

INVERSE WAVE SCATTERING IN THE TIME DOMAIN FOR POINT SCATTERERS

ANDREA MANTILE AND ANDREA POSILICANO

ABSTRACT. Let $\Delta_{\alpha,Y}$ be the bounded from above self-adjoint realization in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of the Laplacian with n point scatterers placed at $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, the parameters $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \equiv \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$ being related to the scattering properties of the obstacles. Let $u_{f_\epsilon}^{\alpha,Y}$ and $u_{f_\epsilon}^\emptyset$ denote the solutions of the wave equations corresponding to $\Delta_{\alpha,Y}$ and to the free Laplacian Δ respectively, with a source term given by a pulse f_ϵ supported in ϵ -neighborhoods of the points in $X_N = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$, $X_N \cap Y = \emptyset$. We show that, for any fixed $\lambda > \sup \sigma(\Delta_{\alpha,Y}) \geq 0$, there exists $N_\circ \geq 1$ such that the locations of the points in Y can be determined by the knowledge of a finite-dimensional scattering data operator $F_\lambda^N : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq N_\circ$. Such an operator is defined in terms of the limit as $\epsilon \searrow 0$ of the Laplace transform of $u_{f_\epsilon}^{\alpha,Y}(t, x_k) - u_{f_\epsilon}^\emptyset(t, x_k)$, $k = 1, \dots, N$. We exploit the factorized form of the resolvent difference $(-\Delta_{\alpha,Y} + \lambda)^{-1} - (-\Delta + \lambda)^{-1}$ and a variation on the finite-dimensional factorization in the MUSIC algorithm. Multiple scattering effects are not neglected; our model can be interpreted as the time-domain version of a frequency-domain scattering from an array of Foldy's point-like obstacles.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Given the finite set $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and $0 < r \ll 1$, let

$$\partial_{tt}u = \Delta_{Y^r}u$$

be the wave equation describing the propagation of acoustic waves in the inhomogeneous medium made of a homogeneous one containing the array of n small spherical obstacles

$$Y^r = B_r^1 \cup \dots \cup B_r^n, \quad B_r^i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x - y_i| < r\}.$$

More precisely, Δ_{Y^r} is the self-adjoint realization in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of the Laplacian with boundary conditions

$$(1.1) \quad \gamma_0^i u + \alpha_i(r) [\gamma_1^i] u = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

at the boundaries $S_r^i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x - y_i| = r\}$. Here γ_0^i and $[\gamma_1^i]$, denote the Dirichlet trace at S_r^i and the jump across S_r^i of the Neumann trace γ_1^i respectively; $\alpha_1(r), \dots, \alpha_n(r)$ are r -dependent parameters to be specified later.

In our previous work [17], we considered inverse wave scattering in the time domain for a wide class of self-adjoint Laplacians, including those with hard, soft and semi-transparent bounded obstacles with Lipschitz boundaries. By applying to Δ_{Y^r} the results there provided (which build on our previous works [18], [19], [15], [16]), one gets the following: denoting by $u_f^{Y^r}$ and u_f^\emptyset the solutions of the wave equations corresponding to Δ_{Y^r} and to the free Laplacian Δ respectively, with a source term f concentrated at time $t = 0$ (a pulse) one has

that for any fixed $\lambda \geq \lambda_0 > 0$ and any fixed open set $B \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{Y^r}$, the obstacle Y^r can be reconstructed by the knowledge of the data operator $F_\lambda^{Y^r, B} : L^2(B) \rightarrow L^2(B)$,

$$(1.2) \quad F_\lambda^{Y^r, B} f := \int_0^\infty e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}t} 1_B(u_f^{Y^r}(t, \cdot) - u_f^\emptyset(t, \cdot)) 1_B dt, \quad \text{supp}(f) \subset B.$$

Since the choice of the set B where both the source and the detector are placed is arbitrary (beside the constraint $B \cap \overline{Y^r} = \emptyset$), one is lead to choose B having the same kind of shape as Y^r , i.e., $B = X^\epsilon$, where X^ϵ denotes the ϵ -neighborhood of a set $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ such that $X \cap Y = \emptyset$. Thus, given X and Y , once the parameters $\alpha_i(r)$ and λ have been fixed, the data operator $F_\lambda^{Y^r, X^\epsilon}$ in (1.2) depends on r and ϵ alone and a natural question arises: what happens whenever $r \searrow 0$ and $\epsilon \searrow 0$? In more detail:

1) is there a well defined limit self-adjoint operator $\Delta_{\alpha, Y}$ describing the propagation of acoustic waves in an otherwise homogeneous medium containing an array Y of point scatterers?

2) is such an array Y determined by a finite-dimensional scattering data operator F_λ^X corresponding to X and to the wave dynamics generated by $\Delta_{\alpha, Y}$?

The answer to the first question has been known from a long time: by [7, Theorem 2] (see also [2, Lemma 2.2] and [23, Theorems 3.4 and 3.7] for the case of a single sphere), setting

$$(1.3) \quad \alpha_i(r) = r + 4\pi\alpha_i r^2 + o(r^2), \quad \alpha \equiv (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

in (1.1), Δ_{Y^r} converges as $r \searrow 0$ (in strong resolvent sense) to a well defined self-adjoint, bounded from above, operator $\Delta_{\alpha, Y}$. Such an operator $\Delta_{\alpha, Y}$ was firstly rigorously defined in the seminal paper [4] as a self-adjoint extension of the Laplacian restricted to smooth functions with compact support disjoint from Y . Since then it attracted an increasing attention and has been used in a wide range of applications: we refer to the huge list of references in [1], the main text devoted to this operator and its ramifications. In next Section 2 we will recall the definition of $\Delta_{\alpha, Y}$ and describe its main properties.

Although the origin of $\Delta_{\alpha, Y}$ has its root in Quantum Mechanics, as well as most of its applications (however see [21] for its connections with electrodynamics of point particles), in recent years it has been used to provide a rigorous mathematical framework for Foldy's scattering of time-harmonic acoustic and elastic waves, see [10] and [11]. While Foldy's approach considers wave scattering in the frequency domain (see [8], [20, Section 8.3]), our aim here is to work in the time domain.

Let us point out that the scaling (1.3) entering into the boundary conditions (1.1) is the only one leading to a not trivial limit dynamic, i.e., a dynamic different from propagation of free waves in the whole space. This is reminiscent of the case in which one approximates points scatterers with a scaled potential, where the limit dynamics is not trivial if and only if the unscaled potential has a zero-energy resonance (see [1, Section I.I.2]). There is an analogous phenomenon whenever one approximate a point scatterer with an obstacle modeled by a shrinking sphere: the boundary conditions (1.1) together with (1.3) provide a zero-energy resonance (see [23, Theorems 3.7]); different boundary conditions lead, in the limit $r \searrow 0$, to the free Laplacian. For example, whenever one consider Dirichlet boundary conditions on an array of shrinking spheres, one gets an expansion (w.r.t. the radius $r \ll 1$) of the scattered waves which contains no zero-order term (see [24]; notice that the coefficients

C_j appearing there in the expansion (1.7) are proportional to the radii of the shrinking spheres).

Taking into account the limit $r \searrow 0$, one has then at disposal the well defined data operator $F_\lambda^{X^\epsilon} : L^2(X^\epsilon) \rightarrow L^2(X^\epsilon)$,

$$F_\lambda^{X^\epsilon} f := \lim_{r \searrow 0} F_\lambda^{Y^r, X^\epsilon} f = \int_0^\infty e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}t} 1_{X^\epsilon} (u_f^{\alpha, Y}(t, \cdot) - u_f^\emptyset(t, \cdot)) 1_{X^\epsilon} dt, \quad \text{supp}(f) \subset X^\epsilon.$$

where $u_f^{\alpha, Y}$ denotes the solutions of the wave equation corresponding to $\Delta_{\alpha, Y}$ with source term given by the pulse f (see Remark 3.1).

As we will recall in Subsection 3.3 below, a relevant point is the fact that the limit wave equation generated by $\Delta_{\alpha, Y}$, i.e.,

$$(1.4) \quad \partial_{tt} u = \Delta_{\alpha, Y} u$$

can be recasted into the distributional form

$$(1.5) \quad \partial_{tt} u = \Delta u + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i(t) \delta_{y_i}.$$

Here δ_{y_i} denotes Dirac's delta distribution at y_i and the $q_i(t)$'s evolve according to a first-order retarded differential equation (see [14], [22] and (3.16) below); the terms containing the retardation provide the contributions due to multiple scattering.

Let us point out that, for a time-harmonic solution $u(t, x) = e^{-it\kappa} u_\kappa(x)$, the equation (1.4) recasts into the generalized eigenfunctions problem

$$(\Delta_{\alpha, Y} + \kappa^2) u_\kappa = 0,$$

whose solutions are known to be of the kind (see, e.g., [1, eq. (1.5.1), Section II.1.5])

$$(1.6) \quad u_\kappa(x) = u_\kappa^{in}(x) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{1 \leq j, \ell \leq n} \Lambda_{-\kappa^2 + i0}^{j\ell} u_\kappa^{in}(y_\ell) \frac{e^{i\kappa|x-y_j|}}{|x-y_j|},$$

where u_κ^{in} solves the free Helmholtz equation, while $\Lambda_{-\kappa^2 + i0}^{j\ell} := \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \Lambda_{-\kappa^2 + i\epsilon}^{j\ell}$ and Λ_ζ is the inverse of the matrix (2.2) defined in the next Section. Since

$$\Lambda_{-\kappa^2 + i0} = \text{Diag}(\alpha_j - i\kappa/4\pi) A_\kappa,$$

where the matrix A_κ is defined as in [5, page 7, Section 2.3.1], formula (1.6) describes the scattering by an array Y of Foldy's point scatterers having scatterings coefficients

$$g_j = \frac{1}{\alpha_j - \frac{i\kappa}{4\pi}}$$

(see [5, eqs. (2.9) and (2.19)]).

The aim of the present paper is to give a positive answer to the second question. We show that the limit data operator $F_\lambda^X := \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} F_\lambda^{X^\epsilon}$ is a well defined map on \mathbb{R}^N to itself (see Lemma 3.3 for the complete result). Moreover, denoting by P_λ^X the orthogonal projector onto $\ker(F_\lambda^X)$ and by $\phi_\lambda^X(z) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ the vector with components

$$(\phi_\lambda^X(z))_k = \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x_k - z|}}{|x_k - z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus X, \quad x_k \in X,$$

we show in Theorem 4.2 (to which we refer for the precise statement) that the set Y is determined according to the relation

$$Y = \left\{ \text{peak points of the function } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus X \ni z \mapsto |P_\lambda^X \phi_\lambda^X(z)|^{-1} \right\}.$$

Our results can be read as a time-domain analogue of the inverse scattering by point-like scatterers in the Foldy regime studied in [5, Section 2.3.1]; they provide the counterpart, in the case of point scatterers, of our previous results (see [17]) on time-domain inverse scattering for extended obstacles.

The main ingredients in our proofs are the factorized form of the resolvent difference $(-\Delta_{\alpha,Y} + \lambda)^{-1} - (-\Delta + \lambda)^{-1}$ and a variation on the factorization method approach to the MUSIC (MUltiple-SIgnal-Classification) algorithm provided by Kirsch in [12, Section 2] (see also [13, Section 4.1]); however here, contrarily to the frequency-domain case treated by Kirsch, the multiple scattering effects are not neglected.

2. LAPLACIANS WITH POINT SCATTERERS.

Given $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, to any $\alpha \equiv (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there corresponds the self-adjoint realization in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of the Laplacian with n point scatterers y_1, \dots, y_n defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom}(\Delta_{\alpha,Y}) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) : u(x) = u_0(x) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\xi_j}{|x - y_j|}, \quad u_0 \in \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \right. \\ \left. \xi \equiv (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow y_j} \left(u(x) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\xi_j}{|x - y_j|} \right) = \alpha_j \xi_j \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta_{\alpha,Y} : \text{dom}(\Delta_{\alpha,Y}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \Delta_{\alpha,Y} u := \Delta u_0.$$

We refer to [1, Chapter II.1] for more details and proofs. Here the homogeneous Sobolev space of order two $\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is defined by

$$\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) := \{ u \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^3) : |\nabla u| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \Delta u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \}$$

and its relation with the usual Sobolev space of order two $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is given by $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) = \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

The operator $\Delta_{\alpha,Y}$ belongs to the set of self-adjoint extensions of the symmetric one S_Y given by the restriction of the free Laplacian to functions vanishing at the points in Y , i.e., $S_Y := \Delta|_{\mathcal{C}_{comp}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Y)}$; the vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$ plays the role of the extension parameter.

The extensions of the kind $\Delta_{\alpha,Y}$ suffice for the description of the relevant physical models: by [14, Theorem 4], the wave equation $\partial_{tt} u = Au$ corresponding to a self-adjoint extension $S_Y \subset A \subset S_Y^*$ has a finite speed of propagation if and only if $A = \Delta_{\alpha,Y}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, finite speed of propagation holds if and only if the boundary conditions at Y specifying the self-adjointness domain are of local type, i.e., they do not couple scatterers placed at different points: the scatterers are independent of each other.

The vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$, beside specifying the boundary conditions at Y , is related to the scattering length a of the scatterers through the relation $a = -(4\pi)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{-1}$ (see [1, Section II.1.5]).

The resolvent of $\Delta_{\alpha,Y}$ is given by

$$(2.1) \quad (-\Delta_{\alpha,Y} + \zeta)^{-1} = (-\Delta + \zeta)^{-1} + K_\zeta, \quad \zeta \notin \sigma(\Delta_{\alpha,Y}),$$

where $\sigma(\Delta_{\alpha,Y})$ denotes the spectrum of $\Delta_{\alpha,Y}$,

$$(-\Delta + \zeta)^{-1} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0],$$

is the resolvent of the free Laplacian with kernel function

$$(-\Delta + \zeta)^{-1}(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\zeta}|x-y|}}{|x-y|}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\sqrt{\zeta}) > 0,$$

and the finite-rank operator $K_\zeta : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ has kernel function

$$K_\zeta(x, y) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \Lambda_\zeta^{ij} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\zeta}|x-y_i|}}{|x-y_i|} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\zeta}|y-y_j|}}{|y-y_j|}.$$

Here $\Lambda_\zeta \equiv (\Lambda_\zeta^{ij})$ is the inverse of the $n \times n$ matrix $M_\zeta \equiv (M_\zeta^{ij})$ given by

$$(2.2) \quad M_\zeta^{ij} = \left(\alpha_i + \frac{\sqrt{\zeta}}{4\pi} \right) \delta_{ij} - \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\zeta}|y_i-y_j|}}{4\pi |y_i-y_j|} (1 - \delta_{ij}),$$

δ_{ij} denoting Kronecker's delta. Regarding the spectral profile, since the resolvent of $\Delta_{\alpha,Y}$ is a n -rank perturbation of the free resolvent, the essential spectrum of the free Laplacian is preserved and the discrete spectrum contains at most n distinct eigenvalues; in more detail

$$\sigma_{\text{ac}}(\Delta_{\alpha,Y}) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(\Delta_{\alpha,Y}) = (-\infty, 0], \quad \sigma_{\text{disc}}(\Delta_{\alpha,Y}) = \{\lambda > 0 : \det M_\lambda = 0\}.$$

For later purposes, we need to investigate the positiveness of the matrix in (2.2):

Lemma 2.1. *Let the matrix $M_\lambda \equiv (M_\lambda^{ij})$ be defined by (2.2) with $\zeta = \lambda \in (0, +\infty)$. Then,*

$$M_\lambda \text{ is positive-definite} \iff \lambda > \lambda_{\alpha,Y} := \sup \sigma(\Delta_{\alpha,Y}).$$

Proof. Let $\lambda > 0$ and

$$v_\lambda^\xi(x) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x-y_j|}}{|x-y_j|}, \quad \xi \equiv (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

By [25, Section 2], the quadratic form $Q_{\alpha,Y}$ of $-\Delta_{\alpha,Y}$ has the λ -independent representation

$$\operatorname{dom}(Q_{\alpha,Y}) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) : u = u_\lambda + v_\lambda^\xi, \quad u_\lambda \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{C}^n\},$$

$$Q_{\alpha,Y}(u) = \|\nabla u_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \|u_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 - \lambda \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \langle \xi, M_\lambda \xi \rangle.$$

If $\lambda > \lambda_{\alpha,Y}$ then, for any $\xi \neq 0$,

$$\langle \xi, M_\lambda \xi \rangle = Q_{\alpha,Y}(v_\lambda^\xi) + \lambda \|v_\lambda^\xi\|_{L^2}^2 > 0.$$

Conversely, let $\lambda > 0$ be such that M_λ is positive-definite and, given any $u \in \operatorname{dom}(Q_{\alpha,Y}) \setminus \{0\}$, let use the decomposition $u = u_\lambda + v_\lambda^\xi$. Then

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha,Y}(u) + \lambda \|u\|_{L^2}^2 &= \|\nabla u_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \|u_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 + \langle \xi, M_\lambda \xi \rangle \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \langle \xi, M_\lambda \xi \rangle, & \xi \neq 0 \\ \|\nabla u_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \|u_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2, & \xi = 0 \end{cases} \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so $\lambda > \lambda_{\alpha,Y}$. □

Obviously, whenever Y is the singleton $Y = \{y\}$ one has

$$\lambda_{\alpha,y} = \begin{cases} 0 & \alpha \geq 0 \\ (4\pi\alpha)^2 & \alpha < 0. \end{cases}$$

The next result provides a simple rough estimate on $\lambda_{\alpha,Y}$ whenever $n > 1$.

Lemma 2.2. *Set*

$$\alpha_\circ := \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i, \quad d := \min_{i \neq j} |y_i - y_j|.$$

Then

$$0 \leq \lambda_{\alpha,Y} \leq \begin{cases} 0, & 4\pi\alpha_\circ d \geq n - 1, \\ \lambda_\circ, & 4\pi\alpha_\circ d < n - 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda_\circ > 0$ solves

$$4\pi\alpha_\circ d + \sqrt{\lambda_\circ} d = (n - 1) e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_\circ} d}.$$

Proof. The thesis is consequence of (2.3) and the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi, M_\lambda \xi \rangle &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\alpha_j + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi} \right) |\xi_j|^2 - \sum_{j < k} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|y_j - y_k|}}{4\pi|y_j - y_k|} 2 \operatorname{Re}(\bar{\xi}_j \xi_k) \\ &\geq \left(\alpha_\circ + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi} \right) |\xi|^2 - \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}d}}{4\pi d} \sum_{j < k} 2 |\xi_j| |\xi_k| \\ &\geq \left(\alpha_\circ + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi} - (n - 1) \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}d}}{4\pi d} \right) |\xi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

3. WAVE SCATTERING AND THE DATA OPERATOR.

3.1. Abstract wave equations. Let $A : \operatorname{dom}(A) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be self-adjoint and bounded from above; we consider the Cauchy problem for the corresponding wave equation

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_{tt} u(t) = Au(t) \\ u(0) = u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \\ \partial_t u(0) = v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3). \end{cases}$$

We say that $u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ is a mild solution of (3.1) whenever, for any $t \geq 0$, there holds

$$\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds \in \operatorname{dom}(A) \quad \text{and} \quad u(t) = u_0 + tv_0 + A \int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds.$$

By [3, Proposition 3.14.4, Corollary 3.14.8 and Example 3.14.16], the unique mild solution of (3.1) is given by

$$(3.2) \quad u(t) = \operatorname{Cos}_A(t) u_0 + \operatorname{Sin}_A(t) v_0$$

where the $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ -valued functions $t \mapsto \text{Cos}_A(t)$ and $t \mapsto \text{Sin}_A(t)$ are defined through the $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ -valued (inverse) Laplace transform by the relations

$$(3.3) \quad \sqrt{\lambda}(-A + \lambda)^{-1} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}t} \text{Cos}_A(t) dt, \quad \lambda > \lambda_A,$$

$$(3.4) \quad (-A + \lambda)^{-1} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}t} \text{Sin}_A(t) dt, \quad \lambda > \lambda_A,$$

with

$$\lambda_A := \sup \sigma(A).$$

Notice that (see [3, relation (3.93)])

$$(3.5) \quad \text{Sin}_A(t) = \int_0^t \text{Cos}_A(s) ds.$$

If $\lambda_A = 0$, then, by functional calculus,

$$\text{Cos}_A(t) = \cos(t(-A)^{1/2}), \quad \text{Sin}_A(t) = (-A)^{-1/2} \sin(t(-A)^{1/2}).$$

Given $\chi \in L^1(0, +\infty)$ and given $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, let $u_{\chi g}^A$ be the solution of the wave equation with the source χg , i.e.,

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_{tt} u_{\chi g}^A(t) = A u_{\chi g}^A(t) + \chi(t)g \\ u_{\chi g}^A(0) = 0 \\ \partial_t u_{\chi g}^A(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

By [3, Proposition 3.1.16] (see also [6, Section II.4]),

$$(3.7) \quad u_{\chi g}^A(t) = \int_0^t \text{Sin}_A(t-s) \chi(s) g ds.$$

Let $\chi_\tau \in L^1(0, +\infty)$ be an approximation of Dirac's delta distribution at $t = 0$, i.e.,

$$(3.8) \quad \chi_\tau(t) \geq 0, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \chi_\tau(s) ds = 1, \quad \lim_{\tau \searrow 0} \int_0^{+\infty} s \chi_\tau(s) ds = 0.$$

Two common choices are $\chi_\tau(t) = \frac{1}{\tau} 1_{[0, \tau]}(t)$ and $\chi_\tau(t) = \frac{1}{\tau} e^{-t/\tau}$.

Let $u_g^A(t)$ be the solution of the homogenous Cauchy problem

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_{tt} u_g^A(t) = A u_g^A(t) \\ u_g^A(0) = 0 \\ \partial_t u_g^A(0) = g. \end{cases}$$

By (3.2), (3.7), (3.5) and hypotheses (3.8), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\tau \searrow 0} \|u_g^A(t) - u_{\chi_\tau g}^A(t)\|_{L^2} = \lim_{\tau \searrow 0} \left\| \text{Sin}_A(t)g - \int_0^t \text{Sin}_A(t-s)\chi_\tau(s)g \, ds \right\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq \lim_{\tau \searrow 0} \left(\int_0^t \left\| \left(\int_{t-s}^t \text{Cos}_A(r) \, dr \right) \chi_\tau(s)g \right\|_{L^2} ds + \int_t^{+\infty} \left\| \text{Sin}_A(t)\chi_\tau(s)g \right\|_{L^2} ds \right) \\
& \leq \lim_{\tau \searrow 0} \left(\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \|\text{Cos}_A(r)\|_{L^2, L^2} \|g\|_{L^2} \int_0^t s \chi_\tau(s) \, ds + \|\text{Sin}_A(t)\|_{L^2, L^2} \|g\|_{L^2} \int_t^{+\infty} \chi_\tau(s) \, ds \right) \\
& \leq c \lim_{\tau \searrow 0} \int_0^{+\infty} s \chi_\tau(s) \, ds = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the $u_g^A(t)$ solving (3.9) can be interpreted as the solution of the inhomogeneous Cauchy problem

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_{tt} u_g^A(t) = A u_g^A(t) + \delta_0(t)g \\ u_g^A(0) = 0 \\ \partial_t u_g^A(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.1. By (3.4), if A_n converges to A in strong resolvent sense as $n \nearrow +\infty$, then

$$\lim_{n \nearrow +\infty} \int_0^\infty e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}t} u_g^{A_n} \, dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}t} u_g^A(t) \, dt.$$

3.2. The data operator with point scatterers. Let $u_{\chi_\tau g}^{\alpha, Y}$ and $u_{\chi_\tau g}^\varnothing$ denote the solutions of the Cauchy problems

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt} u_{\chi_\tau g}^{\alpha, Y}(t, x) = \Delta_{\alpha, Y} u_{\chi_\tau g}^{\alpha, Y}(t, x) + \chi_\tau(t)g(x) \\ u_{\chi_\tau g}^{\alpha, Y}(0, x) = 0 \\ \partial_t u_{\chi_\tau g}^{\alpha, Y}(0, x) = 0, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \partial_{tt} u_{\chi_\tau g}^\varnothing(t, x) = \Delta u_{\chi_\tau g}^\varnothing(t, x) + \chi_\tau(t)g(x) \\ u_{\chi_\tau g}^\varnothing(0, x) = 0 \\ \partial_t u_{\chi_\tau g}^\varnothing(0, x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

With respect to the previous subsection, here we use the notations

$$u_{(\dots)}^{\alpha, Y} \equiv u_{(\dots)}^{\Delta_{\alpha, Y}}, \quad u_{(\dots)}^\varnothing \equiv u_{(\dots)}^\Delta.$$

In typical scattering experiments one measures the scattered wave

$$(3.11) \quad u_{\chi_\tau g}^{\alpha, Y}(t, x) - u_{\chi_\tau g}^\varnothing(t, x)$$

produced by a sharp pulse $\chi_\tau g$, $\tau \ll 1$. By the previous discussion leading to (3.10) (equivalently to (3.9)), in the ideal experiment in which the pulse is concentrated at $t = 0$, (3.11) is replaced by

$$u_g^{\alpha, Y}(t, x) - u_g^\varnothing(t, x),$$

where $u_g^{\alpha, Y}$ and u_g^\varnothing solve

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_{tt} u_g^{\alpha, Y}(t, x) = \Delta_{\alpha, Y} u_g^{\alpha, Y}(t, x) \\ u_g^{\alpha, Y}(0, x) = 0 \\ \partial_t u_g^{\alpha, Y}(0, x) = g(x), \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \partial_{tt} u_g^\varnothing(t, x) = \Delta u_g^\varnothing(t, x) \\ u_g^\varnothing(0, x) = 0 \\ \partial_t u_g^\varnothing(0, x) = g(x). \end{cases}$$

Considering then an array of points $X_N = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Y$, we now assume $g = f_\epsilon$ supported in a ϵ -neighborhood of X_N , where

$$(3.13) \quad f_\epsilon(x) := \sum_{k=1}^N f_k \varphi_\epsilon(x - x_k), \quad f \equiv (f_1, \dots, f_N),$$

$$(3.14) \quad \varphi_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{comp}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi(x) dx = 1.$$

By (3.13) and (3.14), f_ϵ converges, in distributional sense, to $\sum_{k=1}^N f_k \delta_{x_k}$ as $\epsilon \searrow 0$. Let us introduce the operator

$$F_\lambda^{N,\epsilon} : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (F_\lambda^{N,\epsilon} f)_k := \int_0^\infty e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}t} (u_{f_\epsilon}^{\alpha,Y}(t, x_k) - u_{f_\epsilon}^\emptyset(t, x_k)) dt, \quad \lambda > \lambda_{\alpha,Y}.$$

$F_\lambda^{N,\epsilon}$ corresponds to the measurements at time t and at points x_1, \dots, x_N of the scattered waves produced by pulses supported at $t = 0$ and in tiny (whenever $\epsilon \ll 1$) neighborhoods of the same points x_1, \dots, x_N (detectors and emitters are at the same places).

Remark 3.2. Since $f_\epsilon \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{comp}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ belongs to the form domain of $\Delta_{\alpha,Y}$ (that is to $\text{dom}(Q_{\alpha,Y})$ as defined in the proof of Lemma 2.1), the solution $u_{f_\epsilon}^{\alpha,Y}(t, \cdot)$ entering in the definition of $F_\lambda^{N,\epsilon}$ is a strong one (see e.g. [9, Chapter 2, section 7]), i.e.,

$$u_{f_\epsilon}^{\alpha,Y} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+, \text{dom}(\Delta_{\alpha,Y})) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \text{dom}(Q_{\alpha,Y})) \cap \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}_+, L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Since $\text{dom}(\Delta_{\alpha,Y}) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Y)$, the evaluation at the point x_k in $(F_\lambda^{N,\epsilon} f)_k$ is a legitimate operation.

Next we show that $F_\lambda^{N,\epsilon}$ admits a well defined limit as $\epsilon \searrow 0$, so that one is allowed to consider the case in which the N emitters and the N detectors are both placed at the points x_1, \dots, x_N .

Lemma 3.3. *Let $u_{f_\epsilon}^{\alpha,Y}$ and $u_{f_\epsilon}^\emptyset$ be the solutions of the Cauchy problems in (3.12), where g equals f_ϵ defined in (3.13). Then, the limits*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} (F_\lambda^{N,\epsilon} f)_k = \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \int_0^\infty e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}t} (u_{f_\epsilon}^{\alpha,Y}(t, x_k) - u_{f_\epsilon}^\emptyset(t, x_k)) dt, \quad k = 1, \dots, N,$$

exist.

Proof. Since $u_{f_\epsilon}^{\alpha, Y}$ and $u_{f_\epsilon}^\varnothing$ solve (3.12) with $g = f_\epsilon$, by (3.2), (3.4) and the resolvent formula (2.1), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} (F_\lambda^{N, \epsilon} f)_k &= \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \int_0^\infty e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}t} (u_{f_\epsilon}^{\alpha, Y}(t, x_k) - u_{f_\epsilon}^\varnothing(t, x_k)) dt \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \int_0^\infty e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}t} (\text{Sin}_{\Delta_{\alpha, Y}}(t) f_\epsilon)(x_k) - ((-\Delta)^{-1/2} \sin(t(-\Delta)^{1/2}) f_\epsilon)(x_k) dt \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} ((-\Delta_{\alpha, Y} + \lambda)^{-1} f_\epsilon - (-\Delta + \lambda)^{-1} f_\epsilon)(x_k) \\ &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \sum_{i, j=1}^n \Lambda_\lambda^{ij} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x_k - y_i|}}{|x_k - y_i|} \left(\lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x - y_j|}}{|x - y_j|} f_\epsilon(x) dx \right) \end{aligned}$$

By (3.13) and (3.14),

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} (F_\lambda^{N, \epsilon} f)_k &= \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \int_0^\infty e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}t} (u_{f_\epsilon}^{\alpha, Y}(t, x_k) - u_{f_\epsilon}^\varnothing(t, x_k)) dt \\ (3.15) \quad &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \sum_{i, j=1}^n \Lambda_\lambda^{ij} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x_k - y_i|}}{|x_k - y_i|} \sum_{\ell=1}^N \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x_\ell - y_j|}}{|x_\ell - y_j|} f_\ell. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.3. A convenient representation of the scattered waves. In order to implement numerical tests, it is useful to have at disposal an explicit formula for the difference of the solutions of the two Cauchy problems (3.12), providing a convenient representation of the scattered waves entering in the definition of $F_\lambda^{N, \epsilon}$. By [14, Theorem 3] (see also [22, Theorem 3.1], and, for the case of a single point scatterer, the antecedent result in [21, Theorem 3.2]) $u_{f_\epsilon}^{\alpha, Y}(t)$ can be written in terms of $u_{f_\epsilon}^\varnothing(t)$ and of the solution of a system of inhomogeneous retarded first-order differential equations.

More precisely, if $q_\epsilon(t) \equiv (q_\epsilon^1(t), \dots, q_\epsilon^n(t))$, $t \geq 0$, denotes the unique solution of the Cauchy problem (here the dot in $\dot{q}_\epsilon^j(t)$ denotes the time-derivative and H is Heaviside's function)

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4\pi} \dot{q}_\epsilon^j(t) + \alpha_j q_\epsilon^j(t) = u_{f_\epsilon}^\varnothing(t, y_j) + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{H(t - |y_i - y_j|)}{4\pi |y_i - y_j|} q_\epsilon^i(t - |y_i - y_j|), \\ q_\epsilon^j(0) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$

then

$$(3.17) \quad u_{f_\epsilon}^{\alpha, Y}(t, x) = u_{f_\epsilon}^\varnothing(t, x) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{H(t - |x - y_j|)}{4\pi |x - y_j|} q_\epsilon^j(t - |x - y_j|),$$

i.e., $u_{f_\epsilon}^{\alpha, Y}(t)$ coincides with the solution $u_\epsilon(t)$, of the inhomogeneous (distributional) Cauchy problem

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_{tt} u_\epsilon(t) = \Delta u_\epsilon(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n q_\epsilon^j(t) \delta_{y_j} + \delta_0(t) f_\epsilon \\ u_\epsilon(0) = 0 \\ \partial_t u_\epsilon(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where the $q_\epsilon^j(t)$'s solve (3.16). Notice that the retarded terms in (3.16) take into account the multiple scattering effects.

In conclusion,

$$u_{f_\epsilon}^{\alpha, Y}(t, x_k) - u_{f_\epsilon}^\varnothing(t, x_k) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{H(t - |x_k - y_j|)}{4\pi |x_k - y_j|} q_\epsilon^j(t - |x_k - y_j|),$$

where the $q_\epsilon^j(t)$'s solve (3.16).

4. INVERSE WAVE SCATTERING IN THE TIME DOMAIN.

Let us fix $\lambda > \lambda_{\alpha, Y}$, a compact set $K \supset Y$ and a denumerable set

$$D = \{x_k, k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset K \setminus Y;$$

D represents the points where the emitters/detectors can be placed. We introduce the following hypothesis regarding D :

$$(4.1) \quad \text{the closure of } D \text{ contains a not void open set.}$$

For any integer $N > 0$, we define the map

$$(4.2) \quad \phi_\lambda^N : K \setminus D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \phi_\lambda^N(z) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x_1-z|}}{|x_1-z|} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x_N-z|}}{|x_N-z|} \end{bmatrix}$$

and the linear operator

$$(4.3) \quad \Phi_\lambda^N : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \Phi_\lambda^N \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x_1-y_1|}}{|x_1-y_1|} & \cdots & \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x_1-y_n|}}{|x_1-y_n|} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x_N-y_1|}}{|x_N-y_1|} & \cdots & \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x_N-y_n|}}{|x_N-y_n|} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let ϕ_λ^N and Φ_λ^N be the maps defined in (4.2) and (4.3). Then, there exists $N_\circ \geq 1$ such that, for any $N \geq N_\circ$,*

$$z \in Y \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \phi_\lambda^N(z) \in \text{ran}(\Phi_\lambda^N).$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If $z = y_k \in Y$, then $\phi_\lambda^N(z) = \Phi_\lambda^N \xi$, where $\xi \equiv (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$, $\xi_j = \delta_{kj}$.

(\Leftarrow) Here we mimic the arguments provided in the proof of [13, Theorem 4.1]. Suppose that the implication is false, i.e.,

$$\forall N \geq 1, \exists z_N \in K \setminus Y \text{ such that } \phi_\lambda^N(z_N) \in \text{ran}(\Phi_\lambda^N).$$

Since $\Phi_\lambda^N = [\phi_\lambda^N(y_1), \dots, \phi_\lambda^N(y_n)]$,

$$\phi_\lambda^N(z_N) \in \text{ran}(\Phi_\lambda^N) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \phi_\lambda^N(z_N) \in \text{span}\{\phi_\lambda^N(y_1), \dots, \phi_\lambda^N(y_n)\}.$$

an so there would exist sequences

$$\{N_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{N}, \quad N_\ell \nearrow +\infty, \quad \{\xi_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \{\eta_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}, \quad |\xi_\ell|^2 + |\eta_\ell|^2 = 1, \quad \{z_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^\infty \subset K \setminus Y,$$

such that

$$(4.4) \quad \forall \ell \geq 1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n (\xi_\ell)_j \phi_\lambda^{N_\ell}(y_j) = \eta_\ell \phi_\lambda^{N_\ell}(z_\ell).$$

Therefore the analytic functions

$$v_\ell : \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (Y \cup \{z_\ell\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad v_\ell(x) := \eta_\ell \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x-z_\ell|}}{|x-z_\ell|} - \sum_{j=1}^n (\xi_\ell)_j \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x-y_j|}}{|x-y_j|}$$

would vanish on the set D and so, by our hypothesis (4.1), they would be identically zero. Hence

$$\forall \ell \geq 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (Y \cup \{z_\ell\}), \quad \eta_\ell \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x-z_\ell|}}{|x-z_\ell|} = \sum_{j=1}^n (\xi_\ell)_j \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x-y_j|}}{|x-y_j|}.$$

Since the sequences $\{\xi_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^\infty$, $\{\eta_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^\infty$ and $\{z_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^\infty$ are bounded, one has, eventually considering subsequences, $\xi_\ell \rightarrow \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\eta_\ell \rightarrow \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, $z_\ell \rightarrow z \in K$ as $\ell \nearrow +\infty$ and so one would get

$$(4.5) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (Y \cup \{z\}), \quad \eta \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x-z|}}{|x-z|} = \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x-y_j|}}{|x-y_j|}.$$

Let us now show that this is impossible, by considering separately the cases $z \in K \setminus Y$ and $z = y_i \in Y$.

If $z \in K \setminus Y$ then, by considering the limit $x \rightarrow y_k$ in (4.5), one would get $\xi_k = 0$ for any k and hence $\eta = 0$; this is impossible, since $|\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2 = 1$.

If $z = y_i \in Y$ then, by considering the limit $x \rightarrow y_i$ in (4.5), one would get $\xi_i = \eta$ and therefore

$$(4.6) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (Y \cup \{z\}), \quad \sum_{j \neq i} \xi_j \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x-y_j|}}{|x-y_j|} = 0.$$

This would give $\xi_j = 0$ for any $j \neq i$ and hence $|\eta| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Now, let us re-write (4.4) as

$$(4.7) \quad \forall k \geq 1, \quad \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{(\xi_\ell)_j}{\varepsilon_\ell} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x_k-y_j|}}{|x_k-y_j|} = \frac{\eta_\ell - (\xi_\ell)_k}{\varepsilon_\ell} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x_k-y_i|}}{|x_k-y_i|} + \frac{\eta_\ell}{\varepsilon_\ell} \left(\frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x_k-z_\ell|}}{|x_k-z_\ell|} - \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x_k-y_i|}}{|x_k-y_i|} \right),$$

where

$$\varepsilon_\ell := \left(|\eta_\ell - (\xi_\ell)_i|^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} |(\xi_\ell)_j|^2 + |z_\ell - y_i|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

By

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x_k-z_\ell|}}{|x_k-z_\ell|} - \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x_k-y_i|}}{|x_k-y_i|} \\ &= \left(\sqrt{\lambda} + \frac{1}{|x_k-y_i|} \right) \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x_k-y_i|}}{|x_k-y_i|} \frac{x_k-y_i}{|x_k-y_i|} \cdot (z_\ell - y_i) + o(|y_i - z_\ell|), \end{aligned}$$

and by (eventually considering subsequences)

$$\frac{(\xi_\ell)_j}{\varepsilon_\ell} \rightarrow \tilde{\xi}_j, \quad j \neq i, \quad \frac{(\xi_\ell)_i - \eta_\ell}{\varepsilon_\ell} \rightarrow \tilde{\xi}_i, \quad \frac{z_\ell - y_k}{\varepsilon_\ell} \rightarrow \tilde{z}_k, \quad |\tilde{\xi}|^2 + |\tilde{z}_k|^2 = 1,$$

as $\ell \nearrow +\infty$, one would get, considering the limit $\ell \nearrow +\infty$ in the relation (4.7),

$$\forall k \geq 1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{\xi}_j \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x_k - y_j|}}{|x_k - y_j|} = \eta \left(\sqrt{\lambda} + \frac{1}{|x_k - y_i|} \right) \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x_k - y_i|}}{|x_k - y_i|} \frac{x_k - y_i}{|x_k - y_i|} \cdot \tilde{z}_k$$

Again taking into account hypothesis (4.1) on the set D , one would obtain

$$(4.8) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Y, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{\xi}_j \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x - y_j|}}{|x - y_j|} = \eta \left(\sqrt{\lambda} + \frac{1}{|x - y_i|} \right) \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x - y_i|}}{|x - y_i|} \frac{x - y_i}{|x - y_i|} \cdot \tilde{z}_k.$$

Considering the limits $x \rightarrow y_j$, $j \neq i$ in (4.8), one would get $\tilde{\xi}_j = 0$ for any $j \neq i$ and so (4.8) would reduce to

$$(4.9) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Y, \quad \tilde{\xi}_i = \eta \left(\sqrt{\lambda} + \frac{1}{|x - y_i|} \right) \frac{x - y_i}{|x - y_i|} \cdot \tilde{z}_k,$$

Considering the limit $x \rightarrow y_i$ in (4.9), one would get $\tilde{z}_k = 0$ and hence $\tilde{\xi}_i = 0$. This is impossible, since $|\tilde{\xi}_i|^2 + |\tilde{z}_k|^2 = 1$. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let $D = \{x_k, k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset K \setminus Y$ satisfy hypothesis (4.1) and let $\lambda > \lambda_{\alpha, Y}$. Then there exists $N_\circ \geq 1$ such that for any $N \geq N_\circ$ the data operator corresponding to $X_N := \{x_k \in D, k \leq N\}$ defined by*

$$F_\lambda^N : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (F_\lambda^N f)_k := \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \int_0^\infty e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}t} (u_{f_\epsilon}^{\alpha, Y}(t, x_k) - u_{f_\epsilon}^\emptyset(t, x_k)) dt$$

determines Y according to

$$z \in Y \iff \phi_\lambda^N(z) \in \ker(F_\lambda^N)^\perp.$$

Equivalently, denoting by P_λ^N the orthogonal projector onto $\ker(F_\lambda^N)$, one has

$$Y = \{ \text{peak points of the function } z \mapsto |P_\lambda^N \phi_\lambda^N(z)|^{-1} \}.$$

Proof. By (3.15), one has

$$(4.10) \quad F_\lambda^N = (4\pi)^{-2} \Phi_\lambda^N \Lambda_\lambda (\Phi_\lambda^N)^*.$$

Since M_λ is positive-definite by Lemma (2.1), $\Lambda_\lambda = M_\lambda^{-1}$ is positive-definite as well and so it has a nonsingular square root. Hence

$$F_\lambda^N = (4\pi)^{-2} \Phi_\lambda^N \Lambda_\lambda^{1/2} (\Phi_\lambda^N \Lambda_\lambda^{1/2})^*$$

and

$$\ker(F_\lambda^N)^\perp = \text{ran}((F_\lambda^N)^*) = \text{ran}(\Phi_\lambda^N \Lambda_\lambda^{1/2} (\Phi_\lambda^N \Lambda_\lambda^{1/2})^*) = \text{ran}(\Phi_\lambda^N \Lambda_\lambda^{1/2}) = \text{ran}(\Phi_\lambda^N).$$

The proof is then concluded by Lemma 4.1. \square

Remark 4.3. The arguments of proof of Lemma 4.1, being not constructive, do not provide any lower bound on the number N_{\circ} of emitters/detectors needed to locate the n point in the array Y . Since the $N \times N$ data matrix F_{λ}^N is symmetric, by elementary dimensional considerations, in order to determine the unknown $3n$ coordinates, one at least would need N_{\circ} such that $(N_{\circ}^2 + N_{\circ})/2 \geq 3n$. This suggests the rough estimate

$$N_{\circ} > \left\lfloor \frac{5\sqrt{n} - 1}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the integer part. At the best of our knowledge, a precise estimate of N_{\circ} is a relevant open question which may require different techniques from the ones implemented here.

Remark 4.4. Suppose that the locations y_1, \dots, y_n of the scatterers have been determined. Let us now work with $N = n$ sources/detectors. Thus the $n \times n$ matrix Φ_{λ}^n is known.

Since the map $(0, +\infty) \ni \lambda \mapsto \det(\Phi_{\lambda}^n)$ is analytic, it has isolated zeroes; let us choose $\lambda > \lambda_{\alpha, Y}$ such that $\det(\Phi_{\lambda}^n) \neq 0$. Then, by (3.15), equivalently, by (4.10),

$$\Lambda_{\lambda} = M_{\lambda}^{-1} = (4\pi)^2 (\Phi_{\lambda}^n)^{-1} F_{\lambda}^n ((\Phi_{\lambda}^n)^*)^{-1}.$$

and

$$\det(F_{\lambda}^n) = (4\pi)^{-2n} (\det(\Phi_{\lambda}^n))^2 \det(\Lambda_{\lambda}) \neq 0.$$

Therefore, using (2.2), one can recover the coefficients $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ from the knowledge of F_{λ}^n by the relations

$$\alpha_i = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} ((\Phi_{\lambda}^n)^* (F_{\lambda}^n)^{-1} \Phi_{\lambda}^n)_{ii} - \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}.$$

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Mourad Sini, Guanghui Hu and Ibtissem Ben Aïtcha for fruitful discussions. During the preparation of this work, A.M. was a member of the CNRS working group at WPI in Wien; he thanks the Pauli Institute for the hospitality and the support. We also gratefully acknowledge one anonymous referee for the stimulating remarks.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Hoegh-Krohn, H. Holden: *Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics*, 2nd Edition, AMS Chelsea Publ., 2005.
- [2] J.P. Antoine, F. Gesztesy and J. Shabani: Exactly solvable models of sphere interactions in quantum mechanics. *J. Phys. A* **20** (1987), 3687-3712.
- [3] W. Arendt, C.J.K. Batty, M. Hieber, F. Neubrander: *Vector-valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy Problems. 2nd ed.* Birkhäuser, 2011
- [4] F.A. Berezin, L.D. Faddeev: A remark on Schrödinger's equation with a singular potential, *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **2** (1961), 372-375.
- [5] D.P. Challa, M. Sini: Inverse scattering by point-like scatterers in the Foldy regime. *Inverse Problems* **28** (2012), 125006 (39pp).
- [6] H.O. Fattorini: *Second order linear differential equations in Banach spaces.* North-Holland Publishing Co. 1985.
- [7] R. Figari, A. Teta: A boundary value problem of mixed type on perforated domains. *Asymptotic Analysis* **6** (1993), 271-284.

- [8] L. Foldy: The multiple scattering of waves I. General theory of isotropic scattering by randomly distributed scatterers. *Phys. Rev.* **67** (1945) 107-119.
- [9] J.A. Goldstein: *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications*. Oxford Univ. Press, 1985.
- [10] G. Hu, A. Mantile, M. Sini: Direct and inverse acoustic scattering by a collection of extended and point-like scatterers. *Multiscale Model. Simul.* **12** (2014), 996-1027.
- [11] G. Hu, A. Mantile, M. Sini, Y. Tao: Direct and inverse time-harmonic elastic scattering from point-like and extended obstacles. *Inverse Probl. Imaging* **14** (2020), 1025-1056.
- [12] A. Kirsch: The MUSIC algorithm and the factorization method in inverse scattering theory for inhomogeneous media. *Inverse Problems* **18** (2002), 1025-1040.
- [13] A. Kirsch, N. Grinberg: *The Factorization Method for Inverse Problems*. Oxford University Press, 2008.
- [14] P. Kurasov, A. Posilicano: Finite speed of propagation and local boundary conditions for wave equations with point interactions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133** (2005), 3071-3078.
- [15] A. Mantile, A. Posilicano: Asymptotic Completeness and S-Matrix for Singular Perturbations. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **130** (2019), 36-67.
- [16] A. Mantile, A. Posilicano: Inverse Scattering for the Laplace Operator with Boundary Conditions on Lipschitz Surfaces. *Inverse Problems* **35** (2019), 124007 (27pp).
- [17] A. Mantile, A. Posilicano: Inverse wave scattering in the Laplace domain: a factorization method approach. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **148** (2020), 3975-3988.
- [18] A. Mantile, A. Posilicano, M. Sini: Self-adjoint elliptic operators with boundary conditions on not closed hypersurfaces. *J. Differential Equations* **261** (2016), 1-55.
- [19] A. Mantile, A. Posilicano, M. Sini: Limiting Absorption Principle, Generalized Eigenfunctions and Scattering Matrix for Laplace Operators with Boundary conditions on Hypersurfaces. *J. Spectr. Theory* **8** (2018), 1443-1486.
- [20] P.A. Martin: *Multiple Scattering: Interaction of Time-Harmonic Waves with N Obstacles*. Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [21] D. Noja, A. Posilicano: The wave equation with one point interaction and the (linearized) classical electrodynamics of a point particle. *Ann. Inst. H Poincaré.* **68** (1998), 351-377.
- [22] D. Noja, A. Posilicano: Wave equations with concentrated nonlinearities. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38** (2005), 5011-5022.
- [23] S. Shimada: Resolvent convergence of sphere interactions to point interactions. *J. Math. Phys.* **44** (2003), 990-1005.
- [24] M. Sini, H. Wang, Q. Yao: Analysis of the acoustic waves reflected by a cluster of small holes in the time-domain and the equivalent mass density. *Multiscale Model. Simul.* **19** (2021), 1083-1114.
- [25] A. Teta: Quadratic forms for singular perturbations of the Laplacian. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **26** (1990), 803-817.

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE REIMS, UMR9008 CNRS ET UNIVERSITÉ DE REIMS CHAMPAGNE-ARDENNE, MOULIN DE LA HOUSSE BP 1039, 51687 REIMS, FRANCE

DiSAT, SEZIONE DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DELL'INSUBRIA, VIA VALLEGGIO 11, I-22100 COMO, ITALY

Email address: andrea.mantile@univ-reims.fr

Email address: andrea.posilicano@uninsubria.it