

TOTALLY IRREDUCIBLE SUBVARIETIES OF SIEGEL MODULI SPACES

GABRIELE MONDELLO AND RICCARDO SALVATI MANNI

ABSTRACT. Given a complex variety X with universal cover \tilde{X} , we call “totally irreducible” a subvariety of X whose preimage in \tilde{X} is irreducible. In this paper we investigate conditions that imply total irreducibility. We consider in detail subvarieties of small codimension inside Siegel modular spaces \mathcal{A}'_g of any finite level. Moreover we show that, for $g \geq 3$, every modular form is the product of finitely many irreducible analytic functions on the Siegel upper half-space \mathbb{H}_g . We also discuss the special case of singular theta series of weight $1/2$ and of Schottky forms.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Motivation.** Several years ago E. Freitag and the second named author discussed longly about singular modular forms and theta series. In particular the discussion focalized around a problem involving singular theta series of weight $1/2$, and $g \geq 3$. A basic example are the theta constants.

Question 1.1 (Irreducible factorization of theta constants). *Fix $\varepsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^g$. Determine the irreducible factorization of the function*

$$\theta \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \delta \end{smallmatrix} \right] (\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp \pi i \left[(n + \varepsilon/2)^t \tau (n + \varepsilon/2) + (n + \varepsilon/2) \delta \right]$$

defined on the Siegel upper half-space

$$\mathbb{H}_g := \{ \tau \in \text{Mat}_{g \times g}(\mathbb{C}) \text{ symmetric, with } \Im(\tau) > 0 \}$$

into irreducible analytic functions.

In [F91, Theorem 4.6], Freitag proved that these forms are *absolutely prime forms*, i.e. their divisors are irreducible in \mathbb{H}_g/Γ with respect to arbitrary small congruence subgroups $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_g = \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$. Hence the problem of considering the preimage of these divisors and other absolutely prime forms on the universal covering \mathbb{H}_g is rather natural. This will have the advantage of working, at least analytically, on an open subset \mathbb{H}_g of $\mathbb{C}^{g(g+1)/2}$ that is also a bounded homogeneous domain.

Another explicit example of modular form of higher weight is the so-called Schottky form f_g with $g \geq 4$.

Question 1.2 (Irreducible factorization of Schottky forms). *Determine the factorization of*

$$f_g(\tau) := \theta_{E_8 \oplus E_8}(\tau) - \theta_{D_{16}^+}(\tau)$$

into irreducible analytic functions, where

$$\theta_S(\tau) := \sum_{G \in \text{Mat}_{m,g}(\mathbb{Z})} \exp(\pi i \cdot \text{tr}(G^t S G \tau))$$

for an integral, positive-definite quadratic form S on \mathbb{Z}^m .

If a modular form is irreducible as an analytic function $\mathbb{H}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we say that such form is *totally prime*.

From the geometric point of view, a subvariety Z' of \mathcal{A}'_g is *absolutely irreducible* if the preimage of Z' in every finite étale cover of \mathcal{A}'_g is irreducible, and it is *totally irreducible* if the preimage of Z' in \mathbb{H}_g is irreducible.

1.2. Main results. Let Γ' be any finite-index subgroup of Γ_g and let $\mathcal{A}'_g := \mathbb{H}_g/\Gamma'$.

Our first main result is the following.

Theorem A. *Let $g \geq 3$ and let $D' \subset \mathcal{A}'_g$ be a divisor. Then the preimage \tilde{D} in \mathbb{H}_g of D' is connected. Moreover the following hold.*

- (i) *If D' is locally irreducible (e.g. normal), then D' is totally irreducible.*
- (ii) *If D' is absolutely irreducible, then D' is totally irreducible.*

The connectedness claim and (i) are proven in Theorem 3.1. Assertion (ii) follows from Theorem 3.16, where we treat the case of subvarieties of codimension at most $g - 2$. We mention that, for $g = 2$, connectedness of \tilde{D} holds for divisors D' whose closures intersect the boundary of the Satake compactification of \mathcal{A}'_g in a finite set of points, e.g. divisors defined by Eisenstein series.

The connectedness of \tilde{D} relies on a generalization (Proposition 2.7) of Goresky-MacPherson's homotopical Leshetz hyperplane section theorem (see Part II, Section 5.1 of [GM88]) for the fundamental group of smooth quasi-projective varieties that have a projective model with small boundary.

The proof of case (i) is a consequence of the connectedness of \tilde{D} . Assertion (ii) is a special case of Theorem D below.

As noted by Freitag [F91, Theorem 4.7], for $g \geq 3$ all modular forms can be factorized into absolutely prime ones (Lemma 3.3). Such result heavily relies on the fact that $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{H}_g/\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q} \cdot \lambda$ and the divisibility of the integral class λ is uniformly bounded from above for every finite-index subgroup Γ of Γ_g .

Combining such result with Theorem A, we immediately have the following.

Corollary B. *For $g \geq 3$, every modular form is a finite product of totally prime ones.*

Our second main result is a criterion for connectedness and irreducibility of the preimage in \mathbb{H}_g of a subvariety Z' of \mathcal{A}'_g . We stress that such method applies to subvarieties that are not necessarily divisors.

Proposition C. *Let $Z' \subset \mathcal{A}'_g$ be an irreducible subvariety and let \tilde{Z} be its preimage in \mathbb{H}_g .*

- (i) *If a Zariski-open subset of the Schottky locus (respectively, of a component of the hyperelliptic locus with $\Gamma \subset \Gamma(2)$) is contained in Z' , then \tilde{Z} is connected, with finitely many irreducible components.*
- (ii) *If a Zariski-open subset of the Schottky locus (respectively, of a component of the hyperelliptic locus with $\Gamma \subset \Gamma(2)$) is contained in Z' , but it does not entirely sit inside the singular locus of Z' , then \tilde{Z} is irreducible.*

The above result (Proposition 3.10 in the body of the article) is essentially a consequence of the following facts:

- \tilde{Z} is connected if and only if $\pi_1(Z') \rightarrow \Gamma'$ is surjective (follows from Lemma 2.10)
- \tilde{Z} is irreducible if and only if $\pi_1(Z'_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \Gamma'$ is surjective (Corollary 2.13)
- the fundamental group of the Schottky locus of \mathcal{A}'_g surjects onto Γ' (see, for instance, [FM12, Theorem 6.4])
- the fundamental group of a hyperelliptic component in \mathcal{A}'_g surjects onto Γ' , if $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma_g(2)$ (see [AC79, Theorem 1] or [M84, Lemma 8.12]).

As applications of the above technique, we show that even theta-nulls are totally prime for $g \geq 3$ (Corollary 3.5), that each component of the moduli space of intermediate jacobians of cubic threefolds in $\mathcal{A}_5(2)$ is totally irreducible (Corollary 3.22), and that the Schottky form is totally prime for $g \geq 4$ (Proposition 3.23). Finally, we also describe how different the situation with even theta-nulls is in genus two (Proposition 3.21).

Our last main result regards the total irreducibility of absolutely irreducible subvarieties of small codimension in \mathcal{A}'_g , and implies part (ii) of Theorem A.

Theorem D. *Let $g \geq 3$ and let $Z' \subset \mathcal{A}'_g$ be an absolutely irreducible subvariety of codimension at most $g - 2$. Then Z' is totally irreducible.*

The proof of Theorem D (Theorem 3.16 in the body of the article) relies on the following statements:

- absolute and total irreducibility are invariant under the action by elements of the rational symplectic group (Proposition 3.15)
- each subvariety of codimension at most $g - 2$ has a rational translate that meets the Schottky locus in a non-hyperelliptic jacobian (Lemma 3.18)

- let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ be the Deligne-Mumford compactification of the moduli space of curves of genus g and δ_h^{irr} be the locally-closed boundary stratum in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ that parametrizes curves whose normalization has genus $g - h$; then, for any irreducible subvariety $\overline{Y} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ that meets δ_h^{irr} in a non-compact subset, the image of $\pi_1(Y_{sm}) \rightarrow \Gamma_g$ contains two commuting transvections associated to a pair of linearly independent vectors of \mathbb{Z}^{2g} (Proposition 5.1)
- Zariski-dense subgroups of Γ_g that contain commuting transvections associated to a pair of linearly independent vectors of \mathbb{Z}^{2g} have finite index (Proposition 4.1, based on [BV14, Theorem 1.2]).

1.3. Convention. If Γ' is any finite-index subgroup of Γ_g , then the action of Γ' on \mathbb{H}_g is not necessarily free: in general, it has finite stabilizers. Thus $\mathcal{A}'_g = \mathbb{H}_g/\Gamma'$ might be a singular variety. On the other hand $\Gamma_g(n)$ acts freely on \mathbb{H}_g for $n \geq 3$ and so $\mathcal{A}''_g = \mathbb{H}_g/\Gamma''$ is smooth for $\Gamma'' = \Gamma' \cap \Gamma_g(3)$. Thus \mathcal{A}'_g is the quotient of the smooth variety \mathcal{A}''_g by the finite group $\Gamma'/(\Gamma_g(3) \cap \Gamma')$. Hence \mathcal{A}'_g always has the structure of smooth complex-analytic orbifold and of smooth Deligne-Mumford stack.

In order to have a more uniform treatment for all finite-index subgroups of Γ_g , we will always take the orbifold point of view. This means that the words *smooth*, *singular*, *étale cover*, *fundamental group* must be intended in the orbifold sense.

Note that in Sections 2.1-2.2-2.3-2.4-2.5-2.6 we work with complex-analytic spaces, though.

1.4. Structure of the paper. Beside the present introduction, the paper has two sections and two appendices.

In Section 2 we collect some standard facts about the topology of complex-analytic spaces (Sections 2.1-2.2) and we prove some criteria for connectedness and irreducibility of liftings (Sections 2.4-2.5). The above mentioned version of the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem is proven in Section 2.3. In Section 2.6 we distil some topological properties needed in a more general setting to have the existence of a factorization into absolutely irreducible divisors (Lemma 2.20). Finally, in Section 2.7 we describe the modifications needed to have the above results work for orbispaces that are global quotients.

In Section 3 we prove the results about subvarieties of \mathcal{A}_g or of its finite étale covers \mathcal{A}'_g mentioned in the above Section 1.1, using the tools developed in Section 2 and in the appendices.

In the first appendix (Section 4) we prove the arithmeticity criterion for subgroups of Γ_g needed in the proof of Theorem 3.16. In the second appendix (Section 5) we prove some easy facts about the symplectic monodromy at infinity of subvarieties of the moduli space of curves.

1.5. Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Eberhard Freitag for stimulating discussions, suggestions and many useful comments on an earlier

version of the manuscript. We thank Mark Goresky for stimulating discussions on Leschetz hyperplane section theorem and Julia Bernatska for illustrating a result on gradient of theta functions.

The first-named author was partially supported by GNSAGA research group. Both authors were partially supported by PRIN 2017 grant “Moduli and Lie theory”.

2. COVERING SPACES, CONNECTEDNESS AND IRREDUCIBILITY

In the following section we collect some sufficient conditions that ensure that, via a covering map of a smooth variety X , the preimage of an irreducible subvariety $Z \subset X$ is connected or irreducible.

In the former case we have to estimate the fundamental group of the subvariety Z and, in particular, the image of $\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$; in the latter case we have to deal with the fundamental group of the smooth locus of Z , which can be subtler if Z is not normal and in particular is not locally irreducible. In order to gain some control on such fundamental groups, we introduce two techniques. The first technique consists in finding a irreducible, locally irreducible subvariety $Y \subset Z$ for which we have better control of the image of $\pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$. The second technique can be employed when Z is a hyperplane section of X (or a complete intersection of such) and X satisfies suitable properties.

We state such results for complex-analytic spaces; see also Section 2.7.

2.1. Complex varieties and links. Here we collect some classical and basic facts about the topology of complex analytic spaces. Unless differently specified, we work with the classical topology.

Let X be a reduced analytic space, which we assume to be connected, and let $\{X_\bullet\}_{i \in I}$ be a stratification of X , namely I is a partially ordered set, $\bigsqcup_i X_i = X$ and $\overline{X}_i = \bigcup_{j \leq i} X_j$, where each \overline{X}_i is an analytic subspace of X and X_i is Zariski open inside \overline{X}_i . Such stratification can be refined so that

- each X_i is connected and non-singular

and we will always assume that this is the case. A stratification $\{X_\bullet\}$ with the above properties will be called a *good stratification*. Given a collection $\{Y_\alpha\}$ of reduced analytic subspaces, we will say that the above stratification $\{X_\bullet\}$ is *compatible with* $\{Y_\alpha\}$ if every finite intersection $Y_{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap Y_{\alpha_k}$ is a union of strata.

We incidentally remark that the above X admits a locally finite triangulation such that every open simplex is contained in a unique X_i : in particular, X is locally contractible.

Endow X with a complete metric and denote by $B(x, r)$ the ball of radius r centered at x . Given a stratum X_i and a smooth function $r_i : X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ define $U_i := \bigcup_{x \in X_i} \overline{B}(x, r_i(x))$ and $L_i := (\partial U_i) \setminus (\partial X_i) \subset \bigcup_{j > i} X_j$

For every $i \in I$ there exists a smooth function $r_i : X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

- for every diverging sequence $(x_n) \subset X_i$, we have $r_i(x_n) \rightarrow 0$
- the closest point projection determines map $U_i \rightarrow X_i$ and $L_i \rightarrow X_i$, which are in fact fiber bundles, and the fiber $(U_i)_x$ over x is the cone over the fiber $(L_i)_x$ for every $x \in X_i$
- $U_j \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $i \leq j$ or $j \leq i$.

Let now Y be a reduced, irreducible subvariety of X . There exists a stratification of X such that a stratum X_j consists of a Zariski-open (dense) subset \mathring{Y} of Y . It follows that the neighbourhood $U_{\mathring{Y}/X} := U_j$ and the link $L_{\mathring{Y}/X} := L_j$ are fiber bundles over \mathring{Y} .

2.2. Irreducibility and fundamental group. Here we collect some remarks about fundamental groups and local irreducibility of analytic varieties. We underline that “locally irreducible” is to be understood with respect to the classical topology, and that such condition is equivalent to being “unibranch” (i.e. locally irreducible with respect to the étale topology). The results collected in the following lemma are classical.

Lemma 2.1 (Properties equivalent to irreducibility). *Let X be an analytic space.*

- (i) X is irreducible if and only if its smooth locus X_{sm} is connected.
- (ii) If X is locally irreducible and connected, then it is irreducible.
- (iii) If X is normal, then it is locally irreducible.
- (iv) If X is locally irreducible along a connected smooth analytic subspace $W \subset X$ and $L_{W/X}$ is the link of W inside X , then the bundle $L_{W/X} \rightarrow W$ has connected fibers.

The following is then an immediate consequence.

Corollary 2.2 (Irreducibility of universal cover). *If an analytic space X is normal irreducible, then its universal cover \tilde{X} is normal irreducible.*

Proof. Normality is a local property, so it is inherited by \tilde{X} , which is thus locally irreducible. Since \tilde{X} is connected, it is irreducible. \square

The following is also classical, but we include a proof for completeness.

Lemma 2.3 (Fundamental groups of locally irreducible varieties). *Let X be an irreducible, locally irreducible analytic variety of positive dimension.*

- (i) If $W \subsetneq X$ is a closed analytic subspace, then $\mathring{X} = X \setminus W$ is connected and the inclusion $\mathring{X} \hookrightarrow X$ induces a surjection $\pi_1(\mathring{X}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X)$.
- (ii) X_{sm} is connected and $\pi_1(X_{\text{sm}}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X)$ is surjective.

Proof. (i) Since X is irreducible, \mathring{X} is irreducible too and so it is connected. Thus, it is enough to show that, given $x' \in \mathring{X}$, every loop $\gamma \subset X$ based at x' can be deformed so to avoid W . Given such γ , we can restrict X to a relatively compact open neighbourhood of γ . Thus, W admits a stratification as

in Section 2.1 with finitely many (connected) strata. We can thus proceed by induction, removing from X one stratum of W at a time, starting from the deepest strata of W .

Hence, it is enough to treat the case of W consisting of one closed (connected) stratum and, in particular, it is enough to show that an arc $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow U_{W/X}$ with $\alpha(0), \alpha(1) \in L_{W/X}$ can be deformed to an arc entirely contained in $L_{W/X}$. Since the fibers of $U_{W/X} \rightarrow W$ are contractible, it is enough to show that the composition $\bar{\alpha} : [0, 1] \xrightarrow{\alpha} U_{W/X} \rightarrow W$ can be lifted to some $\alpha' : [0, 1] \rightarrow L_{W/X}$ in such a way that $\alpha'(0) = \alpha(0)$ and $\alpha'(1) = \alpha(1)$. This is indeed the case, since the local irreducibility of X implies that $L_{W/X} \rightarrow W$ has connected fibers by Lemma 2.1(iv).

(ii) By Lemma 2.1(i) the locus X_{sm} is connected. The second claim follows from (i) by taking $W = X_{\text{sing}}$. \square

In the following lemma we estimate the fundamental group of the smooth locus of a variety Z in terms of the fundamental group of a subvariety $Y \subset Z$, thus employing the first technique mentioned at the beginning of the section.

Lemma 2.4 (Estimating the fundamental group of Z_{sm}). *Suppose Z an irreducible analytic variety and $Y \subset Z$ an irreducible, locally irreducible subvariety with $\dim(Y) < \dim(Z)$. Let H be the image of $\pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z)$ and K be the image of $\pi_1(Z_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z)$. If Z has k branches at the general point of Y , then $H \cap K$ has index at most k in H .*

Proof. Note preliminarily that Z_{sm} is smooth and connected by Lemma 2.1(i), and so $Z_{\text{sm}} \setminus \bar{Y}$ is connected by Lemma 2.3(i). According to Section 2.1, there exists a smooth, Zariski open subset \mathring{Y} of Y whose link inside Z is a fibre bundle $L_{\mathring{Y}/Z}$ with fiber F . Observe that $\pi_1(\mathring{Y}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ by Lemma 2.3(i).

The argument is similar to case (i). By hypothesis, up to restricting \mathring{Y} to a smaller Zariski-open subset, we can assume that Z has exactly k branches at each point of \mathring{Y} . Pick a connected component $L'_{\mathring{Y}/Z}$ of $L_{\mathring{Y}/Z}$. The fiber F' of $L'_{\mathring{Y}/Z} \rightarrow \mathring{Y}$ has $c \leq k$ connected components and so the image of $\pi_1(L'_{\mathring{Y}/Z}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathring{Y})$ has index c . Moreover $L'_{\mathring{Y}/Z}$ corresponds to the choice of c branches Z_1, \dots, Z_c of Z at \mathring{Y} . Let V_i be a small classical neighbourhood of \mathring{Y} inside Z_i and let $V' = \bigcup_i V_i$. Then $L'_{\mathring{Y}/Z}$ can be embedded inside $V' \setminus Y$. We call $L'_{\mathring{Y}/Z_{\text{sm}}}$ the locus of $L'_{\mathring{Y}/Z}$ that corresponds to smooth points of V' . Since Z_i is unibranch at \mathring{Y} , the fibers of the fibration $L'_{\mathring{Y}/Z_{\text{sm}}} \rightarrow \mathring{Y}$ have c connected components (one for each connected component of the fibers of $L'_{\mathring{Y}/Z} \rightarrow \mathring{Y}$) and so $\pi_1(L'_{\mathring{Y}/Z_{\text{sm}}}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(\mathring{Y})$ has the same image as $\pi_1(L'_{\mathring{Y}/Z}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(\mathring{Y})$. The conclusion follows from the commutativity of the

diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \pi_1(L'_{\dot{Y}/Z_{\text{sm}}}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(Z_{\text{sm}}) \\
 \text{index } c \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\
 \pi_1(\dot{Y}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(Y) \longrightarrow \pi_1(Z)
 \end{array}$$

since the image of $\pi_1(L'_{\dot{Y}/Z_{\text{sm}}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z)$ is contained inside G and has index at most c in H . \square

Here is a very useful consequence of the above lemma.

Corollary 2.5 (Image of fundamental groups of smooth loci). *Let Y and Z be irreducible analytic varieties and let $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ be a quasi-finite map of degree d onto its image. Call H the image of $\pi_1(Y_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z)$ and K the image of $\pi_1(Z_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z)$. Then*

- (i) $[H : K \cap H] \leq dk$, where k is the number of branches of Z at the general point of $f(Y)$.
- (ii) If $\dim(Y) = \dim(Z)$, then H is contained in K and $[K : H] \leq d$.

Proof. Let $W \subset f(Y)$ be the union of $f(Y)_{\text{sing}}$ and of the locus of $z \in f(Y)$ such that $f^{-1}(z)$ contains less than d points. Since Y, Z are irreducible, their smooth loci are connected, and so is $\dot{Y}_{\text{sm}} = Y_{\text{sm}} \setminus f^{-1}(W)$. Moreover, the restriction $\bar{f} : \dot{Y}_{\text{sm}} \rightarrow f(\dot{Y}_{\text{sm}})$ of f is a connected topological cover of degree d . Observe that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \pi_1(\dot{Y}_{\text{sm}}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(Y_{\text{sm}}) \\
 \text{index } d \downarrow \bar{f}_* & \searrow & \downarrow \\
 \pi_1(f(\dot{Y}_{\text{sm}})) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(Z)
 \end{array}$$

is commutative and let \check{H} be the image of $\pi_1(f(\dot{Y}_{\text{sm}})) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z)$. Since H coincides with the image of $\pi_1(\dot{Y}_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z)$, the subgroup H is contained inside \check{H} with $[\check{H} : H] \leq d$.

Suppose first that $\dim(Y) < \dim(Z)$. By Lemma 2.4 applied to $f(\dot{Y}_{\text{sm}}) \subset Z$, we have $[\check{H} : K \cap \check{H}] \leq k$. Hence $[H : K \cap H] \leq dk$.

Suppose now that $\dim(Y) = \dim(Z)$. Then $f(Y)$ is a Zariski-dense open subset of Z . Thus $f(\dot{Y}_{\text{sm}}) \subset Z_{\text{sm}}$ and $\pi_1(\dot{Y}_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z)$ factors through the surjective map $\pi_1(f(\dot{Y}_{\text{sm}})) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z_{\text{sm}})$. It follows that $\check{H} = K$ and so $H \subseteq K$. Hence, $[K : H] = [\check{H} : H] \leq d$. \square

2.3. A hyperplane section theorem. In the following section we present a generalization of the homotopical Leschetz hyperplane section theorem (LHT) for π_0 and π_1 to smooth quasi-projective varieties that admit a projective model with small boundary.

Terminology. Let \overline{X} be a projective variety \overline{X} , endowed with a good stratification. We say that an algebraic subset $\overline{Z} \subset \overline{X}$ is a complete intersection of

ample divisors transverse to certain strata of \overline{X} if there exist an embedding $\overline{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}$ inside a projective space and a linear subspace $H \subset \mathbb{P}$ transverse to the strata of \overline{X} (as smooth submanifolds of \mathbb{P}) such that $\overline{Z} = \overline{X} \cap H$.

We begin by recalling the following version of LHT for smooth quasi-projective varieties (Theorem 2.6) due to Goresky-MacPherson. The proof of such result can be found in [GM88], Part II Section 5.1 (note, in particular, the “proof of the furthermore” at the end of such section).

Theorem 2.6 (LHT for smooth quasi-projective varieties). *Let \overline{X} be a connected, projective variety of dimension at least 2 endowed with a good stratification of \overline{X} , and let ∂X be a closed union of non-open strata of \overline{X} such that $X := \overline{X} \setminus \partial X$ is smooth. Consider a complete intersection $\overline{Z} \subset \overline{X}$ of ample divisors in the same linear system such that either of the following holds*

- (a) $Z = \overline{Z} \cap X$ is compact, or
- (b) \overline{Z} is transverse to all strata of \overline{X} .

If $\dim(Z) \geq 1$, then Z is connected and $\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ is surjective. Moreover, if $\dim(Z) \geq 2$, then $\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ is an isomorphism.

The goal now is to weaken the transversality hypothesis in Theorem 2.6, which can be done if ∂X is small. In the typical application that we have in mind we pick as X the moduli space $\mathcal{A}_g(n)$ for some level n , as \overline{X} its Satake compactification and as $H \cap \overline{X}$ the zero locus of a modular form.

More precisely, we consider a variety \overline{X} with algebraic loci ∂X and \overline{D} that satisfy the following properties for $h = 2$ or $h = 3$.

- (I) \overline{X} is a connected projective variety of dimension N and ∂X be a closed subscheme of dimension at most $N - 1$ such that $X = \overline{X} \setminus \partial X$ is smooth and connected
- (II) $\overline{D} \subset \overline{X}$ is the support of an ample Cartier divisor
- (III_{*h*}) $\text{codim}(\partial D / \overline{D}) \geq h$, where $\partial D = \overline{D} \cap \partial X$.

We remark that (III_{*h*}) is certainly implied by

- (III'_{*h*}) $\text{codim}(\partial X / \overline{X}) \geq h + 1$.

The version of LHT we wish to prove is the following.

Proposition 2.7 (Improved LHT for smooth quasi-projective varieties). *Let \overline{X} be a variety and $\partial X, \overline{D}$ be subschemes of \overline{X} such that properties (I)-(II)-(III_{*h*}) above hold with $h = 2$ or $h = 3$. Then $D = \overline{D} \setminus \partial D$ is connected and the natural $\pi_1(D) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ is an isomorphism if $h = 3$ (resp. is surjective, if $h = 2$).*

Proof. Endow \overline{X} with a good stratification that is compatible with ∂X and \overline{D} . Consider then general very ample divisors $\overline{D}_1, \dots, \overline{D}_{N-h}$ in \overline{X} such that

- (a) $D_i := \overline{D}_i \setminus \partial D_i$ is smooth, where $\partial D_i = \overline{D}_i \cap \partial X$

- (b) \overline{D}_1 intersects all the strata of \overline{D} and all strata of \overline{X} transversally and \overline{D}_k transversally intersects all strata of $\overline{D} \cap \overline{D}_1 \cap \dots \cap \overline{D}_{k-1}$ and all strata of $\overline{D}_1 \cap \dots \cap \overline{D}_{k-1}$ for all $k = 2, \dots, N-h$
- (c) the intersection $E = D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_{N-h}$ is transverse, and so E is a smooth variety of dimension c
- (d) $S = E \cap D = E \cap \overline{D}$ is compact, of dimension $h-1$
- (e) the singular locus of S is contained in the singular locus of D .

Note that we use property (I) in (a)-(b)-(c)-(e), property (III_h) in (d). We are going to apply Theorem 2.6 a few times in the case of \overline{Z} an ample hypersurface.

Suppose first that $h = 3$. Properties (II) and (c) together with Theorem 2.6(a) imply that S is a compact connected surface and $\pi_1(S) \rightarrow \pi_1(E)$ is an isomorphism.

On the other hand, (b) and Theorem 2.6(b) imply that E is connected and $\pi_1(E) \rightarrow \pi_1(D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_{N-h-1}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \pi_1(D_1 \cap D_2) \rightarrow \pi_1(D_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ are isomorphisms. Similarly, S intersects every connected component of D and

$$\pi_1(S) \rightarrow \pi_1(D \cap D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_{N-h-1}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \pi_1(D \cap D_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(D)$$

are isomorphisms. It follows that D is connected and $\pi_1(S) \rightarrow \pi_1(D) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ are isomorphisms.

If $h = 2$, then we can argue analogously as above and consider general very ample divisors $\overline{D}_1, \dots, \overline{D}_{N-2}$ such that $E = D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_{N-2}$ is a smooth surface and $C = D \cap E$ is a compact curve. A similar repeated application of Theorem 2.6 gives $\pi_1(C) \rightarrow \pi_1(D) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ and that $\pi_1(C) \rightarrow \pi_1(E) \cong \pi_1(X)$, from which we conclude that $\pi_1(D) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$. \square

An analogous statement holds for complete intersections \overline{Z} , by replacing properties (II)-(III_h) by

- (III^{ci}) $\overline{Z} \subset \overline{X}$ is the support of a complete intersection of Cartier divisors, whose classes in X are all proportional to the same ample class in $H^2(X; \mathbb{Q})$,
- (III_h^{ci}) $\text{codim}(\partial Z / \overline{Z}) \geq h$, where $\partial Z = \overline{Z} \cap \partial X$,

so that the following holds.

Corollary 2.8 (Improved LHT for complete intersections). *Suppose that \overline{X} , ∂X and \overline{Z} satisfy (I)-(III^{ci})-(III_h^{ci}) above for $h = 2, 3$. Then $Z = \overline{Z} \setminus \partial Z$ is connected and $\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ is an isomorphism if $h = 3$ (resp. is surjective, if $h = 2$).*

Proof. By (III^{ci}) it is possible to embed \overline{X} inside some projective space \mathbb{P} in such a way that $\overline{Z} = \overline{X} \cap H$ for some linear subspace $H \subset \mathbb{P}$ of codimension $\text{codim}(H/\mathbb{P}) = \text{codim}(\overline{Z}/\overline{X})$. We then proceed analogously to the proof of Proposition 2.7, replacing the role of \overline{D} there by \overline{Z} . \square

Remark 2.9. Assuming that \overline{X} , ∂X and \overline{Z} satisfy (I)-(III^{ci})-(III_h^{ci}) above, it is immediate that for higher values of h we have similar results about the higher homotopy groups. In particular we obtain that $\pi_i(Z) \rightarrow \pi_i(X)$ is an isomorphism if $i \leq h - 2$, and $\pi_{h-1}(Z) \rightarrow \pi_{h-1}(X)$ is surjective.

2.4. Liftings and connectedness. Let X, Z be connected and locally arc-connected topological spaces with universal covers $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ and $\hat{Z} \rightarrow Z$. Given a map $f : Z \rightarrow X$, consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \hat{Z} & & & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow \hat{f}_i & & & \\
 \tilde{Z}_i & \hookrightarrow & Z \times_X \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{X} \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow \tilde{p} & & \downarrow p \\
 & & Z & \xrightarrow{f} & X
 \end{array}$$

where the rectangle is Cartesian and \tilde{Z}_i is a connected component of $Z \times_X \tilde{X}$. We denote by $f_* : \pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ the homomorphism induced by f .

Lemma 2.10 (Liftings). *Let $f : Z \rightarrow X$ be a map of connected topological spaces.*

- (i) *The set of liftings $\hat{f} : \hat{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is acted on by $\pi_1(X)$ in a transitive way.*
- (ii) *The $\pi_1(X)$ -set of connected components of the fiber product $Z \times_X \tilde{X}$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(X)/f_*\pi_1(Z)$. For each component \tilde{Z}_i of $Z \times_X \tilde{X}$, its fundamental group satisfies $\pi_1(\tilde{Z}_i) = \ker(f_*)$ and there exists a lift \hat{f}_i as in (i) that factors through the cover $\hat{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{Z}_i$.*
- (iii) *$Z \times_X \tilde{X}$ is connected if and only if $f_* : \pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ is surjective.*

Proof. (i) Fix $\hat{z} \in \hat{Z}$ a lift of $z \in Z$. The lifting \hat{f} is uniquely determined by the choice of $\hat{f}(\hat{z}) \in p^{-1}(f(z))$. The set $p^{-1}(f(z))$ is acted on simply transitively by $\pi_1(X)$.

(ii) Fix a point $z \in Z$ and $\tilde{x} \in p^{-1}(f(z))$. The group $\pi_1(X)$ acts by simply and transitively permuting the elements in $p^{-1}(f(z))$, and so $\pi_1(X) \cdot \tilde{x} = p^{-1}(f(z))$. It can be easily seen that the surjective map $\pi_1(X) \cdot (z, \tilde{x}) = \tilde{p}^{-1}(z) \rightarrow \pi_0(Z \times_X \tilde{X})$ is a map of $\pi_1(X)$ -sets. Moreover, two elements $(z, \tilde{x}), (z, \tilde{x}')$ belong to the same connected component of $Z \times_X \tilde{X}$ if and only if there exists a path $\alpha \in \pi_1(Z, z)$ such that $f \circ \alpha$ lifts to a path in \tilde{X} that joins \tilde{x} and \tilde{x}' , namely $f_*(\alpha) \cdot \tilde{x} = \tilde{x}'$. Hence, $\pi_0(Z \times_X \tilde{X})$ can be identified to $\pi_1(X)/f_*\pi_1(Z)$.

By the universal property, any lifting \tilde{f} factors through $Z \times_X \tilde{X}$ and covers a connected component of $Z \times_X \tilde{X}$. If the component \tilde{Z}_i contains the point (z, \tilde{x}) , then the lift that satisfies $\tilde{f}(\hat{z}) = \tilde{x}$ induces a cover $\hat{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{Z}_i$.

Pick a connected component \tilde{Z}_i of $Z \times_X \tilde{X}$. It covers Z , and so $\pi_1(\tilde{Z}_i) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(Z)$ is injective. Moreover, the composition $\pi_1(\tilde{Z}_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ vanishes, as it factors through $\pi_1(\tilde{X}) = \{e\}$, and so $\pi_1(\tilde{Z}_i)$ injectively maps into $\ker(f_*)$. It also maps surjectively, since all elements of $\ker(f_*)$ lift to closed loops in \tilde{Z}_i .

(iii) follows from (ii). \square

An argument analogous to Lemma 2.10(ii) also shows the following.

Lemma 2.11 (Liftings via finite covers). *Let $p' : X' \rightarrow X$ be a covering space and $f : Z \rightarrow X$ a map of connected topological spaces. Then the $\pi_1(X)$ -set of the connected components of $Z \times_X X'$ is in bijective correspondence with $\pi_1(X)/G'$, where G' is the subgroup generated by $f_*\pi_1(Z)$ and $p'_*\pi_1(X')$. Hence, $Z \times_X X'$ is connected if and only if the induced map $\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)/p'_*\pi_1(X')$ is surjective.*

Out of the above lemmas, we can already draw a first consequence, which is an example of the two strategies outlined at the beginning of the section.

Corollary 2.12 (Connected lifting of analytic subspaces). *Let $X' \rightarrow X$ be a cover of connected complex-analytic spaces, $Z \subset X$ a connected analytic subspace and Z' its preimage inside X' .*

- (i) *Suppose that there exists an analytic subspace $Y \subseteq Z$ such that $\pi_1(Y) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X)$. Then Z' is connected.*
- (ii) *Suppose that X admits a compactification \bar{X} such that $(\bar{X}, \partial X, \bar{Z})$ satisfy properties (I)-(III^{ci})-(III₂^{ci}) in Section 2.3. Then Z' is connected.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.10, it is enough to show that $\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ is surjective.

- (i) This is immediate because of the factorization $\pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$.
- (ii) It follows from Corollary 2.8. \square

2.5. Liftings and irreducibility. Let X, Z be as in Section 2.4 and assume that both X and Z are connected analytic spaces.

We begin by stating our fundamental irreducibility criterion, which relies of Lemma 2.10 and Lemma 2.11.

Corollary 2.13 (Irreducibility criterion of $Z \times_X \tilde{X}$). *Let $f : Z \rightarrow X$ be a map of complex-analytic varieties, with Z irreducible.*

- (i) *The analytic space $Z \times_X \tilde{X}$ is irreducible if and only if $f_* : \pi_1(Z_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ is surjective.*
- (ii) *If $p' : X' \rightarrow X$ is a covering space, then the irreducible components of $Z \times_X X'$ bijectively correspond to the cokernel of the map $\pi_1(Z_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)/p'_*\pi_1(X')$ induced by f_* .*

Proof. (i) It is enough to observe that $Z_{\text{sm}} \times_X \tilde{X}$ is smooth and Zariski-dense in $Z \times_X \tilde{X}$ and that $Z_{\text{sm}} \times_X \tilde{X}$ is irreducible if and only if it is connected. The conclusion follows from Lemma 2.10(iii).

(ii) is analogous to (i), by applying Lemma 2.11 instead of Lemma 2.10(iii). \square

We can also formulate a variation of the above result in which we bound the number of irreducible components.

Corollary 2.14 (Number of irreducible components of $Z \times_X \tilde{X}$). *Suppose that \hat{Z} is irreducible. Then $Z \times_X \tilde{X}$ has $[\pi_1(X) : f_*\pi_1(Z)]$ irreducible components.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.10(ii) the locus $Z \times_X \tilde{X}$ has $[\pi_1(X) : f_*\pi_1(Z)]$ connected components. Each component is a quotient of \hat{Z} , and so is irreducible. \square

The following proposition is an incarnation of the first strategy mentioned at the beginning of the section, namely how to prove the irreducibility of $Z \times_X \tilde{X}$ by using certain subvarieties of Z with large fundamental group.

Proposition 2.15 (Irreducibility of $Z \times_X \tilde{X}$ via subvarieties of Z). *Let Z be an irreducible complex-analytic variety, and let $f : Z \rightarrow X$ be a morphism.*

(i) *Suppose that Z is locally irreducible and $f_* : \pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ is surjective. Then $Z \times_X \tilde{X}$ is irreducible.*

Let now $Y \subset Z$ be an irreducible and locally irreducible subvariety.

- (ii) *If $\pi_1(Y) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X)$, and Z has k branches at the general point of Y , then $Z \times_X \tilde{X}$ has at most k irreducible components.*
- (iii) *If the image of $\pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ has finite index, and $Z \times_X X'$ is irreducible for all finite étale covers $p' : X' \rightarrow X$, then $Z \times_X \tilde{X}$ is irreducible.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1(i), the smooth locus Z_{sm} is connected.

(i) By Lemma 2.3(ii), the map $\pi_1(Z_{\text{sm}}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(Z)$ is surjective. Thus the composition $\pi_1(Z_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ is surjective too. We conclude by Corollary 2.13(i).

(ii) Since $\pi_1(Y) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X)$ is surjective, so is $\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$. By Lemma 2.4, the image of $\pi_1(Z_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z)$ has index at most k and so the same holds for the image of $\pi_1(Z_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$. The conclusion then follows from Corollary 2.13(ii) applied to the universal cover $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$.

(iii) Since Z has finitely many branches at the general point of Y , the image of $\pi_1(Z_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ has finite index by Lemma 2.4: let d be such index. Let $p' : X' \rightarrow X$ be the étale cover of degree d such that $p'_*\pi_1(X') = f_*\pi_1(Z_{\text{sm}})$. By Corollary 2.13(ii) the fiber product $Z \times_X X'$ has d irreducible components, and our hypothesis implies that $d = 1$. It follows that $\pi_1(Z_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ is surjective and we conclude by Corollary 2.13(i). \square

The following special case is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.15(ii) with $k = 1$.

Corollary 2.16 (A criterion of irreducibility of $Z \times_X \tilde{X}$). *Let $f : Z \rightarrow X$ be a morphism, where Z be an irreducible complex-analytic variety. Suppose*

that there exists an irreducible and locally irreducible subvariety $Y \subset Z$ such that $\pi_1(Y) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X)$ and $Y \cap Z_{\text{sm}} \neq \emptyset$. Then $Z \times_X \tilde{X}$ is irreducible.

2.6. Absolutely irreducible divisors. The second hypothesis in Proposition 2.15(iii) determines a class of subvarieties in X that we will call “absolutely irreducible” (following Freitag).

Definition 2.17 (Absolutely irreducible and totally irreducible subvarieties). A subvariety Z of X is *absolutely irreducible* if its preimage through every finite étale cover $X' \rightarrow X$ is irreducible. Such Z is *totally irreducible* if its preimage through the universal cover $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is irreducible.

Obviously, a totally irreducible subvariety is absolutely irreducible. The following result exhibits a sufficient condition for an absolutely irreducible subvariety to be totally irreducible.

Proposition 2.18 (Promoting absolutely irreducible to totally irreducible). *Let X be a connected variety, with universal cover \tilde{X} . Then every absolutely irreducible subvariety $Z \subset X$ such that its inverse image $\tilde{Z} \subset \tilde{X}$ has a finite number of irreducible components is totally irreducible.*

Proof. Decompose then \tilde{Z} into the union

$$\tilde{Z} = \bigcup_{i \in I} \tilde{Z}_i$$

of its irreducible components \tilde{Z}_i . We shall prove that $|I| = 1$.

The group $\Lambda := \pi_1(X)$ acts on \tilde{Z} via biholomorphisms, and permutes its irreducible components. Hence we get a homomorphism

$$\rho : \Lambda \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}(I).$$

Consider the normal subgroup $\Lambda' = \ker(\rho)$ of Λ . Since I is finite, Λ' has finite index in Λ and so $X' = \tilde{X}/\Lambda'$ is a finite étale cover of X .

Denote by $Z' = \tilde{Z}/\Lambda'$ the subvariety of X' obtained as inverse image of Z through the cover $X' \rightarrow X$. Since Z is absolutely irreducible, the subvariety Z' is irreducible, and so $\rho(\Lambda')$ must act transitively on I . Since $\rho(\Lambda')$ acts trivially on I , it follows that $|I| = 1$. □

Remark 2.19. We observe that in this case we do not require any hypothesis on $\pi_1(Z)$ and we do not assume that Z is locally irreducible.

Now we focus on the case of divisors. In order to ensure that, up to a finite étale cover, a divisor splits into the union of absolutely irreducible divisors, we will consider projective varieties \overline{X} with a subscheme ∂X that satisfy the following property:

- (IV) the codimension of ∂X inside \overline{X} is at least two (III₁); $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})/\text{tors} = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \alpha$ with α ample; the Picard number of X' is 1 and the divisibility of α in $\text{Pic}(X')/\text{tors}$ is uniformly bounded from above, for every finite étale cover $X' \rightarrow X = \overline{X} \setminus \partial X$.

The following is essentially due to Freitag (see [F91, Theorem 4.7] for the case of a finite étale cover X of \mathcal{A}_g).

Lemma 2.20 (Existence of absolutely irreducible divisors). *Let \overline{X} be a projective variety with a subscheme ∂X that satisfy properties (I) and (IV). Then, for every effective Cartier divisor $\overline{D} \subset \overline{X}$, there exists a finite étale cover $X' \rightarrow X$ such that the preimage $D' \subset X'$ of $D \subset X$ is the union of finitely many absolutely irreducible divisors.*

Proof. By property (IV) there an integer $d > 0$ such that $[\overline{D}] = d \cdot \alpha$. Let now $X' \rightarrow X$ be any finite étale cover and let \overline{X}' be the normalization of \overline{X} in the function field of X' . Since \overline{X}' is projective and $\partial X'$ has codimension at least two in \overline{X}' , property (IV) ensures that $\text{Pic}(\overline{X}')/\text{tors} \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \cdot (\alpha/d_0)$ for some integer $d_0 \geq 1$. Thus, each effective Cartier divisor in \overline{X}' must be a positive multiple of α/d_0 . In particular, the divisor D' obtained by pulling back D via $X' \rightarrow X$ has class $d\alpha$, and so it can have at most $d \cdot d_0$ irreducible components. It is then enough to pick X' to be a finite étale cover of X on which the number of irreducible components of D' is maximal. \square

We remark that the couple $(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_g(n), \partial \mathcal{A}_g(n))$ satisfies (I)-(IV) for all $g \geq 3$ and $n \geq 3$.

2.7. The case of orbispaces. Most of the above results hold in the category of complex-analytic orbispaces, namely objects locally modelled on $[T/G]$, where T is a complex-analytic space and G is a finite group that acts on T via biholomorphisms). In this case, we must use open orbifold charts instead of open subsets, and we must modify the definition of neighborhoods accordingly. Moreover, the word smooth, singular, unramified cover, fiber bundle, universal cover and fundamental group must all be intended in the orbifold sense. Note in particular that an orbipace is smooth where it is locally modelled as $[T/G]$ with T smooth. Analogous interpretation must be reserved to the words normal, irreducible, locally irreducible.

With the above caveat, Sections 2.1-2.2-2.4-2.5 go through.

As for Section 2.3, a version of LHT can be also phrased for orbifolds that are global quotients. Let \overline{X} be an analytic space and let ∂X and \overline{Z} be subspaces of \overline{X} . Suppose moreover that a finite group G acts on \overline{X} , preserving ∂X and \overline{Z} , and so preserving $Z = \overline{Z} \setminus \partial X$.

Corollary 2.21 (Improved LHT for c.i. inside global quotients). *Suppose that \overline{X} , ∂X and \overline{Z} satisfy properties (I)-(III^{ci})-(III_h^{ci}) in Section 2.3 with $h = 2, 3$. Then*

- (i) *the locus $[Z/G]$ inside the orbifold $[X/G]$ is connected and $\pi_1([Z/G]) \rightarrow \pi_1([X/G])$ is an isomorphism if $h = 3$ (resp. is surjective, if $h = 2$);*
- (ii) *the preimage of $[Z/G]$ via an unramified cover of $[X/G]$ is connected.*

Proof. Claim (i) follows from Corollary 2.8 and claim (ii) from Corollary 2.12(ii). \square

Also the definition of absolutely irreducible and totally irreducible divisors can be verbatim understood in the orbispace setting. Thus, if $(\overline{X}, \partial X)$ satisfy (I) and (IV), then the conclusion of Lemma 2.20 holds for every effective Cartier divisor in $[\overline{X}/G]$. The assertion is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.20 and Proposition 2.18. As a further example, Proposition 2.18 verbatim holds read in the setting of orbispaces.

3. SUBVARIETIES OF COVERS OF \mathcal{A}_g

3.1. Siegel upper half-space, \mathcal{A}_g and level structures. We denote by $\mathbb{H}_g = \{\tau \in \text{Mat}_{g \times g}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \tau = \tau^t, \text{Im } \tau > 0\}$ the *Siegel upper half-space* of symmetric matrices with positive definitive imaginary part. It is a homogeneous space for the action of $\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{R})$, where an element

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{R})$$

acts via

$$\gamma \cdot \tau := (A\tau + B)(C\tau + D)^{-1}.$$

We denote by $\Gamma_g := \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ the *Siegel modular group*, and let $\Gamma_g(n) := \{\sigma \in \Gamma_g : \sigma \equiv I_{2g} \pmod{n}\}$ denote the *n-th principal congruence subgroup* of Γ_g . The quotient $\mathcal{A}_g = \mathbb{H}_g/\Gamma_g$ is the moduli space of complex principally polarized abelian varieties (ppav), and $\mathcal{A}_g(n) = \mathbb{H}_g/\Gamma_g(n)$ is the moduli space of ppav with a choice of a full symplectic level n structure. We denote by $p : \mathbb{H}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ and $p_n : \mathbb{H}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g(n)$ the quotient maps, so that \mathbb{H}_g identifies to the universal cover of \mathcal{A}_g (in the orbifold sense). We recall incidentally that, for $n \geq 3$, the moduli space $\mathcal{A}_g(n)$ is a smooth variety and p_n is its universal cover in the standard sense.

Given a subvariety $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{A}_g$, we will also write $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ to denote its preimage $p^{-1}(\mathcal{Y})$ inside \mathbb{H}_g and $\mathcal{Y}(n)$ to denote its preimage $p_n^{-1}(\mathcal{Y})$ in $\mathcal{A}_g(n)$.

We assume $g \geq 2$ and k half integral, a function $F : \mathbb{H}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a *modular form of weight k and multiplier χ with respect to a subgroup $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_g$* if

$$F(\gamma \circ \tau) = \chi(\gamma) \det(C\tau + D)^k F(\tau), \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \forall \tau \in \mathbb{H}_g.$$

We shall write $[\Gamma, k, \chi]$ for this space. We omit the multiplier if it is trivial. We shall define the ring of modular forms as

$$(1) \quad A(\Gamma, \chi) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} [\Gamma, j/2, \chi^j].$$

3.2. Some topological properties of \mathcal{A}_g . Let $g \geq 3$ and let $\mathcal{A}'_g = \mathbb{H}_g/\Gamma'$ for a certain subgroup $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma_g$ of finite index. We can regard the map $\mathcal{A}'_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ as a finite étale cover of orbifolds, or as a finite, possibly ramified, cover of \mathcal{A}_g . Since Γ_g is finitely presented, so is Γ' . Moreover, by [BMS67] such Γ' contains a principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma_g(n)$ for some $n \geq 2$.

We recall that $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{A}'_g)/\text{tors}$ is generated by λ or by $\lambda/2$, where $(w/2)\lambda$ is the class of a divisor described by a modular form of weight $w/2$. The same holds for the Satake compactification $\overline{\mathcal{A}}'_g$ of \mathcal{A}'_g , whose boundary has codimension g .

Thus every effective divisor D' in \mathcal{A}'_g is in fact the zero locus of a modular form, and so its closure \overline{D}' in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}'_g$ is Poincaré dual to a positive half-integer multiple of λ (up to torsion). In particular, \overline{D}' is ample and $\partial D'$ has codimension $g - 1$ or g inside \overline{D}' .

We begin by stating a consequence of the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem as declined in Proposition 2.7.

Theorem 3.1 (Connectedness of zero loci of modular forms). *Let $g \geq 3$ and let $\mathcal{A}'_g = \mathbb{H}_g/\Gamma'$ for some finite-index subgroup Γ' of Γ_g . Then every divisor D' in \mathcal{A}'_g pulls back to a connected divisor \tilde{D} in \mathbb{H}_g . Moreover, if D' is locally irreducible, then \tilde{D} is irreducible.*

For $g = 2$ the same conclusions hold if \overline{D}' does not contain an irreducible component of $\partial\mathcal{A}'_2$.

Proof. Up to replacing Γ' by $\Gamma_g(n) \subset \Gamma'$ for a suitable $n \geq 3$, and D' by its pull-back to $\mathcal{A}_g(n)$, we can assume that \mathcal{A}'_g is a smooth variety and we let $\overline{\mathcal{A}}'_g$ be its Satake compactification with $\partial\mathcal{A}'_g = \overline{\mathcal{A}}'_g \setminus \mathcal{A}'_g$. By the above discussion, \overline{D}' is an ample Cartier divisor in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}'_g$. We recall that, for $g \geq 3$, the couple $(\overline{\mathcal{A}}'_g, \partial\mathcal{A}'_g)$ satisfy properties (I)-(II)-(III'₂) and so $\pi_1(D') \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma'$ by Proposition 2.7. It follows that \tilde{D} is connected by Lemma 2.10(iii). The second claim is a consequence of Proposition 2.15(i).

For $g = 2$ it is enough to note that the required condition ensures that \overline{D}' satisfies (III'₂), so that Proposition 2.7 still applies. \square

Note that, for $g \geq 4$, the same argument as above shows that $\pi_1(D') \cong \Gamma'$ and so its lift \tilde{D} is simply connected.

Example 3.2 (Eisenstein series). For every g we write $\Gamma_{g,0} \subset \Gamma_g$ for the subgroup defined by $C = 0$. For every $k \geq 1$ the Eisenstein series

$$E_{2k}(\tau) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_g/\Gamma_{g,0}} \det(C\tau + D)^{-2k}, \quad \text{where } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

is a modular form and determines a divisor \overline{D} in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_g$ that does not contain $\partial\mathcal{A}_g$. It follows that $\tilde{D} \subset \mathbb{H}_g$ is connected for $g \geq 2$.

In some cases the generic element \overline{D}^\dagger in the linear system of a divisor $\overline{D}' \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}'_g$ has smooth intersection $D^\dagger = \overline{D}^\dagger \cap \mathcal{A}'_g$: for example, this occurs by Bertini if $|\overline{D}'|$ is very ample. One can thus apply Theorem 3.1 to conclude that the preimage of D^\dagger in \mathbb{H}_g is irreducible.

Since the Satake compactification of \mathcal{A}'_g is $\text{Proj}(A(\Gamma'))$, the linear system of modular forms of large weight is certainly very ample. On the other hand, if we are allowed to go up to high levels (namely, to pick Γ' of arbitrarily large finite index), such phenomenon can occur at any positive weight. In fact, as consequence of the results of Igusa, cf. [I72], we have that for high enough levels, modular forms of weight $1/2$ give an embedding of the modular variety. And we recall that for $g \geq 2$ the minimal weight is exactly $1/2$, [F91, Theorem 4.6].

Our aim now is to investigate the irreducibility of the preimage of *any* divisor of \mathcal{A}'_g . In order to do that, we need to recall, again from [F91, Theorem 4.7], the following result, which can be also obtained as a consequence of Lemma 2.20. We will use the term *absolutely prime form* (resp. *totally prime form*) to mean a reduced absolutely prime modular form (resp. a reduced totally prime modular form).

Lemma 3.3 (Factorization into absolutely prime forms). *For $g \geq 3$ the following hold.*

- (i) *Each non-vanishing modular form of weight $1/2$ is an absolutely prime form.*
- (ii) *Let F be a non-vanishing modular form with respect to a finite-index subgroup Γ' of Γ_g . There is a unique factorization*

$$F = f_1^{r_1} \cdots f_u^{r_u}$$

where r_1, \dots, r_u are positive integers and f_1, \dots, f_u are absolute prime forms with respect to finite index subgroup of Γ' . Moreover, such factorization is unique up to reordering the f_i 's.

Note that Lemma 3.3 does not hold for $g = 2$: an example is discussed in Section 3.7.1.

As a preparation for a deeper investigation regarding liftings of subvarieties of \mathcal{A}'_g to \mathbb{H}_g , we will first discuss the case of theta constants.

3.3. Theta functions. The (first-order) theta function $\vartheta : \mathbb{H}_g \times \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined as

$$\vartheta(\tau, z) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp \pi i (n^t \tau n + 2n^t z)$$

and it is even in z . The theta constant $\theta := \vartheta(\cdot, 0) : \mathbb{H}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ associated to ϑ is a modular form of weight $1/2$ relative to a suitable subgroup of Γ_g .

For $\varepsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^g$ we define the (first order) theta function $\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} : \mathbb{H}_g \times \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with characteristic $[\varepsilon, \delta]$ as

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} (\tau, z) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp \pi i \left[\left(n + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^t \tau \left(n + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) + 2 \left(n + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^t \left(z + \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \right]$$

and note that $\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \vartheta$. We will usually write ε, δ as rows (or sometimes columns, if notationally more convenient) of g zeroes and ones, and operate with them over \mathbb{Z}_2 unless stated otherwise.

The *theta characteristic* $m = [\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}] \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{2g}$ is called *even* or *odd* depending on whether the scalar product $\varepsilon \cdot \delta$ is zero or one as an element of \mathbb{Z}_2 . It turns out that there are $2^{g-1}(2^g + 1)$ even characteristics and $2^{g-1}(2^g - 1)$ odd ones. As a function of z , the theta function $\vartheta_m(\tau, z)$ is even (resp. odd) if its characteristic m is even (resp. odd).

The *theta constant* θ_m is the value of theta function ϑ_m at $z = 0$, namely the function $\theta_m : \mathbb{H}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined as

$$\theta_m(\tau) := \vartheta_m(\tau, 0).$$

Note that theta constants vanish identically for m odd.

Similarly to θ , the theta constants θ_m are examples of modular forms, i.e. of sections of a suitable line bundle on a suitable cover of \mathcal{A}_g . In fact, these are seen to transform as follows (cf. [I72] and [F91] for the general formula):

$$\vartheta \left[\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon \\ \delta \end{array} \right] (\gamma \cdot \tau, 0) = \left(\kappa(\gamma) \chi_{\varepsilon, \delta}(\gamma) \det(C\tau + D)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \cdot \vartheta \left[\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon \\ \delta \end{array} \right] (\tau, 0) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_g(2)$$

where $\kappa(\gamma)$ is an eighth root of the unity for any $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ and $\chi_{\varepsilon, \delta}$

is a character. In particular, $\theta \left[\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon \\ \delta \end{array} \right]$ is a modular form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ and can be seen as a well-defined section on a line bundle over $\mathcal{A}_g(2)$, whose zero locus in $\mathcal{A}_g(2)$ is denoted by $\theta_{\text{null}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon \\ \delta \end{array} \right]$.

The group Γ_g acts on theta characteristics, considered as elements of \mathbb{Z}_2^{2g} , via an affine-linear action of its quotient $\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \Gamma_g / \Gamma_g(2)$. In particular, this is to say that $\Gamma_g(2)$ is precisely equal to the subgroup of Γ_g that fixes each characteristic. Moreover, $\Gamma_g / \Gamma_g(2)$ transitively acts on the subset of even characteristics. We consider the union $\theta_{\text{null}}(2)$ of all $\theta_{\text{null}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon \\ \delta \end{array} \right] \subset \mathcal{A}_g(2)$, as $[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ ranges among the $2^{g-1}(2^g + 1)$ even theta characteristics. It descends to a divisor $\theta_{\text{null}} \subset \mathcal{A}_g$, which is thus the image of $\theta_{\text{null}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon \\ \delta \end{array} \right]$ via the cover $\mathcal{A}_g(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ for any even $[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$.

Geometrically, θ_{null} is the locus of ppav whose theta divisor has a singularity at an even two-torsion point.

Thus we have

Proposition 3.4 (Irreducible components of $\theta_{\text{null}}(2)$). *For any $g \geq 3$ and for any ε, δ the divisor $\theta_{\text{null}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon \\ \delta \end{array} \right]$ is irreducible, and so are its preimages in $\mathcal{A}_g(2n)$ for every $n > 1$. It follows that $\theta_{\text{null}}(2n)$ is the union of $2^{g-1}(2^g + 1)$ irreducible components and it is connected, thus $\theta_{\text{null}}(2n)$ is not locally irreducible. As a consequence, $\theta_{\text{null}} \subset \mathcal{A}_g$ is irreducible but it is not locally irreducible.*

Proof. Fix an even $[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$. The divisor $\theta \left[\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon \\ \delta \end{array} \right]$ is the zero locus inside $\mathcal{A}_g(2)$ of a modular form $\theta \left[\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon \\ \delta \end{array} \right] (\tau)$ of minimal weight $\frac{1}{2}$, which then is an absolutely prime form.

Observe now that, for $g \geq 3$, every pair of irreducible components of $\theta_{\text{null}}(2)$ intersect. In fact, the closure of two distinct θ_m and $\theta_{m'}$ inside the Satake compactification $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_g(2)$ must intersect each other, because theta-nulls are

ample. Since $\partial\mathcal{A}_g(2)$ inside $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_g(2)$ has codimension $g \geq 3$, it follows that $\theta_m \cap \theta_{m'}$ is not contained inside $\partial\mathcal{A}_g(2)$, and so they must intersect inside $\mathcal{A}_g(2)$. As a consequence, $\theta_{\text{null}}(2)$ is connected and not locally irreducible, and so $\theta_{\text{null}}(2n)$ is too for all n .

Since θ_{null} is the image of any $\theta_{\text{null}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$, it follows that θ_{null} is irreducible. Moreover, $\theta_{\text{null}}(2) \rightarrow \theta_{\text{null}}$ is an étale cover (in the orbifold sense), and so θ_{null} cannot be locally irreducible either. \square

We then have the following

Corollary 3.5 ($\theta[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ is totally prime for $g \geq 3$). *For $g \geq 3$ and for any even characteristics $[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$, the divisor $\widetilde{\theta_{\text{null}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]} \subset \mathbb{H}_g$ is normal and irreducible.*

Proof. We have seen that, since $\vartheta[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ is modular form of weight $1/2$, it is absolutely prime. Moreover, $\theta_{\text{null}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ is a divisor inside $\mathcal{A}_g(2)$ and regular in codimension 1 and so it is normal (see [CvG08]). Since normality is a local property, it follows that $\widetilde{\theta_{\text{null}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]}$ is normal too, hence irreducible \square

3.4. Lifting subvarieties that contain \mathcal{J}_g or \mathcal{H}_g . We use $\mathcal{J}_g \subset \mathcal{A}_g$ to denote the locus of Jacobians of smooth genus g curves, and denote by $\mathcal{H}_g \subset \mathcal{J}_g$ the locus of hyperelliptic Jacobians. We recall that \mathcal{J}_g is the image of the moduli space of curves \mathcal{M}_g via the Torelli morphism $t : \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ and that \mathcal{H}_g is the image of the hyperelliptic locus $\mathcal{HM}_g \subset \mathcal{M}_g$ via t . Moreover, as orbifolds $\mathcal{J}_g \setminus \mathcal{H}_g$ and \mathcal{H}_g are smooth and \mathcal{J}_g has unbranched singular locus \mathcal{H}_g (for example, $\mathcal{J}_g(n) \setminus \mathcal{H}_g(n)$ and $\mathcal{H}_g(n)$ are smooth varieties for all $n \geq 3$).

We recall the following fact.

Proposition 3.6 (Irreducible components of $\mathcal{H}_g(2)$ [T91]). *For $g \geq 2$, the hyperelliptic locus $\mathcal{H}_g(2)$ has*

$$2^{g^2} \prod_{k=1}^g (2^{2k} - 1) / (2g + 2)! = |\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_2)| / |\mathfrak{S}_{2g+2}|$$

irreducible components. Moreover, if $\mathcal{H}_g(2)_{\text{irr}}$ is such a component, then the preimage of $\mathcal{H}_g(2)_{\text{irr}}$ in $\mathcal{A}_g(2n)$ is irreducible.

Since \mathcal{H}_g is irreducible, the group Γ_g transitively acts on the set of irreducible components of $\mathcal{H}_g(2)$. Hence the above Proposition 3.6 is a consequence of the following, cf. [M84], or [AC79].

Proposition 3.7 (Fundamental group of the hyperelliptic locus). *If $\iota : \mathcal{H}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ is the inclusion of the locus of hyperelliptic Jacobians with $g \geq 2$, then the image of $\pi_1(\iota) : \pi_1(\mathcal{H}_g) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{A}_g) = \Gamma_g$ fits into the following exact sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow \Gamma_g(2) \rightarrow \text{Im}(\pi_1(\iota)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{2g+2} \rightarrow 1.$$

In particular, any irreducible component $\mathcal{H}_g(2n)_{\text{irr}}$ of $\mathcal{H}_g(2n)$ satisfies

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{H}_g(2n)_{\text{irr}}) \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_g(2n).$$

In fact, using Proposition 3.7 and Lemma 2.10(iii) we can draw the following conclusion.

Corollary 3.8 (Irreducible components of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g$). *Let $\mathcal{H}_g(2)_{\text{irr}}$ be a connected component of $\mathcal{H}_g(2)$.*

- (i) *The preimage of $\mathcal{H}_g(2)_{\text{irr}}$ in \mathbb{H}_g is smooth and connected.*
- (ii) *The preimage of \mathcal{H}_g in \mathbb{H}_g is formed by $2^{g^2} \prod_{k=1}^g (2^{2k} - 1)/(2g + 2)!$ smooth irreducible components.*

Similarly, for the jacobian locus we have:

Lemma 3.9 (Irreducibility of the Jacobian locus $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_g$). *The preimage $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_g$ in \mathbb{H}_g is irreducible, and so $\mathcal{J}_g(n)$ for all n .*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{M}_g(n)$ is smooth and connected, and so \mathcal{M}_g is a connected orbifold, by Lemma 2.10(iii) it is enough to show that $\pi_1(t) : \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_g) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{A}_g)$ is surjective as a map of orbifold fundamental groups. Such homomorphism can be identified to the symplectic representation $\text{MCG}_g \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$, which is classically known to be surjective (see, for instance, Chapter 6 of [FM12]). \square

Here we specialize some of the results obtained in Section 2 to loci in \mathcal{A}_g that contain the Jacobian or the hyperelliptic locus.

Since the inclusions $\mathcal{J}_g(n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_g(n)$ and $\mathcal{H}_g(2k) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_g(2k)$ induce surjections at the level of orbifold fundamental groups, the following is an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.13(i).

Proposition 3.10 (Subvarieties containing hyperelliptic or jacobian locus). *Let \mathcal{A}'_g be a finite level that dominates $\mathcal{A}_g(n)$ and let $Z \subset \mathcal{A}'_g$ be an irreducible subvariety.*

- (i) *If a Zariski-open subset of \mathcal{J}'_g (respectively, of $(\mathcal{H}'_g)_{\text{irr}}$ with n even) is contained in Z , then $\tilde{Z} \subset \mathbb{H}_g$ is connected, with finitely many irreducible components.*
- (ii) *If a Zariski-open subset of \mathcal{J}'_g (respectively, of $(\mathcal{H}'_g)_{\text{irr}}$ with n even) is contained in Z , but it does not entirely sit inside the singular locus of Z , then $\tilde{Z} \subset \mathbb{H}_g$ is irreducible.*

As a first example, one can apply the above Proposition 3.10(ii) again to the case of $Z = \theta_{\text{null}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ to deduce the irreducibility of $\widetilde{\theta_{\text{null}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]}$. In fact in Theorem 9.1 at page 137 of [MS4], Mumford gives a characterization of a component $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g(2)_{\text{irr}}$ in terms of vanishing of theta constants. Moreover, in [SM03] it is proved that these equations cut $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g(2)_{\text{irr}}$ smoothly, and so $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g(2)_{\text{irr}}$ is contained in the smooth locus of $\theta_{\text{null}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$.

As a second example, we mention the connected component of \mathcal{N}_k that contains the jacobian locus. It was proven by Andreotti-Mayer [AM67] that the theta divisor of a Jacobian of dimension g has singular locus of dimension

at least $g-4$. If $\mathcal{N}_k \subset \mathcal{A}_g$ is the locus of Abelian varieties whose theta divisor has singular locus of dimension at least k , then for all $k \leq g-4$ there exists an irreducible component \mathcal{N}_k^J of \mathcal{N}_k that contains the jacobian locus. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k^J$ is connected with finitely many irreducible components by Proposition 3.10(i). In a successive investigation we will check that \mathcal{J}_g sits inside the singular locus of \mathcal{N}_k^J for all $k \leq g-5$. Hence we cannot immediately conclude that $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k^J \subset \mathbb{H}_g$ is irreducible.

3.5. Rational translates. Given a matrix $M \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Q})$ and a finite-index subgroup Γ' of Γ_g , we define $\Gamma'(M)$ be the subgroup of $\gamma \in \Gamma_g$ such that $M^{-1}\gamma M \in \Gamma'$.

Lemma 3.11 ($\Gamma'(M)$ has finite index). *Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer and $M \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that dM has integral entries. Then $\Gamma'(M)$ has finite index in Γ_g . In particular, if $\Gamma' \supseteq \Gamma_g(\ell)$, then $\Gamma'(M) \supseteq \Gamma_g(\ell d^2)$.*

Proof. Since every finite-index subgroup of Γ_g contains a principal congruence subgroup, it is enough to prove the second claim.

Since $M = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array} \right)$ is symplectic, $M^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} D^t & -B^t \\ \hline -C^t & A^t \end{array} \right)$, and so $d \cdot M^{-1}$ has integral entries. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_g(\ell d^2)$, so that we can write $\gamma = I + \ell d^2 N$ for some integral N . Then

$$M^{-1}\gamma M = M^{-1}(I + \ell d^2 N)M = I + \ell(dM^{-1})N(dM)$$

and so $M^{-1}\gamma M \in \Gamma_g(\ell)$. \square

Let $\mathcal{A}'_g = \mathbb{H}_g/\Gamma'$ for some finite-index subgroup $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma_g$. Given $Z' \subset \mathcal{A}'_g$, we denote by $\tilde{Z} \subset \mathbb{H}_g$ the preimage of Z' . If $\mathcal{A}'' = \mathbb{H}_g/\Gamma''$ is a finite level that dominates \mathcal{A}'_g , we denote by Z'' the preimage of Z' in \mathcal{A}''_g .

Definition 3.12 (Rational translate). If $M \cdot \tilde{Z}$ is Γ'' -invariant for some $M \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Q})$, then the M -translate of Z'' is $M \cdot Z'' := (M \cdot \tilde{Z})/\Gamma''$.

Lemma 3.13. *Given $M \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Q})$, the locus $M \cdot \tilde{Z}$ in \mathbb{H}_g is invariant under $\Gamma'' := \Gamma'(M)$. Moreover the multiplication by M^{-1} induces a morphism $M \cdot Z'' \rightarrow Z''$.*

Proof. For every $\gamma \in \Gamma''$ we have $M^{-1}\gamma M \in \Gamma'$. Then $(M^{-1}\gamma M) \cdot \tilde{Z} = \tilde{Z}$ and so $\gamma \cdot (M \cdot \tilde{Z}) = M \cdot \tilde{Z}$. Hence \tilde{Z} is Γ'' -invariant.

We want now to show that the morphism $M^{-1} : (M \cdot \tilde{Z}) \rightarrow \tilde{Z}$ descends to a map from $M \cdot Z''$ to Z'' . Let $M\tau, \gamma M\tau \in M \cdot \tilde{Z}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma''$. Since Z'' is Γ'' -invariant and $M^{-1}\gamma M \in \Gamma'$, the points $M^{-1}(M\tau) = \tau$ and $M^{-1}(\gamma M\tau)$ represent the same point in Z'' . Hence the multiplication by M^{-1} induces a morphism $M^{-1} : M \cdot Z'' \rightarrow Z''$. \square

The following is then immediate.

Corollary 3.14 (Translates are isomorphic at a finite level). *Let $M \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Q})$ and let $\Gamma''' := \Gamma'(M) \cap \Gamma'(M^{-1})$. Then the multiplication by M induces an isomorphism $Z''' \rightarrow M \cdot Z'''$.*

The following statement gives a way to produce more absolutely or totally irreducible loci, starting from known ones.

Proposition 3.15 (Absolute/total irreducibility is translation invariant). *Let $Z' \subset \mathcal{A}'_g$ and $M \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Q})$, and let $M \cdot Z'' \subset \mathcal{A}''_g$ be an M -translate of Z' .*

- (i) Z' is absolutely irreducible $\iff M \cdot Z''$ is absolutely irreducible.
- (ii) Z' is totally irreducible $\iff M \cdot Z''$ is totally irreducible.

Proof. Let $\tilde{Z} \subset \mathbb{H}_g$ be the preimage of Z' .

(i) By Corollary 3.14, there exists a finite level \mathcal{A}'''_g that dominates \mathcal{A}''_g on which $Z''' \cong M \cdot Z'''$. Since $Z''' \rightarrow Z'$ and $M \cdot Z''' \rightarrow M \cdot Z''$ are finite topological covers, it follows that Z' is absolutely irreducible $\iff Z'''$ is absolutely irreducible $\iff M \cdot Z''$ is absolutely irreducible.

(ii) This is immediate, since \tilde{Z} is irreducible if and only if $M \cdot \tilde{Z}$ is. \square

As an example of application of Proposition 3.15, for $g \geq 3$ all rational translates of $\theta_{\mathrm{null}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ are totally irreducible by Corollary 3.5.

3.6. From absolutely irreducible to totally irreducible. Let Γ' be a finite-index subgroup of Γ_g and let $\mathcal{A}'_g = \mathbb{H}_g/\Gamma'$.

The goal of this section is to prove the following.

Theorem 3.16 (Absolutely irreducible modular forms are totally irreducible). *Let Γ' be a finite-index subgroup of Γ_g with $g \geq 3$ and let $Z' \subset \mathcal{A}'_g$ be an absolutely irreducible subvariety of codimension at most $g - 2$. Then Z' is totally irreducible.*

We preliminarily observe that an irreducible subvariety Z' in \mathcal{A}'_g is absolutely irreducible if and only if the image of $\pi_1(Z'_{\mathrm{sm}}) \rightarrow \Gamma'$ maps onto every finite quotient of Γ' .

Lemma 3.17 (Absolutely irreducible plus finite index implies totally irreducible). *If Z' is absolutely irreducible subvariety in \mathcal{A}'_g and the image of $\pi_1(Z'_{\mathrm{sm}}) \rightarrow \Gamma_g$ has finite index, then Z' is totally irreducible.*

Proof. Let Γ'' be the image of $\pi_1(Z'_{\mathrm{sm}})$ in Γ_g and let Z'' be the preimage of Z' inside $\mathcal{A}''_g = \mathbb{H}_g/\Gamma''$. Since Z' is absolutely irreducible, such Z'' is irreducible. Moreover $Z'' \rightarrow Z'$ is a topological cover, and so is $Z''_{\mathrm{sm}} \rightarrow Z'_{\mathrm{sm}}$.

The following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(Z''_{\mathrm{sm}}) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma'' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1(Z'_{\mathrm{sm}}) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_g \end{array}$$

is Cartesian and so $\pi_1(Z''_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \Gamma''$ is surjective. It follows that Z'' is totally irreducible, and so is Z' . \square

In order to proceed toward the proof of Theorem 3.16 we first analyze how subvarieties of small codimension in \mathcal{A}_g meet the boundary of the Schottky locus: this is due to technical reasons, as it is easier to control the monodromy at infinity of a subvariety of the moduli space of curves \mathcal{M}_g (as shown in Section 5) rather than of a subvariety of \mathcal{A}_g .

Lemma 3.18. *Let $g \geq 3$ and $Z' \subset \mathcal{A}'_g$ be a subvariety of codimension at most $g - 2$. Then there exists a rational translate $M \cdot Z'' \subset \mathcal{A}''_g$ of Z' such that*

- (i) *the image $M \cdot Z \subset \mathcal{A}_g$ of $M \cdot Z''$ meets the non-hyperelliptic Schottky locus $\mathcal{J}_g \setminus \mathcal{H}_g$;*
- (ii) *if \mathcal{A}_{g-h} is the largest boundary stratum of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_g$ that meets $\overline{M \cdot Z} \cap \overline{\mathcal{J}}_g$, then $\overline{M \cdot Z} \cap \overline{\mathcal{J}}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{g-h}$ is non-compact.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{J}_g \setminus \mathcal{H}_g$ has dimension strictly greater than $g - 2$, there exists $M \in \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $M \cdot \tilde{Z} \cap (\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_g \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g) \neq \emptyset$. We thus set $\Gamma'' = \Gamma'(M)$.

(i) Since $M \cdot \tilde{Z}$ meets $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_g \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_g$, the corresponding $M \cdot Z \subset \mathcal{A}_g$ meets $\mathcal{J}_g \setminus \mathcal{H}_g$.
(ii) Since $\mathcal{J}_g \setminus \mathcal{H}_g$ is smooth, $Y := t^{-1}(M \cdot Z) \subset \mathcal{M}_g$ has codimension at most $g - 2$. Recall that holomorphic subvarieties of $\mathcal{M}_g^{\text{cpt}}$ of codimension less than g are non-compact [FP05]. Thus $\overline{Y} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ must meet the locus $\overline{\delta}_1^{\text{irr}}$ of curves with non-disconnecting nodes and $\overline{Y} \cap \overline{\delta}_1^{\text{irr}}$ has codimension at most $g - 2$ inside $\overline{\delta}_1^{\text{irr}}$. Let $0 < h \leq g - 1$ be the smallest number of non-disconnecting nodes in a curve parametrized by $\overline{Y} \cap \overline{\delta}_1^{\text{irr}}$, and let δ_h^{irr} be locus parametrizing curves in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ with h non-disconnecting nodes (and any number of disconnecting ones). The intersection $\overline{Y} \cap \delta_h^{\text{irr}}$ has codimension at most $(g - 2) - (h - 1) = g - h - 1$. If $h = g - 1$, then $\delta_h^{\text{irr}} \subset \overline{Y}$ and so $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_0 \subset \overline{M \cdot Z} \cap \overline{\mathcal{J}}_g$. If $h < g - 1$, then the process of opening up the non-disconnecting nodes induces a fibration $p : \delta_h^{\text{irr}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g-h}^{\text{cpt}}$ with $2h$ -dimensional fibers. Hence $p(\overline{Y} \cap \delta_h^{\text{irr}})$ has codimension at most $g - h - 1$ inside $\mathcal{M}_{g-h}^{\text{cpt}}$, and again cannot be compact. Since $\overline{M \cdot Z} \cap \overline{\mathcal{J}}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{g-h}$ can be identified with the image of $p(\overline{Y} \cap \delta_h^{\text{irr}})$ via the Torelli map $\mathcal{M}_{g-h}^{\text{cpt}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g-h}$, the conclusion follows. \square

The above lemma and the work done in Section 5 yield the following.

Corollary 3.19. *Let $g \geq 3$ and $Z' \subset \mathcal{A}'_g$ be an absolutely irreducible subvariety of codimension at most $g - 2$. Then the image of $\pi_1(Z'_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \Gamma_g$ contains two commuting transvections associated to a pair of independent vectors.*

Proof. Let $M \cdot Z'' \subset \mathcal{A}''_g$ be a rational translate of Z' as in Lemma 3.18. By Proposition 3.15(i) such $M \cdot Z''$ is absolutely irreducible too. By Corollary

5.4 applied to the subvariety $M \cdot Z''$ of \mathcal{A}_g'' , the image of $\pi((M \cdot Z'')_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \Gamma_g$ contains two linearly independent, commuting transvections. Finally, Corollary 3.14 ensures that, on a higher level \mathcal{A}_g''' , the pull-back Z''' of Z' is isomorphic to the pull-back $M \cdot Z'''$ of $M \cdot Z''$. The conclusion follows, since $Z''' \rightarrow Z'$ and $M \cdot Z''' \rightarrow M \cdot Z''$ are finite topological covers, and since transvections have infinite order. \square

Using the previous steps and the arithmeticity criterion of Section 4, we can now prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 3.16. By Corollary 3.19 the image \overline{H} of $\pi_1(Z'_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \Gamma_g$ contains two linearly independent, commuting transvections. Since Z' is absolutely irreducible, \overline{H} must be Zariski-dense in Γ_g by Lemma 4.4. As a consequence, \overline{H} has finite-index in Γ_g by Proposition 4.1. We conclude that Z' is totally irreducible by Lemma 3.17. \square

The same argument also shows the following.

Proposition 3.20 (Absolutely irreducible cuspidal forms in genus 2 are totally irreducible). *Assume $g = 2$. Let $D' \subset \mathcal{A}_2'$ be an absolutely irreducible, ample divisor, whose image D in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_2$ satisfies $\overline{D} \supset \partial\mathcal{A}_2$. Then D' is totally irreducible.*

So we wonder:

- do there indeed exist absolutely irreducible divisors in \mathcal{A}_2' with ample closure (and that contain an irreducible component of $\partial\mathcal{A}_2'$)?
- in Proposition 3.20, is the hypothesis $\overline{D} \supset \partial\mathcal{A}_2$ necessary?
- in Theorem 3.16, is the hypothesis $\text{codim}_{\mathcal{A}_g'}(Z') \leq g - 2$ necessary?
- under what conditions on X , absolutely irreducible subvarieties of X are always totally irreducible?

3.7. Examples. In this last section we treat three distinguished examples.

3.7.1. Theta-nulls in genus two. First of all we observe that the situation for $\theta_{\text{null}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ in genus 2 is completely different, as $\theta[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ is not absolutely prime for $g = 2$.

In fact, the divisor $\theta_{\text{null}} \subset \mathcal{A}_2$ is irreducible and it coincides with the locus $\mathcal{A}_2^{\text{dec}}$ of decomposable Abelian varieties. Hence, its closure contains $\partial\mathcal{A}_2$, and so $\partial\theta_{\text{null}}$ has codimension 1 inside $\overline{\theta_{\text{null}}}$. It follows that each of the 10 smooth irreducible components $\theta_{\text{null}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ of $\theta_{\text{null}}(2)$ consists of decomposable Abelian variety and $\partial\theta_{\text{null}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ has codimension 1 inside $\overline{\theta_{\text{null}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]}$. Hence, in this case the conditions of the Proposition 2.7 are not satisfied. In particular $\pi_1(\theta_{\text{null}})$ can be identified to $(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_1) \ltimes \mathfrak{S}_2$, namely

$$\pi_1(\theta_{\text{null}}) \cong \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & 0 \\ \hline 0 & D \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A \cdot \sigma & 0 \\ \hline 0 & D \cdot \sigma \end{array} \right) \mid A, D \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \right\}$$

where $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. It follows that $\pi_1(\theta_{\mathrm{null}})$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of infinite index in Γ_2 , and so $\widetilde{\theta_{\mathrm{null}}} \subset \mathbb{H}_2$ has infinitely many smooth connected components. Note furthermore that the index of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_{2n}) \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$ inside $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$ increases with n .

We condense the above considerations into the following.

Proposition 3.21 (Theta-nulls are not absolutely prime for $g = 2$). *The divisor $\theta_{\mathrm{null}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ in $\mathcal{A}_2(2)$ is not absolutely prime, and $\widetilde{\theta_{\mathrm{null}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]}$ has infinitely many smooth connected components.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.11, the number of connected components of the preimage of $\theta_{\mathrm{null}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ inside $\mathcal{A}_g(2n)$ increases with n and so $\theta[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ is not absolutely prime. \square

In fact, for $g = 2$ a factorization of $\theta[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ into absolutely prime forms does not exist (note that Lemma 3.3 does not apply).

3.7.2. Intermediate jacobians of cubic threefolds. We recall that for an odd characteristic $[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ we can define $\mathrm{grad}_{\mathrm{null}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}] \subset \mathcal{A}_g(2)$ as the locus of all ppav's at which the gradient $d_z \theta[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}](\tau, 0)$ vanishes. Such locus has expected codimension g in $\mathcal{A}_g(2)$.

For $g \geq 5$, it is known that each $\mathrm{grad}_{\mathrm{null}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ has an irreducible component, which we denote by $\mathcal{C}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$, that contains some $\mathcal{H}_g(2)_{\mathrm{irr}}$; however, such $\mathrm{grad}_{\mathrm{null}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ has other irreducible components beside $\mathcal{C}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ (for example, inside the decomposable locus $\mathcal{A}_1(2) \times \mathcal{A}_{g-1}(2)$ we will find some components of type $\mathcal{A}_1(2) \times \theta_{\mathrm{null}}[\frac{\varepsilon'}{\delta'}]$).

In genus $g = 5$ we have that, at level 1, this component is the closure of the moduli space \mathcal{C} of the intermediate Jacobians of cubic threefolds. In [ACT11] Sect. 4 it is proved that \mathcal{H}_5 in \mathcal{C} is smooth (in an orbifold sense) and the period map

$$\pi : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_5$$

extends to a regular map at the generic point of \mathcal{H}_5 in \mathcal{C} . Hence we have

Corollary 3.22 (Irreducibility of $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$). *For $g = 5$ the locus $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ is irreducible in \mathbb{H}_5 . For $g \geq 6$ the locus $\mathcal{C}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ lifts to the union $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ of finitely many irreducible divisors in \mathbb{H}_g .*

Proof. The proof is an immediate consequence of the above discussion. Moreover, Julia Bernatska kindly communicated us that $\mathcal{H}_5(2)_{\mathrm{irr}}$ includes in the smooth locus of $\mathcal{C}[\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}]$ as a consequence of [B19, Remark 9]. \square

3.7.3. The Schottky form. We are going to exhibit an absolutely prime form of weight greater than $1/2$. This will be the so-called Schottky form.

Let S be an integral, positive-definite quadratic form on \mathbb{Z}^m , and consider the theta series

$$\theta_S(\tau) = \sum_{G \in \mathrm{Mat}_{m,g}(\mathbb{Z})} \exp(\pi i \cdot \mathrm{tr}(G^t S G \tau)).$$

Such θ_S is a modular form of weight $m/2$ with respect to a subgroup of finite index $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_g$. If S is even and unimodular, then $\Gamma = \Gamma_g$. If the rank is $m = 16$, then there are two integral classes of such quadratic forms: those associated to the lattices $E_8 \oplus E_8$ and D_{16}^+ . For any g we will consider the form

$$f_g = \theta_{E_8 \oplus E_8} - \theta_{D_{16}^+}.$$

It is a well-known fact that f_g vanishes identically for $g = 1, 2, 3$. It is Schottky's form when $g = 4$, cf. [GSM11] or [CSB14]. For $g \geq 4$ we set F_g for the divisor defined by f_g . We know that F_4 in \mathcal{A}_4 is irreducible, since it is the closure of the jacobian locus \mathcal{J}_4 . Since the preimage of \mathcal{J}_4 inside \mathbb{H}_g is irreducible, we have that f_4 is a totally prime form.

We want to study the divisor F_g for $g \geq 5$. We know that in these cases F_g does not contain the jacobian locus, cf. [GSM11] or [CSB14]. However it contains the hyperelliptic locus \mathcal{H}_g , cf. [P96]. Thus the preimage $\tilde{F}_g \subset \mathbb{H}_g$ of F_g is connected. Really we have

Proposition 3.23 (The Schottky form is totally prime). *The divisor $\tilde{F}_g \subset \mathbb{H}_g$ is irreducible for all $g \geq 4$.*

Proof. The statement is trivial if $g = 4$, since f_4 is the form defining (the closure of) \mathcal{J}_4 .

We proceed by induction on $g \geq 5$ and we show that f_g is an absolutely prime form. In order to do so, we recall that the Siegel operator

$$\Phi(f)(\tau') := \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f \begin{pmatrix} \tau' & * \\ * & it \end{pmatrix}$$

sends a modular form f of genus g at level n to a form $\Phi(f)$ of genus $g - 1$ at level n , in such a way that $\Phi(f_a f_b) = \Phi(f_a) \Phi(f_b)$.

By contradiction, suppose that, at level n , the form f_g breaks as $f_g = f_{g,a} f_{g,b}$. Since f_g is a linear combination of theta series we have

$$\Phi(f_g) = f_{g-1}.$$

This would imply that f_{g-1} is not irreducible at level n . Such contradiction proves that f_g is an absolutely prime form. As a consequence, f_g is also totally prime by Theorem 3.16, namely \tilde{F}_g is irreducible. \square

Note that, even without knowing that $\mathcal{H}_g \subset F_g$, the fact that F_g is absolutely irreducible implies that it is totally irreducible by Theorem 3.16.

4. APPENDIX. A CRITERION FOR ARITHMETICITY IN $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$

Suppose Ω is a non-degenerate symplectic form on \mathbb{Q}^n , which is integral on the standard lattice \mathbb{Z}^n . Given $w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ a *transvection* associated to w is the integral symplectic transformation $T_w : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ defined as $T_w(v) := v + \Omega(v, w)w$. In this case, $(T_w - I)(\mathbb{Z}^n) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot aw$ for some integer $a \neq 0$. We will briefly say that two transvections T_u, T_v are linearly

independent if u, v are linearly independent as vectors of \mathbb{Q}^n .

The aim of this short appendix is to prove the following.

Proposition 4.1. *Let G be a Zariski-dense subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ that contains two linearly independent, commuting transvections T_u, T_v . Then G has finite index in $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$.*

The proof of the above proposition will make essential use of [BV14, Theorem 1.2], whose statement here we recall.

Theorem 4.2 (Singh-Venkataramana). *Let Ω be a non-degenerate symplectic form on \mathbb{Q}^n , which is integral on \mathbb{Z}^n , and suppose that $G \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}_\Omega(\mathbb{Z})$ is a subgroup that satisfies the following properties-*

- (i) G is Zariski dense inside $\mathrm{Sp}_\Omega(\mathbb{Z})$;
- (ii) there exist three vectors $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that
 - $W = \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{Q} \cdot w_i$ of \mathbb{Q}^n is 3-dimensional;
 - $\Omega(w_i, w_j) \neq 0$ for some i, j ;
 - the subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_\Omega(W)$ generated by the restrictions $T'_{w_1}, T'_{w_2}, T'_{w_3}$ to W of the transvections $T_{w_1}, T_{w_2}, T_{w_3}$ contains a non-trivial element of the unipotent radical of $\mathrm{Sp}_\Omega(W)$.

Then the group G has finite index in $\mathrm{Sp}_\Omega(\mathbb{Z})$.

We wish to apply the above theorem to the following special case.

Lemma 4.3. *Let Ω be the standard symplectic form on \mathbb{Z}^{2g} and let $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ be linearly independent and such that $\Omega(w_1, w_2), \Omega(w_1, w_3) \neq 0$ and $\Omega(w_2, w_3) = 0$. Then the group generated by the restrictions of $T_{w_1}, T_{w_2}, T_{w_3}$ to $W = \mathrm{Span}(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ contains a nontrivial element of the unipotent radical of $\mathrm{Sp}_\Omega(W)$.*

Proof. Note that it is enough to prove the statement with (w_1, w_2, w_3) replaced by nonzero multiples $(k_1 w_1, k_2 w_2, k_3 w_3)$.

Let $e \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a \mathbb{Q} -generator of $\mathrm{Rad}_W(\Omega) = \mathbb{Q} \cdot e$, and write $w_3 = a_1 w_1 + a_2 w_2 + be$, with $a_1, a_2, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $b \neq 0$. Up to replacing w_3 with a multiple, we can assume that $a_1, a_2, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\mathcal{B} = (w_1, w_2, e)$ be a \mathbb{Q} -basis of W . Then elements of the unipotent radical of $\mathrm{Sp}_\Omega(W)$ are represented by the matrices

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ s & t & 1 \end{array} \right), \quad \text{with } t, s \in \mathbb{Q}$$

with respect to the basis (w_1, w_2, e) of W . Call now $\Omega_{ij} = \Omega(w_i, w_j)$ and note that $\Omega_{13} = a_2 \Omega_{12} \neq 0$ and $\Omega_{23} = a_1 \Omega_{21} = 0 = a_1$. Then the restrictions

T'_{w_1} of T_{w_i} to W can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} T'_{w_1} &= \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & \Omega_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), & T'_{w_2} &= \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \Omega_{12} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), \\ T'_{w_3} &= \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2^2 \Omega_{12} & 1 & 0 \\ ba_2 \Omega_{12} & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

with respect to the basis \mathcal{B} . It follows that

$$(T'_{w_2})^{-a_2^2} T'_{w_3} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -a_2^2 \Omega_{12} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2^2 \Omega_{12} & 1 & 0 \\ ba_2 \Omega_{12} & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ ba_2 \Omega_{12} & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right).$$

Since $ba_2 \neq 0$, the element $(T'_{w_2})^{-a_2^2} T'_{w_3} \neq I$ is in the unipotent radical of $\mathrm{Sp}_\Omega(W)$. \square

As a consequence, we easily obtain our wished criterion.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. The subset of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of elements $\tau \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\Omega(\tau(u), u)\Omega(\tau(u), v) = 0$ is a proper algebraic subvariety of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{C})$. Since G is Zariski-dense, there exists $\gamma \in G$ such that $\Omega(\gamma(u), u) \neq 0$ and $\Omega(\gamma(u), v) \neq 0$.

Now, u, v are linearly independent, and T_u, T_v commute, which implies that $\Omega(u, v) = 0$. Hence, the vectors $w_1 = u$, $w_2 = \gamma(u)$, $w_3 = v$ are linearly independent and $\Omega(w_1, w_2), \Omega(w_2, w_3) \neq 0$ whereas $\Omega(w_1, w_3) = 0$. Moreover, G contains $T_{w_1}, T_{w_2} = \gamma T_{w_1} \gamma^{-1}, T_{w_3}$. Lemma 4.3 then implies that the hypotheses of Theorem 4.2 are satisfied, and so G has finite index in $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$. \square

When dealing with absolutely irreducible subvarieties in a finite étale cover of \mathcal{A}_g , we will need the following observation.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma_g$ be a finite-index subgroup and let $G' \subset \Gamma'$. Suppose that the subgroup G' maps onto every finite quotient of Γ' . Then $G'' = G' \cap \Gamma''$ maps onto every finite quotient of Γ'' for every finite index subgroup Γ'' of Γ' . In particular, G'' is Zariski-dense in Γ_g .*

Proof. For every $\Gamma''' \subset \Gamma''$ of finite index, the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G''/(G'' \cap \Gamma''') & \longrightarrow & \Gamma''/\Gamma''' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G'/(G' \cap \Gamma''') & \twoheadrightarrow & \Gamma'/\Gamma''' \end{array}$$

is Cartesian. Thus $G''/(G'' \cap \Gamma''')$ maps onto Γ''/Γ''' .

Clearly, G'' is Zariski-dense in Γ_g because Γ_g is residually finite. \square

5. APPENDIX. SUBVARIETIES OF \mathcal{M}_g , DEHN TWISTS AND
TRANSVECTIONS

We recall that the standard symplectic representation $\rho : \text{MCG}_g \rightarrow \Gamma_g = \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ is surjective. The Torelli morphism $t_g : \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ extends to a map $\bar{t} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}_g$ from the Deligne-Mumford compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ to the Satake compactification $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_g$.

Denote by δ_h^{irr} the locally-closed locus inside $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ that parametrizes curves whose normalization has genus $g - h$ (the genus of a disconnected curve being the sum of the genera of its connected components).

We want to show the following result.

Proposition 5.1 (Finding a pair of commuting transvections I). *Let Y be an irreducible subvariety in \mathcal{M}_g . Suppose that*

- (a) \overline{Y} intersects the locally-closed boundary stratum δ_h^{irr} ;
- (b) the intersection $\overline{Y} \cap \delta_h^{irr}$ is non-compact.

Then the image of $\pi_1(Y_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \Gamma_g$ contains two linearly independent, commuting transvections.

We begin with a simple lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\iota : Z \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ the inclusion of a subvariety and N, M be subgroups of $\pi_1(Z)$ such that $[N : M \cap N] < +\infty$. Denote by $\iota_* : \pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{A}_g) = \Gamma_g$ the homomorphism induced by ι . If $\iota_*(N)$ contains a pair of linearly independent, commuting transvections, then $\iota_*(M)$ does.*

Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta \in N$ such that $T_v = \iota_*(\alpha), T_w = \iota_*(\beta) \in \iota_*(N)$ are two linearly independent, commuting transvections. There exists an integer $m \geq 1$ such that $\alpha^m, \beta^m \in M \cap N$. It follows that $T_{mv} = \iota_*(\alpha^m), T_{mw} = \iota_*(\beta^m)$ is the wished pair of linearly independent, commuting transvections in $\iota_*(M)$. \square

We will use Proposition 5.1 in the following form.

Corollary 5.3 (Finding a pair of commuting transvections II). *Let $Z \subset \mathcal{A}_g$ be an irreducible subvariety that meets the Schottky locus, and suppose that $\overline{Z} \cap \overline{\mathcal{J}}_g$ meets the locally-closed boundary stratum \mathcal{A}_{g-h} in a non-compact subset. Then the image of $\pi_1(Z_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \Gamma_g$ contains two linearly independent, commuting transvections.*

Proof. Suppose first that Z contains the Schottky locus \mathcal{J}_g . As in Proposition 3.10(i) and Corollary 2.13(i), the image of $\pi_1(Z_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \Gamma_g$ has finite index and so the conclusion obviously holds.

Suppose now that Z does not contain \mathcal{J}_g and so $t^{-1}(Z)$ is a proper subset of \mathcal{M}_g . Since the closure of Z inside $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_g$ meets \mathcal{A}_{g-h} in a non-compact subset, the closure of $t^{-1}(Z)$ inside $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ meets the locally-closed boundary stratum δ_{g-h}^{irr} in a non-compact subset. Thus, an irreducible component Y of $t^{-1}(Z)$

satisfies hypotheses (a) and (b) in Proposition 5.1, and so the image of $\pi_1(Y_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \Gamma_g$ contains two linearly independent, commuting transvections. Call H the image of $\pi_1(Y_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z)$ and K the image of $\pi_1(Z_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z)$. Since $Y \rightarrow Z$ is a quasi-finite map and Y, Z are irreducible, Corollary 2.5(i) implies that $[H : K \cap H] < +\infty$. By Lemma 5.2 applied to $N = H$ and $M = K$, the image of $\pi_1(Z_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \Gamma_g$ contains two linearly independent, commuting transvections. \square

The above statement can be also phrased for subvarieties of a finite cover $\mathcal{A}'_g = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma'$ of \mathcal{A}_g .

Corollary 5.4 (Finding a pair of commuting transvections III). *Let $Z' \subset \mathcal{A}'_g$ be an irreducible subvariety and suppose that its image Z inside \mathcal{A}_g meets the Schottky locus, and that $\overline{Z} \cap \overline{\mathcal{J}}_g$ intersects \mathcal{A}_{g-h} in a non-compact subset. Then the image of $\pi_1(Z'_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \Gamma_g$ contains two linearly independent, commuting transvections.*

Proof. Let $\iota : Z \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ be the inclusion of the irreducible subvariety Z . By Corollary 5.3, the image of $\pi_1(Z_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \Gamma_g$ contains two linearly independent, commuting transvections. Since $Y := Z' \rightarrow Z$ is a finite map, the image H of $\pi_1(Z'_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z)$ has finite index inside with the image K of $\pi_1(Z_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z)$ by Corollary 2.5(ii). If $\iota_* : \pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \Gamma_g$, then the subgroups $\iota_*(H), \iota_*(K)$ of Γ_g satisfy $[\iota_*(K) : \iota_*(H)] \leq d$. By Lemma 5.2 applied to $N = K$ and $M = H$, the image of $\pi_1(Z'_{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \Gamma_g$ contains two linearly independent, commuting transvections. \square

In order to prove Proposition 5.1, we first recall that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ supports a universal family of stable curves with total space $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_g$. Moreover, a path between $b_0 \in \mathcal{M}_g$ and $b \in \partial\mathcal{M}_g$ induces a map $C_{b_0} \rightarrow C_b$ that shrinks disjoint loops of C_{b_0} to nodes of C_b : call them *shrinking loops*. The following statement is rather standard.

Lemma 5.5 (Boundary strata and Dehn twists). *Let \overline{Y} be an irreducible (not necessarily closed) analytic subvariety of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, call $Y := \overline{Y} \cap \mathcal{M}_g$ and let $b \in \partial Y$. Suppose b belongs to a locally-closed boundary stratum δ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. Fix $b_0 \in Y_{\text{sm}}$ and a path in $Y_{\text{sm}} \cup \{b\}$ from b_0 to b and let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k \subset C_{b_0}$ be the induced shrinking loops. Then there exists $a_1, \dots, a_k \geq 1$ such that the image of $\pi_1(Y_{\text{sm}}, b_0) \rightarrow \text{MCG}(C_{b_0})$ contains $\text{Tw}_{\gamma_1}^{a_1} \cdots \text{Tw}_{\gamma_k}^{a_k}$. Hence, the image of $\pi_1(Y_{\text{sm}}, b_0) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(H_1(C_{b_0}))$ contains the transvection associated to the vector $[a_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + a_k\gamma_k]$.*

Proof. Pick a holomorphic map $f : \Delta \rightarrow \overline{Y} \cap U$ such that $f(0) = b$ and $f(\Delta^*)$ is contained in Y_{sm} . Since Y_{sm} is connected, we can choose b_0 as $b_0 = f(\tilde{b}_0)$ for some $0 \neq \tilde{b}_0 \in \Delta$. Every branch of divisor through δ corresponds to a loop γ_i . If f has multiplicity $a_i \geq 1$ along the i -th branch at \tilde{b}_0 , the image of $\pi_1(\Delta^*, \tilde{b}_0) \rightarrow \text{MCG}(C_{b_0})$ is generated by $\prod_{i=1}^k \text{Tw}_{\gamma_i}^{a_i}$, and the conclusion follows. \square

The wished result is an easy consequence of the above lemma.

Proof of Proposition 5.1. Call b_2 a point in the boundary of $\overline{Y} \cap \delta_{g-h}^{irr}$. Pick a contractible neighbourhood U of b_2 inside $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ such that $U \cap \overline{\delta}_{g-h}^{irr}$ and $U \cap \overline{Y}$ are contractible too. Let $b_0 \in U \cap Y_{sm}$ and $b_1 \in U \cap \delta_{g-h}^{irr}$. Connect b_0 to b_1 and to b_2 through paths contained in Y_{sm} (except at b_1, b_2). Call β_1, \dots, β_k the disjoint loops in C_{b_0} that are shrunk by the map $C_{b_0} \rightarrow C_{b_1}$. Up to isotopy, we can assume that the map $C_{b_0} \rightarrow C_{b_2}$ shrinks β_1, \dots, β_k and the other loops $\beta_{k+1}, \dots, \beta_m$ to nodes. By Lemma 5.5 the image of $\pi_1(Y_{sm}) \rightarrow \Gamma_g$ contains the commuting transvections T_v, T_w , where $v = a_1\beta_1 + \dots + a_k\beta_k$ and $w = c_1\beta_1 + \dots + c_m\beta_m$ with $a_i, c_i \geq 1$. Since $h \geq 1$, at least one loop β_1, \dots, β_k is non-disconnecting and so the vector v is non-zero. Since $b_2 \in \overline{\delta}_{g-h-1}^{irr}$, at least one loop $\beta_{k+1}, \dots, \beta_m$ does not disconnect $C_{b_0} \setminus (\beta_1 \cup \dots \cup \beta_k)$. It follows that w is not a multiple of v , and so v, w are linearly independent. \square

REFERENCES

- [AC79] E. N. A'Campo. Tresses, monodromie et le groupe symplectique *Comm. Math. Helvetici* **54** (1979), pp. 318–327.
- [ACT11] D. Allcock, J. A. Carlson, and D. Toledo. The moduli space of cubic threefolds as a ball quotient, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 209 (2011), no. 985, xii+70.
- [AM67] A. Andreotti and A. L. Mayer. On period relations for Abelian integrals on algebraic curves. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3)* **21** (1967), pp. 189–238.
- [BMS67] H. Bass, J. Milnor and J. P. Serre. Solution of the congruence subgroup problem for SL_n ($n \geq 3$) and Sp_{2n} ($n \geq 2$) *Publ. Math. IHES* **33** (1967), pp. 59–137.
- [B19] J. J. Bernatska. General derivative Thomae formula for singular half-periods, *Letters in Mathematical Physics* **110** (2020), pp. 2983–3014.
- [BV14] S. Singh and T. N. Venkataramana. Arithmeticity of certain symplectic hypergeometric groups, *Duke Math. J.* **163** (2014), pp. 591–617.
- [CL09] S. Casalaina-Martin and R. Laza. The moduli space of cubic threefolds via degenerations of the intermediate Jacobian. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **633** (2009), pp. 29–65.
- [CvG08] C Ciliberto, G. van der Geer Andreotti-Mayer loci and the Schottky problem. *Documenta Mathematica* **13** (2008), pp. 453–504.
- [CSB14] G. Codogni, N. Shepherd-Barron The non-existence of stable Schottky forms. *Compositio Mathematica* **150** (2014), pp. 679–690.
- [FP05] C. Faber and R. Pandharipande. Relative maps and tautological classes, *Journal of the European Mathematical Society* **7** (2005), pp. 13–49.
- [FM12] B. Farb and D. Margalit. A primer on the mapping class group, *Princeton Mathematical Series* **49** (2012), Princeton University Press.
- [F91] E. Freitag. Singular Modular Forms and Theta relations, *LNM* **1487** (1991), Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [GM88] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson *Stratified Morse theory, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete* **14** (1988), series (MATHE3), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg.
- [GSM11] S. Grushevsky and R. Salvati Manni. The superstring cosmological constant and the Schottky form in genus 5. *Am. Jour. Math.* **133** (2011), pp. 1007–1027.
- [HT85] H. Hamm and L. Trang. Lefschetz theorems on quasi-projective varieties. *Bulletin de la S. M. F.* **113** (1985), pp. 123–142.

- [I72] J.-I. Igusa. *Theta Functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften* **194** (1972), Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [M84] D. Mumford. *Tata Lecture II, Progress in Mathematics* **43** (1984), Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart.
- [P96] C. Poor. Schottky's form and the hyperelliptic locus *Proc. Am. Math. Soc. Mat* **124** (1996), pp. 1987–1991.
- [SM03] R. Salvati Manni. Modular forms vanishing on the hyperelliptic locus *Japanese journal of mathematics (N.S.)* **29** (2003), pp. 135–142.
- [SMT93] R. Salvati Manni and J. Top. Cusp Forms of Weight 2 for the Group $\Gamma_2(4, 8)$ *American Journal of Mathematics* **2** (1993), pp. 455–486.
- [T91] S. Tsuyumine. Thetanullwerte on a moduli space of curves and hyperelliptic loci. *Math. Z.* **207** (1991), pp. 539–568.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, PIAZZALE ALDO MORO, 2, I-00185 ROMA, ITALY
Email address: `mondello@mat.uniroma1.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, PIAZZALE ALDO MORO, 2, I-00185 ROMA, ITALY
Email address: `salvati@mat.uniroma1.it`