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Abstract

Dvir and Moran proved the following upper bound for the size of a family \( \mathcal{F} \) of subsets of \([n]\) with \( \text{Vdim}(\mathcal{F} \Delta \mathcal{F}) \leq d \).

Let \( d \leq n \) be integers. Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a family of subsets of \([n]\) with \( \text{Vdim}(\mathcal{F} \Delta \mathcal{F}) \leq d \). Then

\[
|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{k}.
\]

Our main result is the following uniform version of Dvir and Moran’s result.

Let \( d \leq n \) be integers. Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be an uniform family of subsets of \([n]\) with \( \text{Vdim}(\mathcal{F} \Delta \mathcal{F}) \leq d \). Then

\[
|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2^{\left( \frac{n}{d/2} \right)}.
\]

Denote by \( \mathbf{v}_F \in \{0, 1\}^n \) the characteristic vector of a set \( F \subseteq [n] \).

Our proof is based on the following uniform version of Croot-Lev-Pach Lemma:

Let \( 0 \leq d \leq n \) be integers. Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be a \( k \)-uniform family of subsets of \([n]\). Let \( \mathbb{F} \) be a field. Suppose that there exists a polynomial \( P(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n] \) with \( \text{deg}(P) \leq d \)
such that \( P(v_F, v_F) \neq 0 \) for each \( F \in \mathcal{H} \) and \( P(v_F, v_G) = 0 \) for each \( F \neq G \in \mathcal{H} \). Then
\[
|\mathcal{H}| \leq 2 \left( \binom{n}{d/2} \right).
\]

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper \( n \) denotes a positive integer, and \([n]\) stands for the set \( \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). We denote by \( 2^{[n]} \) the set of all subsets of \([n]\). Subsets of \( 2^{[n]} \) are called set families. Let \( \binom{[n]}{m} \) denote the family of all subsets of \([n]\) which have cardinality \( m \), and \( \binom{[n]}{\leq m} \) of all subsets that have size at most \( m \).

A family \( \mathcal{F} \) of subsets of \([n]\) is \( k \)-uniform, if \( |F| = k \) for each \( F \in \mathcal{F} \).

Let \( \mathbb{F} \) be a field. \( \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = \mathbb{F}[x] \) denotes the ring of polynomials in the variables \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) over \( \mathbb{F} \). For a subset \( F \subseteq [n] \) we write \( x_F = \prod_{j \in F} x_j \).

In particular, \( x_\emptyset = 1 \).

We denote by \( v_F \in \{0, 1\}^n \) the characteristic vector of a set \( F \subseteq [n] \).

It is a challenging old problem to find strong upper bounds for the size of progression-free subsets in finite Abelian groups. Croot, Lev and Pach achieved recently a breakthrough in this research area and proved a new exponential upper bound for the size of three-term progression-free subsets in the groups \((\mathbb{Z}_4)^n\) (see [4]), where \( n \geq 1 \) is an arbitrary integer. They based their proof on the following simple statement (see [4] Lemma 1).

**Proposition 1.1** Suppose that \( n \geq 1 \) and \( d \geq 0 \) are integers, \( P \) is a multilinear polynomial in \( n \) variables of total degree at most \( d \) over a field \( \mathbb{F} \), and \( A \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \) is a subset with
\[
|A| > 2 \sum_{i=0}^{d/2} \binom{n}{i}.
\]
If \( P(a - b) = 0 \) for all \( a, b \in A, a \neq b \), then \( P(0) = 0 \).

Consider a family \( \mathcal{F} \) of subsets of \([n]\). We say that \( \mathcal{F} \) shatters \( M \subseteq [n] \) if
\[
\{ F \cap M : F \in \mathcal{F} \} = 2^M.
\]

Define
\[
\text{sh}(\mathcal{F}) = \{ M \subseteq [n] : \mathcal{F} \text{ shatters } M \}.
\]
We say that a family $\mathcal{F}$ has VC-dimension $m$, if $m$ is the maximum of the size of sets shattered by $\mathcal{F}$. We denote by $\text{Vdim}(\mathcal{F})$ the VC-dimension of a family $\mathcal{F}$.

The following result is fundamental in the theory of shattering.

**Theorem (Sauer[10], Perles, Shelah[11], Vapnik, Chervonenkis[12])**

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of subsets of $[n]$ with $\text{Vdim}(\mathcal{F}) \leq d$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{d} \binom{n}{k}$$

and the upper bound is sharp.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ be families of subsets of $[n]$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{G}$ the symmetric difference of these families:

$$\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{G} := \{A\Delta B : A \in \mathcal{F}, B \in \mathcal{G}\}.$$  

Dvir and Moran proved an upper bound for the size of a family $\mathcal{F}$ of subsets of $[n]$ with $\text{Vdim}(\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F}) \leq d$. Their proof based on Proposition 1.1.

**Theorem 1.2** Let $0 \leq d \leq n$ be integers. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of subsets of $[n]$ with $\text{Vdim}(\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F}) \leq d$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2^{\left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor} \binom{n}{k}.$$  

Cambie, Girão and Kang proved the following improved version of Theorem 1.2 in [2].

**Theorem 1.3** Let $d < n$ be positive integers with $d \equiv r \pmod{2}$ for some $r \in \{0, 1\}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of subsets of $[n]$ with $\text{Vdim}(\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{F}) \leq d$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2^r \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor} \binom{n-r}{k}.$$  

Kleitman’s theorem (see [7]) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.3.
**Corollary 1.4** Let $d < n$ be positive integers with $d \equiv r \pmod{2}$ for some $r \in \{0, 1\}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of subsets of $[n]$ with $\mathcal{F} \Delta \mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{d}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2^r \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \binom{n-r}{k}.$$

Our main result is the following uniform version of Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 1.5** Let $d \leq n$ be integers. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an uniform family of subsets of $[n]$ with $\text{Vdim}(\mathcal{F} \Delta \mathcal{F}) \leq d$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}.$$

We prove here a new uniform version of Proposition 1.1. The proof of Theorem 1.5 is based completely on this result.

**Theorem 1.6** Let $0 \leq d \leq n$ be integers. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a $k$-uniform family of subsets of $[n]$. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field. Suppose that there exists a polynomial $P(x,y) \in \mathbb{F}[x,y]$ with $\deg(P) \leq d$ (here $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n), y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$) such that $P(v_F, v_F) \neq 0$ for each $F \in \mathcal{H}$ and $P(v_F, v_G) = 0$ for each $F \neq G \in \mathcal{H}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{H}| \leq 2^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}.$$

In Section 2 we collected the preliminaries about Gröbner basis theory and standard monomials. In Section 3 we present our proofs. In Section 4 we give an interesting conjecture which strengthens our main result.

## 2 Preliminaries

Define $V(\mathcal{F})$ as the subset $\{v_F : F \in \mathcal{F}\} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$ for any family of subsets $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$.

It is natural to consider the ideal $I(V(\mathcal{F}))$:

$$I(V(\mathcal{F})) := \{ f \in \mathbb{F}[x] : f(v) = 0 \text{ whenever } v \in V(\mathcal{F}) \}.$$
It is easy to verify that we can identify the algebra $\mathbb{F}[x]/I(V(F))$ and the algebra of $\mathbb{F}$ valued functions on $V(F)$. Consequently
\[
\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}[x]/I(V(F)) = |F|.
\]

We recall some basic facts about Gröbner basis theory and standard monomials. We refer to [1], [3] for details.

We say that a linear order $\prec$ on the monomials is a term order, if 1 is the minimal element of $\prec$, and $uw \prec vw$ holds for any monomials $u, v, w$ with $u \prec v$. The two most important term orders are the lexicographic order $\prec_l$ and the deglex order $\prec_d$. Recall the definition of the deglex order: we have $u \prec_d v$ iff either $\deg u < \deg v$, or $\deg u = \deg v$, and $u \prec_l v$.

The leading monomial $\text{lm}(f)$ of a nonzero polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ is the $\prec$-largest monomial which appears with nonzero coefficient in $f$.

Let $I$ be an ideal of $\mathbb{F}[x]$. A finite subset $G \subseteq I$ is a Gröbner basis of $I$ if for every $f \in I$ there exists a $g \in G$ such that $\text{lm}(g)$ divides $\text{lm}(f)$. It can be shown that $G$ is actually a basis of $I$, i.e. $G$ generates $I$ as an ideal of $\mathbb{F}[x]$ (cf. [2] Corollary 2.5.6). A well-known fact is (cf. [1] Corollary 1.6.5, Theorem 1.9.1) that every nonzero ideal $I$ of $\mathbb{F}[x]$ has a Gröbner basis.

A monomial $z \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ is a standard monomial for $I$ if it is not a leading monomial for any $f \in I$. We denote by $\text{sm}(I)$ the set of standard monomials of $I$.

Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{2}^{|n|}$ be a set family. It is easy to check that the standard monomials of the ideal $I(\mathcal{F}) := I(V(\mathcal{F}))$ are square-free monomials.

It is a fundamental fact that $\text{sm}(I)$ gives a basis of the $\mathbb{F}$-vector-space $\mathbb{F}[x]/I$. This means that every polynomial $g \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ can be uniquely written in the form $h + f$ where $f \in I$ and $h$ is a unique $\mathbb{F}$-linear combination of monomials from $\text{sm}(I)$. Consequently if $g \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ is an arbitrary polynomial and $G$ is a Gröbner basis of $I$, then we can reduce $g$ with $G$ into a linear combination of standard monomials for $I$.

3 Proofs

Let $0 \leq k \leq n/2$, where $k$ and $n$ are integers. Let $\mathcal{M}_{k,n}$ stand for the set of all monomials $x_G$ such that $G = \{s_1 < s_2 < \ldots < s_j\} \subset [n]$ for which $j \leq k$ and $s_i \geq 2i$ holds for every $i$, $1 \leq i \leq j$. We write $\mathcal{M}_k$ instead of the more
precise $\mathcal{M}_{k,n}$, if $n$ is clear from the context. It is easy to check that

$$|\mathcal{M}_k| = \binom{n}{k}.$$  

Let $\mathcal{D}_{k,n}$ denote the set of all sets $H = \{s_1 < s_2 < \ldots < s_j\} \subset [n]$ for which $j \leq k$ and $s_i \geq 2i$ holds for every $i$, $1 \leq i \leq j$.

We described completely the standard monomials of the complete uniform families of all $k$ element subsets of $[n]$ in [6].

**Theorem 3.1** Let $\prec$ an arbitrary term order such that $x_n \prec \ldots \prec x_1$. Let $0 \leq k \leq n$ be integers and define $j := \min(k, n - k)$. Then

$$\text{sm}(V(\binom{[n]}{k})) = \mathcal{M}_{j,n}.$$  

**Corollary 3.2** Let $0 \leq k \leq n$ be integers and define $j := \min(k, n - k)$. Suppose that $d \leq j$. Then

$$\mathcal{D}_{k,n} \cap \binom{[n]}{\leq d} = \mathcal{D}_{d,n}.$$  

Let $0 \leq k \leq n$ be arbitrary integers. Define the vector system

$$\mathcal{F}(n,k,2) := V(\binom{[n]}{k}) \times V(\binom{[n]}{k}) \subset \{0,1\}^{2n}.$$  

It is easy to verify the following Corollary from Theorem 3.1.

**Corollary 3.3** Let $\prec$ an arbitrary term order such that $x_n \prec \ldots \prec x_1$. Let $0 \leq k \leq n$ be integers and define $j := \min(k, n - k)$. Then

$$\text{sm}(\mathcal{F}(n,k,2)) = \{x_{M_1} \cdot y_{M_2} : M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{j,n}\} \subset \mathbb{F}[x,y].$$  

Mészáros and Rónyai proved the following result in [8] Lemma 1 (see also [9] Theorem 7).

**Theorem 3.4** Let $\prec$ an arbitrary term order such that $x_n \prec \ldots \prec x_1$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of subsets of $[n]$. Then $\text{sm}(V(\mathcal{F})) \subset \{x_U : U \in \text{sh}(\mathcal{F})\}$. 
Proof of Theorem 1.6

Consider the matrix $M \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}}$, where $M_{(F,G)} := P(v_F, v_G)$ for each $F, G \in \mathcal{H}$.

It follows from the assumptions that $M$ is a diagonal matrix, where nonzero elements stand in the diagonal, hence

$$\text{rank}(M) = |\mathcal{H}|.$$ 

Let $Q$ denote the reduction of $P$ via the deglex Gröbner basis of $I(\mathcal{F}(n, k, 2))$. Then $\deg(Q) \leq \deg(P) \leq d$ and $M_{(F,G)} = Q(v_F, v_G)$ for each $F, G \in \mathcal{H}$.

Let $j := \min(k, n - k)$. It follows from Corollary 3.3 that we can write the polynomial $Q$ into the form

$$Q(x, y) = \sum_{M_1, M_2 \in D_{j,n}} c_{M_1, M_2} x_{M_1} y_{M_2} \in \mathbb{F}_2[x, y],$$

where $c_{M_1, M_2} \in \mathbb{F}_2$ for each $M_1, M_2 \in D_{j,n}$. After grouping the terms of the polynomial $Q(x, y)$ we get that

$$Q(x, y) = \sum_{M \in D_{j,n} \cap \{U : |U| \leq \lfloor d/2 \rfloor\}} c_M x_M g_M(y) + \sum_{J \in D_{j,n} \cap \{U : |U| \leq \lfloor d/2 \rfloor\}} d_J y_J h_J(x),$$

where $c_M, d_J \in \mathbb{F}_2, h_J(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x], g_M(y) \in \mathbb{F}_2[y]$ for each $J, M \in D_{j,n}$.

Then it follows from Corollary 3.2 that

$$Q(x, y) = \sum_{M \in D_{[d/2],n}} c_M x_M g_M(y) + \sum_{J \in D_{[d/2],n}} d_J y_J h_J(x),$$

Since

$$|D_{[d/2],n}| = \binom{n}{[d/2]},$$

hence we get that

$$\text{rank}(M) \leq 2 \binom{n}{[d/2]}.$$ 

It follows from the equality $\text{rank}(M) = |\mathcal{H}|$ that

$$|\mathcal{H}| \leq 2 \binom{n}{[d/2]}.$$
Proof of Theorem 1.5
Let \( \mathbb{F} := GF(2) \). It follows from Theorem 3.4 that
\[
\text{sm}(V(\mathcal{F} \Delta \mathcal{F}), \prec_d) \subseteq \{x_U : U \in \text{sh}(\mathcal{F} \Delta \mathcal{F})\}.
\]
Since \( \text{Vdim}(\mathcal{F} \Delta \mathcal{F}) \leq d \), hence
\[
\text{sm}(V(\mathcal{F} \Delta \mathcal{F}), \prec_d) \subseteq \{x_U : |U| \leq d\}.
\]
Let \( \mathcal{G} \) denote a fixed deglex Gröbner basis of \( I(V(\mathcal{F} \Delta \mathcal{F})) \). Denote by \( g : V(\mathcal{F} \Delta \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \) the function where \( g(0) = 1 \) and \( g(\mathbf{v}_T) = 0 \) for each \( T \in \mathcal{F} \Delta \mathcal{F} \setminus \{\emptyset\} \).

If we reduce \( g \) with the Gröbner basis \( \mathcal{G} \), we get the polynomial \( g' \in \mathbb{F}[x] \).
Clearly \( \deg(g') \leq d \), because \( g' \) is a linear combination of deglex standard monomials of \( I(V(\mathcal{F} \Delta \mathcal{F})) \) and \( \text{sm}(V(\mathcal{F} \Delta \mathcal{F}), \prec_d) \subseteq \{x_U : |U| \leq d\} \). Since \( \mathcal{G} \) is a Gröbner basis of \( I(V(\mathcal{F} \Delta \mathcal{F})) \), hence
\[
g(\mathbf{v}_G) = g'(\mathbf{v}_G)
\]
for each \( G \in \mathcal{F} \Delta \mathcal{F} \).

Define the polynomial function \( f : V(\mathcal{F}) \times V(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \) by
\[
f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := g'(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}).
\]
Then
\[
f(\mathbf{v}_F, \mathbf{v}_F) = g'(0) = g(0) = 1
\]
for each \( F \in \mathcal{F} \) and
\[
f(\mathbf{v}_F, \mathbf{v}_G) = g'(\mathbf{v}_F + \mathbf{v}_G) = g'(\mathbf{v}_{F \Delta G}) = g(\mathbf{v}_{F \Delta G}) = 0
\]
for each \( F, G \in \mathcal{F} \), where \( F \neq G \).

We can apply Theorem 1.6 with the choices \( \mathcal{H} := \mathcal{F} \) and \( P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \).

4 Concluding remarks

We think that the next conjecture is the best form of Theorem 1.5.

Conjecture 1 Let \( d < n \) be positive integers with \( d \equiv r \pmod{2} \) for some \( r \in \{0, 1\} \). Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be an uniform family of subsets of \([n]\) with \( \text{Vdim}(\mathcal{F} \Delta \mathcal{F}) \leq d \). Then
\[
|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2^r \left( \binom{n-r}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \right).
\]
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