

Open & Closed vs. Pure Open String One-Loop Amplitudes

S. Stieberger

*Max-Planck-Institut für Physik
Werner-Heisenberg-Institut, 80805 München, Germany*

Abstract

We express one-loop string amplitudes involving both open and closed strings as sum over pure open string amplitudes. These findings generalize the analogous tree-level result to higher loops and extend the tree-level observation that in gravitational amplitudes a graviton can be traded for two gluons. Our results are derived from analytic continuation of closed string world-sheet coordinates on the cylinder resulting in pairs of real open string coordinates located at the two cylinder boundaries subject to a one-loop kernel. The latter depends on the loop momentum flowing between the two cylinder boundaries and relates to intersection theory for twisted cycles. Finally, contact is made with one-loop open string monodromy relations. The latter contain a boundary term, which is related to non-physical contours on the cylinder. A physical interpretation of the latter in terms of a closed string insertion is given.

Contents

1. <i>Introduction</i>	1
2. <i>One-loop cylinder amplitudes involving open and closed strings</i>	2
2.1. Generic configuration	4
2.2. Sample configurations	7
3. <i>Open and closed string amplitudes as pure open string amplitudes</i>	10
3.1. Amplitudes with one closed string and n_o open strings	10
3.2. Amplitudes with n_c closed strings and n_o open strings	19
3.3. Cylinder amplitudes with n_c closed strings	24
4. <i>Open string one-loop monodromy relations and closed string insertion</i>	32
4.1. Amplitude with one closed string and n_o non-planar open strings	32
4.2. One-loop monodromy relations, closed string insertion and a marked point	37
5. <i>Concluding remarks</i>	41
<i>Appendix A. Open string Green's functions</i>	42
A.1. Open string Green's function on the disk	42
A.2. Open string Green's function on the annulus	43
<i>Appendix B. Jacobi theta functions and identities</i>	44

1. Introduction

Both gravity and gauge theories contain a local symmetry and mediate long-range forces at the classical level. Furthermore, they have a number of other well-known common features. In particular, at the quantum level both forces are believed to be mediated through elementary bosons. One may speculate that a massless graviton of spin-two can in some way be interpreted as a pair of two spin-one gluons. In fact, it is a long standing question to what extent a graviton can be described by composite gluons. A priori there is a no-go theorem, which seems to negate this question [1]. The latter states, that in a relativistic quantum field theory with a Lorentz-covariant energy-momentum tensor composite as well as elementary massless particles with spin higher than one are forbidden. This theorem holds for any known renormalizable field-theory, e.g. QCD and its proof relies on the construction of a conserved and Lorentz-covariant stress tensor. As a consequence the graviton cannot be a bound state of known elementary particles. However, a closer look reveals that there are ways to circumvent this theorem like massive gravity, conformal field theory, or string theory do not seem to fulfil all assumptions for this theorem. E.g. in string theory a Lorentz-covariant stress tensor cannot consistently be defined as a function of target space coordinates. Moreover, at the level of interactions unexpected relations between gravitational and gauge amplitudes hold, which signals that there is indeed some gauge structure in quantum gravity.

In fact, it is string theory where gauge-gravity connections become manifest – at least in the most direct and obvious way. In string theory a massless graviton state appears as lowest closed string mode whilst a gluon is the lowest massless open string state. The closed string modes can be described by a tensor product of left-movers and right-movers with each one describing open strings. On the string world-sheet, which describes the relevant perturbative string amplitude, the left- and right movers are linked by monodromies, which are specified by some kernel or intersection matrix. It is this hybrid construction which entails the connection between gravitational and gauge amplitudes in perturbative string theory. In fact, many gauge-gravity relations derive from properties of the underlying string world-sheet and give already rise to unexpected relations in field theory. One of the most well-known relation is the Kawai-Lewellen-Tye (KLT) relation [2]. This relation which is derived from pure string world-sheet properties without any assumptions on the underlying string background (except that there exists an underlying conformal field theory description) expresses closed string tree amplitudes as weighted sum over squares of open string tree amplitudes. This identity holds to all orders in the inverse string tension α' , with the latter related to the string mass as follows $\alpha' \sim M_{\text{string}}^{-2}$. In the field-theory limit $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$, this relation implies that at tree-level gravitational amplitudes can be expressed as sum over squares of gauge amplitudes. Furthermore, in [3] it has been shown

that any tree-level string amplitude involving n_c closed strings and n_o open strings can be written as linear combination of pure open string amplitudes involving only $2n_c + n_o$ open strings. These structures have been further exploited and extended leading to new relations for Einstein–Yang–Mills (EYM) amplitudes both at string and field–theory level [4,5,6]. Cf. also [7] for some interesting complementary aspects. At any rate in the field–theory limit, these findings imply that at least at tree-level properties of gravitational amplitudes are inherited from gauge amplitudes. Therefore, it is natural to ask for a one-loop generalization thereof.

In tackling any closed string amplitude calculation it useful to first consider the corresponding open string computation. At field–theory level this means that for graviton amplitudes one should recycle results from gauge amplitudes [8]. In this work we study one-loop string amplitudes involving both open and closed strings. Their interaction at one-loop is described by a cylinder world-sheet. We show that one-loop cylinder amplitudes of n_c closed and n_o open strings can be written as pure open string one-loop amplitudes involving $2n_c + n_o$ open strings with each closed string replaced by two open strings. The present work essentially aims at a one-loop generalization of [3] and [4].

The organization of this work is as follows. In Section 2 we introduce generic one-loop cylinder amplitudes involving both open and closed strings. We also discuss various setups resulting in different interactions of closed string left- and right moving fields. In Section 3 we solve the monodromy problem on the cylinder by deforming the closed string position integrations along a closed contour thereby disentangling holomorphic and anti-holomorphic coordinates and converting them into a pair of real coordinates describing open string positions located along the two cylinder boundaries. Finally, in Section 4 we relate these steps to the one-loop open string monodromy relations. The latter contain a boundary term, which is related to non-physical contours on the cylinder. A physical interpretation of the latter in terms of a closed string insertion is attributed. In Section 5 we summarize our results and give some concluding remarks.

2. One-loop cylinder amplitudes involving open and closed strings

We consider the scattering of n_o open and n_c closed strings at one-loop. The on-shell string amplitude $A_{n_o, n_c}^{(1)}$ is described by the topology of a world-sheet cylinder or annulus with two boundaries or a Möbius strip with one boundary. In the following we shall concentrate on the first case. The underlying string world-sheet is described by a cylinder \mathcal{C} with complex structure modulus $\tau = it$ (modular parameter), with $t \in (0, \infty)$. The complex coordinate $z = \sigma^1 + i\sigma^2$ on the cylinder is parameterized by $(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) \in [0, 1] \times [0, \frac{t}{2}]$. The n_o open strings are described through vertex operator insertions at the two boundaries

boundaries $\sigma^2 = 0$ and $\sigma^2 = \frac{t}{2}$, respectively. On the other hand, the n_c closed strings are inserted at points z_r in the bulk. Hence, for the cylinder diagram under consideration n_1 of the n_o open string insertions x_i , $i = 1, \dots, n_1$ may be put on the first boundary and n_2 insertions x'_j , $j = 1, \dots, n_2$ on the second boundary, with $n_o = n_1 + n_2$. The bosonic open string Green's function on the cylinder (referring to Neumann boundary conditions for the open string ends) is given by

$$G^{(1)}(z_1, z_2) = \ln \left| \frac{\theta_1(z_1 - z_2, \tau)}{\theta'_1(0, \tau)} \right| - \frac{\pi}{\Im\tau} [\Im(z_2 - z_1)]^2, \quad (2.1)$$

and $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ with the complex structure modulus $\tau = i\tau_2 = it$. The second term of (2.1) renders the function to be single-valued on the torus under the shift $z \rightarrow z + \tau$ [9]. Furthermore, the scalar open string Green function on the cylinder describing two positions on two distinct boundaries is given by:

$$G_T^{(1)}(z_1, z_2) = \ln \left| \frac{\theta_4(z_1 - z_2, \tau)}{\theta'_1(0, \tau)} \right| - \frac{\pi}{\Im\tau} [\Im(z_2 - z_1)]^2. \quad (2.2)$$

On surfaces with boundaries there is an interaction between left- and right-moving closed string fields. This effect can conveniently be described by the doubling trick [10]. As a result the Green's function G_B on the cylinder for the closed string bosonic fields can be written as

$$G_B(z_1, z_2) = G^{(1)}(z_1, z_2) \pm G^{(1)}(z_1, \bar{z}_2), \quad (2.3)$$

with positive sign referring to Neumann and negative sign to Dirichlet boundary conditions, respectively. The different boundary conditions for the fields can be specified by a matrix $D^{\mu\nu}$, with $\mu, \nu = 0, \dots, d-1$ with d the space-time dimension, cf. also Appendix A. If we represent a generic plane wave closed string state by

$$|q\rangle = \lim_{\rho, \bar{\rho} \rightarrow 0} : e^{iq_L^\mu X_\mu(\rho)} e^{iq_R^\mu X_\mu(\bar{\rho})} : |0\rangle, \quad (2.4)$$

with complex plane coordinates $\rho, \bar{\rho} \in \mathbf{C}$ and the total momentum $q_L + q_R$ the interaction between left- and right-moving fields can conveniently be described by correlators on the torus. In particular, for a specific D-brane setup with boundary matrix D we choose the left- and right-moving momenta q_L, q_R as

$$q_L = \frac{1}{2}q, \quad q_R = \frac{1}{2}Dq, \quad (2.5)$$

with $q_L^2 = q_R^2 = 0$ representing massless closed states. Then, the total (parallel) closed string momentum $q^\parallel \equiv q_L + q_R$ is given by

$$q^\parallel = \frac{1}{2}(q + Dq), \quad (2.6)$$

while the (orthogonal) closed string momentum $q^\perp \equiv q_L - q_R$ is determined by

$$q^\perp = \frac{1}{2}(q - Dq) , \quad (2.7)$$

with $(q^\parallel)^2 = 2q_L q_R = \frac{1}{2}q Dq$ for the massless case $q^2 = (q^\parallel)^2 + (q^\perp)^2 = 0$, i.e. $(q^\perp)^2 = -(q^\parallel)^2$. The notions parallel and orthogonal refer to a Dp -brane describing a $p+1$ -dimensional hyperplane in the d dimensional space-time. Amplitudes involving open and closed strings describe excited D-branes emitting and absorbing bulk closed string states.

2.1. Generic configuration

To describe a non-planar cylinder configuration we introduce the two orderings $\mathcal{A} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_1}\}$, $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n_2}\}$, with $n_1 + n_2 = n_o$. The elements $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \mathcal{P}_{n_o}$ of the two sets \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} with $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{P}_{n_o}$ and $\mathcal{P}_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$ label n_o open strings inserted along the two cylinder boundaries. Furthermore, we have a set $\mathcal{C} = \{q_{L,1}, q_{R,1}, \dots, q_{L,n_c}, q_{R,n_c}\}$ of left- and right-moving closed string momenta referring to n_c closed strings. Then, in d space-time dimensions the color-ordered amplitude of n_o open and n_c closed strings at one-loop assumes the generic form

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n_o, n_c}^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}|\mathcal{C}) &= g_o^{n_o} g_c^{n_c} \delta^{(d)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} p_{\alpha_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} p_{\beta_j} + \sum_{k=1}^{n_c} q_k^\parallel \right) \\ &\times \int d\tau_2 V_{CKG}^{-1} \left(\int_{\mathcal{I}_A} \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} dx_{\alpha_i} \int_{\mathcal{I}_B} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} dx'_{\beta_j} \right) E(\{x_i, x'_j\}) \\ &\times \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}} \prod_{s=1}^{n_c} d^2 z_s \right) I(\{x_i, x'_j, z_s, \bar{z}_s\}) Q(\tau, \{x_i, x'_j, z_s, \bar{z}_s\}) , \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

with the open and closed string coupling constants g_o and g_c , respectively and the two domains of integrations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_A &= \{x_{\alpha_i} \in \mathbf{R} \mid 0 < x_{\alpha_1} < \dots < x_{\alpha_{n_1}} < 1\} , \\ \mathcal{I}_B &= \{x'_{\beta_j} \in \mathbf{R} \mid 0 < x'_{\beta_1} < \dots < x'_{\beta_{n_2}} < 1\} , \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

subject to the orderings \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} of open string vertex operator insertions along the two cylinder boundaries, respectively. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(\{x_i, x'_j\}) &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n_1} e^{2\alpha' p_{\alpha_i} p_{\alpha_j} G^{(1)}(x_{\alpha_j}, x_{\alpha_i})} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n_2} e^{2\alpha' p_{\beta_i} p_{\beta_j} G^{(1)}(x'_{\beta_j}, x'_{\beta_i})} \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n_1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq n_2}} e^{2\alpha' p_{\alpha_i} p_{\beta_j} G_T^{(1)}(x'_{\beta_j}, x_{\alpha_i})} , \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\{x_i, x'_j, z_s, \bar{z}_s\}) &= \prod_{r=1}^{n_c} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha'(q_r^\parallel)^2 G_N^{(1)}(z_r, \bar{z}_r)} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha'(q_r^\perp)^2 G_D^{(1)}(z_r, \bar{z}_r)} \\
&\times \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n_c} e^{\alpha' q_r q_s G^{(1)}(z_s, z_r)} e^{\alpha' q_r D q_s G^{(1)}(z_s, \bar{z}_r)} \\
&\times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n_1 \\ 1 \leq r \leq n_c}} e^{2\alpha' p_{\alpha_i} q_r G^{(1)}(z_r, x_{\alpha_i})} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n_2 \\ 1 \leq r \leq n_c}} e^{2\alpha' p_{\beta_j} q_r G_T^{(1)}(z_r, x'_{\beta_j})} , \quad (2.10)
\end{aligned}$$

accounting for the insertion of an exponential factor universal to all vertex operators. Finally, we have the momentum conservation condition (parallel to the D-brane world volume):

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} p_{\alpha_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} p_{\beta_j} + \sum_{r=1}^{n_c} q_r^\parallel = 0 . \quad (2.11)$$

Above we have used the bosonic closed string Green's functions on the cylinder

$$\begin{aligned}
G_N^{(1)}(z, \bar{z}) &= \ln \left| \frac{\theta_1(z - \bar{z}, \tau)}{\theta_1'(0, \tau)} \right| - \frac{4\pi}{\tau_2} \Im(z)^2 , \\
G_D^{(1)}(z, \bar{z}) &= \ln \left| \frac{\theta_1'(0, \tau)}{\theta_1(z - \bar{z}, \tau)} \right| + \frac{4\pi}{\tau_2} \Im(z)^2 , \quad (2.12)
\end{aligned}$$

referring to Neumann and Dirichlet boundary conditions along the boundary $z = \bar{z}$, respectively. The modular function Q of weight w , *i.e.* $Q(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \{\frac{x_i}{\tau}, \frac{x'_j}{\tau}, \frac{z_s}{\tau}, \frac{\bar{z}_s}{\tau}\}) = |\tau|^w Q(\tau, \{x_i, x'_j, z_s, \bar{z}_s\})$ depends on the set of positions $\{x_i, x'_j, z_s, \bar{z}_s\}$ and describes the remaining contractions of vertex operators including ghost and matter contributions and the partition function. The simplest case represents tachyon scattering in $d=26$ bosonic string theory, with $Q = \eta(\tau)^{-24}$. The volume of the conformal Killing group $V_{CKG} = \tau_2 = t$ can be cancelled by fixing one real part of one of the open or closed vertex operator positions. The corresponding world-sheet cylinder with open and closed string insertions is depicted in the next figure Fig. 1.




Fig. 1 String world-sheet cylinder with $n_1 + n_2$ open and n_c closed string insertions.

Since $x_i, x'_j \in \mathbf{R}$ only the closed string positions are sensitive to the second term of the Green's function (2.1). Explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\{x_i, x'_j\}) &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n_1} \left| \frac{\theta_1(x_{\alpha_j} - x_{\alpha_i}, \tau)}{\theta'_1(0, \tau)} \right|^{2\alpha' p_{\alpha_i} p_{\alpha_j}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n_2} \left| \frac{\theta_1(x'_{\beta_j} - x'_{\beta_i}, \tau)}{\theta'_1(0, \tau)} \right|^{2\alpha' p_{\beta_i} p_{\beta_j}} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n_1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq n_2}} \left| \frac{\theta_4(x'_{\beta_j} - x_{\alpha_i}, \tau)}{\theta'_1(0, \tau)} \right|^{2\alpha' p_{\alpha_i} p_{\beta_j}}, \tag{2.13}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\{x_i, x'_j, z_s, \bar{z}_s\}) &= \Theta_L(\{x_i, x'_j, z_s, \bar{z}_s\}) \Theta_R(\{x_i, x'_j, z_s, \bar{z}_s\}) I_{nh}(\{z_s, \bar{z}_s\}) \\
&\quad \times \prod_{r=1}^{n_c} \left| \frac{\theta_1(z_r - \bar{z}_r, \tau)}{\theta'_1(0, \tau)} \right|^{\alpha' (q_r^\parallel)^2}, \tag{2.14}
\end{aligned}$$

with the functions

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_L(\{x_i, x'_j, z_s, \bar{z}_s\}) &= \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n_c} \left[\frac{\theta_1(z_s - z_r, \tau)}{\theta'_1(0, \tau)} \right]^{2\alpha' q_{L,s} q_{L,r}} \left[\frac{\theta_1(z_s - \bar{z}_r, \tau)}{\theta'_1(0, \tau)} \right]^{2\alpha' q_{L,s} q_{R,r}} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n_1 \\ 1 \leq r \leq n_c}} \left[\frac{\theta_1(z_r - x_{\alpha_i}, \tau)}{\theta'_1(0, \tau)} \right]^{2\alpha' p_{\alpha_i} q_{L,r}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n_2 \\ 1 \leq r \leq n_c}} \left[\frac{\theta_4(z_r - x'_{\beta_j}, \tau)}{\theta'_1(0, \tau)} \right]^{2\alpha' p_{\beta_j} q_{L,r}}, \\
\Theta_R(\{x_i, x'_j, z_s, \bar{z}_s\}) &= \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n_c} \left[\frac{\bar{\theta}_1(\bar{z}_s - \bar{z}_r, \bar{\tau})}{\bar{\theta}'_1(0, \bar{\tau})} \right]^{2\alpha' q_{R,s} q_{R,r}} \left[\frac{\bar{\theta}_1(\bar{z}_s - z_r, \bar{\tau})}{\bar{\theta}'_1(0, \bar{\tau})} \right]^{2\alpha' q_{R,s} q_{L,r}} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n_1 \\ 1 \leq r \leq n_c}} \left[\frac{\bar{\theta}_1(\bar{z}_r - x_{\alpha_i}, \bar{\tau})}{\bar{\theta}'_1(0, \bar{\tau})} \right]^{2\alpha' p_{\alpha_i} q_{R,r}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n_2 \\ 1 \leq r \leq n_c}} \left[\frac{\bar{\theta}_4(\bar{z}_r - x'_{\beta_j}, \bar{\tau})}{\bar{\theta}'_1(0, \bar{\tau})} \right]^{2\alpha' p_{\beta_j} q_{R,r}} \tag{2.15}
\end{aligned}$$

and the non-holomorphic factor only depending on the closed string positions z_r :

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{nh}(\{z_s, \bar{z}_s\}) &= e^{-\frac{4\pi\alpha'}{\tau_2} \sum_{r=1}^{n_c} (q_r^\parallel)^2 \Im(z_r)^2} e^{-\frac{\pi\alpha'}{\tau_2} \sum_{\substack{r,s=1 \\ r < s}}^{n_c} q_r q_s \Im(z_r - z_s)^2} e^{-\frac{\pi\alpha'}{\tau_2} \sum_{\substack{r,s=1 \\ r < s}}^{n_c} q_r Dq_s \Im(z_r - \bar{z}_s)^2} \\
&\quad \times e^{-\frac{\pi\alpha'}{\tau_2} \sum_{r=1}^{n_c} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} [q_r p_{\alpha_i} \Im(z_r)^2 + q_r p_{\alpha_i} \Im(\bar{z}_r)^2]} e^{-\frac{\pi\alpha'}{\tau_2} \sum_{r=1}^{n_c} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} [q_r p_{\beta_j} \Im(z_r)^2 + q_r p_{\beta_j} \Im(\bar{z}_r)^2]} \\
&= e^{\frac{\pi\alpha'}{2\tau_2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{n_c} (q_r - Dq_r)^t (q_s - Dq_s) \Im(z_r) \Im(z_s)} \tag{2.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Note, that above we have used the relations $p_i q_r = p_i Dq_r$ following from $p_i q_r = p_i q_r^\parallel$. Furthermore, with (2.5) the non-holomorphic factor (2.16) may also be expressed in terms

of generic left- and right-moving momenta:

$$I_{nh}(\{z_s, \bar{z}_s\}) = e^{-\frac{4\pi\alpha'}{\tau_2} \sum_{r=1}^{n_c} (q_{L,r}^t q_{L,r} + q_{R,r}^t q_{R,r}) \Im(z_r)^2} e^{\frac{2\pi\alpha'}{\tau_2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{n_c} (q_{L,r} - q_{R,r})^t (q_{L,s} - q_{R,s}) \Im(z_r) \Im(z_s)} . \quad (2.17)$$

2.2. Sample configurations

2.2.1. Collinear left- and right-moving closed string momenta

Instead of the splitting (2.5) we may distribute the closed string momentum q into left- and right-moving (collinear) momenta as [5]

$$q_L = \rho q \quad , \quad q_R = (1 - \rho) q \quad , \quad (2.18)$$

with $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ and the total momentum

$$q^\parallel \equiv q_L + q_R = q \quad , \quad (2.19)$$

with $q^2 = 0$ and $q_L^2 = q_R^2 = 0$ for the massless case. Of course, the simplest choice is $\rho_s = \frac{1}{2}$ corresponding to $q_{L,s} = q_{R,s} = \frac{q_s}{2}$. Obviously, in this case we have $\Theta_R = \bar{\Theta}_R$. However, in the following we shall discuss the case $\rho_s \neq 0$. The parameterization is most suited for studying collinear limits of closed string momenta [5].

2.2.2. Generic D-brane setup

For generic Dp -branes the non-holomorphic factor (2.16) assumes the general form

$$I_{nh}(\{z_s, \bar{z}_s\}) = e^{\frac{2\pi\alpha'}{\tau_2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{n_c} (q_r^\perp)^t q_s^\perp \Im(z_r) \Im(z_s)} = e^{-\frac{\pi\alpha'}{2\tau_2} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{n_c} q_r^\perp (z_r - \bar{z}_r) \right)^2} , \quad (2.20)$$

which in turn by introducing the loop momentum ℓ can be converted into

$$\left(\frac{\alpha' \tau_2}{2} \right)^{-d/2} I_{nh}(\{z_s, \bar{z}_s\}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^d \ell \mathcal{L}(\{z_s, \bar{z}_s\}; \ell) \quad , \quad (2.21)$$

with the loop momentum dependent factor depending on the closed string positions z_r :

$$\mathcal{L}(\{z_s, \bar{z}_s\}; \ell) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \pi \alpha' \tau_2 \ell^2 - \pi i \alpha' \ell \sum_{r=1}^{n_c} q_r^\perp (z_r - \bar{z}_r) \right\} . \quad (2.22)$$

The loop momentum ℓ has been introduced for the case of closed strings on the torus to disentangle the integrand into holomorphic and anti-holomorphic parts [9]. For generic Dp -brane scattering with (2.21) now the integrand (2.14) can be written as:

$$\left(\frac{\alpha' \tau_2}{2} \right)^{-d/2} I(\{x_i, x'_j, z_s, \bar{z}_s\}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^d \ell I(\{x_i, x'_j, z_s, \bar{z}_s\}; \ell) \quad , \quad (2.23)$$

with¹:

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\{x_i, x'_j, z_s, \bar{z}_s\}; \ell) &:= \mathcal{L}(\{z_s, \bar{z}_s\}; \ell) \prod_{r,s=1}^{n_c} |\theta_1(z_s - z_r, \tau)|^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha' q_s q_r} |\theta_1(z_s - \bar{z}_r, \tau)|^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha' q_s D q_r} \\
&\times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n_1 \\ 1 \leq r \leq n_c}} |\theta_1(z_r - x_{\alpha_i}, \tau)|^{2\alpha' p_{\alpha_i} q_r} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n_2 \\ 1 \leq r \leq n_c}} \left| \theta_4(z_r - x'_{\beta_j}, \tau) \right|^{2\alpha' p_{\beta_j} q_r} .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

2.2.3. Pure Neumann boundary conditions

For the case $D = +1$ describing e.g. the world-volume scattering on a space-time filling D9-brane the factor (2.20) becomes trivial:

$$I_{nh}(\{z_s, \bar{z}_s\}) = 1 . \tag{2.25}$$

Note, that by systematically applying T -duality one can obtain other configurations with $D \neq -1$ describing generic Dp -branes with $p + 1$ Neumann directions and the remaining $d - p - 1$ directions with Dirichlet boundary conditions [11].

2.2.4. Pure Dirichlet boundary conditions

On the other hand, the case $D = -1$ describes e.g. a $D(-1)$ -brane configuration or D-instanton background. According to (2.6) for $D = -1$ we have $q_r^\parallel = 0$ and $q_r = q_r^\perp$, with $q_r^2 = (q_r^\perp)^2 = 0$. Then all scalar products between open p_i and closed string momenta q_r vanish, i.e. $p_i q_{L,r} = p_i q_{R,r} = 0$ and the momentum conservation (2.11) is supplemented by the bulk condition $\sum_{r=1}^{n_c} q_r^\perp = 0$. As a consequence (2.14) becomes a pure closed string object

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\{z_s, \bar{z}_s\}) &\equiv I_{nh}(\{z_s, \bar{z}_s\}) \prod_{r=1}^{n_c} \theta_1(z_r - \bar{z}_r, \tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha' (q_r^\perp)^2} \\
&\times \prod_{r < s}^{n_c} \theta_1(z_s - z_r, \tau)^{\frac{\alpha'}{2} q_r q_s} \theta_1(\bar{z}_s - \bar{z}_r, \tau)^{\frac{\alpha'}{2} q_r q_s} \theta_1(z_s - \bar{z}_r, \tau)^{\frac{\alpha'}{2} q_s D q_r} \theta_1(\bar{z}_s - z_r, \tau)^{\frac{\alpha'}{2} q_r D q_s} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

¹ Note that compared to (2.14) in the following definition of I we have dropped a total factor of $|\theta_1(0, \tau)|^{\alpha' \left(\sum_{r=1}^{n_c} q_r^\parallel \right)^2}$, which will be taken into account when combining it with (2.10) into (2.8).

with the non-holomorphic expression (2.16). On the other hand, the open string part (2.13) decouples from the closed string part (2.26). Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\alpha'\tau_2}{2}\right)^{-d/2} I(\{z_s, \bar{z}_s\}) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^d\ell \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\pi\alpha'\tau_2\ell^2 + 2\pi\alpha'\ell \sum_{r=1}^{n_c} q_r \Im(z_r)\right\} \\ &\times \prod_{r<s}^{n_c} \left(\frac{\theta_1(z_s - z_r, \tau)}{\theta_1(z_s - \bar{z}_r, \tau)}\right)^{\frac{\alpha'}{2}q_r q_s} \left(\frac{\theta_1(\bar{z}_s - \bar{z}_r, \tau)}{\theta_1(\bar{z}_s - z_r, \tau)}\right)^{\frac{\alpha'}{2}q_r q_s}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

Eventually, without open strings inserting (2.27) into (2.8) gives rise to the n -point amplitude $A_{0,n_c}^{(1)}$ involving $n = n_c$ closed string

$$\left(\frac{\alpha'\tau_2}{2}\right)^{-d/2} A_{0,n}^{(1)}(q_1, \dots, q_n) = g_c^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^d\ell V_{CKG}^{-1} \mathcal{T}(q_1, \dots, q_n; -\ell, \ell), \quad (2.28)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(q_1, \dots, q_n; -\ell, \ell) &:= \delta^{(0)}\left(\sum_{r=1}^n q_r\right) \int d\tau_2 \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}} \prod_{s=1}^n d^2z_s\right) Q(\tau, \{z_s, \bar{z}_s\}) \\ &\times \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\pi\alpha'\tau_2\ell^2 + 2\pi\alpha'\ell \sum_{r=1}^n q_r \Im(z_r)\right\} \\ &\times \prod_{r<s}^n \left(\frac{\theta_1(z_s - z_r, \tau)}{\theta_1(z_s - \bar{z}_r, \tau)}\right)^{\frac{\alpha'}{2}q_r q_s} \left(\frac{\theta_1(\bar{z}_s - \bar{z}_r, \tau)}{\theta_1(\bar{z}_s - z_r, \tau)}\right)^{\frac{\alpha'}{2}q_r q_s}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

Due to the decoupling of open and closed string adding open strings is simply accomplished by the additional factor (2.13). With the additional (closed string) data

$$z_{n+1} = -\frac{\tau}{2}, \quad q_{n+1} = \ell, \quad (2.30)$$

the following identity holds:

$$\exp\left\{+2\pi\alpha'\ell \sum_{r=1}^n q_r \Im(z_r)\right\} = \prod_{r=1}^n \left(\frac{\theta_1(z_{n+1} - z_r, \tau)}{\theta_1(z_{n+1} - \bar{z}_r, \tau)}\right)^{\frac{\alpha'}{2}q_r q_{n+1}} \left(\frac{\theta_1(\bar{z}_{n+1} - \bar{z}_r, \tau)}{\theta_1(\bar{z}_{n+1} - z_r, \tau)}\right)^{\frac{\alpha'}{2}q_r q_{n+1}}. \quad (2.31)$$

Eventually, with (2.30), (2.31) and

$$q_0 = -\ell, \quad (2.32)$$

we can generalize (2.29) to:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(q_1, \dots, q_n; q_0, q_{n+1}) &= \delta^{(0)}\left(q_0 + q_{n+1} + \sum_{r=1}^n q_r\right) \int d\tau_2 \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}} \prod_{s=1}^n d^2z_s\right) Q(\tau, \{z_s, \bar{z}_s\}) \\ &\times e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi\alpha'\tau_2 q_{n+1}^2} \prod_{\substack{r,s=1 \\ r \neq s}}^{n+1} \left|\frac{\theta_1(z_s - z_r, \tau)}{\theta_1(z_s - \bar{z}_r, \tau)}\right|^{\frac{\alpha'}{2}q_r q_s}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

This expression $\mathcal{T}(q_1, \dots, q_n; q_0, q_{n+1})$ is the off-shell closed string amplitude derived in [12] (with $\frac{\alpha'}{2} = (4\pi T)^{-1}$, $\tau = 2i\lambda$, the tube length λ and $Q = \eta(\tau)^{-24}$). It describes the (semi) off-shell scattering of n massless closed strings $q_i^2 = 0$ ($i=1, \dots, n$) and two off-shell string states $q_i^2 = \ell^2 \neq 0$ ($i=0, n+1$), cf. Fig. 2.




Fig. 2 Scattering of two off-shell string states and n massless closed strings.

Thus, the loop momentum dependent factor (2.21) has an interesting interpretation within off-shell string scattering. Integrating over the loop momentum ℓ converts the off-shell amplitude (2.33) into the on-shell n -point amplitude (2.28). Performing in (2.29) the integration over the closed string positions z_s will be accomplished in the next section.

3. Open and closed string amplitudes as pure open string amplitudes

In this subsection we perform the necessary steps to convert integrations over complex closed string positions on the cylinder \mathcal{C} into pairs of real integrations over open string coordinates. We shall perform an analytic continuation of the closed string vertex operator positions $z_t \in \mathcal{C}$ on the cylinder (with $t = 1, \dots, n_c$) and consider some closed contour integrals on the cylinder. As a result for each complex coordinate z_t we obtain a pair of two real coordinates ξ_t, η_t describing two real open string positions along one of the two cylinder boundaries.

3.1. Amplitudes with one closed string and n_o open strings

In order to explain our methods for simplicity we first focus on the case $n_c = 1$. We consider a planar one-loop cylinder amplitude with canonical open string ordering $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \dots, n_1\}$, with any number $n_o = n_1$ of open strings. Let us discuss the dependence of

the integrand (2.24) on the closed string coordinate z . Applying (B.13) the latter becomes

$$I(z, \bar{z}; \ell) := I(\{x_i, x'_j, z, \bar{z}\}; \ell) \equiv \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \pi \alpha' \tau_2 \ell^2 - \pi i \alpha' \ell q^\perp (z - \bar{z}) \right\} |\theta_1(z - \bar{z}, \tau)|^{\alpha' (q^\parallel)^2} \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^{n_o} \theta_1(z - x_i, \tau)^{\alpha' q p_i} \theta_1(\bar{z} - x_i, \tau)^{\alpha' q p_i} , \quad (3.1)$$

including the non-holomorphic factor (2.22) for $n_c = 1$. The combination of theta-functions in the integrand (3.1) is single-valued in z . After introducing the parameterization

$$z = \sigma^1 + i\sigma^2 \quad , \quad \sigma^1 \in (0, 1) \quad , \quad \sigma^2 \in (0, \frac{t}{2}) \quad , \quad (3.2)$$

we investigate the holomorphic σ^2 -dependence of

$$I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2; \ell) := \mathcal{L}(\sigma^2; \ell) \theta_1(2i\sigma^2, \tau)^{\alpha' (q^\parallel)^2} \prod_{i=1}^{n_o} \theta_1(\sigma^1 + i\sigma^2 - x_i, \tau)^{\alpha' q p_i} \theta_1(\sigma^1 - i\sigma^2 - x_i, \tau)^{\alpha' q p_i} , \quad (3.3)$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}(\sigma^2; \ell) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \pi \alpha' \tau_2 \ell^2 + 2\pi \alpha' \ell q^\perp \sigma^2 \right\} , \quad (3.4)$$

in the complex σ^2 -plane and consider the closed cycle (polygon) defined by the four edges C_1, C'_1, C_2 and C'_2

$$C = C_1 \cup C'_1 \cup C_2 \cup C'_2 , \quad (3.5)$$

depicted in Fig. 3.




Fig. 3 A closed contour C in the complex σ^2 -plane and branch points.

Since $x_i \in (0, 1)$ the function (3.3) as a holomorphic function in σ^2 has n_o pairs of branch points at $\sigma^2 = \pm i(\sigma^1 - x_i)$ along the imaginary axis $\sigma^2 = 0$ ($z = \bar{z}$) and one branch point at $\sigma^2 = 0$ where the arguments of the theta-functions become zero. The corresponding branching phases are $e^{\pm \pi i \alpha' q p_i}$ and $e^{\pi i \alpha' (q^\parallel)^2}$, respectively. These monodromy phases need to be accommodated when passing these branch cuts. Their effect will be taken into account below. The holomorphic integrand along C'_1 differs from that along C_1 by a phase

$$I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2 - i; \ell) = \vartheta I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2; \ell) , \quad (3.6)$$

given by:

$$\vartheta := e^{-2\pi i \alpha' q^\perp \ell} . \quad (3.7)$$

The last manipulation follows from (2.11) and (B.10). On the other hand, moving from C_2 to C'_2 can be furnished by a shift of the loop momentum ℓ

$$\ell \longrightarrow \ell - q^\perp , \quad (3.8)$$

leading to

$$I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2 + \frac{\tau_2}{2}; \ell) = e^{-\pi i \alpha' (q^\perp)^2} I'(\sigma^1, \sigma^2; \ell - q^\perp) , \quad (3.9)$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned} I'(\sigma^1, \sigma^2; \ell) &= \mathcal{L}(\sigma^2; \ell) \theta_1(2i\sigma^2, \tau)^{\alpha' (q^\parallel)^2} \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^{n_o} \theta_4(\sigma^1 + i\sigma^2 - x_i, \tau)^{\alpha' q p_i} \theta_4(\sigma^1 - i\sigma^2 - x_i, \tau)^{\alpha' q p_i} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

According to (2.8), eventually we need to integrate the coordinate z over the full cylinder \mathcal{C} :

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} d^2 z I(z, \bar{z}; \ell) = -2i \int_0^1 d\sigma^1 \int_0^{t/2} d\sigma^2 I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2; \ell) . \quad (3.11)$$

Thus in (3.11) we are supposed to integrate σ^2 along the (grey) edge C_1 in Fig. 3. We seek to convert this integration to an integration along the imaginary axes C_2, C'_2 by considering the closed cycle (3.5) and using Cauchy's integral theorem stating, that²:

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} d\sigma^2 \hat{I}(\sigma^1, \sigma^2; \ell) = 0 . \quad (3.12)$$

The integrand \hat{I} differs from I by choosing a correct branch when moving in the complex σ^2 -plane and passing the branch cuts discussed above. By using Cauchy's theorem (3.12)

² Note, that the sum of residua of the poles of an elliptic function on the torus vanishes. Therefore, on a torus such a contour integral with I an elliptic function vanishes trivially.

and taking into account the branch cuts when moving along the paths C_2 and C'_2 thanks to the relations (3.6) and (3.9) the σ_2 -integral along C_1 can be expressed as

$$d(\ell, q^\perp) \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} d\sigma^2 I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2; \ell) = -i \int_0^1 d\tilde{\sigma}^2 \left[\hat{I}(\sigma^1, -i\tilde{\sigma}^2; \ell) - \hat{I}'(\sigma^1, -i\tilde{\sigma}^2; \ell - q^\perp) \right], \quad (3.13)$$

with the symbol:

$$d(\ell, q^\perp) = 1 - e^{-2\pi i \alpha' \ell q^\perp}. \quad (3.14)$$

In (3.13) the prime denotes the respective non-planar configuration (3.10) at C'_2 . On the other hand, the hat indicates that the integrands (3.3) and (3.10) have to be supplemented by appropriate phase factors taking into account the branch points along C_2 and C'_2 , respectively. Above for C_2 we have introduced the parameterization $\tilde{\sigma}^2 := i\sigma^2$ subject to $\sigma^2 \in i(-1, 0)$. Similarly for C'_2 we have $\sigma^2 \in \frac{t}{2} + i(0, -1)$. Along C_2 we can introduce the following new real coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \sigma^1 + \tilde{\sigma}^2, \\ \eta &= \sigma^1 - \tilde{\sigma}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

with $\det \left(\frac{\partial(\xi, \eta)}{\partial(\sigma^1, \tilde{\sigma}^2)} \right) = -2$. With this parameterization the integrands in (3.13) can be specified as some function depending on ξ and η as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{I}(\sigma^1, \sigma^2; \ell) &= \Pi_{C_2}(\{x_i\}, \xi, \eta) I_{C_2}(\xi, \eta; \ell), \quad \sigma^2 \in C_2, \\ \hat{I}'(\sigma^1, \sigma^2; \ell) &= \Pi_{C'_2}(\{x_i\}, \xi, \eta) I_{C'_2}(\xi, \eta; \ell), \quad \sigma^2 \in C'_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_{C_2}(\xi, \eta; \ell) &:= \mathcal{L}(\ell; \xi, \eta) |\theta_1(\xi - \eta)|^{\alpha'(q^\parallel)^2} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^{n_o} |\theta_1(\xi - x_i)|^{\alpha' q p_i} |\theta_1(\eta - x_i)|^{\alpha' q p_i}, \\ I_{C'_2}(\xi, \eta; \ell) &:= \mathcal{L}(\ell; \xi, \eta) |\theta_1(\xi - \eta)|^{\alpha'(q^\parallel)^2} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^{n_o} \theta_4(\xi - x_i)^{\alpha' q p_i} \theta_4(\eta - x_i)^{\alpha' q p_i}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

with the loop momentum dependent factor:

$$\mathcal{L}(\ell; \xi, \eta) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \pi \alpha' \tau_2 \ell^2 - i \pi \alpha' \ell q^\perp (\xi - \eta) \right\}. \quad (3.18)$$

The phases Π_{C_2}, Π'_{C_2} will be given below. Now, all the theta-functions of (3.17) entering the integrand (3.13) are analytic and single-valued w.r.t. $\xi, \eta \in \mathbf{R}$.

Due to (3.15) the region $(\sigma^1, \tilde{\sigma}^2) \in [0, 1]^2$ is mapped to a rhombus accounting for the ξ, η -dependence of (3.17), cf. Fig. 4.




Fig. 4 Rhombus divided into the four domains \mathcal{D}_i

This diamond $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_I \cup \mathcal{D}_{II} \cup \mathcal{D}_{III} \cup \mathcal{D}_{IV}$ can be divided into the following four triangular domains

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_I &= \{(\xi, \eta) \mid 0 < \xi < 1 \wedge 0 \leq \eta \leq \xi\} , \\
\mathcal{D}_{II} &= \{(\xi, \eta) \mid 1 < \xi < 2 \wedge 0 \leq \eta \leq 2 - \xi\} , \\
\mathcal{D}_{III} &= \{(\xi, \eta) \mid 1 < \xi < 2 \wedge \xi - 2 \leq \eta \leq 0\} , \\
\mathcal{D}_{IV} &= \{(\xi, \eta) \mid 0 < \xi < 1 \wedge -\xi \leq \eta \leq 0\} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

depicted in Fig. 4. In these four domains we can study the systems (3.17) yet without specifying the phases Π_{C_2}, Π'_{C_2} , which eventually reinstate the correct branch of the integrands (3.17) when ξ, η cross the open string points x_i . After a careful inspection of the dependence of the local system (3.17) on the regions (3.19) and performing changes of integration variables subject to (B.10) we find that at C_2 by shifts in ξ and η the two blue triangles \mathcal{D}_{II} and \mathcal{D}_{IV} combine into the unit square $[0, 1]^2$:

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_{II}} \hat{I}(\xi, \eta; \ell) + \int_{\mathcal{D}_{IV}} \hat{I}(\xi, \eta; \ell) = e^{-\pi i \alpha' \ell q^\perp} \int_0^1 d\xi \int_0^1 d\eta \hat{I}(\xi, \eta; \ell) . \tag{3.20}$$

Similarly, for the red triangles \mathcal{D}_I and \mathcal{D}_{III} we find:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{D}_I} \hat{I}(\xi, \eta; \ell) &= \int_0^1 d\xi \int_0^\xi d\eta \hat{I}(\xi, \eta; \ell) , \\
\int_{\mathcal{D}_{III}} \hat{I}(\xi, \eta; \ell) &= e^{-2\pi i \alpha' \ell q^\perp} \int_0^1 d\xi \int_\xi^1 d\eta \hat{I}(\xi, \eta; \ell) .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Similar manipulations can be made at C'_2 by taking into account the effect of the shift $\ell \rightarrow \ell - q^\perp$. Eventually, with (3.13), (3.20) and (3.21) the complex integral (3.11) over the

complex closed string position z can be combined to the unit square $[0, 1]^2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
2i \sin\left(\frac{\pi\alpha'\ell q^\perp}{2}\right) \int_C d^2z I(z, \bar{z}; \ell) &= e^{\frac{1}{2}\pi i\alpha'\ell q^\perp} \int_0^1 d\xi \int_0^\xi d\eta \left[\hat{I}(\xi, \eta; \ell) - \hat{I}'(\xi, \eta; \ell - q^\perp) \right] \\
&+ e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi i\alpha'\ell q^\perp} \int_0^1 d\xi \int_\xi^1 d\eta \left[\hat{I}(\xi, \eta; \ell) - \hat{I}'(\xi, \eta; \ell - q^\perp) \right] \\
&= \int_0^1 d\xi \int_0^1 d\eta e^{\frac{1}{2}\pi i\alpha'\ell q^\perp \operatorname{sgn}(\xi-\eta)} \left[\hat{I}(\xi, \eta; \ell) - \hat{I}'(\xi, \eta; \ell - q^\perp) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Above we have used the trigonometric identity:

$$e^{\frac{1}{2}\pi i\alpha'\ell q^\perp} \frac{1 - e^{-2\pi i\alpha'\ell q^\perp}}{1 + e^{-\pi i\alpha'\ell q^\perp}} = 2i \sin\left(\frac{\pi\alpha'\ell q^\perp}{2}\right).$$

We are now able to specify the phase factors $\Pi_{C_2}, \Pi_{C'_2}$ accounting for the correct branch when moving in the unit interval $(\xi, \eta) \in [0, 1]^2$ and crossing some of the branch cuts. For a generic configuration of n_o open string vertex positions x_i the phase factors $\Pi_{C_2}, \Pi_{C'_2}$ account for the correct branch of the integrand (3.11) along C_2 and C'_2 , respectively

$$\Pi_{C_2}(\{x_i\}, \xi, \eta) = e^{-\pi i\alpha'(q^\perp)^2} e^{\pi i\alpha'(q^\parallel)^2 \theta(\eta-\xi)} \prod_{i=1}^{n_o} e^{\pi i\alpha' q p_i \{1-\theta[(\xi-x_i)(\eta-x_i)]\}}, \tag{3.23}$$

$$\Pi_{C'_2}(\{x_i\}, \xi, \eta) = e^{-\pi i\alpha'(q^\perp)^2} e^{\pi i\alpha'(q^\parallel)^2 \theta(\eta-\xi)},$$

with the step function θ . Eventually, with (3.13), the complex integral (3.11) over the closed string vertex position z becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_C d^2z I(z, \bar{z}; \ell) &= \int_0^1 d\xi \int_0^1 d\eta \mathfrak{s}(\ell, q^\perp) \left[\Pi_{C_2}(\{x_i\}, \xi, \eta) I_{C_2}(\xi, \eta; \ell) - \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \Pi_{C'_2}(\{x_i\}, \xi, \eta) I_{C'_2}(\xi, \eta; \ell - q^\perp) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

with the kernel:

$$\mathfrak{s}(\ell, q^\perp)^{-1} = 2i \sin\left(\frac{\pi\alpha'\ell q^\perp}{2}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi i\alpha'\ell q^\perp \operatorname{sgn}(\xi-\eta)}. \tag{3.25}$$

Eq. (3.24) enables us to express the complex cylinder integral (3.11) in terms of two terms accounting for the contributions from C_2 and C'_2 , respectively. These two contributions, which are given by real (iterated) integrals at the two boundaries of the cylinder correspond to pure open string one-loop subamplitudes. Thus, we have accomplished to convert one complex closed string coordinate z into a pair of two real open string positions ξ, η by means of considering a closed contour (3.5) in the complex σ^2 -plane and applying Cauchy's theorem (3.12), which results in the relation (3.24). This result enables us to express the complex cylinder integral (3.11) over z in terms two real integrations along the cylinder boundaries. This manipulation is illustrated in Fig. 5.




Fig. 5 Deforming the double cover coordinates $\pm\Im(z)$ of the cylinder to its boundary coordinate $\Re(z)$ resulting in ξ, η .

After splitting the complex cylinder coordinate as (3.2) the $\sigma^2 = \Im(z)$ -integration is deformed to be aligned along $\sigma^1 = \Re(z)$ yielding the real integration variable ξ . Simultaneously, the $\Im(\bar{z})$ -integration is deformed to be aligned along $\Re(z)$ yielding the real integration variable η . In the double cover the real integrations ξ, η describe (iterated) integrals along the two boundaries edges C_2 and C'_2 of two cylinders, cf. also Fig. 5. As a consequence the dependence on the complex closed string coordinate z has been converted into contributions from a pair of open strings. On the cylinder that pair of open strings is located at either one of its boundaries. The resulting non-planar cylinder configurations of $n_o + 2$ open string positions are drawn in Fig. 6.




Fig. 6 Non-planar open string cylinder configurations corresponding to C_2, C'_2 .

More precisely, the configuration referring to C_2 gives rise to a planar subamplitude with all n_o open string positions and ξ, η located at one cylinder boundary. On the other hand,

the configuration referring to C'_2 amounts to a non-planar configuration with the pair ξ, η located at the opposite boundary. To get the full amplitude (2.8) we have to combine (3.24) with the open string part (2.13) subject to the planar case with $n_c = 1$ and integrate over the loop momentum ℓ . In total we obtain for the full amplitude (2.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{n_o,1}^{(1)}(\{1, \dots, n_o\} || \{q_L, q_R\}) &= g_o^{n_o} g_c \delta^{(d)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_o} p_i + q_L + q_R \right) \int d\tau_2 \\
&\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^d \ell V_{CKG}^{-1} \left(\int_{\mathcal{I}} \prod_{i=1}^{n_o} dx_i \right) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n_o} |\theta_1(x_j - x_i, \tau)|^{2\alpha' p_i p_j} \\
&\times \int_0^1 d\xi \int_0^1 d\eta |\theta_1(\xi - \eta)|^{\alpha'(q^\parallel)^2} \mathcal{L}(\ell; \xi, \eta) \hat{Q}(\tau, \{x_i, \xi, \eta\}) \\
&\times \left\{ \mathfrak{s}(\ell, q^\perp) \Pi_{C_2}(\{x_i\}, \xi, \eta) \prod_{i=1}^{n_o} |\theta_1(\xi - x_i)|^{\alpha' q p_i} |\theta_1(\eta - x_i)|^{\alpha' q p_i} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \mathfrak{s}(\ell + q^\perp, q^\perp) \Pi_{C'_2}(\{x_i\}, \xi, \eta) \prod_{i=1}^{n_o} \theta_4(\xi - x_i)^{\alpha' q p_i} \theta_4(\eta - x_i)^{\alpha' q p_i} \right\}, \tag{3.26}
\end{aligned}$$

with the expressions (3.17), (3.18) and (3.23). The function \hat{Q} differs from Q by the universal zero mode factor generated from the loop momentum integral (2.21), i.e. $\hat{Q} = \left(\frac{\alpha' \tau_2}{2}\right)^{d/2} Q$.

Note, that in (3.18) the loop momentum ℓ can be split into its orthogonal ℓ^\perp and transversal ℓ^\parallel part as:

$$\ell = \ell^\parallel + \ell^\perp. \tag{3.27}$$

Then, in (3.26) the integral over ℓ^\parallel decouples and gives for a Dp -brane its zero mode factor:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^{p+1} \ell^\parallel e^{-\frac{1}{2} \pi \alpha' \tau_2 (\ell^\parallel)^2} = \left(\frac{\alpha' \tau_2}{2}\right)^{-\frac{p+1}{2}}. \tag{3.28}$$

On the other hand, for the orthogonal loop momentum ℓ^\perp dependent part we proceed as follows. We apply the relation (2.31), which now reads ($\tau = i\tau_2$):

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp \{-i\pi \alpha' \ell q^\perp (\xi - \eta)\} &= \left(\frac{\theta_1(\xi + \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau) \theta_1(\eta - \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau)}{\theta_1(\xi - \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau) \theta_1(\eta + \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha' \ell q^\perp} \\
&= \left(\frac{\theta_4(\xi + \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau) \theta_4(\eta - \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau)}{\theta_4(\xi - \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau) \theta_4(\eta + \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha' \ell q^\perp}. \tag{3.29}
\end{aligned}$$

The above combinations of theta-function will be accommodated to describe interactions of two (auxiliary) open string states inserted at

$$x_0 = -\frac{\tau}{2}, \quad x'_0 = +\frac{\tau}{2}, \tag{3.30}$$

with the momenta:

$$p_0 = \frac{1}{2}\ell^\perp \quad , \quad p'_0 = \frac{1}{2}D\ell^\perp . \quad (3.31)$$

Note, that these definitions are to be compared to the closed string case (2.30). They give rise to two additional open string insertions at (3.30), which only interact with the transverse part of the closed string momentum q^\perp . We have $\ell q^\perp = \ell^\perp q$ and $l^\perp q^\parallel = 0$ from which we derive $\ell^\perp q = -\ell^\perp Dq$. Moreover, we have $p_0 + p'_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\ell^\perp + D\ell^\perp) = 0$.

For a given ordered configuration of the vertex operator positions $\xi, \eta \in (0, 1)$ relative to x_i along one of the cylinder boundaries (cf. Fig. 6) all the phase factors entering (3.23) and (3.25) are constants depending only on the external momenta. Therefore, we may represent (3.26) as a sum over open string non-planar subamplitudes. For this purpose let us introduce the one-loop non-planar open string subamplitude involving $N = n_1 + n_2$ open strings which follows from (2.8) by dropping the closed string part

$$\begin{aligned} A_N^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}) &= g_o^N \delta^{(d)} \left(k_0 + k_{N+1} + \sum_{i=1}^N k_i \right) V_{CKG}^{-1} \int d\tau_2 \left(\int_{\mathcal{I}_A} \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} dx_{\alpha_i} \int_{\mathcal{I}_B} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} dx_{\beta_j} \right) \\ &\times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n_1} |\theta_1(x_{\alpha_j} - x_{\alpha_i}, \tau)|^{2\alpha' k_{\alpha_i} k_{\alpha_j}} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq i < j \leq n_2+1 \\ (i,j) \neq (0, n_2+1)}} |\theta_1(x_{\beta_j} - x_{\beta_i}, \tau)|^{2\alpha' k_{\beta_i} k_{\beta_j}} \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n_1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq n_2+1}} |\theta_4(x_{\beta_j} - x_{\alpha_i}, \tau)|^{2\alpha' k_{\alpha_i} k_{\beta_j}} \hat{Q}(\tau, \{x_i\}) , \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

with some non-planar configurations $\mathcal{A} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_1}\}, \mathcal{B} = \{\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n_2}, \beta_{n_2+1}\}$ and the integration domain (2.9). Supplementary, the amplitude (3.32) is extended by the two additional open string insertions (3.30) with momenta (3.31) at the second cylinder boundary. More precisely, we have $x_{\beta_0} = -\frac{\tau}{2}$, $x_{\beta_{n_2+1}} = +\frac{\tau}{2}$ with momenta $k_{\beta_0} = p_0$ and $k_{\beta_{n_2+1}} = p'_0$, respectively. Our open string configuration in (3.26) can be described by the following $N + 2$ (with $N = n_o + 2$) open string momenta entering the open string amplitudes (3.32)

$$\begin{aligned} k_r &= p_r \quad , \quad r = 1, \dots, N - 2 \quad , \\ k_{N-1} &= q_L = \frac{1}{2}q \quad , \quad k_N = q_R = \frac{1}{2}Dq \quad , \\ k_0 &= \frac{1}{2}\ell^\perp \quad , \quad k_{N+1} = \frac{1}{2}D\ell^\perp \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

while the $N + 2$ open string insertions x_s along the two boundaries of the cylinder:

$$\begin{aligned} x_r \quad , \quad r &= 1, \dots, N - 2 \quad , \\ x_{N-1} &= \xi \quad , \quad x_N = \eta \quad , \\ x_0 &= -\frac{\tau}{2} \quad , \quad x_{N+1} = +\frac{\tau}{2} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

In the following we shall collect all phases arising from (3.23) and (3.25) and exploit their net effect in (3.26). Those phases are familiar from the tree-level KLT relations [2] or [5] involving the KLT kernel [8,13]. The closed string coordinates $\xi, \eta \in (0, 1)$ give rise to an ordering along one cylinder boundary with regard to the open string positions x_i . For either ordered configuration of ξ and η along the two boundaries of the cylinder (cf. Fig. 6), respectively the result (3.26) represents a sum over one-loop N -point open string cylinder amplitudes (3.32), with the additional two open string insertions (3.30). Eventually, with $g_c = g_o^2$ we can express (3.26) in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{n_o,1}^{(1)}(\{1, \dots, n_o\} || \{k_{N-1}, k_N\}) &= (2i)^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha' \tau_2}{2} \right)^{-\frac{p+1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^{d-p-1} \ell^\perp e^{-\frac{1}{2} \pi \alpha' \tau_2 (\ell^\perp)^2} \\
&\times \left\{ \sin(2\pi \alpha' k_{N-1} k_0)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{A} = OP(\mathcal{P}_{n_o}, \mathcal{O}) \\ \mathcal{B} = \emptyset}} S(\mathcal{A} | \mathcal{O}) A_N^{(1)}(\mathcal{A} | \mathcal{B}) \right. \\
&\left. + \sin(2\pi \alpha' k_{N-1} (k_0 - k_N))^{-1} \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{P}_{n_o} \\ \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{O}}} S(\mathcal{B} | \mathcal{O}) A_N^{(1)}(\mathcal{A} | \mathcal{B}) \right\} \quad (3.35)
\end{aligned}$$

as sum over the N -point non-planar open string subamplitudes $A_N^{(1)}$ defined in (3.32), with $N = n_o + 2$ and some kernels S accounting for all phases. Above we have introduced the two sets $\mathcal{P}_{n_o} = \{1, \dots, n_o\}$ and $\mathcal{O} = \{N-1, N\}$ referring to open string orderings and OP denotes the ordered products of two sets.

3.2. Amplitudes with n_c closed strings and n_o open strings

In the previous subsection we have exhibited in full detail our method for the case of one closed string $n_c = 1$. Here we shall generalize these steps to an arbitrary number n_c of closed strings. Again, we consider the canonical open string orderings $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \dots, n_1\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{n_1 + 1, \dots, n_2\}$. In what follows we shall use $p_i := p_{\alpha_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n_1$ and $p'_j = p_{\beta_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n_2$, with $n_o = n_1 + n_2$.

The combination of theta-functions in the integrand (2.24) is single-valued in the complex closed string coordinates z_r . Following (3.2) for each z_r let us write

$$z_r = \sigma_r^1 + i\sigma_r^2 \quad , \quad \sigma_r^1 \in (0, 1) \quad , \quad \sigma_r^2 \in (0, \frac{t}{2}) \quad , \quad r = 1, \dots, n_c \quad , \quad (3.36)$$

and discuss the holomorphic dependence of the integrand (2.24) on the closed string coordinates σ_r^1, σ_r^2 . Therefore, we introduce the function

$$I(\{\sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2\}; \ell) = \mathcal{L}(\{\sigma_s^2\}; \ell) \prod_{r=1}^{n_c} \theta_1(2i\sigma_r^2)^{\alpha'(q_r^\parallel)^2} \prod_{r < s}^{n_c} \Theta(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2; \sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2)$$

$$\times \prod_{r=1}^{n_c} \Theta_1(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2) \prod_{r=1}^{n_c} \Theta_4(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2) , \quad (3.37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2; \sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2) &= \theta_1(\sigma_s^1 + i\sigma_s^2 - \sigma_r^1 - i\sigma_r^2, \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha' q_s q_r} \theta_1(\sigma_s^1 - i\sigma_s^2 - \sigma_r^1 + i\sigma_r^2, \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha' q_s q_r} \\ &\times \theta_1(\sigma_s^1 + i\sigma_s^2 - \sigma_r^1 + i\sigma_r^2, \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha' q_r D q_s} \theta_1(\sigma_s^1 - i\sigma_s^2 - \sigma_r^1 - i\sigma_r^2, \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha' q_r D q_s} , \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

with the property $\Theta(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2; \sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2) = \Theta(\sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2; \sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_1(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2) &= \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} \theta_1(\sigma_r^1 + i\sigma_r^2 - x_i, \tau)^{\alpha' q_r p_i} \theta_1(\sigma_r^1 - i\sigma_r^2 - x_i, \tau)^{\alpha' q_r p_i} , \\ \Theta_4(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2) &= \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \theta_4(\sigma_r^1 + i\sigma_r^2 - x'_j, \tau)^{\alpha' q_r p'_j} \theta_4(\sigma_r^1 - i\sigma_r^2 - x'_j, \tau)^{\alpha' q_r p'_j} , \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

and the non-holomorphic factor

$$\mathcal{L}(\{\sigma_s^2\}; \ell) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \pi \alpha' \tau_2 \ell^2 + 2\pi \alpha' \ell \sum_{r=1}^{n_c} q_r^\perp \sigma_r^2 \right\} \quad (3.40)$$

following from (2.22). In (2.8) the integration of all closed string coordinates z_r over the full cylinder \mathcal{C} becomes

$$\left(\prod_{r=1}^{n_c} \int_{\mathcal{C}} d^2 z_r \right) I(\{z_s, \bar{z}_s\}; \ell) = (-2i)^{n_c} \left(\prod_{r=1}^{n_c} \int_0^1 d\sigma_r^1 \int_0^{t/2} d\sigma_r^2 \right) I(\{\sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2\}; \ell) , \quad (3.41)$$

with the integrand (3.37) to be supplemented by the open string part (2.10) later.

The expressions (3.37) and (3.40) are holomorphic in all the coordinates σ_r^2 , $r = 1, \dots, n_c$. In the previous subsection we have exhibited how one complex closed string coordinate z is converted into a pair of two real open string positions ξ, η by means of exploiting a closed contour (3.5) in the complex σ^2 -plane and applying Cauchy's theorem (3.12) resulting in the relation (3.24). We want to repeat the steps from the previous subsection and perform an analytic continuation of all closed string coordinates σ_t^2 , $t = 1, \dots, n_c$, defined in (3.36). We shall discuss the dependence of the integrands (3.37) and (3.40) in the complex σ_t^2 -planes and consider in each complex σ_t^2 -plane closed cycles (polygons) C defined by the four edges (3.5). We simultaneously deform all of the σ_t^2 -integration contours from the real axis to the pure imaginary axes C_2, C'_2 , i.e. trade in a σ_r^2 integration by means of deforming its integration region C_1 to C_2, C'_2 . This way we obtain real coordinates ξ_t, η_t given by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_t &= \sigma_t^1 + \tilde{\sigma}_t^2 , \\ \eta_t &= \sigma_t^1 - \tilde{\sigma}_t^2 , \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

with $\tilde{\sigma}_t^2 = i\sigma_t^2$, $t = 1, \dots, n_c$ and $\det\left(\frac{\partial(\xi_t, \eta_t)}{\partial(\sigma_t^1, \sigma_t^2)}\right) = -2$. In terms of the real coordinates (3.42) at $\sigma_t^2 \in C_2$ (3.37) becomes the holomorphic function

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\{\xi_s, \eta_s\}; \ell) &\equiv \mathcal{L}(\{\xi_s, \eta_s\}; \ell) \prod_{r=1}^{n_c} \theta_1(\xi_r - \eta_r)^{\alpha'(q_r^\parallel)^2} \\
&\times \prod_{r < s}^{n_c} \theta_1(\xi_s - \xi_r, \tau)^{\frac{\alpha'}{2} q_s q_r} \theta_1(\eta_s - \eta_r, \tau)^{\frac{\alpha'}{2} q_s q_r} \theta_1(\xi_s - \eta_r, \tau)^{\frac{\alpha'}{2} q_r D q_s} \theta_1(\eta_s - \xi_r, \tau)^{\frac{\alpha'}{2} q_r D q_s} \\
&\times \prod_{r=1}^{n_c} \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} \theta_1(\xi_r - x_i, \tau)^{\alpha' q_r p_i} \theta_1(\eta_r - x_i, \tau)^{\alpha' q_r p_i} \prod_{r=1}^{n_c} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \theta_4(\xi_r - x'_j, \tau)^{\alpha' q_r p'_j} \theta_4(\eta_r - x'_j, \tau)^{\alpha' q_r p'_j},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

with:

$$\mathcal{L}(\{\xi_s, \eta_s\}; \ell) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \pi \alpha' \tau_2 \ell^2 - \pi i \alpha' \ell \sum_{r=1}^{n_c} q_r^\perp (\xi_r - \eta_r) \right\}. \tag{3.44}$$

For each coordinate σ_t^2 we consider the same closed cycle (3.5) in the complex σ_t^2 -plane. However, in the multi-dimensional complex space $\sigma^2 \in \mathbf{C}^{n_c}$ there are now many branch points, all of them lying along the imaginary axes given by $\sigma_s^2 - \sigma_r^2 = \pm i \sigma_{sr}^1$ and $\sigma_s^2 + \sigma_r^2 = \pm i \sigma_{sr}^1$. These conveniently divide themselves into pairs (given by $z_s - z_r = 0$ and $\bar{z}_s - \bar{z}_r = 0$ as well as $z_s - \bar{z}_r = 0$ and $\bar{z}_s - z_r = 0$, respectively). Furthermore, since $x_i, x'_j \in (0, 1)$ the function (3.37) as a holomorphic function in σ_r^2 has $2n_1$ branch points at $\sigma_r^2 = \pm i(\sigma_r^1 - x_i)$ along the imaginary axis $\sigma_r^2 = 0$ and $2n_2$ branch points at $\sigma_r^2 = \pm i(\sigma_r^1 - x'_j) + \frac{t}{2}$ along $\sigma_r^2 = \frac{\tau_2}{2}$. Finally, there are also n_c branch points at the origin $\sigma_r^2 = 0$. These branch cut structures may be examined essentially in the same way as in the previous subsection. Again, by applying Cauchy's integral theorem we shall convert the integration C_1 of σ_t^2 along the real σ_t^2 -axis to an integration along the two axes given by $C_2 : \sigma_t^2 = 0$ and $C'_2 : \sigma_t^2 = \frac{\tau_2}{2}$, respectively.

Let us consider a generic closed string position z_t with $t \in \{1, \dots, n_c\}$. Eventually, we need to integrate the z_t coordinate over the full cylinder \mathcal{C} :

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} d^2 z_t I(z_t, \bar{z}_t; \ell) = -2i \int_0^1 d\sigma_t^1 \int_0^{\tau_2/2} d\sigma_t^2 I(\sigma_t^1, \sigma_t^2; \ell). \tag{3.45}$$

As above we shall explore the holomorphic σ_t^2 -dependence of $I(\{\sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2\}; \ell)$ along the edges (3.5) in the complex σ_t^2 -plane. The latter are depicted in the figure Fig. 3 with σ^2 replaced by σ_t^2 . Thus in (3.45) we are supposed to integrate σ_t^2 along the (grey) edge C_1 depicted in Fig. 3. We seek to convert this integration to an integration along the imaginary axis C_2, C'_2 by considering the closed cycle C and using Cauchy's integral theorem stating, that:

$$\oint_C d\sigma_t^2 \hat{I}(\sigma_t^1, \sigma_t^2; \ell) = 0. \tag{3.46}$$

The integrand \hat{I} differs from I by choosing a correct branch when moving in the complex σ_t^2 -plane and passing the branch cuts discussed above. Moving σ_t^2 from C_2 to C'_2 gives rise to a different (non-planar) configuration of theta-functions than (3.37). To describe this effect let us define

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\alpha,\beta}(\{\sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2\}; \ell) &= \mathcal{L}(\{\sigma_s^2\}; \ell) \prod_{r=1}^{n_c} \theta_1(2i\sigma_r^2)^{\alpha'(q_r^\parallel)^2} \\
&\times \prod_{\substack{r,s \in \alpha \\ r < s}} \Theta(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2; \sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2) \prod_{\substack{r,s \in \beta \\ r < s}} \Theta(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2; \sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2) \prod_{\substack{r \in \alpha \\ s \in \beta}} \Theta_T(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2; \sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2) \\
&\times \prod_{r \in \alpha} \Theta_1(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2) \prod_{r \in \alpha} \Theta_4(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2) \prod_{r \in \beta} \Theta_{1T}(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2) \prod_{r \in \beta} \Theta_{4T}(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

with $\alpha \cup \beta = \{1, \dots, n_c\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_T(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2; \sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2) &= \theta_4(\sigma_s^1 + i\sigma_s^2 - \sigma_r^1 - i\sigma_r^2, \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha'q_sq_r} \theta_4(\sigma_s^1 - i\sigma_s^2 - \sigma_r^1 + i\sigma_r^2, \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha'q_sq_r} \\
&\times \theta_4(\sigma_s^1 + i\sigma_s^2 - \sigma_r^1 + i\sigma_r^2, \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha'q_rDq_s} \theta_4(\sigma_s^1 - i\sigma_s^2 - \sigma_r^1 - i\sigma_r^2, \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha'q_rDq_s} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

with the property $\Theta_T(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2; \sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2) = \Theta_T(\sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2; \sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2)$, and:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{1T}(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2) &= \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} \theta_4(\sigma_r^1 + i\sigma_r^2 - x_i, \tau)^{\alpha'q_r p_i} \theta_4(\sigma_r^1 - i\sigma_r^2 - x_i, \tau)^{\alpha'q_r p_i} , \\
\Theta_{4T}(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2) &= \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \theta_1(\sigma_r^1 + i\sigma_r^2 - x'_j, \tau)^{\alpha'q_r p'_j} \theta_1(\sigma_r^1 - i\sigma_r^2 - x'_j, \tau)^{\alpha'q_r p'_j} .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

The integrands (3.37) and (3.47) along C'_1 differ from that along C_1 by a phase factor

$$I_{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma_t^1, \sigma_t^2 - i; \ell) = \vartheta_t I_{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma_t^1, \sigma_t^2; \ell) , \tag{3.50}$$

given by

$$\vartheta_t := e^{-2\pi i \alpha' q_t^\perp \ell} , \tag{3.51}$$

following from (3.40), i.e.: $\mathcal{L}(\sigma_t^1, \sigma_t^2 - i; \ell) = \vartheta_t \mathcal{L}(\sigma_t^1, \sigma_t^2; \ell)$. Furthermore, moving σ_t^2 from C_2 to C'_2 involves a shift in the loop momentum ℓ

$$\ell \longrightarrow \ell - q_t^\perp , \tag{3.52}$$

leading to

$$I_{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma_t^1, \sigma_t^2 + \frac{\tau_2}{2}; \ell) = e^{-\pi i \alpha' (q_t^\perp)^2} I_{\alpha',\beta'}(\sigma_t^1, \sigma_t^2; \ell - q_t^\perp) , \tag{3.53}$$

with $\alpha' = \alpha/\{t\}$ and $\beta' = \beta \cup \{t\}$.

In the following in (3.41) for each σ_t^2 integration we consider the contour (3.5) in the complex σ_t^2 -plane, cf. Fig. 3 with σ^2 replaced by σ_t^2 . We trade the σ_t^2 -integration along the edges C_1, C'_1 for integrations along the edges C_2, C'_2 . Taking the coordinate σ_t^2 and displaying only the dependence on σ_t^1, σ_t^2 we can write for (3.37) or (3.47)

$$d(l, q_t^\perp) \int_{C_1} d\sigma_t^2 I_{\alpha, \beta}(\sigma_t^1, \sigma_t^2; \ell) = - \int_{C_2} d\sigma_t^2 \hat{I}_{\alpha, \beta}(\sigma_t^1, \sigma_t^2; \ell) - \int_{C'_2} d\sigma_t^2 \hat{I}_{\alpha', \beta'}(\sigma_t^1, \sigma_t^2; \ell - q_t^\perp), \quad (3.54)$$

with the symbol:

$$d(l, q_t^\perp) = 1 - e^{-2\pi i \alpha' l q_t^\perp}. \quad (3.55)$$

The prime denotes the respective non-planar configuration at C'_2 following from (3.53). On the other hand, the hat indicates that the integrand (3.37) has to be supplemented by appropriate phase factors taking into account the branch points along C_2 and C'_2 , respectively. We need to repeat the steps from above and perform an analytic continuation of all closed string coordinates σ_t^2 , $t = 1, \dots, n$, defined in (3.36). We establish for each coordinate σ_t^2 an equation of the sort (3.54). This way, the n_c closed strings are traded for $2n_c$ open strings together with a transverse loop momentum flow ℓ^\perp between the two cylinder boundaries. This is illustrated in Fig. 7.




Fig. 7 Trading n_c closed strings into configurations of $2n_c$ non-planar open strings subject to a transverse loop momentum flow ℓ^\perp between the two cylinder boundaries.

Including open strings is straightforward but tedious and does not change the generic picture. In this case there are more open strings attached to the two cylinder boundaries whose branch cut structure is taken into account by additional phase factors at C_2, C'_2 . In the following let us detail our method by one example and concentrate on the case $n_o = 0$. In the sequel we investigate the setup $D = -1$ introduced in Subsection 2.2.4. For this case we first deal with the expression (2.29) representing the integrand before the loop momentum ℓ integration and then perform its integration to get the amplitude (2.28).

3.3. Cylinder amplitudes with n_c closed strings

After introducing the parameterisation (3.36) the integrand of (2.27) becomes ($n_c = n$)

$$I(\{\sigma_p^1, \sigma_p^2\}; \ell) = \mathcal{L}(\{\sigma_p^2\}; \ell) \prod_{r < s}^n \Theta(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2; \sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2), \quad (3.56)$$

with the loop momentum factor

$$\mathcal{L}(\{\sigma_p^2\}; \ell) := \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \pi \alpha' \tau_2 \ell^2 + 2\pi \alpha' \ell \sum_{r=1}^n q_r \sigma_r^2 \right\}, \quad (3.57)$$

and

$$\Theta(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2; \sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2) = \left(\frac{\theta_1(\sigma_s^1 + i\sigma_s^2 - \sigma_r^1 - i\sigma_r^2, \tau)}{\theta_1(\sigma_s^1 + i\sigma_s^2 - \sigma_r^1 + i\sigma_r^2, \tau)} \frac{\theta_1(\sigma_s^1 - i\sigma_s^2 - \sigma_r^1 + i\sigma_r^2, \tau)}{\theta_1(\sigma_s^1 - i\sigma_s^2 - \sigma_r^1 - i\sigma_r^2, \tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha' q_r q_s}, \quad (3.58)$$

with the property $\Theta(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2; \sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2) = \Theta(\sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2; \sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2)$ and following from (3.38). In what follows similarly to (3.47) we shall also pay attention to object

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\alpha, \beta}(\{\sigma_p^1, \sigma_p^2\}; \ell) &= \mathcal{L}(\{\sigma_p^2\}; \ell) \prod_{\substack{r, s \in \alpha \\ r < s}}^n \Theta(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2; \sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2) \prod_{\substack{r, s \in \beta \\ r < s}}^n \Theta(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2; \sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2) \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{r \in \alpha \\ s \in \beta}}^n \Theta_T(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2; \sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.59)$$

with $\alpha \cup \beta = \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\Theta_T(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2; \sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2) = \left(\frac{\theta_4(\sigma_s^1 + i\sigma_s^2 - \sigma_r^1 - i\sigma_r^2, \tau)}{\theta_4(\sigma_s^1 + i\sigma_s^2 - \sigma_r^1 + i\sigma_r^2, \tau)} \frac{\theta_4(\sigma_s^1 - i\sigma_s^2 - \sigma_r^1 + i\sigma_r^2, \tau)}{\theta_4(\sigma_s^1 - i\sigma_s^2 - \sigma_r^1 - i\sigma_r^2, \tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha' q_r q_s}, \quad (3.60)$$

and $\Theta_T(\sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2; \sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2) = \Theta_T(\sigma_s^1, \sigma_s^2; \sigma_r^1, \sigma_r^2)$ and following from (3.48).

For the moment let us single out one particular coordinate σ_t^2 with $t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and investigate the σ_t^2 -dependence of (3.56). More precisely we shall be concerned with the local system

$$I(\{\sigma_p^1, \sigma_p^2\}; \ell) \hookrightarrow I(\sigma_t^1, \sigma_t^2; \ell), \quad (3.61)$$

which is holomorphic in the coordinate σ_t^2 . Despite the expression (3.61) is the same as (3.37), in this subsection we only display its dependence on σ_t^1 and σ_t^2 and consider the remaining coordinates as spectators. We want to discuss the dependence of (3.61) in

the complex σ_t^2 -plane and consider the closed cycle (polygon) defined by (3.5). First, we determine in (3.50) the loop-momentum dependent phase

$$\vartheta_t = e^{-2\pi i \alpha' \ell q_t} , \quad (3.62)$$

i.e. we have $I(\sigma_t^1, \sigma_t^2 - i; \ell) = \vartheta_t I(\sigma_t^1, \sigma_t^2; \ell)$. On the other hand, shifting σ_t^2 by a half-period $\frac{\tau_2}{2}$ involves a shift in the loop momentum ℓ

$$\ell \longrightarrow \ell - q_t , \quad (3.63)$$

leading to

$$I_{\alpha, \beta}(\sigma_t^1, \sigma_t^2 + \frac{\tau_2}{2}; \ell) = I_{\alpha', \beta'}(\sigma_t^1, \sigma_t^2; \ell - q_t) , \quad (3.64)$$

with $\alpha' = \alpha / \{t\}$ and $\beta' = \beta \cup \{t\}$. In the following in (3.41) for each σ_t^2 integration we consider the contour (3.5) in the complex σ_t^2 -plane, cf. Fig. 3, with σ^2 replaced by σ_t^2 . We trade the σ_t^2 -integration along the edges C_1, C'_1 for integrations along the edges C_2, C'_2 . Similarly to (3.54) starting with the coordinate σ_t^2 we can write for the local system (displaying only the dependence on σ_t^1, σ_t^2)

$$d(l, q_t) \int_{C_1} d\sigma_t^2 I(\sigma_t^1, \sigma_t^2; \ell) = -i \int_0^1 d\tilde{\sigma}_t^2 \left[\hat{I}(\sigma_t^1, -i\tilde{\sigma}_t^2; \ell) - \hat{I}(\sigma_t^1, -i\tilde{\sigma}_t^2; \ell - q_t) \right] , \quad (3.65)$$

with the symbol:

$$d(l, q_t) = 1 - e^{-2\pi i \alpha' \ell q_t} . \quad (3.66)$$

The prime denotes the respective non-planar configuration at C'_2 following from (3.64). On the other hand, the hat indicates that the integrand (3.61) has to be supplemented by appropriate phase factors taking into account the branch points along C_2 and C'_2 , respectively. Above for C_2 we have introduced the parameterization $\tilde{\sigma}_t^2 := i\sigma_t^2$ subject to $\sigma_t^2 \in i(-1, 0)$. Similarly for C'_2 we have $\sigma_t^2 \in \frac{\tau_2}{2} + i(0, -1)$. The next step is to introduce the coordinates (3.42). With this parameterization the integrand of the r.h.s. of (3.65) can be specified as some function depending on ξ_t and η_t as:

$$\hat{I}(\sigma_t^1, -i\tilde{\sigma}_t^2; \ell) \equiv \hat{I}(\xi_t, \eta_t; \ell) . \quad (3.67)$$

Actually, the precise form of the latter with the correct phases will be displayed in the sequel. At any rate, the combination of theta-functions contributing to (3.67) and entering the integrand (3.65) is analytic and single-valued w.r.t. ξ_t, η_t .

Again, due to (3.15) the region $(\sigma_t^1, \tilde{\sigma}_t^2) \in [0, 1]^2$ is mapped to a rhombus accounting for the ξ_t, η_t -dependence of (3.67). This diamond can be divided into the four triangular domains (3.19), depicted in Fig. 4. In these four domains we can study the local system

$$\hat{I} \sim \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \pi \alpha' \tau_2 \ell^2 - \pi i \alpha' \ell \sum_{r=1}^n q_r (\xi_r - \eta_r) \right\} \prod_{s \neq t}^n \left(\frac{\theta_1(\xi_s - \xi_t, \tau) \theta_1(\eta_s - \eta_t, \tau)}{\theta_1(\xi_s - \eta_t, \tau) \theta_1(\eta_s - \xi_t, \tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha' q_t q_s} \Pi , \quad (3.68)$$

with the phase factor Π accounting for the correct branch when moving in the (ξ_r, η_r) -plane and crossing some branch cuts. After a careful inspection of the dependence of the local system (3.68) on the regions (3.19) and performing changes of integration variables we find that by shifts in ξ_r and η_r the two blue triangles \mathcal{D}_{II} and \mathcal{D}_{IV} can be combined to give the unit square $[0, 1]^2$:

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_{II}} \hat{I}(\xi_t, \eta_t; \ell) + \int_{\mathcal{D}_{IV}} \hat{I}(\xi_t, \eta_t; \ell) = e^{-\pi i \alpha' \ell q_t} \int_0^1 d\xi_t \int_0^1 d\eta_t \hat{I}(\xi_t, \eta_t; \ell) . \quad (3.69)$$

Similarly for the red triangles \mathcal{D}_I and \mathcal{D}_{III} we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{D}_I} \hat{I}(\xi_t, \eta_t; \ell) &= \int_0^1 d\xi_t \int_0^{\xi_t} d\eta_t \hat{I}(\xi_t, \eta_t; \ell) , \\ \int_{\mathcal{D}_{III}} \hat{I}(\xi_t, \eta_t; \ell) &= e^{-2\pi i \alpha' \ell q_t} \int_0^1 d\xi_t \int_{\xi_t}^1 d\eta_t \hat{I}(\xi_t, \eta_t; \ell) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.70)$$

The same relations (3.69) and (3.70) apply for C'_2 where our local system \hat{I}' is constituted from (3.59). Eventually, with (3.65), (3.69) and (3.70) the complex integral (3.11) over the closed string vertex position z_t becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} 2i \sin\left(\frac{\pi \alpha' \ell q_t}{2}\right) \int_{\mathcal{C}} d^2 z_t I(z_t, \bar{z}_t; \ell) & \\ &= e^{\frac{1}{2}\pi i \alpha' \ell q_t} \int_0^1 d\xi_t \int_0^{\xi_t} d\eta_t \left[\hat{I}(\xi_t, \eta_t; \ell) - \hat{I}'(\xi_t, \eta_t; \ell - q_t) \right] \\ &+ e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi i \alpha' \ell q_t} \int_0^1 d\xi_t \int_{\xi_t}^1 d\eta_t \left[\hat{I}(\xi_t, \eta_t; \ell) - \hat{I}'(\xi_t, \eta_t; \ell - q_t) \right] \\ &= \int_0^1 d\xi_t \int_0^1 d\eta_t e^{\frac{1}{2}\pi i \alpha' \ell q_t \operatorname{sgn}(\xi_t - \eta_t)} \left[\hat{I}(\xi_t, \eta_t; \ell) - \hat{I}'(\xi_t, \eta_t; \ell - q_t) \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (3.71)$$

Above we have used similar manipulations which lead to (3.22). The expression (3.59) is holomorphic in all the coordinates σ_r^2 , $r = 1, \dots, n$. Above we have exhibited how one complex closed string coordinate z_t is converted into a pair of two real open string positions ξ_t, η_t by means of considering a closed contour in the complex σ_t^2 -plane and applying Cauchy's theorem (3.46). This leads to (3.65) giving rise to the relation (3.71). This result enables us to express the complex cylinder integral (3.11) over z_t in terms two real integrations ξ_t, η_t along the cylinder boundaries. This manipulation is illustrated in Fig. 5 for one single closed string coordinate.

We want to repeat the steps from above and perform an analytic continuation of all closed string coordinates σ_r^2 , $t = 1, \dots, n$, defined in (3.2). We shall discuss the dependence of the integrand (3.59) in the complex σ_r^2 -planes and consider in each complex σ_r^2 -plane

closed cycles (polygons) C defined by the four edges (3.5). We simultaneously deform all of the σ_r^2 -integration contours from the real axis to the pure imaginary axes C_2, C'_2 . This way we obtain real coordinates ξ_r, η_r given by (3.15). In other words, (3.71) allows to trade in a σ_r^2 -integration by means of deforming its integration region C_1 to C_2, C'_2 . In the multi-dimensional complex space $\sigma^2 \in \mathbf{C}^n$ there are now many branch points, all of them lying along the imaginary axes given by $\sigma_s^2 - \sigma_r^2 = \pm i\sigma_{sr}^1$ and $\sigma_s^2 + \sigma_r^2 = \pm i\sigma_{sr}^1$. These conveniently divide themselves into pairs given by $z_s - z_r = 0$ and $\bar{z}_s - \bar{z}_r = 0$ as well as $z_s - \bar{z}_r = 0$ and $\bar{z}_s - z_r = 0$, respectively. Essentially they may be examined independently in the same way as anticipated in the previous subsection. For $\sigma_r^2 \in C_2, C'_2$ with $\tilde{\sigma}_r^2 = i\sigma_r^2$ and (3.42) we now define the single-valued function

$$I_{\alpha, \beta}(\{\xi_r, \eta_r\}; \ell) := \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \pi \alpha' \tau_2 \ell^2 - \pi i \alpha' \ell \sum_{r=1}^n q_r (\xi_r - \eta_r) \right\} \quad (3.72)$$

$$\times \prod_{\substack{r, s \in \alpha \\ \cup r, s \in \beta}} \prod_{r < s} \Theta(\xi_r, \eta_r, \xi_s, \eta_s) \Pi(\xi_r, \xi_s, \eta_r, \eta_s) \prod_{\substack{s \in \alpha \\ t \in \beta}} \Theta_T(\xi_t, \eta_t, \xi_s, \eta_s) ,$$

generalizing the local system (3.68) and with the phases

$$\Pi(\xi_r, \xi_s, \eta_r, \eta_s) = e^{\frac{1}{2} \pi i \alpha' q_r q_s [1 - \theta(\xi_s - \xi_r) \theta(\eta_s - \eta_r)]} e^{\frac{1}{2} \pi i \alpha' q_r q_s [1 - \theta(\xi_s - \eta_r) \theta(\eta_s - \xi_r)]} , \quad (3.73)$$

rendering the correct branch after deforming the contour C_1 to C_2, C'_2 . Above we have defined the analog of (3.58) and (3.60):

$$\Theta(\xi_r, \eta_r, \xi_s, \eta_s) = \left(\frac{\theta_1(\xi_s - \xi_r, \tau)}{\theta_1(\xi_s - \eta_r, \tau)} \frac{\theta_1(\eta_s - \eta_r, \tau)}{\theta_1(\eta_s - \xi_r, \tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha' q_r q_s} , \quad (3.74)$$

$$\Theta_T(\xi_t, \eta_t, \xi_s, \eta_s) = \left(\frac{\theta_4(\xi_s - \xi_t, \tau)}{\theta_4(\xi_s - \eta_t, \tau)} \frac{\theta_4(\eta_s - \eta_t, \tau)}{\theta_4(\eta_s - \xi_t, \tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha' q_t q_s} ,$$

respectively. In other words, the phase factor Π makes sure, that we stay in the correct branch when the coordinates ξ_t, η_t are varied. Similarly as in the one coordinate case giving rise to (3.71) now with (3.65) the complex integral over the closed string vertex position z_t becomes

$$\int_C d^2 z_t I_{\alpha, \beta}(\{z_s, \bar{z}_s\}; \ell) = \int_0^1 d\xi_t \int_0^1 d\eta_t \mathfrak{s}(\ell, q_t) \quad (3.75)$$

$$\times \left[\hat{I}_{\alpha, \beta}(\{\xi_s, \eta_s\}; \ell) - \hat{I}_{\alpha', \beta'}(\{\xi_s, \eta_s\}; \ell - q_t) \right] ,$$

with $\alpha' = \alpha/\{t\}$ and $\beta' = \beta \cup \{t\}$ following from (3.64) and with the kernel:

$$\mathfrak{s}(\ell, q_t)^{-1} = 2i \sin \left(\frac{\pi \alpha' \ell q_t}{2} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \pi i \alpha' \ell q_t \operatorname{sgn}(\xi_t - \eta_t)} . \quad (3.76)$$

To convert all n complex world-sheet cylinder integrals into pairs of real integrals we successively apply the formula (3.75) for all complex coordinates. Following (3.65) each time we trade in a σ_t^2 coordinate by means of deforming its integration region C_1 to C_2, C'_2 . We shall end up with $2n$ real integrations ξ_r, η_r along the boundaries C_2, C'_2 . To convert all n complex world-sheet cylinder integrals into pairs of real integrals we start with a closed string position (e.g. z_t with $t=1$) and apply (3.75). After successively applying the formula (3.75) for all complex coordinates we end up with 2^n terms (2^{n-1} after taking into account the conformal Killing factor V_{CKG}) each comprising $2n$ ($2n-2$ after cancelling the conformal Killing factor V_{CKG}) real integrations ξ_r, η_r along the boundaries C_2, C'_2 of the cylinder. In total, after converting all n complex cylinder integrals (3.41) we end up at

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\prod_{t=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{C}} d^2 z_t \right) I(\{z_s, \bar{z}_s\}; \ell) &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{b_m \subset \mathcal{P}_n} (-1)^m \prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{s} \left(q_i, \ell - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \beta_j < i}}^m q_{\beta_j} \right) \\ &\times \left(\prod_{t=1}^n \int_0^1 d\xi_t \int_0^1 d\eta_t \right) I_{a_{n-m}, b_m} \left(\{\xi_r, \eta_r\}; \ell - \sum_{j=1}^m q_{\beta_j} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.77)$$

with b_m an ordered subset of $\mathcal{P}_n := \{1, \dots, n\}$ with cardinality m containing m elements $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$ from \mathcal{P}_n and $a_{n-m} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-m}\}$ the corresponding ordered complement such that $a_{n-m} \cup b_m = \mathcal{P}_n$.

Eventually, after putting everything together we obtain the amplitude (2.29) with all complex integrations converted into real integrations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(q_1, \dots, q_n; -\ell, \ell) &= \delta^{(d)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \right) \int d\tau_2 \\ &\times \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{b_m \subset \mathcal{P}_n} \left(\prod_{r=1}^n \int_0^1 d\xi_r \int_0^1 d\eta_r \right) \mathcal{L}_{b_m} \left(\ell - \sum_{j=1}^m q_{\beta_j}; \{\xi_s, \eta_s\} \right) \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{r, s \in a_{n-m} \\ \cup r, s \in b_m}} \prod_{r < s} \Theta(\xi_r, \eta_r, \xi_s, \eta_s) \Pi(\xi_r, \xi_s, \eta_r, \eta_s) \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{s \in a_{n-m} \\ t \in b_m}} \Theta_T(\xi_t, \eta_t, \xi_s, \eta_s) Q(\tau, \{\xi_s, \eta_s\}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.78)$$

with the loop momentum dependent factor

$$\mathcal{L}_{b_m}(\ell; \{\xi_s, \eta_s\}) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \pi \alpha' \tau_2 \ell^2 - i \pi \alpha' \ell \sum_{r=1}^n q_r (\xi_r - \eta_r) \right\} (-1)^m \prod_{t=1}^n \mathfrak{s} \left(q_t, \ell + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \beta_j \geq t}}^m q_{\beta_j} \right), \quad (3.79)$$

referring to a given ordered subset $b_m \subset P_n$ of cardinality m . Thus, in total the off-shell closed string cylinder amplitude (2.33) involving n on-shell closed strings and two off-shell closed string states reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}(q_1, \dots, q_n; q_0, q_{n+1}) &= \delta^{(d)} \left(q_0 + q_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \right) \int d\tau_2 \quad (3.80) \\
&\times \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{b_m \subset \mathcal{P}_n} \left(\prod_{r=1}^n \int_0^1 d\xi_r \int_0^1 d\eta_r \right) \mathcal{L}_{b_m} \left(q_{n+1} - \sum_{j=1}^m q_{\beta_j}; \{\xi_s, \eta_s\} \right) \\
&\times \prod_{\substack{r, s \in a_{n-m} \\ \cup r, s \in b_m}} \prod_{r < s} \Theta(\xi_r, \eta_r, \xi_s, \eta_s) \Pi(\xi_r, \xi_s, \eta_r, \eta_s) \\
&\times \prod_{\substack{s \in a_{n-m} \\ t \in b_m}} \Theta_T(\xi_t, \eta_t, \xi_s, \eta_s) Q(\tau, \{\xi_s, \eta_s\}) ,
\end{aligned}$$

with the loop momentum dependent factor (3.79)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{b_m}(q_{n+1}; \{\xi_s, \eta_s\}) &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi\alpha'\tau_2 q_{n+1}^2} \prod_{r=1}^n \left(\frac{\theta_1(\xi_r + \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau) \theta_1(\eta_r - \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau)}{\theta_1(\xi_r - \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau) \theta_1(\eta_r + \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha' q_r q_{n+1}} \\
&\times (-1)^m \prod_{t=1}^n \mathfrak{s} \left(q_t, q_{n+1} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \beta_j \geq t}}^m q_{\beta_j} \right) . \quad (3.81)
\end{aligned}$$

Above we have used the relations (2.31) or (3.29), which now read ($\tau = i\tau_2$):

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp \left\{ -i\pi\alpha' q_{n+1} \sum_{r=1}^n q_r (\xi_r - \eta_r) \right\} &= \prod_{r=1}^n \left(\frac{\theta_1(\xi_r + \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau) \theta_1(\eta_r - \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau)}{\theta_1(\xi_r - \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau) \theta_1(\eta_r + \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha' q_r q_{n+1}} \\
&= \prod_{r=1}^n \left(\frac{\theta_4(\xi_r + \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau) \theta_4(\eta_r - \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau)}{\theta_4(\xi_r - \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau) \theta_4(\eta_r + \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha' q_r q_{n+1}} . \quad (3.82)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, integrating (3.78) over the loop momentum ℓ and performing the shift in the loop momentum ℓ by $\sum_{j=1}^m q_{\beta_j}$ we obtain the on-shell one-loop amplitude (2.28), which thanks to (3.82) we may cast into the compact form

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{0,n}^{(1)}(q_1, \dots, q_n) &= g_c^n \delta^{(d)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \right) \int d\tau_2 V_{CKG}^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^d \ell e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi\alpha'\tau_2 \ell^2} \quad (3.83) \\
&\times \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{b_m \subset \mathcal{P}_n} (-1)^m \left\{ \prod_{r=1}^n \int_0^1 d\xi_r \int_0^1 d\eta_r \mathfrak{s} \left(q_r, \ell + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \beta_j \geq r}}^m q_{\beta_j} \right) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \prod_{\substack{r,s \in a_{n-m} \\ \cup r,s \in b_m \cup \{n+1\}}} \prod_{r < s} \Theta(\xi_r, \eta_r, \xi_s, \eta_s) \Pi(\xi_r, \xi_s, \eta_r, \eta_s) \\
& \times \prod_{\substack{s \in a_{n-m} \\ t \in b_m \cup \{n+1\}}} \Theta_T(\xi_t, \eta_t, \xi_s, \eta_s) \hat{Q}(\tau, \{\xi_s, \eta_s\}) ,
\end{aligned}$$

subject to (2.30), *i.e.* $\ell = q_{n+1}$, $\xi_{n+1} = -\frac{\tau}{2}$, $\eta_{n+1} = \frac{\tau}{2}$ and $\Pi(\xi_r, \xi_{n+1}, \eta_r, \eta_{n+1}) := 1$.

For a given configuration of coordinates $\xi_r, \eta_r \in (0, 1)$ within the unit interval parameterizing one of the cylinder boundaries both the phase factor (3.73) and the phase in (3.76) are constants. Therefore, subject to a phase the result (3.83) can be written as a sum over pure open string one-loop cylinder amplitudes (3.32) involving $N = 2n$ open strings supplemented by the two additional insertions (3.30). More precisely, the open string momenta k_s entering the open string amplitudes (3.32) are specified by

$$\begin{aligned}
k_r &= \frac{1}{2} q_r , \\
k_{n+r} &= -\frac{1}{2} q_r \quad , \quad r = 1, \dots, n , \\
k_0 &= \ell \quad , \quad k_{2n+1} = -\ell ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.84}$$

and $N+2 = 2n + 2$ open string insertions x_s along the two cylinder boundaries:

$$\begin{aligned}
x_r &= \xi_r , \\
x_{n+r} &= \eta_r \quad , \quad r = 1, \dots, n , \\
x_0 &= -\frac{\tau}{2} \quad , \quad x_{2n+1} = +\frac{\tau}{2} .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.85}$$

In (3.83) for any configuration $b_m \subset \mathcal{P}_n$ the closed string coordinates $\xi_r, \eta_r \in (0, 1)$ give rise to some orderings $A \in S_{2n-2m}$ and $B \in S_{2m}$ along the two cylinder boundaries, respectively. Here, A denotes a permutation of the set consisting of $n - m$ positions $x_r = \xi_r$ and their partners $x_{n+r} = \eta_r$. Similarly, B denotes a permutation of the set consisting of the remaining m positions $x_s = \xi_s$ and their partners $x_{n+s} = \eta_s$. Given orderings A, B completely specify all phase factors appearing in (3.83). After exploiting their net effect we may introduce a total kernel $S(A, B)$ accounting for both the phase factors (3.73) and the phase in (3.76). With these preliminaries we may represent (3.83) as a sum over one-loop non-planar subamplitudes (3.32) involving $N = 2n$ open strings and extended by the additional two open string insertions x_0 and x_{N+1} given in (3.85). Eventually, with $g_c = g_o^2$ we can express (3.83) in the following way

$$A_n^{(1)}(q_1, \dots, q_n) = (2i)^{-n} \delta^{(d)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \right) \int d\tau_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^d \ell e^{-\frac{1}{2} \pi \alpha' \tau_2 \ell^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{b_m \subset \mathcal{P}_n} (-1)^m \prod_{t=1}^n \sin \left(k_t, \ell + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \beta_j \geq t}}^m q_{\beta_j} \right)^{-1} \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{S}_{2n-2m} \\ B \in \mathcal{S}_{2m}}} \Pi(a_{n-m} | a'_{n-m}) A_{2n}^{(1)}(A|B),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.86}$$

To conclude, in this subsection we have accomplished to perform the n complex cylinder integrals of (2.29) by converting them into $2n$ real integrals along the two boundaries of the cylinder, cf. also Fig. 7.

To familiarize with our one-loop formula (3.83) here we shall discuss one example. We consider the one-loop four closed string amplitude. After choosing the gauge choice $z_4, \bar{z}_4 = 1$, i.e. $\xi_4 = \eta_4 = 1$ eq. (3.83) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\alpha' \tau_2}{2} \right)^{-d/2} A_{0,4}^{(1)}(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) = g_c^4 \delta^{(d)}(q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + q_4) \int_0^\infty d\tau_2 \\
& \times \int_{-\infty}^\infty d^d \ell e^{-\frac{1}{2} \pi \alpha' \tau_2 \ell^2} \left(\prod_{r=1}^3 \int_0^1 d\xi_r \int_0^1 d\eta_r \right) \\
& \times \left\{ \mathfrak{s}(q_1, \ell) \mathfrak{s}(q_2, \ell) \mathfrak{s}(q_3, \ell) a_{z_5}(1, 2, 3, 4) \right. \\
& - \mathfrak{s}(q_1, \ell) \mathfrak{s}(q_2, \ell) \mathfrak{s}(q_3, \ell) a_{z_5}(2, 3, 4; 1) \\
& - \mathfrak{s}(q_1, \ell + q_2) \mathfrak{s}(q_2, \ell) \mathfrak{s}(q_3, \ell) a_{z_5}(1, 3, 4; 2) \\
& - \mathfrak{s}(q_1, \ell + q_3) \mathfrak{s}(q_2, \ell + q_3) \mathfrak{s}(q_3, \ell) a_{z_5}(1, 2, 4; 3) \\
& + \mathfrak{s}(q_1, \ell + q_2) \mathfrak{s}(q_2, \ell) \mathfrak{s}(q_3, \ell) a_{z_5}(3, 4; 1, 2) \\
& + \mathfrak{s}(q_1, \ell - q_4) \mathfrak{s}(q_2, \ell + q_3) \mathfrak{s}(q_3, \ell) a_{z_5}(1, 4; 2, 3) \\
& + \mathfrak{s}(q_1, \ell + q_3) \mathfrak{s}(q_2, \ell + q_3) \mathfrak{s}(q_3, \ell) a_{z_5}(2, 4; 1, 3) \\
& \left. - \mathfrak{s}(q_1, \ell - q_4) \mathfrak{s}(q_2, \ell + q_3) \mathfrak{s}(q_3, \ell) a_{z_5}(4; 1, 2, 3) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

with the (unintegrated) open string (ten-point) subamplitudes

$$a_{z_5}(i, j; k, l) = \prod_{\substack{r, s \in \{i, j\} \\ \cup r, s \in \{k, l, 5\}}} \prod_{r < s} \Theta(\xi_r, \eta_r, \xi_s, \eta_s) \prod_{\substack{s \in \{i, j\} \\ t \in \{k, l, 5\}}} \Theta_T(\xi_t, \eta_t, \xi_s, \eta_s), \tag{3.88}$$

involving the expressions (3.74). The point $z_5 = -\frac{\tau}{2}$ denotes the additional marked point (2.30) referring to the two open string positions (3.85), i.e. $\xi_5 = -\frac{\tau}{2}, \eta_5 = \frac{\tau}{2}$.

Finally, we can simply add open strings to the result (3.83). Due to the decoupling of open and closed strings adding open strings is simply accomplished by including the additional factor (2.13) and integrating over the open string positions. There are no

additional monodromies when crossing the open string positions:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{n_o, n_c}^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}||\{q_1, \dots, q_n\}) &= \delta^{(d)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} p_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} p'_j + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \right) \int d\tau_2 \\
&\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^d \ell e^{-\frac{1}{2} \pi \alpha' \tau_2 \ell^2} V_{CKG}^{-1} \left(\int_{\mathcal{I}_1} \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} dx_i \int_{\mathcal{I}'_1} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} dx'_j \right) E(\{x_i, x'_j\}) \\
&\times \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{b_m \subset \mathcal{P}_n} (-1)^m \left\{ \prod_{r=1}^n \int_0^1 d\xi_r \int_0^1 d\eta_r \mathfrak{s} \left(q_r, \ell + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \beta_j \geq r}}^m q_{\beta_j} \right) \right\} \\
&\times \prod_{\substack{r, s \in a_{n-m} \\ \cup r, s \in b_m \cup \{n+1\}}} \prod_{r < s} \Theta(\xi_r, \eta_r, \xi_s, \eta_s) \Pi(\xi_r, \xi_s, \eta_r, \eta_s) \\
&\times \prod_{\substack{s \in a_{n-m} \\ t \in b_m \cup \{n+1\}}} \Theta_T(\xi_t, \eta_t, \xi_s, \eta_s) \hat{Q}(\tau, \{\xi_s, \eta_s\}) . \tag{3.89}
\end{aligned}$$

4. Open string one-loop monodromy relations and closed string insertion

In this section we present a link between open string one-loop monodromy relations and cylinder amplitudes with one closed string insertion.

4.1. Amplitude with one closed string and n_o non-planar open strings

In the following for simplicity we first focus on the case $n_c = 1$ with collinear momenta (2.18), with canonical open string orderings $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \dots, n_1\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{n_1 + 1, \dots, n_2\}$. In what follows we shall use $p_i := p_{\alpha_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n_1$ and $p'_j = p_{\beta_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n_2$, with $n_o = n_1 + n_2$. Let us discuss the dependence of the integrand (2.14) on the closed string coordinate z . As a consequence of (2.11) for the non-holomorphic factor (2.17) we have $I_{nh} = 1$ and with (B.13) the integrand $I(z, \bar{z}) := I(\{x_i, x'_j, z, \bar{z}\})$ becomes

$$I(z, \bar{z}) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} \theta_1(z - x_i, \tau)^{2\alpha' q_1 p_i} \theta_1(\bar{z} - x_i, \tau)^{2\alpha' q_2 p_i} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \theta_4(z - x'_j, \tau)^{2\alpha' q_1 p'_j} \theta_4(\bar{z} - x'_j, \tau)^{2\alpha' q_2 p'_j} , \tag{4.1}$$

with $q := q_1 = q_{L,1} + q_{R,1}$ and $q_1 := q_{L,1}$ and $q_2 := q_{R,2}$.

In the following we may repeat some of the steps from Subsection 3.1. After introducing the parameterization (3.2) we investigate the σ^2 -dependence of I in the complex σ^2 -plane and consider the closed cycle (polygon) defined by the four edges C_1, C'_1, C_2 and C'_2 (3.5), depicted in Fig. 8.




Fig. 8 A closed contour C in the complex σ^2 -plane and branch points.

Since $x_i, x'_j \in (0, 1)$ the function (4.1) as a holomorphic function in σ^2 has n_1 pairs of branch points at $\sigma^2 = \pm i(\sigma^1 - x_i)$ along the imaginary axis $\sigma^2 = 0$ ($z = \bar{z}$) where the arguments of the theta-functions θ_1 become zero with branching phases structure $e^{2\pi i \alpha' q_1 p_i}$ and $e^{2\pi i \alpha' q_2 p_i}$, respectively. Furthermore, we have pairs of n_2 branch points at $\sigma^2 = \pm i(\sigma^1 - x'_i) + \frac{t}{2}$ along $\sigma^2 = \frac{t}{2}$, where the arguments of the theta-functions θ_4 become zero (mod $\tau/2$) with branching phases structure $e^{2\pi i \alpha' q_1 p'_j}$ and $e^{2\pi i \alpha' q_2 p'_j}$, respectively. These phases need to be introduced when passing those branch cuts. Their effect will be taken into account below. The holomorphic integrand along C'_1 differs from that along C_1 by a phase

$$I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2 - i) = \varphi I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2), \quad (4.2)$$

given by:

$$\varphi := e^{-2\pi i \alpha' \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (q_1 - q_2) p_i} = e^{2\pi i \alpha' (q_1 - q_2) \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} p'_j}. \quad (4.3)$$

The last manipulation follows from momentum conservation (2.11). For $n_2 \geq 1$ and $q_1 \neq q_2$ we have $\varphi \neq 1$.

Eventually, we need to integrate the coordinate z over the full cylinder \mathcal{C} as (3.11). Thus in (3.11) we are supposed to integrate σ^2 along the (grey) edge C_1 in Fig. 8. We seek to convert this integration to an integration along the imaginary axis C_2, C'_2 by considering the closed cycle (3.5) and using Cauchy's integral theorem (3.12). Again, the integrand \hat{I} differs from I by choosing a correct branch when moving in the complex σ^2 -plane and passing the branch cuts discussed above. By using Cauchy's theorem (3.12) and taking into

account the branch cuts when moving along the paths C_2 and C'_2 thanks to the relation (4.2) the σ_2 -integral along C_1 can be expressed as:

$$(1 - \varphi) \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} d\sigma^2 I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) = -i \int_0^1 d\tilde{\sigma}^2 \left[\hat{I}(\sigma^1, -i\tilde{\sigma}^2) - \hat{I}'(\sigma^1, -i\tilde{\sigma}^2) \right]. \quad (4.4)$$

The prime denotes the respective non-planar configuration at C'_2 . On the other hand, the hat indicates that the integrand (4.1) has to be supplemented by appropriate phase factors taking into account the branch points along C_2 and C'_2 , respectively. Above for C_2 we have introduced the parameterization $\tilde{\sigma}^2 := i\sigma^2$ subject to $\sigma^2 \in i(-1, 0)$. Similarly for C'_2 we have $\sigma^2 \in \frac{t}{2} + i(0, -1)$. Along C_2 we can introduce the new real coordinates (3.15) with $\det\left(\frac{\partial(\xi, \eta)}{\partial(\sigma^1, \tilde{\sigma}^2)}\right) = -2$. With this parameterization the integrands in (4.4) can be specified as some function depending on ξ and η as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{I}(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) &= \Pi_{C_2}(x, \xi, \eta) I_{C_2}(\xi, \eta) \quad , \quad \sigma^2 \in C_2 \quad , \\ \hat{I}'(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) &= \Pi_{C'_2}(x', \xi, \eta) I_{C'_2}(\xi, \eta) \quad , \quad \sigma^2 \in C'_2 \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{C_2}(\xi, \eta) &:= \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} |\theta_1(\xi - x_i)|^{2\alpha' q_1 p_i} |\theta_1(\eta - x_i)|^{2\alpha' q_2 p_i} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \theta_4(\xi - x'_j)^{2\alpha' q_1 p'_j} \theta_4(\eta - x'_j)^{2\alpha' q_2 p'_j} \quad , \\ I_{C'_2}(\xi, \eta) &:= \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} \theta_4(\xi - x_i)^{2\alpha' q_1 p_i} \theta_4(\eta - x_i)^{2\alpha' q_2 p_i} e^{-2\pi i \alpha' (\xi - x_i) p_i q_1} e^{2\pi i \alpha' (\eta - x_i) p_i q_2} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} |\theta_1(\xi - x'_j)|^{2\alpha' q_1 p'_j} |\theta_1(\eta - x'_j)|^{2\alpha' q_2 p'_j} e^{-2\pi i \alpha' (\xi - x'_j) p'_j q_1} e^{2\pi i \alpha' (\eta - x'_j) p'_j q_2} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

The phases $\Pi_{C_2}, \Pi_{C'_2}$ will be given below. They impose the correct monodromy phases at the branch points, which now are located at $\xi = x_i$ and $\eta = x_i$. Note, that in $I_{C'_2}$ the additional position dependent phases take into account the relative monodromy w.r.t. C_2 . This effect appears also in one-loop open string monodromy relations when moving from one boundary to the other [14]. Note also the identities $\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} e^{-2\pi i \alpha' \xi p_i q_1} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} e^{-2\pi i \alpha' \xi p'_j q_1} = e^{2\pi i \alpha' \xi q_1 q_2} = 1$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} e^{2\pi i \alpha' \eta p_i q_2} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} e^{2\pi i \alpha' \eta p'_j q_2} = e^{-2\pi i \alpha' \eta q_1 q_2} = 1$, i.e. any ξ, η dependence drops in the phases of (4.6). Now, all the theta-functions (4.6) entering the integrand (4.4) are analytic and single-valued w.r.t. ξ, η .

Due to (3.15) the region $(\sigma^1, \tilde{\sigma}^2) \in [0, 1]^2$ is mapped to a rhombus accounting for the ξ, η -dependence of (3.67), cf. Fig. 4. This diamond $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_I \cup \mathcal{D}_{II} \cup \mathcal{D}_{III} \cup \mathcal{D}_{IV}$ can be divided into the four triangular domains (3.19), depicted in Fig. 4. In these four domains we can study the systems (4.6) yet without specifying the phases $\Pi_{C_2}, \Pi_{C'_2}$. After a careful

inspection of the dependence of the local system (4.6) on the regions (3.19) and performing changes of integration variables subject to (B.10) we find that by shifts in ξ and η the two blue triangles \mathcal{D}_{II} and \mathcal{D}_{IV} give:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{D}_{II}} \hat{I}(\xi, \eta) &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} e^{-2\pi i \alpha' q_1 p_i} \right) \int_0^1 d\xi \int_0^{1-\xi} d\eta \hat{I}(\xi, \eta) , \\ \int_{\mathcal{D}_{IV}} \hat{I}(\xi, \eta) &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} e^{2\pi i \alpha' q_2 p_i} \right) \int_0^1 d\xi \int_{1-\xi}^1 d\eta \hat{I}(\xi, \eta) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Similarly, for the red triangles \mathcal{D}_I and \mathcal{D}_{III} we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{D}_I} \hat{I}(\xi, \eta) &= \int_0^1 d\xi \int_0^\xi d\eta \hat{I}(\xi, \eta) , \\ \int_{\mathcal{D}_{III}} \hat{I}(\xi, \eta) &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} e^{-2\pi i \alpha' (q_1 - q_2) p_i} \right) \int_0^1 d\xi \int_\xi^1 d\eta \hat{I}(\xi, \eta) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Eventually, after introducing

$$\Pi'_{C_2}(\xi, \eta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} e^{-2\pi i \alpha' q_1 p_i \theta(1-\xi-\eta)} e^{2\pi i \alpha' q_2 p_i \theta(\eta-1+\xi)} + \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} e^{-2\pi i \alpha' (q_1 - q_2) p_i \theta(\eta-\xi)} , \quad (4.9)$$

both (4.7) and (4.8) may be combined to the unit square $[0, 1]^2$:

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \hat{I}(\xi, \eta) = \int_0^1 d\xi \int_0^1 d\eta \Pi'_{C_2}(\xi, \eta) \hat{I}(\xi, \eta) . \quad (4.10)$$

For C'_2 similar relations can be found leading to (4.10) with:

$$\Pi'_{C'_2}(\xi, \eta) = \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} e^{-2\pi i q_1 p'_j \theta(1-\xi-\eta)} e^{2\pi i \alpha' q_2 p'_j \theta(\eta-1+\xi)} + \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} e^{-2\pi i \alpha' (q_1 - q_2) p'_j \theta(\eta-\xi)} . \quad (4.11)$$

We are now able to specify the phase factors $\Pi_{C_2}, \Pi_{C'_2}$ accounting for the correct branch when moving in the unit interval $(\xi, \eta) \in [0, 1]^2$ and crossing some of the branch cuts $\xi, \eta = x_i$. For a generic configuration of n_o open string vertex positions x_i, x'_j the phase factors $\Pi_{C_2}, \Pi_{C'_2}$ account for the correct branch of the integrand (3.11) along C_2 and C'_2 , respectively [5]:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{C_2}(x, \xi, \eta) &= \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} e^{-2\pi i \alpha' q_1 p_i \theta(x_i - \xi)} e^{2\pi i \alpha' q_2 p_i \theta(x_i - \eta)} , \\ \Pi_{C'_2}(x', \xi, \eta) &= \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} e^{-2\pi i \alpha' q_1 p'_j \theta(x'_j - \xi)} e^{2\pi i \alpha' q_2 p'_j \theta(x'_j - \eta)} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Eventually, with (4.4) and (4.10) the complex integral (3.11) over the closed string vertex position z becomes:

$$(1 - \varphi) \int_{\mathcal{C}} d^2 z I(z, \bar{z}) = \int_0^1 d\xi \int_0^1 d\eta \left[\Pi_{C_2}(x, \xi, \eta) \Pi'_{C_2}(\xi, \eta) I_{C_2}(\xi, \eta) - \Pi_{C'_2}(x', \xi, \eta) \Pi'_{C'_2}(\xi, \eta) I_{C'_2}(\xi, \eta) \right]. \quad (4.13)$$

Eq. (4.13) enables us to express the complex cylinder integral (3.11) in terms of two terms accounting for the contributions from C_2 and C'_2 , respectively. The closed string has been traded into a pair of two open strings with (real) vertex positions ξ and η . On the cylinder this pair of open strings is located at either one of its boundaries. The resulting non-planar cylinder configurations of $n_1 + n_2 + 2$ open string positions are depicted in Fig. 6. These two contributions, which are given by real (iterated) integrals at the two boundaries of the cylinder correspond to pure open string one-loop subamplitudes. More precisely, while the configuration referring to C_2 gives rise to an ordinary non-planar amplitude the open string configuration referring to C'_2 can be generated in a one-loop open string monodromy relation [14]. To get the full amplitude (2.8) we have to combine (4.13) with the open string part (2.13). For $n_c = 1$ the latter reads:

$$E(\{x_i, x'_j\}) \equiv \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n_1} |\theta_1(x_j - x_i, \tau)|^{2\alpha' p_i p_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n_2} |\theta_1(x'_j - x'_i, \tau)|^{2\alpha' p'_i p'_j} \times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n_1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq n_2}} |\theta_4(x'_j - x_i, \tau)|^{2\alpha' p_i p'_j}. \quad (4.14)$$

In total we obtain for the full amplitude

$$A_{n_o, 1}^{(1)}(\{1, \dots, n_1\} | \{1, \dots, n_2\} | \{q_1, q_2\}) = 2g_o^{n_o} g_c \delta^{(d)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} p_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} p'_j + q_1 + q_2 \right) \int d\tau_2 \times d \left(q_1 - q_2, \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} p_i \right)^{-1} V_{CKG}^{-1} \left(\int_{\mathcal{I}} \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} dx_i \int_{\mathcal{I}'} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} dx'_j \right) E(\{x_i, x'_j\}) \times \int_0^1 d\xi \int_0^1 d\eta \left[\Pi_{C_2}(x, \xi, \eta) \Pi'_{C_2}(\xi, \eta) I_{C_2}(\xi, \eta) - \Pi_{C'_2}(x', \xi, \eta) \Pi'_{C'_2}(\xi, \eta) I_{C'_2}(\xi, \eta) \right] Q(\tau, \{x_i, x'_j, \xi, \eta\}), \quad (4.15)$$

with the functions (4.6) and:

$$d \left(q_1 - q_2, \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} p_i \right) = 1 - e^{-2\pi i \alpha' \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (q_1 - q_2) p_i}. \quad (4.16)$$

Again as in the previous section we may represent (4.15) as a sum over open string non-planar subamplitudes involving $N = n_o + 2$ open strings supplemented by the phase factors (4.9) and (4.11). More precisely, the open string momenta entering the open string amplitudes are given by

$$\begin{aligned} p_r , \quad r = 1, \dots, n-2 , \\ p_{n-1} = q_1 , \quad p_n = q_2 , \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

while the n open string insertions x_s along the two boundaries of the cylinder:

$$\begin{aligned} x_r , \quad r = 1, \dots, n-2 , \\ x_{n-1} = \xi , \quad x_n = \eta . \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

4.2. One-loop monodromy relations, closed string insertion and a marked point

Let us consider an n -point one-loop cylinder amplitude $A_{n-1,1}^{(1)}$ with $n_o = n - 1$ open strings and one closed string $n_c = 1$ with momentum q . In the sequel in the expression (2.15) we replace $q := q_1$, $q_L := q_{L,1}$ and $q_R := q_{R,1}$. We distribute the closed string momentum q into left- and right-moving momenta according to (2.18). Moreover, we consider a massless closed string state ($q^2 = 0$) and choose $\rho = 1$, i.e.

$$q_L = q \quad , \quad q_R = 0 , \tag{4.19}$$

with the total closed string momentum $q = q_L + q_R$. Obviously, for this setup the non-holomorphic factor (2.17) becomes trivial, i.e. $I_{nh} = 1$. We parameterize the closed string position z as:

$$z = \sigma^1 + i\sigma^2 \quad , \quad \sigma^1 \in (0, 1) , \quad \sigma^2 \in (0, \frac{t}{2}) . \tag{4.20}$$

Due to the conformal Killing symmetry in (2.8) we can cancel the volume V_{CKG} of the conformal Killing group by choosing for one real coordinate the fixing:

$$\sigma^1 = 0 . \tag{4.21}$$

As a consequence for the case at hand $\Theta_R \equiv 0$ and the expression (2.14) boils down to:

$$I(\{x_i, x'_j, z, \bar{z}\}) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n_1} \left[\frac{\theta_1(x_i - i\sigma^2, \tau)}{\theta'_1(0, \tau)} \right]^{2\alpha' p_i q} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n_2} \left[\frac{\theta_4(x'_j - i\sigma^2, \tau)}{\theta'_1(0, \tau)} \right]^{2\alpha' p'_j q} . \tag{4.22}$$

The latter assumes a similar structure than the pure open string part (2.13). Therefore, it is convenient to introduce the open string data

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n_1+1} &:= q , \\ x_{n_1+1} &:= i\sigma^2 , \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

with $n_1 + n_2 + 1 = n$ and extend (2.13) to also include the factor (4.22):

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{E}(\{x_i, x'_j\}) &:= (-1)^{2\alpha' q \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} p_i} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n_1+1} \left| \frac{\theta_1(x_j - x_i, \tau)}{\theta'_1(0, \tau)} \right|^{2\alpha' p_i p_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n_2} \left| \frac{\theta_1(x'_j - x'_i, \tau)}{\theta'_1(0, \tau)} \right|^{2\alpha' p'_i p'_j} \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n_1+1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq n_2}} \left| \frac{\theta_4(x'_j - x_i, \tau)}{\theta'_1(0, \tau)} \right|^{2\alpha' p_i p'_j} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

With this rewriting our total amplitude $A_{n_o, n_c}^{(1)}$ becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n-1, 1}^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}|\{q_L=p_{n_1+1}, q_R=0\}) &= ig_o^{n-1} g_c \delta^{(d)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_1+1} p_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} p'_j \right) \\ &\times \int dt \int_0^{t/2} d\sigma^2 \left(\int_{\mathcal{I}_A} \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} dx_{\alpha_i} \int_{\mathcal{I}_B} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} dx_{\beta'_j} \right) \widehat{E}(\{x_i, x'_j\}) Q(\tau, \{x_i, x'_j\}) \Big|_{x_{n_1+1}=i\sigma^2} , \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

with the open string orderings $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \dots, n_1\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{1, \dots, n_2\}$ and the integration domains (2.9).

Due to (4.19) only the left-moving part of the closed string state contributes in the exponential factor (4.24). A typical setup leading to the expression (4.25) could be an amplitude involving $n-2$ gauge fields and one additional closed string gauge or graviphoton field stemming from a compactification. The vertex operator of such a field is given by

$$V_{A^a}(z, \bar{z}; \{\xi, q_L, q_R\}) = g_c \xi_\mu [i\partial X^\mu(z) + (q_L \psi)\psi^\mu(z)] \widetilde{\Sigma}^a(\bar{z}) e^{iq_L X_L(z)} e^{iq_R X_R(\bar{z})} , \quad (4.26)$$

with polarization vector ξ . Subject to (4.19) the right-moving part of (4.26) contributes only through the current $\widetilde{\Sigma}^a(\bar{z})$. The right-moving sector could describe an internal field within a string compactification at zero momentum. Then, only the zero modes of the latter account for a non-vanishing contribution giving rise to some charge insertion $Q^a \sim \langle : \widetilde{\Sigma}^a(\bar{z}) : \rangle$, which in turn comprises into an overall scaling factor of (4.25). The latter is non-vanishing for anomalous gauge fields or for some orbifold twists. Possible candidates for (4.26) are Kaluza-Klein fields like NS graviphotons or gauge fields [15]. Alternatively, a closed string state propagating along the cylinder providing the contribution that cancels the anomaly could be considered. Furthermore, following the setup for collinear limits [6] a dilaton or graviton insertion can be accounted for by (4.25).

With the choice (4.19) and (4.21) the amplitude (4.25) is reminiscent of a pure one-loop n -point open string amplitude. More precisely, it corresponds to an object, which

appears in the open string (non-planar) one-loop monodromy relation [14]. E.g. for $n_1 = 2$ and $n_2 = n - 2$ the latter reads [14]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} A_n^{(1)}(\{1, n\}|\{2, 3, \dots, n-1\}) + \hat{A}_n^{(1)}(\{1|n, 2, \dots, n-1\}) \\ = \left(1 - e^{-2\alpha' \pi i p_1 p_n}\right) B_n^{(1)}(\{1, n\}|\{2, 3, \dots, n-1\}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

involving subamplitudes of n color ordered open strings. The objects entering (4.27) are the non-planar subamplitudes $A_n^{(1)}(\{1, n\}|\{2, 3, \dots, n-1\})$ and $\hat{A}_n^{(1)}(\{1\}|\{n, 2, \dots, n-1\})$ and the boundary term $B_n^{(1)}(\{1, n\}|\{2, \dots, n-1\})$ further specified in [14]. The latter has been first found, explicitly given and demonstrated to be crucial³ to furnish and match the correct α' -expansion of (4.27) in Ref. [14]. This boundary term $B_n^{(1)}$ is given by (with $n_2 = n - 2$):

$$\begin{aligned} B_n^{(1)}(\{1, n\}|\{2, \dots, n-1\}) = ig_o^n \delta^{(d)} \left(p_1 + p_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} p_j \right) \int dt V_{CKG}^{-1} \left(\int_0^{t/2} d\sigma^2 \int_{\mathcal{I}_A} dx_1 \right) \\ \times \left(\int_{\mathcal{I}_B} \prod_{j=2}^{n-1} dx_j \right) Q(\tau, \{x_i\}) \prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq n-1} \left| \frac{\theta_1(x_j - x_i, \tau)}{\theta_1'(0, \tau)} \right|^{2\alpha' p_i p_j} \\ \times \left| \frac{\theta_1(x_n - x_1, \tau)}{\theta_1'(0, \tau)} \right|^{2\alpha' p_1 p_n} \prod_{\substack{i=1, n \\ 2 \leq j \leq n-1}} \left| \frac{\theta_4(x_j - x_i, \tau)}{\theta_1'(0, \tau)} \right|^{2\alpha' p_i p_j} \Bigg|_{x_n = i\sigma^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

In (4.28) the open string position x_n of the n -th gluon is integrated along the line $\sigma^1 = 0$ ($\sigma^1 = 1$) where the cylinder is sliced. Note that all other $n - 1$ open string positions x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} are respectively integrated along one of the two boundaries of the cylinder subject to the conformal Killing symmetry. It has already been emphasized in [14], that from the point of view of pure open string one-loop amplitudes the object (4.28) seems to be unphysical within the set of open string on-shell amplitudes. However, after carefully inspecting (4.28) and comparing with (4.25) we evidence that (with $g_c = g_o^2$)

$$g_o V_{CKG} \times B_n^{(1)}(\{1, n\}|\{2, \dots, n-1\}) \simeq A_{n-1,1}^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}|\{q_L=p_n, q_R=0\}), \quad (4.29)$$

³ The existence and importance of this term have been first shown, emphasized and proven in [14] and then restated once again in [16], while this boundary term (among other issues) has been completely overlooked in [17]. Needless to say, it is actually tried to argue that the boundary term of [14] was absent in a follow-up work by Ochirov and the authors of [17]. Unfortunately, that section (Appendix B), where a proof is tried to be brought is full of misprints and flaws. Therefore, it is not clear what actually is intended or tried to be proven by these authors.

with the orderings $\mathcal{A} = \{1\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{2, \dots, n-1\}$. Therefore, by extending the setup to the closed string sector we will be able to relate the object (4.28) to a one-loop amplitude involving $n-1$ open strings and one closed string. In other words, one of the open strings mutates into a closed string state when it is integrated along the line $\sigma^1=0$ ($\sigma^1=1$).

Note that according to (4.15) the amplitude $A_{n-1,1}^{(1)}$ in (4.29) corresponds to a linear combination of $n+1$ -point open string amplitudes, while $B_n^{(1)}(\{1, n\}|\{2, \dots, n-1\})$ involves only n open strings. The additional factors $V_{CKG} \simeq \tau_2$ and g_o account for this mismatch in the number of integrated vertex operator insertions. As discussed above, for a particular choice of a closed string state with the constraint (4.19) the right-moving part of the closed string completely decouples and gives rise to a zero mode contribution denoted by Q^a . As a result the $n+1$ -point open string amplitudes appear rather like n -point open string amplitudes with one additional state decoupled and with its vertex position fixed along the real line at a marked point x_0 on the cylinder, where the latter is sliced, i.e.:

$$x_0 := \sigma^1 \equiv 0 . \quad (4.30)$$

With this in mind we may alternatively write (4.29) as

$$B_{x_0}^{(1)}(\{1, n\}|\{2, \dots, n-1\}) = A_{n-1,1}^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}|\{q_L=p_n, q_R=0\}) , \quad (4.31)$$

with $B_{x_0}^{(1)}$ defined as the extension of the subamplitude $B_n^{(1)}$ to also include one additional open string state completely decoupled from the remaining fields and with its vertex position x_0 fixed as (4.30):

$$B_{x_0}^{(1)}(\{1, n\}|\{2, \dots, n-1\}) \simeq g_o V_{CKG} \times B_n^{(1)}(\{1, n\}|\{2, \dots, n-1\}) . \quad (4.32)$$

Eventually, in (4.27) we may modify all open string subamplitudes by including the marked point x_0 . The latter represents an additional vertex operator position describing an open string state, which does not interact with the remaining open strings (e.g. gluon states). Since it is supposed to decouple from the latter the structure of the open string one-loop monodromy relation (4.27) does not alter:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} A_{x_0}^{(1)}(\{n, 1\}|\{2, 3, \dots, n-1\}) + \hat{A}_{x_0}^{(1)}(\{1\}|\{2, \dots, n\}) \\ & = [1 - (-1)^{s_{1n}}] B_{x_0}^{(1)}(\{n, 1\}|\{2, 3, \dots, n-1\}) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

Due to the conformal Killing symmetry except the marked point x_0 the remaining n vertex operator positions are integrated.

The additional marked point x_0 can be interpreted as the point (4.30) where the cylinder is sliced. Therefore, it could be interesting to clarify whether the extra marked

point x_0 can be related to the auxiliary point, which allows the recursive calculation of genus–one integrals using an extra marked point in a differential equation [18]. We have presented a physical interpretation (4.29) of the boundary term $B_n^{(1)}$ appearing in the open string one–loop monodromy relations (4.27) in terms of a string amplitude $A_{n-1,1}^{(1)}$ involving a closed string state. The lowest α' order of $B_n^{(1)}$ has been first derived and shown to match (4.27) in [14]. Recently, in [19] this field–theory limit has been revisited and it is argued that in this limit the boundary term $B_n^{(1)}$ can be attributed to field theory graphs with contact–terms. As a consequence the latter should be due to replacing one open string by a closed string state. This could give a physical interpretation in string perturbation theory of these graphs with contact–terms.

5. Concluding remarks

In this work we have expressed one–loop string scattering amplitudes involving both open and closed strings as sum over pure open string amplitudes. More precisely, one–loop cylinder amplitudes of n_o open and n_c closed strings can be written as pure open string cylinder amplitudes involving only $n_o + 2n_c$ open strings. These findings generalize the analogous tree–level result to higher loops and extend the tree–level observation that in gravitational amplitudes a graviton can be traded for two gluons.

Our results are derived from analytic continuation of closed string coordinates on the cylinder world–sheet. Splitting the complex cylinder coordinate into a pair of two real coordinates gives rise to open string positions located along the two cylinder boundaries subject to some monodromy phases encoded in the objects (3.14), (3.55) and (3.66) (cf. also (3.25) and (3.76)). The latter depend on the loop momentum ℓ which flows from one cylinder boundary to the other, cf. Fig. 7. The definitions (3.14), (3.55) and (3.66) are known from intersection theory for twisted cycles. It is attributed to the contribution of a single edge, which in turn can be interpreted as propagator [20] entering the inverse KLT kernel. Hence, it is a central building block of a one–loop analog of the tree–level KLT kernel [2], see also [8,13]. At tree–level the KLT kernel is related to topological features of twisted homology encoded in intersection numbers of twisted cycles [20]. More precisely, at tree–level the KLT relation follows from the twisted Riemann period relations, which involves a certain pairing of twisted period matrices. At present, it is not clear how such relations extend on higher Riemann surfaces. For $n_c = 1$ our amplitude results (3.26) and (3.35) can be related to the one–loop open string monodromy relations. The latter contain a boundary term (4.28), which is related to non–physical contours on the cylinder. A physical interpretation of the latter in terms of a closed string insertion is attributed in Section 4 by enlarging the space of basis functions beyond pure open string amplitudes.

In fact, thanks to (4.29) that boundary term, which is related to non-physical contours, has a physical interpretation as open string amplitude with one additional closed string insertion. Building on [16] the kernels (4.16) (as well as (3.14), (3.55) and (3.66)) may also play an important rôle in intersection theory and generalized twisted cohomology theory for one-loop string amplitudes. This way our approach paves the way for a one-loop generalization of the KLT relations. Further details will be worked out elsewhere.

The cylinder amplitudes (3.35) involving one closed string and n_o open strings is written as sum over cylinder amplitudes involving $n_o + 2$ open strings. Similarly, the cylinder amplitudes (3.83) involving n closed strings is written as sum over cylinder amplitudes involving $2n$ open strings. As a consequence in the field-theory limit these expressions give rise to one-loop EYM amplitudes expressed in terms of pure gluon amplitudes. If the closed strings represent graviton states the amplitude result (3.83) expresses the n -point one-loop gravitational amplitude as a sum over $2n$ -point one-loop gluon amplitudes (in the presence of a D-brane). We can compute its field-theory limit to gain n graviton one-loop amplitudes expressed in terms of $2n$ gluon amplitudes in EYM theory. This step provides powerful relations between gravitational and gauge amplitudes at one-loop generalizing the tree-level results [4]. Furthermore, these relations give identities between gravitational and gauge amplitudes at one-loop level generalizing the all-plus or single-minus helicity gravitational amplitudes [8] or going beyond rational expressions [21].

Finally, our one-loop results open up to essentially compute any class of one-loop amplitudes involving closed and open strings in string compactifications with D-branes and orientifolds [22] and express them in terms of pure open string amplitudes. Furthermore, building up on [23] various phenomenological interesting connections between one-loop couplings of closed and open strings can be derived.

Acknowledgments: I wish to thank Johannes Broedel for stimulating discussions during the startup of this project. Furthermore, I am indebted to The Kolleg Mathematik Physik Berlin of Humboldt Universität for warm hospitality and financial support.

Appendix A. Open string Green's functions

A.1. Open string Green's function on the disk

The open string world-sheet coordinate w is parameterized by $w = w_1 + iw_2$. The scalar Green function with

$$\square G(w, w') = -2\pi\alpha' \delta(w - w') , \tag{A.1}$$

on the disk is given in [24,25,26]:

$$G^{(0)}(w_1, w_2) = \ln |w_1 - w_2| \pm \ln |w_1 - \bar{w}_2| , \quad (\text{A.2})$$

with the plus sign referring to Neumann boundary conditions $\partial_\sigma X|_{\sigma=0} = 0$ for the open string ends $\sigma = 0, \pi$ and the minus sign referring to Dirichlet boundary conditions $\partial_\tau X|_{\sigma=0} = 0$, respectively. After the conformal transformation

$$\rho = \frac{w+i}{w-i} , \text{ i.e. : } w = -i \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

from the upper half plane $w \in \mathbf{H}_+$ to the disk $\rho \in \mathbf{D}_2$ (A.2) becomes:

$$G^{(0)}(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \ln |\rho_1 - \rho_2| \pm \ln |1 - \rho_1 \bar{\rho}_2| , \quad (\text{A.4})$$

up to the universal term $-2 \ln |\frac{1}{2}(1 - \rho_1)(1 - \rho_2)|^2$ in the Neumann case, which drops out of all expectation values. The latter can be put⁴ into vertex operator normalizations.

A.2. Open string Green's function on the annulus

The world-sheet annulus with the inner radius $a = e^{-2\pi\lambda_1}$ and the outer radius $b = e^{2\pi\lambda_2}$ (with $b > a$) is parameterized by the annulus coordinate ρ'

$$\rho' = e^{2\pi iz'} , \quad z' = \sigma_1' + i\sigma_2' , \quad (\text{A.5})$$

with $(\sigma_1', \sigma_2') \in [0, 1] \times [-\lambda_2, +\lambda_1]$ and $a \leq |\rho'| \leq b$. The flat annulus is related by Weyl scaling to a cylinder of length $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ and unit circumference with a flat metric, cf. Fig. 9.




Fig. 9 Rectangular cylinder with coordinates $z' = \sigma_1' + i\sigma_2'$ mapped to an annulus with inner radius a and outer radius b and coordinate $\rho' = e^{2\pi i(\sigma_1' + i\sigma_2')}$.

⁴ On the other hand, with the Green's function $G^{(0)}(z_1, z_2) = \ln \frac{|z_1 - z_2|^2}{(1 + |z_1|^2)(1 + |z_2|^2)}$ given in [9] we arrive at $G^{(0)}(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \ln \frac{|\rho_1 - \rho_2|^2}{(1 + |\rho_1|^2)(1 + |\rho_2|^2)}$.

The modulus of the annulus is given by $q := \frac{a}{b} = e^{-2\pi\lambda}$. The Neumann boundary conditions translate into conditions at the two boundaries $|\rho| = a, b$. In the following we shall work with the coordinate $\rho := b^{-1}\rho'$, with $\rho = e^{2\pi iz}$ and $z = \sigma^1 + i\sigma^2$ i.e. $0 \leq \sigma^2 \leq \lambda$ and $q \leq |\rho| \leq 1$. In terms of these coordinate with (2.1) the scalar Green function on the annulus is given in [24,25,26]

$$G^{(1)}(z_1, z_2) = G_B^{(1)}(z_1, z_2) \pm G_B^{(1)}(z_1, \bar{z}_2) + \ln |b| , \quad (\text{A.6})$$

up to the universal term $2 \ln 2\pi + \ln |\rho_1 \rho_2| + 2 \ln \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^2$ in the Neumann case, which drops out from all expectation values.

In the limit $q \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ the expressions (A.6) reproduce the tree-level Green's functions (A.4)

$$\begin{aligned} G^{(1)}(z_1, z_2) &= \ln |\rho_1 - \rho_2| + \ln |1 - b^{-2} \rho_1 \bar{\rho}_2| - \frac{2\pi}{\tau_2} (\sigma_1^2)^2 - \frac{2\pi}{\tau_2} (\sigma_2^2)^2 + \mathcal{O}(q) , \\ G^{(1)}(z_1, z_2) &= \ln |\rho_1 - \rho_2| - \ln |1 - b^{-2} \rho_1 \bar{\rho}_2| + \frac{4\pi}{\tau_2} \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 + \mathcal{O}(q) , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

respectively.

Appendix B. Jacobi theta functions and identities

A meromorphic function $f(z, \tau)$ of z is defined to be elliptic if it is doubly periodic on a torus, i.e. $f(z + 1, \tau) = f(z, \tau)$ and $f(z + \tau, \tau) = f(z, \tau)$. Elliptic functions having a prescribed set of zeroes and poles in a period parallelogram can be constructed as quotients of Jacobi theta functions. In this appendix we collect some useful identities for Jacobi theta function.

In the computation of one-loop string amplitudes we encounter Jacobi theta functions which are defined as

$$\theta \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} (z, \tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\pi i (n - \frac{a}{2})^2 \tau} e^{2\pi i (z - \frac{b}{2})(n - \frac{a}{2})} , \quad \text{for } a, b = 0, 1 . \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Throughout the text we will use the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1(z, \tau) &= \theta \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (z, \tau) , & \theta_2(z, \tau) &= \theta \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (z, \tau) , \\ \theta_3(z, \tau) &= \theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (z, \tau) , & \theta_4(z, \tau) &= \theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (z, \tau) . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Sometimes, the following representation is useful:

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_1(z, \tau) &= 2 e^{\frac{\pi i \tau}{4}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{\pi i \tau n(n+1)} \sin[\pi(2n+1)z] , \\
\theta_2(z, \tau) &= 2 e^{\frac{\pi i \tau}{4}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{\pi i \tau n(n+1)} \cos[\pi(2n+1)z] , \\
\theta_3(z, \tau) &= 1 + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{\pi i \tau n^2} \cos(2\pi n z) , \\
\theta_4(z, \tau) &= 1 + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{\pi i \tau n^2} \cos(2\pi n z) .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

Under the modular transformation $(z, \tau) \rightarrow (\frac{z}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau})$, the theta-function $\theta_{[b]}^a(z, \tau)$ transforms in the following way

$$\theta_{[b]}^a\left(\frac{z}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \sqrt{-i\tau} e^{\frac{i\pi}{2} ab + i\pi \frac{z^2}{\tau}} \theta_{[-a]}^b(z, \tau) . \tag{B.4}$$

The derivative of the theta function $\theta_{[1]}^1(z, \tau) = \theta_1(z, \tau)$ with respect to the first argument can be related to the Dedekind eta function as

$$\theta_1'(0, i\tau) = 2\pi \eta^3(i\tau) , \tag{B.5}$$

where

$$\eta(\tau) = q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n) , \quad q = e^{2\pi i \tau} , \tag{B.6}$$

which transforms as:

$$\eta(-1/\tau) = \sqrt{-i\tau} \eta(\tau) . \tag{B.7}$$

Furthermore, under shifts of the first argument, the Jacobi theta functions transform as [27]

$$\theta_{[b]}^a\left(z + \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} \tau + \frac{\epsilon_2}{2}, \tau\right) = e^{-\frac{i\pi\tau}{4} \epsilon_1^2 - \frac{i\pi\epsilon_1}{2}(2z-b) - \frac{i\pi}{2} \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \theta_{[b-\epsilon_2]}^{a-\epsilon_1}(z, \tau) . \tag{B.8}$$

With $\theta_{[b]}^a(z \pm \frac{1}{2}, \tau) = \theta_{[b \mp 1]}^a = e^{\mp i\pi a} \theta_{[b \pm 1]}^a \theta(z, \tau)$ this leads to:

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_1(z + \frac{1}{2}, \tau) &= \theta_2(z, \tau), & \theta_1(z - \frac{1}{2}, \tau) &= e^{+i\pi} \theta_2(z, \tau) , \\
\theta_2(z + \frac{1}{2}, \tau) &= e^{-i\pi} \theta_1(z, \tau), & \theta_2(z - \frac{1}{2}, \tau) &= \theta_1(z, \tau) .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.9}$$

In particular, we have $\theta_{[b]}^a(z \pm 1, \tau) = e^{\mp i\pi a} \theta_{[b]}^a$, i.e.:

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_1(z \pm 1, \tau) &= e^{\mp i\pi} \theta_1(z, \tau), & \theta_2(z \pm 1, \tau) &= e^{\mp i\pi} \theta_2(z, \tau) , \\
\theta_3(z \pm 1, \tau) &= \theta_4(z, \tau), & \theta_4(z \pm 1, \tau) &= \theta_4(z, \tau) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

Furthermore, with $\theta\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}\right](z \pm \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau) = e^{-\frac{\pi i \tau}{4}} e^{\mp i \pi (z - \frac{b}{2})} \theta\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a \mp 1 \\ b \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_1(z \pm \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau) &= e^{-\frac{i \pi \tau}{4}} e^{\mp i \pi z} e^{\pm \frac{i \pi}{2}} \theta_4(z, \tau) , \\ \theta_4(z \pm \frac{\tau}{2}, \tau) &= e^{-\frac{i \pi \tau}{4}} e^{\mp i \pi z} e^{\pm \frac{i \pi}{2}} \theta_1(z, \tau) ,\end{aligned}\tag{B.11}$$

while with $\theta\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}\right](z \pm \tau, \tau) = e^{-\pi i \tau} e^{\mp i \pi (2z - b)} \theta\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a \mp 2 \\ b \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_1(z \pm \tau, \tau) &= e^{-i \pi \tau} e^{\mp 2 i \pi z} e^{\pm i \pi} \theta_1(z, \tau) , \\ \theta_4(z \pm \tau, \tau) &= e^{-i \pi \tau} e^{\mp 2 i \pi z} e^{\pm i \pi} \theta_4(z, \tau) .\end{aligned}\tag{B.12}$$

Finally, for $\tau = i \tau_2$ we can write $\bar{\theta}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}\right](\bar{z}, \bar{\tau}) = \theta\left[\begin{smallmatrix} -a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}\right](\bar{z}, \tau)$, i.e.:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\theta}_1(\bar{z}, \bar{\tau}) &= \theta_1(\bar{z}, \tau) , \quad \bar{\theta}_2(\bar{z}, \bar{\tau}) = \theta_2(\bar{z}, \tau) , \\ \bar{\theta}_3(\bar{z}, \bar{\tau}) &= \theta_3(\bar{z}, \tau) , \quad \bar{\theta}_4(\bar{z}, \bar{\tau}) = \theta_4(\bar{z}, \tau) .\end{aligned}\tag{B.13}$$

References

- [1] S. Weinberg and E. Witten, “Limits on Massless Particles,” *Phys. Lett.* **96B**, 59 (1980).
- [2] H. Kawai, D.C. Lewellen and S.H.H. Tye, “A Relation Between Tree Amplitudes of Closed and Open Strings,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **269**, 1 (1986)..
- [3] S. Stieberger, “Open & Closed vs. Pure Open String Disk Amplitudes,” [arXiv:0907.2211 [hep-th]].
- [4] S. Stieberger and T.R. Taylor, “Graviton as a Pair of Collinear Gauge Bosons,” *Phys. Lett. B* **739**, 457 (2014). [arXiv:1409.4771 [hep-th]]; “Graviton Amplitudes from Collinear Limits of Gauge Amplitudes,” *Phys. Lett. B* **744**, 160 (2015). [arXiv:1502.00655 [hep-th]]. “Subleading terms in the collinear limit of Yang–Mills amplitudes,” *Phys. Lett. B* **750**, 587 (2015). [arXiv:1508.01116 [hep-th]].
- [5] S. Stieberger and T.R. Taylor, “Disk Scattering of Open and Closed Strings (I),” *Nucl. Phys. B* **903**, 104 (2016). [arXiv:1510.01774 [hep-th]].
- [6] S. Stieberger and T.R. Taylor, “New relations for Einstein–Yang–Mills amplitudes,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **913**, 151 (2016). [arXiv:1606.09616 [hep-th]].
- [7] P. Srisangyingcharoen and P. Mansfield, “Plahte Diagrams for String Scattering Amplitudes,” *JHEP* **2104**, 017 (2021). [arXiv:2005.01712 [hep-th]].
- [8] Z. Bern, L.J. Dixon, M. Perelstein and J.S. Rozowsky, “Multileg one loop gravity amplitudes from gauge theory,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **546**, 423 (1999). [hep-th/9811140].
- [9] E.D’Hoker and D.H. Phong, “The Geometry of String Perturbation Theory,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **60**, 917 (1988).
- [10] S.S. Gubser, A. Hashimoto, I.R. Klebanov and J.M. Maldacena, “Gravitational lensing by p-branes,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **472**, 231 (1996). [hep-th/9601057].
- [11] J. Polchinski, S. Chaudhuri and C.V. Johnson, “Notes on D-branes,” [hep-th/9602052]; J. Polchinski, “Tasi lectures on D-branes,” [hep-th/9611050].
- [12] A.G. Cohen, G.W. Moore, P.C. Nelson and J. Polchinski, “Semi Off-shell String Amplitudes,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **281**, 127 (1987).
- [13] N.E.J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P.H. Damgaard, T. Sondergaard and P. Vanhove, “The Momentum Kernel of Gauge and Gravity Theories,” *JHEP* **1101**, 001 (2011). [arXiv:1010.3933 [hep-th]].
- [14] S. Hohenegger and S. Stieberger, “Monodromy Relations in Higher-Loop String Amplitudes,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **925**, 63 (2017). [arXiv:1702.04963 [hep-th]].
- [15] K. Förger and S. Stieberger, “String amplitudes and $N=2$, $d=4$ prepotential in heterotic $K_3 \times T^2$ compactifications,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **514**, 135 (1998). [hep-th/9709004].
- [16] E. Casali, S. Mizera and P. Tourkine, “Monodromy relations from twisted homology,” *JHEP* **1912**, 087 (2019). [arXiv:1910.08514 [hep-th]].

- [17] P. Tourkine and P. Vanhove, “Higher-loop amplitude monodromy relations in string and gauge theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, no. 21, 211601 (2016). [arXiv:1608.01665 [hep-th]].
- [18] J. Broedel and A. Kaderli, “Amplitude recursions with an extra marked point,” [arXiv:1912.09927 [hep-th]].
- [19] E. Casali, S. Mizera and P. Tourkine, “Loop amplitudes monodromy relations and color-kinematics duality,” *JHEP* **2021**, 048 (2020). [arXiv:2005.05329 [hep-th]].
- [20] S. Mizera, “Combinatorics and Topology of Kawai-Lewellen-Tye Relations,” *JHEP* **1708**, 097 (2017). [arXiv:1706.08527 [hep-th]].
- [21] D. Nandan, J. Plefka and G. Travaglini, “All rational one-loop Einstein-Yang-Mills amplitudes at four points,” *JHEP* **1809**, 011 (2018). [arXiv:1803.08497 [hep-th]].
- [22] R. Blumenhagen, B. Körs, D. Lüst and S. Stieberger, “Four-dimensional String Compactifications with D-Branes, Orientifolds and Fluxes,” *Phys. Rept.* **445**, 1 (2007). [hep-th/0610327].
- [23] I. Antoniadis, C. Bachas, C. Fabre, H. Partouche and T.R. Taylor, “Aspects of type I - type II - heterotic triality in four dimensions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **489**, 160 (1997). [hep-th/9608012];
M. Berg, M. Haack, J.U. Kang and S. Sjörs, “Towards the one-loop Kähler metric of Calabi-Yau orientifolds,” *JHEP* **1412**, 077 (2014). [arXiv:1407.0027 [hep-th]].
- [24] A. Neveu and J. Scherk, “Parameter-free regularization of one-loop unitary dual diagram,” *Phys. Rev. D* **1**, 2355 (1970).
- [25] C.S. Hsue, B. Sakita and M.A. Virasoro, “Formulation of dual theory in terms of functional integrations,” *Phys. Rev. D* **2**, 2857 (1970).
- [26] A. Abouelsaood, C.G. Callan, Jr., C.R. Nappi and S.A. Yost, “Open Strings in Background Gauge Fields,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **280**, 599 (1987).
- [27] W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and R.P. Soni, *Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics*, Springer 1966;
A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F.G. Tricomi, *Higher transcendental functions*, Volume II, McGraw-Hill Book Company 1953.