2) for three different values of the dimensionless parameter $\omega/\sqrt{\Lambda}$. Thus the mutual information increases monotonically with increasing M and saturates to two as we approach the Nariai limit, $3M\sqrt{\Lambda} \rightarrow 1$. Eq. (3), Eq. (2) shows that the increase in this parameter corresponds to the decrease of both the surface gravities, eventually becoming vanishing in the Nariai limit. On the other hand as $3M\sqrt{\Lambda} \rightarrow 0$, we have $\kappa_H \sim M^{-1}$ and $\kappa_C \sim \sqrt{\Lambda}$. Thus for a fixed value of Λ , black hole's Hawking temperature becomes very large in this limit, resulting in an extremely high rate of particle creation.

Intuitively, the increase in the Hawking temperature increases the degree of randomness of the created thermal particles, which degrades or destroys quantum correlation, as has been reflected in Figs. (2), analogous to that of the single horizon spacetimes reported earlier [1]-[8]. We also note that for a given value of ω , the mutual information degrades more with increasing Λ . This is due to the increase of the Hawking temperature of the CEH with increasing Λ , resulting in degradation in the correlation further, compared to the $\Lambda = 0$ case.




FIG. 2: (Left) The mutual information for the maximally entangled state $|\psi\rangle$, Eq. (10), vs. $3M\sqrt{\Lambda}$. The blue, red, green curves correspond respectively to $\omega/\sqrt{\Lambda} = 1, 1.1, 1.2$. (Right) The logarithmic negativity vs. $3M\sqrt{\Lambda}$. The blue, red, green curves correspond respectively to $\omega/\sqrt{\Lambda} = 1, 1.7, 3$. See main text for discussion.

The logarithmic negativity is related to the eigenvalues of Eq. (11), but after transposing one of sectors (say A), $(\rho_{AB})^{TA}$. It is defined as $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}} = \log \sum_i |\lambda_i|$, where λ_i 's are the eigenvalues $(\rho_{AB})^{TA}$, e.g. [36]. We have also computed it numerically in Mathematica and have plotted in the second of Figs. (2). The qualitative conclusions remain the same as that of the previous case.

While the entanglement degradation with the Gibbons-Hawking states thus seems to be intuitively well acceptable, we wish to present below another viable description of the SdS thermodynamics where such intuitions fail.

IV. THE TOTAL ENTROPY AND THE EFFECTIVE TEMPERATURE

For an observer located in region C in Fig. (1), one can also define a total entropy $S = (A_H + A_C)/4$ [23–33], yielding a thermodynamic relationship via Eqs. (14) with an *effective* equilibrium temperature,

$$\delta M = -\frac{\kappa_H \kappa_C}{2\pi(\kappa_H - \kappa_C)} \delta S = -T_{\text{eff}} \delta S \quad (14)$$

Even though various computations including that of phase transition has been done using T_{eff} , e.g. [29–33], an understanding of it in terms of field quantisation and explicit quantum states seems to be missing.

We first note that in this combined picture we must consider emission as well as absorption of Hawking radiations, both of which change the horizon areas. For example ignoring the greybody effects, a particle emitted from the BEH

will propagate towards CEH and will eventually get absorbed. Likewise a particle emitted from the CEH will propagate inward and will be absorbed by the BEH. Since $\kappa_H > \kappa_C$, Eq. (3), the flux of outgoing particles emitted from the BEH at any point $r_H < r < r_C$ is greater than the flux of particles propagating inward emitted from the CEH, resulting in an effective outward flux and evaporation of the black hole. The existence of such effective temperature can then be intuitively understood as follows. Let $P_E^H(P_A^H)$ and $P_E^C(P_A^C)$ respectively be the single particle emission (absorption) probabilities for the BEH and CEH, $P_E^H = P_A^H e^{-2\pi\omega/\kappa_H}$ and $P_E^C = P_A^C e^{-2\pi\omega/\kappa_C}$. By treating the above probabilities as independent, we may define an *effective emission probability*, $P_{\text{eff}}^E := P_E^C P_A^H = P_A^C P_E^H e^{-\omega/T_{\text{eff}}}$, corresponding to the effective inward flux of the cosmological Hawking radiation on the BEH. Likewise the effective absorption probability $P_A^C P_E^H$, corresponds to the effective outward flux of black hole's Hawking radiation on the CEH.

From Eq. (2), Eq. (3), we also have

$$\lim_{3M\sqrt{\Lambda} \rightarrow 0} T_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow \frac{\kappa_C}{2\pi} \approx \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}, \quad \lim_{3M\sqrt{\Lambda} \rightarrow 1} T_{\text{eff}} \approx \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{3\sqrt{\Lambda}}{4} \quad (15)$$

Thus even though individually the surface gravities become vanishing in the Nariai limit ($3M\sqrt{\Lambda} \rightarrow 1$), T_{eff} is non-vanishing there. Moreover, the effective temperature in the Nariai limit is greater than that of when the black hole is extremely hot ($3M\sqrt{\Lambda} \rightarrow 0$, with Λ fixed). This corresponds to the fact that T_{eff} is related to an emission probability which corresponds to the inward particle flux on the BEH created by CEH, as described above. As the black hole Hawking temperature increases due to decrease in $3M\sqrt{\Lambda}$, the black hole radiates more resulting in larger outward flux on CEH, corresponding to reduced effective inward flux or reduced effective temperature.

For our purpose, we now wish to explicitly find out the quantum states corresponding to the above description. Since we are treating both the horizons together, it is natural to ask, could there be a global vacuum in LUCUR in Fig. (1), which plays a role here? The answer is no, for there exists no analytic Feynman propagator that connects both the horizons [11]. This implies that (unlike the single horizon cases) one cannot construct any single global mode which is analytic on or across both the horizons and hence in LUCUR. Such non-existence should be attributed to the fact that there exists no single Kruskal-like coordinates which remove the coordinate singularities of both the horizons.

We now note that the 'surface gravity' κ_U , Eq. (7), of the unphysical horizon at $r_U = -(r_H + r_C)$ is given by $-\partial_r g_{tt}(r_U)/2$. From Eqs. (3), it is easy to see that

$$\frac{1}{\kappa_U} = \frac{1}{\kappa_C} - \frac{1}{\kappa_H}$$

Using Eq. (7), we then rewrite the $t - r$ part of Eq. (1) as

$$ds^2 = -\frac{2M}{r} \left| 1 - \frac{r}{r_C} \right|^{1+\frac{\kappa_U}{\kappa_C}} \left| \frac{r}{r_H} - 1 \right|^{1-\frac{\kappa_U}{\kappa_H}} d\bar{u}d\bar{v} \quad (16)$$

where we have defined,

$$\bar{u} = -\frac{1}{\kappa_U} e^{-\kappa_U u}, \quad \bar{v} = \frac{1}{\kappa_U} e^{\kappa_U v} \quad (17)$$

Apparently it might appear that we are analytically extending the spacetime metric at r_U . However due to the singularity at $r = 0$, the spacetime cannot be extended to negative radial values. Note also that the metric in Eq. (16) is *not* well behaved on or across any of the event horizons. Thus Eq. (16), Eq. (17) *do not* correspond to the beyond horizon extensions of Fig. (1) and hence they represent a coordinate system only in region C, $r_H < r < r_C$. By considering incoming and outgoing geodesics, it is easy to check that,

$$u_{\text{in}}(r_C) \rightarrow -\infty, \quad u_{\text{in}}(r_H) \rightarrow \infty, \quad v_{\text{out}}(r_H) \rightarrow -\infty, \quad v_{\text{out}}(r_C) \rightarrow \infty$$

yielding $-\infty < \bar{u} \leq 0$ and $0 \leq \bar{v} < \infty$. We now define a field quantisation in terms of (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) and an alternative one in terms of the usual (u, v) coordinates as earlier. Using the ranges of coordinates and following the standard procedure, e.g. [15], we can compute the Bogoliubov coefficients by choosing the integration surface infinitesimally close to, say the BEH. Denoting the vacuum corresponding to the (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) coordinates by $|\bar{0}\rangle$, we have the normalised squeezed state expansion,

$$|\bar{0}\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tanh^n w}{\cosh w} |n, n\rangle, \quad \text{with} \quad \tanh w = e^{-\pi\omega/\kappa_U}, \quad (18)$$

corresponding to a pair creation with temperature $T_{\text{eff}} = \kappa_U/2\pi$. We emphasise once again that the (entangled) pair creation is occurring in this case only in $r_H < r < r_C$ and *not* in the causally disconnected wedges as of the preceding Section.

We now take a maximally entangled initial state,

$$|\chi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|\bar{0}, \bar{0}\rangle + |\bar{1}, \bar{1}\rangle], \quad (19)$$

and expand it using Eq. (18). We then trace out parts of it in order to form a mixed bipartite system and compute as earlier the mutual information and the logarithmic negativity, plotted in Figs. (3). As expected from the characteristics of T_{eff} discussed above, the entanglement does not degrade even when the black hole is extremely hot ($3M\sqrt{\Lambda} \rightarrow 0$, for a fixed Λ), but actually it is maximum in this limit. Moreover, the entanglement degrades as we approach the Nariai limit. This is completely contrary to what was obtained with the Gibbons-Hawking states in Sec. III. Note also from the figure that (for a fixed ω) the entanglement degrades with increasing Λ value, corresponds to the increasing temperature and particle creation by the CEH.




FIG. 3: (Left) The mutual information corresponding to the maximally entangled initial state $|\chi\rangle$, Eq. (19), vs. $3M\sqrt{\Lambda}$. (Right) The logarithmic negativity vs. $3M\sqrt{\Lambda}$. The blue, red, green curves correspond respectively to $\omega/\sqrt{\Lambda} = 1, 1.07, 1.15$. Note the complete qualitatively opposite behaviour with respect to the Gibbons-Hawking states, Figs. (2). See main text for discussion.

V. DISCUSSION

We have analysed the entanglement degradation for maximally entanglement initial states in the Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime, by exploring two viable descriptions of thermodynamics and particle creation in this background. In Sec. 3, we have taken the Gibbons-Hawking proposition [11], where an observer can be in thermal equilibrium with either of the horizons. We have shown that the entanglement degrades with increasing Hawking temperature of the horizons. This is similar to the earlier results found for single horizon spacetimes [1]-[8]. In Sec. 4, we have addressed the total entropy-effective temperature formalism [23–25], to treat both the horizons in an equal footing. We have found the vacuum states necessary for such description and have shown that entanglement degradation never happens in this scenario, no matter how hot the black hole becomes or how small the cosmological constant is. Note from Eq. (2) that the total entropy is minimum in the Nariai limit ($3M\sqrt{\Lambda} \rightarrow 1$) and maximum as $3M\sqrt{\Lambda} \rightarrow 0$. Thus if we begin from the Nariai limit (where the Hawking temperature of both horizons are vanishingly small), assuming Λ is fixed the black hole will evaporate and the spacetime will evolve towards $3M\sqrt{\Lambda} \rightarrow 0$. In this course, we shall keep recovering the entanglement or correlation, Figs. (3). This is in complete qualitative contrast with the first or the existing cases. Finally, note from Eqs. (3), Eq. (14) that $T_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow 0$ as $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$. Also, there can be no cosmological event horizon for $\Lambda \leq 0$. Thus T_{eff} and hence this phenomenon can have no $\Lambda \leq 0$ analogue.

Although we have worked in $1+1$ -dimensions, the qualitative features would certainly remain the same in higher dimensions. Similar analysis for fermions may be interesting. The rotating black hole spacetimes, due to the existence of various exotic vacuum states for a massless scalar [37] also seems to be interesting in this context. We hope to return to these issues in future works.

Acknowledgements: SB's research is partially supported by the ISIRD grant 9-289/2017/IITRPR/704.

[1] I. Fuentes-Schuller and R. B. Mann, *Alice falls into a black hole: Entanglement in non-inertial frames*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 120404, arXiv:quant-ph/0410172

- [2] Q. Pan and J. Jing, *Degradation of non-maximal entanglement of scalar and Dirac fields in non-inertial frames*, Phys. Rev. A **77**, 024302 (2008) [arXiv:0802.1238[quant-ph]]
- [3] E. Martin-Martinez, L. J. Garay, J. Leon, *Unveiling quantum entanglement degradation near a Schwarzschild black hole*, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 064006 (2010) arXiv:1006.1394[quant-ph]
- [4] E. Martin-Martinez, L. J. Garay, J. Leon, *Quantum entanglement produced in the formation of a black hole*, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 064028 (2010) arXiv:1007.2858[quant-ph]
- [5] M. Montero, J. Leon and E. Martin-Martinez, *Fermionic entanglement extinction in non-inertial frames*, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 042320 (2011)
- [6] B. Richter and Y. Omar, *Degradation of entanglement between two accelerated parties: Bell states under the Unruh effect*, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 022334 (2015) arXiv:1503.07526[quant-ph]
- [7] M. Asghari, P. Pedram, and P. Espoukeh, *Entanglement degradation in the presence of the Kerr-Newman black hole*, Quantum inf. process **17**, 115 (2018)
- [8] I. Fuentes, R. B. Mann, E. Martin-Martinez and S. Moradi, *Entanglement of Dirac fields in an expanding spacetime*, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 045030 (2010) [arXiv:1007.1569[quant-ph]]
- [9] S. Bhattacharya, S. Chakraborty and S. Goyal, *Dirac fermion, cosmological event horizons and quantum entanglement*, Phys. Rev. D **101**, no.8, 085016 (2020) [arXiv:1912.12272 [hep-th]]
- [10] S. Bhattacharya, S. Chakraborty, H. Hoshino and S. Kaushal, *Background magnetic field and quantum correlations in the Schwinger effect*, Phys. Lett. B **811**, 135875 (2020) [arXiv:2005.12866[hep-th]]
- [11] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, *Cosmological event horizons, thermodynamics, and particle creation*, Phys. Rev. D **15**, 2738 (1979)
- [12] D. Kastor and J. H. Traschen, *Particle production and positive energy theorems for charged black holes in De Sitter*, Class. Quant. Grav. **13**, 2753 (1996) [gr-qc/9311025]
- [13] R. Bousso and S. W. Hawking, *(Anti)evaporation of Schwarzschild-de Sitter black holes*, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 2436 (1998) [hep-th/9709224]
- [14] R. Bousso, *Quantum global structure of de Sitter space*, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 063503 (1999) [hep-th/9902183]
- [15] J. H. Traschen, *An Introduction to black hole evaporation*, [arXiv:gr-qc/0010055]
- [16] S. Bhattacharya, A. Lahiri, *Mass function and particle creation in Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime*, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2673 (2013) [arXiv:1301.4532[gr-qc]]
- [17] S. Bhattacharya, *Particle creation by de Sitter black holes revisited*, Phys. Rev. D **98**, no.12, 125013 (2018) [arXiv:1810.13260[gr-qc]]
- [18] Y. Qiu, J. Traschen, *Black Hole and Cosmological Particle Production in Schwarzschild de Sitter*, Class. Quant. Grav. **37**, no.13, 135012 (2020) [arXiv:1908.02737[hep-th]]
- [19] N. Goheer, M. Kleban and L. Susskind, *The Trouble with de Sitter Space*, JHEP 0307, 056 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0212209]
- [20] M. I. Park, *Statistical Mechanics of Three-dimensional Kerr-de Sitter Space*, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 075023 (2009) [arXiv:hep-th/0306152]
- [21] B. P. Dolan, D. Kastor, D. Kubiznak, R. B. Mann and J. Traschen, *Thermodynamic Volumes and Isoperimetric Inequalities for de Sitter Black Holes*, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 104017 (2013) [arXiv:1301.5926 [hep-th]]
- [22] B. P. Dolan, *Black holes and Boyle's law The thermodynamics of the cosmological constant*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **30**, no. 03n04, 1540002 (2015) [arXiv:1408.4023 [gr-qc]]
- [23] K. Maeda, T. Koike, M. Narita and A. Ishibashi, *Upper bound for entropy in asymptotically de Sitter space-time*, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 3503 (1998) [gr-qc/9712029]
- [24] P. C. W. Davies and T. M. Davis, *How far can the generalized second law be generalized*, Found. Phys. **32**, 1877 (2002) [astro-ph/0310522]
- [25] M. Urano, A. Tomimatsu and H. Saida, *Mechanical First Law of Black Hole Spacetimes with Cosmological Constant and Its Application to Schwarzschild-de Sitter Spacetime*, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 105010 (2009) [arXiv:0903.4230[gr-qc]]
- [26] H. Saida, *de Sitter thermodynamics in the canonical ensemble*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **122**, 1239 (2010) [arXiv:0908.3041[gr-qc]]
- [27] H. Saida, *To what extent is the entropy-area law universal? : Multi-horizon and multi-temperature spacetime may break the entropy-area law*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **122**, 1515 (2010) [arXiv:0910.2510[gr-qc]]
- [28] S. Bhattacharya, *A note on entropy of de Sitter black holes*, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, no.3, 112 (2016) [arXiv:1506.07809[gr-qc]]
- [29] L. C. Zhang, M. S. Ma, H. H. Zhao and R. Zhao, *Thermodynamics of phase transition in higherdimensional Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter black hole*, Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, no. 9, 3052 (2014) [arXiv:1403.2151[gr-qc]]
- [30] P. Kanti, T. Pappas and N. Pappas, *Greybody factors for scalar fields emitted by a higher-dimensional Schwarzschild-de Sitter black hole*, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 12, 124077 (2014) [arXiv:1409.8664[hep-th]]
- [31] T. Pappas, P. Kanti and N. Pappas, *Hawking radiation spectra for scalar fields by a higher-dimensional Schwarzschild-de Sitter black hole*, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no. 2, 024035 (2016) [arXiv:1604.08617[hep-th]]
- [32] P. Kanti and T. Pappas, *Effective temperatures and radiation spectra for a higher-dimensional Schwarzschild-de Sitter black hole*, Phys. Rev. D **96**, no. 2, 024038 (2017) [arXiv:1705.09108[hep-th]]
- [33] T. Pappas, P. Kanti, *Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime: the role of Temperature in the emission of Hawking radiation*, Phys. Lett. B **775**, 140 (2017) arXiv:1707.04900[hep-th]
- [34] W. G. Unruh, *Notes on black hole evaporation*, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 870 (1976)
- [35] L. C. B. Crispino, A. Higuchi and G. E. A. Matsas, *The Unruh effect and its applications*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 787 (2008)
- [36] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Information Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Pr., UK (2010)
- [37] B. S. Kay and R. M. Wald, *Theorems on the Uniqueness and Thermal Properties of Stationary, Nonsingular, Quasifree States on Space-Times with a Bifurcate Killing Horizon*, Phys. Rept. **207**, 49 (1991)