

Probing ultra-light dark photon from inverse Compton-like scattering

Liangliang Su,^{1,2,*} Lei Wu,^{1,†} and Bin Zhu^{2,3,‡}

¹*Department of Physics and Institute of Theoretical Physics,
Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, 210023, China*

²*School of Physics, Yantai University, Yantai 264005, China*

³*Department of Physics, Chung-Ang University, Seoul 06974, Korea*

(Dated: May 14, 2021)

Dark photon not only provides a portal linking dark sector particles and ordinary matter but also is a well-motivated dark matter candidate. We propose to detect the dark photon dark matter through the inverse Compton-like scattering process $p + \gamma' \rightarrow p + \gamma$. Thanks to the ultra-high energy primary cosmic rays, we find that such a method is able to probe the dark photon mass from 10^{-2} eV down to 10^{-19} eV with the expected sensitivity of eROSITA X-ray telescope, which can extend the current lower limit of dark photon mass from Jupiter's magnetic fields experiment by about three orders of magnitude.

I. INTRODUCTION

A large amount of astrophysical and cosmological observations suggest the existence of dark matter (DM). Investigation of the properties of DM is a common interest of particle physics, astrophysics, and cosmology. Currently, the only clues it gives us are through the gravitational effects, while there is no clear signal of the DM observed in the laboratory experiments, such as direct detection, indirect detection, and collider. The nature of the DM is still mysterious.

Among various well-motivated DM candidates, the Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMP) have been intensively studied. However, the null results of searching for WIMPs exclude most of its parameter space. Therefore, new different candidates are clearly needed. One of the simplest hypotheses for DMs is that it belongs to a “hidden sector” or “dark sector” secluded from the Standard Model (SM) [1–6], whose interactions could be mediated by a dark photon in an additional Abelian gauge group $U_D(1)$. The dark photon couples with the ordinary photon through the kinetic mixing [7], and thus provides a portal linking the SM and new physics, which enables the dark photon can be detected in various experiments [8–21]. In the past decades, great efforts have been devoted to hunting for the dark photon (c.f. the recent review [22, 23]).

Recently, a number of novel production mechanisms, including the misalignment mechanism [24, 25], the parametric resonance production [26], the tachyonic instability [27–29] and the decay of a cosmic string network [30], have been proposed to efficiently produce the dark photons with a sub-eV mass down to the fuzzy dark matter scale $\sim 10^{-21}$ eV. Detections of such light dark photon dark matter have been studied in high precision spectroscopy [31, 32], helioscope [33–35], gravitational wave observation [36, 37]. Besides, the ultralight dark photon DM can also affect early cosmological observables and the evolution of astrophysical objects. For example, the conversion of dark photon and photon leaves observable imprints on the CMB background. In particular, the heating of the intergalactic medium around the epoch of helium (He^{++}) reionization and the depletion of dark matter broaden the region of dark photon dark matter mass [15]. However, these bounds are based on some certain assumptions of the universe history, which is challenged by the recent different measurements of Hubble constant in early and late universe [38]. Likewise, the heating/cooling rate of cold Galactic Center gas clouds can also constrain dark photon dark matter by requiring the heating rate from dark matter not exceeding the radiative cooling rate of the gas cloud [13, 39]. However, this constraint depends on the number density of elements in the gas cloud, such as electron number density n_e . Different results of calculating this quantity exist in [13] and [39].

In this work, we propose an alternative way to probe the ultra-light dark photon dark matter through the interaction of the dark photon with the proton in the primary cosmic rays (CRs). Since the coupling of the dark photon with the ordinary matter is small, we consider the inelastic scattering process, $p + \gamma' \rightarrow p + \gamma$, instead of the elastic scattering process. The energy of photon in the final states is determined by the dark photon mass and the proton energy in the CRs. Note that the latter is negatively correlated with the former for a given photon energy. In addition, there

*Electronic address: liangliangsu@njnu.edu.cn

†Electronic address: leiwu@njnu.edu.cn

‡Electronic address: zhubin@mail.nankai.edu.cn

exists the ‘‘GZK cut-off’’ of the energy spectrum of the CRs, which arises from the energy loss of CRs during its scattering with the CMB photon [40, 41]. Due to such a cut-off, the X -ray telescope is the most suitable experiment to detect the ultra-light dark photon in the mass range we are interested in. Nowadays, several X -ray telescopes have been launched, such as Chandra [42], XMM-Newton [43], and the extended ROentgen Survey with an Imaging Telescope Array (eROSITA). [44]. In the soft X -ray band (0.2-2.3 keV), eROSITA has a better outstanding energy resolution and field of view. The eROSITA all-sky survey will have reached a sensitivity more than 25 times higher than ROSITA all-sky survey at the end of eROSITA. Therefore, we will show the expected sensitivity our proposal in the eROSITA experiment.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The massive dark photon interacts with ordinary matter via the kinetic mixing with the ordinary photon. The relevant Lagrangian can be written as following,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - eJ_{\mu}A_{\mu} \\ & -\frac{1}{4}F'_{\mu\nu}F'^{\mu\nu} + m_{\gamma'}A'_{\mu}A'^{\mu} - \epsilon eJ_{\mu}A'^{\mu} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}$ and $F'_{\mu\nu}$ are the field strength tensors of the ordinary photon and the dark photon. The last term represents the interaction of the dark photon A'^{μ} with the electromagnetic current of the SM particles J_{μ} with the coupling ϵe . This will lead to the inelastic scattering process of the primary CRs with the dark photon, $\gamma'(p_1) + p(p_2) \rightarrow \gamma(k_1) + p(k_2)$, i.e., the inverse Compton-like scattering process, as shown in Fig. 1.




Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the inverse Compton-like scattering process $\gamma'(p_1) + p(p_2) \rightarrow \gamma(k_1) + p(k_2)$.

In the rest frame of the dark photon, the maximum and minimum energies of outgoing photon for a given energy of the proton E_p in CRs are given by

$$\omega_{\min/\max} = \frac{m_{\gamma'}^2 + 2m_{\gamma'}E_p}{2E_p + 2m_{\gamma'} \pm 2\sqrt{E_p^2 - m_p^2}} \quad (2)$$

where $m_{\gamma'}$ and m_p are the mass of the dark photon and the energy of the proton, respectively. For the ultra-high energy proton, we can find that the maximum energy of photon radiation is close to the incoming energy of the proton, while the minimum energy of the photon emission is always larger than the half of the dark photon mass, $\omega \gtrsim m_{\gamma'}/2$.

The differential cross section $d\sigma/d\omega$ of this process is also calculated by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{d\omega} &= \frac{1}{32\pi m_{\gamma'} |\vec{p}_1|^2} \left(\frac{1}{6} \sum |\mathcal{M}|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{e^4 \epsilon^2}{6\pi m_{\gamma'}^3 |\vec{p}_1|^2 (2E_p + m_{\gamma'})^2 (2E_p + m_{\gamma'} - 2\omega)} \\ &\quad \times m_{\gamma'}^2 (2E_p + m_{\gamma'})^2 (2E_p^2 + 2E_p m_{\gamma'} + m_p^2 + m_{\gamma'}^2) \\ &\quad + \omega^2 (m_{\gamma'}^2 (2E_p + m_{\gamma'}) (6E_p + 5m_{\gamma'}) + 4m_p^4 \\ &\quad + 2m_p^2 m_{\gamma'} (4E_p + 3m_{\gamma'})) - 2m_{\gamma'}^2 \omega^3 (2E_p + m_{\gamma'}) \\ &\quad - 2m_{\gamma'} \omega (2E_p + m_{\gamma'}) (4E_p^2 m_{\gamma'} + E_p (2m_p^2 + 5m_{\gamma'}^2)) \\ &\quad + 2m_{\gamma'} (m_p^2 + m_{\gamma'}^2)), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where the momentum of CR proton follow the relation $|\vec{p}_1|^2 = E_p^2 - m_p^2$ and $(\frac{1}{6} \sum |\mathcal{M}|^2)$ is the squared amplitude of the inverse Compton-like scattering process. Due to enhancement by $m_{\gamma'}^2$ in the denominator of Eq. 3, the differential cross-section of dark photon is much larger than that of the axion [45]. This implies that our method is more efficient in search of the dark photon than the axion in low mass range.

Next, we will calculate the flux of the outgoing photon in the Compton-like scattering process to derive the bounds on dark photon. The differential flux can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Phi}{d\omega d\Omega} &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{l.o.s} dl \int_{E_p^{\min}(\omega)} dE_p \frac{d^2\Gamma_{p+\gamma' \rightarrow p+\gamma}}{dE_p d\omega} \\ &= \frac{D}{m_{\gamma'}} \int_{E_p^{\min}(\omega)} dE_p \frac{d\sigma}{d\omega} \frac{d\Phi_p}{dE_p}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $d\Phi_p/dE_p$ is the number of particles per area/steradian/kinetic energy/time of the local interstellar spectrum. For simplicity, we assume a homogeneous distribution in our calculation.

It should be noted that the minimum energy of the proton in CRs that is needed to produce a photon with the energy ω can be obtained from Eq. (2),

$$\begin{aligned} E_p^{\min} &= \frac{\omega - m_{\gamma'}}{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{m_{\gamma'} \omega^2 (m_{\gamma'} - 2\omega) (m_{\gamma'}^2 - 2m_{\gamma'} \omega - 4m_p^2)}}{2m_{\gamma'} (2\omega - m_{\gamma'})}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

which is approximately given as $E_p^{\min} \simeq \omega/2 + m_p \sqrt{\omega/2m_{\gamma'}}$ for the ultra-light dark photon dark matter mass. This implies that the minimal energy of the proton E_p^{\min} in the CRs is proportional to $\sqrt{\omega}$ and inversely proportional to $\sqrt{m_{\gamma'}}$ in the very low mass range. On the other hand, the primary energy spectrum of the CRs declines as the power law of the energy like $\sim E^{-2.7}$ when $E < \mathcal{O}(10^{15})$ eV [46]. However, it will vanish at the ‘‘GZK cut-off’’. In other words, a lower threshold detector, such as X-ray telescope, is needed to search for the dark photon dark matter in a wide mass range. In principle, the electron in the CRs can also induce such a scattering process. However, its contribution is negligible for the very light dark photon because of the low ‘‘cut-off’’ energy of primary CR electron.

In this work, we use the flux of the proton in the primary CRs in the three-component ‘‘Global-Fit’’ model [46, 47],

$$\frac{d\Phi_p}{dE_p} = \sum_{i=1}^3 c_i E_p^{-\alpha_i} \exp\left(-\frac{E_p}{R_i}\right) \quad (6)$$

where c_i , α_i and R_i represent the normalization constants, the integral spectral indexes and the rigidity cut-off with the component index i [47].

The D -factor in Eq. 4 that depends on the DM density distribution can be defined by the line-of-sight of integral of DM density of the Milky Way halo [48, 49],

$$D(\phi) = \int_{l.o.s} dl \rho_{\text{DM}}(r(l, R, \phi)). \quad (7)$$

where l is the line-of-sight distance and the $r(l, R, \phi) = \sqrt{l^2 + R^2 - 2lR \cos \phi}$ is the radial distance from the Galactic center (GC). R is the distance from GC to the Earth and ϕ is the polar angle between the Earth-GC axis and line-of-sight direction. In this paper, we assume that the dark matter density ρ_{DM} satisfy the Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) profile distribution. Note that the D -factor can be approximately proportional to the product of the effective distance D_{eff} and local dark matter density $\rho_{\text{DM}}^{\text{local}}$ under the assumption that CRs distribution is homogeneous in the galaxy [50–53].

In order to derive the constraints on the dark photon dark matter from X -ray experiments, we can simply require the theoretical prediction of the X -ray flux not exceeding the measured Cosmic X -ray Background (CXB) [45]. However, this approach suffers from large uncertainties and may lead to an over-conservative estimation because the Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN) as main source of the CXB background may be underestimated. In Refs. [49, 54], a more accurate way was proposed. It is supposed that the diffuse CXB follows a power-law relation $C \times \omega^{-1.42}$ with normalization constant $C = 8.44 \text{ cts/cm}^2/\text{s} / \text{sr/keV}$ at $\omega = 1 \text{ keV}$. On the other hand, the detector background has a flat energy spectrum and distributes over the sky isotropically, which is estimated as $1151 \text{ counts s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ keV}^{-1}$ in Ref. [49]. By simulating the all-sky X -ray signal over each spatial pixel and energy bin, one can obtain 95% C.L. exclusion limit from the joint-likelihood analysis. With their derived results, we can impose the constraints on dark photon dark matter in the photon energy $2 < \omega < 10 \text{ keV}$.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS




Figure 2: The flux of the radiative photon in the scattering process of $\gamma' + p \rightarrow \gamma + p$ for different dark photon mass $m = 10^{-19}$ eV (yellow), $m = 10^{-18}$ eV (blue) and $m = 10^{-17}$ eV (red). We take $D = 10^{22} \text{ GeV/cm}^2$ and $\epsilon = 0.1$ as an example in our calculations.

In Fig. 2, we show that the photon flux for the dark photon mass $m_{\gamma'} = 10^{-19}, 10^{-18}, 10^{-17}$ eV in the photon energy range $0.1 < \omega < 10^3$ keV. In the calculations, we choose $D = 10^{22} \text{ GeV/cm}^2$ and the kinetic mixing parameter $\epsilon = 0.1$. It can be seen that the different values of these two parameters only change the magnitude of the photon flux, rather than distorting the shape. From Fig. 2, we can also see that the flux of the photon for a given dark photon mass decreases sharply with its energy, which is caused by the “GZK cut-off” of the CRs. The endpoints of each curve depend on the dark photon mass. For example, the photon flux vanishes at $\omega = 2 \text{ keV}$ and $\omega = 200 \text{ keV}$ for dark photon with $m_{\gamma'} = 10^{-19}$ eV and $m_{\gamma'} = 10^{-17}$ eV, respectively. It can be understood that the maximal value of ω in Eq. 2 increases with the dark photon mass for a given proton energy, such as the “GZK cut-off”. Thus, in order to access lighter dark photon through our inverse Compton-like scattering process, a lower energy threshold detector is required.

In Fig. 3, we present the bounds on the dark photon with the expected sensitivity of eROSITA X -ray telescope. In its energy range $2 < \omega < 10 \text{ keV}$, the strongest bounds for ultra-light dark photon dark matter can be obtained at the photon energy $\omega = 2 \text{ keV}$. Besides, we also plot other constraints on the dark photon dark matter with the mass less than 1 eV, including the magnetic fields of Jupiter [55, 56] and Earth [57], the CMB (COEB/FIRAS) [17, 18], the atomic experiments (Coulomb) [31], the CROWS experiment [35], the light shining through a wall (LSW)




Figure 3: The expected eROSITA limit by using inverse Compton-like scattering process $\gamma' + p \rightarrow \gamma + p$ on dark photon dark matter mass $m_{\gamma'}$ versus kinetic mixing parameter ϵ . Other constraints are also shown, such as Jupiter (orange) [55, 56], Earth (purple) [57], COBE/FIRAS (brown) [17, 18], Coulomb (blue) [31], CROWS (green) [35], LSW (red) [34] and SUN-T (black) [8].

experiments [34] and Solar lifetime (SUN-T) [8]. On the other hand, the constraints from the black hole superradiance are not shown because those constraints are only relevant in the limit of vanishing coupling. We can find that the dark photon mass from 10^{-2} eV to 10^{-19} eV with the kinetic mixing parameter $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{O}(0.1)$ can be excluded by the future eROSITA X -ray telescope experiment, which is lower than existing limit of dark photon mass from Jupiter's magnetic fields experiment by about three orders of magnitude. Besides, we also checked the constraint from the existing XMM-Newton data and found it still much weaker than eROSITA. Finally it should be mentioned that the bound on the kinetic mixing parameter derived from our method is not as strong as others. However, it can cover a wide mass range in a single experiment and would be improved by future lower threshold telescopes.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we investigated the potential of probing the ultra-light dark photon dark matter with X -ray produced from its inelastic scattering with the cosmic rays, $\gamma' + p \rightarrow \gamma + p$. Due to the contribution of the ultra-high energy cosmic rays, we have found that the ultra-light dark photon dark matter mass can be excluded down to 10^{-19} eV by using the expected sensitivity of the eROSITA X -ray telescope. Although the constraint of the kinetic mixing parameter is not stronger than others, it gives a new way to hunt for the ultra-light dark photon dark matter in a single experiment. In the future, with the continuous improvement of X -ray telescope experiments, our method will be able to further search for the lighter dark photon dark matter.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Shan-Shan Weng for their helpful suggestions and discussions. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NNSFC) under grant No. 11805161 and 11705093, by Jiangsu Specially Appointed Professor Program, and Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province under the grants ZR2018QA007. BZ is also supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (NRF-2019R1A2C2003738), and by the Korea Research Fellowship Program through the NRF funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (2019H1D3A1A01070937).

[1] P. Fayet, Phys. Lett. B **95**, 285 (1980).

- [2] P. Fayet, Nucl. Phys. B **187**, 184 (1981).
- [3] L. B. Okun, Sov. Phys. JETP **56**, 502 (1982).
- [4] H. Georgi, P. H. Ginsparg, and S. L. Glashow, Nature **306**, 765 (1983).
- [5] P. Fayet, Nucl. Phys. B **347**, 743 (1990).
- [6] M. Pospelov, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 095002 (2009), 0811.1030.
- [7] B. Holdom, Phys. Lett. B **166**, 196 (1986).
- [8] H. An, M. Pospelov, and J. Pradler, Phys. Lett. B **725**, 190 (2013), 1302.3884.
- [9] J. Redondo and G. Raffelt, JCAP **08**, 034 (2013), 1305.2920.
- [10] J. Liu, N. Weiner, and W. Xue, JHEP **08**, 050 (2015), 1412.1485.
- [11] H. An, M. Pospelov, J. Pradler, and A. Ritz, Phys. Lett. B **747**, 331 (2015), 1412.8378.
- [12] A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al. (DAMIC), Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 141803 (2017), 1611.03066.
- [13] A. Bhoonah, J. Bramante, F. Elahi, and S. Schon, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 023001 (2019), 1812.10919.
- [14] Z. She et al. (CDEX), Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 111301 (2020), 1910.13234.
- [15] S. D. McDermott and S. J. Witte, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 063030 (2020), 1911.05086.
- [16] V. V. Flambaum and I. B. Samsonov, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 063541 (2019), 1908.09432.
- [17] A. A. Garcia, K. Bondarenko, S. Ploekinger, J. Pradler, and A. Sokolenko, JCAP **10**, 011 (2020), 2003.10465.
- [18] A. Caputo, H. Liu, S. Mishra-Sharma, and J. T. Ruderman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 221303 (2020), 2002.05165.
- [19] S. J. Witte, S. Rosauero-Alcaraz, S. D. McDermott, and V. Poulin, JHEP **06**, 132 (2020), 2003.13698.
- [20] H. An, F. P. Huang, J. Liu, and W. Xue (2020), 2010.15836.
- [21] P. J. Fitzpatrick, H. Liu, T. R. Slatyer, and Y.-D. Tsai (2021), 2105.05255.
- [22] M. Fabbrichesi, E. Gabrielli, and G. Lanfranchi (2020), 2005.01515.
- [23] A. Caputo, C. A. J. O'Hare, A. J. Millar, and E. Vitagliano (2021), 2105.04565.
- [24] A. E. Nelson and J. Scholtz, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 103501 (2011), 1105.2812.
- [25] P. Arias, D. Cadamuro, M. Goodsell, J. Jaeckel, J. Redondo, and A. Ringwald, JCAP **06**, 013 (2012), 1201.5902.
- [26] J. A. Dror, K. Harigaya, and V. Narayan, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 035036 (2019), 1810.07195.
- [27] P. Agrawal, N. Kitajima, M. Reece, T. Sekiguchi, and F. Takahashi, Phys. Lett. B **801**, 135136 (2020), 1810.07188.
- [28] R. T. Co, A. Pierce, Z. Zhang, and Y. Zhao, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 075002 (2019), 1810.07196.
- [29] M. Bastero-Gil, J. Santiago, L. Ubaldi, and R. Vega-Morales, JCAP **04**, 015 (2019), 1810.07208.
- [30] A. J. Long and L.-T. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 063529 (2019), 1901.03312.
- [31] J. Jaeckel and S. Roy, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 125020 (2010), 1008.3536.
- [32] M. Danilov, S. Demidov, and D. Gorbunov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 041801 (2019), 1804.10777.
- [33] J. Redondo, JCAP **07**, 008 (2008), 0801.1527.
- [34] K. Ehret et al., Phys. Lett. B **689**, 149 (2010), 1004.1313.
- [35] M. Betz, F. Caspers, M. Gasior, M. Thumm, and S. W. Rieger, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 075014 (2013), 1310.8098.
- [36] H.-K. Guo, K. Riles, F.-W. Yang, and Y. Zhao, Commun. Phys. **2**, 155 (2019), 1905.04316.
- [37] R. T. Co, K. Harigaya, and A. Pierce (2021), 2104.02077.
- [38] E. Di Valentino, O. Mena, S. Pan, L. Visinelli, W. Yang, A. Melchiorri, D. F. Mota, A. G. Riess, and J. Silk (2021), 2103.01183.
- [39] D. Wadekar and G. R. Farrar (2019), 1903.12190.
- [40] K. Greisen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **16**, 748 (1966).
- [41] G. T. Zatsepin and V. A. Kuzmin, JETP Lett. **4**, 78 (1966).
- [42] M. C. Weisskopf, B. Brinkman, C. Canizares, G. Garmire, S. Murray, and L. P. Van Speybroeck, Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac. **114**, 1 (2002), astro-ph/0110308.
- [43] M. J. L. Turner et al., Astron. Astrophys. **365**, L27 (2001), astro-ph/0011498.
- [44] A. Merloni, P. Predehl, W. Becker, H. Böhringer, T. Boller, H. Brunner, M. Brusa, K. Dennerl, M. Freyberg, P. Friedrich, et al., arXiv e-prints arXiv:1209.3114 (2012), 1209.3114.
- [45] J. B. Dent, B. Dutta, J. L. Newstead, A. Rodriguez, I. M. Shoemaker, Z. Tabrizi, and N. T. Arellano (2020), 2012.07930.
- [46] T. K. Gaisser, T. Stanev, and S. Tilav, Front. Phys. (Beijing) **8**, 748 (2013), 1303.3565.
- [47] C. Xia, Y.-H. Xu, and Y.-F. Zhou (2020), 2009.00353.
- [48] N. W. Evans, J. L. Sanders, and A. Geringer-Sameth, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 103512 (2016), 1604.05599.
- [49] A. Dekker, E. Peerbooms, F. Zimmer, K. C. Y. Ng, and S. Ando (2021), 2103.13241.
- [50] T. Bringmann and M. Pospelov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 171801 (2019), 1810.10543.
- [51] W. Wang, L. Wu, J. M. Yang, H. Zhou, and B. Zhu, JHEP **12**, 072 (2020), [Erratum: JHEP 02, 052 (2021)], 1912.09904.
- [52] L. Su, W. Wang, L. Wu, J. M. Yang, and B. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 115028 (2020), 2006.11837.
- [53] V. V. Flambaum, L. Su, L. Wu, and B. Zhu (2020), 2012.09751.
- [54] J. W. Foster, M. Kongsore, C. Dessert, Y. Park, N. L. Rodd, K. Cranmer, and B. R. Safdi (2021), 2102.02207.
- [55] L. Davis, A. S. Goldhaber, and M. M. Nieto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **35**, 1402 (1975), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.35.1402>.
- [56] J. Jaeckel and A. Ringwald, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **60**, 405 (2010), 1002.0329.
- [57] A. S. GOLDHABER and M. M. NIETO, Rev. Mod. Phys. **43**, 277 (1971), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.43.277>.