

The Space of Integrable Systems from Generalised $T\bar{T}$ -Deformations

Benjamin Doyon¹, Joseph Durnin¹, Takato Yoshimura²

¹ Department of Mathematics, King's College London, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom

² Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Ookayama 2-12-1, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

December 30, 2021

Abstract

We introduce an extension of the generalised $T\bar{T}$ -deformation described by Smirnov-Zamolodchikov, to include the complete set of extensive charges. We show that this gives deformations of S-matrices beyond CDD factors, generating arbitrary functional dependence on momenta. We further derive from basic principles of statistical mechanics the flow equations for the free energy and all free energy fluxes. From this follows, without invoking the microscopic Bethe ansatz or other methods from integrability, that the thermodynamics of the deformed models are described by the integral equations of the thermodynamic Bethe-Ansatz, and that the exact average currents take the form expected from generalised hydrodynamics, both in the classical and quantum realms.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Integrable deformations by local charges	3
3	Generalised Boost and $T\bar{T}$ -deformations	4
4	Flow Equations	6
5	Thermodynamic Bethe ansatz	7
6	S-matrix Analyticity and Bound States	7
7	Conclusion	8
8	Acknowledgements	9
A	Quasi-local charges in free Majorana fermions	9
B	Commutativity of conserved charges	10

C Deformed 2-Particle S-matrix	11
C.1 Classical Mechanics	11
C.2 Quantum Mechanics	13
C.3 Multiple Particle Species and Charges	13
D Flow Equations for Free Energy Fluxes: Generic Derivation	14
D.1 Action of the X generator	14
D.2 Deformations of densities and currents	16
D.3 Deformation of free energy fluxes	16
E Flow Equations from a Self-Conserved Current	17
F Bethe Ansatz Flow Equations	19
References	21

1 Introduction

Much progress is made in the study of many-body systems by the analysis of special classes of theories where exact properties can be extracted. The main historical example is that of integrable systems, which occur in a variety of physical setups, from classical gases to quantum field theories (QFT). Quite surprisingly, regardless of the specific setup, these systems share many properties and common descriptions, such as the factorisation of scattering amplitudes into two-body scattering phases or matrices [1,2], the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz (TBA) [3,4] and generalised hydrodynamics (GHD) [5,6]. However, progressing beyond special examples to an understanding of the full space of integrable many-body systems, and uncovering the origin of descriptions common to all such systems, remain challenging problems.

Recently a new, and rather broad class of deformations of integrable systems has come to the fore, enlarging the space of known models. These are the so-called $T\bar{T}$ -deformations, where relativistic QFTs are deformed by the determinant of the stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ [7–9]. The principles underlying $T\bar{T}$ -deformations have been extended to non-relativistic systems [10–13], where they are best expressed as charge-current deformations, as well as to relativistic systems possessing higher-spin charges [9,14–17]; the nomenclature $T\bar{T}$ -deformation refers to all of these. It is found in particular that higher-spin $T\bar{T}$ -deformations lead to a large class of two-body scattering phases, differing in their CDD factors [8,9,17].

A physical insight into $T\bar{T}$ -deformations was gained in [12,13,18], where it was shown that the non-relativistic version of the original deformation corresponds to a change in particle widths. The change-of-width map has long been used for hard rods, and has an algebraic analogue in the q -boson chain [19]. In the context of GHD, it was further found that assigning particle widths that depend on the scattering momenta [20] reproduces the thermodynamics and Euler hydrodynamics of integrable systems with arbitrary two-body scattering displacements. The resulting classical “flea gas” [20], however, does not have a well-behaved Hamiltonian microscopic dynamics. But the insight that changes of particle widths are connected to $T\bar{T}$ -

deformations is very suggestive: it is natural to think that $T\bar{T}$ -deformations offer one possible route to constructing a universal, Hamiltonian formulation for a large space of factorised-scattering integrable systems, with arbitrary two-body scattering amplitudes.

In this paper, motivated by this insight and the work by Smirnov and Zamolodchikov [9], we present a new family of deformations which produce integrable systems, whose S-matrix has arbitrary momentum-dependence. This is achieved by considering not only the local conserved charges of the initial theory, but the complete space of extensive conserved charges. This forms a Hilbert space which also contains quasi-local charges [21–23], and a complete basis can be labelled by the momenta of asymptotic particles [24–26]. The consideration of completeness and of an appropriate basis has played an important role in the understanding of generalised thermalisation [21,27] and of the hydrodynamic projection principle [23]. Here, we show that completeness also plays a crucial role in $T\bar{T}$ -deformations.

We also provide a derivation of the flow equations for the free energy and free energy fluxes [5,28], under arbitrary $T\bar{T}$ -deformations, based solely on general properties of many-body systems. In integrable models, their solution provides a universal derivation of the TBA and its extension to the exact average of currents, both for quantum and classical models, without the use of the Bethe ansatz or other methods from integrability. This sheds light on why the TBA and GHD are such widely applicable frameworks.

2 Integrable deformations by local charges

Let us take integrable QFTs (IQFTs) as an example, though the concepts apply equally well to any many-body integrable system with sufficiently local interactions. There are infinitely-many conservation laws, corresponding to local charges $Q_i = \int dx q_i(x)$ in involution $[Q_i, Q_j] = 0$, where $q_i(x)$ is a local density. Note that locality restricts the spins of the conserved charges of the IQFT [2].

One simple family of deformations is $\delta H = \delta\eta Q_i$ for some i ; this is a redefinition of time, thus of the dispersion relation. It trivially preserves the full integrable hierarchy and hence the two-body scattering, and so, certainly, $\delta H \in T\Sigma^{\text{Int}}$ where $T\Sigma^{\text{Int}}$ is the space spanned by integrability-preserving deformations; the notation suggests the existence of a manifold Σ^{Int} of integrable models, whose tangent space $T\Sigma^{\text{Int}}$, at a given model, is the space of its integrable deformations. Another family of deformations is $\delta H = \delta\kappa J_i = \delta\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx j_i(x)$ in terms of the currents, $\partial_t q_i + \partial_x j_i = 0$. These are generated by the boost $B_i = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx x q_i(x)$, as $J_i = i[H, B_i]$. Because these deformations form a Hamiltonian flow, they preserve integrability [29–32], and thus again $\delta H \in T\Sigma^{\text{Int}}$. The momentum flows as $\delta P = \delta\kappa i[P, B_i] = \delta\kappa Q_i$, thus this is a redefinition of the spatial direction by flows in the integrable hierarchy. With respect to the new spatial direction, the two-body scattering is unchanged, as noted in [29–31].

The integrable deformations introduced by Smirnov and Zamolodchikov [9] are a “bilinear mix” of these two types of deformations. Namely, the deformation

$$\delta H = \delta\lambda \int_0^R dx (q_i(x - \epsilon)j_j(x) - j_i(x - \epsilon)q_j(x)), \quad (1)$$

generates a flow of new IQFTs, $\delta H \in T\Sigma^{\text{Int}}$. The infinitesimal parameter ϵ ensures point-splitting and R is the system size, where a periodic boundary condition is imposed; we will be interested in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$. Deformations (1) generate modifications of the two-body

scattering matrix by CDD factors, whose form is determined by the spins of the charges Q_i, Q_j . The flow equations, describing how the free energy and other physical quantities are deformed, were obtained in [16] using QFT techniques.

We make the crucial remark that the charges appearing in (1) do not have to be local in the usual sense, but can also be quasi-local. Quasi-local charges have densities $q(x)$ not strictly supported on the point x , but on extended regions around x , with sufficiently decaying operator norm. These charges are easy to construct in free field theories using bilinear forms, and appear in the description of non-equilibrium steady states [33] (see also Appendix A for the example of Majorana fermions). They naturally arise from the transfer matrix formalism in models with non-diagonal scattering [21, 34], such as the XXZ spin-1/2 chain [21], and in a scaling limit of lattice theories [35]. Local and quasi-local charges are part of the Hilbert space of extensive conserved charges, where the inner product is $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{-1} [\langle Q_i Q_j \rangle - \langle Q_i \rangle \langle Q_j \rangle]$, and is finite.

This concept points to a more judiciously chosen set of charges: the $Q_\theta, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$, measuring the density of asymptotic particles of rapidity θ . The rapidities, labelling the asymptotic particles' momenta, are preserved by the scattering map, and are therefore a particular choice of action variables. (We assume the presence of a single particle species for simplicity.) These charges exist only in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$, but in integrable systems, they have smoothly connected analogues at finite R , with θ taking discrete values, by the Bethe ansatz or the inverse scattering methods. They constitute a complete ‘‘scattering’’ basis for the extensive conserved charges: much like scattering states in quantum mechanics, each Q_θ is not strictly extensive (normalisable), but is a limit of an extensive conserved charge obtained by a wave packet construction (see e.g. [24, 25]). They also constitute a basis for the generalised Gibbs ensemble (GGE), which we shall employ in this paper: $\varrho = Z^{-1} \exp(-\int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta \beta^\theta Q_\theta)$, where $\text{Tr} \varrho = 1$ and β^θ are the rapidity-dependent Lagrange multipliers [24, 36]. The usual local charges take the form $Q_i = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta h_i(\theta) Q_\theta$ where i labels, for example, the allowed spins. One may choose $h_i(\theta)$ so that Q_i form complete, discrete basis; in general the local charges do not form such a basis.

As the Q_θ form a basis for the space of extensive charges and the GGEs, it is natural to suggest that they can be used to obtain deformations that span, along with the simpler η - and κ -deformations above, the tangent space $T\Sigma^{\text{Int}}$. We now argue that this is indeed so.

3 Generalised Boost and $T\bar{T}$ -deformations

For free-particle models, where the scattering shifts vanish modulo particle statistics, it is trivial to construct Q_θ . We now show that such models can be continuously deformed into interacting integrable models, parametrised by a deformation parameter corresponding to the scattering phase shift. As they are extensive (in the sense discussed above), the charges Q_θ for each rapidity $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ have associated charge densities $q_\theta(x)$ and generalised currents [5, 37–42] $j_{\theta\phi}(x)$, where $Q_\theta = \int dx q_\theta(x)$ and the continuity equation reads $i[Q_\theta, q_\phi(x)] + \partial_x j_{\theta\phi}(x) = 0$. Suppose the system contains a single species of particle, which may be quantum or classical, and has Hamiltonian $H = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta E(\theta) Q_\theta$ and momentum $P = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta p(\theta) Q_\theta$. Here the energy and momentum $E(\theta), p(\theta)$ characterise the dispersion relation, e.g. $E(\theta) = \theta^2/2, p(\theta) = \theta$ and $\cosh(\theta), \sinh(\theta)$ for Galilean and relativistic systems, respectively.

The η -deformation can be expressed as $\delta H = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\phi \delta\eta_\phi Q_\phi$, and corresponds to a different

choice of energy $E_\eta(\theta) = E(\theta) + \eta\theta$, thus changing the dispersion relation. We see that with the complete basis, we may change the dispersion relation arbitrarily. Under the κ -deformation, the charges are deformed as $\delta Q_\theta = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\phi \delta\kappa_\phi J_{\theta\phi}$, as generated by the boost operator, $\frac{\delta Q_\theta}{\delta\kappa_\phi} = i[Q_\theta, B_\phi]$. Using $\int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta p(\theta) J_{\theta\phi} = Q_\phi$, the momentum becomes $p_\kappa(\theta) = p(\theta) + \kappa_\theta$. Again, we obtain in this way an arbitrary momentum re-parametrisation; other eigenvalues also transform.

More far-reaching is the use of a complete set of charges in the λ -deformation: in analogy with (1) (and within a finite volume),

$$\delta Q_\gamma = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\theta} d\phi \delta\lambda_{\theta\phi} \mathcal{O}_{\gamma\theta\phi} \quad (2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{O}_{\gamma\theta\phi} = \int_0^R dx (q_\theta(x - \epsilon) j_{\gamma\phi}(x) - j_{\gamma\theta}(x - \epsilon) q_\phi(x)). \quad (3)$$

We shall call this class of deformations ‘‘generalised $T\bar{T}$ -deformations’’ in this paper. It is a simple matter to observe that the deformation is also generated by the following bilinear operator [31, 43]:

$$X_{\theta\phi} = - \int_0^R dy \int_0^{y-\epsilon} dx q_\theta(x) q_\phi(y), \quad \theta \geq \phi \quad (4)$$

with

$$\frac{\delta Q_\gamma}{\delta\lambda_{\theta\phi}} = i[X_{\theta\phi}, Q_\gamma] + Q_\theta j_{\gamma\phi}(0) - j_{\gamma\theta}(0) Q_\phi. \quad (5)$$

For convenience we take $\lambda_{\theta\phi}$ to be 0 when $\theta < \phi$, as it will be seen that only the antisymmetric part contributes. Notice that while the first term on the RHS of (5) does not change the spectrum of the system, the second and the third terms do. This transformation preserves the integrability by a simple extension of the results of [9, 12], and also by considering the standard arguments for the properties of integrability [44]. Thus the S-matrix of the deformed model preserves its factorised structure. We now evaluate the deformation of the S-matrix, for both quantum and classical systems, by considering the 2-particle scattering problem.

For a classical system of N free particles, we may use the methods of [13]. We observe that $q_\theta(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \delta(x - x_i) \delta(\theta - \theta(p_i))$, where x_i, p_i are the canonical coordinates for $i = 1, \dots, N$. The deformed charge density is simply given by the same expression with deformed coordinates, which stay canonical. Thus it is sufficient to calculate the action of $X_{\theta\phi}$ in the free model, and one obtains the solution for all $\lambda_{\theta\phi}$, see Appendix C. This yields the following deformed S-matrix:

$$S_\lambda(\theta, \phi) = e^{-i(\lambda_{\theta\phi} - \lambda_{\phi\theta})} S(\theta, \phi), \quad (6)$$

where $S(\theta, \phi)$ is the S-matrix of the undeformed model. The same expression is obtained in quantum systems by consideration of the spatially ordered 2-particle wavefunctions. Thus we see that, as claimed, by suitable choice of $\lambda_{\theta\phi}$, an arbitrary 2-particle S-matrix can be obtained. The only condition is the anti-symmetry of the scattering phase. Issues regarding analyticity and the emergence of bound states are discussed below. First, let us discuss the thermodynamics and the associated flow equations.

4 Flow Equations

We describe the thermodynamics, as well as average currents (the equations of state used for the Euler hydrodynamics), by writing down the explicit flow equations for the free energy density f as well as for the free energy fluxes g_θ (see below). Although we discuss integrable models, the flow equations are in fact independent of integrability, see Appendix D and E.

The thermodynamic state is specified by an infinite set of parameters β^θ , which are conjugate to the conserved quantities Q_θ . As the charges commute for the free system, it follows that they commute for the deformed system too (see Appendix B for a proof), and therefore in addition to the usual free energy density f , there exists for each θ a free energy flux density g_θ [28]. These are defined with relation to expectation values of charge and current densities respectively as

$$\langle q_\theta \rangle = \frac{\delta f}{\delta \beta^\theta}, \quad \langle j_{\theta\phi} \rangle = \frac{\delta g_\theta}{\delta \beta^\phi}. \quad (7)$$

The resulting flow equations for the free energy density and fluxes are:

$$\frac{\delta f}{\delta \lambda_{\theta\phi}} = g_\theta \frac{\delta f}{\delta \beta^\phi} - g_\phi \frac{\delta f}{\delta \beta^\theta}, \quad \frac{\delta g_\gamma}{\delta \lambda_{\theta\phi}} = g_\theta \frac{\delta g_\gamma}{\delta \beta^\phi} - g_\phi \frac{\delta g_\gamma}{\delta \beta^\theta}. \quad (8)$$

Equations (8) fully specify the charge and current averages, and thus the thermodynamics, at arbitrary $\lambda_{\theta\phi}$, when solved with the initial condition that the thermodynamics be that of free particles at $\lambda_{\theta\phi} = 0$. Eqs. (8) are basis-independent. Written in an abstract basis $\theta \rightarrow i$, $\phi \rightarrow j$, they are valid for deformations of any many-body system, whether integrable or not.

We derive Eqs. (8) as follows. The flow of f can be obtained by invoking the Hellman-Feynman theorem applied to the conserved charges. In a GGE we have $\delta F / \delta \lambda_{\theta\phi} = \langle \delta W / \delta \lambda_{\theta\phi} \rangle$, where $F = Rf$ is the free energy and the conserved charge $W = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta \beta^\theta Q_\theta$ fixes the GGE weight. The resulting GGE average can be calculated by directly evaluating $\langle \delta Q_\gamma / \delta \lambda_{\theta\phi} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{O}_{\gamma\theta\phi} \rangle$ with (3). We use that $\langle \mathcal{O}_{\gamma\theta\phi} \rangle$ is independent of ϵ , a well-known result which follows from the structure of the conservation laws and invariance of the state under space-time translations. Using clustering of the correlation function at large spatial separation, in the limit $\epsilon = R/2 \rightarrow \infty$, and finally the formula $g_\theta = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\phi \beta^\phi \langle j_{\theta\phi} \rangle$ that stems from the Euler KMS relation [28], completes the proof.

For the free energy fluxes g_θ , there is no Hellmann-Feynman theorem, and thus we must calculate the action of the deformation on both the currents which define the free energy flux density, and the state. This is possible to do, solely using general many-body principles, in particular the EKMS relation along with an important symmetry relation [5, 45–48]. Alternatively, if boost symmetry is present, the resulting self-conserved (generalised) currents can be used to derive the flow equations for g_θ , under the assumption that the boost symmetry is preserved under the deformation. For example, in the δ -Bose gas, the generalised currents $J_{i0} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta \theta^i j_{\theta 0}(x)$ of the particle number (i.e. $Q_0 = N$) are themselves conserved densities $J_{i0} = Q_{i-1}$. With boost symmetry, the flow equations are also simplified. For brevity of the text, both proofs, with and without boost symmetry, are presented in Appendix D and E.

5 Thermodynamic Bethe ansatz

The thermodynamics of an integrable model is completely fixed by appropriate “scattering data”: the one-particle eigenvalues $w(\theta)$ of the GGE weight W (fixing the state), and $p(\theta)$ of the momentum P (fixing the spatial direction), the particle statistics, and the S-matrix $S_\lambda(\theta, \phi)$. The average currents further require the extra ingredient of the dynamics, encoded within the one-particle eigenvalue $E(\theta)$ of the energy. Once these are known, the TBA formalism gives the free energy density and flux. The structure of TBA appears to be very universal [49–52]. Here we show that the generalised $T\bar{T}$ -deformation provides a novel derivation of TBA. To be more precise, we shall show that by solving the flow equations of a generalised $T\bar{T}$ -deformed theory parameterised by $\lambda_{\theta\phi}$, which was shown to be equivalent to the integrable model whose phase shift is $\lambda_{\theta\phi}$ in Sect. 3, we obtain the free energy and the free energy fluxes that coincide with those of the corresponding integrable system. This is achieved by using the explicit flow equations for f and g_θ derived above, and showing that the TBA solves these equations with the appropriate free-particle initial condition (at $\lambda_{\theta\phi} = 0$). As the equations form a closed first-order system, a smooth solution is unique.

In general, again assuming a single particle species, the free energy density and fluxes are given, in TBA, by

$$f = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dp(\theta)}{2\pi} L(\varepsilon(\theta)), \quad g_i = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dh_i(\theta)}{2\pi} L(\varepsilon(\theta)), \quad (9)$$

where ε is the pseudo-energy, solving a certain integral equation, and $-L(\varepsilon)$ is the free energy function, depending generally only on the particle statistics [53]. The expression for g_i was derived for various classes of integrable models [38, 39, 54–57] and reads $2\pi g_\theta = -dL(\varepsilon(\theta))/d\theta$ in the asymptotic rapidity basis.

By (6), f and g_θ depend on $\lambda_{\theta\phi}$ via the scattering phase $-i \log S_\lambda(\theta, \phi) = -(\lambda_{\theta\phi} - \lambda_{\phi\theta})$. Elementary calculations show that they satisfy (8), see Appendix F. As the TBA manifestly gives the free-particle thermodynamics at constant $S(\phi, \theta)$ (the initial condition at $\lambda_{\theta\phi} = 0$), we have established, without the use of the Bethe ansatz or integrability structures, that the thermodynamics of generalised $T\bar{T}$ -deformed free theories is that of integrable systems with corresponding scattering data. The particle statistics, momentum and other one-particle eigenvalues, and GGE, are encoded in the initial condition of the flow, while the S-matrix is generated by the deformation. This also establishes that generalised $T\bar{T}$ -deformations of integrable systems preserve the TBA structure.

6 S-matrix Analyticity and Bound States

For simplicity of the following discussion, we focus on relativistic models, assuming that the (1+1)-dimensional Poincaré symmetry remains intact after deformation, with $\lambda_{\theta\phi} = \lambda_{\phi\theta}$. The initial condition of the flow is $S(\theta) = \pm 1$, the S-matrix for a bosonic/fermionic system respectively.

General QFT considerations imply that the S-matrix can be analytically continued in rapidity space, with specific analyticity constraints related to the existence of bound states and other physical properties of the QFT. Without bound states, $S_\lambda(\theta)$ should be analytic for all θ in the physical strip $0 \leq \text{Im}(\theta) \leq \pi$ and should satisfy a number of properties, including

unitarity and crossing symmetry. It turns out that such constraints restrict the form of λ_θ to a CDD factor: $\lambda_\theta - \lambda_{-\theta} = \sum_s \alpha_s \sinh(s\theta)$ for s odd, the deformations considered in [9, 14–16]. These values of s are in fact the spins allowed for local charges, and this corresponds to the original Smirnov-Zamolodchikov deformations with local charges.

Yet, the deformation (6) holds for the physical S-matrix, with real rapidities, and imposes no such strong analyticity constraints: there is no reason to restrict λ_θ to CDD factors. In particular, deformations with quasi-local charges must break some analyticity requirements. This may still lead to a UV-complete QFT, but a puzzle is that if $S_\lambda(\theta)$ has singularities which represent bound states according to the analytic S-matrix theory, the nuclear democracy principle states that new particle species must be present in the spectrum. Where are these particles in the deformed S-matrix and TBA? A possible scenario is that in such cases, Eq. (6) only gives the scattering amplitudes *for the original particles*. Other amplitudes, involving stable compounds, exist, but must be evaluated from (6) by bootstrap methods [2]. Further, although with more particle species, new conserved quantities emerge, the deformed GGE does not involve such conserved quantities: the associated “temperatures” are zero from the outset. Thus the TBA formulation only involves the original particle species, as per our general result. This submanifold of the full GGE of the deformed model is meaningful, as it is stable under hydrodynamic evolution (much like gases of KdV solitons without phonon modes [58] and zero-entropy GHD [59]). We emphasise that if no new particle species appears, our results give the full deformed S-matrix, and the TBA for the full deformed GGE.

As in [9], the generalised $T\bar{T}$ -deformations do not need to realise UV complete QFTs. As mentioned, the deformations apply equally well to other many-body models (such as gases and spin chains), and even when applied to QFT, the deformed theory may have nontrivial small-distance physics. This depends on the specific form of λ_θ . We believe that a well-defined many-body system is obtained after deformation without strong constraints on the analytic structure of λ_θ . One fundamental constraint of many-body physics is that on the kernel $\log S(\theta)$ in the TBA formulation, which arises from the KMS relation [28]; the anti-symmetric form of the exponent in (6) immediately fulfills it.

The analysis can be extended to situations where the initial Hamiltonian contains several species of particle; θ becomes a double index, gathering the rapidity and the particle species. The generalisation of the deformation to this situation is presented in Appendix C.3. It is able to produce arbitrary diagonal scattering matrices, as well as certain types of non-diagonal scattering.

7 Conclusion

In this paper we explored the space of integrable systems by introducing a new class of integrable deformations of Smirnov-Zamolodchikov type. They are based on the scattering basis for the complete space of extensive conserved charges, and contain the deformations considered by Smirnov and Zamolodchikov as a particular subset. Concentrating on theories with a single particle species, we showed that these generalised $T\bar{T}$ -deformations can yield generic S-matrices. We showed from basic principles, without using integrability, that the thermodynamics of the deformed theories coincides with that obtained by TBA. In particular, this gives an alternative way of deriving the GGE averages of currents, which does not involve the Bethe ansatz [5, 41, 42] or the assumption of boost invariance [42]. Combining the η -

deformations of the energy, the boost κ -deformations, and the generalised $T\bar{T}$ -deformations, one obtains generic deformations of all main ingredients of TBA, thus generically, we conjecture, the full tangent space $T\Sigma^{\text{Int}}$. This can generate a large class of integrable models, quantum or classical.

When the deformed theory admits bound states of the original particles, the S-matrix (6) must be supplemented by matrix elements involving the bound states (obtained by bootstrap), and the flow equations (8) only reproduce a (stable) subset of the GGE of the deformed theory. At points of the deformation where bound states appear, the manifold Σ^{Int} is singular. At such points, reconstructing the full GGE within the formalism of generalised $T\bar{T}$ -deformations is an important open question.

If the deformed theory happens to have the S-matrix of a known model, these theories are equivalent by a general principle of IQFT. The S-matrix fixes the set of all form factors (matrix elements of local operators in asymptotic states), by the bootstrap principle. Hence it fixes the set of local operators and their correlations. The precise relation between the local operators, as expressed in terms of fields, is however highly nontrivial. It is likely similar to that between the sine-Gordon model and the Thirring model: it has long been known that, while the local observable structures of the two models differ, the two models possess the same S-matrix, and are hence equivalent [60].

Finally, although we implicitly focused on the massive case, generalised $T\bar{T}$ -deformations are equally applicable to massless models (with a slight abuse of the notion of asymptotic states). In particular, one can deform a CFT without introducing scattering between right and left movers, which should yield arbitrary CFTs according to [61]. It would be very interesting to work out specific examples.

8 Acknowledgements

BD is grateful to O. A. Castro-Alvaredo for comments and discussions. TY thanks M. Medenjak for comments. JD acknowledges funding from the EPSRC Centre for Doctoral Training in Cross-Disciplinary Approaches to Non-Equilibrium Systems (CANES) under grant EP/L015854/1.

A Quasi-local charges in free Majorana fermions

It is instructive to see how quasi-local charges can be obtained in free systems, such as the free Majorana fermion theory, whose Hamiltonian reads

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^R dx [iv(\psi_R \partial_x \psi_R - \psi_L \partial_x \psi_L) + 2i\psi_R \psi_L], \quad (10)$$

where ψ_R and ψ_L are real chiral fermions, v is the Fermi velocity (in the interpretation of the Majorana fermion as the emergent low-energy mode near the Fermi edge), and we set $m = 1$. The Hamiltonian is diagonalised in the infinite volume as

$$H = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta \cosh(\theta) Q_\theta, \quad Q_\theta := Z_\theta^\dagger Z_\theta \quad (11)$$

where Z_θ satisfies $\{Z_\theta^\dagger, Z_{\theta'}\} = \delta(\theta - \theta')$, and is given by a linear combination of ψ_R and ψ_L . Clearly any charge of the form $W = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta \beta^\theta Q_\theta$ is conserved, and a GGE is thus characterised by a potential function β^θ of θ . The only requirement is that it should guarantee clustering of correlation functions; for instance, a sufficient condition is that β^θ be analytic in a neighbourhood of \mathbb{R} and sufficiently increasing as $|\theta| \rightarrow \infty$. More related to the present work, at the linearised level, describing the tangent to the manifold of states, $\delta\beta^\theta$ constitute a (un-normalisable) basis for the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \rho_F)$ with $\rho_F(\theta) = (1 + e^{\beta^\theta})^{-1}$ the Fermi density of states [26].

The charge can also be written as

$$W = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dx dy \psi^\dagger(x) \tilde{\beta}(x-y) \psi(y), \quad (12)$$

where $\tilde{\beta}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta \beta^\theta e^{-ip(\theta)x}$ with momentum $p(\theta) = \sinh(\theta)$, and ψ is some linear combination of ψ_R and ψ_L . Quasi-locality of the charge is ensured by requiring that $\tilde{\beta}(x)$ decay exponentially. Such a choice of β^θ is always possible, and one example was found in [35].

B Commutativity of conserved charges

A hallmark of integrable systems is the commutativity of conserved charges. This property can be directly checked for the generalised $T\bar{T}$ -deformations.

We begin by associating a generalised time t_j to each conserved quantity Q_j by a generalised Heisenberg equation, $\partial_{t_j} \mathcal{O} = i[Q_j, \mathcal{O}]$, where \mathcal{O} is any local observable. This construction is valid if the charges are in involution. From this we can write the generalised conservation law

$$\partial_{t_i} j_{jk} = \partial_{t_j} j_{ik}. \quad (13)$$

This follows from

$$\partial_{t_i} \partial_x j_{jk} = -\partial_{t_i} \partial_{t_j} q_k = -\partial_{t_j} \partial_{t_i} q_k = \partial_{t_j} \partial_x j_{ik}. \quad (14)$$

Indeed this implies that $\partial_{t_i} j_{jk} - \partial_{t_j} j_{ik}$ is independent of x , and hence proportional to the identity operator. As this expression vanishes in any equilibrium state by virtue of the Gibbs form $\rho \propto e^{-\beta^i Q_i}$, the coefficient is 0 and Eq. (13) holds. This expression contains the usual charge conservation equation, as generally $H = h^i Q_i$ for some coefficients h^i , and thus $i[H, q_k] = h^i \partial_{t_i} q_k = -h^i \partial_x j_{ik}$. This allows us to identify the physical currents $j_k = h^i j_{ik}$.

Now, recall that charges Q_i are deformed by

$$\delta Q_i = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\theta} d\eta \delta \lambda_{\theta\eta} \mathcal{O}_{i\theta\eta} \quad (15)$$

with

$$\mathcal{O}_{i\theta\eta} = \int_0^R dx (q_\theta(x - \epsilon) j_{i\eta}(x) - j_{i\theta}(x - \epsilon) q_\eta(x)). \quad (16)$$

Then the accumulation of the infinitesimal deformations gives rise to a flow of the deformed theories.

Commutativity of the charges, $[Q_i, Q_j] = 0$, along the flow follows from Eq. (4), (5) in the main text, under the assumption that currents vanish at infinity: in this case, the flow is indeed a Hamiltonian flow generated by $X_{\theta\eta}$. It is also possible to show the result in finite

volumes, directly using the transformation (15), without asymptotic assumptions. For this purpose, assume that, at some point along the flow, the charges mutually commute. Then the variation of the commutator $[Q_i, Q_j]$ along the flow at this point can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta[Q_i, Q_j] &= [\delta Q_i, Q_j] + [Q_i, \delta Q_j] \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\theta} d\eta \delta\lambda_{\theta\eta} \\
&\quad \times \int_0^R dx ([q_{\theta}(x - \epsilon)j_{i\eta}(x), Q_j] - [j_{i\theta}(x - \epsilon)q_{\eta}(x), Q_j]) - \{i \leftrightarrow j\} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\theta} d\eta \delta\lambda_{\theta\eta} (q_{\theta}(x - \epsilon)[j_{i\eta}(x), Q_j] + [q_{\theta}(x - \epsilon), Q_j]j_{i\eta}(x) + \\
&\quad - j_{i\theta}(x - \epsilon)[q_{\eta}(x), Q_j] - [j_{i\theta}(x - \epsilon), Q_j]q_{\eta}(x)) - \{i \leftrightarrow j\} \\
&= i \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\theta} d\eta \delta\lambda_{\theta\eta} \int_0^R dx (q_{\theta}(x - \epsilon)\partial_{t_j}j_{i\eta}(x) - \partial_x j_{j\theta}(x - \epsilon)j_{i\eta}(x)) \\
&\quad + j_{i\theta}(x - \epsilon)\partial_x j_{j\eta}(x) - \partial_{t_j}j_{i\theta}(x - \epsilon)q_{\eta}(x)) - \{i \leftrightarrow j\}. \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the generalised continuity equation $\partial_{t_i}j_{jk} = \partial_{t_j}j_{ik}$, which is valid up to $\lambda_{\theta\phi}$ along the flow, we then find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta[Q_i, Q_j] &= -i \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\theta} d\eta \delta\lambda_{\theta\eta} \int_0^R dx \partial_x (j_{j\theta}(x - \epsilon)j_{i\eta}(x) - j_{i\theta}(x - \epsilon)j_{j\eta}(x)) \\
&= 0, \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

where we invoked periodicity. Therefore the charges are still in involution after the infinitesimal deformation, and thus remain so throughout the flow of the generalised $T\bar{T}$ -deformation. Of course, the commutativity of the charges in a general basis implies that in the rapidity basis: $[Q_{\theta}, Q_{\phi}] = 0$ for any $\theta, \phi \in \mathbb{R}$.

C Deformed 2-Particle S-matrix

C.1 Classical Mechanics

In order to solve the scattering problem in the deformed system, we consider a system of particles on the infinite line. It is convenient to consider the particles to have, in addition to any ‘‘soft’’ interaction, a hard-core potential of width 0. The model should be integrable, with elastic and factorised scattering; for instance this is the case with hard-core but otherwise free particles. The hard-core condition has the benefit of preserving the ordering of the particle positions, that is $x_n(t) > x_m(t)$ if $n > m$ for all times t [13]. This simplifies the calculation, and it is clear that the dynamics of this system can be mapped to that of a system of particles without hard core by particle exchanges at collisions. Here and below, x_n, p_n are the canonical coordinates.

We then have that:

$$X_{\theta\phi} = - \sum_{n>m} \delta(\theta - p_m) \delta(\phi - p_n), \tag{19}$$

We can use this result to calculate the flow of the canonical variables with respect to $\lambda_{\theta\phi}$, where we have trivially that $\{p_i, X_{\theta\phi}\} = 0$, and (recall that we restrict to $\theta \geq \phi$):

$$\{x_i, X_{\theta\phi}\} = \partial_\theta \sum_{n>i} \delta(\theta - p_i) \delta(\phi - p_n) + \partial_\phi \sum_{i>n} \delta(\theta - p_n) \delta(\phi - p_i), \quad (20)$$

where we shifted the derivatives onto the variables θ, ϕ .

We would like to construct the solution for all $\lambda_{\theta\phi} \neq 0$. Under the flow, there is no guarantee, in general, that the positions x_i stay ordered. However, for the scattering calculation, this is not important. Indeed, in the asymptotic in- and out-regions, at large $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$, we have $x_i(t) \sim p_i^{\text{in,out}} t$, where $p_i^{\text{in,out}}$ are the asymptotic momenta. Therefore, positions are widely separated, and finite deformations along the flow cannot change their order. It is then a simple matter to solve the above equations. We obtain the following momentum shifts due to the deformation:

$$\Delta p_i = 0. \quad (21)$$

and the following position shifts (in the asymptotic regions),

$$\Delta x_i = - \sum_{i>n} \Theta(p_n - p_i) \partial_{p_i} \lambda_{p_n p_i} - \sum_{n>i} \Theta(p_i - p_n) \partial_{p_i} \lambda_{p_i p_n} \quad (22)$$

where $\Theta(p)$ is the step function. Note that after the deformation, particles still exchange their momenta at the collision, as this cannot be continuously modified by the flow thanks to $\Delta p_i = 0$. As is clear from (19) with $\theta > \phi$, in the asymptotic in region the deformation is nontrivial as $p_i^{\text{in}} > p_{i+1}^{\text{in}}$, while in the asymptotic out region, it is trivial as momenta have exchanged their order.

The S-matrix is calculated in the usual fashion by solving the 2-particle problem. We are looking for the scattering shifts of the ‘‘quasi-particles’’ of the resulting integrable model: these are the momentum tracers. Using, in the asymptotic regions, $p_1 \equiv p_1^{\text{in}} > p_2 \equiv p_2^{\text{in}}$ and $p_2^{\text{out}} > p_1^{\text{out}}$, the scattering shifts $\varphi_{1,2}$ of the momentum tracers for p_1 and p_2 (by convention, the amount shifted to the left (right) for p_1 (p_2)), are

$$\Delta x_1^{\text{in}} - \Delta x_2^{\text{out}} = \varphi_1 = -\partial_{p_1} \lambda_{p_1 p_2} \quad (23)$$

$$\Delta x_1^{\text{out}} - \Delta x_2^{\text{in}} = \varphi_2 = \partial_{p_2} \lambda_{p_1 p_2}. \quad (24)$$

Using $\varphi_1 = \partial_{p_1}(-i \log S(p_1, p_2))$ and $\varphi_2 = \partial_{p_2}(-i \log S(p_2, p_1))$, this leads us to identify:

$$S_\lambda(p, q) = e^{-i(\lambda_{pq} - \lambda_{qp})} S(p, q). \quad (25)$$

This construction gives a Hamiltonian version of the ‘‘flea gas’’ algorithm [20]. The latter takes care, in an algorithmically sensible way but not as a Hamiltonian time evolution, of the potential particle ordering problems that may emerge at finite densities when introducing momentum-dependent scattering lengths. It would be interesting to analyse the precise effect of the λ flow discussed here not just in the asymptotic large-time regions but at finite times, in order to see how this Hamiltonian formulation takes care of such ordering issues.

C.2 Quantum Mechanics

The required proof is presented in full detail in Ref. [12], section 3.2 and Appendix B, and is thus not repeated. The trivial alteration required is taking the sum over all ν with the terms $h_\nu(\theta) = \delta(\theta - \nu)$, and the calculation follows immediately.

C.3 Multiple Particle Species and Charges

Consider a system with several particle species, labelled by the Latin index $i = 1, \dots, N$. For simplicity we will again concentrate on the classical case, the quantum case following similarly to the above.

There are many ways of implementing the many-species dynamics, but one way, which is perhaps most natural from the viewpoint of integrable scattering, is to keep together species with momenta. For this purpose, it is then convenient to use the description without hard core, where, in the un-deformed model, particles go through each other upon collisions (that is, there is no hard-core collision, although there may be still soft interaction). In this description, momentum tracers are the real particles themselves, and are then tracers of the doublet momentum-species.

In this description, particle order is not kept throughout time; however, for the analysis of scattering, it is sufficient to concentrate on the regions of large time, where particle order is invariant. It is convenient to still use the canonical variables x_n, p_n , and associated a species value i_n to each pair (essentially, grouping the particle labels into species groups). We will assume the ordering $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_N$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and hence the opposite order as $t \rightarrow -\infty$. We then have $p_1 > p_2 > \dots > p_N$ at both $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

We can then introduce the generator of the deformation:

$$X_{\theta\phi}^{ij} = - \int_0^R dy \int_0^{y-\epsilon} dx q_\theta^i(x) q_\phi^j(y), \quad \theta \geq \phi, \quad (26)$$

where the charge densities now carry a dual label, referring to the rapidity and species. Again we can write an explicit expression for the charge density:

$$q_\theta^i(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N \delta(x - x_n) \delta(\theta - \theta(p_n)) \delta_{i, i_n}. \quad (27)$$

Taking into account the particle orderings in the in and out asymptotic regions, we then have

$$X_{\theta\phi}^{ij} = \begin{cases} - \sum_{n>m} \delta(\theta - p_m) \delta(\phi - p_n) \delta_{i, i_m} \delta_{j, i_n} & (t \rightarrow -\infty) \\ - \sum_{n<m} \delta(\theta - p_m) \delta(\phi - p_n) \delta_{i, i_m} \delta_{j, i_n} & (t \rightarrow \infty). \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

As in the single-species case (which was done in the hard-core picture) $X_{\theta\phi}^{ij}$ is nontrivial (trivial) in the in (out) region, as follows from the ordering on momenta. A calculation as in section C.1 gives the following deformed scattering matrix:

$$S_\lambda(p, q)_{ij} = e^{-i(\lambda_{pq}^{ij} - \lambda_{qp}^{ji})} S(p, q)_{ij} \quad (29)$$

where $S(p, q)_{ij}$ is the two-body scattering amplitude of particles of momentum p and species i , against particle of momentum q and species j , in the un-deformed system. This reproduces

arbitrary diagonal scattering, where two-body processes represent pure transmission, with zero reflection amplitudes.

We finally mention that it is also possible to consider a hard-core picture of the multi-species system. In contrast to the single-species case, this, however, leads to a fundamentally different deformation of the scattering: with a hard core, particle species *do not follow* the momentum. There is, as a consequence, nontrivial reflection. We can write an explicit expression for the charge density:

$$q_{\theta}^i(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_i} \delta(x - x_n^i) \delta(\theta - \theta(p_n^i)), \quad (30)$$

where (x_n^i, p_n^i) are the canonical coordinates of the particles of species i , and N_i is the number of particles of that species. We introduce the following S-matrix elements for the transmission and reflection processes involving particles of type i and j :

$$S_T^{ij} = |A_T^{ij}| e^{i\delta_T^{ij}}, \quad S_R^{ij} = |A_R^{ij}| e^{i\delta_R^{ij}}, \quad i \neq j. \quad (31)$$

Solving the classical problem, we find the following scattering phases:

$$\delta_R^{ij}(\theta, \phi) = -\left(\lambda_{\theta\phi}^{ij} - \lambda_{\phi\theta}^{ij}\right), \quad \delta_T^{ij}(\theta, \phi) = -\left(\lambda_{\theta\phi}^{ij} - \lambda_{\phi\theta}^{ji}\right). \quad (32)$$

Unitarity imposes that $|A_T|^2 + |A_R|^2 = 1$, and also the non-trivial condition:

$$\lambda_{\theta\phi}^{ij} - \lambda_{\theta\phi}^{ji} = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \pi, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (33)$$

It would be interesting to further study this construction, in order to establish the space of reflective two-body processes that this deformation gives access to.

D Flow Equations for Free Energy Fluxes: Generic Derivation

In this section we will derive the flow equation for the free energy fluxes under the generalised $T\bar{T}$ -deformation. The results of this section are basis-independent: they are independent of the chosen index set for the charges. For instance, taking the indices to be rapidities in the final result (55) returns (8) in the main text. The results are based solely on general principles of many-body physics and statistical mechanics, and do not involve concepts of integrability or QFT.

D.1 Action of the X generator

In this section we calculate the effect of the deformation generator $i[X_{ab}, \cdot]$ (X_{ab} is from Eq. (4) in the main text) on the charge and current densities. We consider a homogeneous thermodynamic state in a system of length R with periodic boundary conditions. We take R large enough, which will be taken to infinity later on. We consider a small but nonzero $\iota > 0$, and positions $\iota < x < R - \iota$; this avoids additional boundary terms and is sufficient for this derivation.

Using that $i[Q_i, j_{kj}] = \partial_{t_i} j_{kj}$, we deduce, for all $\iota < x < R - \iota$,

$$i \int_0^y dz [q_i(z), j_{kj}(x)] = \partial_{t_i} j_{kj}(x) \chi(x < y) + \mathcal{O}_{ikj}(x, y) \quad (34)$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{ikj}(x, y)$ is nonzero only around $x = y$, and is a local observable supported at x for $x \simeq y$. $\chi(x < y)$ is the indicator function that gives 1 if $x < y$ and gives zero otherwise. Likewise we have

$$i \int_z^R dy [q_i(y), j_{kj}(x)] = \partial_{t_i} j_{kj}(x) \chi(x > z) - \mathcal{O}_{ikj}(x, z). \quad (35)$$

We can now evaluate the effect of the deformation on the currents (here we take the point-splitting parameter $\epsilon = 0$ for simplicity):

$$\begin{aligned} -[iX_{ab}, j_{ki}(x)] &= \int_0^R dy (\partial_{t_a} j_{ki}(x) \chi(x < y) q_b(y) + \mathcal{O}_{aki}(x, y) q_b(y)) \\ &\quad + \int_0^R dz (q_a(z) \partial_{t_b} j_{ki}(x) \chi(x > z) - q_a(z) \mathcal{O}_{bki}(x, z)) \\ &= \partial_{t_k} j_{ai}(x) \int_x^R dy q_b(y) + \int_0^x dz q_a(z) \partial_{t_k} j_{bi}(x) + A_{abki}(x) \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where

$$A_{abki}(x) = \int_0^R dy \mathcal{O}_{aki}(x, y) q_b(y) - \int_0^R dz q_a(z) \mathcal{O}_{bki}(x, z) \quad (37)$$

is a local observable at x . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [iX_{ab}, j_{ki}(x)] &= -\partial_{t_k} \left(j_{ai}(x) \int_x^R dy q_b(y) + \int_0^x dz q_a(z) j_{bi}(x) \right) \\ &\quad + j_{ai}(x) j_{kb}(x) - j_{ai}(x) j_{kb}(R) - j_{ka}(x) j_{bi}(x) + j_{ka}(0) j_{bi}(x) - A_{abki}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

By noticing that the total momentum $P = p^k Q_k$ for some set p^k , we see that $q_i = p^k j_{ki}$, and thus by contracting (38) with p^k we obtain the deformation of charge densities

$$\begin{aligned} [iX_{ab}, q_i(x)] &= \partial \left(j_{ai}(x) \int_x^R dy q_b(y) + \int_0^x dz q_a(z) j_{bi}(x) \right) \\ &\quad + j_{ai}(x) q_b(x) - j_{ai}(x) q_b(R) - q_a(x) j_{bi}(x) + q_a(0) j_{bi}(x) - p^k A_{abki}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Here $\partial = -p^k \partial_{t_k} = -i[P, \cdot]$ is the space-derivative operator. Note how it is different from the derivative with respect to the parameter x . Replacing ∂ by ∂_x can be achieved by simultaneously deleting the terms $+j_{ai}(x) q_b(R)$ and $-q_a(0) j_{bi}(x)$ in the second line, because of the boundary terms at R and 0 in the first line. We can then use the methods of Refs. [28, 37] to establish

$$p^k A_{abki} = (j_{ai}(x) + j_{ia}(x)) q_b(x) - q_a(x) (j_{bi}(x) + j_{ib}(x)), \quad (40)$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [iX_{ab}, q_i(x)] &= \partial \left(j_{ai}(x) \int_x^R dy q_b(y) + \int_0^x dz q_a(z) j_{bi}(x) \right) \\ &\quad - j_{ia}(x) q_b(x) - j_{ai}(x) q_b(R) + q_a(x) j_{ib}(x) + q_a(0) j_{bi}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Note that we cannot obtain the commutator $i[X_{a,b}, Q_i]$ by integrating (41) over $x \in [0, R]$: Eq. (41) is valid only for $\iota < x < R_\iota$. For x near to $0 \equiv R$, additional boundary terms appear. Taking into account such boundary terms, the commutator with the total charge is instead given by Eqs. (2), (3) and (5) in the main text, that is:

$$\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial \lambda_{ab}} = i[X_{ab}, Q_i] + Q_a j_{ib}(0) - j_{ia}(0) Q_b. \quad (42)$$

D.2 Deformations of densities and currents

We now define the deformations of charge densities and currents as (for the charge density, this is in agreement with Eqs. (2), (3) in the main text)

$$\frac{\partial q_i}{\partial \lambda_{ab}} = q_a j_{ib} - j_{ia} q_b, \quad \frac{\partial j_{ki}}{\partial \lambda_{ab}} = j_{ai} j_{kb} - j_{ka} j_{bi} - A_{abki}. \quad (43)$$

With these definitions, we have

$$\frac{\partial q_i}{\partial \lambda_{ab}} = [iX_{ab}, q_i] + j_{ai}(x) q_b(R) - q_a(0) j_{bi}(x) - \partial o_{abi} \quad (44)$$

$$\frac{\partial j_{ki}}{\partial \lambda_{ab}} = [iX_{ab}, j_{ki}] + j_{ai}(x) j_{kb}(R) - j_{ka}(0) j_{bi}(x) + \partial_{t_k} o_{abi} \quad (45)$$

where

$$o_{abi}(x) = j_{ai}(x) \int_x^R dy q_b(y) + \int_0^x dz q_a(z) j_{bi}(x). \quad (46)$$

This implies that the conservation laws are preserved “in the bulk”: with $\iota < x < R - \iota$, using the fact that both $\partial/\partial \lambda_{ab}$ and $[iX_{ab}, \cdot]$ are derivations, and using (42), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{ab}} (\partial_{t_k} q_i + \partial j_{ki}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{ab}} (\partial_{t_k} j_{li} - \partial_{t_l} j_{ki}) = 0. \quad (47)$$

D.3 Deformation of free energy fluxes

Now we need to calculate the action of the deformation on the expectation values of currents $\langle j_{ki} \rangle$ in GGE states parameterised by a density matrix $\rho \propto \exp(-W)$, where $W = \beta^i Q_i$. We require that the state be clustering, that is $\langle \mathcal{O}_1(x) \mathcal{O}_2(y) \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle$ for $\text{dist}(x, y) \sim R$. Using (45), we then have

$$\langle [iX_{ab}, j_{ki}(x)] \rangle = \langle \partial_{\lambda_{ab}} j_{ki} \rangle - \langle j_{ai} \rangle \langle j_{kb} \rangle + \langle j_{ka} \rangle \langle j_{bi} \rangle. \quad (48)$$

We now evaluate the left-hand side using cyclicity of the trace

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [iX_{ab}, j_{ki}] \rangle &= Z^{-1} \text{Tr} \left(e^{-W} [iX_{ab}, j_{ki}] \right) \\ &= -Z^{-1} \text{Tr} \left([iX_{ab}, e^{-W}] j_{ki} \right) \\ &= Z^{-1} \int_0^1 du \text{Tr} \left(e^{-uW} [iX_{ab}, W] e^{(u-1)W} j_{ki} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

We use the transformation equation (5) in order to evaluate $[iX_{ab}, W]$:

$$[iX_{ab}, W] = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_{ab}} - \beta^\ell [Q_a j_{\ell b}(0) - j_{\ell a}(0) Q_b]. \quad (50)$$

We define $\mathcal{O}^u = e^{-uW} \mathcal{O} e^{uW}$, and notice that this operator is still local and therefore clustering holds for all u (locality under imaginary time evolution can be shown in quantum spin chains). Then, omitting $-\beta^\ell \int_0^1 du$ and using clustering, the expectation values of the last two terms of (50) as included in (49) are

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle Q_a j_{lb}^u(0) j_{ki}(x) - j_{la}^u(0) Q_b j_{ki}(x) \rangle \\ &= \langle Q_a j_{lb}^u(0) j_{ki}(x) - j_{la}^u(0) Q_b j_{ki}(x) \rangle^c + \langle Q_a \rangle \langle j_{lb} \rangle \langle j_{ki} \rangle - \langle Q_b \rangle \langle j_{la} \rangle \langle j_{ki} \rangle \\ &= \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^a} + \langle Q_a \rangle \right) [\langle j_{lb} \rangle \langle j_{ki} \rangle] - \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^b} + \langle Q_b \rangle \right) [\langle j_{la} \rangle \langle j_{ki} \rangle]. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

We now use (48), then (49) with (50) and (51), as well as the EKMS relation [28]

$$\beta^\ell j_{lk} = -g_k \quad (52)$$

and the symmetry relation [5, 45–48]

$$\frac{\partial \langle j_{ka} \rangle}{\partial \beta^b} = \frac{\partial \langle j_{kb} \rangle}{\partial \beta^a}, \quad (53)$$

and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \langle j_{ki} \rangle}{\partial \lambda_{ab}} &= -\int_0^1 du \left\langle \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_{ab}} \right)^u j_{ki} \right\rangle^c + \left\langle \frac{\partial j_{ki}}{\partial \lambda_{ab}} \right\rangle \\ &= -\int_0^1 du \left\langle \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_{ab}} \right)^u j_{ki} \right\rangle^c + \langle [iX, j_{ki}] \rangle + \langle j_{ai} \rangle \langle j_{kb} \rangle - \langle j_{ka} \rangle \langle j_{bi} \rangle \\ &= \langle \partial_{\lambda_{ab}} W \rangle \langle j_{ki} \rangle - \beta^\ell \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^a} + \langle Q_a \rangle \right) [\langle j_{lb} \rangle \langle j_{ki} \rangle] \\ &\quad + \beta^\ell \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^b} + \langle Q_b \rangle \right) [\langle j_{la} \rangle \langle j_{ki} \rangle] + \langle j_{ai} \rangle \langle j_{kb} \rangle - \langle j_{ka} \rangle \langle j_{bi} \rangle \\ &= \beta^\ell \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^a} [\langle j_{lb} \rangle \langle j_{ki} \rangle] - \beta^\ell \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^b} [\langle j_{la} \rangle \langle j_{ki} \rangle] + \langle j_{ai} \rangle \langle j_{kb} \rangle - \langle j_{ka} \rangle \langle j_{bi} \rangle \\ &= \beta^\ell \langle j_{lb} \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^a} \langle j_{ki} \rangle - \beta^\ell \langle j_{la} \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^b} \langle j_{ki} \rangle + \langle j_{ai} \rangle \langle j_{kb} \rangle - \langle j_{ka} \rangle \langle j_{bi} \rangle \\ &= -g_b \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^i} \langle j_{ka} \rangle + g_a \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^i} \langle j_{kb} \rangle + \langle j_{ai} \rangle \langle j_{kb} \rangle - \langle j_{ka} \rangle \langle j_{bi} \rangle \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^i} (g_b \langle j_{ka} \rangle) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^i} (g_a \langle j_{kb} \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Using finally that $\langle j_{ki} \rangle = \partial_{\beta^i} g_k$, we obtain the desired result:

$$\frac{\partial g_k}{\partial \lambda_{ab}} = g_a \langle j_{kb} \rangle - g_b \langle j_{ka} \rangle. \quad (55)$$

This shows Eq. (8) in the main text.

E Flow Equations from a Self-Conserved Current

It is also possible to show the free energy flux flow equations from the existence of a self-conserved current, or bridging pair. For example, in a free Galilean system, conserved charges

and generalised currents can be constructed from the particle density operator Q_θ according to

$$J_{ij} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta h_j(\theta) Q_\theta h'_i(\theta), \quad (56)$$

from which it immediately follows that $J_{i0} = Q_{i-1}$ and $J_{ij} = J_{j+1,i-1}$, where $Q_0 = N$, the particle number. In fact the existence of boost symmetry predicts the existence of a bridging pair [57]. Therefore, we assume that the bridging pair stays intact provided that the system is deformed without breaking boost symmetry. This is accomplished by having the deformation parameter explicitly boost invariant $\lambda_{\theta,\phi} = \lambda_{\theta-\phi}$. In this case the charges in the physical basis are deformed as

$$\delta Q_i = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\theta-\epsilon} d\eta \delta \lambda_{\theta-\eta} \mathcal{O}_{i\theta\eta} = \int_0^\infty d\theta \delta \lambda_\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\eta \mathcal{O}_{i,\theta+\eta,\eta}. \quad (57)$$

To make the exposition below concrete, we consider the Lieb-Liniger δ -Bose gas, where the bridging pair is again $J_{i0} = Q_{i-1}$ (physical charges are labelled as $Q_0 = N, Q_1 = P, Q_2 = H$). Moreover we will work with charges in the physical basis, as the bridging pair connects a charge and a current in that basis. We will make use of the bridging pair to derive the flow equations for all the free energy fluxes, using the flow equation for the free energy, which can be generally derived using only the Hellmann-Feynman theorem. Specifically, as a result of the bridging pair, the following relation holds

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^{i-1}} \frac{\delta f}{\delta \lambda_\theta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^0} \frac{\delta g_i}{\delta \lambda_\theta}. \quad (58)$$

This is the crucial identity in the following proof. As in the proof of commutativity of charges, we assume that the boost symmetry is preserved by the deformation, and consequently that $J_{i0} = Q_{i-1}$ and $J_{ij} = J_{j+1,i-1}$ remain valid. The bridging pair implies the identity $\partial_{\beta^k} \langle q_{i-1} \rangle = \partial_{\beta^{i-1}} \langle q_k \rangle = \partial_{\beta^k} \langle j_{i0} \rangle = \partial_{\beta^0} \langle j_{ik} \rangle$, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta h_k(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^0} \langle j_{i,\theta} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta h_k(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^{i-1}} \langle q_\theta \rangle, \quad (59)$$

from which we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^0} \langle j_{i,\theta} \rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^{i-1}} \langle q_\theta \rangle. \quad (60)$$

Another useful identity is obtained from $\langle j_{i0} \rangle = \langle q_{i-1} \rangle$. Namely

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta h_i(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^0} g_\theta = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta h_{i-1}(\theta) \langle q_\theta \rangle = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta h_i(\theta) \partial_\theta \langle q_\theta \rangle, \quad (61)$$

which gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^0} g_\theta = -\partial_\theta \langle q_\theta \rangle. \quad (62)$$

The final required identity follows by writing $\langle j_{ij} \rangle$ in two ways. First we have

$$\langle j_{ij} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta h_j(\theta) \langle j_{i\theta} \rangle = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta h_{j+1}(\theta) \partial_\theta \langle j_{i\theta} \rangle. \quad (63)$$

Equating this with the alternative expression

$$\langle j_{ij} \rangle = \langle j_{j+1, i-1} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta h_{j+1}(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^{i-1}} g_{\theta}, \quad (64)$$

returns

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^{i-1}} g_{\theta} = -\partial_{\theta} \langle j_{i\theta} \rangle. \quad (65)$$

Combining all these results, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\eta \langle q_{\eta} \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^{i-1}} g_{\eta+\theta} &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\eta \langle q_{\eta} \rangle \partial_{\eta} \langle j_{i, \eta+\theta} \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\eta \partial_{\eta} \langle q_{\eta} \rangle \langle j_{i, \eta+\theta} \rangle \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^0} g_{\eta} \langle j_{i, \eta+\theta} \rangle \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^0} g_{\eta-\theta} \langle j_{i\eta} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Now we are ready to establish the flow equation for the free energy fluxes. Applying (60) and (66), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^0} \frac{\delta g_i}{\delta \lambda_{\theta}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^{i-1}} \frac{\delta f}{\delta \lambda_{\theta}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\eta \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^{i-1}} \langle q_{\eta} \rangle (g_{\eta+\theta} - g_{\eta-\theta}) + \langle q_{\eta} \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^{i-1}} (g_{\eta+\theta} - g_{\eta-\theta}) \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\eta \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^0} \langle j_{i, \eta} \rangle (g_{\eta+\theta} - g_{\eta-\theta}) + \langle j_{i\eta} \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^0} (g_{\eta+\theta} - g_{\eta-\theta}) \right] \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\eta \langle j_{i\eta} \rangle (g_{\eta+\theta} - g_{\eta-\theta}), \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

yielding

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^0} \left(\frac{\delta g_i}{\delta \lambda_{\theta}} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\eta \langle j_{i\eta} \rangle (g_{\eta+\theta} - g_{\eta-\theta}) \right) = 0. \quad (68)$$

We expect both terms in the parenthesis to vanish in the limit of zero particles, when $\beta^0 \rightarrow -\infty$. Assuming this, we obtain the desired flow equation

$$\frac{\delta g_i}{\delta \lambda_{\theta}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\eta \langle j_{i\eta} \rangle (g_{\eta+\theta} - g_{\eta-\theta}). \quad (69)$$

F Bethe Ansatz Flow Equations

In this section we show that the flow equations obtained are the same as those obtained by varying the S-matrix in Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz (TBA). All results for TBA in this section are found in [53].

The free energy and free energy fluxes in TBA are:

$$f = \int d\theta p(\theta) \frac{\partial_{\theta} L(\varepsilon(\theta))}{2\pi}, \quad (70)$$

$$g_\theta = \frac{\partial_\theta L(\varepsilon(\theta))}{2\pi}. \quad (71)$$

The free energy can be obtained by integrating over the g_θ multiplied by $p(\theta)$. The free energy function $L(\varepsilon)$ is, for various systems:

$$L(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} e^{-\varepsilon} & \text{classical particles} \\ \log(1 + e^{-\varepsilon}) & \text{quantum fermions} \\ -\log(1 - e^{-\varepsilon}) & \text{quantum bosons} \end{cases}. \quad (72)$$

where the pseudo-energy reads

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(u) &= w(u) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dv}{2\pi} \varphi(v, u) L(v) \\ &= w(u) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dv}{2\pi} \partial_v \phi(v, u) L(v) \\ &= w(u) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dv}{2\pi} \phi(u, v) \partial_v L(v), \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

where $w(\theta)$ is the source term and we used $\varphi(u, v) = d\phi(u, v)/du$. Note that the symmetry of the differential phase shift $\varphi(u, v) = \varphi(v, u)$ is not assumed here. Since the deformation parameter and the resulting phase shift are related by $-(\lambda_{\theta\eta} - \lambda_{\eta\theta}) = \phi_{\theta\eta}$. Then the derivative of ε with respect to $\lambda_{\theta\eta}$ can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\varepsilon(u)}{d\lambda_{\theta\eta}} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dv}{2\pi} \left[-(\delta(u - \theta)\delta(v - \eta) - \delta(u - \eta)\delta(v - \theta))\partial_v L(v) + \phi(v, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{\theta\eta}} L(v) \right] \\ &= \delta(u - \eta) \frac{L'(\theta)}{2\pi} - \delta(u - \theta) \frac{L'(\eta)}{2\pi} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dv}{2\pi} \varphi(v, u) n(v) \frac{d\varepsilon(v)}{d\lambda_{\theta\eta}}, \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

which implies

$$\frac{d\varepsilon(u)}{d\lambda_{\theta\eta}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} (R_{u\eta} L'(\theta) - R_{u\theta} L'(\eta)), \quad R_{\theta\eta} := \left(1 - \frac{\varphi^T}{2\pi} n \right)_{\theta\eta}^{-1} \quad (75)$$

Finally we can compute the object of interest

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{df}{d\lambda_{\theta\eta}} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{du}{2\pi} p'(u) n(u) \frac{d\varepsilon(u)}{d\lambda_{\theta\eta}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{du}{2\pi} p'(u) n(u) \frac{R_{u\eta} L'(\theta) - R_{u\theta} L'(\eta)}{2\pi} \\ &= \rho(\eta) L'(\theta) - \rho(\theta) L'(\eta) \\ &= \rho(\theta) g_\eta - \rho(\eta) g_\theta, \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

where $\rho(\theta) = n(\theta) \int_{\mathbb{R}} du R_{\theta u} p'(u) / (2\pi)$ is the density of particles. This agrees with the expected result. We next look at that for the free energy fluxes g_i , where almost all the above steps carry over, and we end up with

$$\frac{dg_i}{d\lambda_{\theta\eta}} = j_{i\theta} g_\eta - j_{i\eta} g_\theta, \quad (77)$$

which again reproduces the equation obtained by direct computations without invoking integrability. In boost symmetric cases, i.e. $\varphi(u, v) = \varphi(u - v)$, one carries out the same computation and gets

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta f}{\delta \lambda_\theta} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\eta \rho(\eta) (g_{\eta+\theta} - g_{\eta-\theta}) \\ \frac{\delta g_i}{\delta \lambda_\theta} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\eta j_{i\eta} (g_{\phi+\theta} - g_{\phi-\theta}).\end{aligned}\tag{78}$$

References

- [1] A. B. Zamolodchikov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Factorized s-matrices in two dimensions as the exact solutions of certain relativistic quantum field theory models*, Annals of Physics **120**(2), 253 (1979), doi:10.1016/0003-4916(79)90391-9.
- [2] F. A. Smirnov, *Form Factors in Completely Integrable Models of Quantum Field Theory*, World Scientific, doi:10.1142/1115 (1992).
- [3] M. Takahashi, *Thermodynamics of One-Dimensional Solvable Models*, Cambridge University Press, doi:10.1017/CBO9780511524332 (1999).
- [4] G. Mussardo, *Statistical field theory: an introduction to exactly solved models in statistical physics; 1st ed.*, Oxford graduate texts. Oxford Univ. Press, New York, NY (2010).
- [5] O. A. Castro-Alvaredo, B. Doyon and T. Yoshimura, *Emergent hydrodynamics in integrable quantum systems out of equilibrium*, Phys. Rev. X **6**, 041065 (2016), doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.6.041065.
- [6] B. Bertini, M. Collura, J. De Nardis and M. Fagotti, *Transport in Out-of-Equilibrium XXZ Chains: Exact Profiles of Charges and Currents*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 207201 (2016), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.207201.
- [7] A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Expectation value of composite field T anti- T in two-dimensional quantum field theory* (2004), hep-th/0401146.
- [8] A. Cavaglià, S. Negro, I. M. Szécsényi and R. Tateo, *$T\bar{T}$ -deformed 2d quantum field theories*, Journal of High Energy Physics **2016**(10) (2016), doi:10.1007/jhep10(2016)112.
- [9] F. A. Smirnov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *On space of integrable quantum field theories*, Nuclear Physics B **915**, 363 (2017), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2016.12.014, ArXiv: 1608.05499.
- [10] J. Cardy, *$t\bar{t}$ deformations of non-lorentz invariant field theories* (2018), 1809.07849.
- [11] R. Conti, S. Negro and R. Tateo, *The $T\bar{T}$ perturbation and its geometric interpretation*, Journal of High Energy Physics **2019**(2) (2019), doi:10.1007/jhep02(2019)085.
- [12] Y. Jiang, *$T\bar{T}$ -deformed 1d bose gas* (2020), 2011.00637.

- [13] J. Cardy and B. Doyon, *$T\bar{T}$ deformations and the width of fundamental particles*, arXiv:2010.15733 [cond-mat, physics:hep-th] (2021), ArXiv: 2010.15733.
- [14] B. L. Floch and M. Mezei, *Solving a family of $t\bar{t}$ -like theories*, arXiv:1903.07606 [hep-th] (2019), ArXiv: 1903.07606.
- [15] V. Rosenhaus and M. Smolkin, *Integrability and renormalization under $t\bar{T}$* , Phys. Rev. D **102**, 065009 (2020), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.065009.
- [16] G. Hernández-Chifflet, S. Negro and A. Sfondrini, *Flow equations for generalised $T\bar{T}$ deformations*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**(20), 200601 (2020), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.200601, ArXiv: 1911.12233.
- [17] G. Camilo, T. Fleury, M. Lencsés, S. Negro and A. Zamolodchikov, *On factorizable s -matrices, generalized $t\bar{t}$, and the hagedorn transition* (2021), 2106.11999.
- [18] M. Medenjak, G. Policastro and T. Yoshimura, *$T\bar{T}$ -deformed conformal field theories out of equilibrium*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 121601 (2021), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.121601.
- [19] B. Pozsgay and V. Eisler, *Real-time dynamics in a strongly interacting bosonic hopping model: global quenches and mapping to the XX chain*, J. Stat. Mech. **2016**(5), 053107 (2016), doi:10.1088/1742-5468/2016/05/053107, Publisher: IOP Publishing.
- [20] B. Doyon, T. Yoshimura and J.-S. Caux, *Soliton gases and generalized hydrodynamics*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 045301 (2018), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.045301.
- [21] E. Ilievski, M. Medenjak, T. Prosen and L. Zadnik, *Quasilocal charges in integrable lattice systems*, Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment **2016**(6), 064008 (2016), doi:10.1088/1742-5468/2016/06/064008.
- [22] B. Doyon, *Thermalization and pseudolocality in extended quantum systems*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **351**(1), 155 (2017), doi:10.1007/s00220-017-2836-7.
- [23] B. Doyon, *Hydrodynamic projections and the emergence of linearised euler equations in one-dimensional isolated systems* (2020), 2011.00611.
- [24] T. Palmai and R. M. Konik, *Quasilocal charges and the generalized gibbs ensemble in the lieb-liniger model*, Phys. Rev. E **98**, 052126 (2018), doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.98.052126.
- [25] I. Bouchoule, B. Doyon and J. Dubail, *The effect of atom losses on the distribution of rapidities in the one-dimensional Bose gas*, SciPost Phys. **9**, 44 (2020), doi:10.21468/SciPostPhys.9.4.044.
- [26] J. D. Nardis, B. Doyon, M. Medenjak and M. Panfil, *Correlation functions and transport coefficients in generalised hydrodynamics* (2021), 2104.04462.
- [27] L. Vidmar and M. Rigol, *Generalized gibbs ensemble in integrable lattice models*, J. Stat. Mech. Theor. Exp. **2016**(6), 064007 (2016), doi:10.1088/1742-5468/2016/06/064007.
- [28] B. Doyon and J. Durnin, *Free energy fluxes and the Kubo-Martin-Schwinger relation*, arXiv:2007.09113 [cond-mat, physics:math-ph] (2020), ArXiv: 2007.09113.

- [29] T. Bargheer, N. Beisert and F. Loebbert, *Boosting nearest-neighbour to long-range integrable spin chains*, Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment **2008**(11), L11001 (2008), doi:10.1088/1742-5468/2008/11/L11001.
- [30] T. Bargheer, N. Beisert and F. Loebbert, *Long-range deformations for integrable spin chains*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **42**(28), 285205 (2009), doi:10.1088/1751-8113/42/28/285205.
- [31] B. Pozsgay, Y. Jiang and G. Takács, *$T\bar{T}$ -deformation and long range spin chains*, Journal of High Energy Physics **2020**(3), 92 (2020), doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2020)092.
- [32] J. Durnin, M. J. Bhaseen and B. Doyon, *Non-equilibrium dynamics and weakly broken integrability* (2021), 2004.11030.
- [33] B. Doyon, A. Lucas, K. Schalm and M. Bhaseen, *Non-equilibrium steady states in the klein-gordon theory*, Journal Of Physics A-Mathematical And Theoretical **48**(9), 1 (2015), doi:10.1088/1751-8113/48/9/095002.
- [34] E. Vernier and A. C. Cubero, *Quasilocal charges and progress towards the complete GGE for field theories with nondiagonal scattering*, Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment **2017**(2), 023101 (2017), doi:10.1088/1742-5468/aa5288.
- [35] F. H. L. Essler, G. Mussardo and M. Panfil, *Generalized gibbs ensembles for quantum field theories*, Phys. Rev. A **91**, 051602(R) (2015), doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.91.051602.
- [36] E. Ilievski, E. Quinn and J.-S. Caux, *From interacting particles to equilibrium statistical ensembles*, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 115128 (2017), doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.95.115128.
- [37] B. Doyon and T. Yoshimura, *A note on generalized hydrodynamics: inhomogeneous fields and other concepts*, SciPost Physics **2**(2), 014 (2017), doi:10.21468/SciPostPhys.2.2.014.
- [38] M. Borsi, B. Pozsgay and L. Pristyák, *Current operators in bethe ansatz and generalized hydrodynamics: An exact quantum-classical correspondence*, Phys. Rev. X **10**, 011054 (2020), doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.10.011054.
- [39] B. Pozsgay, *Current operators in integrable spin chains: lessons from long range deformations*, SciPost Physics **8**(2), 016 (2020), doi:10.21468/SciPostPhys.8.2.016.
- [40] B. Doyon and J. Durnin, *Free energy fluxes and the kubo-martin-schwinger relation* (2021), 2007.09113.
- [41] M. Borsi, B. Pozsgay and L. Pristyák, *Current operators in integrable models: A review* (2021), 2103.12160.
- [42] A. C. Cubero, T. Yoshimura and H. Spohn, *Form factors and generalized hydrodynamics for integrable systems* (2021), 2104.04951.
- [43] J. Kruthoff and O. Parrikar, *On the flow of states under $T\bar{T}$* , SciPost Phys. **9**, 78 (2020), doi:10.21468/SciPostPhys.9.5.078.
- [44] S. Parke, *Absence of particle production and factorization of the S-matrix in 1 + 1 dimensional models*, Nuclear Physics B **174**(1), 166 (1980), doi:10.1016/0550-3213(80)90196-0.

- [45] B. Tóth and B. Valkó, *Onsager Relations and Eulerian Hydrodynamic Limit for Systems with Several Conservation Laws*, Journal of Statistical Physics **112**(3), 497 (2003), doi:10.1023/A:1023867723546.
- [46] R. M. Grisi and G. M. Schütz, *Current Symmetries for Particle Systems with Several Conservation Laws*, J Stat Phys **145**(6), 1499 (2011), doi:10.1007/s10955-011-0341-5.
- [47] J. De Nardis, D. Bernard and B. Doyon, *Diffusion in generalized hydrodynamics and quasiparticle scattering*, SciPost Phys. **6**(4), 049 (2019), doi:10.21468/SciPostPhys.6.4.049, ArXiv: 1812.00767.
- [48] D. Karevski and G. M. Schütz, *Charge-current correlation equalities for quantum systems far from equilibrium*, SciPost Phys. **6**(6), 068 (2019), doi:10.21468/SciPostPhys.6.6.068, ArXiv: 1812.03020.
- [49] A. Zamolodchikov, *Thermodynamic bethe ansatz in relativistic models: Scaling 3-state potts and lee-yang models*, Nuclear Physics B **342**(3), 695 (1990), doi:10.1016/0550-3213(90)90333-9.
- [50] C. N. Yang and C. P. Yang, *Thermodynamics of a one dimensional system of bosons with repulsive delta function interaction*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **10**(7), 1115 (1969), doi:10.1063/1.1664947.
- [51] B. Doyon, *Generalised hydrodynamics of the classical Toda system*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **60**(7), 073302 (2019), doi:10.1063/1.5096892, ArXiv: 1902.07624.
- [52] H. Spohn, *Generalized Gibbs Ensembles of the classical Toda chain*, J Stat Phys (2019), doi:10.1007/s10955-019-02320-5, ArXiv: 1902.07751.
- [53] B. Doyon, *Lecture notes on Generalised Hydrodynamics* (2019), doi:10.21468/SciPostPhysLectNotes.18.
- [54] H. Spohn, *The collision rate ansatz for the classical Toda lattice*, Phys. Rev. E **101**(6), 060103(R) (2020), doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.101.060103, ArXiv: 2004.03802.
- [55] B. Pozsgay, *Algebraic construction of current operators in integrable spin chains*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**(7), 070602 (2020), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.070602, ArXiv: 2005.06242.
- [56] D.-L. Vu and T. Yoshimura, *Equations of state in generalized hydrodynamics*, SciPost Physics **6**(2), 023 (2019), doi:10.21468/SciPostPhys.6.2.023.
- [57] T. Yoshimura and H. Spohn, *Collision rate ansatz for quantum integrable systems*, SciPost Phys. **9**, 40 (2020), doi:10.21468/SciPostPhys.9.3.040.
- [58] G. A. El and A. M. Kamchatnov, *Kinetic equation for a dense soliton gas*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 204101 (2005), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.204101.
- [59] B. Doyon, J. Dubail, R. Konik and T. Yoshimura, *Large-Scale Description of Interacting One-Dimensional Bose Gases: Generalized Hydrodynamics Supersedes Conventional Hydrodynamics*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 195301 (2017), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.195301.

- [60] S. Coleman, *Quantum sine-gordon equation as the massive thirring model*, Phys. Rev. D **11**, 2088 (1975), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.11.2088.
- [61] V. V. Bazhanov, S. L. Lukyanov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Integrable structure of conformal field theory, quantum KdV theory and thermodynamic bethe ansatz*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **177**(2), 381 (1996), doi:10.1007/bf02101898.