

BOTT VANISHING FOR ELLIPTIC SURFACES

CHENGXI WANG

ABSTRACT. We explore Bott Vanishing for elliptic surfaces over \mathbb{P}^1 . We show that Bott Vanishing is significantly affected by the geometric properties that whether there exists certain type of singular fibers on the elliptic fibration such as cuspidal fibers. For ample line bundle on the surface with large self-intersection, these geometric properties give criteria for Bott Vanishing.

Key words: Bott Vanishing, elliptic fibration, singular fibers, coherent sheaf cohomology.

MSC classes: 14F17 (primary), 14J27, 14C17, 14C20 (secondary)

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Elliptic surfaces	3
3. Bott vanishing for elliptic surfaces	10
4. Examples of elliptic fibrations	19
4.1. $A \cdot E = 1$	19
4.2. $A \cdot E = 2$	20
4.3. $A \cdot E = 3$	22
4.4. $A \cdot E = 4$	24
References	26

1. INTRODUCTION

The cohomology spaces of several special vector bundles on a variety reveal the geometric and topological character of the variety. In particular, some geometric properties of the variety are relate to the vanishing of higher cohomology spaces which is useful when calculating the spaces of sections of the vector bundle. We say Bott Vanishing holds for a smooth projective variety X if

$$H^j(X, \Omega_X^i \otimes A) = 0$$

for all ample line bundle A , all $i \geq 0$ and all $j > 0$. Bott Vanishing is much broader than Kodaira vanishing which is the case when i equals the dimension of X .

All smooth projective toric varieties are proved to satisfy Bott Vanishing in [4, 5, 8, 12]. For a Fano variety, Bott Vanishing fails if it is not rigid. Bott vanishing is implied by the liftability of the Frobenius morphism [5], [1, Proposition 7.1.4]. Then Bott vanishes fails for a lot of flag varieties not isomorphic to a product

of projective spaces such as Grassmannians not isomorphic to projective plane and quadrics of dimension at least 3 [5, section 4]. Thus there still exist rigid Fano variety not satisfying Bott Vanishing.

Totaro gives an answer in [16] for Achinger-Witaszek-Zdanowicz's question [1, after Theorem 4] that Bott vanishing holds for the quintic del Pezzo surfaces which are non-toric rationally connected varieties. Sebastián Torres generalizes this example by giving in [17] that Bott vanishing holds for every stable GIT quotient of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ by the action of PGL_2 , over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. In [16], there are also investigations for irrationally connected varieties. Bott vanishing fails for all $K3$ surfaces of degree less than 20 or at least 24 with Picard number 1 [16, Theorem 3.2], which is obtained by using recent work of Ciliberto-Dedieu-Sernesi and Feyzbakhsh [7, 9].

The geometric properties of a $K3$ surface X leading to this failure is the existence of elliptic curves of low degree on X or a (possibly singular) Fano 3-fold containing the X as a hyperplane section. Theorems 5.1, 6.1 and 6.2 in [16] give geometric interpretation for this failure: the existence of certain special type of singular fibers of the elliptic fibration plays an important role in whether $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ is zero for an ample line bundle A which is the key point for Bott Vanishing. These results inspire the research in this paper.

In this paper, we explore Bott vanishing for elliptic surfaces X with an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. For elliptic surfaces, one cannot expect Bott vanishing to hold for all ample line bundles. But we want to determine as explicitly as possible which ample line bundles A have $H^j(X, \Omega_X^i \otimes A) = 0$ all $i \geq 0$ and all $j > 0$ (Definition 3.2). The crucial issue is testing whether $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ is zero by Proposition 3.4.

From the main results of this paper, Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.8, we know the vanishing of $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ is determined by geometric properties of the fibration π , i.e, whether there exists certain type of singular fibers of π such as cuspidal fibers. In Theorem 3.6 and Corollary 3.12, we prove when the self-intersection number A^2 is large enough, the geometric properties are actually sufficient and necessary condition for the vanishing of $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ which we can use as a criterion. In other words, we get geometric explanation for the vanishing of the cohomology spaces. The notable aspect of the paper is that our conclusions are about the elliptic surfaces over \mathbb{P}^1 with arbitrary β and r , where $\beta = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf of X and r is the intersection number $A \cdot E$ for a fiber E of π .

Moreover, Section 2 contains some background for the elliptic surfaces. We also obtain several surjective maps between the space of sections of ample line bundles on the surfaces which is needed in the proof of main results in Section 3. In section 4, we construct several concrete elliptic surfaces over \mathbb{P}^1 in the case when $r = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and apply the main theorems to get criteria for Bott Vanishing for a pair (X, A) (Definition 3.2).

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Burt Totaro for his guidance and helpful suggestions.

2. ELLIPTIC SURFACES

In this section, we give several conclusions about elliptic surfaces as preparations for proof of main theorems.

In this paper, a variety over a field k is referred to as an irreducible reduced separated scheme of finite type over k . We take a curve to mean a variety of dimension 1. An elliptic surface is defined as follows.

Definition 2.1. *Let C be a smooth complex projective curve and X be a smooth complex projective surface. A elliptic fibration of X over C is an surjective morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow C$ such that*

- (1) *almost all fibers are smooth of genus 1;*
- (2) *all fibers are reduced;*
- (3) *there is no (-1) -curve contained in a fiber.*

A elliptic surface over C is defined to be a smooth complex projective surface X with an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow C$.

Remark 2.2. *Condition (2) is not a standard part of the definition of an elliptic surface, but we will assume it for this paper. Condition (3) in Definition 2.1 means the elliptic fibration is minimal. A (-1) -curve (on a smooth projective surface) is a curve isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 with self-intersection -1 . If there are (-1) -curves on a smooth projective surface, we can successively blow-down (-1) -curves to reduce the surface to a minimal model. An elliptic fibration may have some reducible fibers.*

We give descriptions for the singular fibers and the singular locus of an elliptic fibration in Remark 2.3 and Lemma 2.5.

Remark 2.3. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be an elliptic fibration and S be the singular locus of π which is a zero-dimensional scheme in X . From Kodaira's table of singular fibers [6, V.7], [2, Corollary 5.2.3], the irreducible singular fiber of π is of type I_1 which is a nodal cubic or type II which is a cuspidal cubic; the reducible singular fiber is of type III which is two copies of \mathbb{P}^1 tangent at a point, or IV which is three copies of \mathbb{P}^1 through a point, or I_n ($n \geq 2$) which consists of a cycle of n rational curves, meeting transversally (Figure 1). We list as follows in local analytic coordinates the polynomial defining π and the degree of S at each non-smooth point of different types:*

π is given by	degree(S) is
• type I_1 : $\pi(x, y) = x^2 - y^2,$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(2x, -2y) = 1;$
• type II : $\pi(x, y) = x^2 - y^3,$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(2x, -3y^2) = 2;$
• type III : $\pi(x, y) = x(x - y^2),$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(2x - y^2, -2xy) = 3;$
• type IV : $\pi(x, y) = x(x^2 - y^2),$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(3x^2 - y^2, -2xy) = 4;$
• type I_n : $\pi(x, y) = xy,$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(x, y) = 1,$

where S is given by $\partial\pi/\partial x = \partial\pi/\partial y = 0$ and contained in the fiber of π at zero point since π is quasi-homogeneous in these coordinates.




FIGURE 1.

The conditions (2) and (3) in Definition 2.1 make it easy to compute the canonical bundle of an elliptic surface.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be an elliptic fibration. Then canonical bundle*

$$\mathcal{O}(K_X) = \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - 2)),$$

where $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf of X . In particular, if $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) > 2$, then X has Kodaira dimension $\kappa = 1$.

Proof. By Definition 2.1, all fibers of π are reduced. Hence π has no multiple fibers. Then the canonical line bundle $\mathcal{O}(K_X)$ equals pull back of a line bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 with degree $\chi(\mathcal{O}(X)) - 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$ [6, Corollary 12.3]. Thus $\mathcal{O}(K_X) = \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - 2))$. If $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) > 2$, the dimension of

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(nK_X)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n(\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - 2)))$$

goes to infinity as the integer n increases to infinity. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be an elliptic fibration and S be the non-smooth locus of π . Then*

$$\text{degree}(S) = e(X) = 12\chi(\mathcal{O}_X),$$

where $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf of X and $e(X)$ is the topological Euler characteristic of X .

Proof. By Theorem 6.10 in [14], the Euler number $e(X) = \sum_E e(E)$, where $e(E)$ is Euler number for the fiber E of π . The sum is finite since if E is smooth, the Euler number $e(E) = b_0 - b_1 + b_2 = 1 - 2 + 1 = 0$, where b_i are Betti numbers of E . If E is of type I_n ($n \geq 1$), then $e(E) = n$. If E is cuspidal cubic (type II), then $e(E) = 2$. Also $e(E) = 3$ if E is of type III, $e(E) = 4$ if E is of type IV. Let n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 be the numbers of singular points on fibers of type I_n ($n \geq 1$), II, III and IV respectively. Since there is one singular point on each fiber of type II, III and IV and there are n singular points on each fiber of type I_n ($n \geq 1$). Thus $e(X) = n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 + 4n_4$. Noether's formula shows that $12\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = K_X^2 + e(X)$. We know $K_X^2 = 0$ by Lemma 2.4. Therefore

$$n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 + 4n_4 = e(X) = 12\chi(\mathcal{O}_X).$$

By Remark 2.3, S has degree 1 at each singular point on the fiber of type I_n ($n \geq 1$), degree 2 at the singular point on the fiber of type II, degree 3 at the singular point on the fiber of type III, degree 4 at the singular point on the fiber of type IV, which implies $\text{degree}(S) = n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 + 4n_4 = e(X) = 12\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be an elliptic fibration and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ be the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf of X . Then $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 0$. In particular, we have $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 1$ unless the elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is isomorphic to the trivial fibration $\mathbb{P}^1 \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ for some elliptic curve E .*

Proof. By Proposition V.12.2 and the remark preceding it in [6], we have $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 1$ unless all the smooth fibers of π are isomorphic and singular fibers are of type mI_0 only. By Definition 2.1, all fibers of π are reduced. If singular fibers are of type mI_0 only, then all fibers are smooth. Thus when $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, all fibers of π are isomorphic, i.e., π is an elliptic fiber bundle. Theorem V.5.4 in [6] shows any elliptic fiber bundle over \mathbb{P}^1 is either a product or a Hopf surface. We know that any smooth projective variety has Kähler metric, thus its odd betti numbers have to be even numbers. Hopf surface is not projective since it has the first betti number $b_1 = 1$. Note that the elliptic surface X we consider is projective (Definition 2.1). Therefore, when $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, the elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is isomorphic to the trivial fibration $\mathbb{P}^1 \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ for some elliptic curve E . Thus the conclusion follows. \square

We can obtain some information about the space of sections of a line bundle from its degree.

Lemma 2.7. *Let F be a fiber of an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Suppose there is an ample line bundle $L = \mathcal{O}(D)$ on F with degree $r > 0$. Then $h^0(F, L) = r$.*

Proof. We write $F = C_1 + \cdots + C_t$, where C_1, \dots, C_t are irreducible components of F . Since L is ample, thus $-D \cdot C_i < 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$. Therefore $h^0(C_i, L^\vee) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$. Since F is a fiber of π , thus ω_F is trivial and F has arithmetic genus one. So $h^1(F, L) = h^0(F, L^\vee \otimes \omega_F) = h^0(F, L^\vee) \leq h^0(C_1, L^\vee) + \cdots + h^0(C_t, L^\vee) = 0$. Then by Riemann-Roch, we have $h^0(F, L) = \text{degree}_F L + g - 1 = r$. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Let C be a curve with arithmetic genus g and L be an invertible \mathcal{O}_C -module. Assume $Y \subset C$ is a nonempty 0-dimensional closed subscheme. If $\text{deg}(L) \geq 2g - 1 + \text{deg}(Y)$, then L is globally generated and $H^0(C, L) \rightarrow H^0(Y, L)$ is surjective.*

Proof. See [15, Tag 0E3D]. \square

Let F be a singular fiber of an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and S_0 be the singular subscheme of F supported at the singular points of F . Assume L is an ample line bundle on F of degree r . There are results in [16] that the restriction $H^0(F, L) \rightarrow H^0(S_0, L)$ is surjective in some cases. Lemma 2.9, 2.10, 2.11, 2.12 investigate the restriction map in several cases of certain type of singular fibers and value of r .

Lemma 2.9. *Let F be a singular fiber of an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and S_0 be the singular subscheme of F supported at the singular points of F . Assume F is of type II and L is an ample line bundle on F of degree $r \geq 2$. Then the restriction $H^0(F, L) \rightarrow H^0(S_0, L)$ is surjective.*

Proof. By computation in Remark 2.3, the degree of S_0 is 2. Fiber F is a curve with arithmetic genus $g = 1$ since it is an irreducible and reduced fiber of the elliptic fibration. If $r \geq 3$, then $H^0(F, L) \rightarrow H^0(S_0, L)$ is surjective by Lemma 2.8.

If $r = 2$, the line bundle is generated by global sections by Lemma 2.8, i.e., the line bundle is the base point free. So there is a global section s_1 of L such that s_1 is nonzero at the singular point p . Let $T = \{s \in H^0(F, L) \mid s(p) = 0\}$ be the subspace consists of sections of L that vanish at p . Then Lemma 2.7 shows $h^0(F, L) = r = 2$. Hence space T has dimension $2 - 1 = 1$. Let s_2 be a base element of T . Then the section s_2 vanishing at p is not identically zero. Since the degree of S_0 is 2, then $h^0(S_0, L) = 2$. To show $H^0(F, L) \rightarrow H^0(S_0, L)$ is surjective, it is sufficient to prove the restrictions of the sections s_1 and s_2 to S_0 form a set of basis for $H^0(S_0, L)$. We only need to show the restriction s_2 to S_0 does not vanish on the whole scheme S_0 of degree 2.

We consider the normalization $\sigma : \tilde{F} \rightarrow F$ of F with the unique point q mapping to p , where \tilde{F} is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 . By Remark 2.3, locally the fiber F is the curve defined by $x^2 - y^3$ in \mathbb{A}^2 , the singular locus S_0 is defined by ideal $\langle x, y^2 \rangle$. Then σ is given in local coordinates by $\sigma(t) = (t^3, t^2)$, and the point q, p corresponded to $t = 0$ and $(0, 0)$ respectively. Then the pullback of sections $\sigma^*(x)$ and $\sigma^*(y^2)$ satisfying $\sigma^*(x)(t) = t^3$ and $\sigma^*(y^2)(t) = t^4$.

Assume that s_2 vanishes on S_0 . Then locally $s_2 \in \langle x, y^2 \rangle$, which implies $\sigma^*(s_2) \in \langle \sigma^*(x), \sigma^*(y^2) \rangle$ locally. Therefore $\sigma^*(s_2)$ vanishes to order at least 3 at q . Since L is a line bundle of degree $r = 2$ on F , the pullback $\sigma^*(L)$ is also a line bundle of degree 2 on \tilde{F} . A section of a line bundle of degree 2 on \tilde{F} that vanishes at a point to degree at least 3 must be identically zero, i.e., the section $\sigma^*(s_2) = 0$. Hence the section $s_2 = 0$, which contradicts to that s_2 is a base element of T . □

Lemma 2.10. *Let F be a singular fiber of an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and S_0 be the singular subscheme of F supported at the singular points of F . Assume F is of type III and L is an ample line bundle on F of degree $r \geq 3$. Then the restriction $H^0(F, L) \rightarrow H^0(S_0, L)$ is surjective.*

Proof. By remark 2.3, in local coordinates near p , the fiber F is defined by the equation $x(x-y^2) = 0$ in \mathbb{A}^2 which is union of two components C_1 and C_2 isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 . The two curves C_1 and C_2 are tangent at one point p with intersection number $C_1 \cdot C_2 = 2$ in the surface X . And S_0 supported at p with degree 3 is the closed subscheme of \mathbb{A}^2 defined by $x - \frac{1}{2}y^2 = 0, y^3 = 0$ locally.

Let the degree of ample line bundle L on C_i is r_i for $i = 1, 2$ respectively, where r_1, r_2 are positive integers with $r_1 + r_2 = r \geq 3$. Then one of r_1, r_2 is at least 2. The space of sections $H^0(C_i, L) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(r_i))$ for $i = 1, 2$. A section of L on F is given by a section of $\mathcal{O}(r_1)$ on C_1 and a section of $\mathcal{O}(r_1)$ on C_2 with value and first derivatives agree at p , that is,

$$H^0(F, L) = \{(f, g) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(r_i)) \mid f(p) = g(p), f'(p) = g'(p)\},$$

where the restrictions $s|_{C_1} = f$ and $s|_{C_2} = g$.

Without loss of generality, we assume $r_2 \geq 2$ since we can switch the names of C_1 and C_2 if necessary. Let Y_2 be the unique subscheme of degree 3 in the smooth curve C_2 supported at p . Since $\deg_{C_2}(L) = r_2 \geq 2$ and the genus of C_2 is zero, then $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(r_2)) = H^0(C_2, L) \rightarrow H^0(Y_2, L)$ is surjective by Lemma 2.8. Hence there exists a section g of $\mathcal{O}(r_2)$ such that $g(p)$, $g'(p)$ and $g''(p)$ can be any value we want. Similarly, we apply Lemma 2.8 to the unique subscheme of degree 2 in C_1 supported at p . Then there exists a section f of $\mathcal{O}(r_1)$ such that $f(p)$ and $f'(p)$ can be any value we want. Thus we can pick sections as follows.

Let s_1 be a section in $H^0(F, L)$ given by f_1, g_1 such that

$$f_1(p) = g_1(p) \neq 0;$$

and s_2 be a section given by f_2, g_2 such that

$$f_2(p) = g_2(p) = 0 \text{ and } f_2'(p) = g_2'(p) \neq 0;$$

and s_3 be a section given by f_3, g_3 such that

$$f_3(p) = g_3(p) = 0, f_3'(p) = g_3'(p) = 0, f_3''(p) = 0 \text{ and } g_3''(p) \neq 0,$$

where $(f_i, g_i) \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(r_1)) \oplus H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(r_2))$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and f_3 is the zero section.

Let C_3 be the curve given by $x - \frac{1}{2}y^2 = 0$ locally that contains S_0 . Since $s_1(p) \neq 0$, $s_2(p) = 0$ and the restriction of s_2 to C_3 has $s_2'(p) \neq 0$, the sections s_1 and s_2 is linear independent on S_0 . It remains to show the restriction of s_3 to C_3 has $s_3''(p) \neq 0$. If we show this, then s_3 is not identically zero on S_0 . Also by $s_3(p) = 0, s_3'(p) = 0$, the sections s_1, s_2, s_3 are linear independent on S_0 . Therefore the three sections restrict to a basis for the space of sections $H^0(S_0, L) = \mathbb{C}^3$, which complete the proof.

We choose local coordinates so that the curve C_1 is given by $x = 0$ and C_2 is given by $x = y^2$. Since s_3 restricts to 0 on C_1 , then s_3 as a regular function on \mathbb{A}^2 has the form

$$s_3 = ax + bx^2 + cxy \pmod{(x, y)^3}.$$

Then the restriction of s_3 to C_2 is given by

$$s_3|_{C_2} = ay^2 + by^4 + cy^3 \equiv ay^2 \pmod{y^3}.$$

Since the second derivative of $s_3|_{C_2}$ equals $g_3''(p) \neq 0$, then $a \neq 0$. The restriction of s_3 to C_3 is given by

$$s_3|_{C_3} = \frac{a}{2}y^2 + \frac{b}{2}y^4 + \frac{c}{2}y^3 \equiv \frac{a}{2}y^2 \pmod{y^3}.$$

Then the second derivative of $s_3|_{C_3}$ is $\frac{a}{2} \neq 0$.

□

Lemma 2.11. *Let F be a singular fiber of an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and S_0 be the singular subscheme of F supported at the singular points of F . Assume F is of type IV and L is an ample line bundle on F of degree $r \geq 4$. Then the restriction $H^0(F, L) \rightarrow H^0(S_0, L)$ is surjective.*

Proof. By remark 2.3, in local coordinates near p , the fiber F is defined by the equation $x(x-y)(x+y) = 0$ in \mathbb{A}^2 which is union of three components C_1, C_2 and C_3 isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 intersecting at a point p . And S_0 supported at p with degree 4 is the closed subscheme of \mathbb{A}^2 defined by $x^2 - \frac{1}{3}y^2 = 0, xy = 0$ locally.

Let the degree of ample line bundle L on C_i is r_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$ respectively, where r_1, r_2, r_3 are positive integers with $r_1 + r_2 + r_3 = r \geq 4$. Then one of r_1, r_2, r_3 is not less than 2. The space of sections $H^0(C_i, L) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(r_i))$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. A section $s \in H^0(F, L)$ is given by $(f, g, h) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(r_i))$ such that $f(p) = g(p) = h(p)$ and $f'(p), g'(p), h'(p)$ satisfy a certain fixed linear equation, that is, $e_1 f'(p) + e_2 g'(p) + e_3 h'(p) = 0$ for some fixed nonzero numbers e_1, e_2, e_3 depending on a choice of trivialization of the tangent spaces of C_1, C_2, C_3 at p . The restrictions $s|_{C_1} = f, s|_{C_2} = g$ and $s|_{C_3} = h$.

Without loss of generality, we assume $r_1 \geq 1, r_2 \geq 2$ and $r_3 \geq 1$ since we can switch the names of C_1, C_2 and C_3 if necessary. As consequences of Lemma 2.8 applied to the subschemes supported at p of degree 2 in C_1 , of degree 3 in C_2 and of degree 2 in C_3 respectively, there exists a section f of $\mathcal{O}(r_1)$ such that $f(p)$ and $f'(p)$ can be any value we want, and there exists a section g of $\mathcal{O}(r_2)$ such that $g(p), g'(p)$ and $g''(p)$ can be any value we want, and there is a section h of $\mathcal{O}(r_1)$ such that $h(p)$ and $h'(p)$ can be any value we want. Thus we can pick sections as follows.

Let s_1 be a section in $H^0(F, L)$ given by f_1, g_1, h_1 such that

$$f_1(p) = g_1(p) = h_1(p) \neq 0;$$

and s_2 be a section given by f_2, g_2, h_2 such that

$$f_2(p) = g_2(p) = h_2(p) = 0 \text{ and } f_2'(p) \neq 0, g_2'(p) \neq 0, h_2'(p) \neq 0;$$

and s_3 be a section given by f_3, g_3, h_3 such that

$$f_3(p) = g_3(p) = h_3(p) = 0, f_3'(p) = 0, g_3'(p) \neq 0 \text{ and } h_3'(p) \neq 0;$$

and s_4 be a section given by f_4, g_4, h_4 such

$$f_4(p) = g_4(p) = h_4(p) = 0, f_4'(p) = g_4'(p) = h_4'(p) = 0 \text{ and } g_4''(p) \neq 0,$$

where $(f_i, g_i, h_i) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(r_i))$, $e_1 f_i'(p) + e_2 g_i'(p) + e_3 h_i'(p) = 0$, and f_4 and h_4 are the zero sections.

We claim that the four sections s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 restrict to a basis for the space of sections $H^0(S_0, L) = \mathbb{C}^4$. This completes the proof. Assume that $\sum_{i=1}^4 d_i s_i = 0$. Since $s_1(p) \neq 0$ and $s_i(p) = 0$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$, then $d_1 = 0$. Then by the derivative of the restriction of s_2 to C_1 is $f_2'(p) \neq 0$, and the derivative of the restriction of s_i to C_1 is $f_i'(p) = 0$ for $i = 3, 4$, so $d_2 = 1$. Then by the second derivative of the restriction of s_3 to C_2 is $g_3'(p) \neq 0$, and the second derivative of the restriction of s_4 to C_2 is $g_4''(p) \neq 0$, so $d_3 = 0$. Hence $d_4 s_4 = 0$ on S_0 . It remains to show that s_4 is not identically zero on S_0 .

We choose local coordinates so that the curve C_1 is given by $x = 0$, C_2 is given by $x - y = 0$ and C_3 is given by $x + y = 0$. On a small neighborhood $U \subseteq C_1$ of p ,

the space of sections $H^0(U, L|_U) = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/\langle x \rangle$. Since s_4 restrict to zero on C_1 , then s_4 as a regular function on \mathbb{A}^2 has the form

$$s_4 = ax + bx^2 + cxy \pmod{(x, y)^3}.$$

The restriction of s_4 to C_2 is given by

$$s_4|_{C_2} = ay + by^2 + cy^2 \pmod{y^3}.$$

Since the derivative of $s_4|_{C_2} = g'_4(p) = 0$, then $a = 0$. Since the second derivative of $s_4|_{C_2}$ equals $g''_4(p) \neq 0$, then $b + c \neq 0$. The restriction of s_4 to C_3 is given by

$$s_4|_{C_3} = bx^2 - cx^2 \pmod{x^3}.$$

Since the second derivative of $s_4|_{C_3}$ equals $h''_4(p) = 0$, hence $b = c$. Therefore $b \neq 0$. Since S_0 is given by $x^2 - \frac{1}{3}y^2 = 0, xy = 0$ locally, the corresponding coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[x, y]/\langle x^2 - \frac{1}{3}y^2, xy \rangle$ has basis $1, y, y^2, x$. The restriction of s_4 to S_0 is give by $s_4|_{S_0} = \frac{b}{3}y^2$. Since $b \neq 0$, the section s_4 is not identically zero on S_0 . \square

Lemma 2.12. *Let F be a singular fiber of an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and S_0 be the singular subscheme of F supported at the singular points of F . Assume F is of type I_n with $n \geq 1$ and L is an ample line bundle on F . Then the restriction $H^0(F, L) \rightarrow H^0(S_0, L)$ is surjective.*

Proof. If $n = 1$, fiber F is a nodal cubic curve with a singular point p . We consider the normalization \tilde{F} of F with the normalization map $\sigma : \tilde{F} \rightarrow F$, where $\tilde{F} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is a smooth curve with genus 0 and the inverse image $\sigma^{-1}(p)$ has two points q_1, q_2 . We have $\deg_F(L) \geq 1$ since L is ample on F . Since σ is normalization map, so $\deg_{\tilde{F}}(\sigma^*L) = \deg_F(L) \geq 1$. Since $\sigma^{-1}(p)$ is a 0-dimensional closed subscheme of \tilde{F} of degree 2, the restriction $H^0(\tilde{F}, \sigma^*L) \rightarrow H^0(\sigma^{-1}(p), \sigma^*L)$ is surjective by Lemma 2.8. This implies that there are sections of σ^*L on \tilde{F} taking any values at q_1 and q_2 . The sections of L on F is the subspace of sections of σ^*L on \tilde{F} that takes same value at q_1 and q_2 , i.e.,

$$H^0(F, L) = \{s \in H^0(\tilde{F}, \sigma^*L) \mid s(q_1) = s(q_2)\}.$$

Thus there is a section s in $H^0(F, L)$ such that $s(p) \neq 0$ corresponding to a section in $H^0(\tilde{F}, \sigma^*L)$ which takes same nonzero value at q_1 and q_2 . Then s restricts to a nonzero section in $H^0(S_0, L)$. The computations in Remark 2.3 show that the degree of S_0 is 1. Thus $H^0(S_0, L)$ has dimension 1, which implies $H^0(F, L) \rightarrow H^0(S_0, L)$ is surjective.

We now consider the case of $n \geq 2$. By Remark 2.3, the fiber F consists of a cycle of n curves C_0, \dots, C_{n-1} isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 . The curves C_i and C_{i+1} meeting transversely at a point $Q_{i, i+1}$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. We consider the index i modulo n . The singular locus S_0 supported at n points $Q_{0,1}, Q_{1,2}, \dots, Q_{n-1,0}$ has degree 1 at each point.

Let the positive integer r_i be the degree of ample line bundle L on C_i for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ respectively. The space of sections $H^0(C_i, L) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(r_i))$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. A section $s \in H^0(F, L)$ is given by $(f_i)_{i=1}^n \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(r_i))$ such

that $f_i(Q_{i,i+1}) = f_{i+1}(Q_{i,i+1})$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, where the restrictions $s|_{C_i} = f_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. The space of sections

$$H^0(S_0, L) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^0(Q_{i,i+1}, L) = \mathbb{C}^{\oplus n}$$

has basis $e_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, where i -th entry equals 1 and the other entries equal 0.

For any two points on \mathbb{P}^1 , there exists an automorphism mapping the two points to $[1 : 0]$ and $[0 : 1]$ respectively. The space of sections $H^0(C_i, L) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(r_i))$ has elements x^{r_i}, y^{r_i} and zero, where $r_i \geq 1$. The section x^{r_i} maps $[1 : 0]$ and $[0 : 1]$ to 1, 0 respectively. The section y^{r_i} maps $[1 : 0]$ and $[0 : 1]$ to 0, 1 respectively. The zero section maps both $[1 : 0]$ and $[0 : 1]$ to 0. Thus there are three sections in $H^0(C_i, L)$: one maps $Q_{i-1,i}$ and $Q_{i,i+1}$ to 1, 0 respectively, one maps $Q_{i-1,i}$ and $Q_{i,i+1}$ to 0, 1 respectively, and one maps both $Q_{i-1,i}$ and $Q_{i,i+1}$ to 0.

Let f_i be the section in $H^0(C_i, L)$ such that $f(Q_{i-1,i}) = 0$ and $f(Q_{i,i+1}) = 1$, f_{i+1} be the section in $H^0(C_{i+1}, L)$ such that $f(Q_{i,i+1}) = 1$ and $f(Q_{i+1,i+2}) = 0$. For all $j \neq i, i+1$, let f_j be the section in $H^0(C_j, L)$ such that $f(Q_{j-1,j}) = f(Q_{j,j+1}) = 0$. Then the section $s_i \in H^0(F, L)$ given by f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n restricts to the base element e_i in $H^0(S_0, L)$. Therefore the restriction $H^0(F, L) \rightarrow H^0(S_0, L)$ is surjective. \square

3. BOTT VANISHING FOR ELLIPTIC SURFACES

In this section, we focus on proving main results: Theorem 3.6, Theorem 3.8, Corollary 3.10 and Corollary 3.12. Let X be a smooth complex projective surface with an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and ample line bundle A on X , $\beta = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ be the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf of X and E be a fiber of π . Proposition 3.4 tells us that the vanishing of the cohomology space $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ plays a key role in testing the Bott Vanishing for ample line bundles A on these elliptic surfaces. So our main results focus on investigating whether $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ vanishes.

Let r be the intersection number $A \cdot E$. When $r = 1$, Theorem 3.6 shows the cohomology space $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ vanishes if and only if π has no fibers of type II and the self-intersection A^2 is larger than a number relate to β . When $r \geq 1$ and $A - \beta E$ is nef and big, Theorem 3.8 states that $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ vanishes implies π has no fibers of certain types and the converse holds with an additional condition about the dimension of a space of sections of the line bundle $A - (11\beta - 1)E$. Then Remark 3.9 shows that $A - (12\beta - 2)E$ is nef and big is slightly stronger than the additional condition. Thus under the assumption $A - (12\beta - 2)E$ is nef and big, Corollary 3.10 gives a criterion of whether $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ vanishes, i.e, $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ vanishes if and only if π has no fibers of certain types. Moreover, computing the self-intersection A^2 may be easier than checking $A - (12\beta - 2)E$ is nef and big. When $r \geq 2$, under the assumption that the self-intersection A^2 is larger than a number relate to β and r , Corollary 3.12 displays a criterion of whether $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ vanishes, i.e, $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ vanishes if and only if π has no fibers of certain types.

Now we give a formula for the Euler characteristic $\chi(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ for any elliptic fibration $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface with an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, $\beta = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ be the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf of X , and A be an ample line bundle on X . Then*

$$\chi(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) = A^2 - 10\beta.$$

Proof. By Riemann-Roch and $c_1(\Omega_X^1) = c_1(K_X)$, $c_1(T_X) = -c_1(K_X)$, where K_X is the canonical bundle of X , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) &= \int_X td(T_X)ch(\Omega_X^1 \otimes A) = \int_X td(T_X)ch(\Omega_X^1)ch(A) \\ &= \int_X \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1(T_X) + \frac{c_1^2(T_X) + c_2(T_X)}{12}\right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(2 + c_1(\Omega_X^1) + \frac{c_1^2(\Omega_X^1) - 2c_2(\Omega_X^1)}{2}\right) \cdot \left(1 + c_1(A) + \frac{c_1^2(A)}{2}\right) \\ &= \int_X c_1^2(A) + \frac{1}{6} \int_X c_1^2(K_X) - \frac{5}{6} \int_X c_2(T_X) \\ &= A^2 + \frac{1}{6}K_X^2 - \frac{5}{6} \int_X c_2(T_X). \end{aligned}$$

For elliptic surface X , we have $K_X^2 = 0$. Then by Noether's formula $12\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = K_X^2 + e(X)$, we get $\int_X c_2(T_X) = e(X) = 12\beta$. Therefore $\chi(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) = A^2 - 10\beta$. \square

Definition 3.2. *Let X be a smooth projective variety and A be an ample line bundle on X . We say that (X, A) satisfies Bott vanishing if $H^j(X, \Omega^i \otimes A) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ and all $j > 0$.*

Remark 3.3. *We have $\chi(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) = h^0(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) - h^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) + h^2(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$. By Proposition 3.1, if $A^2 < 10\beta$, then $\chi(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ is negative. This implies $h^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ is nonzero. Therefore Bott vanishing fails. If $A^2 \geq 10\beta$, then $\chi(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ is nonnegative. So there are still possibilities that Bott vanishing holds for (X, A) .*

The following Proposition tells us whether $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ vanishes is crucial for Bott Vanishing for (X, A) .

Proposition 3.4. *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface with an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and E be a fiber of π and $\beta = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ be the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf of X . Assume A is an ample line bundle on X such that $A - (\beta - 2)E$ is nef and big. Then (X, A) satisfies Bott vanishing if and only if $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) = 0$.*

Proof. Kodaira-Akizuki-Nakano vanishing theorem ([11, Theorem 4.2.3]) shows $H^j(X, \Omega^i \otimes L) = 0$ for all ample line bundles L on X and all $i + j > \dim(X)$. Thus $H^j(X, \Omega^2 \otimes L) = 0$ for $j > 0$ and $H^j(X, \Omega^1 \otimes L) = 0$ for $j \geq 2$.

Since $A - K_X = A - (\beta - 2)E$ is nef and big, by Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing, the cohomology spaces $H^j(X, A) = 0$ for $j > 0$. It remains to check whether $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) = 0$. Then we get the conclusion. \square

The singular locus of an elliptic fibration plays an important role in investigating the cohomology spaces.

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface with an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and E be a fiber of π and $\beta = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ be the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf of X . Assume A is an ample line bundle on X such that $A - \beta E$ is nef and big. Then the cohomology space $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) = 0$ is equivalent to that the non-smooth locus S (as a scheme) of π imposes linearly independent conditions on sections in $H^0(X, A + \beta E)$.*

Proof. We consider the relative Kähler differentials $\Omega_{X/\mathbb{P}^1}^1$ and the exact sequence of coherent sheaves on X :

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/\mathbb{P}^1}^1 \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\pi^* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1 = \pi^* \mathcal{O}(-2) = \mathcal{O}(-2E)$. We tensor the exact sequence with A to get the induced long exact sequence of cohomology spaces:

$$H^1(X, A - 2E) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Omega_{X/\mathbb{P}^1}^1 \otimes A) \rightarrow H^2(X, A - 2E).$$

By Lemma 2.4, the canonical bundle $K_X = (\beta - 2)E$. Then $A - 2E - K_X = A - \beta E$ is nef and big. Thus both these two cohomology spaces $H^1(X, A - 2E)$ and $H^2(X, A - 2E)$ are zero by Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing. Therefore the map from $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ to $H^1(X, \Omega_{X/\mathbb{P}^1}^1 \otimes A)$ is isomorphic.

The relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{X/\mathbb{P}^1} = \omega_X \otimes (\pi^* \omega_{\mathbb{P}^1})^*$ is a line bundle which describes the difference between the canonical line bundles of X and \mathbb{P}^1 . The following exact sequence expresses that the difference from $\Omega_{X/\mathbb{P}^1}^1$ to a line bundle is on the singular locus S (as a scheme) of the elliptic fibration:

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/\mathbb{P}^1}^1 \rightarrow \omega_{X/\mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow \omega_{X/\mathbb{P}^1}|_S \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.2)$$

By restricting to open subset $U = X - S$, all the coherent sheaves in the exact sequence (3.1) are vector bundles. Then we take determinants to get $\det(\Omega_X^1) = \det(\pi^* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1) \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathbb{P}^1}^1$ on U , which implies $\Omega_{X/\mathbb{P}^1}^1 = \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}(2E)$ on U . Thus $\omega_{X/\mathbb{P}^1} = \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}(2E)$ since ω_{X/\mathbb{P}^1} is a line bundle on the whole X . Since $K_X = (\beta - 2)E$, the exact sequence (3.2) tensored with A induces a long exact sequence of cohomology spaces:

$$H^0(X, A + \beta E) \xrightarrow{\theta} H^0(S, A + \beta E) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) \rightarrow H^1(X, A + \beta E).$$

The line bundle $A + \beta E - K_X = A + 2E$ is ample because A is ample and E is nef. Thus $H^1(X, A + \beta E) = 0$ by Kodaira vanishing. As a result, the cohomology space $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ is zero if and only if the restriction map θ is surjective, which means that S imposes independent conditions on sections of $A + \beta E$. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface with an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that all fibers are reduced, and $\beta = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf of X . Assume A is an ample line bundle on X with $A \cdot E = 1$, where E be a fiber of π . Then $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) \neq 0$ if and only if $A^2 \leq 21\beta - 3$ or π has a fiber of type II.*

Before the proof of Theorem 3.6, we analyze the elliptic fibration and the ample line bundle given in the theorem.

Lemma 3.7. *With the same assumptions and notations in Theorem 3.6, we conclude that any fiber of π is irreducible and has multiplicity one. When $A^2 \geq 3\beta$, the ample line bundle A is linear equivalent to $A_0 + mE$ for a section A_0 of π and some integers $m \geq 2\beta$, satisfying $A_0^2 = -\beta$, $A^2 = -\beta + 2m$ and $K_X \cdot A_0 = \beta - 2$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{O}(E) = \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$, any fiber of π is an effective divisor linearly equivalent to E . By the assumption that $A \cdot E = 1$, the intersection number of A with any fiber of π equals one. Also since A is ample, any fiber of π is irreducible and has multiplicity one. By Lemma 2.4, the canonical bundle $K_X = (\beta - 2)E$.

Riemann-Roch shows

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= h^0(X, A) - h^1(X, A) + h^2(X, A) \\ &= \frac{A \cdot (A - K_X)}{2} + \beta = \frac{A^2}{2} - \frac{\beta - 2}{2} + \beta = \frac{A^2}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A^2 \geq 3\beta$, the intersection number $(K_X - A) \cdot A = (\beta - 2)E \cdot A - A^2 \leq \beta - 2 - 3\beta = -2\beta - 2$. Lemma 2.6 shows $\beta \geq 0$. Thus $(K_X - A) \cdot A < 0$ which implies $K_X - A$ is not effective. Hence $h^2(X, A) = h^0(X, K_X - A) = 0$. Then $h^0(X, A) \geq \frac{A^2}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2} + 1 > 0$. Therefore A is linearly equivalent to an effective divisor.

We can write $A = \sum_i a_i C_i$, where $a_i > 0$ and C_i are irreducible curves on X . Since $A \cdot E = 1$ and E is nef, only one irreducible curve C_{i_0} in the sum has $C_{i_0} \cdot E = 1$ and all the other C_i with $i \neq i_0$ have $C_i \cdot E = 0$. Then those C_i with $i \neq i_0$ are curves supported in fibers and C_{i_0} is a section of π which we denoted it by A_0 . Since every fiber is irreducible and linearly equivalent to E , then A is linear equivalent to $A_0 + mE$, where A_0 is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 . Therefore $\deg_{A_0}(K_{A_0}) = 2g - 2 = -2$. Since $K_X \cdot A_0 = (\beta - 2)E \cdot A_0 = \beta - 2$, adjunction formula shows $A_0^2 = \deg_{A_0}(K_{A_0}) - K_X \cdot A_0 = -2 - (\beta - 2) = -\beta$. Then $A^2 = -\beta + 2m$. The assumption $A^2 \geq 3\beta$ implies $m \geq 2\beta$. □

Proof of Theorem 3.6. If $A^2 < 3\beta$, then $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) \neq 0$ by Remark 3.3. Now we assume $A^2 \geq 3\beta$. By Lemma 3.7, we have $A - \beta E = A_0 + (m - \beta)E$. It can be checked that the line bundle $A - \beta E$ is nef and big when $A^2 \geq 3\beta$. Indeed, if C is an irreducible curve different from A_0 on X , then $A_0 \cdot C + (m - \beta)E \cdot C \geq 0$ when $m \geq \beta$; if $C = A_0$, then $(A_0 + (m - \beta)E) \cdot A_0 = -\beta + (m - \beta) \geq 0$ when $m \geq 2\beta$. We also have $(A_0 + (m - \beta)E)^2 = -\beta + 2(m - \beta) > 0$ when $m > \frac{3}{2}\beta$. The assumption that $A^2 \geq 3\beta$ implies $m \geq 2\beta$. And Lemma 2.6 shows $\beta \geq 0$. Thus all the inequalities about m above hold. Then by Proposition 3.5, the cohomology

space $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) = 0$ if and only if the non-smooth locus S (as a scheme) imposes linearly independent conditions on sections in $H^0(X, A + \beta E)$.

By Lemma 2.4, the canonical bundle $K_X = (\beta - 2)E$. Because A is ample and E is nef, the line bundle $A + \beta E - K_X = A + 2E$ is ample. Then $h^1(X, A + \beta E) = h^2(X, A + \beta E) = 0$. Riemann-Roch shows

$$h^0(X, A + \beta E) = \frac{(A + \beta E)^2}{2} - \frac{(A + \beta E) \cdot K_X}{2} + \chi(\mathcal{O}(X)).$$

It is implied by Lemma 3.7 that $(A + \beta E)^2 = (A_0 + (m + \beta)E)^2 = -\beta + 2(m + \beta) = 2m + \beta$ and $(A + \beta E) \cdot K_X = A_0 \cdot K_X + (m + \beta)E \cdot K_X = \beta - 2$. Then

$$h^0(X, A + \beta E) = \frac{2m + \beta}{2} - \frac{\beta - 2}{2} + \beta = m + 1 + \beta.$$

We consider the map $\phi : H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(\beta + m)) \rightarrow H^0(X, A_0 + (\beta + m)E)$ given by pulling back a section of $\mathcal{O}(\beta + m)$ on \mathbb{P}^1 by π to $H^0(X, \pi^* \mathcal{O}(\beta + m)) = H^0(X, (\beta + m)E)$ and then multiplying by the canonical section of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(A_0)$ on X . The map ϕ is injective and the section in the image of ϕ has zero set in X of the form $A_0 + E_1 + \dots + E_{\beta+m}$ for some fibers $E_1, \dots, E_{\beta+m}$ of π . Since both $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(\beta + m))$ and $H^0(X, A_0 + (\beta + m)E)$ have same dimension $\beta + m + 1$, thus ϕ is also surjective. Therefore, the linear system of $A + \beta E = A_0 + (\beta + m)E$ is the set of divisors $A_0 + E_1 + \dots + E_{\beta+m}$ for some fibers $E_1, \dots, E_{\beta+m}$ of π . By Lemma 3.7, all fibers of π are irreducible. Thus π can only have singular fibers of type I_1 and type II.

If π only have singular fibers of type I_1 , the number of singular points equals $\text{degree}(S) = 12\beta$ by Lemma 2.5. Then S consists of 12β nodal points $p_1, \dots, p_{12\beta}$ in 12β different fibers $F_1, \dots, F_{12\beta}$ respectively. We know $H^0(S, A + \beta E)$ has a basis $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^{12\beta}$ such that $s_i(p_i) \neq 0$ and $s_i(p_j) = 0$ for all $j \neq i$. Thus S impose linearly independent conditions on sections of $A + \beta E$ on X if and only if there are sections $f_i \in H^0(X, A + \beta E)$ such that $f_i(p_i) \neq 0$ and $f_i(p_j) = 0$ for all $j \neq i$.

On one hand, if $m + \beta \geq 12\beta - 1$, then for any $i \in \{1, \dots, 12\beta\}$, we can pick a section $f \in H^0(X, A + \beta E)$ with zero locus

$$(f)_0 = A_0 + F_1 + \dots + F_{i-1} + F_{i+1} + \dots + F_{12\beta} + E_1 \dots + E_{m+\beta-(12\beta-1)},$$

where E_j is a fiber different from F_i for all $j = 1, \dots, m + \beta - (12\beta - 1)$. Since a section of elliptic fibration never passes through singular points of π , i.e., $A_0 \cap S = \emptyset$, we have $f(p_i) \neq 0$ and $f(p_j) = 0$ for all $j \neq i$. Hence we can let $f_i = f$.

On the other hand, if there is a section $f_i \in H^0(X, A + \beta E)$ such that $f_i(p_i) \neq 0$ and $f_i(p_j) = 0$ for all $j \neq i$, i.e., $(f_i)_0$ contains $12\beta - 1$ points p_j for all $j \neq i$. Since $(f_i)_0 = A_0 + E_1 + \dots + E_{\beta+m}$ for some fibers $E_1, \dots, E_{\beta+m}$ of π and $A_0 \cap S = \emptyset$, we get $m + \beta \geq 12\beta - 1$. Therefore S impose linearly independent conditions on sections of $A + \beta E$ if and only if $m + 1 + \beta \geq 12\beta$, that is $A^2 = -\beta + 2m \geq 21\beta - 2$. Therefore, when π has no singular fibers of type II, the condition $A^2 \leq 21\beta - 3$ is equivalent to $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) \neq 0$.

Now we consider the case that π has a singular fiber E_0 of type II. Let p be the cusp point in E_0 and $S_0 = E_0 \cap S$ be the component of S supported at p . By Remark 2.3, the degree of S_0 is 2. Thus $H^0(S_0, A + \beta E)$ has dimension two with basis h_1, h_2 such that $h_1(p) \neq 0$, $h_2(p) = 0$ and h_2 is not identically zero on S_0 .

Let g be a nonzero section in $H^0(X, A + \beta E)$ vanishing at p . The vanishing locus of g is a divisor $A_0 + E_1 + \cdots + E_{\beta+m}$ for some fibers $E_1, \dots, E_{\beta+m}$ of π . The cusp point p is contained in this divisor. Since $A_0 \cap S = \emptyset$, there is some $i \in \{1, \dots, \beta+m\}$ such that E_i contain p . Thus $E_0 = E_i$, which implies g vanishes on the whole fiber E_0 . Thus g is identically zero on S_0 . This implies there is no section in $H^0(X, A + \beta E)$ restricting to h_2 . Therefore S does not impose linearly independent conditions on sections of $A + \beta E$, that is, $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) \neq 0$. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface with an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that all fibers are reduced, $\beta = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ be the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf of X , E be a fiber of π , and A be an ample line bundle on X with $r := A \cdot E$. Assume $A - \beta E$ is nef and big. If π has a fiber of type II when $r = 1$, a fiber of type III when $r = 2$, or a fiber of type IV when $r = 3$, then $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) \neq 0$. The converse holds with additional condition that $h^0(L) - h^0(L - E) = r$, where $L = A - (11\beta - 1)E$.*

Before the proof of Theorem 3.8, we first see two corollaries.

Remark 3.9. *In Theorem 3.8, the converse holds if we make the additional condition a slightly stronger, that is, the converse holds if $L - (\beta - 1)E$ is nef and big. Indeed, by Lemma 2.4, the canonical bundle $K_X = (\beta - 2)E$. Since $L - E - K_X = L - (\beta - 1)E$ are nef and big, the line bundle $L - K_X = L - (\beta - 1)E + E$ is also nef and big. By Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing, the higher cohomology spaces of L and $L - E$ are all zero. The by Riemann-Roch, we have*

$$h^0(X, L) = \frac{L^2}{2} - \frac{L \cdot K_X}{2} + \beta \text{ and } h^0(X, L - E) = \frac{(L - E)^2}{2} - \frac{(L - E) \cdot K_X}{2} + \beta.$$

Since $L^2 = A^2 - 2(11\beta - 1)r$ and $(L - E)^2 = A^2 - 2(11\beta)r$, we get

$$h^0(X, L) - h^0(X, L - E) = \frac{L^2}{2} - \frac{(L - E)^2}{2} = r.$$

Therefore the condition that $L - (\beta - 1)E$ is nef and big implies $h^0(L) - h^0(L - E) = r$. So the converse holds. Moreover, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.10. *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface with an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that all fibers are reduced, $\beta = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ be the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf of X , E be a fiber of π , and A be an ample line bundle on X with $r := A \cdot E$. Assume $A - (12\beta - 2)E$ is nef and big. Then $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) \neq 0$ if and only if π has a fiber of type II when $r = 1$, a fiber of type III when $r = 2$, or a fiber of type IV when $r = 3$.*

Proof. If $\beta = 0$, then $A - \beta E = A$ is ample, thus is also nef and big. If $\beta \geq 1$ implies $11\beta - 2 \geq 0$. Since E is nef and $A - (12\beta - 2)E$ is nef and big, the line bundle $A - \beta E = A - (12\beta - 2)E + (11\beta - 2)E$ is also nef and big. Let $L = A - (11\beta - 1)E$,

we have $A - (12\beta - 2)E = L - (\beta - 1)E$. Then the conclusion follows from Remark 3.9 and Theorem 3.8. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface with an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that all fibers are reduced, $\beta = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ be the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf of X , E be a fiber of π , and A be an ample line bundle on X with $r := A \cdot E \geq 2$. If $A^2 \geq 2r^2\beta + 25r\beta - 4r - 2\beta$, then $A - (12\beta - 2)E$ is nef and big.*

Proof. If $\beta = 0$, then $A - (12\beta - 2)E = A + 2E$ is nef and big since A is ample and E is nef. Now we assume $\beta \geq 1$. Let $k_0 = 12\beta - 2 + r\beta$. Then $A^2 \geq 2r^2\beta + 25r\beta - 4r - 2\beta = 2rk_0 + (r - 2)\beta$. We first show the line bundle $A - k_0E$ is linear equivalent to an effective divisor. Since $(A - k_0E)^2 = A^2 - 2k_0r$, $(A - k_0E) \cdot K_X = (\beta - 2)r$ and the assumption $A^2 \geq 2rk_0 + (r - 2)\beta$, Riemann-Roch shows

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(X, A - k_0E) &= \frac{(A - k_0E)^2}{2} - \frac{(A - k_0E) \cdot K_X}{2} + \beta \\ &= \frac{A^2}{2} - k_0r - \frac{r}{2}(\beta - 2) + \beta \\ &\geq rk_0 + \frac{r-2}{2}\beta - k_0r - \frac{r}{2}\beta + r + \beta = r \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} (K_X - A + k_0E) \cdot A &= r(\beta - 2) - A^2 + k_0r \\ &\leq r(\beta - 2) - 2rk_0 - (r - 2)\beta + k_0r = -2r + 2\beta - rk_0 \\ &= -2r + 2\beta - r(12\beta - 2 + r\beta) = (2 - 12r - r^2)\beta < 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $\beta \geq 1$ and $r \geq 2$. Thus $K_X - A + k_0E$ is not effective. Hence $h^2(X, A - k_0E) = h^0(X, K_X - A + k_0E) = 0$. Therefore $h^0(X, A - k_0E) > 0$ which implies $A - k_0E$ is linearly equivalent to an effective divisor which we denote by D . We write

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_i = \sum_{i=1}^l a_i D_i + \sum_{i=l+1}^n a_i D_i$$

for some $1 \leq l \leq n$, where $a_i \geq 1$, D_i are different irreducible curves on X and D_i is contained in a fiber of π if and only if $i \in \{l+1, \dots, n\}$.

We claim that $D + (r\beta)E$ is nef by checking $(D + (r\beta)E) \cdot C$ for any irreducible curve C on X . If $C \neq D_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, then $(D + (r\beta)E) \cdot C = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (D_i \cdot C) + (r\beta)E \cdot C \geq 0$ since E is nef. Note that $E \cdot D_i = 0$ for $i \in \{l+1, \dots, n\}$ since D_i is contained in a fiber of π . Hence if $C = D_i$ for some $i \in \{l+1, \dots, n\}$, we get

$$(D + (r\beta)E) \cdot C = (D + k_0E) \cdot D_i = A \cdot D_i > 0$$

since A is ample. Now we assume $C = D_j$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$. Let $b_i = D_i \cdot E$. Since $D \cdot E = A \cdot E = r$ and $E \cdot D_i = 0$ for all $i \in \{l+1, \dots, n\}$, thus $E \cdot \sum_{i=1}^l a_i D_i = r$. Hence $1 \leq b_i \leq r$ and $1 \leq a_i \leq r$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$. We have

$$(D + (r\beta)E) \cdot D_j = a_j D_j^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} a_i D_i \cdot D_j + (r\beta)E \cdot D_j \geq a_j D_j^2 + (r\beta)b_j.$$

By adjunction formula $K_{D_j} = (K_X + D_j)|_{D_j}$, we get

$$2g - 2 = \deg(K_{D_j}) = (K_X + D_j) \cdot D_j = (\beta - 2)E \cdot D_j + D_j^2,$$

where g is the arithmetic genus of D_j . Thus $D_j^2 = -(\beta - 2)b_j + 2g - 2 \geq -(\beta - 2)b_j - 2$. If $\beta = 1$, then

$$(D + (r\beta)E) \cdot D_j \geq a_j(b_j - 2) + rb_j \geq a_j(1 - 2) + r = r - a_j \geq 0$$

since $b_j \geq 1$ and $1 \leq a_j \leq r$. If $\beta \geq 2$, then $(\beta - 2)b_j + 2 > 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (D + (r\beta)E) \cdot D_j &\geq -a_j((\beta - 2)b_j + 2) + (r\beta)b_j \\ &\geq -r((\beta - 2)b_j + 2) + (r\beta)b_j = 2rb_j - 2r \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

since $b_j \geq 1$ and $a_j \leq r$.

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (D + (r\beta)E)^2 &= (A - k_0E + (r\beta)E)^2 = A^2 + 2(r\beta - k_0)E \cdot A \\ &\geq 2rk_0 + (r - 2)\beta + 2(r\beta - k_0)r = (r - 2)\beta + 2r^2\beta > 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $\beta \geq 1$ and $r \geq 2$. Thus $A - (12\beta - 2)E = A - k_0E + (r\beta)E = D + (r\beta)E$ is nef and big. \square

This Lemma allows us to check that $A - (12\beta - 2)E$ is nef and big by computing the self intersection number A^2 which might be much easier. Then by Corollary 3.10, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.12. *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface with an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that all fibers are reduced, $\beta = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ be the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf of X , E be a fiber of π , and A be an ample line bundle on X with $r := A \cdot E \geq 2$. Assume $A^2 \geq 2r^2\beta + 25r\beta - 4r - 2\beta$. Then $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) \neq 0$ if and only if π has a fiber of type III when $r = 2$, or a fiber of type IV when $r = 3$.*

As preparation for the proof of Theorem 3.8, the following lemma analyzes the restriction map of space of sections of line bundle from X to singular locus.

Lemma 3.13. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be an elliptic fibration with all fibers reduced, $\beta = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ be the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf of X and S be the singular locus of π . Assume for every singular fiber E_0 of π , the restriction $H^0(X, L) \rightarrow H^0(S_0, L)$ is surjective, where $S_0 = S \cap E_0$. Then the restriction $\theta : H^0(X, L + (12\beta - 1)E) \rightarrow H^0(S, L + (12\beta - 1)E)$ is also surjective, where E is a fiber of π .*

Proof. Lemma 2.5 shows $\deg(S) = 12\beta$. This implies the number of singular fibers is no more than 12β . Let E_1, E_2, \dots, E_m be all the singular fibers of π and $S_i = S \cap E_i$, where $m \leq 12\beta$. Then

$$H^0(S, L + (12\beta - 1)E) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m H^0(S_i, L + (12\beta - 1)E).$$

A base element in $H^0(S_i, L + (12\beta - 1)E)$ corresponds to a section of $L + (12\beta - 1)E$ on S which is nonzero at a singular point in one singular fiber E_i and zero at all singular points in other singular fibers. Thus any section of $L + (12\beta - 1)E$ on S

can be write as a sum of the such sections. In order to show θ is surjective, it is sufficient to show such a section has a preimage in $H^0(X, L + (12\beta - 1)E)$.

We arbitrarily pick a singular fiber from E_1, E_2, \dots, E_m . Without loss of generality, we assume the singular fiber is E_1 . Let $E_2 + \dots + E_m + E_{m+1} + E_{12\beta-1}$ be an element in the linear system $|(12\beta - 1)E|$, where all fibers $E_i, i = 2, \dots, 12\beta - 1$ are different from E_1 . Then there is a section $g \in H^0(X, (12\beta - 1)E)$ such that the zero locus $(g)_0 = E_2 + \dots + E_{12\beta-1}$. In other words, section g vanishing on $E_i, i = 2, \dots, 12\beta - 1$ has no zero point on E_1 .

Let p be a singular point on E_1 and s be a section of $L + (12\beta - 1)E$ on S which is nonzero at p and zero at other singular points in $E_2, \dots, E_{12\beta-1}$. We pick a base element $f \in H^0(S_1, L)$ such that $f(p) \neq 0$. By assumption, the restriction $H^0(X, L) \rightarrow H^0(S_1, L)$ is surjective. Then there is a section $\bar{f} \in H^0(X, L)$ such that $\bar{f}|_{S_1} = f$. Hence $\bar{f}(p) = f(p) \neq 0$. Now we get a section $g \otimes \bar{f}$ of $L + (12\beta - 1)E$ on X which is nonzero at p and vanishes at other singular points in singular fibers $E_2, \dots, E_{12\beta-1}$. Thus s equals the restriction of $\frac{s(p)}{g(p)\bar{f}(p)}g \otimes \bar{f}$ to S . \square

Proof of Theorem 3.8. First, we show $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) \neq 0$ under the assumption that $A - \beta E$ is nef and big, and π has a fiber of type II with $r = 1$, a fiber of type III with $r = 2$ or a fiber of type IV with $r = 3$.

Let E_0 be a given fiber of type II when $r = 1$, of type III when $r = 2$, or of type IV when $r = 3$. Let S_0 be the connected component of S supported at the singular point on E_0 . By Remark 2.3, the degree of S_0 is 2 if the singular point is on a fiber of type II of π , the degree of S_0 is 3 if the singular point is on a fiber of type III of π and the degree of S_0 is 4 if the singular point is on a fiber of type IV. Thus $\text{degree}(S_0) = r + 1$. Hence $h^0(S_0, A + \beta E) = r + 1$.

The line bundle $A + \beta E$ is ample since A is ample and E is nef. We have $(A + \beta E) \cdot E_0 = A \cdot E_0 + \beta E \cdot E_0 = r$, that is $A + \beta E$ has degree r on the given fiber E_0 . By Lemma 2.7, we have $h^0(E_0, A + \beta E) = r < h^0(S_0, A + \beta E)$. Therefore $H^0(X, A + \beta E)$ cannot map onto $H^0(S_0, A + \beta E)$ by restriction, which implies S does not impose independent conditions on sections of $A + \beta E$. Since $A - \beta E$ is nef and big, the cohomology space $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ is not zero by Proposition 3.5.

Conversely, we aim to show $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) = 0$ under the assumption that $A - \beta E$ is nef and big, $h^0(L) - h^0(L - E) = r$, π has no fiber of type II when $r = 1$, π has no fiber of type III when $r = 2$ and π has no fiber of type IV when $r = 3$. By Proposition 3.5, it is equivalent to show S imposes linearly independent conditions on sections of $A + \beta E = L + (12\beta - 1)E$.

Arbitrarily choose a fiber E_0 of π . The short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(L - E_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_0}(L) \rightarrow 0$ induce long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, L - E_0) \rightarrow H^0(X, L) \rightarrow H^0(E_0, L) \rightarrow \dots$$

By assumption that $h^0(L) - h^0(L - E) = r$, the restriction $H^0(X, L) \rightarrow H^0(E_0, L)$ has image of dimension r . Since $\mathcal{O}(E)$ restricted to E_0 is trivial, the line bundle L

restricted to E_0 equals A restricted to E_0 . Thus L is ample on E_0 . Then by Lemma 2.7, the dimension of $H^0(E_0, L)$ is r . Thus $H^0(X, L)$ restrict onto $H^0(E_0, L)$ for any fiber E_0 of π .

Let E_0 be a singular fiber of the elliptic fibration π and $S_0 = S \cap E_0$. Since $\deg_{E_0} L = r$, number of irreducible components of E_0 is no more than r . Then E_0 can be of type II only when $r \geq 2$; of type III only when $r \geq 3$; of type IV only when $r \geq 4$ and of type I_n with $n \geq 1$ only when $r \geq n$. By Lemma 2.9, 2.10, 2.11, 2.12, the restriction map from $H^0(E_0, L)$ to $H^0(S_0, L)$ is surjective for all these possible cases. Thus $H^0(X, L)$ maps onto $H^0(S_0, L)$ by restriction. Then by Lemma 3.13, the restriction from $H^0(X, L + (12\beta - 1)E)$ to $H^0(S, L + (12\beta - 1)E)$ is surjective, that is S imposes linearly independent conditions on sections of $A + \beta E = L + (12\beta - 1)E$. Therefore $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) = 0$ by Proposition 3.5. \square

4. EXAMPLES OF ELLIPTIC FIBRATIONS

In this section, Let X be a smooth complex projective surface with an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and ample line bundle A on X . Let r be the intersection number $A \cdot E$, where E is a fiber of π . In this section, we explore elliptic surfaces in the cases when $r = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and apply Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.8.

4.1. $A \cdot E = 1$. Elliptic fibrations with $r = A \cdot E = 1$ for an ample line bundle A on the elliptic surfaces, where E is a fiber.

Let X be a smooth complex projective surface with an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and A be an ample line bundle on X with $A \cdot E = 1$ for a fiber E of π . By Lemma 3.7, the ample line bundle A is linear equivalent to $A_0 + mE$ for a section A_0 of π and some integers, satisfying $A_0^2 = -\beta$, where β be the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf of X . If $m \geq \frac{11}{2}\beta$, then $A^2 = -\beta + 2m \geq 10\beta$. Remark 3.3, So there are possibilities that Bott vanishing holds for (X, A) . Then Theorem 3.6 can give a criterion for when the Bott vanishing holds for (X, A) if m is big enough.

Proposition 4.1. *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface with an elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and an ample line bundle $A = A_0 + mE$, where A_0 is a section of π and E is a fiber of π . Let β be the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf of X . When $m > 11\beta - 1$, Bott vanishing holds for (X, A) if and only if π has no fibers of type II.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, the canonical bundle $K_X = (\beta - 2)E$. We consider the line bundle $A - K_X = A - \beta E + 2E = A_0 + (m - \beta + 2)E$. By Lemma 2.6, we know $\beta \geq 0$. If $\beta = 0$, then $A - K_X = A + 2E$ is ample since A is ample and E is nef, thus is nef and big. If $\beta \geq 1$, then $A^2 = A_0^2 + 2m = 2m - \beta > 2(11\beta - 1) - \beta = 21\beta - 2 > 3\beta$. It has been checked in the first paragraph in the proof of Theorem 3.6 that line bundle $A - \beta E$ is nef and big when $A^2 \geq 3\beta$. Thus $A - K_X = (A - \beta E) + 2E$ is nef since E is nef. Also $(A - K_X)^2 = A_0^2 + 2(m - \beta + 2) = 2m - 3\beta + 4 > 2(11\beta - 1) - 3\beta + 4 = (22 - 3)\beta + 2 > 0$, then $A - K_X$ is big. By Proposition 3.4, we only need to check $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$. When $m > 11\beta - 1$, we have $A^2 > 21\beta - 3$. By Theorem 3.6, the cohomology space $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) = 0$ if and only if π has no fibers of type II. \square

An elliptic surface X over \mathbb{P}^1 with a section can be described by a Weierstrass data

$$(\mathbb{L}, \lambda, \mu)$$

over \mathbb{P}^1 , where $\mathbb{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\beta)$ and (λ, μ) are global sections of $\mathbb{L}^4 \oplus \mathbb{L}^6$ with discriminant $\Delta = 4\lambda^3 + 27\mu^2$ not identically 0 (see [13, II-IV]), such that locally the fiber over t in \mathbb{P}^1 is given by the Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 = x^3 + \lambda(t)x + \mu(t).$$

The polynomials λ and μ uniquely determine an elliptic surface X over \mathbb{P}^1 with a section.

[13, III.3] shows that Weierstrass fibrations over \mathbb{P}^1 in minimal form is one-to-one correspondence with the smooth minimal elliptic surfaces over \mathbb{P}^1 with section (possibly with non-reduced fibers). Let $\nu_p(s)$ be the order of vanishing of a section s of line bundle at a point p . If for every $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$, either $\nu_p(\lambda) \leq 3$ or $\nu_p(\mu) \leq 5$, then Weierstrass data $(\mathbb{L}, \lambda, \mu)$ over \mathbb{P}^1 is in minimal form, thus the corresponding elliptic surface is minimal. Moreover, the properties of X are determined by λ and μ in a slightly complicated way. Table in [13, IV.3.1] explains how to read off the types of singular fibers of elliptic fibration π from the polynomials λ and μ .

Assume $\beta \geq 1$. And assume the elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with section A_0 is determined by $\lambda \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4\beta))$ and $\mu \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(6\beta))$ such that $\lambda = t^{4\beta}$ and $\mu = t^{6\beta} + t$ in an affine open set of \mathbb{P}^1 . Since μ is nonzero at most points of \mathbb{P}^1 and the order of vanishing of μ at each zero point is one, we have $\nu_p(\mu) \leq 5$ for every $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Thus the elliptic surface X is minimal. Locally, we have

$$\Delta = 4t^{12\beta} + 27(t^{12\beta} + t^2 + 2t^{6\beta+1}).$$

Let a, b, δ be the order of vanishing of λ, μ and Δ at $t = 0$ respectively. Then we have $\delta = 2$, $a = 4\beta \geq 1$ and $b = 1$. Using the table in [13, IV.3.1], the elliptic fibration π has a fiber of type II over $t = 0$. By Proposition 4.1, Bott vanishing fails for (X, A) , where $A = A_0 + mE$ with $m > 11\beta - 1$.

4.2. $A \cdot E = 2$. Elliptic fibrations with $r = A \cdot E = 2$ for an ample line bundle A on the elliptic surfaces, where E is a fiber.

Let X be a smooth complex projective surface with a double cover

$$\eta : X \rightarrow Z = \mathbf{P}_1 \times \mathbf{P}_2$$

ramified over a smooth curve B of bidegree $(2l, 4)$ in Z for some positive integer l , where $\mathbf{P}_1 = \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbf{P}_2$. Let $p_1 : Z \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_1$ and $p_2 : Z \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_2$ be the two projections, $\pi = p_1 \circ \eta$ and $f = p_2 \circ \eta$. For a fiber E of π , the morphism $f|_E : E \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_2$ obtained by restricting f to E is a double cover ramified over four points. Then $f|_E$ has degree 2 and the ramification divisor R_E of $f|_E$ has degree 4. By Hurwitz's theorem ([10, IV. Corollary 2.4]), we have

$$2g(E) - 2 = \deg(f|_E)(2g(\mathbb{P}^1) - 2) + \deg(R_E) = 0,$$

which implies the fiber E has arithmetic genus $g(E) = 1$. Thus π is an elliptic fibration.

By Leray spectral sequence, the cohomology spaces $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = H^i(Z, \eta_* \mathcal{F})$ for a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X . Since $\eta_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Z \oplus L$ ([6, Lemma 17.1]), where $L = \mathcal{O}_Z(-l, -2)$, we have

$$H^i(X, \eta^* \mathcal{G}) = H^i(Z, \eta_* \eta^* \mathcal{G}) = H^i(Z, \mathcal{G} \oplus (\mathcal{G} \otimes L)) \quad (4.1)$$

for a coherent sheaf \mathcal{G} on X . Since η is double cover and $\mathcal{O}_Z(B) = \mathcal{O}_Z(2l, 4)$, the canonical bundle ([6, Lemma 17.2])

$$\omega_X = \eta^* \omega_Z \otimes \frac{1}{2} \eta^* \mathcal{O}_Z(B) = \eta^*(\mathcal{O}_Z(-2, -2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(l, 2)) = \mathcal{O}_X(-2+l, 0) = \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(l-2)).$$

Then cohomology space $H^i(X, \omega_X)$ equals

$$H^i(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(-2+l, 0) \oplus (\mathcal{O}_Z(-2+l, 0) \otimes L)) = H^i(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(-2+l, 0)) \oplus H^i(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(-2, -2)).$$

By Künneth formula, since $l \geq 1$, we get $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z(-2+l, 0)) = -2+l+1$, $h^1(\mathcal{O}_Z(-2+l, 0)) = 0$ and $h^i(\mathcal{O}_Z(-2, -2)) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $h^0(X, \omega_X) = -2+l+1$ and $h^1(X, \omega_X) = 0$. Let $\beta = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ be the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf of X . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) - h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) + h^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \\ &= h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) - h^1(X, \omega_X) + h^0(X, \omega_X) \\ &= 1 - h^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(-2+l, 0)) + h^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(-2+l, 0)) \\ &= 1 + (-2+l+1) = l. \end{aligned}$$

This matches with the result that $\omega_X = \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\beta - 2))$ in Lemma 2.4.

Let A be the ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(m, 1) = \eta^* p_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m) \otimes \eta^* p_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$. The following lemma computes the intersection number of the ample line bundle with a fiber of π .

Lemma 4.2. *Let E be any fiber of $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1$. The intersection number $A \cdot E = 2$ and $A^2 = 4m$, where $A = \mathcal{O}_X(m, 1)$.*

Proof. Let $D_1, D_2 \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ be the first Chern class of $p_1^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))$ and $p_2^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$ respectively. Then the cohomology ring $H^*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by D_1, D_2 with relations $D_1^2 = 0$, $D_2^2 = 0$ and $D_1 \cdot D_2$ equals the class of a point $[\cdot] \in H^4(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\bar{D}_1 = \eta^* D_1$ and $\bar{D}_2 = \eta^* D_2$. Then in the cohomology ring $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$, we have the relations $\bar{D}_1^2 = 0$, $\bar{D}_2^2 = 0$ and $\bar{D}_1 \cdot \bar{D}_2 = 2[\cdot]$ since η is a double cover, where $[\cdot]$ is the class of a point in $H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

The class corresponding to divisor A in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is $[A] = m\bar{D}_1 + \bar{D}_2$ and the class corresponding to fiber E in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is $[E] = \bar{D}_1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (m\bar{D}_1 + \bar{D}_2) \cdot \bar{D}_1 &= 2[\cdot], \\ (m\bar{D}_1 + \bar{D}_2)^2 &= 2m\bar{D}_1 \cdot \bar{D}_2 = 4m[\cdot]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the intersection number $A \cdot E = 2$ and $A^2 = 4m$. \square

Let $A = \mathcal{O}_X(m, 1)$, if $m \geq 3\beta$, then $A^2 = 4m \geq 4(3\beta) > 10\beta$. By Remark 3.3, it is possible that Bott vanishing holds for (X, A) . We will give a criterion for when Bott vanishing holds for (X, A) if m is big enough.

Proposition 4.3. *With the same notations mentioned above, let X be the elliptic surface with a double cover $\eta : X \rightarrow Z = \mathbf{P}_1 \times \mathbf{P}_2$ ramified over a smooth curve of bidegree $(2l, 4)$ in Z for some positive integer l and A be the ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(m, 1)$ on X . Assume $m \geq 11\beta - 1$. Then $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) = 0$ if and only if π has no fibers of type III.*

Proof. Since $m \geq 11\beta - 1$, the line bundle $A - \beta E$ equals $\mathcal{O}_X(m - \beta, 1) = \eta^* p_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_1}(m - 1) \otimes \eta^* p_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_2}(1)$ is ample, thus is nef and big. Let $L = A - (11\beta - 1)E = \mathcal{O}_X(m - (11\beta - 1), 1)$. By Equation 4.1, we have

$$H^0(L) = H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(m - (11\beta - 1), 1)) \oplus H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(m - (11\beta - 1) - l, -1)).$$

Then $h^0 = 2(m - (11\beta - 1) + 1)$ by Künneth formula. Since $m - (11\beta - 1) - 1 \geq -1$, we have $h^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(m - (11\beta - 1) - 1)) = m - (11\beta - 1)$. Similarly, by Künneth formula and Equation 4.1, we obtain $h^0(L - E) = 2(m - (11\beta - 1))$. Thus $h^0(L) - h^0(L - E) = 2$ which equals $A \cdot E$ by Lemma 4.2. By Theorem 3.8, the cohomology space $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) = 0$ if and only if π has no fibers of type III. \square

Proposition 4.4. *With the same notations mentioned above, let X be the elliptic surface with a double cover $\eta : X \rightarrow Z = \mathbf{P}_1 \times \mathbf{P}_2$ ramified over a smooth curve of bidegree $(2l, 4)$ in Z for some positive integer l and A be the ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(m, 1)$ on X . Assume $m \geq 11\beta - 1$. Then (X, A) satisfies Bott vanishing if and only if π has no fibers of type III.*

Proof. Since $\beta = l \geq 1$, the assumption $m \geq 11\beta - 1$ implies $m - \beta + 2 > 0$. Thus $A - K_X = A - (\beta - 2)E = \mathcal{O}_X(m - \beta + 2, 1)$ is ample, so is nef and big. Then the conclusion follows from Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 4.3. \square

4.3. $A \cdot E = 3$. Elliptic fibrations with $r = A \cdot E = 3$ for an ample line bundle A on the elliptic surfaces, where E is a fiber.

Let X be a smooth hypersurface $X_{a,3} \subset Z = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ of bidegree $(a, 3)$, where a is a positive integer. Let p, q be the projection from Z to \mathbb{P}^1 and \mathbb{P}^2 respectively. Let π be the restriction of p to $X_{a,3}$. A general fiber of π is an elliptic curve since it is a degree 3 hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^2 . Thus $\pi : X = X_{a,3} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is an elliptic fibration. The canonical line bundle ω_X equals

$$(\omega_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}(X))|_X = (\mathcal{O}_Z(-2, -3) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(a, 3))|_X = \mathcal{O}_X(a - 2, 0) = \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a - 2)).$$

If $a = 1$, the dimension of $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(nK_X)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n(a - 2)))$ is 0 for all $n \geq 1$ [3, Example VII.3]. Hence X has Kodaira dimension $\kappa = -\infty$. If $a = 2$, the canonical bundle ω_X is trivial. Hence X is a K3 elliptic surface with $\kappa = 0$. The Bott vanishing for K3 elliptic surfaces is considered in [16]. In this paper, we are more interested in the case that $a \geq 3$ in which X has Kodaira dimension $\kappa = 1$.

Let $\beta = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ be the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf of X . We have

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &= h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) - h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) + h^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \\ &= h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) - h^1(X, \omega_X) + h^0(X, \omega_X) \\ &= 1 - h^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a-2)) + h^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a-2)) \\ &= 1 - 0 + a - 1 = a.\end{aligned}$$

This matches with the result that $\omega_X = \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\beta-2))$ in Lemma 2.4.

Let A be the ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(m, 1) = i^*p^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m) \otimes i^*q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$, where $m \geq 1$ and i is the embedding from $X = X_{a,3}$ to $Z = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. The following lemma computes the intersection number of the ample line bundle with a fiber of π .

Lemma 4.5. *Let E be any fiber of $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. The intersection number $A \cdot E = 3$ and $A^2 = 6 + a$, where $A = \mathcal{O}_X(m, 1)$.*

Proof. Let $D, B \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ be the first Chern class of $p^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))$ and $q^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$ respectively. Then the cohomology ring $H^*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by D, B with relations $D^2 = 0, B^3 = 0$ and $D \cdot B^2$ equals the class of a point $[\cdot] \in H^6(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{Z})$. The class corresponding to X in $H^2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ is $[X] = aD + 3B$. Then

$$(D \cdot B)|_X = D \cdot B \cdot [X] = D \cdot B \cdot (aD + 3B) = 3[\cdot].$$

The class corresponding to fiber E in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is $[E] = D|_X$. Also the Chern class of the line bundle A in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ equals $(mD + B)|_X$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}((mD + B) \cdot D)|_X &= (mD + B) \cdot D[X] = (mD + B)D(aD + 3B) = 3[\cdot], \\ (A \cdot A)|_X &= A^2 \cdot [X] = (mD + B)^2 \cdot (aD + 3B) = (6m + a)[\cdot].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the intersection number $A \cdot E = 3$ and $A^2 = 6m + a$. \square

Let $A = \mathcal{O}_X(m, 1)$. If $m \geq \frac{3\beta}{2}$, then $A^2 = 6m + a \geq 6 \cdot \frac{3\beta}{2} + \beta = 10\beta$. By Remark 3.3, it is possible that Bott vanishing holds for (X, A) . We will give a criterion for when Bott vanishing holds for (X, A) if m is big enough.

Proposition 4.6. *Let X be the elliptic surface $X_{a,3} \subset Z = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ with elliptic fibration $\pi : X_{a,3} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and A be the ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(m, 1)$ on X , where $a \geq 1$ and $m \geq 11\beta - 1$. Then $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) = 0$ if and only if π has no fibers of type IV.*

Proof. A fiber of π corresponds to the line bundle $E = \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m))$. We consider the line bundle $L = A - (11\beta - 1)E = \mathcal{O}_X(m - (11\beta - 1), 1)$. If $m > 11\beta - 1$, then L is ample, thus is nef and big. If $m = 11\beta - 1$, then $L = \mathcal{O}_X(0, 1) = i^*q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ is nef. By Lemma 4.5, we get

$$L^2 = A^2 - 6(11\beta - 1) = 6m + a - 6(11\beta - 1) = a \geq 1.$$

Thus L is nef and big. The line bundle $A - \beta E = L + (11\beta - 2)E$ is also nef and big.

Now we compute $h^0(L) - h^0(L - E)$. Closed embedding of $X \hookrightarrow Z$ induces the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-a, -3) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Since $\beta = a$, we tensor this short exact sequence by L to get

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z(m - (12\beta - 1), -2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z(m - (11\beta - 1), 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(m - (11\beta - 1), 1) \rightarrow 0$$

and consider the induced long exact sequence. Since $H^i(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-2)) = 0$ for $i \geq 0$, we have $H^i(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(-11\beta + 2, 1)) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$ by Künneth formula. Thus $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m - (11\beta - 1), 1))$ is isomorphic to

$$H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(m - (11\beta - 1), 1)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m - (11\beta - 1))) \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)).$$

Hence $h^0(L) = 3(m - (11\beta - 1) + 1)$. Tensoring the short exact sequence (4.2) by $L - E = \mathcal{O}_X(m - (11\beta - 1) - 1, 1)$, we get $h^0(L) = 3(m - 11\beta + 1)$ with the similar arguments. Therefore $h^0(L) - h^0(L - E) = 3$.

By Lemma 4.5, the intersection number $A \cdot E = 3$. Then Theorem 3.8 shows that $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) \neq 0$ if and only if π has a fiber of type IV. This implies the conclusion. \square

Proposition 4.7. *Let X be the elliptic surface $X_{a,3} \subset Z = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ with elliptic fibration $\pi : X_{a,3} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and A be the ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(m, 1)$ on X , where $a \geq 1$ and $m \geq 11\beta - 1$. Then (X, A) satisfies Bott vanishing if and only if π has no fibers of type IV.*

Proof. Since $\beta = a \geq 1$, then $m \geq 11\beta - 1$ implies $m - \beta + 2 > 0$. Hence $A - K_X = A - (\beta - 2)E = \mathcal{O}_X(m - \beta + 2, 1)$ is ample, so is nef and big. The conclusion follows from Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 4.6. \square

4.4. $A \cdot E = 4$. Elliptic fibrations with $r = A \cdot E = 4$ for an ample line bundle A on the elliptic surfaces, where E is a fiber.

Let surface X be the complete intersection of two hypersurfaces $X_{a,2}$ and $X_{b,2}$ in $Z = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$, where a and b are positive integers. Let p, q be the projection from Z to \mathbb{P}^1 and \mathbb{P}^3 respectively. Let π be the restriction of p to X . Every fiber E of π is a complete intersection of two hypersurfaces S_1 and S_2 in \mathbb{P}^3 of degree 2. The canonical bundle of S_1 is

$$\omega_{S_1} = (\omega_{\mathbb{P}^3} \otimes \mathcal{O}(S_1))|_{S_1} = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2))|_{S_1} = \mathcal{O}_{S_1}(-2).$$

Then the canonical bundle of E is trivial since

$$\omega_E = (\omega_{S_1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(E))|_E = (\mathcal{O}_{S_1}(-2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S_1}(2))|_E = \mathcal{O}_E.$$

Thus E is an elliptic curve. Therefore $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is an elliptic fibration. The canonical line bundle $\omega_{X_{a,2}}$ equals

$$(\omega_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}(X_{a,2}))|_{X_{a,2}} = (\mathcal{O}_Z(-2, -4) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(a, 2))|_{X_{a,2}} = \mathcal{O}_{X_{a,2}}(a - 2, -2).$$

Then the canonical line bundle of X is

$$\omega_X = (\omega_{X_{a,2}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(X))|_X = (\mathcal{O}_{X_{a,2}}(a - 2, -2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{a,2}}(b, 2))|_X = \mathcal{O}_X(a + b - 2, 0)$$

which equals $\pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a + b - 2))$.

If $a + b = 2$, the canonical bundle ω_X is trivial. Hence X is a $K3$ elliptic surface with $\kappa = 0$. The Bott vanishing for $K3$ elliptic surfaces is considered in [16]. In this paper, we are more interested in the case that $a + b \geq 3$ in which X has Kodaira dimension $\kappa = 1$. Let $\beta = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ be the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf of X . With the similar computation in Section 4.3, we have $\beta = a + b$. This matches with the result that $\omega_X = \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\beta - 2))$ in Lemma 2.4.

Let A be the ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(m, 1) = i^*p^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m) \otimes i^*q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$, where i is the embedding from X to $Z = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$. The following lemma computes the intersection number of the ample line bundle with a fiber of π .

Lemma 4.8. *Let E be any fiber of $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. The intersection number $A \cdot E = 4$ and $A^2 = 2a + 2b + 8$, where $A = \mathcal{O}_X(m, 1)$.*

Proof. Let $D, B \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3, \mathbb{Z})$ be the first Chern class of $p^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))$ and $q^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))$ respectively. Then the cohomology ring $H^*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by D, B with relations $D^2 = 0$, $B^4 = 0$ and $D \cdot B^3$ equals the class of a point $[\cdot] \in H^8(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3, \mathbb{Z})$. The class corresponding to X in $H^4(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3, \mathbb{Z})$ is $[X] = [X_{a,2}] \cdot [X_{a,2}] = (aD + 2B) \cdot (bD + 2B)$. Then

$$(D \cdot B)|_X = D \cdot B \cdot [X] = D \cdot B \cdot (aD + 2B) \cdot (bD + 2B) = 4[\cdot].$$

The class corresponding to fiber E in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is $[E] = D|_X$. Also the Chern class of the line bundle A in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ equals $(mD + B)|_X$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} ((mD + B) \cdot D)|_X &= (mD + B) \cdot D \cdot [X] = B \cdot D[X] = 4[\cdot], \\ (A \cdot A)|_X &= (mD + B)^2|_X = 2m(B \cdot D)|_X + B^2|_X = 8m[\cdot] + B^2|_X, \\ B^2|_X &= B^2 \cdot [X] = B^2 \cdot (aD + 2B) \cdot (bD + 2B) = (2a + 2b)[\cdot]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the intersection number $A \cdot E = 4$ and $A^2 = 2a + 2b + 8m$. \square

Let $A = \mathcal{O}_X(m, 1)$. If $m \geq a + b$, then $A^2 \geq 10(a + b) = 10\beta$. Then by Remark 3.3, it is possible that Bott vanishing holds for (X, A) . We will show Bott vanishing holds for (X, A) if m is big enough.

Proposition 4.9. *Let X be an elliptic surface constructed as the complete intersection of two hypersurfaces $X_{a,2}$ and $X_{b,2}$ in $Z = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$ and A be the ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(m, 1)$ on X , where a and b are positive integers. If $m > 12(a + b) - 2$, then $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A)$ is zero.*

Proof. Since $m > 12(a + b) - 2$ and $\beta = a + b$, the line bundle $A - (12\beta - 2) = \mathcal{O}_X(m - (12\beta - 2), 1) = i^*p^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m - (12\beta - 2)) \otimes i^*q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$ is ample, thus is nef and big. Since $\beta = a + b \geq 1$, the cohomology space $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes A) = 0$ by Corollary 3.10. \square

Proposition 4.10. *Let X be an elliptic surface constructed as the complete intersection of two hypersurfaces $X_{a,2}$ and $X_{b,2}$ in $Z = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$ and A be the ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(m, 1)$ on X , where a and b are positive integers. If $m > 12(a + b) - 2$, then (X, A) satisfies Bott vanishing.*

Proof. Since $\beta = a + b \geq 1$, then $m > 12(a + b) - 2 = 12\beta - 2$ implies $m - \beta + 2 > 0$. Hence $A - K_X = A - (\beta - 2)E = \mathcal{O}_X(m - \beta + 2, 1)$ is ample, so is nef and big. The conclusion follows from Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 4.9. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Achinger, J. Witaszek, and M. Zdanowicz. *Liftability of the Frobenius morphism and images of toric varieties*. arXiv:1708.03777
- [2] F. Cossec and I. Dolgachev. *Enriques surfaces*. Birkhäuser (1989).
- [3] A. Beauville. *Complex Algebraic Surfaces*. LMS Lecture Note Series 68, Cambridge University Press 1983.
- [4] V. Batyrev and D. Cox. *On the Hodge structure of projective hypersurfaces in toric varieties*. Duke Math. J. 75 (1994), 293-338.
- [5] A. Buch, J. Thomsen, N. Lauritzen, and V. Mehta. *The Frobenius morphism on a toric variety*. Tohoku Math. J. 49 (1997), 355-366.
- [6] W. Barth, K. Hulek, C. Peters, and A. Van de Ven, *Compact complex surfaces*. Second edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [7] C. Ciliberto, T. Dedieu, and E. Sernesi. *Wahl maps and extensions of canonical curves and K3 surfaces*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 761 (2020), 219-245.
- [8] O. Fujino. *Multiplication maps and vanishing theorems for toric varieties*. Math. Z. 257 (2007), 631-641.
- [9] S. Feyzbakhsh. *Mukai's program (reconstructing a K3 surface from a curve) via wall-crossing*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 765 (2020), 101-137.
- [10] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer (1977).
- [11] R. Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry*. 2 vols. Springer (2004).
- [12] M. Mustața. *Vanishing theorems on toric varieties*. Tohoku Math. J. 54 (2002), 451-470.
- [13] R. Miranda *The basic theory of elliptic surfaces*. Dottorato di Ricerca in Matematica. [Doctorate in Mathematical Research] ETS Editrice, Pisa, 1989. vi+108 pp.
- [14] M. Schütt and T. Shioda, *Elliptic surfaces*. Algebraic geometry in East Asia-Seoul 2008, 51-160, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 60, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2010.
- [15] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project* (2020).
- [16] B. Totaro. *Bott vanishing for algebraic surfaces*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 373 (2020), no. 5, 3609-3626.
- [17] S. Torres. *Bott vanishing using GIT and quantization*. arXiv:2003.10617

UCLA MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, BOX 951555, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1555
 Email address: chwang@math.ucla.edu