

# K-MODULI OF FANO 3-FOLDS CAN HAVE EMBEDDED POINTS

ANDREA PETRACCI

ABSTRACT. We exhibit an example of obstructed K-polystable Fano 3-fold  $X$  such that the K-moduli stack of K-semistable Fano varieties and the K-moduli space of K-polystable Fano varieties have an embedded point at  $[X]$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A Fano variety is a normal projective variety  $X$  such that its anticanonical divisor  $-K_X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier and ample. In some sense, a projective variety is Fano if it has ‘positive curvature’. Fano varieties occupy a prominent rôle in algebraic geometry from many points of view.

Moduli of Fano varieties are quite elusive, as they are highly non-separated. Recently K-stability [12, 23] (i.e. the study of the existence of Kähler–Einstein metrics) has been spectacularly applied to construct ‘reasonable’ (i.e. separated and proper) moduli spaces of Fano varieties [2, 7–9, 15, 17, 18, 26, 27]. More precisely, it is known that, for each integer  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein families of K-semistable Fano  $n$ -folds form an Artin stack  $\mathcal{M}_n^{\text{Kss}}$ , called the K-moduli stack, which is locally of finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$ ; furthermore, this stack has a good moduli space which is denoted by  $M_n^{\text{Kps}}$ , is called the K-moduli space, parametrises K-polystable Fano  $n$ -folds, and is a countable disjoint union of projective schemes over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We refer the reader to [25] for a survey on these topics.

Since Fano varieties of dimension 2 are unobstructed [1, 14], the K-moduli stack  $\mathcal{M}_2^{\text{Kss}}$  is smooth and each connected component of K-moduli space  $M_2^{\text{Kps}}$  is normal. In joint work with Kaloghiros [16] we exhibited the first examples of singular points on  $\mathcal{M}_n^{\text{Kss}}$ , for each  $n \geq 3$ . More precisely, we showed that, if  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_n^{\text{Kss}}$  and  $M_n^{\text{Kps}}$  can be locally reducible or non-reduced (or both). These examples are constructed via toric geometry.

In [20] we have showed that the deformation space of every isolated Gorenstein toric 3-fold singularity appears, in a weak sense, as a singularity on  $\mathcal{M}_n^{\text{Kss}}$ , for each  $n \geq 3$ . In this note we analyse the construction of [20] in a particular example and we prove:

**Theorem 1.1.** *There exists a K-polystable toric Fano 3-fold  $X$  with canonical singularities, such that the miniversal ring of  $\mathcal{M}_3^{\text{Kss}}$  at the point corresponding to  $X$  is*

$$\mathbb{C}[[t_1, \dots, t_8]]/(t_1^2, t_1 t_2, t_3^2, t_3 t_4),$$

*and the completion of the structure sheaf of  $M_3^{\text{Kps}}$  at the point corresponding to  $X$  is*

$$\mathbb{C}[[u_1, \dots, u_6]]/(u_1^2, u_2^2, u_1 u_2, u_1 u_3, u_2 u_3).$$

An immediate consequence is:

**Corollary 1.2.** *The stack  $\mathcal{M}_3^{\text{Kss}}$  and the scheme  $M_3^{\text{Kps}}$  have embedded points.*

**Notation and conventions.** We always work over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, which is denoted by  $\mathbb{C}$ . We assume that the reader is familiar with toric geometry; every toric variety or toric singularity is assumed to be normal.

Throughout this note we use the following notation.

$P$	the 3-dimensional lattice polytope $P$ in Figure 1
$Q$	the polar of $P$
$\text{conv}\{\cdot\}$	convex hull of a set
$X$	the toric variety associated to the face fan of $P$
$\mathfrak{X}$	the canonical cover stack of $X$
$\text{Def}_X^{\text{qG}}$	the $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein deformation functor of $X$
$A$	the hull of $\text{Def}_X^{\text{qG}}$
$T$	the torus acting on $X$
$M$	the character lattice of $T$
$G$	the automorphism group of $X$

**Acknowledgements.** I am grateful to Anne-Sophie Kaloghiros for several fruitful discussions.

## 2. THE POLYTOPES $P$ AND $Q$

Consider the points

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad d = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$


in the lattice  $N = \mathbb{Z}^3$ . They are the vertices of a lattice pentagon which lies on the horizontal plane  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{1\}$ . Let  $P$  be the convex hull of the 10 points

$$\begin{aligned} & a, b, c, d, e, \\ & -a, -b, -c, -d, -e \end{aligned}$$

in  $N$  (see Figure 1). It is clear that  $P$  is a centrally symmetric Fano polytope and has 10 facets:

- (i) the pentagon  $\text{conv}\{a, b, c, d, e\}$  which lies on the horizontal plane  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{1\}$ ;
- (i') the pentagon  $\text{conv}\{-a, -b, -c, -d, -e\}$  which lies on the horizontal plane  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{-1\}$ ;
- (ii) the vertical rectangle  $\text{conv}\{a, e, -c, -d\}$ ;
- (ii') the vertical rectangle  $\text{conv}\{-a, -e, c, d\}$ ;
- (iii) the triangle  $\text{conv}\{e, -b, -c\}$ ;
- (iii') the triangle  $\text{conv}\{-e, b, c\}$ ;
- (iv) the triangle  $\text{conv}\{a, b, -d\}$ ;
- (iv') the triangle  $\text{conv}\{-a, -b, d\}$ ;
- (v) the triangle  $\text{conv}\{-b, d, e\}$ ;
- (v') the triangle  $\text{conv}\{b, -d, -e\}$ .

Moreover, one sees that the origin is the unique interior lattice point of  $P$ , so  $P$  is a canonical polytope.

FIGURE 1. The polytope  $P$ 

Consider the dual lattice  $M = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \mathbb{Z})$  and the polar polytope of  $P$ ; this is the polytope  $Q$  which is the convex hull of the points

$$\begin{aligned} & \pm(1, 0, 0) \\ & \pm(0, 1, 0) \\ & \pm(0, 0, 1) \\ & \pm(1, -1, 0) \\ & \pm\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}\right) \end{aligned}$$

in  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ . One can check that  $Q$  has exactly 9 lattice points, namely the origin and  $\pm(1, 0, 0)$ ,  $\pm(0, 1, 0)$ ,  $\pm(0, 0, 1)$ ,  $\pm(1, -1, 0)$ . The normalised volume of  $Q$  is  $\frac{40}{3}$ . The intersection of  $Q$  with the horizontal plane  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\} \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$  is the hexagon with vertices  $\pm(1, 0, 0)$ ,  $\pm(0, 1, 0)$ ,  $\pm(-1, 1, 0)$ .

### 3. THE VARIETY $X$

Let  $X$  be the toric variety associated to the face fan (also called spanning fan) of  $P$ . Since  $P$  is a canonical 3-dimensional polytope,  $X$  is a Fano 3-fold with canonical singularities. The polytope  $Q$  is the polytope associated to the toric boundary of  $X$ , which is an anticanonical divisor. The anticanonical degree  $(-K_X)^3$  of  $X$  coincides with the normalised volume of  $Q$ , so it is  $\frac{40}{3}$ . Since  $Q$  is centrally symmetric, the origin is the barycentre of  $Q$ , thus  $X$  is K-polystable by [6].

The face fan of  $P$  gives an affine open cover of  $X$ . We denote by  $U_{a,b,-d}$  the toric affine open subscheme of  $X$  associated to cone over the facet of  $P$  with vertices  $a, b, -d$ , and similarly for the other facets. Now we analyse the singularities which appear on  $X$ .

- (i)  $U_{a,b,c,d,e}$  and  $U_{-a,-b,-c,-d,-e}$  are isomorphic to the affine cone over the anticanonical embedding in  $\mathbb{P}^7$  of the smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 7, i.e. the blowup of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  in 2 points. This is an isolated Gorenstein singularity.
- (ii)  $U_{a,e,-c,-d}$  and  $U_{-a,-e,c,d}$  are isomorphic to the hypersurface

$$V = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, w]/(x^2y^2 - zw),$$

whose singular locus is 1-dimensional and has 2 irreducible components, which correspond to the edges with lattice length 2.

- (iii+iv)  $U_{e,-b,-c}$ ,  $U_{-e,b,c}$ ,  $U_{a,b,-d}$  and  $U_{-a,-b,d}$  are isomorphic to the hypersurface

$$cA_1 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, w]/(xy - z^2),$$

whose singular locus is 1-dimensional and irreducible and corresponds to the edge with lattice length 2.

- (v)  $U_{-b,d,e}$  and  $U_{b,-d,-e}$  are isomorphic to the isolated cyclic quotient singularity  $\frac{1}{3}(1, 1, 2)$ . This is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein non-Gorenstein singularity which is rigid, both with respect to flat deformations and to  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein deformations.

The singular locus of  $X$  has 6 connected components:

- (i) the 0-stratum of  $U_{a,b,c,d,e}$ ;
- (i') the 0-stratum of  $U_{-a,-b,-c,-d,-e}$ ;
- (ii+iii+iv) the union of the singular loci of  $U_{a,e,-c,-d}$ ,  $U_{e,-b,-c}$ ,  $U_{a,b,-d}$ , which is the union of two smooth rational curves meeting transversally at the 0-stratum of  $U_{a,e,-c,-d}$ ;
- (ii'+iii'+iv') the union of the singular loci of  $U_{-a,-e,c,d}$ ,  $U_{-e,b,c}$ ,  $U_{-a,-b,d}$ , which is the union of two smooth rational curves meeting transversally at the 0-stratum of  $U_{-a,-e,c,d}$ ;
- (v) the 0-stratum of  $U_{-b,d,e}$ ;
- (v') the 0-stratum of  $U_{b,-d,-e}$ .

It is clear that the non-Gorenstein locus of  $X$  consists of the 0-strata of  $U_{-b,d,e}$  and  $U_{b,-d,-e}$ . Let  $\varepsilon: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$  be the canonical cover stack of  $X$ . Therefore  $\varepsilon$  is an isomorphism away from the 0-strata of  $U_{-b,d,e}$  and  $U_{b,-d,-e}$ . One immediately sees that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is lci away from the 0-strata of  $U_{a,b,c,d,e}$  and  $U_{-a,-b,-c,-d,-e}$ .

#### 4. SOME COMPUTATIONS

**4.1. Cotangent sheaves and groups.** For each  $i = 0, 1, 2$ , consider the coherent sheaves  $\mathcal{T}_X^i = \mathcal{E}xt_X^i(\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_X^{\text{qG},i} = \varepsilon_* \mathcal{E}xt_X^i(\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}, \mathcal{O}_X)$  on  $X$ , and the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces  $\mathbb{T}_X^i = \text{Ext}_X^i(\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and  $\mathbb{T}_X^{\text{qG},i} = \text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{X}}^i(\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ . All of them are  $M$ -graded, because the torus  $T = N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[M]$  acts on  $X$ .

The sheaves  $\mathcal{T}_X^0$  and  $\mathcal{T}_X^{\text{qG},0}$  coincide everywhere on  $X$ . For  $i > 0$  the sheaves  $\mathcal{T}_X^i$  and  $\mathcal{T}_X^{\text{qG},i}$  coincide on the Gorenstein locus of  $X$ ; therefore when considering restrictions to Gorenstein open subschemes we will suppress the superscript qG. For  $i > 0$ , the set-theoretical support of  $\mathcal{T}_X^{\text{qG},i}$  is contained in the singular locus of  $X$ .

**4.2. The sheaf  $\mathcal{T}_X^{\text{qG},1}$ .** We analyse the restriction of  $\mathcal{T}_X^{\text{qG},1}$  to the affine charts given by the facets of  $P$ .

- (i) The restriction to  $U_{a,b,c,d,e}$  is supported on the 0-stratum of  $U_{a,b,c,d,e}$  and its global sections are  $\mathbb{C}^2$  in degree  $(0, 0, -1) \in M$  by [4].




FIGURE 2. A portion of the horizontal plane  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$  in  $M_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}^3$ . The green hexagon is the slice of the polytope  $Q$ . The centre of the hexagon is the origin. The degrees of the homogeneous components of  $\mathbb{T}_{U_{a,e,-c,-d}}^1$  are denoted by red circles.

- (ii) If one considers the hypersurface  $V = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, w]/(x^2y^2 - zw)$ , one has that  $\mathbb{T}_V^1$  is the  $\mathcal{O}_V$ -module

$$\mathbb{C}[x, y, z, w]/(xy^2, x^2y, z, w) = \mathbb{C}1 \oplus \mathbb{C}zw \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} (\mathbb{C}z^n \oplus \mathbb{C}w^n).$$

The homogeneous components of  $\mathbb{T}_{U_{a,e,-c,-d}}^1$  with respect to the  $M$ -grading are:

$$\mathbb{T}_{U_{a,e,-c,-d}}^1(m) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } m = (-1, 1, 0) \text{ or } m = (-2, 2, 0), \\ \mathbb{C} & \text{if } m = (-2 + n, 2, 0) \text{ and } n \geq 1, \\ \mathbb{C} & \text{if } m = (-2, 2 - n, 0) \text{ and } n \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

See Figure 2.

- (iii) If one considers the hypersurface  $cA_1 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, w]/(xy - z^2)$ , one has that  $\mathbb{T}_{cA_1}^1$  is the  $\mathcal{O}_{cA_1}$ -module

$$\mathbb{C}[x, y, z, w]/(x, y, z) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{C}w^n.$$

The homogeneous components of  $\mathbb{T}_{U_{e,-b,-c}}^1$  with respect to the  $M$ -grading are:

$$\mathbb{T}_{U_{e,-b,-c}}^1(m) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } m = (-2 - n, 2, 0) \text{ and } n \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

See the left of Figure 3.

- (iv) The homogeneous components of  $\mathbb{T}_{U_{a,b,-d}}^1$  with respect to the  $M$ -grading are:

$$\mathbb{T}_{U_{a,b,-d}}^1(m) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } m = (-2, 2 + n, 0) \text{ and } n \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

See the right of Figure 3.

- (v) The restriction to  $U_{-b,d,e}$  is zero.




FIGURE 3. The red circles denote the degrees of the homogeneous components of  $\mathbb{T}_{U_{e,-b,-c}}^1$  (left) and of  $\mathbb{T}_{U_{a,b,-d}}^1$  (right).




FIGURE 4. The red circles denote the degrees of the homogeneous components of  $\mathbb{T}_{U_{a,e,-c,-d} \cap U_{e,-b,-c}}^1$  (left) and of  $\mathbb{T}_{U_{a,e,-c,-d} \cap U_{a,b,-d}}^1$  (right).

The discussion about (i'), (ii'), (iii'), (iv'), (v') is completely analogous and is omitted: it is enough to apply the reflection  $-\text{id}$ .

Now we want to understand the restriction of the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_X^{\text{qG},1}$  to the double intersections of the toric charts given by the facets of  $P$ . We will ignore the smooth ones, because there the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_X^{\text{qG},1}$  vanishes.

(ii)iii) The intersection  $U_{a,e,-c,-d} \cap U_{e,-b,-c}$  is isomorphic to the hypersurface  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, w^\pm]/(xy - z^2)$ , so its  $\mathbb{T}^1$ -module is isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{C}[x, y, z, w^\pm]/(xy - z^2) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}w^n.$$

The homogeneous components with respect to the  $M$ -grading are:

$$\mathbb{T}_{U_{a,e,-c,-d} \cap U_{e,-b,-c}}^1(m) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } m = (-2 + n, 2, 0) \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

See the left of Figure 4.

(ii'iv) The intersection  $U_{a,e,-c,-d} \cap U_{a,b,-d}$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, w^\pm]/(xy - z^2)$ . The  $M$ -homogeneous components of the restriction of  $\mathcal{F}_X^{\text{qG},1}$  to the intersection  $U_{a,e,-c,-d} \cap U_{a,b,-d}$  are

$$\mathbb{T}_{U_{a,e,-c,-d} \cap U_{a,b,-d}}^1(m) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } m = (-2, 2 + n, 0) \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

See the right of Figure 4.

The discussion about the intersections (ii'iii') and (ii'iv') is omitted because it can easily be obtained from above by applying the reflection  $-\text{id}$ .

Now we have all information to construct the Čech complex of the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_X^{\text{qG},1}$  with respect to the affine cover given by the charts associated to the facets of  $P$ . Notice that, since triple intersections are smooth, this complex is concentrated in degrees 0 and 1:

$$C^0 \xrightarrow{d} C^1.$$

We analyse its homogeneous components with respect to the  $M$ -grading.

- If  $m = \pm(0, 0, 1)$ , then  $d(m)$  is the zero map  $\mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow 0$ .
- If  $m = \pm(-1, 1, 0)$ , then  $d(m)$  is the zero map  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0$ .
- If  $m = \pm(-2, 2, 0)$ , then  $d(m)$  is

$$\mathbb{C}^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}} \mathbb{C}^2.$$

- If  $m$  is none of the degrees above, then  $d(m)$  is the identity of 0, of  $\mathbb{C}$  or of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

We have proved that  $d$  is surjective, therefore  $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_X^{\text{qG},1}) = 0$ . Furthermore, we have computed the homogeneous components of  $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_X^{\text{qG},1})$ :

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_X^{\text{qG},1})(m) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^2 & \text{if } m = \pm(0, 0, 1), \\ \mathbb{C} & \text{if } m = \pm(-1, 1, 0), \\ \mathbb{C} & \text{if } m = \pm(-2, 2, 0), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular  $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_X^{\text{qG},1}) = 8$ .

**4.3. The hull of  $\text{Def}_X^{\text{qG}}$ .** Let  $\text{Def}_X^{\text{qG}}$  denote the functor of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein deformations of  $X$ . Let  $A$  denote its hull.

Since  $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_X^0) = 0$  and  $H^2(X, \mathcal{F}_X^0) = 0$  by [24, Proof of Theorem 5.1] (see also [21]), the natural homomorphism  $\mathbb{T}_X^{\text{qG},1} \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_X^{\text{qG},1})$  is an isomorphism. From the conclusion of §4.2 we deduce that  $\mathbb{T}_X^{\text{qG},1}$  has dimension 8 and we can choose coordinates  $t_1, \dots, t_8$  with weights  $(0, 0, 1)$ ,  $(0, 0, 1)$ ,  $(0, 0, -1)$ ,  $(0, 0, -1)$ ,  $(-1, 1, 0)$ ,  $(-2, 2, 0)$ ,  $(1, -1, 0)$ ,  $(2, -2, 0)$  in  $M$ , respectively. This implies that  $A$  is a quotient of the power series ring  $\mathbb{C}[[t_1, \dots, t_8]]$  with respect to an ideal which is contained in  $(t_1, \dots, t_8)^2$ .

In order to understand this ideal we need to compute the obstructions. Since  $\mathfrak{X}$  is lci away from the 0-strata of  $U_{a,b,c,d,e}$  and  $U_{-a,-b,-c,-d,-e}$ , the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_X^{\text{qG},2}$  is set-theoretically supported on these two points, hence

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_X^{\text{qG},2}) = \mathbb{T}_{U_{a,b,c,d,e}}^2 \oplus \mathbb{T}_{U_{-a,-b,-c,-d,-e}}^2.$$

Moreover, the vanishing of  $H^2(X, \mathcal{F}_X^0)$  and of  $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_X^{\mathbb{G},1})$  implies that the natural homomorphism  $\mathbb{T}_X^{\mathbb{G},2} \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_X^{\mathbb{G},2})$  is an isomorphism.

We deduce that the product of restriction maps

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Def}_X^{\mathbb{G}} \longrightarrow \text{Def}_{U_{a,b,c,d,e}} \times \text{Def}_{U_{-a,-b,-c,-d,-e}}.$$

induces an isomorphism on tangent spaces and on obstruction spaces. Therefore this map is smooth.

By [5]  $\mathbb{C}[[u, v]]/(u^2, uv)$  is the hull of the deformation functor of the affine cone over the anticanonical embedding in  $\mathbb{P}^7$  of the smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 7. From the smoothness of (4.1) we deduce

$$(4.2) \quad A = \mathbb{C}[[t_1, \dots, t_8]]/(t_1^2, t_1 t_2, t_3^2, t_3 t_4).$$

This shows that the base of the miniversal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein deformation of  $X$  is irreducible and non-reduced.

**4.4. The action the automorphism group.** The automorphism group of the polytope  $P$  is generated by the two involutions

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which commute in  $\text{GL}(N) = \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $G$  denote the automorphism group of  $X$  and let  $T = N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[M]$  be the torus acting on  $X$ . Since every facet of  $Q$  has no interior lattice point, by [16, Proposition 2.8]  $G$  is the semidirect product  $T \rtimes (C_2 \times C_2)$ , where  $C_2$  denotes the group of order 2. The algebraic group  $G$  acts on  $A$  as follows:

- the torus  $T$  acts linearly on  $A$  via the weights of the  $T$ -representation  $\mathbb{T}_X^{\mathbb{G},1}$ , so  $t_1, \dots, t_8$  have weights  $(0, 0, 1)$ ,  $(0, 0, 1)$ ,  $(0, 0, -1)$ ,  $(0, 0, -1)$ ,  $(-1, 1, 0)$ ,  $(-2, 2, 0)$ ,  $(1, -1, 0)$ ,  $(2, -2, 0)$ , respectively;
- $\sigma$  acts by permuting the coordinates  $t_1, \dots, t_8$  as follows:  $t_1 \leftrightarrow t_3$ ,  $t_2 \leftrightarrow t_4$ ,  $t_5 \leftrightarrow t_7$ ,  $t_6 \leftrightarrow t_8$ ;
- $\tau$  permutes the coordinates  $t_1, \dots, t_8$  as follows:  $t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4$  are left fixed and  $t_5 \leftrightarrow t_7$ ,  $t_6 \leftrightarrow t_8$ .

We need to compute the invariant subring  $A^G$ . Actually there is no harm in considering polynomials instead of power series:

$$B = \mathbb{C}[t_1, \dots, t_8]/(t_1^2, t_1 t_2, t_3^2, t_3 t_4).$$

Moreover, in the  $G$ -action the two sets of variables  $\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$  and  $\{t_5, t_6, t_7, t_8\}$  are not mixed. So we can consider

$$R = \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4]/(t_1^2, t_1 t_2, t_3^2, t_3 t_4) \quad \text{and} \quad S = \mathbb{C}[t_5, t_6, t_7, t_8]$$

and study the  $G$ -actions on  $R$  and on  $S$  separately.

The invariant subring  $\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4]^T$  is generated by  $x_1 = t_1 t_3$ ,  $x_2 = t_2 t_4$ ,  $x_3 = t_2 t_3$  and  $x_4 = t_1 t_4$ , which satisfy the relation  $x_1 x_2 - x_3 x_4 = 0$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4] & \longrightarrow & R \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4]^T & \longrightarrow & R^T \end{array}$$

One can show that the kernel of  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] \rightarrow R^T$  is generated by  $x_1^2 = t_1^2 t_3^2$ ,  $x_2^2 = t_2^2 t_3^2$ ,  $x_4^2 = t_1^2 t_4^2$ ,  $x_1 x_2 = x_3 x_4 = t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4$ ,  $x_1 x_3 = t_1 t_2 t_3^2$ ,  $x_1 x_4 = t_1^2 t_3 t_4$ ,  $x_2 x_3 = t_2^2 t_3 t_4$ ,  $x_2 x_4 = t_1 t_2 t_4^2$ , i.e. by all degree 2 monomials in  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$  with the exception of  $x_2^2$ . Therefore we have an isomorphism

$$R^T \simeq \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]/(x_1^2, x_3^2, x_4^2, x_1 x_2, x_1 x_3, x_1 x_4, x_2 x_3, x_2 x_4, x_3 x_4).$$

The involution  $\sigma$  keeps  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  fixed and swaps  $x_3$  and  $x_4$ . The involution  $\tau$  acts trivially on  $R$ , and therefore also on  $R^T$ . Hence  $R^G = (R^T)^\sigma$  is generated by  $y_1 = x_1$ ,  $y_2 = x_2$ ,  $y_3 = x_3 + x_4$ ,  $y_4 = x_3 x_4$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] & \twoheadrightarrow & R^T \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{C}[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4] & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]^\sigma \twoheadrightarrow R^G \end{array}$$

The kernel of  $\mathbb{C}[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4] \rightarrow R^G$  is generated by  $y_1^2$ ,  $y_1 y_2$ ,  $y_4$ ,  $y_3^2$ ,  $y_1 y_3$ ,  $y_2 y_3$ . Therefore we have isomorphisms

$$(4.3) \quad R^G \simeq \frac{\mathbb{C}[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4]}{(y_1^2, y_1 y_2, y_4, y_3^2, y_1 y_3, y_2 y_3)} \simeq \frac{\mathbb{C}[y_1, y_2, y_3]}{(y_1^2, y_3^2, y_1 y_2, y_1 y_3, y_2 y_3)}.$$

The invariant subring  $S^T$  is generated by  $z_1 = t_5^2 t_6$ ,  $z_2 = t_5 t_7$ ,  $z_3 = t_7^2 t_8$ ,  $z_4 = t_6 t_8$ , which satisfy the relation  $z_1 z_3 - z_2^2 z_4 = 0$ . Therefore we have an isomorphism

$$S^T \simeq \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4]/(z_1 z_3 - z_2^2 z_4).$$

The action of  $\tau$  on  $S$  coincides with the action of  $\sigma$  on  $S$ , therefore we can ignore  $\tau$ . One immediately sees that  $\sigma$  swaps  $z_1$  and  $z_3$  and leaves  $z_2$  and  $z_4$  fixed. This implies that  $S^G = (S^T)^\sigma$  is generated by  $v_1 = z_1 + z_3$ ,  $v_2 = z_1 z_3$ ,  $v_3 = z_2$ ,  $v_4 = z_4$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4] & \twoheadrightarrow & S^T \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{C}[v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4] & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4]^\sigma \twoheadrightarrow S^G \end{array}$$

The kernel of  $\mathbb{C}[v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4] \rightarrow S^G$  is generated by  $v_2 - v_3^2 v_4$ . Therefore we have isomorphisms

$$(4.4) \quad S^G \simeq \frac{\mathbb{C}[v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4]}{(v_2 - v_3^2 v_4)} \simeq \mathbb{C}[v_1, v_3, v_4].$$

By (4.3) and (4.4), by taking the completion of  $B^G \simeq R^G \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} S^G$ , and by changing the names of the variables, we get an isomorphism

$$(4.5) \quad A^G \simeq \mathbb{C}[[u_1, \dots, u_6]]/(u_1^2, u_2^2, u_1 u_2, u_1 u_3, u_2 u_3).$$

**4.5. Conclusion.** By the Luna étale slice theorem for algebraic stacks [3] there exists a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\mathrm{Spec} A / G] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_3^{\mathrm{Kss}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec} A^G & \longrightarrow & M_3^{\mathrm{Kps}} \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are formally étale and map the closed point to the point corresponding to  $X$ . The isomorphisms (4.2) and (4.5) imply Theorem 1.1.

## 5. MIRROR SYMMETRY

Let us consider Laurent polynomials in 3 variables  $x, y, z$  whose Newton polytope is  $P$ . If we insist that the coefficient of the monomial 1 (which corresponds to the origin) is 0 and that the coefficient of the monomial corresponding to every other lattice point of  $P$  is 1, we get the Laurent polynomial

$$f = (x + y + xy)(1 + x^{-1}y^{-1})z + (x^{-1} + y^{-1} + x^{-1}y^{-1})(1 + xy)z^{-1}.$$

This polynomial is quite peculiar, as it is the unique Laurent polynomial with Newton polytope  $P$  such that the restriction of  $f$  to each facet of  $P$  is 0-mutable in the sense of [11].

One can consider the *classical period* of  $f$ , which is a power series  $\pi_f \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$  defined in terms of variations of Hodge structures of the fibration  $f: \mathbb{G}_m^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . We refer the reader to [10, 13] for the precise definition of  $\pi_f$ . In this particular case the first terms of  $\pi_f$  are:

$$\pi_f = 1 + 12t^2 + 636t^4 + 51600t^6 + 4942140t^8 + 517143312t^{10} + \dots$$

From the results in §4.3 it is easy to prove that the general fibre of the miniversal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein deformation of  $X$  is a terminal Fano 3-fold  $X'$  with two  $\frac{1}{3}(1, 1, 2)$  points. According to [10] (see also [22] for a survey), it is conjectured that  $X'$  is *mirror* to  $f$ , in the sense that  $\pi_f$  coincides with *regularised quantum period* of  $X'$ . Here the regularised quantum period  $\widehat{G}_{X'}$  is a certain generating function for genus 0 Gromov–Witten invariants of  $X'$ ; we refer the reader to [19, Definition 3.2] for the precise definition.

Unfortunately we are not able to prove the equality  $\widehat{G}_{X'} = \pi_f$  because we do not know how to compute Gromov–Witten invariants of  $X'$ ; indeed, we do not know a presentation of  $X'$  as a complete intersection in a toric variety or in a partial flag variety. Nonetheless the reader should appreciate that this type of mirror symmetry conjectures establishes a useful correspondence between algebraic geometry (i.e. the connected component of moduli containing  $X$  and  $X'$ , and the Gromov–Witten theory of  $X'$ ) and combinatorics (i.e. the polynomial  $f$  and its classical period  $\pi_f$ ).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Mohammad Akhtar, Tom Coates, Alessio Corti, Liana Heuberger, Alexander Kasprzyk, Alessandro Oneto, Andrea Petracci, Thomas Prince, and Ketil Tveiten, *Mirror symmetry and the classification of orbifold del Pezzo surfaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (2016), no. 2, 513–527.
- [2] Jarod Alper, Harold Blum, Daniel Halpern-Leistner, and Chenyang Xu, *Reductivity of the automorphism group of  $K$ -polystable Fano varieties*, Invent. Math. **222** (2020), no. 3, 995–1032.
- [3] Jarod Alper, Jack Hall, and David Rydh, *A Luna étale slice theorem for algebraic stacks*, Ann. of Math. (2) **191** (2020), no. 3, 675–738.
- [4] Klaus Altmann, *Computation of the vector space  $T^1$  for affine toric varieties*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **95** (1994), no. 3, 239–259.
- [5] ———, *The versal deformation of an isolated toric Gorenstein singularity*, Invent. Math. **128** (1997), no. 3, 443–479.
- [6] Robert J. Berman,  *$K$ -polystability of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties admitting Kähler–Einstein metrics*, Invent. Math. **203** (2016), no. 3, 973–1025.

- [7] Harold Blum, Daniel Halpern-Leistner, Yuchen Liu, and Chenyang Xu, *On properness of  $K$ -moduli spaces and optimal degenerations of Fano varieties*. arXiv:2011.01895.
- [8] Harold Blum, Yuchen Liu, and Chenyang Xu, *Openness of  $K$ -semistability for Fano varieties*. arXiv:1907.02408.
- [9] Harold Blum and Chenyang Xu, *Uniqueness of  $K$ -polystable degenerations of Fano varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **190** (2019), no. 2, 609–656.
- [10] Tom Coates, Alessio Corti, Sergey Galkin, Vasily Golyshev, and Alexander Kasprzyk, *Mirror symmetry and Fano manifolds*, European Congress of Mathematics, 2013, pp. 285–300.
- [11] Alessio Corti, Matej Filip, and Andrea Petracci, *Mirror Symmetry and smoothing Gorenstein toric affine 3-folds*. arXiv:2006.16885.
- [12] S. K. Donaldson, *Scalar curvature and stability of toric varieties*, J. Differential Geom. **62** (2002), no. 2, 289–349.
- [13] Sergey Galkin and Alexandr Usnich, *Mutations of potentials*, preprint IPMU (2010), 10–0100.
- [14] Paul Hacking and Yuri Prokhorov, *Smoothable del Pezzo surfaces with quotient singularities*, Compos. Math. **146** (2010), no. 1, 169–192.
- [15] Chen Jiang, *Boundedness of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties with degrees and alpha-invariants bounded from below*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **53** (2020), no. 5, 1235–1248.
- [16] Anne-Sophie Kaloghiros and Andrea Petracci, *On toric geometry and  $K$ -stability of Fano varieties*. arXiv:2009.02271.
- [17] Chi Li, Xiaowei Wang, and Chenyang Xu, *Algebraicity of the metric tangent cones and equivariant  $K$ -stability*. arXiv:1805.03393.
- [18] Yuchen Liu, Chenyang Xu, and Ziquan Zhuang, *Finite generation for valuations computing stability thresholds and applications to  $K$ -stability*. arXiv:2102.09405.
- [19] Alessandro Oneto and Andrea Petracci, *On the quantum periods of del Pezzo surfaces with  $\frac{1}{3}(1, 1)$  singularities*, Adv. Geom. **18** (2018), no. 3, 303–336.
- [20] Andrea Petracci, *On deformation spaces of toric varieties*. arXiv:2105.01174.
- [21] ———, *On deformations of toric Fano varieties*. arXiv:1912.01538.
- [22] ———, *An example of Mirror Symmetry for Fano threefolds*, Birational Geometry and Moduli Spaces, 2020, pp. 173–188.
- [23] Gang Tian, *Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature*, Invent. Math. **130** (1997), no. 1, 1–37.
- [24] Burt Totaro, *Jumping of the nef cone for Fano varieties*, J. Algebraic Geom. **21** (2012), no. 2, 375–396.
- [25] Chenyang Xu,  *$K$ -stability of Fano varieties: an algebro-geometric approach*. arXiv:2011.10477.
- [26] ———, *A minimizing valuation is quasi-monomial*, Ann. of Math. (2) **191** (2020), no. 3, 1003–1030.
- [27] Chenyang Xu and Ziquan Zhuang, *On positivity of the CM line bundle on  $K$ -moduli spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **192** (2020), no. 3, 1005–1068.

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, FREIE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN, ARNIMALLEE 3, BERLIN 14195, GERMANY

*Email address:* andrea.petracci@fu-berlin.de