

# UPPER LEVEL SETS OF LELONG NUMBERS ON $\mathbb{P}^2$ AND CUBIC CURVES

ALİ ULAŞ ÖZGÜR KİŞİSEL AND OZCAN YAZICI

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $T$  be a positive closed current of bidimension  $(1, 1)$  with unit mass on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and  $V_\alpha(T)$  be the upper level sets of Lelong numbers  $\nu(T, x)$  of  $T$ . For any  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{3}$ , we show that  $|V_\alpha(T) \setminus C| \leq 2$  for some cubic curve  $C$ .

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 32U05, 32U25, 32U35, 32U40.

**Keywords:** Positive closed currents, Lelong numbers, Cubic curves.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that  $T$  is a positive closed current of bidimension  $(1, 1)$  on the complex projective plane  $\mathbb{P}^2$  such that

$$\|T\| = \int_{\mathbb{P}^2} T \wedge \omega = 1$$

where  $\omega$  is the Fubini-Study form on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . The Lelong number of  $T$  at a point  $x \in \mathbb{P}^2$  is defined by

$$\nu(T, x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{B(x, r)} T \wedge \omega$$

where  $B(x, r)$  is the Euclidean ball with center  $x$  and radius  $r > 0$  (See [4] for equivalent definitions and properties of Lelong numbers).

For  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , upper level sets of Lelong numbers  $\nu(T, \cdot)$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} E_\alpha(T) &:= \{x \in \mathbb{P}^2 \mid \nu(T, x) \geq \alpha\} \\ V_\alpha(T) &:= \{x \in \mathbb{P}^2 \mid \nu(T, x) > \alpha\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is a remarkable result of Siu ([7]) that  $E_\alpha(T)$  is an analytic subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  of dimension at most 1 for any  $\alpha > 0$ . It follows from Chow's theorem that  $E_\alpha(T)$  is an algebraic variety.

In [1], Coman proved that if  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $|V_\alpha(T) \setminus L| \leq 1$  for some complex line  $L$  and if  $\alpha \geq \frac{2}{5}$ ,  $|V_\alpha(T) \setminus C| \leq 1$  for some conic. In [1, 3, 5, 6], further geometric results are obtained for the sets  $V_\alpha(T)$  where  $T$  is a positive closed current of bidimension  $(p, p)$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and  $p \geq 1$ . Our goal here is to understand the geometry of level sets  $V_\alpha(T)$  for smaller  $\alpha > 0$ . In this direction, we show that for any  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $|V_\alpha(T) \setminus C| \leq 2$  for some cubic curve  $C$ . More precisely, our main result is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $\alpha \geq 1/3$  then either*

- $|V_\alpha(T) \setminus C| \leq 1$  for some (possibly reducible) conic,
- or  $V_\alpha(T)$  is a finite set and  $|V_\alpha(T) \setminus C| \leq 2$  for some cubic  $C$ .

The proof of our main result is based on the construction of entire plurisubharmonic functions of logarithmic growth and with weighted logarithmic poles at some points of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (See Lemma 3.2). In doing so, we followed the ideas in [1], where such plurisubharmonic functions with smaller number of poles were constructed.

Date: February 17, 2022.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We say that a plurisubharmonic function  $u \in PSH(\mathbb{C}^n)$  has logarithmic growth if

$$\gamma_u = \lim_{\|z\| \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{u(z)}{\log \|z\|} < \infty.$$

A plurisubharmonic function  $u \in PSH(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is said to have logarithmic pole of weight  $\alpha > 0$  at  $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$  if

$$u(z) = \alpha \log \|z - p\| + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

in an open neighborhood of  $p$ .

In the following example, we show that the number  $1/3$  in the theorem is optimal.

**Example 2.1.** *Suppose that  $0 < \alpha < 1/3$ . Consider 6 generic lines  $L_1, \dots, L_6$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and consider the set  $S$  of 15 points of pairwise intersections of these lines. Let*

$$T := \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^6 [L_j]$$

*Then, clearly  $\|T\| = 1$ . For each  $p \in S$ , we have  $\nu(T, p) = 1/3$ . Therefore, since  $\alpha < 1/3$ , we must have  $p \in V_\alpha(T)$  and consequently  $S \subseteq V_\alpha(T)$ . Let us now show that  $V_\alpha(T)$  cannot be contained in the union of a cubic and two points: Let  $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_{15}\}$  and  $\Gamma$  be a cubic containing  $S$  except two points, say  $x_1, x_2$ . We may assume that  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  do not contain any of the points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Then Bezout's theorem shows that  $C = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L$  for some line  $L$ . But this implies that the 4 points in  $S \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \{x_1, x_2\})$  are collinear, which contradicts to the configuration of points of  $S$ .*

The following proposition plays an important role in our proof of the main theorem.

**Proposition 2.2** (Proposition 2.1 in [1]). *Let  $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $T$  be a positive closed current on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  of bidimension  $(1, 1)$  with unit mass. If  $u \in PSH(\mathbb{C}^n)$  has logarithmic growth, it is locally bounded outside a finite set, and  $u(z) \leq \alpha_j \log \|z - x_j\| + \mathcal{O}(1)$  for  $z$  near  $x_j$  where  $\alpha_j > 0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , then*

$$\sum_j^k \alpha_j \nu(T, x_j) \leq \gamma_u.$$

In order to construct a plurisubharmonic function  $u$  as in Proposition 2.2, we will use the following result of Coman-Nivoche.

**Theorem 2.3** (Theorem 4.1 in [2]). *Let  $r$  be a positive integer and  $P, Q$  be polynomials such that  $S = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 : P(z) = Q(z) = 0\}$  and for any  $x \in S$ ,  $\text{ord}(P, x) \geq r$ ,  $\text{ord}(Q, x) \geq r$  and the intersection number  $(P.Q)_x = r^2$ . Then*

$$u = \frac{1}{2r} \log(|P|^2 + |Q|^2)$$

*is a plurisubharmonic function in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with logarithmic growth, which is locally bounded outside of  $S$  and has logarithmic pole of weight 1 at the points of  $S$ .*

## 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

Assume from now on that  $S$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  such that  $|S| = 12$  where  $|S|$  denotes the number of points in  $S$ . Say  $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_{12}\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the subspace of  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z]$  containing all homogeneous polynomials  $P(X, Y, Z)$  of degree 6 such that  $P$  vanishes on all points of  $S$ , and the first partial derivatives of  $P$  vanish on  $x_1, \dots, x_6$ . Each of these vanishings imposes a linear condition on the

coefficients of  $P$ ; the totality of these conditions may or may not be linearly independent. We can then estimate the dimension of  $\mathcal{X}$  from below:

$$\dim(\mathcal{X}) \geq \binom{6+2}{2} - (12 + 2 \times 6) = 4$$

Recall that the space of all homogeneous cubic polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z]$  has dimension 10, therefore for any given 9 points in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , there exists a (possibly reducible) cubic curve passing through these points. Through the paper, by abuse of notation, for an algebraic curve  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ , the homogenous defining polynomial will also be denoted by  $C$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that there exist irreducible cubics  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that*

- $C_1$  contains  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9$
- $C_2$  contains  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_{10}, x_{11}, x_{12}$

*such that  $C_1$  does not contain  $x_{10}, x_{11}, x_{12}$  and  $C_2$  does not contain  $x_7, x_8, x_9$ . Then,  $\mathcal{X}$  contains two polynomials  $P, Q$  such that the common zero set of  $P$  and  $Q$  is discrete and contains  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P_1 = C_1 C_2$ . Then, it is clear that  $P_1$  belongs to  $\mathcal{X}$ . Since  $\dim(\mathcal{X}) \geq 4$ , we can choose  $P_2, P_3, P_4 \in \mathcal{X}$  such that the set  $\{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4\}$  is linearly independent. If for some  $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ , neither  $C_1$  nor  $C_2$  divide  $P_i$ , then we can take  $P = P_1$  and  $Q = P_i$ : In virtue of the irreducibility of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , it is clear that in this case  $P$  and  $Q$  will have no common components, so their common zero locus will be discrete; it will contain  $S$  as well by construction. Also, if both  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  divide  $P_i$  for some  $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$  then we obtain the contradiction  $P_1 = P_i$ , so from now on we may assume that this does not happen. Suppose now that  $C_1$  divides  $P_i$  and  $C_2$  divides  $P_j$  where  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ . Then  $P_i + P_j \in \mathcal{X}$  and neither  $C_1$  nor  $C_2$  divides  $P_i + P_j$ . Therefore, we can take  $P = P_1, Q = P_i + P_j$  in this case, and we are done.

The remaining case is when one of  $C_1$  or  $C_2$  divides  $P_2, P_3, P_4$ , and the other doesn't divide any of them. Suppose without loss of generality that  $C_1$  divides  $P_2, P_3$  and  $P_4$ . We can write  $P_2 = C_1 D_2, P_3 = C_1 D_3$  and  $P_4 = C_1 D_4$  where  $D_2, D_3, D_4$  are (possibly reducible) cubics. Since  $C_1$  does not contain  $x_{10}, x_{11}, x_{12}$ , each  $D_i$  must contain these three points. For  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ , if  $x_j$  is a smooth point of  $C_1$ , then in order for  $P_2, P_3$  and  $P_4$  to have order 2 at  $x_j$ , the cubics  $D_2, D_3$  and  $D_4$  must contain  $x_j$  (this cannot be inferred if  $x_j$  is a singular point of  $C_1$ ). Since  $C_1$  is an irreducible cubic by assumption, it can have at most one singular point. Therefore, without loss of generality we may assume that  $x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6$  are smooth points of  $C_1$ , hence they belong to  $D_2, D_3$  and  $D_4$ .

We now have 3 cubics  $D_2, D_3, D_4$ , each of which intersects the irreducible cubic  $C_2$  at a set of points containing the 8 distinct points  $x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_{10}, x_{11}, x_{12}$ . By Bezout's theorem, the total intersection number of  $D_2$  and  $C_2$  is 9. If there is a 9th intersection point  $x^*$  of  $D_2$  and  $C_2$  distinct from the previous 8 points, then by Cayley-Bacharach theorem,  $D_3$  must also contain  $x^*$ . But then, by Noether's AF+BG theorem,  $D_3$  must lie on the pencil through  $C_2$  and  $D_2$ , namely there exists  $[\alpha : \beta] \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  such that  $D_3 = \alpha C_2 + \beta D_2$ . This would imply that  $P_3 = \alpha P_1 + \beta P_2$ , contradicting the linear independence of  $\{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4\}$ . The only other possibility is that  $C_2$  and  $D_2$  have intersection number 2 at one of the 8 intersection points, without loss of generality at  $x_2$ . Then we can assume that the intersection numbers  $\mu_{x_2}(C_2, D_3)$  and  $\mu_{x_2}(C_2, D_4)$  are also equal to 2; else a generic member of the pencil through  $D_2$  and one of  $D_3$  or  $D_4$  will intersect  $C_2$  in 9 distinct points, and we can repeat the argument in the beginning of this paragraph by using this generic member instead of  $D_2$ .

To resolve this final case, note that  $\mu_{x_2}(C_2, D_2) = 2$  is the dimension of the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2, x_2}/(C_2, D_2)$  where  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2, x_2}$  denotes the local ring of the projective plane at  $x_2$ . Denoting by  $m_{\mathbb{P}^2, x_2}$  its maximal ideal, we observe that  $m_{\mathbb{P}^2, x_2}/(C_2, D_2)$  is 1-dimensional. But now, both  $D_3$  and  $D_4$  contain  $x_2$ , hence their representatives in this local ring both belong to  $m_{\mathbb{P}^2, x_2}$ . Hence, their equivalence classes in  $m_{\mathbb{P}^2, x_2}/(C_2, D_2)$  will be linearly dependent. This implies that there exists

$[\alpha : \beta] \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  such that the equivalence class of  $\alpha D_3 + \beta D_4$  is zero in all  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2, p}/(C_2, D_2)$ , where  $p$  ranges over all intersection points of  $C_2$  and  $D_2$ . By Noether's AF+BG theorem again, we deduce that  $\alpha D_3 + \beta D_4$  lies on the pencil through  $C_2$  and  $D_2$ . This contradicts the linear independence of  $\{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4\}$  in this final case as well, finishing the proof.  $\square$

By  $m_j(S)$ , we denote the maximum number of points of  $S$  which are contained in an algebraic curve of degree  $j$ . For a finite generic subset  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  which contains at least 9 points,  $m_1(S) = 2$ ,  $m_2(S) = 5$  and  $m_3(S) = 9$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  such that  $|S| = 12$  and  $m_3(S) = 9$ . Then there exists a  $u \in PSH(\mathbb{C}^2)$  of logarithmic growth which is locally bounded outside a finite set and satisfies one of the following:*

- $\gamma_u = 6$  and  $u$  has logarithmic poles at the points of  $S$  of total weight 18.
- $\gamma_u = 5$  and  $u$  has logarithmic poles at the points of  $S$  of total weight 15.
- $\gamma_u = 4$  and  $u$  has logarithmic poles at the points of  $S$  of total weight 12.
- $\gamma_u = 3$  and  $u$  has logarithmic poles at the points of  $S$  of total weight 9.

*Proof.* We will consider the different cases for the configurations of points of  $S$ . Since  $m_3(S) = 9$ , we have  $5 \leq m_2(S) \leq 7$ . Also note that  $m_1(S) \leq m_2(S) - 2$ .

**Case 1.**  $m_2(S) = 5$ . Consider the cubics  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  passing through

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9$$

and

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_{10}, x_{11}, x_{12}$$

respectively. Since  $m_2(S) = 5$ , we have  $m_1(S) \leq 3$ . This implies that  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are irreducible cubics. Since  $m_3(S) = 9$ ,  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  does not contain any other point of  $S$ . Then we choose  $P, Q$  as in Lemma 3.1. By Theorem 2.3,  $u = \frac{1}{2} \log(|P|^2 + |Q|^2)$  is a plurisubharmonic function which has logarithmic poles at the points of  $S$  of total weight 18, locally bounded outside a finite set and  $\gamma_u = 6$ .

**Case 2.**  $m_2(S) = 6, m_1(S) \leq 3$ . Consider the cubics  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  passing through

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9$$

and

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_{10}, x_{11}, x_{12}$$

respectively. If  $m_1(S) = 2$  then  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are irreducible and we return Case 1. Otherwise, we may assume that  $m_1(S) = 3$  and  $C_1$  is reducible. Then  $C_1 = l_1 \cup C$  where  $l_1$  is a line through 3 points of  $\{x_1, \dots, x_9\}$  and  $C$  is a conic (possibly reducible) through the remaining 6 points of  $\{x_1, \dots, x_9\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the subspace of  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z]$  containing all homogeneous polynomials  $P(X, Y, Z)$  of degree 6 such that  $P$  vanishes on all points of  $S$ , and vanishes to second order at the points  $x_1, \dots, x_6$ . Then we take  $P_1 = C_1 C_2 \in \mathcal{X}$  and by counting the dimension of  $\mathcal{X}$ , we find another  $P_2 \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are linearly independent. If  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  have no common factor then  $u = \frac{1}{2} \log(|P_1|^2 + |P_2|^2)$  is a plurisubharmonic function which has logarithmic poles at the points of  $S$  of total weight 18, locally bounded outside a finite set and  $\gamma_u = 6$ .

Otherwise, we first assume that  $P_2$  is divisible by  $l_1$ . Then  $P_2 = l_1 \gamma$  where  $\gamma$  is a curve of degree 5 which passes through the 15 points (counting multiplicity) of  $S \setminus l_1$ . If  $\gamma$  and  $CC_2$  have no common factor then  $u = \frac{1}{2} \log(|\gamma|^2 + |CC_2|^2)$  is a plurisubharmonic function which has logarithmic poles at the points of  $S$  of total weight 15, locally bounded outside a finite set and  $\gamma_u = 5$ . Otherwise,  $\gamma$  is divisible by  $C$  or  $C_2$  or an irreducible factor of  $C$  or  $C_2$ . If  $C$  divides  $\gamma$  then  $\gamma = C\gamma'$  where  $\gamma'$  is a cubic which shares the same 9 points of  $S$  with  $C_2$ . If  $\gamma'$  and  $C_2$  have no common factor then  $u = \frac{1}{2} \log(|\gamma'|^2 + |C_2|^2)$  is a plurisubharmonic function which has logarithmic poles at the points of  $S$  of total weight 9, locally bounded outside a finite set and  $\gamma_u = 3$ . Otherwise,  $\gamma'$  and  $C_2$  either

have a degree 1 or degree 2 common factor. If they have a degree 2 common factor, then it must contain 6 points of  $S$ , so the remaining lines share the same 3 points, so they coincide, showing that  $\gamma' = C_2$ . If they have a degree 1 common factor, then it must contain 3 points of  $S$ , so the remaining conics share the same 6 points; these conics then coincide by applying Bezout's theorem (regardless of whether the conic is irreducible or reducible). In all cases,  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  become linearly dependent, causing a contradiction. A similar argument works if a component of  $C$  or  $C_2$  divides  $\gamma$ .

In the case that  $P_2$  is divisible by  $C$  or a component of  $C$  or  $C_2$  or a component of  $C_2$ , the same discussion follows as above.

**Case 3.**  $m_2(S) = 6$  and  $m_1(S) = 4$ . Let  $l$  be the unique line containing 4 points for  $S$ , say  $x_9, x_{10}, x_{11}, x_{12}$ . We consider the cubics  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  passing through

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_9, x_{10},$$

and

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_8, x_{11}, x_{12}$$

respectively. If both  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are irreducible then we return Case 1. Otherwise, we may assume that  $C_1$  is reducible, say  $C_1 = l_1 \cup C$  where  $l_1$  is a line and  $C$  is a conic. Since  $m_2(S) = 6$ , the line  $l_1$  must contain at least 3 points out of the 9 points of  $S$  that  $C_1$  contains, consequently  $l_1 \neq l$  since otherwise  $l_1$  would also have to contain  $x_{11}$  and  $x_{12}$ , contradicting  $m_1(S) = 4$ . Since  $l$  is the unique line containing 4 points,  $l_1$  contains exactly 3 points of  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_9, x_{10}$  and  $C$  contains the remaining 6 points. Then the result follows from the same argument as in Case 2.

**Case 4.**  $m_2(S) = 7$ . By rearranging the points of  $S$ , we may assume that there is a conic  $C$  through  $x_1, \dots, x_7$ .

First we assume that  $C$  is irreducible. Let  $\tilde{C}$  be the conic passing through the points

$$x_8, x_9, x_{10}, x_{11}, x_{12}.$$

Since  $m_3(S) = 9$ ,  $\tilde{C}$  is irreducible, otherwise the line component of  $\tilde{C}$  containing at least 3 points together with  $C$  would give us a cubic containing at least 10 points of  $S$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the vector space of homogeneous polynomials of degree 4, passing through the points of  $S$ . Then  $P_1 = C\tilde{C} \in \mathcal{X}$ . The dimension of  $\mathcal{X}$  is at least  $15 - 12 = 3$ , therefore we can choose  $P_2 \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are linearly independent. It follows from Bezout's theorem that  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  have no common factor. Thus  $u = \frac{1}{2} \log(|P_1|^2 + |P_2|^2)$  is a plurisubharmonic function which has logarithmic poles at the points of  $S$  of total weight 12, locally bounded outside a finite set and  $\gamma_u = 4$ .

Now we consider the case where  $C$  is reducible. We note that there cannot be any line containing 5 or more points of  $S$ , since otherwise this line together with a conic through 5 other points of  $S$  would contradict  $m_3(S) = 9$ . Therefore  $C$  must split into two lines at least one of which has 4 points. By rearranging the points, we may assume that  $l$  is the line containing 4 points,  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$  of  $C$ . Let us list all possible lines which contain 4 points of  $S$ . Any such two lines must intersect at a point of  $S$  since  $m_2(S) = 7$ . At most two such lines intersect at any point of  $S$  since  $m_3(S) = 9$ . Considering these restrictions, by rearranging the points of  $S$ , we may assume that there are at most 5 lines, each containing 4 points of  $S$ , (see Figure 1). The proof below will be presented as if all 5 lines are actually present, but the argument works without any change if there are less than 5 such lines.

Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be the cubics through the points

$$x_4, x_5, x_6, x_8, x_{11}, x_{12}, x_3, x_7, x_{10}$$

and

$$x_4, x_5, x_6, x_8, x_{11}, x_{12}, x_1, x_2, x_9,$$




FIGURE 1.

respectively. Then  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  do not contain any 4 collinear points of  $S$ . If  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are irreducible then the result follows from Lemma 3.1. Otherwise, we may assume that  $C_1$  is reducible. Let  $C_1 = C \cup l$  where  $C$  is a conic which contains 6 or 7 points of  $S$  and  $l$  is a line. If  $C$  contains 7 points of  $S$ , then  $C$  must be an irreducible conic and the result follows from the above argument. Otherwise,  $C$  contains 6 points of  $S$  and we conclude the result by a similar argument to the one in Case 2.  $\square$

Before we prove our main result, we will eliminate some degenerate configurations of points in  $V_{1/3}(T)$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Say  $T$  is a positive closed  $(1, 1)$  current with unit mass such that the set  $V_{1/3}(T)$  is finite and  $V_{1/3}(T) \setminus C$  contains at least 2 points for every cubic  $C$ . Then,*

- (a)  $m_1(V_{1/3}(T)) \leq 4$ ,
- (b)  $m_2(V_{1/3}(T)) \leq 7$ ,
- (c) *An irreducible cubic can contain at most 9 points of  $V_{1/3}(T)$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Assume that  $V_{1/3}(T)$  contains at least 5 collinear points. Say  $x_1, \dots, x_5$  are contained in  $L$ . Then by Siu's decomposition theorem, [7],  $T = a[L] + R$  for some current  $R$  of bidegree  $(1, 1)$  having 0 Lelong number generically on  $L$ . Using [4], for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a current  $R'$  with  $\|R'\| = \|R\| = 1 - a$ ,  $\nu(R', x) = \nu(R, x) - \epsilon$  at any  $x \in \mathbb{P}^2$  and  $R'$  is smooth generically on  $L$ . Thus the intersection  $R' \wedge [L]$  is well-defined. It follows that

$$1 - a = \int R' \wedge [L] \geq \sum_{i=1}^5 \nu(R' \wedge [L], x_i) > \sum_{i=1}^5 \nu(R', x_i) \nu([L], x_i) > 5 \left( \frac{1}{3} - a - \epsilon \right).$$

The second inequality follows from [4, Corollary 5.10] and the last inequality follows from the fact that  $\nu([L], x_i) \geq 1$ . Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $a \geq \frac{1}{6}$ . For any  $x \in V_{1/3}(T) \setminus L$ ,

$$\nu\left(\frac{R}{1-a}, x\right) > \frac{2}{5}.$$

By [1], all points (except possibly one point) in  $V_{1/3}(T) \setminus L$  are contained in a conic  $C$ . Then  $|V_{1/3}(T) \setminus (C \cup L)| \leq 1$ , contradicting the assumption. Hence,  $m_1(V_{1/3}(T)) \leq 4$ .

(b) Assume that  $V_{1/3}(T)$  contains at least 8 points on an irreducible conic  $C$ . Say  $x_1, \dots, x_8$  are contained in  $C$ . Then by Siu's decomposition theorem, [7],  $T = a[C] + R$  for some current  $R$  of bidegree  $(1, 1)$  having 0 Lelong number generically on  $C$ . Using [4], for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a current  $R'$  with  $\|R'\| = \|R\| = 1 - 2a$ ,  $\nu(R', x) = \nu(R, x) - \epsilon$  at any  $x \in \mathbb{P}^2$  and  $R'$  is smooth generically on  $C$ . It follows that

$$2(1 - 2a) = \int R' \wedge [C] \geq \sum_{i=1}^8 \nu(R' \wedge [C], x_i) > \sum_{i=1}^8 \nu(R', x_i) \nu([C], x_i) > 8 \left( \frac{1}{3} - a - \epsilon \right).$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $a \geq \frac{1}{6}$ . For any  $x \in V_{1/3}(T) \setminus C$ ,

$$\nu\left(\frac{R}{1-2a}, x\right) > \frac{1}{2}.$$

By [1], all points (except possibly one point) in  $V_{1/3}(T) \setminus C$  are contained in a line  $L$ . Hence  $|V_{1/3}(T) \setminus (C \cup L)| \leq 1$ , contradicting the assumption.

Similar argument as above works if  $C$  is a union of 2 lines, each of which contains 4 points of  $S$ . Let  $C = L_1 \cup L_2$  where  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are the lines containing  $x_1, \dots, x_4$  and  $x_5, \dots, x_8$ , respectively. Then  $T = a[L_1] + b[L_2] + R$  for some current  $R$  of bidegree  $(1, 1)$  having 0 Lelong number generically on  $C$ . Using [4], for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a current  $R'$  with  $\|R'\| = \|R\| = 1 - a - b$ ,  $\nu(R', x) = \nu(R, x) - \epsilon$  at any  $x \in \mathbb{P}^2$  and  $R'$  is smooth generically on  $C$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 2(1 - a - b) &= \int R' \wedge ([L_1] + [L_2]) \geq \sum_{i=1}^8 \nu(R' \wedge ([L_1] + [L_2]), x_i) \\ &> \sum_{i=1}^4 \nu(R', x_i) \nu([L_1], x_i) + \sum_{i=5}^8 \nu(R', x_i) \nu([L_2], x_i) > 4 \left( \frac{1}{3} - a - \epsilon + \frac{1}{3} - b - \epsilon \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $a + b \geq \frac{1}{3}$ . For any  $x \in V_{1/3}(T) \setminus C$ ,

$$\nu\left(\frac{R}{1-a-b}, x\right) > \frac{1}{2}.$$

By [1], all points (except possibly one point) in  $V_{1/3}(T) \setminus C$  are contained in a line  $L$ . Hence  $|V_{1/3}(T) \setminus (C \cup L)| \leq 1$ , contradicting the assumption. Hence  $m_2(V_{1/3}(T)) \leq 7$ .

(c) Assume that  $V_{1/3}(T)$  contains at least 10 points,  $x_1, \dots, x_{10}$  on an irreducible cubic  $C$ . Then by Siu's decomposition theorem, [7],  $T = a[C] + R$  for some current  $R$  of bidegree  $(1, 1)$  having 0 Lelong number generically on  $C$  and  $a \leq \frac{1}{3}$ . Using [4], for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a current  $R'$  with  $\|R'\| = \|R\| = 1 - 3a$ ,  $\nu(R', x) = \nu(R, x) - \epsilon$  at any  $x \in \mathbb{P}^2$  and  $R'$  is smooth generically on  $C$ . It follows that

$$3(1 - 3a) = \int R' \wedge [C] \geq \sum_{i=1}^{10} \nu(R' \wedge [C], x_i) > \sum_{i=1}^{10} \nu(R', x_i) \nu([C], x_i) > 10 \left( \frac{1}{3} - a - \epsilon \right).$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $a \geq \frac{1}{3}$  and hence  $T = \frac{1}{3}[C]$ . This implies that  $V_{1/3}(T)$  may contain only the singular point of  $C$  and hence we conclude that at most 9 points of  $V_{1/3}(T)$  may lie on an irreducible cubic.  $\square$

*Proof.* (of Theorem 1.1) We first assume that  $E_\beta(T)$  contains an algebraic curve  $C$  for some  $\beta > \alpha$ . By Siu's decomposition theorem, there exists a positive closed  $(1, 1)$  current  $R$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  with 0 Lelong number generically on  $C$  such that  $T = \beta[C] + R$ . Then  $\deg(C) \leq \frac{1}{\beta} < 3$ . If  $C$  is a conic then  $\|R\| = 1 - 2\beta < \frac{1}{3}$ . This implies that  $V_\alpha(T) = C$ . If  $C$  is a line, then  $\|R\| = 1 - \beta < \frac{2}{3}$ . It follows that  $V_\alpha(T) = C \cup V_\alpha(R)$ . By Theorem 1.1 in [1], there exist a line  $L$  and a point  $p$  such that

$$V_\alpha(R) \subset V_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{R}{\|R\|}\right) \subset L \cup \{p\}.$$

Then  $|V_{\frac{1}{3}}(T) \setminus (L \cup C)| \leq 1$ .

Now we assume that  $E_\beta(T)$  is 0 dimensional for all  $\beta > \alpha$ . Then  $V_\alpha(T)$  is at most countable. If  $V_\alpha(T)$  is infinite then  $E_{\frac{1}{3}}(T)$  contains an algebraic curve  $C$  of degree at most 3 and  $T = \frac{1}{3}[C] + R$ . If  $C$  is a cubic then  $R = 0$ . This implies that  $\nu(T, p) > \frac{1}{3}$  only at the singular points of  $C$  and hence  $V_{\frac{1}{3}}(T)$  is finite. Hence  $C$  must be a conic or line. If  $C$  is a conic then  $\|R\| = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $V_\alpha(T) \subset C$ . If  $C$  is a line then  $\|R\| = \frac{2}{3}$ . Since  $V_\alpha(R) \subset V_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{R}{\|R\|}\right)$ , Theorem 1.1 in [1] implies that there exists a line  $L$  such that  $|V_\alpha(R) \setminus L| \leq 1$ . Thus  $|V_\alpha(T) \setminus (L \cup C)| \leq 1$ .

Finally we assume that  $V_\alpha(T)$  is finite and  $|V_\alpha(T) \setminus C| > 2$  for any cubic  $C$ . Then  $V_\alpha(T)$  has a subset  $S$  with  $|S| = 12$ .

By Proposition 3.3,  $m_1(S) \leq 4$  and  $m_2(S) \leq 7$ . We also note that  $m_3(S) \leq 11$ : If a cubic contains all the points of  $S$ , then it must be reducible by Proposition 3.3. But this contradicts to the fact that  $m_1(S) \leq 4$  and  $m_2(S) \leq 7$ .

**Case 1.**  $m_3(S) = 9$ . By applying Proposition 2.2 with one of the plurisubharmonic functions  $u$  in Lemma 3.2, we obtain that  $\alpha < \frac{1}{3}$  which contradicts to the choice of  $\alpha$ .

Now we move to the cases where  $m_3(S) = 10$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a cubic containing 10 points of  $S$ . By above discussion,  $\Gamma$  must be reducible,  $m_2(S) \leq 7$  and  $m_1(S) \leq 4$ . Thus  $m_2(S) = 6$  or  $7$ . First we assume that  $m_2(S) = 6$ .

**Case 2.**  $m_3(S) = 10, m_2(S) = 6$ . Then  $m_1(S) = 4$  and there is a unique line containing 4 points of  $S$ . Let  $L$  be the line containing the points  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, C_1$  and  $C_2$  be the cubics through

$$x_1, x_2, x_5, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10}, x_{11}, x_{12}$$

and

$$x_3, x_4, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10}, x_{11}, x_{12}$$

respectively. If  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are irreducible, then by Proposition 3.3, they can not contain any other point of  $S$ . In this case, we choose the polynomials  $P, Q \in \mathcal{X}$  as in Lemma 3.1 and define  $u = \frac{1}{2} \log(|P|^2 + |Q|^2)$ . Then  $u$  satisfies the first weight condition in Lemma 3.2 and result follows as in Case 1 above.

Hence we may assume that  $C_1 = l_1 \cup C'$  where  $l_1$  is a line and  $C'$  is a conic. Since  $m_3(S) = 10$ ,  $l_1 \neq L$ . Then  $l_1$  contains 3 points of  $S$  and  $C'$  contains the remaining 6 points of  $C_1$ . We have a similar decomposition for  $C_2$ . Then by a similar argument as in Case 2 of Lemma 3.2, we obtain a plurisubharmonic function  $u$  which satisfies one of the weight conditions in Lemma 3.2. It follows from Proposition 2.2 with  $u$  that  $\alpha < \frac{1}{3}$  which contradicts to the choice of  $\alpha$ .

**Case 3.**  $m_3(S) = 10, m_2(S) = 7$ . Then  $m_1(S) = 3$  or  $4$ . Let  $C$  be a conic with 7 points,  $x_1, \dots, x_7$  and  $\tilde{C}$  be the conic through  $x_8, \dots, x_{12}$ . First we assume that  $C$  is irreducible. If  $\tilde{C}$  is



also irreducible, then we take  $P_1 = C\tilde{C}$  and  $P_2$  a polynomial of degree 4 which passes through the points of  $S$  and linearly independent with  $P_1$ . It follows from Bezout's theorem that  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  have no common factor. Then  $u = \frac{1}{2} \log(|P_1|^2 + |P_2|^2)$  is a plurisubharmonic function with total weight 12 at the points of  $S$ ,  $\gamma_u = 4$  and locally bounded outside  $S$ . Proposition 2.2 with  $u$  implies that  $\alpha < \frac{1}{3}$  which contradicts to the choice of  $\alpha$ .

Thus, by rearranging the points of  $S$ , we may assume that  $\tilde{C}$  is reducible and  $x_8, x_9, x_{12}$  are collinear. We consider the conics  $C_i$  passing through the points  $x_8, x_9, x_{10}, x_{11}, x_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 7$ . If  $C_i$  is irreducible for some  $i$ , then we take  $P_1 = CC_i$  and a polynomial  $P_2$  of degree 4 which vanishes at the points of  $S \setminus \{x_{12}\}$  and vanishes to second order at  $x_i$ . Then it follows from Bezout's theorem that  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  have no common factor. Hence  $u = \frac{1}{2} \log(|P_1|^2 + |P_2|^2)$  is a plurisubharmonic function with total weight 12 at the points of  $S \setminus \{x_{12}\}$ ,  $\gamma_u = 4$  and locally bounded outside  $S$ . This implies with Proposition 2.2 that  $\alpha < \frac{1}{3}$ .

Now we assume that  $C$  is irreducible and  $C_i$  is reducible for all  $i = 1, \dots, 7$ . By  $L_{i,j}$  we denote the line through  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ . Since  $m_3(S) = 10$  and  $x_8, x_9, x_{12}$  are collinear, the line  $L_{8,9}$  does not contain either of the points  $x_{10}$  or  $x_{11}$ . For the moment, we also assume that  $L_{10,11}$  does not contain  $x_8, x_9, x_{12}$ . Then  $m_1\{x_8, x_9, x_{10}, x_{11}\} = 2$ , each  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 7$ , belongs to  $L_{jk}$  for some  $8 \leq j, k \leq 11$ .

By (P1), we refer to the property that  $L_{8,9}$  intersects  $C$  only at  $x_7$  and  $L_{10,11}$  possibly intersects  $C$  only at  $x_7$ . Then we take  $P_1 = CL_{8,9}L_{10,11}$  and  $P_2$  is a polynomial of degree 4 linearly independent with  $P_1$ , which vanishes at the points of  $S \setminus \{x_{12}\}$  and vanishes to second order at  $x_7$ . Then  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  have no common factor. Hence  $u = \frac{1}{2} \log(|P_1|^2 + |P_2|^2)$  is a plurisubharmonic function with total weight 12 at the points of  $S \setminus \{x_{12}\}$ ,  $\gamma_u = 4$ ,  $u$  is locally bounded outside  $S$  and we are done.

By (P2), we refer to the property that  $L_{8,9}$  intersects  $C$  only at  $x_7$  and  $L_{10,11}$  intersects  $C$  only at  $x_6$ . Then we take  $P_1 = CL_{8,9}L_{10,11}$  and  $P_2$  is a polynomial of degree 4 linearly independent with  $P_1$ , which vanishes at the points of  $S \setminus \{x_{12}\}$  and vanishes to second order at  $x_7$ . Then  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  have no common factor and the result follows as above.

By (P3), we refer to the property that  $L_{8,10}$  intersects  $C$  at  $x_6, x_7$  and  $L_{9,11}$  intersects  $C$  only at  $x_5$ . Then we take  $P_1 = CL_{8,10}L_{9,11}$  and  $P_2$  is a polynomial of degree 4 linearly independent with  $P_1$ , which vanishes at the points of  $S \setminus \{x_{12}\}$  and vanishes to second order at  $x_5$ . Then  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  have no common factor and the result follows as above.

Note that in (P1), (P2), (P3), we may replace the point  $x_9$  with  $x_{12}$  and the same conclusion holds. Thus we may assume that the configurations (P1), (P2) and (P3) do not hold for the lines  $L_{jk}$  where  $j, k \in \{8, 9, 10, 11\}$  and  $j, k \in \{8, 10, 11, 12\}$ .

Consider the pairs of lines  $(L_{8,9}, L_{10,11}), (L_{8,10}, L_{9,11}), (L_{8,11}, L_{9,10})$ . Then each pair contains at most 3 points of  $\{x_1, \dots, x_7\} \subset C$  since  $m_2(S) = 7$ . Since  $m_1\{x_8, x_9, x_{10}, x_{11}\} = 2$ , each point  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 7$ , belongs to a unique pair of lines. If the pair  $(L_{8,9}, L_{10,11})$  contains only one point, say  $x_7$  of  $C \cap S$  then property (P1) holds. Otherwise, since  $m_2(S) = 7$ , we may assume that the pair  $(L_{8,9}, L_{10,11})$  contains 2 points, say  $x_1, x_2$ . If  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  lie on different lines, then property (P2) holds. Thus we may assume that  $x_1, x_2 \in L_{10,11}$ . Note that one of these points may belong to  $L_{8,9}$  as well. One of the remaining pairs of lines, say  $(L_{8,10}, L_{9,11})$  must contain 3 points of  $C \cap S$ . Assuming that (P3) does not hold, we may assume that  $x_6, x_7 \in L_{8,10}$  and  $x_5, x_7 \in L_{9,11}$ . We consider the similar arrangement for the lines  $L_{jk}$  where  $j, k \in \{8, 10, 11, 12\}$ . Then either the pair  $(L_{8,11}, L_{10,12})$  or  $(L_{8,10}, L_{11,12})$  must contain 3 points of  $C \cap S$ .

First we assume that the first pair  $(L_{8,11}, L_{10,12})$  contains 3 points of  $C \cap S$ . As (P3) does not hold, we may assume that  $x_3, x_4 \in L_{8,11}$  and  $x_4, x_5 \in L_{10,12}$ . Then each line  $L_{9,10}$  and  $L_{11,12}$  may contain only one point of  $C \cap S$ , say  $x_3$  and  $x_6$  respectively. But then (P2) holds for the lines  $L_{9,10}$  and  $L_{11,12}$ .




FIGURE 2.

Similarly, we assume now that the other pair  $(L_{8,10}, L_{11,12})$  contains 3 points of  $C \cap S$ . Say  $x_6, x_7 \in L_{8,10}$  and  $x_4, x_6 \in L_{11,12}$ . Since (P1) and (P2) do not hold for the pair  $(L_{8,11}, L_{10,12})$ ,  $x_3 \in L_{10,12}$  and  $x_5 \in L_{10,12} \cap L_{9,11}$ . As  $x_3, x_9, x_{10}, x_{12}$  are not collinear,  $x_3 \notin L_{9,10}$ . Then  $x_4 \in L_{9,10}$  and (P1) or (P2) (if  $x_3 \in L_{8,11}$ ) holds for the pair  $(L_{9,10}, L_{8,11})$ . Hence we are done in the case that  $C$  is irreducible and  $L_{10,11}$  does not contain any of the points  $x_8, x_9, x_{12}$ .

Now we assume that the line  $L_{10,11}$  contains  $x_{12}$ . Since  $m_1(S) \leq 4$ , each line  $L_{8,9}$  and  $L_{10,11}$  contains at most 1 point of  $C \cap S$  and hence (P1) or (P2) holds for the pair  $(L_{8,9}, L_{10,11})$ .

Finally, we may assume that there does not exist any irreducible conic containing 7 points of  $S$ . Then  $m_1(S) = 4$ . We will list all possible lines containing 4 points of  $S$ . Since  $m_2(S) = 7$ , any such two lines must intersect at a point of  $S$ . Since  $|S| = 12$ , there are at most 3 such lines passing through at any point  $x_i \in S$ .

First we consider the case that there does not exist any point in  $S$  through which 3 such lines pass. Then considering the above restrictions, there are at most 5 lines containing 4 points of  $S$  (see Figure 2).

We consider the cubics  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  passing through the points of  $S \setminus \{x_2, x_6, x_{10}\}$  and  $S \setminus \{x_5, x_8, x_9\}$ . Then the result follows by a similar argument to the one in Case 4 of Lemma 3.2.

Now we consider the case that there is only one point, say  $x_1$ , through which 3 lines containing 4 points of  $S$  pass. We may assume that the points in the sets  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ ,  $\{x_1, x_5, x_6, x_7\}$  and  $\{x_1, x_8, x_9, x_{10}\}$  are collinear. Then there are at most 5 lines containing 4 points of  $S$  (see Figure 3). We consider the cubics  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  passing through the points of  $S \setminus \{x_1, x_4, x_{11}\}$  and  $S \setminus \{x_2, x_5, x_{10}\}$ . Then the result follows by a similar argument to the one in Case 4 of Lemma 3.2.

Finally, we consider the case that there is more than one point through which 3 lines containing 4 points of  $S$  pass. Then, other than  $x_1$ , there is a point of  $S$  through which 3 lines containing 4 points of  $S$  pass. This point can be a point on a line through  $x_1$  or a point outside of the 3 lines through  $x_1$ .




FIGURE 3.




FIGURE 4.

In the first case, we may take this point as  $x_2$  (see Figure 4). It is easy to check that there are no 4 collinear points in the sets  $S \setminus \{x_2, x_7, x_{10}\}$  and  $S \setminus \{x_1, x_{11}, x_{12}\}$ . Consider the cubics  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  passing through the points of  $S \setminus \{x_2, x_7, x_{10}\}$  and  $S \setminus \{x_1, x_{11}, x_{12}\}$ . Then the result follows by a similar argument to the one in Case 4 of Lemma 3.2.

In the second case, we may assume that 3 lines containing 4 points of  $S$  pass through  $x_{11}$  (see Figure 5). If there does not exist any other line containing 4 points of  $S$ , then we take the cubics  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  passing through the points of  $S \setminus \{x_4, x_5, x_9\}$  and  $S \setminus \{x_1, x_{11}, x_{12}\}$ . Then the result follows by a similar argument to the one in Case 4 of Lemma 3.2. Otherwise, we may assume that  $x_2, x_6, x_{10}, x_{12}$  are collinear and return to the first case.

**Case 4.**  $m_3(S) = 11$ . In this case,  $m_1(S) = 4$  and  $m_2(S) = 7$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the cubic with 11 points of  $S$ . Then by Proposition 3.3,  $\Gamma$  must be reducible, say  $\Gamma = C \cup L$ .  $C$  must be irreducible,




FIGURE 5.

otherwise one of the components of  $C$  together with  $L$  would contain 8 points of  $S$  which contradicts to  $m_2(S) = 7$ . We may assume that the points  $x_1, \dots, x_7$  are contained in  $C$  and  $x_8, \dots, x_{11}$  are contained in  $L$ . Since we assumed that  $|V_{1/3}(T) \setminus \gamma| > 1$  for any cubic  $\gamma$ , there is a point  $p \in V_{1/3}(T) \setminus (\Gamma \cup x_{12})$ . Let  $L_{p,12}$  denote the line which passes through  $p$  and  $x_{12}$ . First we assume that  $L_{p,12} \cap L \cap S = \emptyset$ . We take  $P_1 = CL_{p,12}L$  and  $P_2$  a polynomial of degree 4, linearly independent with  $P_1$ , through the points of  $S \cup \{p\}$ . It follows from Bezout's theorem that  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  have no common factor. Thus  $u = \frac{1}{2} \log(|P_1|^2 + |P_2|^2)$  is a plurisubharmonic function with total weight 13 at the points of  $S \cup \{p\}$  locally bounded outside  $S$  and  $\gamma_u = 4$ . Proposition 2.2 with  $u$  implies that  $\alpha < \frac{4}{13}$  which contradicts to the choice of  $\alpha$ .

Now we consider the case that  $L_{p,12}$  intersects  $L$  at a point of  $S$  and  $L_{p,12} \cap C \cap S = \emptyset$ . Then the same polynomials  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  above work.

Finally, we consider the case that  $L_{p,12} \cap L \cap S \neq \emptyset$  and  $L_{p,12} \cap C \cap S \neq \emptyset$ . Say  $x_1 \in L_{p,12} \cap C$  and  $x_{11} \in L_{p,12} \cap L$ . Then take  $P_1 = CLL_{p,12}$  and  $P_2$  a polynomial of degree 4, linearly independent with  $P_1$ , which vanishes at the points of  $(S \cup \{p\}) \setminus \{x_{10}, x_{11}\}$  and vanishes to the second order at  $x_1$ . Then  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  have no common factor and the result follows as above.

**Remark 3.4.** We should note that a slightly better conclusion follows from the proof of Theorem 1.1. To get a contradiction in the proof, we assumed that  $V_{1/3}(T)$  contains a subset  $S$  with 12 points and  $|V_{1/3}(T) \setminus C| > 1$  for any cubic  $C$ . Hence if  $V_{1/3}(T)$  is finite then  $|V_{1/3}(T)| = 11$  or  $|V_{1/3}(T) \setminus C| \leq 1$  for some cubic  $C$ .

□

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank to the referee for his/her careful reading and suggestions. The second author is supported by TÜBİTAK 3501 Proj. No 120F084.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Coman, D. Entire Pluricomplex Green Functions and Lelong Numbers of Projective Currents. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 134 (2005), 1927-1935. [1](#), [1](#), [2.2](#), [3](#)
- [2] Coman, Dan; Nivoche, S., Plurisubharmonic functions with singularities and affine invariants for finite sets in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . *Math. Ann.* 322 (2002), no. 2, 317-332 [2.3](#)
- [3] Coman, D., Truong, T.T.: Geometric properties of upper level sets of Lelong numbers on projective spaces. *Math. Ann.* 361, 981-994 (2015). [1](#)

- [4] Demailly, J.P., Monge-Ampère operators, Lelong numbers and intersection theory, in *Complex analysis and geometry*, pp. 115-193. Plenum, New York (1993) [1](#), [3](#)
- [5] Heffers, J.J., A property of upper level sets of Lelong numbers of currents on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . *Int. J. Math.* 28(14), 1750110, 18 (2017). [1](#)
- [6] Heffers, J.J., On Lelong numbers of positive closed currents on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* 64, 352-360 (2019). [1](#)
- [7] Siu, Y.T., Analyticity of sets associated to Lelong numbers and the extension of closed positive currents. *Invent. Math.* 27, 53-156 (1974). [1](#), [3](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, 06800 ANKARA, TURKEY  
Email address: akisisel@metu.edu.tr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, 06800 ANKARA, TURKEY  
Email address: oyazici@metu.edu.tr