

TAKING QUOTIENT BY A UNIPOTENT GROUP INDUCES A HOMOTOPY EQUIVALENCE

MIKHAIL BOROVOI AND ANDREI GORNITSKII

ABSTRACT. Let U be a unipotent group over \mathbb{C} acting on an irreducible complex algebraic variety X . Assume that there exists a surjective morphism of complex algebraic varieties $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ whose fibres are orbits of U . We show that if X and Y are smooth and all orbits of U in X have the same dimension, then the induced map on \mathbb{C} -points $X(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow Y(\mathbb{C})$ is a homotopy equivalence. Moreover, if U , X , Y , and φ are defined over \mathbb{R} , then the induced map on \mathbb{R} -points $X(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow Y(\mathbb{R})$ is surjective and induces homotopy equivalences on connected components.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let U be a unipotent algebraic group over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} , acting (not necessarily freely) on an algebraic \mathbb{C} -variety X . Assume that there exists a surjective morphism of \mathbb{C} -varieties $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ whose fibres are orbits of U in X . In the recent preprint [10] Maican proved that if X and Y are irreducible smooth \mathbb{C} -varieties and the morphism φ is smooth, then the map on \mathbb{C} -points $\varphi_{(\mathbb{C})}: X(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow Y(\mathbb{C})$ induces isomorphisms on cohomology

$$H^m(X(\mathbb{C}), A) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^m(Y(\mathbb{C}), A)$$

for any abelian group A and for all integers $m \geq 0$, where $X(\mathbb{C})$ and $Y(\mathbb{C})$ are regarded with the usual topology. See [10, Proposition 4].

In this note we prove a stronger assertion: under the above assumptions, the map $\varphi_{(\mathbb{C})}: X(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow Y(\mathbb{C})$ is a homotopy equivalence. Moreover, assuming that U, X, Y , and φ are defined over the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} , we prove that the map $\varphi_{(\mathbb{R})}: X(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow Y(\mathbb{R})$ is surjective and induces homotopy equivalences on connected components.

Notation.

- k is a field of characteristic 0, and \bar{k} is a fixed algebraic closure of k .
- By an algebraic variety over k (in short: a k -variety) we mean a reduced separated scheme of finite type over k .

Date: January 8, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 14F35; Secondary: 11E72, 14L30, 14P25.

Key words and phrases. Unipotent group, smooth algebraic variety, smooth morphism, Galois cohomology, second nonabelian Galois cohomology.

This research was supported by the Israel Science Foundation, grant 870/16. The second-named author was also supported by RFBR grant No. 20-01-00515.

- For a k -variety X and for a field extension L/k , we denote $X_L = X \times_k L$, the base change of X to L , and we denote by $X(L)$ the set of L -points of X . We may identify $X(L) = X_L(L)$.
- By a linear algebraic group over k (in short: a k -group) we mean an affine group scheme of finite type over k . By Cartier's theorem it is automatically reduced, because $\text{char } k = 0$; see Milne [12, Theorem 3.23].
- By $\text{Lie}(G)$ we denote the Lie algebra of a k -group G .

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. *Let Z be a right homogeneous space of a unipotent group U over a field k of characteristic 0. Then Z has a k -point. Moreover, Z is isomorphic as a k -variety to the affine space \mathbb{A}_k^d , where $d = \dim Z$.*

We shall discuss the notion of a homogeneous space and prove Theorem 2.1 in Section 3.

2.2. Let U be a unipotent k -group. Let $\alpha: X \times_k U \rightarrow X$ be a right action of U on a smooth geometrically irreducible k -variety X . Assume that there exists a surjective morphism onto a smooth geometrically irreducible k -variety Y

$$\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$$

whose fibres over the \bar{k} -points of Y are the orbits of $U(\bar{k})$ in $X(\bar{k})$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let k be either \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} (then $\bar{k} \simeq \mathbb{C}$). For U , X , α , Y , and φ as in Subsection 2.2, assume that the morphism $\varphi_{\bar{k}}: X_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow Y_{\bar{k}}$ is smooth. Then:*

- (i) *Each fibre $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ for $y \in Y(k)$ has a k -point, that is, the map $\varphi_{(k)}: X(k) \rightarrow Y(k)$ is surjective. Moreover, each fibre $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ is isomorphic as a k -variety to the affine space \mathbb{A}_k^d , where $d = \dim X - \dim Y$.*
- (ii) *The C^∞ -map of C^∞ -manifolds $\varphi_{(k)}: X(k) \rightarrow Y(k)$ is a locally trivial fibre bundle; moreover, it induces homotopy equivalences on connected components.*

We shall prove Theorem 2.3 in Section 4.

Corollary 2.4 (Maican [10, Proposition 4]). *Let $k = \mathbb{C}$ and let U , X , α , Y , and φ be as in Theorem 2.3. Then for any integer $m \geq 0$ and for any abelian group A , the map $\varphi_{(\mathbb{C})}: X(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow Y(\mathbb{C})$ induces an isomorphism*

$$H^m(X(\mathbb{C}), A) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^m(Y(\mathbb{C}), A).$$

Proof. The corollary follows immediately from Theorem 2.3(ii). □

Remark 2.5. Since $X_{\bar{k}}$ and $Y_{\bar{k}}$ are smooth, and the fibres $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x)) = x \cdot U_{\bar{k}}$ for $x \in X(\bar{k})$ are smooth as well, the morphism $\varphi_{\bar{k}}$ in Theorem 2.3 is smooth if and only if all fibres have the same dimension $\dim X - \dim Y$. See Vakil [16, Theorem 25.2.2 and Exercise 25.2.F (a)].

Example 2.6. Let G be a connected linear algebraic k -group, where k is either \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} . Let $V \subset G$ be a unipotent k -subgroup of G , and let $N \subset V$ be a normal k -subgroup of V (which is also unipotent). We construct smooth k -varieties $X = G/N$ and $Y = G/V$. We have a natural morphism of k -varieties

$$\varphi: X \rightarrow Y, \quad gN \mapsto gV \quad \text{for } g \in G(\bar{k}).$$

The unipotent k -group $U := V/N$ naturally acts on X on the right by

$$(gN, vN) \mapsto gvN \quad \text{for } g \in G(\bar{k}), \quad v \in V(\bar{k}),$$

and the fibres of $\varphi_{\bar{k}}$ are the orbits of $U_{\bar{k}}$. Moreover, each orbit is a principal homogeneous space of $U_{\bar{k}}$. Since all orbits are of the same dimension $\dim U$, the morphism $\varphi_{\bar{k}}$ is smooth. Thus the morphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3. By this theorem, the C^∞ -map $\varphi_{(k)}: X(k) \rightarrow Y(k)$ induces homotopy equivalences on connected components.

3. HOMOGENEOUS SPACE OF A UNIPOTENT GROUP

In this section we prove Theorem 2.1.

3.1. Let G be a linear algebraic group over k . A *right homogeneous space* of G is a k -variety Z together with a right action $\alpha: Z \times_k G \rightarrow Z$ such that $G(\bar{k})$ acts on $Z(\bar{k})$ transitively. We do not assume that Z has a k -point. We shall write just “a homogeneous space” for a right homogeneous space.

A *principal homogeneous space* (torsor) of G is a homogeneous space P of G such that $G(\bar{k})$ acts on $P(\bar{k})$ *simply* transitively, that is, the stabilizer in $G(\bar{k})$ of a \bar{k} -point $p \in P(\bar{k})$ is trivial.

By a *morphism* $Z \rightarrow Z'$ of homogeneous spaces of G we mean a G -equivariant morphism of k -varieties. We say that a homogeneous space Z of G is *dominated by a torsor* if there exists a torsor P of G and a morphism of homogeneous spaces $\psi: P \rightarrow Z$ (which is surjective on \bar{k} -points because P and Z are homogeneous spaces).

Let $z \in Z(\bar{k})$ be a \bar{k} -point, and let $\overline{H} \subset G_{\bar{k}}$ denote its stabilizer. The homogeneous space Z defines a k -kernel (k -band, k -lien) \varkappa for \overline{H} and a cohomology class $\eta(Z) \in H^2(k, \overline{H}, \varkappa)$. The set $H^2(k, \overline{H}, \varkappa)$ is called the *second nonabelian cohomology set* for \overline{H} and \varkappa . It has a distinguished subset $N^2(k, \overline{H}, \varkappa)$ called the subset of *neutral elements*. The homogeneous space Z is dominated by a torsor if and only if $\eta(Z) \in N^2(k, \overline{H}, \varkappa)$. See Springer [15, Section 1.20] for details. See also [2, Section 7.7] and [6, Section 1].

Lemma 3.2. *Let Z be a homogeneous space of a unipotent algebraic group U over a field k of characteristic 0. Then Z is dominated by a torsor.*

Proof. Let $\overline{H} \subset U_{\bar{k}}$ be the stabilizer of a \bar{k} -point $z \in Z(\bar{k})$, and consider $\eta(Z) \in H^2(k, \overline{H}, \varkappa)$. Since \overline{H} is unipotent and $\text{char } k = 0$, by Douai’s theorem [5, Theorem IV.1.3], see also [2, Corollary 4.2], we have $N^2(k, \overline{H}, \varkappa) = H^2(k, \overline{H}, \varkappa)$. Thus $\eta(Z) \in N^2(k, \overline{H}, \varkappa)$, and therefore Z is dominated by a torsor P , as required. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let Z be as in Lemma 3.2. Then Z has a k -point.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 there exists a torsor P of U and a U -equivariant k -morphism $\psi: P \rightarrow Z$. Let $\xi(P) \in H^1(k, U)$ denote the *cohomology class* of P in the first Galois cohomology set; see Serre [14, Section I.5.2, Proposition 33]. Since U is unipotent group and $\text{char } k = 0$, by Sansuc's lemma [13, Lemma 1.13], see also [3, Proposition 3.1], we have $H^1(k, U) = \{1\}$. It follows that $\xi(P) = 1$, and therefore P has a k -point p_0 . Then $z_0 := \psi(p_0)$ is a k -point of Z , as required. \square

3.4. Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let Z and U be as in the theorem. By Lemma 3.3, Z has a k -point z_0 . Let H denote the stabilizer of z_0 in U . Then the map

$$U \rightarrow F, \quad u \mapsto z_0 \cdot u$$

induces an isomorphism of k -varieties $H \backslash U \xrightarrow{\sim} Z$. Since U is a unipotent group and $\text{char } k = 0$, by Proposition (3.2) of Bruce, du Plessis, and Wall [4], the k -variety $H \backslash U$ is isomorphic to the affine space $\text{Lie}(U)/\text{Lie}(H)$, which, of course, is isomorphic as a k -variety to \mathbb{A}_k^d , where $d = \dim(\text{Lie}(U)/\text{Lie}(H)) = \dim Z$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

4. HOMOTOPY EQUIVALENCE

In this section we prove Theorem 2.3.

By hypothesis, the fibre $Z_y := \varphi^{-1}(y)$ for $y \in Y(k)$ is a homogeneous space of U . Therefore, assertion (i) of Theorem 2.3 follows immediately from Theorem 2.1.

We prove assertion (ii) of Theorem 2.3. Since X and Y are smooth, the sets of k -points $X(k)$ and $Y(k)$ (where k is either \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R}) are naturally C^∞ -manifolds. Consider the C^∞ -map $\varphi_{(k)}: X(k) \rightarrow Y(k)$. By Theorem 2.3(i), the map $\varphi_{(k)}$ is surjective. Since the morphism $\varphi_{\bar{k}}$ is smooth, the map $\varphi_{(\bar{k})}: X(\bar{k}) \rightarrow Y(\bar{k})$ is a submersion, that is, for any $x \in X(\bar{k}) = X_{\bar{k}}(\bar{k})$, the differential

$$d_x \varphi: T_x(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow T_{\varphi(x)}(Y_{\bar{k}})$$

is surjective, where $T_x(X_{\bar{k}})$ and $T_{\varphi(x)}(Y_{\bar{k}})$ denote the corresponding tangent spaces. See Hartshorne [7, Proposition 10.4]. It follows that the map $\varphi_{(k)}: X(k) \rightarrow Y(k)$ is a submersion as well. By Theorem 2.3(i), each fibre $\varphi_{(k)}^{-1}(y)$ for $y \in Y(k)$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_k^d(k) \simeq k^d$. Since the C^∞ -map $\varphi_{(k)}: X(k) \rightarrow Y(k)$ is a submersion with fibres diffeomorphic to k^d , by Meigniez [11, Corollary 31] it is a locally trivial fibre bundle of C^∞ -manifolds.

Let $x_0 \in X(k)$, $y_0 = \varphi(x_0) \in Y(k)$, $Z = \varphi^{-1}(y_0) \subset X$. We may identify $Z(k)$ with k^d such that x_0 corresponds to $0 \in k^d$. Since $\varphi_{(k)}: X(k) \rightarrow Y(k)$ is a locally trivial bundle, we have a homotopy exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_{i+1}(Z(k), x_0) \rightarrow \pi_i(X(k), x_0) \xrightarrow{(\varphi_{(k)})_i} \pi_i(Y(k), y_0) \rightarrow \pi_i(Z(k), x_0) \cdots;$$

see, for instance, Arkowitz [1, Corollary 4.2.19(2)]. Since $\pi_i(Z(k), x_0) = \pi_i(k^d, 0) = 0$ for all i , we conclude that the maps $(\varphi_{(k)})_i$ are bijective for all $i \geq 0$. The topological manifolds $X(k)$ and $Y(k)$ have homotopy types of CW complexes; see, for instance, Lundell and Weingram [9, Corollary IV.5.7]. Hence by Whitehead's theorem (see, for instance, Hatcher [8, Theorem 4.5]) the map $\varphi_{(k)}$ induces a homotopy equivalence between the connected component of x_0 in $X(k)$ and the connected component of y_0 in $Y(k)$, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.3. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors are grateful to Mario Maican for helpful email correspondence.

REFERENCES

- [1] Arkowitz, M. *Introduction to homotopy theory*. Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2011.
- [2] Borovoi, M. "Abelianization of the second nonabelian Galois cohomology." *Duke Math. J.* 72, no. 1 (1993), 217–239.
- [3] Borovoi, M., C. Daw, and J. Ren. "Conjugation of semisimple subgroups over real number fields of bounded degree." To appear in *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, [arXiv:1802.05894 \[math.GR\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.05894), DOI: 10.1090/proc/14505.
- [4] Bruce, J. W., A. A. du Plessis, and C. T. C. Wall. "Determinacy and unipotency." *Invent. Math.* 88 (1987), no. 3, 521–554.
- [5] Douai, J.-C. *2-cohomologie galoisienne des groupes semi-simples*. Thèse, Université de Lille I, 1976.
- [6] Flicker, Y. Z., C. Scheiderer, and R. Sujatha. "Grothendieck's theorem on non-abelian H^2 and local-global principles." *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 11, no. 3 (1998), 731–750.
- [7] Hartshorne, R. *Algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [8] Hatcher, A. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [9] Lundell, A. T. and S. Weingram. *The topology of CW complexes*. The University Series in Higher Mathematics. Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1969.
- [10] Maican, M. "The effect on topology of the action of a unipotent group." [arXiv:2104.00171v1 \[math.AG\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.00171v1).
- [11] Meigniez, G. "Submersions, fibrations and bundles." *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 354 (2002), no. 9, 3771–3787.
- [12] Milne, J. S. *Algebraic groups. The theory of group schemes of finite type over a field*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 170, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017.
- [13] Sansuc, J.-J. "Groupe de Brauer et arithmétique des groupes algébriques linéaires sur un corps de nombres." *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 327 (1981), 12–80.
- [14] Serre, J.-P. *Galois cohomology*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [15] Springer, T. A. "Nonabelian H^2 in Galois cohomology." In: *Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Boulder, Colo., 1965)*, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. IX*, pp. 164–182. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1966.
- [16] Vakil, R. *The Rising Sea. Foundations of Algebraic Geometry*. Book in progress, November 18, 2017 draft, <http://math.stanford.edu/~vakil/216blog/FOAGnov1817public.pdf>.

BOROVOI: RAYMOND AND BEVERLY SACKLER SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,
TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, 6997801 TEL AVIV, ISRAEL

Email address: `borovoi@tauex.tau.ac.il`

GORNITSKII: NATIONAL RESEARCH NUCLEAR UNIVERSITY MEPhi, 115409 MOSCOW,
RUSSIAN FEDERATION, AND RAYMOND AND BEVERLY SACKLER SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,
TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, 6997801 TEL AVIV, ISRAEL

Email address: `gnomage@mail.ru`