

Butterflies dragging the jets: chaotic origin of holographic QCD

Dmitry S. Ageev^{1,*}

¹*Department of Mathematical Methods for Quantum Technologies,
Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, Gubkin str. 8, 119991 Moscow, Russia*

In this Letter, we bring together two topics in the holographic correspondence - quantum chaos and quark-gluon plasma (QGP). We establish that the first relativistic correction to drag force experienced by a charge carrier moving through a thermal medium (for example, a quark in QGP) at a constant velocity is fixed by the butterfly velocity. Moreover, we show that this result is robust against stringy corrections and anisotropy. For the jet quenching parameter, we find that it is related to the butterfly velocity along the momentum broadening direction and temperature in the spirit of the “Planckian bound”. This opens a way to the reconstruction of butterfly velocity of quark-gluon plasma and other strongly-coupled systems experimentally from rather simple observables.

Many properties of strongly-interacting quantum systems are known to carry large imprint of universality. To explain this universality is a challenging and intriguing problem. A possible way to address it that has successfully been adopted in the past is to search for universal relations between seemingly different and unrelated physical quantities. Holographic correspondence is a versatile and powerful tool to reveal these relations. The broad range of the holography applications varies from the studies of the heavy-ions collisions and thermal QCD [1–3] to condensed matter theory [4, 5] and quantum information realm [6]. The discussion about the relation between the AdS/CFT correspondence and real physical systems largely started from papers [7, 8] establishing the universal result concerning the viscosity to entropy ratio η/s in holographic quantum systems

$$\text{KPSS viscosity relation: } \frac{\eta}{s} \sim \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\hbar}{k_B}. \quad (1)$$

where “KPSS” stands for Kovtun-Son-Starinets-Policastro. After the experiments at RHIC (for references and review see [1]), many probes amenable to the holographic description have been introduced and studied in the theory of strongly interacting systems and QGP in particular. In this work, we focus mainly on the drag force [9, 10] and jet quenching parameter [11]. Both are related to the energy and momentum loss for projectiles moving in a strongly interacting quantum system (quark-gluon plasma). However, their origin and properties are slightly different, and we would like to stress the following points

- Drag force is associated with the momentum loss of a single quark (charge carrier) moving in the strongly interacting medium.
- The jet quenching coefficient \hat{q} plays the role of the collective transport coefficient (sometimes \hat{q} is called a jet transport coefficient) describing the momentum broadening in a thermal medium¹. This

coefficient is essential for the description of a radiative parton energy loss. It is important to notice that the jet quenching probes very different scales of medium simultaneously[22].

- Drag force also can be related to the transport coefficient, namely conductivity (see [12]) for the small charge carriers.

Recently, the transport properties of quantum systems have been related to quantum chaos [20, 21]. The motivation for this takes its roots in [13], where it was suggested that some velocity v could determine the diffusion constant.

$$\text{Hartnoll bound: } D \sim \frac{\hbar w^2}{k_B T}. \quad (2)$$

In [20], this statement has been clarified for holographic theories with particle-hole symmetry and transformed into the relation between charge diffusion², butterfly velocity v_B [15] and the temperature

$$\text{Blake relation: } D_c = C \cdot \frac{v_B^2}{2\pi T}, \quad (3)$$

where the constant C depends only on the details of the infrared theory, namely $C = d_\theta/\Delta_\chi$. Here d_θ is the effective spatial dimensionality of the fixed point and Δ_χ is the scaling dimension of the susceptibility. In the paper [21] Blake, Davison and Sachdev (BDS) extended this relation to a more general class of theories and thermal diffusion constant D_T

$$\text{BDS relation: } D_T \sim v_B^2 \tau_L, \quad (4)$$

where $\tau_L = (2\pi T)^{-1}$ is the Lyapunov time.

Taking these relations as a prototypical example, we aim to establish a connection between drag force, jet quenching, and butterfly velocity.

¹ The momentum broadening is defined as the probability of momentum increase by the hard parton after propagating through a medium.

² A similar relation takes place for shear viscosity and diffusion.

¹ The momentum broadening is defined as the probability of mo-

In this Letter we argue that the drag force and jet quenching parameter also has the imprint of universality analogous to (1) -(3). We show how they are related to the butterfly velocity and temperature. First of all, we obtain that in the holographic systems for small velocities v of charge carrier (quark), the properly normalized momentum loss dp_σ/dt is fixed by the butterfly velocity up to a first “relativistic”, i.e. v^3 term

$$dp_\sigma/dt = -v - \mathcal{B} \cdot v^3 + \dots, \quad \mathcal{B} = \frac{1}{(d-1)v_B^2}, \quad (5)$$

where we denote the normalization factor by σ . One may consider this identity as the microscopic manifestation of the relations between diffusion and butterfly velocity. It is worth noticing that, in principle, this relation allows to measure butterfly velocity in a straightforward manner in experimental setups with charge carriers. Moreover, we provide evidence that this result is robust against higher-derivative corrections on the gravity side and anisotropy.

To reveal a similar universal relation for \hat{q} one should remember that it is (especially sensitive) to anisotropy - we always have to specify two directions (direction of momentum broadening and direction where parton moves). Taking this into account, the relation similar to (2) and (3) can also be written down. We argue that the jet quenching can be expressed as

$$\hat{q}_y \sim \frac{T}{\left(v_B^{(y)}\right)^2} \sigma \quad (6)$$

where $v_B^{(y)}$ is the butterfly velocity along the momentum broadening direction y , T is the temperature and σ is the coefficient defining the leading order drag force coefficient acting on the projectiles (5). Another interpretation of σ is the “string tension” calculated from the asymptotic of spatial Wilson loops [27].

The organization of this Letter is as follows. First, we obtain (5) and discuss it, then turn to the jet quenching parameter and derive relation (6). In Supplemental Material [56] we provide all necessary details of calculations.

THE CHAOTIC ORIGIN OF THE DRAG FORCE

Our main focus is on the $d+1$ -dimensional metrics of the form

$$ds^2 = -g_{tt}dt^2 + g_{uu}du^2 + g_{ii}dx^i dx^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, d-1, \quad (7)$$

where we assume g_{ij} to be diagonal, and the horizon located at $u = u_h$ fixes the temperature and entropy density in dual theory

$$s = \frac{\sqrt{\det g}}{4G_N} \Big|_{u=u_h}, \quad T = \frac{\sqrt{(g_{tt})' (g^{uu})'}}{4\pi} \Big|_{u=u_h}, \quad (8)$$

where G_N is a gravitational constant.

Consider a heavy particle (quark or charge carrier) moving in the strongly interacting thermal medium with the temperature T at constant velocity v . According to holographic duality, the bulk description of this particle is given by a classical string hanging from the asymptotic AdS boundary. The particle worldline $x = v \cdot t$ fixes the boundary condition for this string, and this leads us to the string ansatz for the worldsheet $x(t, u)$ of the form

$$x(t, u) = vt + \xi(u). \quad (9)$$

As the particle moves through the medium, it experiences momentum loss dp/dt due to the drag force $F = dp/dt$. The calculation of this drag force is well known [9, 10] and the derivation details can be found in Supplemental Material [56]. As a result, one can get that the string dynamic depends on the special bulk point u_c fixed by the condition

$$(g_{tt} - g_{xx}v^2) \Big|_{u=u_c} = 0. \quad (10)$$

The momentum loss is defined by u_c as

$$\frac{dp_x}{dt} = -\frac{v}{2\pi\alpha'} g_{xx} \Big|_{u=u_c}, \quad (11)$$

where α' is the inverse string tension $T_f^{-1} = 2\pi\alpha'$. The solution of equation (10) can be found as a series

$$u_c = u_h - \frac{g_{xx}(u_h)}{g'_{tt}(u_h)} v^2 + \dots, \quad (12)$$

leading to the expression for the drag force

$$F = -\frac{v}{2\pi\alpha'} g_{xx}(u_h) - \frac{v^3}{2\pi\alpha'} \left(\frac{g'_{xx}}{g'_{tt}} \cdot g_{xx} \right) \Big|_{u=u_h} + \dots \quad (13)$$

Now turn to the chaotic properties of the holographic dual described by (7). There are different characteristics of quantum system relevant to quantum chaos recently proposed to be calculated [14–17] from the exponential growth of Hermitian operators commutators

$$\left\langle [\mathcal{O}_x(t_w), \mathcal{O}_y(0)]^2 \right\rangle_\beta \sim e^{\lambda_L(t_w - \tau_* - |x-y|/v_B)}. \quad (14)$$

Here scrambling time τ_* is the time of the chaos onset, butterfly velocity v_B defines the effective lightcone constraining the spatial chaos spreading and Lyapunov exponent λ_L is related to the chaotic features of time evolution. In holographic correspondence one can calculate³

³ See Supplemental Material [56] for derivation of the butterfly velocity and references.

τ_* , λ_L and v_B for the quantum system dual to (7) in terms of metric components values at the horizon u_h

$$\lambda_L = 2\pi T, \quad v_B^2 = \frac{g'_{tt}(u_h)}{g'_{xx}(u_h)(d-1)}. \quad (15)$$

Combining (8), (15), (13) and expanding for small v we obtain, that momentum loss dp/dt normalized by the leading order coefficient σ depends only on the butterfly velocity at the first sub-leading order in v

$$\frac{dp_\sigma}{dt} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{dp}{dt} = -v - v^3 \cdot \frac{1}{(d-1)v_B^2} + \dots, \quad (16)$$

where

$$\sigma = (2\pi\alpha')^{-1} g_{xx}(u_h), \quad (17)$$

and $p_\sigma = p/\sigma$ is the normalized momentum. A few comments are in order now

- We propose that using this formula, one can determine the butterfly velocity of the strongly interacting quantum system in the quite general experimental setup and for a wide range of quantum systems where the measurement of momentum loss is possible. The experimental setups and protocols allowing the measurement of butterfly velocity have been widely discussed previously [23–26]. The drag force relatively simple observable and our proposal can be applied to a quite broad range of quantum systems (at least those one with the massive charge carriers or (quasi)particle)
- The leading order coefficient σ can be interpreted as a so-called spatial string tension. This quantity determines the asymptotic behavior of the spatial Wilson loops with large spatial extents. This interpretation has been noticed first in [27] and studied further in [30, 31, 33]. If we consider gauge theories and the application to QGP, σ is responsible for chromomagnetic fluctuations in our medium. However, we would like to stress that one can avoid this interpretation and consider σ as the leading order drag force coefficient.
- An important issue in the identities like (1) or (4) is the robustness of such results against stringy corrections and anisotropy. It is known that KPSS bound (1) is violated by stringy corrections and anisotropy while BDS identity is robust. In Supplemental Material [56] we provide evidence that our proposal is robust against stringy corrections. It is also robust against the inclusion of anisotropy with a very mild modification. Namely, we derive that the butterfly velocity $v_B^{(i)}$ along the spatial direction i depends on the first and second drag force coefficients along different direction $F_i = -\sigma_i v - \mathcal{B}_i v^3$

as

$$v_B^{(i)} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_i^{-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \mathcal{B}_i \sigma_i^{-2}}}. \quad (18)$$

JET QUENCHING AND BUTTERFLY VELOCITY

Another interesting quantity called a *jet quenching parameter* \hat{q} also characterizes the energy and momentum loss of projectiles in a strongly interacting medium. In the studies of heavy-ions collisions and thermal QCD, the jet-quenching phenomena are called the disappearance or suppression of the bunch of hadrons resulting from the fragmentation of a parton after strong interaction leading to momentum loss in the dense medium (quark-gluon plasma). In general, the details of the mechanism of a jet energy loss depend on the medium properties. The jet quenching parameter can be defined in the perturbative framework and considered as a kind of transport coefficient. Also, it allows a non-perturbative definition in terms of adjoint light-like Wilson loop [11] useful in the gauge/gravity duality

$$\langle W^A(\mathcal{C}) \rangle \approx \exp \left[-\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \hat{q} L^- L^2 \right]. \quad (19)$$

Here L_- corresponds to the distance between the light-like parts of the contour \mathcal{C} and L between the transversal one with $L_- \gg L$. From the holographic viewpoint, this Wilson loop can be calculated by the string hanging from the light-like contour on the boundary, and we leave all details of calculations in Supplemental Material [56].

In general, we have in mind a dual metric of the form (7) and in particular, the geometries similar to the background with hyperscaling violation to make parallels with [20]. However, there are some possible subtleties related to the divergences stemming from UV structure of this kind of theory. It is worth stressing that while the stringy jet-quenching calculation is typically considered for a five-dimensional gravitational background, we assume an arbitrary space-time dimension. Also, we do not discuss the gravitational action that gives our metric as a solution and just takes quite a general form of ansatz because the jet-quenching formula depends only on metric details. Of course, one could meet some restrictions on the metric coefficients like in the hyperscaling violating theories.

We restrict our attention to d -dimensional metric (20) of the form

$$ds^2 = -\frac{f(z)}{z^{2\nu}} dt^2 + \frac{dz^2}{z^{2\nu_z} f(z)} + \frac{dx^2}{z^{2\nu}} + \frac{dy^2}{z^{2\nu_y}} + \sum_{\alpha} \frac{dx_{\alpha}^2}{z^{2\nu_{\alpha}}}, \quad (20)$$

and assume that the parton is moving along direction x while the momentum broadening occurs along y . We refer the reader to Supplemental Material [56] for the computational details and derivation of the formula for jet quenching parameter [37]⁴ which has the form

$$\hat{q}_y = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi\alpha'} \left(\int_0^{u_h} \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \sqrt{\frac{g_{uu}}{g_{--}}} du \right)^{-1}, \quad g_{--} = \frac{g_{xx} - g_{tt}}{2}, \quad (21)$$

for a general class of anisotropic metrics (7). The jet quenching parameter temperature dependence corresponding to the isotropic background with $\nu = \nu_t = \nu_z = \nu_y = \nu_\alpha = 1$ derived first in [11] has the form

$$q = \mathcal{B}_0 \cdot T^3, \quad (22)$$

where \mathcal{B}_0 is some constant. The butterfly velocity for this choice of parameters is temperature-independent. Thus it is not clear whether the jet quenching is related to it. One should notice that from the very beginning, jet quenching is intrinsically sensitive to anisotropy (see [37–39, 45, 46] for holographic studies of jet quenching parameter in the presence of anisotropy). We have to specify two directions for jet quenching in contrast to the drag force and conductivity. To reveal some non-trivial relation between \hat{q} and chaotic characteristics, we focus on the jet quenching for some particular but still quite general anisotropic metric with $\nu_t = \nu_x = \nu$. We consider two different butterfly velocities $v_B^{(x)}$ and $v_B^{(y)}$ associated with spatial directions x and y respectively⁵. For metric (20) they have the form

$$v_B^{(x)} = \sqrt{\frac{a}{2(\sum_i \nu_i)}}, \quad v_B^{(y)} = \sqrt{\frac{a}{2(\sum_i \nu_i)}} z_h^{\nu_y - \nu}, \quad (23)$$

where \sum_i is the summation over all spatial ν_i . For our choice of anisotropic exponents the jet quenching parameter depends on z_h as

$$q = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \mathcal{B} \cdot z_h^{-2\nu_y + \nu_z - \nu - 1}, \quad (24)$$

where \mathcal{B} depends only on exponents ν_x, ν, ν_z and space-time dimension a (see Supplemental Material [56] for the explicit form of \mathcal{B}). We are looking for the relation between the jet quenching parameter and butterfly velocity supplemented with the additional characteristic depending on the inverse string tension. The equation (24) being combined with the temperature of the metric (20)

$$T = \frac{a z_h^{\nu_z - \nu - 1}}{4\pi}, \quad (25)$$

and butterfly velocity $v_B^{(y)}$ defined by (23) results in the relation

$$q_y = \mathcal{A} \cdot \frac{T}{\left(v_B^{(y)}\right)^2} \sigma, \quad (26)$$

between the jet quenching parameter, the square of butterfly velocity $v_B^{(y)}$, and the leading order drag force coefficient (17). The constant \mathcal{A} depends only on the dimension a and the infrared exponents ν as it should be if we are looking for the analog of (3) or (4). Again one can interpret σ as the string tension calculated from the asymptotic behavior of the spatial Wilson loop. However, it seems more natural here to consider σ in terms of the drag force acting on the projectiles.

As we mentioned before, the jet quenching probes very different scales of the system: an initial fragmentation of parton which is weakly-coupled and late-time interaction of jets with a thermal medium which needs non-perturbative description [22]. The relation (26) involves the thermodynamics (temperature T) and late time drag force coefficient σ , which defines the dynamics of slowly moving projectile. As we have shown before on the intermediate non-perturbative scales, the drag force acting on the projectile is described by the butterfly velocity which is also present in (26).

DISCUSSION

In summary, we have obtained two relations between probes in strongly coupled quantum theory and butterfly velocity v_B . Both results are obtained in the framework of holographic correspondence. Firstly, we have shown that the sub-leading (i.e. first “relativistic”) coefficient in the drag force is fixed by butterfly velocity v_B . This leads us to the possibility of measuring butterfly velocity by experimental study of the velocity dependence for momentum loss of charge carriers in strongly coupled theories. Secondly, analogous to the charge diffusion constant [20], the jet quenching coefficient is defined by anisotropic butterfly velocity, temperature, and leading order drag force coefficient up to some constant. The jet quenching results are obtained for quite general theory dual to a metric similar to anisotropic hyperscaling geometry. The presented results indicate that these quantities in strongly coupled theories and, particularly, quark-gluon plasma are governed by butterfly velocity and thermodynamic quantities.

Let us briefly discuss possible future extensions of this work

- It would be interesting to extend the understanding of the relation between drag force and butterfly velocity in different directions, such as the corrections

⁴ Notice the sign in the definition of g_{tt} component.

⁵ A brief review concerning the derivation of the anisotropic butterfly velocities can be found in Supplemental Material [56]

caused by quark mass or other drag force proposals [28] (for review of quark dynamic holographic description see [29]). Also, it is interesting to consider the anisotropic background where particle moves in an arbitrary direction and study a similar relation for the butterfly velocity and Wilson loops [31–33].

- Another prospective question is to consider the phenomenological implications of our identities using the known backgrounds reproducing experimental results concerning drag force and jet quenching (see for example [28, 47]).
- Finally, the intriguing direction to study is the relation of chaos to other probes of QGP, including hot wind [48], glueball spectrum [49] or particles production multiplicity [50, 51]. An interesting proposal revealing some relation between Lyapunov exponent and QCD recently appeared [52–55], and it would be very interesting to find the connection between our proposal and described in these papers.

* ageev@mi-ras.ru

- [1] J. Casalderrey-Solana, H. Liu, D. Mateos, K. Rajagopal and U. A. Wiedemann, “Gauge/String Duality, Hot QCD and Heavy Ion Collisions,” [arXiv:1101.0618 [hep-th]].
- [2] I. Y. Aref’eva, “Holographic approach to quark–gluon plasma in heavy ion collisions,” *Phys. Usp.* **57**, 527-555 (2014)
- [3] O. DeWolfe, S. S. Gubser, C. Rosen and D. Teaney, “Heavy ions and string theory,” *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **75**, 86-132 (2014)
- [4] S. A. Hartnoll, A. Lucas and S. Sachdev, “Holographic quantum matter,” [arXiv:1612.07324 [hep-th]].
- [5] J. Zaanen, Y. Liu, Y. W. Sun and K. Schalm, (2015). Holographic duality in condensed matter physics. Cambridge University Press.
- [6] M. Rangamani and T. Takayanagi, “Holographic Entanglement Entropy,” *Lect. Notes Phys.* **931**, pp.1-246 (2017) [arXiv:1609.01287 [hep-th]].
- [7] P. Kovtun, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, “Viscosity in strongly interacting quantum field theories from black hole physics,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 111601 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0405231 [hep-th]].
- [8] G. Policastro, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, “The Shear viscosity of strongly coupled N=4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills plasma,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 081601 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0104066 [hep-th]].
- [9] S. S. Gubser, “Drag force in AdS/CFT,” *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 126005 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0605182 [hep-th]].
- [10] C. P. Herzog, A. Karch, P. Kovtun, C. Kozcaz and L. G. Yaffe, “Energy loss of a heavy quark moving through N=4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills plasma,” *JHEP* **07**, 013 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0605158 [hep-th]].
- [11] H. Liu, K. Rajagopal and U. A. Wiedemann, “Calculating the jet quenching parameter from AdS/CFT,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 182301 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0605178 [hep-ph]].
- [12] A. Karch and A. O’Bannon, “Metallic AdS/CFT,” *JHEP* **09**, 024 (2007) [arXiv:0705.3870 [hep-th]].
- [13] S. A. Hartnoll, “Theory of universal incoherent metallic transport,” *Nature Phys.* **11**, 54 (2015) [arXiv:1405.3651 [cond-mat.str-el]].
- [14] A. I., Larkin, Y. N. Ovchinnikov, Y. N. (1969). Quasi-classical method in the theory of superconductivity. *Sov Phys JETP*, 28(6), 1200-1205.
- [15] S. H. Shenker and D. Stanford, “Black holes and the butterfly effect,” *JHEP* **03**, 067 (2014) [arXiv:1306.0622 [hep-th]].
- [16] D. A. Roberts, D. Stanford and L. Susskind, *JHEP* **03**, 051 (2015) [arXiv:1409.8180 [hep-th]].
- [17] S. H. Shenker and D. Stanford, “Multiple Shocks,” *JHEP* **12**, 046 (2014) [arXiv:1312.3296 [hep-th]].
- [18] J. Maldacena, S. H. Shenker and D. Stanford, “A bound on chaos,” *JHEP* **1608**, 106 (2016) [arXiv:1503.01409 [hep-th]].
- [19] S. H. Shenker and D. Stanford, “Stringy effects in scrambling,” *JHEP* **05**, 132 (2015) [arXiv:1412.6087 [hep-th]].
- [20] M. Blake, “Universal Charge Diffusion and the Butterfly Effect in Holographic Theories,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, no.9, 091601 (2016) [arXiv:1603.08510 [hep-th]].
- [21] M. Blake, R. A. Davison and S. Sachdev, “Thermal diffusivity and chaos in metals without quasiparticles,” *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, no.10, 106008 (2017) [arXiv:1705.07896 [hep-th]].
- [22] J. Casalderrey-Solana, D. C. Gulhan, J. G. Milhano, D. Pablos and K. Rajagopal, “The angular structure of jet quenching within a hybrid strong/weak coupling model,” *Nucl. Part. Phys. Proc.* **289-290**, 359-362 (2017) [arXiv:1612.09238 [hep-ph]].
- [23] B. Swingle, G. Bentsen, M. Schleier-Smith and P. Hayden, “Measuring the scrambling of quantum information,” *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, no.4, 040302 (2016) [arXiv:1602.06271 [quant-ph]].
- [24] N. Y. Yao, F. Grusdt, B. Swingle, M. D. Lukin, D. M. Stamper-Kurn, J. E. Moore and E. A. Demler, “Interferometric Approach to Probing Fast Scrambling,” [arXiv:1607.01801 [quant-ph]].
- [25] M. Gärttner, J. G. Bohnet, A. Safavi-Naini, M. L. Wall, J. J. Bollinger and A. M. Rey, “Measuring out-of-time-order correlations and multiple quantum spectra in a trapped ion quantum magnet,” *Nature Phys.* **13**, 781 (2017) [arXiv:1608.08938 [quant-ph]].
- [26] J. Li, R. Fan, H. Wang, B. Ye, B. Zeng, H. Zhai, X. Peng and J. Du, “Measuring Out-of-Time-Order Correlators on a Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Quantum Simulator,” *Phys. Rev. X* **7**, no.3, 031011 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.7.031011 [arXiv:1609.01246 [cond-mat.str-el]].
- [27] S. J. Sin and I. Zahed, “Ampere’s Law and Energy Loss in AdS/CFT Duality,” *Phys. Lett. B* **648**, 318-322 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0606049 [hep-ph]].
- [28] A. Ficnar, S. S. Gubser and M. Gyulassy, “Shooting String Holography of Jet Quenching at RHIC and LHC,” *Phys. Lett. B* **738**, 464-471 (2014) [arXiv:1311.6160 [hep-ph]].
- [29] M. Chernicoff, J. A. Garcia, A. Guijosa and J. F. Pedraza, “Holographic Lessons for Quark Dynamics,” *J. Phys. G* **39**, 054002 (2012) doi:10.1088/0954-3899/39/5/054002 [arXiv:1111.0872 [hep-th]].

- [30] O. Andreev, “Drag Force on Heavy Quarks and Spatial String Tension,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **33**, no.06, 1850041 (2018) [arXiv:1707.05045 [hep-ph]].
- [31] I. Aref’eva, “Holography for Nonperturbative Study of QFT,” *Phys. Part. Nucl.* **51**, no.4, 489-496 (2020)
- [32] I. Y. Aref’eva, A. Ermakov and P. Slepov, “Direct photons emission rate and electric conductivity in fully anisotropic QGP holographic model with first order phase transition,” [arXiv:2104.14582 [hep-th]].
- [33] I. Y. Aref’eva, K. Rannu and P. Slepov, “Energy Loss in Holographic Anisotropic Model for Heavy Quarks in External Magnetic Field,” [arXiv:2012.05758 [hep-th]].
- [34] R. G. Cai, “Gauss-Bonnet black holes in AdS spaces,” *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 084014 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0109133 [hep-th]].
- [35] K. B. Fadafan, “ R^2 curvature-squared corrections on drag force,” *JHEP* **12**, 051 (2008) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/12/051 [arXiv:0803.2777 [hep-th]].
- [36] J. F. Vazquez-Poritz, “Drag force at finite ’t Hooft coupling from AdS/CFT,” [arXiv:0803.2890 [hep-th]].
- [37] D. Giataganas, “Probing strongly coupled anisotropic plasma,” *JHEP* **07**, 031 (2012) [arXiv:1202.4436 [hep-th]].
- [38] M. Chernicoff, D. Fernandez, D. Mateos and D. Trancanelli, “Jet quenching in a strongly coupled anisotropic plasma,” *JHEP* **08**, 041 (2012) [arXiv:1203.0561 [hep-th]].
- [39] A. Rebhan and D. Steineder, “Probing Two Holographic Models of Strongly Coupled Anisotropic Plasma,” *JHEP* **08**, 020 (2012) [arXiv:1205.4684 [hep-th]].
- [40] W. Fischler, V. Jahnke and J. F. Pedraza, “Chaos and entanglement spreading in a non-commutative gauge theory,” *JHEP* **11**, 072 (2018) [erratum: *JHEP* **02**, 149 (2021)] [arXiv:1808.10050 [hep-th]].
- [41] V. Jahnke, “Delocalizing entanglement of anisotropic black branes,” *JHEP* **01**, 102 (2018) [arXiv:1708.07243 [hep-th]].
- [42] U. Gürsoy, M. Järvinen, G. Nijs and J. F. Pedraza, “On the interplay between magnetic field and anisotropy in holographic QCD,” *JHEP* **03**, 180 (2021) [arXiv:2011.09474 [hep-th]].
- [43] M. Mezei and D. Stanford, “On entanglement spreading in chaotic systems,” *JHEP* **05**, 065 (2017) [arXiv:1608.05101 [hep-th]].
- [44] V. Jahnke, “Recent developments in the holographic description of quantum chaos,” *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2019**, 9632708 (2019) doi:10.1155/2019/9632708 [arXiv:1811.06949 [hep-th]].
- [45] D. S. Ageev, I. Y. Aref’eva, A. A. Golubtsova and E. Gourgoulhon, “Thermalization of holographic Wilson loops in spacetimes with spatial anisotropy,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **931**, 506-536 (2018) [arXiv:1606.03995 [hep-th]].
- [46] D. Ageev, “Holographic Wilson loops in anisotropic quark-gluon plasma.,” *EPJ Web Conf.* **125**, 04007 (2016)
- [47] U. Gürsoy, E. Kiritsis, G. Michalogiorgakis and F. Nitti, “Thermal Transport and Drag Force in Improved Holographic QCD,” *JHEP* **12**, 056 (2009) [arXiv:0906.1890 [hep-ph]].
- [48] H. Liu, K. Rajagopal and U. A. Wiedemann, “An AdS/CFT Calculation of Screening in a Hot Wind,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 182301 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0607062 [hep-ph]].
- [49] A. Karch, E. Katz, D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, “Linear confinement and AdS/QCD,” *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 015005 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0602229 [hep-ph]].
- [50] S. S. Gubser, S. S. Pufu and A. Yarom, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 066014 (2008) [arXiv:0805.1551 [hep-th]].
- [51] I. Y. Aref’eva, A. A. Bagrov and L. V. Joukovskaya, “Critical Trapped Surfaces Formation in the Collision of Ultrarelativistic Charges in (A)dS,” *JHEP* **03**, 002 (2010) [arXiv:0909.1294 [hep-th]].
- [52] J. de Boer, E. Lladrés, J. F. Pedraza and D. Vegh, “Chaotic strings in AdS/CFT,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, no.20, 201604 (2018) [arXiv:1709.01052 [hep-th]].
- [53] T. Akutagawa, K. Hashimoto, K. Murata and T. Ota, “Chaos of QCD string from holography,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, no.4, 046009 (2019) [arXiv:1903.04718 [hep-th]].
- [54] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio and N. Losacco, “Chaos in a $Q\bar{Q}$ system at finite temperature and baryon density,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, no.7, 074016 (2020) [arXiv:2007.06980 [hep-ph]].
- [55] Y. Liu and I. Zahed, “Entanglement in Regge scattering using the AdS/CFT correspondence,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, no.4, 046005 (2019) [arXiv:1803.09157 [hep-ph]].
- [56] Supplemental Material of this paper

Supplemental material for “Deterministic chaos and fractal entropy scaling in 2d Floquet CFT”

Dmitry S. Ageev, Andrey A. Bagrov, and Askar A. Iliasov

DRAG FORCE AND JET QUENCHING

Drag force calculation

We consider a (quasi)particle moving with constant velocity v through some strongly interacting quantum system and experiencing the drag force due to interaction with it. A quark moving through a strongly interacting quark-gluon plasma formed in the heavy-ion collision was the first model of this type described in holography [S1, S2]. In the holographic correspondence, one typically considers a quantum system at finite temperature or finite chemical potential, which is dual to the background with horizon and $d + 1$ -dimensional metric of the form

$$ds^2 = -g_{tt}dt^2 + g_{uu}du^2 + g_{ii}dx^i dx^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, d-1 \quad (S1)$$

where

$$g_{tt} \sim c_0 (u - u_h) \Big|_{u \rightarrow u_h}, \quad g_{uu} = \frac{c_1}{(u - u_h)} \Big|_{u \rightarrow u_h}. \quad (S2)$$

A holographic dual of the particle (quark) is the classical string and the ansatz for it is taken in the form

$$x(t, u) = vt + \xi(u), \quad \xi(u) \Big|_{u \rightarrow u_{bnd}} = 0, \quad (S3)$$

such that at the asymptotic boundary $u \rightarrow u_{bnd}$ the string swipes the particle worldline $x = v \cdot t$. The Nambu-Goto action for this ansatz is

$$S = -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int \mathcal{L} d\tau d\sigma, \quad \mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-\det g}, \quad (S4)$$

and it can be written down explicitly in terms of metric components

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-\det g} = \sqrt{-g_{uu}g_{tt} - g_{uu}g_{xx}v^2 - g_{xx}g_{tt} \cdot \xi'^2(u)},$$

where we use the gauge $\sigma = u, \tau = t$. The equations of motion for $\xi(u)$ imply that

$$\pi_X = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \xi'} = \frac{g_{xx}g_{tt} \xi'}{\sqrt{-g}} \quad (S5)$$

is a constant. The solution¹ of this equation with respect to ξ' has the form

$$(\xi')^2 = -\pi_\xi^2 \frac{g_{uu} (g_{tt} - g_{xx}v^2)}{g_{xx}g_{tt} (g_{xx}g_{tt} + \pi_X^2)}, \quad (S6)$$

and the special bulk point u_c defined by

$$(g_{tt} - g_{xx}v^2) \Big|_{u=u_c} = 0, \quad (S7)$$

the numerator in (S6) changes the sign. This condition fixes

$$\pi_X^2 = -g_{xx}g_{tt} \Big|_{u=u_c} = v^2 g_{xx}^2 \Big|_{u=u_c}, \quad (S8)$$

where we used (S7). The current density for momentum along direction x is given by

$$P_x = -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} g_{x\nu} g^{u\beta} \partial_\beta X^\nu = -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \frac{g_{xx}g_{tt} \xi'}{\det g}, \quad (S9)$$

and it is related to the quark momentum loss as

$$\frac{dp_x}{dt} = \sqrt{-\det g} P_x = -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \frac{g_{xx}g_{tt} \xi'}{\sqrt{\det g}}, \quad (S10)$$

which after some algebra simplifies to

$$\frac{dp_x}{dt} = -\frac{\pi_X}{2\pi\alpha'} = -\frac{v}{2\pi\alpha'} g_{xx} \Big|_{u=u_c}. \quad (S11)$$

Typically the equation (S7) cannot be solved exactly, and the solution can be found as a series in v . However, there are some important cases when the exact solution can be found explicitly. The simplest well-known answer for the drag-force temperature and velocity dependence corresponds to the AdS -black hole solutions in $d + 1$ -dimensional Einstein gravity with the metric

$$f(u) = 1 - u^d/u_h^d, \quad (S12)$$

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{u^2} \left(-f(u)dt^2 + \frac{du^2}{f(u)} + dx^2 \right), \quad (S13)$$

with the exact solution for drag force

$$F = -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \frac{16\pi^2 T^2}{d^2} \frac{v}{(1-v^2)^{2/d}}. \quad (S14)$$

Expanding up to the sub-leading order in v and normalizing on the coefficient in front of the leading order term

$$F \approx -v - \frac{2}{d} v^3 \quad (S15)$$

we find, that according to our main proposal the sub-leading coefficient is given by the inverse square root of butterfly velocity v_B for dual of (S12), where

$$\frac{2}{d} = \frac{1}{v_B^2} \frac{1}{(d-1)} \quad v_B = \sqrt{\frac{d}{2(d-1)}}. \quad (S16)$$

¹ In this solution π_ξ is some constant unimportant for our purpose.

It is interesting to take a look at what happens if we include a higher-derivative correction to a background (S12). On the boundary side this corresponds to the inverse 't Hooft coupling corrections. For simplicity, let us focus on the five-dimensional background considered explicitly in [S9, S10]. The *AdS* black hole with stringy corrections included is the solution of Gauss-Bonnet gravity [S8] and has the form

$$ds^2 = -N^2 \frac{r^2}{R^2} f(r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\frac{r^2}{R^2} f(r)} + \frac{r^2}{R^2} d\vec{x}^2 \quad (\text{S17})$$

where

$$f(r) = \frac{1}{2\lambda_{\text{GB}}} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\lambda_{\text{GB}} \left(1 - \frac{mR^2}{r^4} \right)} \right), \quad (\text{S18})$$

and

$$N^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\lambda_{\text{GB}}} \right) \quad (\text{S19})$$

After straightforward calculation [S7, S9, S10], we obtain that the drag force for this case is given by

$$F(\lambda_{\text{GB}}) = - \left(\frac{\pi\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} T_{\text{GB}}^2 \right) \frac{v}{\sqrt{N^4 - N^2 v^2 + \lambda_{\text{GB}} v^4}}. \quad (\text{S20})$$

where λ is 't Hooft coupling and

$$T_{\text{GB}} = \frac{Nr_h}{\pi R^2}. \quad (\text{S21})$$

Expanding (S20) we obtain the expression for normalized drag force in five-dimensional theory with stringy corrections

$$F = -v - \frac{1}{2N^2} v^3 \quad (\text{S22})$$

which implies that our proposal is consistent with the butterfly velocity calculated in [S4]

$$v_B^2 = \frac{2}{3} N^2. \quad (\text{S23})$$

Finally, let us comment on the relation between drag force and butterfly velocity in quantum systems with spatial anisotropy. Again we expand the dependence of the drag force acting on the charge carrier with the worldline $x_i = v \cdot t$ as

$$F_i = -\sigma_i v - \mathcal{B}_i v^3 + \dots \quad (\text{S24})$$

where

$$\sigma_i = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} g_{ii}(u_h), \quad \mathcal{B}_i = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \left(\frac{g'_{ii}}{g'_{tt}} \cdot g_{ii} \right) \Big|_{u=u_h} \quad (\text{S25})$$

and using the expression for anisotropic butterfly velocity (see below in the text) we obtain

$$v_B^{(i)} = \sqrt{\frac{g^{ii}(u_h) g'_{tt}(u_h)}{\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} g^{kk}(u_h) g'_{kk}(u_h)}}. \quad (\text{S26})$$

after some algebra we obtain, that butterfly velocity along the direction i in the presence of anisotropy is expressed in terms of σ_i and \mathcal{B}_i as

$$v_B^{(i)} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_i^{-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \mathcal{B}_i \sigma_i^{-2}}}. \quad (\text{S27})$$

Jet quenching

It is known [S3] that in some approximation, the jet quenching parameter \hat{q} admits a non-perturbative formulation as a particular light-like Wilson loop average. We consider the behavior of a Wilson loop stretched between two light-like lines which extend for a distance L_- and in the transverse direction situated at a distance L apart. In [S3], it was shown that the following relation takes place

$$\langle W^A(\mathcal{C}) \rangle \approx \exp^{-\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \hat{q} L^2 L_-}, \quad (\text{S28})$$

when \mathcal{C} is a rectangle with large extent L_- in light-like direction and small extent L in a transverse direction. This relation can serve as a definition of the jet quenching parameter \hat{q} .

The calculation of the jet-quenching parameter for anisotropic metric was presented in [S11], and we closely follow it. Again we start with the general form of the metric (S1) and choose the light-like contour (which corresponds to the direction where partons move) to be oriented along spatial coordinate x

$$x^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (t \pm x), \quad (\text{S29})$$

and the transverse direction corresponding to the momentum of radiated gluons to be y . In these coordinates the metric has the form

$$ds^2 = g_{--} (dx_+^2 + dx_-^2) + g_{+-} dx_+ dx_- + g_{ii(i \neq x)} dx_i^2 + g_{uu} du^2, \\ g_{--} = \frac{1}{2} (g_{tt} + g_{xx}), \quad g_{+-} = g_{tt} - g_{xx}.$$

According to our choice of string configuration we have the ansatz

$$x_- = \tau, \quad y = \sigma, \quad u = u(\sigma), \quad x_+, x_{i \neq x} \text{ are constant,}$$

for which the Nambu-Goto action has the form

$$S = 2 \frac{L_-}{2\pi\alpha'} \int_0^{L/2} d\sigma \sqrt{g_{--} (g_{uu} u'^2 + g_{yy})}.$$

After some algebra we obtain that the distance L can be expressed as

$$\frac{L}{2} = \int_0^{u_h} du \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{H}^2 g_{uu}}{(g_{yy} g_{--} - \mathcal{H}^2) g_{yy}}}, \quad (\text{S30})$$

where \mathcal{H} is defined by

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{g_{--}g_{yy}}{\sqrt{D}} = g_{--}g_{yy}\Big|_{u'=0}, \quad (\text{S31})$$

and in the last term we evaluate \mathcal{H} on the string turning point where $u' = 0$. Small \mathcal{H} corresponds to small distances L and leads us to the expression

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{L}{2} \left(\int_0^{u_h} du \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \sqrt{\frac{g_{uu}}{g_{--}}} \right)^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(L^3). \quad (\text{S32})$$

To eliminate the divergences related to self energy we extract the action of two straight strings

$$S_0 = \frac{2L}{2\pi\alpha'} \int_0^{u_h} du \sqrt{g_{--}g_{uu}}. \quad (\text{S33})$$

Final expression for the action of the string for small L can be written as

$$S = \frac{L-L_k^2}{8\pi\alpha'} \left(\int_0^{u_h} du \frac{1}{g_{kk}} \sqrt{\frac{g_{uu}}{g_{--}}} \right)^{-1}, \quad (\text{S34})$$

and bringing everything together, we can obtain that from the action difference $S - S_0$ for small L the formula for jet quenching parameter has the form

$$\hat{q}_y = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi\alpha'} \mathcal{I}^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{I} = \int_0^{u_h} \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \sqrt{\frac{g_{uu}}{g_{--}}} du. \quad (\text{S35})$$

where y denotes the direction of momentum broadening. Now let us calculate the integral \mathcal{I} for a particular metric considered in the main part of the paper

$$ds^2 = -\frac{f(z)}{z^{2\nu}} dt^2 + \frac{dz^2}{z^{2\nu_z} f(z)} + \frac{dx^2}{z^{2\nu}} + \frac{dy^2}{z^{2\nu_y}} + \sum_{\alpha} \frac{dx_{\alpha}^2}{z^{2\nu_{\alpha}}}, \quad (\text{S36})$$

where $f(z) = 1 - \left(\frac{z}{z_h}\right)^a$. For this metric \mathcal{I} can be calculated explicitly, and we are led with the dependence of jet quenching on the horizon location

$$q = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi\alpha'} \frac{a\Gamma\left(\frac{2\nu_y - \nu_z + \nu + 1}{a}\right)}{\sqrt{2\pi}\Gamma\left(\frac{-a + 4\nu_y - 2\nu_z + 2\nu + 2}{2a}\right)} \cdot z_h^{-2\nu_y + \nu_z - \nu - 1}. \quad (\text{S37})$$

BUTTERFLY VELOCITY

In general, there are two known calculations of butterfly velocity for anisotropic background leading to the same answer. The first one [S5, S6, S12, S13] is based on the computation of shockwave on the black hole horizon, and the second one [S14] refers to the subregion duality reconstruction proposed in [S15] (for a nice review of recent studies about quantum chaos see [S16]). In this appendix we briefly review both of these calculations and follow closely to these papers. For a more detailed exposition of each calculation we refer the reader to [S13] and [S14] for each method, respectively.

Shockwave calculation

Again we start with the metric of the form (S1) and introducing the Kruskal coordinates U and V

$$du_* = -\sqrt{\frac{g_{uu}}{g_{tt}}} du, \quad UV = -e^{\frac{4\pi}{\beta} u_*}, \quad \frac{U}{V} = -e^{-\frac{4\pi}{\beta} t}, \quad (\text{S38})$$

we rewrite (S1) in the form

$$ds^2 = 2A(U, V) dU dV + g_{ij}(U, V) dx^i dx^j, \quad (\text{S39})$$

$$A(U, V) = \beta^2 \frac{g_{tt}(U, V)}{8\pi^2} \frac{1}{UV}. \quad (\text{S40})$$

In the shockwave setup, we consider the backreaction of the metric (S39) on the null pulse of energy located at $U = 0$ and moving in the $V-$ direction with the speed of light. The pulse subdivides the spacetime into two regions L and R . The metric in the region R remains the same as (S39), whereas the null perturbation modifies the metric in L . For the isotropic metric the back-reaction can be obtained as a coordinate shift $V\theta(U) \rightarrow V + \alpha(t, x^i)$, where $\alpha(t, x^i)$ is the function to be determined from Einstein equations². For the anisotropic metric following the same logic, we take the ansatz for the shockwave geometry in the form

$$ds^2 = 2\hat{A}d\hat{U}d\hat{V} + \hat{g}_{ij}d\hat{x}^i d\hat{x}^j - 2\hat{A}\hat{\alpha}\delta(\hat{U})d\hat{U}^2, \quad (\text{S41})$$

and the shock stress-energy tensor

$$T^{\text{shock}} = E e^{2\pi t/\beta} \delta(\hat{U}) a(\hat{x}^i) d\hat{U}^2, \quad (\text{S42})$$

where E is the energy of the pulse and $a(\hat{x}^i)$ specifies the character of perturbation (for a localized perturbation the choice is $a(\hat{x}^i) = \delta(\hat{x}^i)$). Following the procedure of solution of Einstein equations described in [S13] we obtain the condition

$$g^{ij}(u_H) \left[A(u_H) \partial_i \partial_j - \frac{u_H}{2} g'_{ij}(u_H) \right] \alpha(t, x^i) = \quad (\text{S43})$$

$$= 8\pi G_N E e^{2\pi t/\beta} a(x^i), \quad (\text{S44})$$

defining α . The solution corresponding to i -th direction has the form

$$\alpha(t, z) \sim \exp \left[\frac{2\pi}{\beta} (t - t_*) - \mu_i \cdot x_i \right], \quad (\text{S45})$$

$$\mu_i^2 = \frac{u_H}{2A} g_{ii} \left(\sum_{j=1 \dots d-1} \frac{g'_{jj}}{g_{jj}} \right) \Bigg|_{u=u_H}. \quad (\text{S46})$$

² Here $\theta(U)$ is the Heaviside function and $\delta(x)$ is just an ordinary Dirac delta function. Also we redefine $\hat{U} = U$, $\hat{V} = V + \theta(U)$ and $\hat{x}^i = x^i$

Using this result, we find that different butterfly velocities $v_B^{(i)}$ associated with the spatial coordinate x_i are given in terms of the coefficient μ_i

$$v_B^{(i)} = \frac{2\pi}{\beta\mu_i}, \quad (\text{S47})$$

or explicitly in terms of metric components

$$v_B^{(i)} = \sqrt{\frac{g^{ii}(u_h)g'_{tt}(u_h)}{\sum_{k=1}^{d-1}g^{kk}(u_h)g'_{kk}(u_h)}}, \quad (\text{S48})$$

which for isotropic theory $g_{ij} = g_{xx}\delta_{ij}$ reduces to a single butterfly velocity

$$v_B^2 = \frac{g'_{tt}(u_h)}{g'_{xx}(u_h)(d-1)}. \quad (\text{S49})$$

Entanglement wedge calculation

An alternative method of butterfly velocity calculation is due to [S15] and we closely follow [S14] for a particular calculation corresponding to a general anisotropic metric. Instead of switching to the Kruskal coordinates, we start with the near-horizon expansion of the metric (S1), which has the form

$$ds^2 \simeq -\left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta}\right)^2 \rho^2 dt^2 + d\rho^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \left[g_{ii}(u_h) + \frac{g'_{ii}(u_h)}{g'_{tt}(u_h)} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta}\right)^2 \rho^2 \right] dx_i^2, \quad (\text{S50})$$

where Rindler coordinate ρ is defined as

$$(u_h - u) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta}\right)^2 \frac{\rho^2}{c_0}, \quad c_0 = -g'_{tt}(u_h). \quad (\text{S51})$$

The method of [S15] is based on the finding of the smallest entanglement wedge containing the particle at late times. Parametrizing Ryu-Takayanagi surface γ_A as $\rho(x^i)$ and fixing the local coordinates $\xi^i = x^i$, we obtain the area functional in the near-horizon region

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Area}(\gamma_A) &= \sqrt{\det g_{ij}(u_h)} \times \int d^{d-1}x \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta}\right)^2 \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{\rho^2}{g'_{tt}(u_h)} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{g'_{ii}(u_h)}{g_{ii}(u_h)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{(\partial_i \rho)^2}{g_{ii}(u_h)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S52})$$

The equations of motion for ρ corresponding to this functional have the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} g^{ii}(u_h) \partial_i^2 \rho(x^i) = \mu^2 \rho(x^i), \quad (\text{S53})$$

$$\mu^2 \equiv \frac{(2\pi/\beta)^2}{g'_{tt}(u_h)} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} g^{ii}(u_h) g'_{ii}(u_h), \quad (\text{S54})$$

and ρ has the solution in terms of the radius of closest approach to the horizon ρ_{\min} and modified Bessel function of the second kind

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\sigma^i) &= \rho_{\min} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{2^{-n}\mu^n} \frac{I_n(\mu|\sigma|)}{|\sigma|^n}, \\ n &= \frac{d-3}{2}, \quad x^i = \sqrt{g^{ii}(u_h)}\sigma^i, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S55})$$

where we also used the rescaled coordinates σ . We can estimate the size³ of the region A denoted by R_σ in σ^i coordinates denoted by solving the equation given by

$$\beta \simeq \rho_{\min} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{2^{-n}\mu^n} \frac{I_n(\mu R_\sigma)}{R_\sigma^n}, \quad (\text{S56})$$

where R_σ is the size of region A in the coordinates σ^i . The solution at large R_σ is:

$$\rho_{\min} \simeq e^{-\mu R_\sigma}. \quad (\text{S57})$$

Denoting by R_i the size of the region A along the x^i direction

$$R_i = \sqrt{g^{ii}(u_h)} R_\sigma, \quad (\text{S58})$$

and requiring that $\rho_{\min} \leq \rho(t)$, which is the condition that the infalling particle is contained inside the entanglement wedge, we obtain the relation from [S14] defining the butterfly velocity along each direction

$$R_i \geq v_B^{(i)} t, \quad v_B^{(i)} = \sqrt{\frac{g^{ii}(u_h)g'_{tt}(u_h)}{\sum_{k=1}^{d-1}g^{kk}(u_h)g'_{kk}(u_h)}}. \quad (\text{S59})$$

-
- [S1] S. S. Gubser, ‘‘Drag force in AdS/CFT,’’ Phys. Rev. D **74**, 126005 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0605182 [hep-th]].
 - [S2] C. P. Herzog, A. Karch, P. Kovtun, C. Kozcaz and L. G. Yaffe, ‘‘Energy loss of a heavy quark moving through N=4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills plasma,’’ JHEP **07**, 013 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0605158 [hep-th]].
 - [S3] H. Liu, K. Rajagopal and U. A. Wiedemann, ‘‘Calculating the jet quenching parameter from AdS/CFT,’’ Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 182301 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0605178 [hep-ph]].
 - [S4] D. A. Roberts, D. Stanford and L. Susskind, JHEP **03**, 051 (2015) [arXiv:1409.8180 [hep-th]].
 - [S5] M. Blake, ‘‘Universal Charge Diffusion and the Butterfly Effect in Holographic Theories,’’ Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, no.9, 091601 (2016) [arXiv:1603.08510 [hep-th]].
 - [S6] M. Blake, R. A. Davison and S. Sachdev, ‘‘Thermal diffusivity and chaos in metals without quasiparticles,’’ Phys. Rev. D **96**, no.10, 106008 (2017) [arXiv:1705.07896 [hep-th]].

³ We assume the size of A to be very large.

- [S7] A. Ficnar, S. S. Gubser and M. Gyulassy, “Shooting String Holography of Jet Quenching at RHIC and LHC,” *Phys. Lett. B* **738**, 464-471 (2014) [arXiv:1311.6160 [hep-ph]].
- [S8] R. G. Cai, “Gauss-Bonnet black holes in AdS spaces,” *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 084014 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0109133 [hep-th]].
- [S9] K. B. Fadafan, “ R^2 curvature-squared corrections on drag force,” *JHEP* **12**, 051 (2008) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/12/051 [arXiv:0803.2777 [hep-th]].
- [S10] J. F. Vazquez-Poritz, “Drag force at finite 't Hooft coupling from AdS/CFT,” [arXiv:0803.2890 [hep-th]].
- [S11] D. Giataganas, “Probing strongly coupled anisotropic plasma,” *JHEP* **07**, 031 (2012) [arXiv:1202.4436 [hep-th]].
- [S12] W. Fischler, V. Jahnke and J. F. Pedraza, “Chaos and entanglement spreading in a non-commutative gauge theory,” *JHEP* **11**, 072 (2018) [erratum: *JHEP* **02**, 149 (2021)] [arXiv:1808.10050 [hep-th]].
- [S13] V. Jahnke, “Delocalizing entanglement of anisotropic black branes,” *JHEP* **01**, 102 (2018) [arXiv:1708.07243 [hep-th]].
- [S14] U. Gürsoy, M. Järvinen, G. Nijs and J. F. Pedraza, “On the interplay between magnetic field and anisotropy in holographic QCD,” *JHEP* **03**, 180 (2021) [arXiv:2011.09474 [hep-th]].
- [S15] M. Mezei and D. Stanford, “On entanglement spreading in chaotic systems,” *JHEP* **05**, 065 (2017) [arXiv:1608.05101 [hep-th]].
- [S16] V. Jahnke, “Recent developments in the holographic description of quantum chaos,” *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2019**, 9632708 (2019) doi:10.1155/2019/9632708 [arXiv:1811.06949 [hep-th]].